# 3D-Ising-type Magnetic Interactions Stabilized by the Extremely Large Uniaxial Magnetocrystalline Anisotropy in Layered Ferromagnetic $Cr_2Te_3$

Shubham Purwar, Tushar Kanti Bhowmik, Soumya Ghorai and Setti Thirupathaiah

Department of Condensed Matter and Materials Physics S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences Kolkata West Bengal 700106 India.

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Layered materials 3D Ising-type magnetic interactions Magnetocrystalline anisotropy Critical analysis Magnetic entropy change

## ABSTRACT

We investigate the magnetocrystalline anisotropy, critical behavior, and magnetocaloric effect in ferromagnetic-layered Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>. We have studied the critical behavior around the Curie temperature ( $T_C$ ) using various techniques, including the modified Arrott plot (MAP), the Kouvel-Fisher method (KF), and critical isothermal analysis (CI). The derived critical exponents  $\beta = 0.353(4)$  and  $\gamma = 1.213(5)$  fall in between the three-dimensional (3D) Ising and 3D Heisenberg type models, suggesting complex magnetic interactions by not falling into any single universality class. On the other hand, the renormalization group theory, employing the experimentally obtained critical exponents, suggests 3D-Ising-type magnetic interactions decaying with distance as  $J(r) = r^{-4.89}$ . We also observe an extremely large uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE) of  $K_u = 2065$  kJ/m<sup>3</sup>, the highest ever found in any Cr<sub>x</sub>Te<sub>y</sub> based systems, originating from the noncollinear ferromagnetic methory (SCR) suggests Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> to be an out-of-plane itinerant ferromagnet. Further, a maximum entropy change of  $-\Delta S_M^{max} \approx 2.08 J/kg - K$  is estimated around  $T_C$  for the fields applied parallel to the *c*-axis.

## 1. Introduction

The discovery of intrinsic long-range ferromagnetism in two-dimensional (2D) layered materials has ignited considerable research interest due to their potential technological applications in low-power spintronic devices [1–6]. On the other hand, the Mermin-Wagner theorem proposes certain limitations to have long-range ferromagnetic interactions in the 2D magnets due to thermal fluctuations at finite temperatures [7]. In this regard, the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE) becomes crucial to overcome the thermal fluctuations and for the realization of 2D long-range ferromagnetism in layered materials. Recent investigations have focused on enhancing the long-range magnetic ordering in several 2D layered magnets such as CrI<sub>3</sub> [8], Cr<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub> [9], and Cr<sub>2</sub>Si<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub> [10]. Nevertheless, the peculiar magnetic behavior observed in chromium-based tellurides  $(Cr_xTe_y)$ have drawn significant research attention [11–13].

Under different synthesis conditions and chromium concentrations,  $Cr_x Te_y$  exhibits diverse crystal structures owing to the Cr vacancies. For instance, CrTe,  $Cr_5Te_6$ , and  $Cr_7Te_8$  exhibit hexagonal crystal structure [14–16],  $Cr_3Te_4$ and  $Cr_5Te_8$  show monoclinic phase [17–19], and  $Cr_2Te_3$ and  $CrTe_2$  show trigonal crystal structure [20, 21]. Thus, the crystal structure of  $Cr_xTe_y$  is highly sensitive to the Cr concentration present in the system, *viz*.  $Cr_5Te_8$  shows monoclinic phase for 38.5 - 40.4 % of Cr, while it shows trigonal structure for 38 - 37.5 % of Cr [22]. Moreover, the Cr concentration significantly affects the magnetic properties as well. Though most of the  $Cr_xTe_y$  systems show

arXiv:2407.17845v1 [cond-mat.mtrl-sci] 25 Jul 2024

ferromagnetic (FM) ordering, CrTe<sub>3</sub> (Cr<sub>0.67</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>) exhibits an antiferromagnetic ordering [23], their Curie temperatures are highly sensitive to the Cr concentration. For instance, Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>8</sub> (Cr<sub>1.25</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>) shows FM order below  $T_C \approx 230$  K [13, 24], Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub> (Cr<sub>1.5</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>) shows FM order below  $T_C \approx 316$ K [17], Cr<sub>7</sub>Te<sub>8</sub> (Cr<sub>1.75</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>) shows FM order below  $T_C \approx 361$ K [14], CrTe<sub>2</sub> shows FM order below  $T_C \approx 180$  K [20], and Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> (Cr<sub>1.33</sub>Te<sub>2</sub>) shows FM order below  $T_C \approx 180$  K [21]. Not only the Curie temperature, the magnetic exchange interactions below the Curie temperature are also sensitive to the Cr concentrations. For instance, below  $T_C$ , Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>8</sub> shows 3D-Ising type magnetic interactions [11], and CrTe shows quasi-2D-Heisenberg type magnetic interactions [16].


Although the ground state magnetism of  $Cr_2Te_3$  single crystals has been discussed earlier [25], no study is available on  $Cr_2Te_3$  discussing the magnetic exchange interactions across the Curie temperature. Furthermore,  $Cr_2Te_3$  thin films have exhibited an anomalous Hall effect [26, 27], while the topological Hall effect has been observed in heterojunction devices [28]. Thus, a better understanding of the magnetic interactions in  $Cr_2Te_3$  is crucial to unravel the potential technological applications of these systems.

In this contribution, we discuss the magnetocrystalline anisotropy, critical behavior, and magnetocaloric effect in  $Cr_2Te_3$ . The critical behavior around the Curie temperature  $(T_C)$  has been studied using various techniques such as the modified Arrott plot (MAP), the Kouvel-Fisher method (KF), and critical isothermal analysis (CI). Interestingly, the derived critical exponents  $\beta = 0.353(4)$  and  $\gamma = 1.213(5)$ suggest complex magnetic interactions falling in between the 3D Ising and 3D Heisenberg models across the  $T_C$ . On the other hand, the renormalization group theory suggests

<sup>🛸</sup> setti@bose.res.in (S. Thirupathaiah)

www.qmat.in (S. Thirupathaiah)

ORCID(s): 0000-0003-1258-0981 (S. Thirupathaiah)




**Figure 1:** (a) Schematic representations of the  $Cr_2Te_3$  crystal structure, obtained from the Rietveld refinement. (b) XRD pattern of  $Cr_2Te_3$  crushed crystals measured at 300 K overlapped with the Rietveld refinement. (c) XRD pattern of  $Cr_2Te_3$  single crystal. Insets in (c) show EDXS data demonstrating the actual chemical composition of as-prepared crystals and the photographic image of a typical  $Cr_2Te_3$  single crystal. (d) Elemental mapping of  $Cr_2Te_3$  for Cr and Te using EDXS. (e) Scanning electron microscopic (SEM) image of  $Cr_2Te_3$  single crystal.

3D-Ising type magnetic interactions stabilized by the extremely large magnetocrystalline anisotropy. We performed first-principles calculations to establish the origin of extremely large out-of-plane magnetic anisotropy. Our calculations predict a noncollinear ferromagnetic phase with a canted spin structure that results from the magnetic frustration [29], in the ground state with dominant ferromagnetic ordering along the *c*-axis, while an antiferromagnetic ordering is found in the *ab*-plane, leading to extremely large magnetocrystalline anisotropy. Further, a maximum entropy change of  $-\Delta S_M^{max} \approx 2.08 J/kg - K$  is estimated around  $T_C$  for the fields applied parallel to the *c*-axis.

# 2. Experimental and First-principles Calculation Details

High-quality single crystals of  $Cr_2Te_3$  were grown using the chemical vapor transport (CVT) technique by taking 3 : 4 molar ratio of Chromium (Cr, 99.99%, Alfa Aesar) and Tellurium (Te, 99.999%, Alfa Aesar) powders and employing iodine as the transport agent. The growth process involved a three-week thermal heat treatment in a two-zone horizontal tube furnace, with the source-zone maintained at 1000 °C and the growth-zone kept at 820 °C [30]. The asgrown single crystals, sized  $3\times 2$  mm<sup>2</sup>, were looking shiny and flat [see the inset of Fig. 1(c)]. Surface morphology and elemental compositions were studied by using the scanning electron microscope (SEM) and energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDXS). We find that the as-prepared single crystals have a Cr to Te ratio of 0.663(6):1, leading to the exact chemical formula of  $Cr_{1.99}Te_3$ . Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted using a Rigaku X-ray diffractometer (SmartLab, 9kW) with Cu K<sub>a</sub> radiation (wavelength = 1.5406 Å). Magnetic properties studies, M(T) and M(H), were performed using the physical property measurement system (PPMS, 9 Tesla DynaCool, Quantum Design). The magnetization isotherms M(H) were systematically measured with 2 K interval around the Curie temperature to facilitate the critical behavior analysis.

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations on  $Cr_2Te_3$ were performed using the generalized gradient approximation (GGA) of Perdew, Burke and Ernzerhof (PBE) exchange and correlation functionals [31] as implemented in the Quantum Espresso (QE) simulation package [32]. Brillouin zone sampling was done over a  $12 \times 12 \times 6$  Monkhorst-Pack *k*-grid. The electronic wave function is expanded using the plane waves up to a cutoff energy of 40 Ry. To accurately determine the spin moment distribution, our approach involved incorporating scalar-relativistic and relativistic pseudopotentials to account the within the self-consistent field (SCF) calculations. We explored both collinear and non-collinear spin




**Figure 2:** (a) Temperature-dependent magnetization M(T) measured for  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$  in the ZFC and FC modes under an applied of H = 0.3 T. In (a), the blue-curve displays first derivative of magnetization with respect to temperature,  $\frac{dM}{dT}$ , showing a dip at the  $T_C \approx 170$  K. (b) Magnetization isotherms [M(H)] measured at 5 K for  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$  orientations.

structures, the latter involving optimization of the spin angle with respect to the energy.

## 3. Results and Discussion

## 3.1. Structural analysis

The unit cell of  $Cr_2Te_3$  is schematically shown in the top-panel of Fig. 1(a), in which distinct chromium atoms are labeled by Cr(1), Cr(2), and Cr(3). The intercalated Cr(1) atoms reside within the van der Waals gap created by two CrTe<sub>2</sub> layers, exhibiting ordered vacancies. Cr(2) and Cr(3) are within the CrTe<sub>2</sub> layers, but only Cr(3) has the nearest neighboring Cr(1) atoms along the *c*-axis. On the other hand,



**Figure 3:** Schematic representations of the collinear ferromagnetic (FM) (a) and noncollinear ferromagnetic (b) configurations. (c) Relative total energy ( $\Delta E$ ), with respect to the ground state energy, plotted as a function of unit cell volume for both magnetic configurations, obtained using the DFT calculations. (d) Schematic diagram of the canting spin angles of Cr(1), Cr(2), and Cr(3) atoms with respect to the *c*-axis. (e) Relative total energy ( $\Delta E$ ), with respect to the ground state energy, plotted as a function of spin angles for Cr(1), Cr(2) and Cr(3) atoms.


Cr(1) has two Cr(3) nearest neighbours along the *c*-axis but has no nearest neighbors in the *ab*-plane. The bottom-panel of Fig. 1(a) depicts projected crystal structure of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> onto the *ab*-plane, showing the intertwined honeycomb lattice with Cr(2), Cr(3), and Te atoms. Fig. 1(b) displays the Xray diffraction (XRD) pattern of the crushed single crystals measured at room temperature. The XRD data confirms the trigonal crystal structure of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> having the space group of  $P\bar{3}1c$  (163). The derived lattice parameters using the Rietveld refinement, a = b = 6.7989(7) Å, c = 12.1074(7)

Å, and the angles  $\alpha = \beta = 90^\circ$ ,  $\gamma = 120^\circ$ , are close to the previous reports [18, 22, 33]. Fig. 1(c) displays the XRD pattern taken on the Cr2Te3 single crystal, indicating that the crystal growth is parallel to the (001) Bragg's plane. Using the Rietveld refinement, bond lengths of Cr<sub>1</sub>-Cr<sub>3</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>-Cr<sub>3</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>-Cr<sub>2</sub>, and Cr<sub>3</sub>-Cr<sub>3</sub> are determined as 3.0269 Å, 3.9254 Å, 6.7990 Å, and 3.9254 Å, respectively. Additionally, the bond angles of Cr<sub>2</sub>-Te<sub>1</sub>-Cr<sub>3</sub>, Cr<sub>1</sub>-Te<sub>1</sub>-Cr<sub>2</sub>, and Cr<sub>1</sub>-Te<sub>1</sub>-Cr<sub>3</sub> are found as 91.213°, 129.596°, and 67.542°, respectively. Fig. 1(d) exhibits the elemental mapping of Cr and Te. confirming the uniform chemical composition of the studied crystal within the measured surface range of  $50 \times 45 \ (\mu m)^2$ . Fig. 1(e) shows scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, displaying a very flat surface morphology. Thus, the EDXS and SEM data confirm the homogeneity and highquality of the studied single crystals.

## 3.2. Magnetic Properties

Temperature-dependent magnetization [M(T)] of  $Cr_2Te_3$ measured at H = 0.3 T for both the  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$ orientations are shown in Fig. 2(a) taken in field-cooled (FC) and zero-field-cooled (ZFC) modes. From Fig. 2(a), we observe a ferromagnetic-like magnetic transition at around  $T_C \approx 170$  K. The same is confirmed from the overlapped dM/dT data in which a dip is noticed at around 170 K. Most interestingly, we observe an out-of-plane  $(H \parallel c)$  saturation magnetization (1.8  $\mu_B/Cr$ ) that is almost 20 times higher than the in-plane  $(H \parallel ab)$  saturation magnetization (0.08  $\mu_B/Cr$ ), indicating an extremely large magnetic anisotropy in this system with an easy-axis of magnetization parallel to the *c*-axis. To further confirm the magnetic anisotropy, we measured magnetization as a function of the field [M(H)]for both orientations as shown in Fig. 2(b) at 5 K of the sample temperature. From Fig. 2(b), we clearly notice a spontaneous magnetization of 1.8  $\mu_B/Cr$  for  $H \parallel c$  around the zero applied field with negligible coercivity, suggesting Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> to be an out-of-plane soft-ferromagnet. On the other hand, for  $H \parallel ab$ , the lower magnetic fields sustain a canted FM state between neighboring Cr(2) and Cr(3) atoms.


We performed density functional theory (DFT) calculations to reveal the ground state magnetic structure of  $Cr_2Te_3$ . Initially we focused on examining the magnetic coupling among various types of Cr atoms, including the collinear ferromagnetic (FM) and non-collinear FM configurations as shown in Figs. 3(a) and 3(b), respectively. The DFT calculations are done by including the spin-orbit coupling (SOC) effect. Our calculations suggest that the noncollinear ferromagnetic configuration [see Fig. 3(c)] has a lower ground state energy by about 35 meV compared to the collinear FM configuration, thus the former is a more stable magnetic ground state than the latter in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>. Distinct spin canting angles were observed for Cr(1), Cr(2), and Cr(3) deviating from the c-axis as schematically shown in Fig. 3(d). This investigation suggests substantial difference in the canted spin angles, between the intercalated Cr(1) atoms and Cr(2) or Cr(3) of CrTe<sub>2</sub> layer [21]. Further, Cr(1) and Cr(2) display spin canting in the second quadrant, while Cr(3) exhibits



**Figure 4:** (a) Magnetization isotherms [M(H)] measured at 5 K for  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$ . The yellow shaded area represents the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE). (b) Plot of M<sup>4</sup> vs. H/M

spin canting in the first quadrant, as depicted in Fig. 3(d). Fig. 3(e) depicts the plots of relative total energy ( $\Delta E$ ) *vs.* spin canting angle, suggesting 62.35°, 6.279°, and -4.124° are the canted-spin angles of Cr(1), Cr(2), and Cr(3) atoms, respectively in the magnetic ground state. Therefore, the predicted canted angles indicate a dominant ferromagnetic ordering for the spins of Cr(2) & Cr(3) of CrTe<sub>2</sub> layer and a dominant antiferromagnetic ordering for the intercalated Cr(1) atoms. As a result, the noncollinear FM ground state in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> is stabilized by the magnetic frustration due to a competition between the in-plane AFM and the out-of-plane FM orders. These observations are consistent with predictions on similar systems [21, 34].

Next, with the help of magnetization isotherms [M(H)] measured at 5 K for both  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$  [see



**Figure 5:** (a) Isothermal magnetization M(H) measured between 150 and 190 K with an interval of 2 K for  $H \parallel c$ . (b) Arrot plots of M<sup>2</sup> vs. (H/M) for the Landau mean-field model. Modified Arrott plots of M<sup>1/ $\beta$ </sup> vs.  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$  for (c) 3D-Heisenberg model, (d) 3D-XY model, (e) 3D-Ising model, and (f) Tricritical mean-field model. In the figures, the blue-colored data is taken at the  $T_C \approx 170$  K.

Fig. 4(a)], we qualitatively estimated the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy (MAE)  $K_u$  in our studied Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> sample by measuring the area (Yellow-shaded region) between  $H \parallel c$  and  $H \parallel ab$  curves, using the relation [19],


$$K_{u} = \mu_{0} \int_{0}^{M_{s}} [H_{c}(M) - H_{ab}(M)] \, dM \tag{1}$$

Here,  $\mu_0$  is the vacuum permeability,  $M_s$  represents saturation magnetization.  $H_c$  and  $H_{ab}$  represent magnetic field applied along the out-of-plane and in-plane directions, respectively.

The derived value of  $K_u = 2065 \text{ kJ/m}^3$  in this method is found to be the highest ever known MAE from any  $\text{Cr}_x\text{Te}_y$  type systems. Moreover, this value is much larger than the  $K_u$  values reported on many other 2D magnetic systems such as  $\text{Cr}_2\text{Si}_2\text{Te}_6 / \text{Cr}_2\text{Ge}_2\text{Te}_6) \approx 65 \text{ kJ/m}^3 / \approx 20$  $\text{kJ/m}^3$ ) [35],  $\text{Fe}_4\text{GeTe}_2 (250 \text{ kJ/m}^3)$  [36],  $\text{CrBr}_3 / \text{CrI}_3 (\approx 86 \text{ kJ/m}^3 / \approx 300 \text{ kJ/m}^3)$  [37], and  $\text{Fe}_3\text{GeTe}_2 (1460 \text{ kJ/m}^3)$  [38]. Thus, for the first-time we report an extremely large MAE from our studied  $\text{Cr}_2\text{Te}_3$ . Note here that we estimated the MAE value by linearly extrapolating the high-field region of the M(H) data for  $H \parallel ab$  [see Fig. 4(a)] to find the overlapping filed position (12.8 T) with  $H \parallel c$ . Therefore, the MAE value  $K_u = 2065 \text{ kJ/m}^3$  is the minimum value that we could estimate in this method. The actual  $K_u$  value would be higher than what we estimated, if one is able to perform M(H) measurements at very high applied magnetic fields and find the overlapping field position directly from the measurements. Nevertheless, we could also estimate the magnetocrystalline anisotropy energy using the DFT calculations. In agreement with the experimental value, we find an extremely large MAE for the noncollinear FM state of 8230 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>. Whereas for the collinear FM state we find realatively very low MAE of 32 kJ/m<sup>3</sup>.

#### **3.3. Itinerant Ferromagnetism**

To identify the nature of ferromagnetism in  $Cr_2Te_3$ we employed Takahashi's self-consistent renormalization (SCR) theory which takes into account the conservation of zero-point spin fluctuations and thermal spin fluctuations [39]. According to SCR theory, the magnetization Mand the magnetic field H at  $T_C$  should obey the below



**Figure 6:** Temperature dependent normalized slopes  $[NS=S(T)/S(T_C)]$  plotted as a function of temperature, for various models, derived from the data shown in Figs. 5(b) - 5(f). See the text for more details.

relation,

$$M^{4} = \frac{1}{4.671} \left[ \frac{T_{C}^{2}}{T_{A}^{3}} \right] \left( \frac{H}{M} \right)$$
(2)

Here,  $T_A$  is the dispersion of the spin fluctuation spectrum in wave-vector space.  $T_A$ , M, and H are in K,  $\mu_B/Cr$ , and Oe units, respectively. Fig. 4(b) shows the plot of M<sup>4</sup> vs. H/M, fitted by Eq. 2. Such a linear behavior is observed in many itinerant ferromagnets such as MnSi [40], LaCo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> [41], SmCoAsO [42]. From the fitting of Eq. 2, we obtained a slope of 9.4778×10<sup>-6</sup> ( $\mu_B/Cr$ )<sup>5</sup>/Oe. Using the slope and  $T_C \approx 170$  K, we derived  $T_A \approx 868$  K for  $H \parallel c$ .

Further, following the SCR theory,  $T_C$  can be expressed by,

$$T_C = (60c)^{-3/4} P_S^{3/2} T_A^{3/4} T_0^{1/4}$$
(3)

Here, c = 0.3353,  $P_S$  is the spontaneous magnetization in  $\mu_B/Cr$ ,  $T_0$  is the energy width of the dynamical spin fluctuation spectrum in K. Using the value of  $T_C$ ,  $P_S$ , and  $T_A$ , we obtain the characteristic temperature  $T_0 = 4502$  K for our  $Cr_2Te_3$  single crystal. According to the SCR theory of spin fluctuation, the ratio  $T_C/T_0$  is an important parameter as it characterizes the degree of localization or itineracy of the spin moment. The magnetic materials are found to exhibit itinerant character for  $T_C/T_0 \ll 1$ , while they show localized magnetism for  $T_C/T_0 \approx 1$ . In our case of  $Cr_2Te_3$ single crystal, the ratio  $T_C/T_0$  is estimated to be 0.04 which is much smaller than 1, indicating the itinerant nature of the ferromagnetism in  $Cr_2Te_3$ .

#### 3.4. Critical Behaviour Analysis

(

Investigating the magnetic interactions across the paramagnetic to ferromagnetic transition involves the critical exponents analysis of magnetization isotherms [M(H)] around  $T_C$ . The M(H) data measured for  $H \parallel c$  between 150 and 190 K with an interval of 2 K are depicted in Fig. 5(a). Fig. 5(b) shows Arrott plots of  $M^2 vs. (H/M)$  for the Landau mean-field model. Arrott plots typically display a positive slope of parallel lines in the high-field region, indicative of a second-order magnetic transition [43]. However, our findings show deviation from the linear behavior, particularly below  $T_C$ . This deviation suggests that the Landau mean-field theory ( $\beta = 0.5, \gamma = 1$ ) is not applicable to  $Cr_2Te_3$ . Consequently, a modified Arrott plot (MAP) is necessary to determine the critical exponents by following the below equation,

$$(H/M)^{1/\gamma} = a * (T - T_c)/T_c + b * M^{1/\beta}$$
 (4)

where (H/M) is the inverse susceptibility, *M* is the magnetization,  $T_C$  is Curie temperature,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are the critical exponents, and *a* and *b* are constants.

Scaling hypothesis of the critical phenomena theory [44] suggests that the second-order phase transitions near  $T_C$  are governed by the critical exponents and magnetic equations of the state. The divergence of the correlation length around  $T_C$ ,  $\zeta = \zeta_0 [(T - T_C)/T_C]^{-\nu}$ , gives rise to universal scaling laws. This results in specific critical exponents  $\beta$  for spontaneous magnetization  $M_{sp}$  below  $T_C$ ,  $\gamma$  for the inverse susceptibility  $\chi_0^{-1}$  above  $T_C$ , and  $\delta$  for magnetization isotherms M(H) at  $T_C$  which are mathematically represented in the magnetic equations involving these critical exponents,

$$M_{sp}(T) = M_0(-\epsilon)^{\beta} \text{ for } \epsilon < 0, T < T_C,$$
(5)

$$\chi_0^{-1}(T) = (h_0/m_0)\epsilon^{\gamma}, \text{ for } \epsilon > 0, T > T_C$$
(6)

$$M = DH^{1/\delta}$$
, for  $\epsilon = 0, T = T_C$ , (7)

where  $\epsilon = (T - T_C)/T_C$  is the reduced temperature,  $M_0$ ,  $h_0/m_0$ , and D are the critical amplitudes [49]. Attempts were made to derive the critical exponents and  $T_C$  through MAP technique by plotting  $M^{1/\beta}$  vs.  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$  based on various theoretical models around  $T_C$  such as the 3D-Heisenberg model, 3D-XY model, 3D-Ising model, and tricritical mean-field model [45, 46, 50] as shown in Figs. 5(c), 5(d), 5(e), and 5(f), respectively. However, none of these models produced the anticipated parallel lines. To further confirm that none of these models can adequately describe the magnetic interactions of this system, in Fig. 6 we plotted the normalized slopes (NS),  $NS = S(T)/S(T_C)$ , as a function of temperature at higher magnetic fields derived from the data shown in Figs. 5(b)-5(f) for various models. Here, S(T)




Figure 7: (a) Modified Arrott plot (MAP) of  $M^{1/\beta}$  vs.  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$  at high-field regions with critical exponents,  $\beta = 0.367$  and  $\gamma = 1.263$ . (b) Isothermal magnetization M(H) data taken at  $T_C = 170$  K (c) Temperature dependent spontaneous magnetization  $M_{sp}(T)$  (left axis) and inverse initial susceptibility  $\chi_0^{-1}(T)$  (right axis). (d) Kouvel-Fisher plot: temperature dependence of  $M_{sp}(T)[dM_{sp}(T)/dT]^{-1}$  (left axis) and  $\chi_0^{-1}(T)[d\chi_0^{-1}(T)/dT]^{-1}$  (right axis). (e) Scaling plot of normalized magnetization vs. normalized field. (f) Renormalized magnetization (m) and field (h) are plotted in the form of  $m^2$  vs. h/m below and above  $T_C$ . Inset in (e) shows the same plot of (e) in log-log scale.

is the slope defined by  $d(M^{1/\beta})/d((H/M)^{1/\gamma})$  at a given temperature *T*. For any adequate model, the normalized slopes should be equal to one [47, 48]. However, from Fig. 6 it is evident that none of the models show NS=1 at temperatures other than  $T_C$ . Therefore, none of the standard models, Landau mean-field, 3D-Heisenberg, 3D-XY, 3D-Ising, and tricritical mean-field model, can describe the magnetic interactions in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> precisely.

Thus, to accurately determine the critical exponents near  $T_C$ , an iterative modified Arrott plot (MAP) technique was employed on the data shown in Fig. 5(a) [51, 52]. In this method, we start with trial values of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  substituted into Eq. 4 to derive the MAP data as shown in Fig. 7(a). The linear extrapolation of the MAP data from the high field region provides  $(M_{sp})^{1/\beta}$  and  $(\chi_0^{-1})^{1/\gamma}$  as intercepts on the  $\gamma$  and x-axis of the  $(M)^{1/\beta}$  vs.  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$  plot [see Fig. 7(a)]. As obtained  $M_{sp}$  and  $\chi_0^{-1}$  are plotted as a function of temperature as shown in Fig. 7(c). Next,  $M_{sp}(T)$  and  $\chi_0^{-1}(T)$  are fitted using Eqs. 5 and 6 to determine new  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  values. Using these updated  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  exponents, a new MAP is created by plotting  $(M)^{1/\beta}$  vs.  $(H/M)^{1/\gamma}$ . This procedure is iteratively repeated, involving fitting the modified Arrott plots, updating the polynomials (Eqs. 5 and

6), and recalculating the exponents, until the values of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  converge ( $\beta_{n+1} \rightarrow \beta_n$  and  $\gamma_{n+1} \rightarrow \gamma_n$ , here *n* is the iteration number). After this iterative exercise, Fig. 7(a) shows parallel straight lines with  $\beta = 0.367$  and  $\gamma = 1.263$  in which the straight line corresponding to the  $T_C$  passes through the origin. Further, from the converged MAP, the  $M_{sp}(T)$  and  $\chi_0^{-1}(T)$  are plotted in Fig. 7(c) from which the fittings with Eqs. 5 and 6 derive the critical exponents  $\beta = 0.360(2)$  with  $T_C = 170.21(1)$  K and  $\gamma = 1.221(3)$  with  $T_C = 170.16(4)$  K. These  $T_C$  values closely match the  $T_C$  of 170 K estimated from M(T) data [see Fig. 2(a)].

To verify the accuracy and reliability of the critical exponents determined by the modified Arrott plot method, we employed the Kouvel-Fisher (KF) method [53], using the equations,

$$M_{sp}(T)[dM_{sp}(T)/dT]^{-1} = (T - T_C)/\beta,$$
(8)

$$\chi_0^{-1}(T)[d\chi_0^{-1}(T)/dT]^{-1} = (T - T_C)/\gamma, \tag{9}$$

Thus, Fig. 7(d) exhibits KF plots fitted using the Eqs. 8 and 9 both below and above  $T_C$ . In this way, we obtained

Composition	Technique	$T_c$	β	γ	δ	Reference
Cr <sub>2</sub> Te <sub>3</sub>	MAP	170.21(1)	0.360(2)	1.221(3)	4.392(6)	This work
$Cr_2Te_3$	KF method	170.07(6)	0.353(4)	1.213(5)	4.436(2)	This work
$Cr_2Te_3$	Critical isotherm	170			4.275	This work
Theory	3D Ising		0.325	1.241	4.82	[45]
Theory	3D XY		0.345	1.316	4.81	[45]
Theory	3D Heisenberg		0.365	1.386	4.80	[45]
Theory	Landau mean-field		0.5	1	3	[43]
Theory	Tricritical mean-field		0.25	1	5	[46]
$Cr_5Te_8$	KF method	230.6(3)	0.315(7)	1.81(2)	6.35	[47]
$Cr_3Te_4$	KF method	320.335(5)	0.365(5)	1.212(9)	4.580(4)	[48]
$Cr_4Te_5$	KF method	319.06(9)	0.387(9)	1.2885(2)	4.32	[11]
$Cr_5Te_6$	KF method	338.17(1)	0.405(1)	1.200(1)	3.962(9)	[15]

Table 1 Comparison of critical exponents of  $Cr_2Te_3$  with similar 2D magnetic materials and different theoretical models.

the critical exponents  $\beta = 0.353(4)$  with  $T_C = 170.16(2)$ K and  $\gamma = 1.213(5)$  with  $T_C = 170.07(6)$  K, respectively. Notably, these values are very close to the critical exponents obtained from the MAP method, affirming the reliability, self-consistency, and intrinsic nature of the critical exponents. Furthermore, using the Widom scaling relation,  $\delta =$  $1 + (\gamma/\beta)$  [54], we estimate  $\delta = 4.392(6)$  and  $\delta = 4.436(2)$ from the MAP and KF plots, respectively. Crucially, these  $\delta$  values, obtained from different methods converge to  $\delta =$ 4.275(10) derived through the critical isotherm (CI) method using Eq. 7 [see Fig. 7(b)]. The experimentally derived critical exponents are summarized in Table 1 along with critical exponents of different theoretical models and other  $Cr_x Te_y$  systems.

Further, in the critical asymptotic region of magnetic materials, the scaling equation of magnetic state is expressed by [44],

$$M(H,\epsilon) = \epsilon^{\beta} f_{+}(H/\epsilon^{\beta+\gamma})$$
(10)

This equation can also be expressed as,

$$m = f_{\pm}(h) \tag{11}$$

where  $m = e^{-\beta} M(H, \epsilon)$  denotes the normalized magnetization and  $h = He^{-(\beta+\gamma)}$  represents the normalized field. When the values of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  obtained from both the MAP and KF methods are accurately chosen, the scaled magnetization *m* and *h* are expected to mainly fall into to two distinct branches of universal curves outlined by the Eqs. 10 and 11. These branches correspond to temperatures below and above  $T_C$  as evident in Figs. 7(e) and 7(f).

The compiled critical exponents in Table 1, compared with theoretical predictions across various models, reveal complex magnetic interactions across the Curie temperature in  $Cr_2Te_3$ . Specifically, the value of  $\beta$  ( $T < T_C$ ) obtained

Table 2


Obtained critical parameters from renormalization group theory

{d : n}	γ	β	σ	δ
{3:1}	1.213	0.362	1.888	4.395
{3:2}	1.213	0.395	1.827	4.116
{3:3}	1.213	0.415	1.791	3.964

from the MAP technique is very close to the 3D-Heisenberg type interactions, while the value of  $\gamma$  ( $T > T_C$ ) is very close to the 3D-Ising type interactions. However, the value of  $\delta$ obtained at  $T_C$  does not fall into any of the existing standard models of 3D-Heisenberg, 3D-XY, or 3D-Ising (See Table 1). The deviation of the magnetic interactions may stem from various factors such as long-range Ruderman-Kittel-Kasuya-Yosida (RKKY) interactions [45], extended interactions beyond nearest neighbors [55], dipole-dipole interaction [56], or strong magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MAE) [57–59]. Thus, it is necessary to understand the range of magnetic interactions and spin dimensionality of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>. For a homogeneous magnet, the magnetic phase transition's universality class depends on the exchange interaction J(r)and following the renormalization group (RG) theory the interactions decay with distance as  $J(r) = r^{-(d+\sigma)}$ . Here, d is the spacial dimensionality and  $\sigma$  is a positive constant. The relation between the critical exponent  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma$  can be expressed by [49, 60],

$$\gamma = 1 + \frac{4}{d} \frac{(n+2)}{(n+8)} \Delta \sigma + \frac{8(n-4)(n+2)}{(n+8)^2 d^2}$$

$$* \left[ \frac{2(7n+20)G(d/2)}{(n+8)(n-4)} + 1 \right] \Delta \sigma^2$$
(12)



**Figure 8:** Temperature dependent magnetic entropy change  $-\Delta S_m$  at different applied magnetic fields.

where  $\Delta \sigma = \sigma - d/2$ ,  $G(d/2) = 3 - 0.25 * (d/2)^2$ . *n* and d are the spin and spatial dimensionality of the system [60]. For  $\sigma > 2$ , the short-range Heisenberg model is valid for describing a 3D-isotropic magnet with magnetic interactions J(r) decaying faster than r<sup>-5</sup>. Conversely, for  $\sigma \leq 3/2$ , the system follows the long-range mean-field model with magnetic interactions J(r) decaying slower than  $r^{-4.5}$  [61]. For  $3/2 \le \sigma \le 2$  the system falls into a distinct category characterized by the critical exponents that vary according to the specific value of  $\sigma$ . According to Eq. 12, it is found that  $\{d:n\} = \{3:1\}$  and  $\gamma = 1.213$  give the critical exponents  $\beta = 0.362, \sigma = 1.89$ , and  $\delta = 4.395$  which are close to our experimental observations. The other exponents  $(\nu, \alpha, \gamma)$  can be derived from the following scaling equations,  $v = \gamma/\sigma$ ,  $\alpha = 2 - \nu * d$ ,  $\beta = (2 - \alpha - \gamma)/2$ , where  $\nu$  and  $\alpha$  are the exponents of correlation length. This is done for various sets of {d : n} values, as shown in Table 2. Thus, the exchange interactions in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> decay as  $J(r) = r^{-(d+\sigma)} = r^{-4.89}$ . The spin dimensionality n = 1 and the spacial dimensionality d = 3 as derived from the RG theory suggests 3D-Ising type magnetic interactions, stabilized by the robust uniaxial anisotropy present in the system. This behavior mirrors the observations in other  $Cr_x Te_y$  systems [47, 62]. Therefore, in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> the magnetism primarily arises from the intralayer coupling [63], as evidenced by the 3.9254 Å bond length between adjacent Cr atoms within the plane, indicative of a relatively weak direct exchange interaction [25]. Moreover, the Cr2-Te1-Cr3 bond angle within the layer, measuring approximately 91.213°, indicates super-exchange interactions that favor ferromagnetism [64, 65]. Further the strong hybridization between Cr 3d and Te 5p orbitals potentially establishes an indirect exchange between the Cr moments mediated by neighboring Te atoms [66].

Finally, before concluding this section, we would like to mention that during the course of our manuscript preparation a preprint discussing the magnetocrystalline anisotropy and magnetic interactions of Cr<sub>1.77</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> (claimed as Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>) single crystals has appeared [67]. Though, our results of out-ofplane magnetization matches well with the Ref. [67], the inplane magnetization is slightly different. Moreover, based on the magnetocaloric effect (MCE) analysis, Ref. [67] suggests a 2D-Ising type magnetic interactions in their studied composition of Cr<sub>1.77</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> despite the experimentally obtained  $\beta = 0.2435$  and  $\gamma = 1.6509$  are quite far from the theoretically predicted values ( $\beta = 0.125$  and  $\gamma = 1.75$ ) for a 2D-Ising type magnet. Whereas, our studies demonstrate a complex magnetic interactions falling in between the 3D-Ising and 3D-Heisenberg models. We suggest that the difference in the type of magnetic interactions between our study and Ref. [67] is possibly related to the differing Cr concentrations.

#### 3.5. Magnetocaloric Effect

The magnetocaloric effect that is inherent to ferromagnetic system, induces heating or cooling when the system is adiabatically subjected to the external magnetic fields [68]. This phenomenon results in a magnetic entropy change -  $\Delta S_m(T, H)$  in the presence of magnetic fields, expressed by the formula,

$$\Delta S_m(T,H) = \int_o^H (\frac{\partial S}{\partial H})_T dH = \int_o^H (\frac{\partial M}{\partial T})_H dH \quad (13)$$

According to the Maxwell's relation,  $(\frac{\partial S}{\partial H})_T = (\frac{\partial M}{\partial T})_H$ . For the magnetization measured within small discrete fields and temperature intervals,  $\Delta S_m(T, H)$  can be expressed as

$$\Delta S_m(T,H) = \frac{\int_0^H M(T_{i+1},H)dH - \int_0^H M(T_i,H)dH}{T_{i+1} - T_i}$$
(14)

Fig. 8 displays the temperature dependence of derived  $-\Delta S_m(T, H)$  for  $H \parallel c$  at different magnetic field strength. These curves showcase a peak change in entropy around  $T_C$ , presenting a broad peak pattern. At 6 T of applied field  $\Delta S_m(T, H)$  reaches maximum of approximately 2.08 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup> for  $H \parallel c$ . The observed  $-\Delta S_m(T, H)$  values at 6 T are comparable to those obtained from other 2D magnetic materials such as  $Cr_2Ge_2Te_6$  (2.64 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [35] and  $Cr_5Te_8$  (2.38 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [13], larger than  $Fe_{3-x}GeTe_2$  (1.14 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [69] and  $CrI_3$  (1.56 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [70], and smaller than  $CrB_3$  (7.2 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [71] and  $Cr_2Si_2Te_6$  (5.05 J kg<sup>-1</sup> K<sup>-1</sup>) [35].

## 4. Summary

We have successfully grown high-quality single crystals of  $Cr_2Te_3$  using the chemical vapor transport method. Our investigation on the critical behavior of  $Cr_2Te_3$  across the ferromagnetic to paramagnetic (FM-PM) transition temperature of 170 K, reveals crucial insights into the magnetic exchange interactions. Specifically, the Kouvel-Fisher (KF) method derives the critical exponents,  $\beta = 0.353(4)$ 

and  $\gamma = 1.213(5)$ , suggesting complex magnetic interactions falling in between the 3D-Ising and the 3D-Heisenberg models. Further, the renormalization group theory analysis indicates a 3D-Ising-type magnetic interactions decaying with the distance as  $J(r) = r^{-4.89}$ . Importantly, the uniaxial magnetocrystalline anisotropy (MAE) of  $K_{\mu} = 2065 \text{ kJ/m}^3$ is the highest ever known experimental value in  $Cr_{y}Te_{y}$ type systems. We suggest that the 3D-Ising type magnetic interactions in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> are stabilized by the extremely large uniaxial MAE. Further, the DFT calculations predict large MAE of  $K_{\mu} = 8230 \text{ kJ/m}^3$ , leading to a ground state noncollinear ferromagnetic structure with dominant out-ofplane ferromagnetic and dominant in-plane antiferromagnetic Cr-spin arrangements. The self-consistent renormalization theory (SCR) suggests Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> to be an out-of-plane itinerant ferromagnet. Investigating the magnetic entropy change,  $-\Delta S_M^{max}$ , as a function of temperature provided insights on the magnetocalaric effect in this system. These findings collectively lay a robust groundwork for advancing the magnetocaloric and spintronic technologies.

## 5. Acknowledgements

S.T. thank the Science and Engineering Research Board (SERB), Department of Science and Technology (DST), India for the financial support (Grant No. SRG/2020/000393). This research has made use of the Technical Research Centre (TRC) Instrument Facilities of S. N. Bose National Centre for Basic Sciences, established under the TRC project of the Department of Science and Technology, Govt. of India.

## References

- [1] J. T. Heron, M. Trassin, K. Ashraf, M. Gajek, Q. He, S. Y. Yang, D. E. Nikonov, Y.-H. Chu, S. Salahuddin, R. Ramesh, Electric-Field-Induced Magnetization Reversal in a Ferromagnet-Multiferroic Heterostructure, Phys. Rev. Lett. 107 (2011) 217202.
- [2] A. K. Geim, I. V. Grigorieva, Van der Waals heterostructures, Nature 499 (2013) 419–425.
- [3] C. Gong, L. Li, Z. Li, H. Ji, A. Stern, Y. Xia, T. Cao, W. Bao, C. Wang, Y. Wang, et al., Discovery of intrinsic ferromagnetism in two-dimensional van der Waals crystals, Nature 546 (2017) 265–269.
- [4] Z. Fei, B. Huang, P. Malinowski, W. Wang, T. Song, J. Sanchez, W. Yao, D. Xiao, X. Zhu, A. F. May, et al., Two-dimensional itinerant ferromagnetism in atomically thin Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, Nat. Mater. 17 (2018) 778–782.
- [5] B. Wang, Y. Zhang, L. Ma, Q. Wu, Y. Guo, X. Zhang, J. Wang, MnX (X=P, As) monolayers: a new type of two-dimensional intrinsic room temperature ferromagnetic half-metallic material with large magnetic anisotropy, Nanoscale 11 (2019) 4204–4209.
- [6] M. Gibertini, M. Koperski, A. F. Morpurgo, K. S. Novoselov, Magnetic 2D materials and heterostructures, Nat. Nanotechnol. 14 (2019) 408–419.
- [7] N. D. Mermin, H. Wagner, Absence of Ferromagnetism or Antiferromagnetism in One- or Two-Dimensional Isotropic Heisenberg Models, Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 (1966) 1133–1136.
- [8] B. Huang, G. Clark, E. Navarro-Moratalla, D. R. Klein, R. Cheng, K. L. Seyler, D. Zhong, E. Schmidgall, M. A. McGuire, D. H. Cobden, W. Yao, D. Xiao, P. Jarillo-Herrero, X. Xu, Layer-dependent ferromagnetism in a van der Waals crystal down to the monolayer limit, Nature 546 (2017) 270–273.

- Y. Liu, C. Petrovic, Critical behavior of quasi-two-dimensional semiconducting ferromagnet Cr<sub>2</sub>Ge<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 96 (2017) 054406.
- [10] T. J. Williams, A. A. Aczel, M. D. Lumsden, S. E. Nagler, M. B. Stone, J.-Q. Yan, D. Mandrus, Magnetic correlations in the quasitwo-dimensional semiconducting ferromagnet CrSiTe<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 92 (2015) 144404.
- [11] L.-Z. Zhang, A.-L. Zhang, X.-D. He, X.-W. Ben, Q.-L. Xiao, W.-L. Lu, F. Chen, Z. Feng, S. Cao, J. Zhang, J.-Y. Ge, Critical behavior and magnetocaloric effect of the quasi-two-dimensional roomtemperature ferromagnet Cr<sub>4</sub>Te<sub>5</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 101 (2020) 214413.
- [12] Y. Wang, S. Kajihara, H. Matsuoka, B. K. Saika, K. Yamagami, Y. Takeda, H. Wadati, K. Ishizaka, Y. Iwasa, M. Nakano, Layer-Number-Independent Two-Dimensional Ferromagnetism in Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>, Nano Lett. 22 (2022) 9964.
- [13] Y. Liu, M. Abeykoon, E. Stavitski, K. Attenkofer, C. Petrovic, Magnetic anisotropy and entropy change in trigonal Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 100 (2019) 245114.
- [14] T. Hashimoto, M. Yamaguchi, Magnetic Properties of Cr<sub>7</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 27 (1969) 1121–1126.
- [15] L.-Z. Zhang, Q.-L. Xiao, F. Chen, Z. Feng, S. Cao, J. Zhang, J.-Y. Ge, Multiple magnetic phase transitions and critical behavior in single crystal Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 546 (2022) 168770.
- [16] H. Liu, H. Zheng, Y. Wang, C. Huang, C. Ma, Y. Zhu, H. Yang, L. Ling, L. Zhang, J. Fan, Long-range magnetic exchange coupling in quasi-two-dimensional CrTe ferromagnetic thin films, Physica Status Rolidi (RRL)–Rapid Research Letters 17 (2023) 202300209.
- [17] M. Yamaguchi, T. Hashimoto, Magnetic properties of  $Cr_3Te_4$  in ferromagnetic region, J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 32 (1972) 635–638.
- [18] G. Chattopadhyay, The Cr-Te (chromium-tellurium) system, J. Phase Equilib. 15 (1994) 431–440.
- [19] S. Purwar, A. Low, A. Bose, A. Narayan, S. Thirupathaiah, Investigation of the anomalous and topological Hall effects in layered monoclinic ferromagnet Cr<sub>2.76</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. Materials 7 (2023) 094204.
- [20] D. C. Freitas, R. Weht, A. Sulpice, G. Remenyi, P. Strobel, F. Gay, J. Marcus, M. Núñez-Regueiro, Ferromagnetism in layered metastable 1T-CrTe<sub>2</sub>, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 27 (2015) 176002.
- [21] M. Bian, A. N. Kamenskii, M. Han, W. Li, S. Wei, X. Tian, D. B. Eason, F. Sun, K. He, H. Hui, et al., Covalent 2D Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> ferromagnet, Mater. Res. Lett. 9 (2021) 205–212.
- [22] H. Ipser, K. L. Komarek, K. O. Klepp, Transition metal-chalcogen systems viii: The CrTe phase diagram, Journal of the Less Common Metals 92 (1983) 265–282.
- [23] M. A. McGuire, V. O. Garlea, S. KC, V. R. Cooper, J. Yan, H. Cao, B. C. Sales, Antiferromagnetism in the van der Waals layered spinlozenge semiconductor CrTe<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 95 (2017) 144421.
- [24] R. Mondal, R. Kulkarni, A. Thamizhavel, Anisotropic magnetic properties and critical behaviour studies of trigonal  $Cr_5Te_8$  single crystal, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 483 (2019) 27–33.
- [25] Z. Jiang, X. Liang, X. Luo, J. Gao, W. Wang, T. Wang, X. Yang, X. Wang, L. Zhang, Y. Sun, et al., Evolution of ground state in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> single crystal under applied magnetic field, Phys. Rev. B 106 (2022) 094407.
- [26] Y. Wen, Z. Liu, Y. Zhang, C. Xia, B. Zhai, X. Zhang, G. Zhai, C. Shen, P. He, R. Cheng, et al., Tunable room-temperature ferromagnetism in two-dimensional Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, Nano Lett. 20 (2020) 3130–3139.
- [27] F.-S. Luo, J.-S. Ying, T.-W. Chen, F. Tang, D.-W. Zhang, W.-Q. Dong, Y. Zhang, S.-S. Li, Y. Fang, R.-K. Zheng, Anomalous Hall effect and anisotropic magnetoresistance of molecular beam epitaxy grown Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> thin films, J. Cryst. Growth 582 (2022) 126541.
- [28] J. H. Jeon, H. R. Na, H. Kim, S. Lee, S. Song, J. Kim, S. Park, J. Kim, H. Noh, G. Kim, et al., Emergent topological Hall effect from exchange coupling in ferromagnetic Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>/Noncoplanar antiferromagnetic Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> bilayers, ACS nano 16 (2022) 8974–8982.
- [29] N. Abuawwad, M. dos Santos Dias, H. Abusara, S. Lounis, Noncollinear magnetism in two-dimensional CrTe<sub>2</sub>, Journal of Physics: Condensed Matter 34 (2022) 454001.

- [30] T. Hashimoto, K. Hoya, M. Yamaguchi, I. Ichitsubo, Magnetic properties of single crystals  $Cr_{2-\delta}Te_3$ , J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. 31 (1971) 679–682.
- [31] J. P. Perdew, K. Burke, M. Ernzerhof, Generalized Gradient Approximation Made Simple, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77 (1996) 3865–3868.
- [32] P. Giannozzi, S. Baroni, N. Bonini, M. Calandra, R. Car, C. Cavazzoni, D. Ceresoli, G. L. Chiarotti, M. Cococcioni, I. Dabo, et al., QUANTUM ESPRESSO: a modular and open-source software project for quantum simulations of materials, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 395502.
- [33] T. Hamasaki, T. Hashimoto, Y. Yamaguchi, H. Watanabe, Neutron diffraction study of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub> single crystal, Solid State Commun. 16 (1975) 895–897.
- [34] A. F. ANDRESEN, E. Zeppezauer, T. Boive, B. Nordström, C. Brändén, Magnetic structure of Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>, and Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>6</sub>, Acta Chem. Scand. 24 (1970) 3495–3509.
- [35] Y. Liu, C. Petrovic, Anisotropic magnetic entropy change in  $Cr_2X_2Te_6$  (X = Si and Ge), Phys. Rev. Materials 3 (2019) 014001.
- [36] S. Mondal, N. Khan, S. M. Mishra, B. Satpati, P. Mandal, Critical behavior in the van der Waals itinerant ferromagnet Fe<sub>4</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 104 (2021) 094405.
- [37] N. Richter, D. Weber, F. Martin, N. Singh, U. Schwingenschlögl, B. V. Lotsch, M. Kläui, Temperature-dependent magnetic anisotropy in the layered magnetic semiconductors CrI<sub>3</sub> and CrBr<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. Materials 2 (2018) 024004.
- [38] N. León-Brito, E. D. Bauer, F. Ronning, J. D. Thompson, R. Movshovich, Magnetic microstructure and magnetic properties of uniaxial itinerant ferromagnet Fe<sub>3</sub>GeTe<sub>2</sub>, J. Appl. Phys. 120 (2016) 083903.
- [39] Y. Takahashi, Spin fluctuation theory of itinerant electron magnetism, volume 9, Springer, 2013. URL: https://doi.org/10.1007/ 978-3-642-36666-6. doi:10.1007/978-3-642-36666-6.
- [40] M. Chattopadhyay, P. Arora, S. Roy, Magnetic properties of the fieldinduced ferromagnetic state in MnSi, J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 21 (2009) 296003.
- [41] M. Imai, C. Michioka, H. Ueda, K. Yoshimura, Static and dynamical magnetic properties of the itinerant ferromagnet LaCo<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 91 (2015) 184414.
- [42] H. Ohta, C. Michioka, A. Matsuo, K. Kindo, K. Yoshimura, Magnetic study of SmCoAsO showing a ferromagnetic-antiferromagnetic transition, Phys. Rev. B 82 (2010) 054421.
- [43] A. Arrott, Criterion for Ferromagnetism from Observations of Magnetic Isotherms, Phys. Rev. 108 (1957) 1394–1396.
- [44] H. E. Stanley, Phase transitions and critical phenomena, volume 7, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1971.
- [45] S. Kaul, Static critical phenomena in ferromagnets with quenched disorder, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 53 (1985) 5–53.
- [46] D. Kim, B. Revaz, B. L. Zink, F. Hellman, J. J. Rhyne, J. F. Mitchell, Tricritical Point and the Doping Dependence of the Order of the Ferromagnetic Phase Transition of La<sub>1-x</sub>Ca<sub>x</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89 (2002) 227202.
- [47] Y. Liu, C. Petrovic, Critical behavior of the quasi-two-dimensional weak itinerant ferromagnet trigonal chromium telluride Cr<sub>0.62</sub>Te, Phys. Rev. B 96 (2017) 134410.
- [48] A. Wang, A. Rahman, Z. Du, J. Zhao, F. Meng, W. Liu, J. Fan, C. Ma, M. Ge, L. Pi, et al., Field-dependent anisotropic room-temperature ferromagnetism in Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 108 (2023) 094429.
- [49] M. E. Fisher, The theory of equilibrium critical phenomena, Reports on progress in physics 30 (1967) 615.
- [50] J. C. Le Guillou, J. Zinn-Justin, Critical exponents from field theory, Phys. Rev. B 21 (1980) 3976–3998.
- [51] A. Arrott, J. E. Noakes, Approximate equation of state for nickel near its critical temperature, Phys. Rev. Lett. 19 (1967) 786–789.
- [52] A. K. Pramanik, A. Banerjee, Critical behavior at paramagnetic to ferromagnetic phase transition in Pr<sub>0.5</sub>Sr<sub>0.5</sub>MnO<sub>3</sub>: A bulk magnetization study, Phys. Rev. B 79 (2009) 214426.
- [53] J. S. Kouvel, M. E. Fisher, Detailed Magnetic Behavior of Nickel Near its Curie Point, Phys. Rev. 136 (1964) A1626–A1632.

- [54] B. Widom, Degree of the critical isotherm, J. Chem. Phys. 41 (1964) 1633–1634.
- [55] S. N. Kaul, Detailed magnetization study of an amorphous ferromagnet, Phys. Rev. B 24 (1981) 6550–6565.
- [56] N. Tateiwa, Y. Haga, T. D. Matsuda, E. Yamamoto, Z. Fisk, Unconventional critical scaling of magnetization in ferromagnetic uranium superconductors UGe<sub>2</sub> and URhGe, Phys. Rev. B 89 (2014) 064420.
- [57] X. Yang, J. Pan, S. Liu, M. Yang, L. Cao, D. Chu, K. Sun, Critical behavior and anisotropic magnetocaloric effect of the quasi-onedimensional hexagonal ferromagnet PrCrGe<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 103 (2021) 104405.
- [58] Y. Liu, Z. Hu, E. Stavitski, K. Attenkofer, C. Petrovic, Magnetic critical behavior and anomalous Hall effect in 2H – Co<sub>0.22</sub>TaS<sub>2</sub> single crystals, Phys. Rev. Res. 3 (2021) 023181.
- [59] X. Yang, J. Pan, W. Gai, Y. Tao, H. Jia, L. Cao, Y. Cao, Threedimensional critical behavior and anisotropic magnetic entropy change in quasi-two-dimensional LaCrSb<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 105 (2022) 024419.
- [60] S. F. Fischer, S. N. Kaul, H. Kronmüller, Critical magnetic properties of disordered polycrystalline Cr<sub>75</sub>Fe<sub>25</sub> and Cr<sub>70</sub>Fe<sub>30</sub> alloys, Phys. Rev. B 65 (2002) 064443.
- [61] M. E. Fisher, S.-k. Ma, B. G. Nickel, Critical Exponents for Long-Range Interactions, Phys. Rev. Lett. 29 (1972) 917–920.
- [62] X. Zhang, T. Yu, Q. Xue, M. Lei, R. Jiao, Critical behavior and magnetocaloric effect in monoclinic Cr<sub>5</sub>Te<sub>8</sub>, J. Alloys Compd. 750 (2018) 798–803.
- [63] S. Youn, S. Kwon, B. Min, Correlation effect and magnetic moments in Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, J. Appl. Phys. 101 (2007) 09G522.
- [64] J. B. Goodenough, Theory of the role of covalence in the perovskitetype manganites [La, M(II)]MnO – 3, Phys. Rev. 100 (1955) 564.
- [65] J. Kanamori, Superexchange interaction and symmetry properties of electron orbitals, J. Phys. Chem. Solids 10 (1959) 87–98.
- [66] J. Dijkstra, H. H. Weitering, C. F. van Bruggen, C. Haas, R. A. de Groot, Band-structure calculations, and magnetic and transport properties of ferromagnetic chromium tellurides (CrTe, Cr<sub>3</sub>Te<sub>4</sub>, Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>), J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 1 (1989) 9141– 9161.
- [67] A. Goswami, N. Ng, A. M. Abeykoon, E. Yakubu, S. Guchhait, High magnetic anisotropy and magnetocaloric effects in single crystal Cr<sub>2</sub>Te<sub>3</sub>, 2023. arXiv:2312.01000.
- [68] V. K. Pecharsky, K. A. Gschneidner Jr, Magnetocaloric effect and magnetic refrigeration, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 200 (1999) 44–56.
- [69] Y. Liu, J. Li, J. Tao, Y. Zhu, C. Petrovic, Anisotropic magnetocaloric effect in Fe<sub>3-x</sub>GeTe<sub>3</sub>, Sci. Rep. 9 (2019) 13233.
- [70] Y. Liu, C. Petrovic, Anisotropic magnetocaloric effect in single crystals of CrI<sub>3</sub>, Phys. Rev. B 97 (2018) 174418.
- [71] X. Yu, X. Zhang, Q. Shi, S. Tian, H. Lei, K. Xu, H. Hosono, Large magnetocaloric effect in van der Waals crystal CrBr<sub>3</sub>, Front. Physbeijing. 14 (2019) 43501.