

# All-Pairs Suffix-Prefix on Dynamic Set of Strings

Masaru Kikuchi<sup>1</sup> and Shunsuke Inenaga<sup>2</sup>[0000-0002-1833-010X]

- <sup>1</sup> Department of Information Science and Technology, Kyushu University  
kikuchi.masaru.484@is.kyushu-u.ac.jp  
<sup>2</sup> Department of Informatics, Kyushu University  
inenaga.shunsuke.380@m.kyushu-u.ac.jp

**Abstract.** The *all-pairs suffix-prefix (APSP)* problem is a classical problem in string processing which has important applications in bioinformatics. Given a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings, the APSP problem asks one to compute the longest suffix of  $S_i$  that is a prefix of  $S_j$  for all  $k^2$  ordered pairs  $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$  of strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ . In this paper, we consider the *dynamic* version of the APSP problem that allows for insertions of new strings to the set of strings. Our objective is, each time a new string  $S_i$  arrives to the current set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of  $i-1$  strings, to compute (1) the longest suffix of  $S_i$  that is a prefix of  $S_j$  and (2) the longest prefix of  $S_i$  that is a suffix of  $S_j$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq i$ . We propose an  $O(n)$ -space data structure which computes (1) and (2) in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time for each new given string  $S_i$ , where  $n$  is the total length of the strings.

**Keywords:** all-pairs suffix-prefix problem · Aho-Corasick automata · directed acyclic word graphs (DAWGs)

## 1 Introduction

The *all-pairs suffix-prefix (APSP)* problem is a classical problem in string processing which has important applications in bioinformatics, since it is the first step of genome assembly [9]. Given a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings, the APSP problem asks one to compute the longest suffix of  $S_i$  that is a prefix of  $S_j$  for all  $k^2$  ordered pairs  $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$  of strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

A straightforward solution, mentioned in [10], is to use a variant of the KMP pattern matching algorithm [13] for each pair of two strings  $S_i, S_j$  in  $O(|S_i| + |S_j|)$  time. This however leads to an inefficient  $O(kn)$ -time complexity, where  $n = \|\mathcal{S}\|$  is the total length of the strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Gusfield et al. [10] proposed the first efficient solution to the APSP problem that takes  $O(n)$  space, which is based on the *generalized suffix tree* [5,10] for the set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings. After building the generalized suffix tree in  $O(n \log \sigma)$  time in the case of a general ordered alphabet of size  $\sigma$  [22] or in  $O(n)$  time in the case of an integer alphabets of size  $\sigma = n^{O(1)}$  [8], Gusfield et al.'s algorithm [10] works in  $O(n + k^2)$  optimal time. Ohlebusch and Gog [18] proposed an alternative algorithm for solving the APSP problem with the same complexities as above, using (enhanced) suffix arrays [17,1]. Tustumi et al. [21] gave an improved

suffix-array based algorithm that is fast and memory efficient in practice. All these approaches share the common concepts of building the generalized suffix tree/array for  $\mathcal{S}$ .

Another data structure that can be used to solve the APSP problem is the *Aho-Corasick automata (AC-automata)* [2]. This is intuitive since the AC-automaton is a generalization of the KMP-automaton for multiple strings. The use of the AC-automaton for solving APSP was suggested by Lim and Park [14], but they did not give any details of an algorithm nor the complexity. Recently, Loukides and Pissis [15] proposed an AC-automaton based algorithm for the APSP problem. After building the AC-automaton in  $O(n \log \sigma)$  time in the case of a general ordered alphabet of size  $\sigma$  [2] or in  $O(n)$  time in the case of an integer alphabets of size  $\sigma = n^{O(1)}$  [7], the algorithm of Loukides and Pissis [15] runs in optimal  $O(n + k^2)$  time. Their algorithm can also solve a length-threshold version of the problem in optimal time. Recently, Loukides et al. [16] considered a query-version of the APSP problem. They presented a data structure of  $O(n)$ -space that can report the longest suffix-prefix match between one string  $S_i$  and all the other strings in  $O(k)$  time. Their data structure is based on the AC-automaton and the micro-macro decomposition of trees [3].

In this paper, we consider the *dynamic* version of the APSP problem that allows for insertions of new strings to the set of strings. Our objective is, each time a new string  $S_i$  arrives to the current set  $\mathcal{S}_i = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of strings, to compute the following longest suffix-prefix matches between a new string  $S_i$  and all the other strings  $S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}$ :

- the longest suffix of  $S_i$  that is a prefix of  $S_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ , and
- the longest prefix of  $S_i$  that is a suffix of  $S_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq i$ .

We propose an  $O(n)$ -space data structure based on the *directed acyclic word graph (DAWG)* for a growing set of strings, which is able to compute the suffix-prefix matches for a given new string  $S_i$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time. After iterating this for all  $k$  strings  $S_1, \dots, S_k$  arriving to  $\mathcal{S}$ , the total running time becomes  $O(n \log \sigma + k^2)$ , which is as fast as the state-of-the-art algorithms [10,15] for a static set of strings in the case of general ordered alphabets of size  $\sigma$ . We note that the suffix-tree based algorithm of Gusfield et al. [10] performs a DFS, and thus, does not seem to extend directly to the dynamic case.

Before describing our APSP algorithm for the dynamic case (Section 5), we present a new AC-automata based APSP algorithm for a static set of strings (Section 4). While the algorithm of Loukides and Pissis [15] is based on a reduction of the APSP problem to the ULIT (union of labeled intervals on a tree) problem, our new APSP algorithm does not use this reduction. Instead, we use simple failure link traversal of the AC-automaton and marking operations on a compact prefix trie that is a compact version of the AC-trie. The simple nature of our algorithm makes it possible to work also on the DAWG structure in the dynamic case.

The *hierarchical overlap graphs (HOGs)* and their variants have a close relationship to the APSP problem. There are AC-automata oriented approaches for

computing these graphs [6,12,19]. We remark that all of their approaches are for the static case, and do not seem to immediately extend to the dynamic setting.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Strings

Let  $\Sigma$  denote an ordered *alphabet* of size  $\sigma$ . An element of  $\Sigma^*$  is called a *string*. The length of a string  $S \in \Sigma^*$  is denoted by  $|S|$ . The *empty string*  $\varepsilon$  is the string of length 0. Let  $\Sigma^+ = \Sigma^* \setminus \{\varepsilon\}$ . For string  $S = xyz$ ,  $x$ ,  $y$ , and  $z$  are called the *prefix*, *substring*, and *suffix* of  $S$ , respectively. Let  $\text{Prefix}(S)$ ,  $\text{Substr}(S)$ , and  $\text{Suffix}(S)$  denote the sets of prefixes, substrings, and suffixes of  $S$ , respectively. The elements of  $\text{Prefix}(S) \setminus \{S\}$ ,  $\text{Substr}(S) \setminus \{S\}$ , and  $\text{Suffix}(S) \setminus \{S\}$  are called the *proper prefixes*, *proper substrings*, and *proper suffixes* of  $S$ , respectively. For a string  $S$  of length  $n$ ,  $S[i]$  denotes the  $i$ -th symbol of  $S$  and  $S[i..j] = S[i] \cdots S[j]$  denotes the substring of  $S$  that begins at position  $i$  and ends at position  $j$  for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ . For convenience, let  $S[i..j] = \varepsilon$  for  $i > j$ . The *reversed string* of a string  $S$  is denoted by  $S^R$ , that is,  $S^R = S[|S|] \cdots S[1]$ .

For a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of strings, let  $\text{Substr}(\mathcal{S}) = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Substr}(S_i)$ .

### 2.2 All-Pairs Suffix-Prefix Overlap (APSP) Problems

In this section, we introduce the problems that we tackle in this paper.

Let  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  be a set of non-empty strings, where  $S_i \in \Sigma^+$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . For each ordered pair  $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$  of two strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ , the longest string in the set  $\text{Suffix}(S_i) \cap \text{Prefix}(S_j)$  is called the *longest suffix-prefix overlap* of  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ , and is denoted by  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$ . We encode each  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$  by a tuple  $(i, j, |\text{lspo}(i, j)|)$  using  $O(1)$  space.

#### APSP Problems on Static Sets.

*Problem 1 (static-APSP).* The *static all-pairs suffix-prefix overlaps (static-APSP)* problem is, given a static set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  non-empty strings, to compute

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} = \{\text{lspo}(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq k\}.$$

Note that the output size of Problem 1 is  $|\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}| = \Theta(k^2)$  as we compute  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$  for all ordered pairs  $\langle S_i, S_j \rangle$  of strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

*Problem 2 (length-bounded static-APSP).* The *length-bounded static-APSP* problem is, given a static set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  non-empty strings and an integer threshold  $\ell \geq 0$ , compute

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\geq \ell} = \{\text{lspo}(i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq k, |\text{lspo}(i, j)| \geq \ell\}.$$

**APSP Problems on Dynamic Sets with Insertions.** Let  $\mathcal{S}_0 = \emptyset$  and for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , let  $\mathcal{S}_i = \{S_1, \dots, S_i\}$  denote the subset of  $\mathcal{S}$  consisting of the first  $i$  strings. We consider a *dynamic* variant of APSP allowing for insertions of strings, named *dynamic-APSP*, that is defined as follows:

*Problem 3 (dynamic-APSP).* The *dynamic-APSP* problem is, each time a new string  $S_i$  is added to the current set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$ , to compute the two following sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_i &= \{\text{lspo}(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_i &= \{\text{lspo}(j, i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i\}.\end{aligned}$$

We remark that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}} = \bigcup_{i=1}^k (\mathcal{F}_i \cup \mathcal{B}_i)$  holds for  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$ . Thus, a solution to Problem 3 is also a solution to Problem 1.

*Problem 4 (length-bounded dynamic-APSP).* Let  $\ell > 0$  be a length threshold. The *dynamic-APSP* problem is, each time a new string  $S_i$  is added to the current set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$ , to compute the two following sets

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_i^{\geq \ell} &= \{\text{lspo}(i, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i, |\text{lspo}(i, j)| \geq \ell\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_i^{\geq \ell} &= \{\text{lspo}(j, i) \mid 1 \leq j \leq i, |\text{lspo}(i, j)| \geq \ell\}.\end{aligned}$$

Note that a solution to Problem 4 is also a solution to Problem 2 as well.

### 3 Tools

In this section we list the data structures that are used as building blocks of our static-APSP and dynamic-APSP algorithms.

#### 3.1 Tries and Compact Tries


A *trie*  $T$  is a rooted tree  $(V, E)$  such that

- each edge in  $E$  is labeled by a single character from  $\Sigma$  and
- the character labels of the out-going edges of each node begin with distinct characters.

For a pair of nodes  $u, v$  in a trie where  $u$  is an ancestor of  $v$ , let  $\langle u, v \rangle$  denote the path from  $u$  to  $v$ . The *path label* of  $\langle u, v \rangle$  is the concatenation of the edge labels from  $u$  to  $v$ . The *string label* of a node  $v$  in a trie, denoted  $\text{str}(v)$ , is the path label from the root to  $v$ . The *string depth* of a node  $v$  in a trie is the length of the string label of  $v$ , that is  $|\text{str}(v)|$ .

For a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings, let  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$  denote the trie that represents the strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ . We assume that each of the  $k$  nodes in  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$  representing  $S_i$  stores a unique integer  $i$  called the *id*.

We build and maintain a compacted trie  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  that is obtained from  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$  by keeping



**Fig. 1.** Illustrations of  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$  (left) and  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  (right) for the set  $\mathcal{S} = \{\text{abaa, abac, abb, abcb, bab, babaa, bb, bbaa, bbba}\}$  of strings. The bold solid arcs represent trie edges and the dashed arcs represent failure links. The nodes representing the strings in  $\mathcal{S}$  are depicted by double-lined circles with the string id's.

- all the leaves and the (possibly non-branching) internal nodes that store id's  $1, \dots, k$  for the  $k$  strings  $S_1, \dots, S_k$ , and
- the root and all the branching nodes.

All other other nodes, each having a single child, are removed and their corresponding edges are contracted into paths. For each node  $v$  in  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , let  $\text{des}(v)$  denote the shallowest descendant of  $v$  that exists in  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$ . Note that the number of nodes in  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  is  $O(k)$ .

### 3.2 Aho-Corasick Automata

For each non-root node  $v$  of  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , define its *failure link* by  $\text{flink}(v) = u$  iff  $\text{str}(u)$  is the longest proper suffix of  $\text{str}(v)$  that can be spelled out from the root of  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ . In other words,  $\text{str}(u)$  is the longest prefix of some string(s) represented by  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$  that is also a proper suffix of  $\text{str}(v)$ . The failure links also form a (reversed) tree, and let us denote it by  $\text{FLTree}(\mathcal{S})$ .

The *Aho-Corasick automaton* [2] for a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings, denoted  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$ , is a finite automaton with two kinds of transitions<sup>3</sup>: the goto function that is represented by the edges of the trie  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , and the failure function that is represented by the edges of the reversed trie  $\text{FLTree}(\mathcal{S})$ . It is clear that the total number of nodes and edges of  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$  is  $O(n)$ , where  $n = \|\mathcal{S}\|$ . See Fig. 1 for concrete examples of the AC-automaton and its trie, compact trie, and failure links.

**Theorem 1** ([7,2]). *For a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings of total length  $n$ ,  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$  can be built*

- in  $O(n)$  time and space for an integer alphabet of size  $\sigma = n^{O(1)}$ ;
- in  $O(n \log \sigma)$  time and  $O(n)$  space for a general ordered alphabet of size  $\sigma$ .

<sup>3</sup> We do not use the output function in our algorithms.

### 3.3 Directed acyclic word graphs (DAWGs)


For a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings, let  $\text{End\_Pos}_{\mathcal{S}}(w)$  denote the set of pairs of string ids and ending positions of all occurrences of a substring  $w \in \text{Substr}(\mathcal{S})$ , that is,

$$\text{End\_Pos}_{\mathcal{S}}(w) = \{(i, j) \mid S_i[j - |w| + 1..j] = w, 1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq |S_i|\}.$$


We consider an equivalence relation  $\equiv_{\mathcal{S}}$  of strings over  $\Sigma$  w.r.t.  $\mathcal{S}$  such that, for any two strings  $w$  and  $u$ ,  $w \equiv_{\mathcal{S}} u$  iff  $\text{End\_Pos}_{\mathcal{S}}(w) = \text{End\_Pos}_{\mathcal{S}}(u)$ . For any string  $x \in \Sigma^*$ , let  $[x]_{\mathcal{S}}$  denote the equivalence class for  $x$  w.r.t.  $\equiv_{\mathcal{S}}$ .

The DAWG of a set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings, denoted  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$ , is an edge-labeled DAG  $(V, E)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} V &= \{[x]_{\mathcal{S}} \mid x \in \text{Substr}(\mathcal{S})\}, \\ E &= \{([x]_{\mathcal{S}}, b, [xb]_{\mathcal{S}}) \mid x, xb \in \text{Substr}(\mathcal{S}), b \in \Sigma\}. \end{aligned}$$



**Fig. 2.** Illustration of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  for the same set  $\mathcal{S} = \{\text{abaa}, \text{abac}, \text{abb}, \text{abcb}, \text{bab}, \text{babaa}, \text{bb}, \text{bbaa}, \text{bbba}\}$  of strings as in Fig. 1. The induced tree consisting only of the double-lined arcs is  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ .



**Fig. 3.** Illustration of the suffix links of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  for the same set  $\mathcal{S}$  of strings as in Fig 2.

We also define the set  $L$  of *suffix links* of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  by

$$L = \{([ax]_{\mathcal{S}}, a, [x]_{\mathcal{S}}) \mid x, ax \in \text{Substr}(\mathcal{S}), a \in \Sigma, [ax]_{\mathcal{S}} \neq [x]_{\mathcal{S}}\}.$$

Namely, two substrings  $x$  and  $y$  in  $\text{Substr}(\mathcal{S})$  are represented by the same node of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  iff the ending positions of  $x$  and  $y$  in the strings of  $\mathcal{S}$  are equal.

For a node  $v \in V$  of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$ , let  $\text{long}(v)$  denote the longest string represented by  $v$  (i.e.,  $|\text{long}(v)|$  is the length of the longest path from the source to  $v$ ). Also, let  $\text{slink}(v) = u$  denote the suffix link from node  $u$  to node  $v$ .

An edge  $(u, a, v) \in E$  of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  is called an *primary edge* if  $|\text{long}(u)| + 1 = |\text{long}(v)|$ , and it is called a *secondary edge* otherwise ( $|\text{long}(u)| + 1 < |\text{long}(v)|$ ). The primary edges form a spanning tree of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  which consists of the longest paths from the source to all the nodes, and let us denote this spanning tree by  $\text{LPtree}(\mathcal{S})$ . By the definition of the equivalence class  $[\cdot]_{\mathcal{S}}$ , for each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$  it holds that  $S_i = \text{long}([S_i]_{\mathcal{S}})$ . Thus we have the following:

**Observation 1** *For each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , the path that spells out  $S_i$  from the source of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  is a path of  $\text{LPtree}(\mathcal{S})$ .*

$\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S})$  is a partial DFA of size  $O(n)$  that accepts  $\text{Substr}(\mathcal{S})$  [4,5]. The following lemma permits us to efficiently update the DAWG each time a new string is inserted to the current set of strings:

**Theorem 2 ([5]).** *Suppose that  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  has been built for a set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of  $i-1$  strings. Given a new string  $S_i$  to insert, one can update  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  to  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma)$  time.*


## 4 Algorithm for Static-APSP

In this section, we present a new, very simple algorithm for the static-APSP problem for a static set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings of total length  $\|\mathcal{S}\| = n$ .

Our main data structure is the AC-automaton  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$ . For each string  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$  (in an arbitrary order), set node  $v$  to be the node that represents  $S_i$ , i.e. initially  $\text{str}(v) = S_i$ . All the nodes of  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  are initially unmarked. Our algorithm is shown in Algorithm 1. See also Fig. 4 for illustration.

Algorithm 1: Compute  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$

- (1) Set  $v$  to be the node that represents  $S_i$  (i.e.  $\text{str}(v) = S_i$ ).
- (2) – Set  $u \leftarrow \text{des}(v)$ .
  - Traverse the *induced* subtree  $T_u$  of  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  that is rooted at  $u$ , and consists only of unmarked nodes (and thus we do not traverse the subtrees under the marked nodes in  $T_u$ ).
  - Report  $\text{lspo}(i, j) = |\text{str}(v)|$  for all id's  $j$  found in the induced subtree  $T_u$ .
  - Mark node  $u$  on  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$ .
- (3) If  $v$  is the root, finish the procedure for the given string  $S_i$ . Unmark all marked nodes.
- (4) If  $v$  is not the root, take the failure link from  $v$  on  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , and set  $v \leftarrow \text{flink}(v)$ . Continue Step (2).



**Fig. 4.** Illustration for Algorithm 1 that solves the static-APSP problem, in which we have climbed up the failure links from the node with id  $i$  to node  $v$ . We access  $u = \text{des}(v)$  in  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$ , and traverse only the white subtree below  $u$ .

**Theorem 3.** For a static set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings of total length  $n$ , our algorithm solves the static-APSP problem with  $O(n)$  working space and

- in  $O(n + k^2)$  time for an integer alphabet of size  $\sigma = n^{O(1)}$ ;
- in  $O(n \log \sigma + k^2)$  time for a general alphabet of size  $\sigma$ .

*Proof.* The correctness of our algorithm follows from the definitions of the  $\text{lspo}(\cdot, \cdot)$  function and the failure links of  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$ , and from our failure link traversal starting from the node  $v$  representing  $S_i$ , in decreasing order of the node depths towards the root  $r$ .

For each string  $S_i$ , Step (1) clearly takes  $O(1)$  time. The total number  $l$  of nodes  $v, \text{flink}(v), \text{flink}^2(v), \dots, \text{flink}^{l-1}(v) = r$  visited in the chain of failure links starting from node  $v$  with  $\text{str}(v) = S_i$  is at most  $|S_i| + 1$ . The size of  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  is  $O(k)$ . As we process the nodes  $v, \text{flink}(v), \text{flink}^2(v), \dots, \text{flink}^{l-1}(v) = r$  in decreasing order of their depths, any marked node is visited at most once. This is



because, if a marked node  $w$  is visited twice, then there must be two distinct ancestors  $p, q$  of  $w$  in  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$ , such that  $p$  is an ancestor of  $q$ . This however is a contradiction, since  $q$  was marked before  $p$ , and our algorithm does not visit the subtree under  $q$  when processing the induced tree  $\mathbb{T}_p$ . Therefore, each node is marked at most once, and each marked node is visited at most once. Thus, the traversals on  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  with our marking operations takes time linear in its size  $O(k)$ . Overall, for each  $S_i \in \mathcal{S}$ , we can compute  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$  in optimal  $O(|S_i| + i)$  time, which leads to an overall  $O(n + k^2)$  time for all the  $k$  strings in  $\mathcal{S}$ .

We build  $\text{AC}(\mathcal{S})$  by Theorem 1. We can compute  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\text{des}(u) = v$  for all nodes  $v$  in  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$  in time linear in the size of  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , which is  $O(n)$ .  $\square$

For the length-bounded APSP problem, we have the following:

**Corollary 1.** *For a static set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of  $k$  strings of total length  $n$ , our algorithm solves the length- $\ell$  static-APSP problem with  $O(n)$  working space and*

- in  $O(n + |\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\geq \ell}|)$  time for an integer alphabet of size  $\sigma = n^{O(1)}$ ;
- in  $O(n \log \sigma + |\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{S}}^{\geq \ell}|)$  time for a general alphabet of size  $\sigma$ .

*Our data structure can be reused for different values of the length-threshold  $\ell$ .*

*Proof.* It suffices for us to change Step (3) and Step (4) in Algorithm 1 as follows:

- (3) If  $|\text{str}(v)| \leq \ell$ , finish the procedure for the given string  $S_i$ . Unmark all marked nodes.
- (4) If  $|\text{str}(v)| > \ell$ , take the failure link from  $v$  on  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S})$ , and set  $v \leftarrow \text{flink}(v)$ . Continue Step (2).

We can reuse our data structure for different values of  $\ell$ , as we only need to change the underlined conditions in the above.  $\square$

## 5 Algorithm for Dynamic-APSP

In this section, we present our algorithm for solving the dynamic-APSP problem: Given a new string  $S_i$  that is inserted to the current set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of  $i - 1$  strings, our goal is to compute the outputs  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  of Problem 3.

In what follows, we will show the following:

**Theorem 4.** *There exists a data structure of  $O(n_i)$  space, which solves the dynamic-APSP problem of computing  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i$  for a given new string  $S_i$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time, where  $n_i = \|\mathcal{S}_i\| = \sum_{j=1}^i |S_j|$ .*

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\ell > 0$  be a length threshold. There exists a data structure of  $O(n_i)$  space, which solves the length-bounded dynamic-APSP problem of computing  $\mathcal{F}_i^{\geq \ell}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_i^{\geq \ell}$  for a given new string  $S_i$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time, where  $n_i = \|\mathcal{S}_i\| = \sum_{j=1}^i |S_j|$ .*

We will focus on proving Theorem 4. Corollary 2 can be obtained from Theorem 4, in a similar manner to the static case in Section 4.

## 5.1 Computing $\mathcal{F}_i$

We use the DAWG data structure for the dynamic case. Consider a set  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of  $i-1$  strings. By Observation 1,  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  is an induced tree of  $\text{LPTree}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  that consists only of the paths spelling out  $S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}$  from the source of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$ . Also, if  $\text{flink}(u) = v$  for a node  $u$  of  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$ , then there is a chain of suffix links  $u, \text{slink}(u), \dots, v$  of length  $k \geq 1$  from the node  $u$  to the node  $v$  in  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  (i.e.  $\text{slink}^k(u) = v$ ). It is known that the suffix links of  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  form an edge-reversed tree that is equivalent to the suffix tree [22] of the *reversed* strings [5,20]. We denote this suffix link tree by  $\text{SLTree}(\mathcal{S})$ , and will use  $\text{SLTree}(\mathcal{S})$  in the dynamic case, instead of the tree  $\text{FLTree}(\mathcal{S})$  of failure links in the static case.

Now, we design our algorithm for the dynamic case with the DAWG structure, using the idea from Section 4 for the static case with the AC-automaton (see also Algorithm 1). Suppose that we have built and maintained the aforementioned data structures for the dynamic set  $\mathcal{S}_{i-1} = \{S_1, \dots, S_{i-1}\}$  of  $i-1$  strings. Given a new string  $S_i$  to insert, we update  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  to  $\text{DAWG}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma)$  time with Theorem 2. We have now updated  $\text{SLTree}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  to  $\text{SLTree}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  as well.

To perform equivalent procedures as in Algorithm 1, we climb up the suffix link path from the node  $v$  with  $\text{str}(v) = S_i$  toward the root on  $\text{SLTree}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . Namely, we use  $\text{slink}$  instead of  $\text{flink}$ . Each time we visit a node  $v$  that is contained in  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ , we access  $u = \text{des}(v)$  on  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  and perform the same procedures as in Algorithm 1. This gives us  $\text{lspo}(i, j)$  for all  $1 \leq j \leq i$  in a total of  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time, since  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  is of size  $O(i)$ . We can determine whether a node  $v$  is in  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  or not in  $O(1)$  time after a simple  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma)$ -time preprocessing per new string  $S_i$ : After inserting  $S_i$  to the DAWG, we simply trace the path that spells out  $S_i$  from the source on the DAWG.

What remains is how to update  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  to  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ , and update the  $\text{des}$  function. We do this by naively inserting the new string  $S_i$  to  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$ , traversing the tree with  $S_i$  from the root in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma)$  time. The  $\text{des}$  function needs to be updated when an edge  $(v, u)$  on  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_{i-1})$  is split into two edges  $(v, w)$  and  $(w, u)$ , where  $w$  is the parent of the new leaf representing  $S_i$  on  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . Let  $v = v_1, \dots, v_x = w$  be the nodes in the uncompactified trie  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$  that are implicit on the edge  $(v, w)$  in the compacted trie  $\text{ComTrie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ . We update  $\text{des}(v_1) \leftarrow w, \dots, \text{des}(v_x) \leftarrow w$ . We set  $\text{des}(y) \leftarrow s$  for all new nodes  $y$  of  $\text{Trie}(\mathcal{S}_i)$ , where  $s$  is the new leaf representing  $S_i$ . These updates can be done in  $O(|S_i|)$  time.

To summarize this subsection, we have proven the following:

**Lemma 1.** *There is a data structure of  $O(n_i)$  space, which computes  $\mathcal{F}_i$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time per new string  $S_i$  inserted.*

*Remark 1.* Diptarama et al. [11] showed how to simulate the failure link tree of the AC-automaton with the suffix link tree of the DAWG that is augmented with a nearest marked ancestor (NMA) data structure [23]. It should be noted that NMA data structures are not required in our algorithm.

## 5.2 Computing $\mathcal{B}_i$

We can easily reduce the problem of computing  $\mathcal{B}_i$  to computing  $\mathcal{F}_i$  for the set  $\mathcal{S}_i^R = \{S_1^R, \dots, S_i^R\}$  of *reversed strings*, where the roles of suffixes and prefixes are swapped. This immediately gives us the following:

**Corollary 3.** *There is a data structure of  $O(n_i)$  space, which computes  $\mathcal{B}_i$  in  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time per new string  $S_i$  inserted.*

## 6 Conclusions and Future Work

In this paper, we presented an efficient algorithm for the APSP problem for a dynamic set of strings  $\mathcal{S} = \{S_1, \dots, S_k\}$  of total length  $n$ . Our algorithm occupies  $O(n)$  space and takes  $O(|S_i| \log \sigma + i)$  time for each string  $S_i$  inserted, for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . The running time is near-optimal except for the  $\log \sigma$  factor, that is indeed required if one maintains  $\mathcal{S}$  in a tree or DAG based data structure for a general ordered alphabet of size  $\sigma$ .

An intriguing question is whether our method can be modified to handle deletions of strings from  $\mathcal{S}$ . Diptarama et al. [11] showed how to update the failure links of the AC-automaton in  $O(\sigma|S| + u_f)$  time when a string  $S$  is deleted from  $\mathcal{S}$ , where  $u_f$  is the number of failure links that need to be updated. It is interesting to see whether our DAWG-based method can be modified for the *fully* dynamic set of strings allowing for both insertions and deletions.

It is also interesting to see whether our dynamic algorithm can be extended to efficient construction/update of the HOG [6,12,19] for a dynamic set of strings.

**Acknowledgments.** This work was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers and JP20H05964, JP23K24808, JP23K18466 (SI).

## References

1. Abouelhoda, M.I., Kurtz, S., Ohlebusch, E.: Replacing suffix trees with enhanced suffix arrays. *J. Discrete Algorithms* **2**(1), 53–86 (2004)
2. Aho, A., J. Corasick, M.: Efficient string matching: An aid to bibliographic search. *Commun. ACM* **18**, 333–340 (1975)
3. Alstrup, S., Holm, J., de Lichtenberg, K., Thorup, M.: Minimizing diameters of dynamic trees. In: *ICALP 1997. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, vol. 1256, pp. 270–280. Springer (1997)
4. Blumer, A., Blumer, J., Haussler, D., Ehrenfeucht, A., Chen, M.T., Seiferas, J.I.: The smallest automaton recognizing the subwords of a text. *Theoretical Computer Science* **40**, 31–55 (1985)
5. Blumer, A., Blumer, J., Haussler, D., McConnell, R., Ehrenfeucht, A.: Complete inverted files for efficient text retrieval and analysis. *Journal of the ACM* **34**(3), 578–595 (1987). <https://doi.org/10.1145/28869.28873>
6. Cánovas, R., Cazaux, B., Rivals, E.: The compressed overlap index. *CoRR abs/1707.05613* (2017)
7. Dori, S., Landau, G.M.: Construction of Aho Corasick automaton in linear time for integer alphabets. *Inf. Process. Lett.* **98**(2), 66–72 (2006)
8. Farach-Colton, M., Ferragina, P., Muthukrishnan, S.: On the sorting-complexity of suffix tree construction. *J. ACM* **47**(6), 987–1011 (2000)
9. Gusfield, D.: *Algorithms on Strings, Trees, and Sequences - Computer Science and Computational Biology*. Cambridge University Press (1997)
10. Gusfield, D., Landau, G.M., Schieber, B.: An efficient algorithm for the all pairs suffix-prefix problem. *Inf. Process. Lett.* **41**(4), 181–185 (1992)
11. Hendrian, D., Inenaga, S., Yoshinaka, R., Shinohara, A.: Efficient dynamic dictionary matching with DAWGs and AC-automata. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **792**, 161–172 (2019)
12. Khan, S.: Optimal construction of hierarchical overlap graphs. In: *CPM 2021. LIPIcs*, vol. 191, pp. 17:1–17:11 (2021)
13. Knuth, D.E., Jr., J.H.M., Pratt, V.R.: Fast pattern matching in strings. *SIAM J. Comput.* **6**(2), 323–350 (1977)
14. Lim, J., Park, K.: A fast algorithm for the all-pairs suffix-prefix problem. *Theor. Comput. Sci.* **698**, 14–24 (2017)
15. Loukides, G., Pissis, S.P.: All-pairs suffix/prefix in optimal time using Aho-Corasick space. *Inf. Process. Lett.* **178**, 106275 (2022)
16. Loukides, G., Pissis, S.P., Thankachan, S.V., Zuba, W.: Suffix-prefix queries on a dictionary. In: *CPM 2023. LIPIcs*, vol. 259, pp. 21:1–21:20 (2023)
17. Manber, U., Myers, E.W.: Suffix arrays: A new method for on-line string searches. *SIAM J. Comput.* **22**(5), 935–948 (1993)
18. Ohlebusch, E., Gog, S.: Efficient algorithms for the all-pairs suffix-prefix problem and the all-pairs substring-prefix problem. *Inf. Process. Lett.* **110**(3), 123–128 (2010)
19. Park, S., Park, S.G., Cazaux, B., Park, K., Rivals, E.: A linear time algorithm for constructing hierarchical overlap graphs. In: *CPM 2021. LIPIcs*, vol. 191, pp. 22:1–22:9 (2021)
20. Takagi, T., Inenaga, S., Arimura, H., Breslauer, D., Hendrian, D.: Fully-online suffix tree and directed acyclic word graph construction for multiple texts. *Algorithmica* **82**(5), 1346–1377 (2020)

21. Tustumi, W.H.A., Gog, S., Telles, G.P., Louza, F.A.: An improved algorithm for the all-pairs suffix-prefix problem. *J. Discrete Algorithms* **37**, 34–43 (2016)
22. Weiner, P.: Linear pattern matching algorithms. In: 14th Annual Symposium on Switching and Automata Theory. pp. 1–11 (1973)
23. Westbrook, J.R.: Fast incremental planarity testing. In: ICALP 1992. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, vol. 623, pp. 342–353 (1992)