

Stochastic heat equations driven by space-time G -white noise under sublinear expectation

Xiaojun Ji^{a, b} and Shige Peng^{*c, d}

^a*Research Center for Mathematics and Interdisciplinary Sciences, Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, P. R. China.*

^b*Frontiers Science Center for Nonlinear Expectations (Ministry of Education), Shandong University, Qingdao, Shandong 266237, P. R. China.*

^c*School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P. R. China.*

^d*Zhongtai Securities Institute for Financial Studies, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandong 250100, P. R. China.*
Email: *jixj@sdu.edu.cn, peng@sdu.edu.cn*

Abstract

In this paper, we study the stochastic heat equation driven by a multiplicative space-time G -white noise within the framework of sublinear expectations. The existence and uniqueness of the mild solution are proved. By generalizing the stochastic Fubini theorem under sublinear expectations, we demonstrate that the mild solution also qualifies as a weak solution. Additionally, we derive moment estimates for the solutions.

Key words. Sublinear expectation, Space-time G -white noise, Stochastic heat equations, Stochastic Fubini theorem

MSC(2020). 60G65, 60H15, 60H40

1 Introduction

For nearly four decades, the study of stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs) has been an active area in mathematics with wide-ranging applications in the fields of theoretical physics, fluid mechanics, mathematical finance and control theory. In most cases, an SPDE is a partial differential equation perturbed by adding a random external forcing. One important example is the stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + f(u) + \sigma(u)\xi, \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (1.1)$$

where ξ represents the random external force and f and σ are smooth functions. This classical equation can describe a variety of phenomena, such as the evolution of the density of a space-time population [7], the

*Corresponding author

propagation of electric potentials in neurons [30], the heat density in a random medium [17], and the random motion of a polymer chain in a liquid [11]. In these models, the random external force ξ is always assumed to be a space-time white noise, which is a Gaussian process that is independent at different time and space position. This assumption is an idealized approximation to very short-range-dependent physical noises [17].

Due to its significant applications, the stochastic heat equation has been extensively studied in the literature, either by generalizing Itô's theory [4, 7, 23, 30], or using pathwise approaches [2, 11]. In the 1970's, Pardoux [22, 23] proved the well-posedness of the solution by extending the variational approach for deterministic partial differential equations. This variational approach has since become a general method for studying SPDEs [19, 20]. Concurrently, Dawson [7] demonstrated the existence and continuity of the solution to stochastic heat equations with Lipschitz continuous coefficients by studying the properties of semigroup operators.

Since then, numerous probabilists have advanced the SPDE theory, leading to the development of various approaches. In the pioneering monograph [30], Walsh established a martingale measure theory and obtained the well-posedness and regularity of the solution to the stochastic heat equation (1.1) with Lipschitz coefficients. In Walsh's theory, the space-time white noise ξ is defined as a martingale measure, and the solution is a multi-parameter random field. Dalang [5] later extended Walsh's results to nonlinear equations in high spatial dimensions and proved the necessary and sufficient conditions for stochastic heat equations to have random field solutions. Building on this, stochastic heat equations have been extensively investigated, uncovering many precise properties of the solution, including the regularity [17, 28], Feynman-Kac formulas [1, 15], asymptotic properties [3, 15] and intermittency [1, 17]. These results have been applied to a wide range of fields, such as in stochastic control theory, including filter theory [21].

Another important approach to study the stochastic heat equation is the semigroup approach, systematically developed by Da Prato and Zabczyk [4]. In the semigroup approach, the equation is considered as an infinite-dimensional stochastic differential equation, with the noise in the form of cylindrical Wiener processes, and the solution is a function-valued process indexed by time t . Additionally, Pardoux and Peng [24] provided a probabilistic representation for parabolic SPDEs by introducing backward doubly stochastic differential equations. Recently, pathwise approaches have proven to be effective tools for studying singular SPDEs [2, 11]. More references can be found in [11, 15, 17, 20], as well as in the references cited therein.

Although various approaches have been developed to solve different problems, all of these methods are generally applicable to the systems of our concern (e.g., Eq. (1.1)). The choice of a suitable approach is primarily a matter of mathematical convenience. In this paper, we mainly extend Walsh's martingale measure theory to investigate the stochastic heat equations under sublinear expectation.

The analysis of classical stochastic heat equations typically takes place in the probability space, characterized by a specific probability measure. In these systems, the random force ξ is assumed to follow a fixed distribution. However, in most real-world problems, due to inherent complexities, the distribution of the force fluctuates, making it challenging to identify the true distribution. In such situations, random systems exhibit probabilistic and distributional model uncertainty. To address this higher degree of uncertainty, it becomes essential to study stochastic heat equations within the sublinear expectation framework, which accounts for uncertainty in the probability distribution of the noise term.

Motivated by the model uncertainty in financial markets, Peng [25, 26] systemically established a nonlin-

ear expectation theory, especially the G -expectation theory, which plays a more realistic role analogous to the Wiener measure in the classical probability theory. G -expectation can be represented as the supremum of a family of probability measures, including mutually singular measures. Consequently, it has become a robust theoretical tool for analyzing problems involving probability uncertainty, such as financial issues with volatility ambiguity [9].

To investigate stochastic heat equations within the sublinear expectation framework, the appropriate noise should be constructed first. Peng [25] introduces G -Brownian motion, which exhibits stationary and independent increments, as a typical temporal white noise. This crucial process is then employed to investigate G -OU process and the (backward) stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion [10, 12, 13]. However, in contrast to the classical Brownian motion, G -Brownian motion is not a G -Gaussian process [27], which means that the finite-dimensional distributions of G -Brownian motion are generally not G -normally distributed, owing to the asymmetry of independence under sublinear expectations. As a result, G -Brownian motion cannot be employed to characterize the space-indexed white noises on the sublinear expectation space. To address this issue, Ji and Peng [16] introduces the concept of G -Gaussian random fields, spatial G -white noises and space-time G -white noises. The related stochastic integrals with respect to G -white noises are also established, laying the foundation for studying SPDEs under sublinear expectations.

SPDE systems, perturbed by noises in both temporal and spatial dimensions, often exhibit significant model uncertainty. This necessitates a systematic study of partial differential equations driven by G -white noises under sublinear expectations to quantify and control this uncertainty. The main objective of this paper is to establish stochastic heat equations within the sublinear expectation framework, particularly focusing on stochastic heat equations driven by the multiplicative space-time G -white noise (G -stochastic heat equations). Specifically, we consider the following equation on a finite interval:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + a(u(t, x))\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x), \quad 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t, L) = 0, \quad 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), \quad 0 \leq x \leq L, \end{array} \right. \quad (1.2)$$

where the initial function $u_0(x)$ is bounded and Borel measurable, the coefficients $a(x)$ and $b(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions, and $\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x)$ stands for the generalized derivative of the space-time G -white noise.

By extending Walsh's worthy martingale measure theory in [30], we first establish the well-definedness of the G -stochastic heat equation (1.2) and introduce definitions of the mild solution and weak solution within the appropriate random field space. Since the sublinear expectation represents the supremum of a family of mutually singular measures, the integrable random fields must satisfy much stricter integrability and continuity conditions. Consequently, proving the existence of a random field solution becomes more challenging. To overcome this obstacle, we extend the stochastic integrals with respect to space-time G -white noises and demonstrate the regularity of the stochastic integrals of Green's functions for heat equations. Subsequently, the existence and uniqueness of the mild solution of (1.2) are proved through the Picard iteration method. Furthermore, the moment estimates are provided for the solution. Additionally, by establishing the stochastic Fubini theorem for stochastic integrals with respect to space-time G -white noises, we show that the mild solution implies the weak solution of (1.2).

In particular, we also consider the linear stochastic heat equation driven by an additive space-time G -white noise. An explicit weak solution (or mild solution) is given, and the existence and uniqueness of the solution are presented. We believe that the establishment of G -stochastic heat equations in this paper will serve to random systems involving probability uncertainty and lay a foundation for investigating more complex SPDEs within the sublinear expectation framework. At the end of the paper, we present several examples to illustrate the potential applications of G -stochastic heat equations.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, the sublinear expectation theory and space-time G -white noises are briefly reviewed. The stochastic integrals for space-time G -white noises are further extended in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the stochastic Fubini theorem under sublinear expectation. In Section 5, we focus on the establishment of the linear and nonlinear G -stochastic heat equations, wherein we prove the existence, uniqueness and moment estimates of the mild solution, which is also a weak solution. Finally, in Section 6, we briefly illustrate the potential applications of G -stochastic heat equations through several examples.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic notions and properties in the sublinear expectation theory. More details can be found in [8, 16, 25, 26] and references therein.

2.1 Basic notions of sublinear expectations

Let Ω be a given nonempty set and \mathcal{H} be a linear space of real-valued functions on Ω such that if $X_1, \dots, X_d \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\varphi(X_1, X_2, \dots, X_d) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each $\varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, where $C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ denotes the linear space of functions satisfying that for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)| \leq C_\varphi(1 + |x|^m + |y|^m)|x - y|, \text{ for some } C_\varphi > 0, m \in \mathbb{N} \text{ depending on } \varphi.$$

\mathcal{H} is considered as the space of random variables. For each $X_i \in \mathcal{H}$, $1 \leq i \leq d$, $X = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$ is called a d -dimensional random vector, denoted by $X \in \mathcal{H}^d$.

Definition 2.1 *A sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ on \mathcal{H} is a functional $\hat{\mathbb{E}} : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfying the following properties: for each $X, Y \in \mathcal{H}$,*

- (i) *Monotonicity:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] \geq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$ if $X \geq Y$;
- (ii) *Constant preserving:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[c] = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{R}$;
- (iii) *Sub-additivity:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X + Y] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] + \hat{\mathbb{E}}[Y]$;
- (iv) *Positive homogeneity:* $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\lambda X] = \lambda \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X]$ for $\lambda \geq 0$.

The triplet $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a sublinear expectation space. In particular, if only the properties (i) and (ii) are satisfied, then $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ is called a nonlinear expectation and $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a nonlinear expectation space.

In this paper, we are mainly interested in sublinear expectations satisfying the following regular condition:

(v) Regularity: If $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{H}$ satisfies that $X_i(\omega) \downarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, then

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_i] = 0.$$

Within the framework of sublinear expectations, important definitions, including the distribution and independence of random variables, are introduced as follows.

Definition 2.2 For each given d -dimensional random vector X on the sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, define a functional \mathbb{F}_X on $C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by

$$\mathbb{F}_X[\varphi] := \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X)], \text{ for } \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

\mathbb{F}_X is called the distribution of X .

Definition 2.3 Two d -dimensional random vectors X_1 and X_2 , defined on sublinear expectation spaces $(\Omega_1, \mathcal{H}_1, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_1)$ and $(\Omega_2, \mathcal{H}_2, \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2)$, respectively, are called identically distributed, denoted by $X_1 \stackrel{d}{=} X_2$, if $\mathbb{F}_{X_1} = \mathbb{F}_{X_2}$, i.e.,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}_1[\varphi(X_1)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}_2[\varphi(X_2)], \text{ for } \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Definition 2.4 An n -dimensional random vector Y is said to be independent of another m -dimensional random vector X on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ if, for each test function $\varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^{m+n})$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(X, Y)] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\varphi(x, Y)]_{x=X}].$$

In particular, let \bar{X} and X be two m -dimensional random vectors on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$. \bar{X} is called an independent copy of X if $\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} X$ and \bar{X} is independent of X .

Remark 2.5 It is important to mention that “ Y is independent of X ” does not imply that “ X is independent of Y ” (See Peng [26]).

2.2 G -white noises under sublinear expectations

Within the sublinear expectation framework, essential random fields, including G -Brownian motion and G -white noises, are introduced in [16, 25, 26].

According to the central limit theorem [18, 26, 29], in the world of probability model uncertainty, G -normal distribution plays the same central role as the normal distribution in the classical cases.

Definition 2.6 A d -dimensional random vector X on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called (centralized) G -normally distributed if

$$aX + b\bar{X} \stackrel{d}{=} \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}X, \text{ for } a, b \geq 0,$$

where \bar{X} is an independent copy of X .

Definition 2.7 On a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$, a d -dimensional stochastic process $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ with $B_t \in \mathcal{H}^d$ for $t \geq 0$ is called a (symmetric) G -Brownian motion if the following properties are satisfied:

- (i) $B_0 = 0$;
- (ii) For each $t, s \geq 0$, $B_{t+s} - B_t$ and B_s are identically distributed and $B_{t+s} - B_t$ is independent of $(B_{t_1}, \dots, B_{t_n})$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_n \leq t$.
- (iii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[B_t] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-B_t] = 0$ and $\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|B_t|^3]t^{-1} = 0$.

Definition 2.8 Let Γ be any parameter set. A family of random variables $(W_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a 1-dimensional G -Gaussian random field if for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_n \in \Gamma$, n -dimensional random vector $(W_{\gamma_1}, \dots, W_{\gamma_n}) \in \mathcal{H}^n$ is G -normally distributed.

It is important to note that for any given $t > 0$, B_t is G -normally distributed. However, the finite-dimensional distributions of $(B_t)_{t \geq 0}$ are generally not G -normally distributed, implying that G -Brownian motion is no longer a G -Gaussian random field.

Let $0 \leq \underline{\sigma}^2 \leq \bar{\sigma}^2$ be any given numbers, and $\mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) := \{A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d) : \lambda_A < \infty\}$, where λ_A denotes the Lebesgue measure of $A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Definition 2.9 A 1-dimensional G -Gaussian random field $\mathbb{W} = (\mathbb{W}_A)_{A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a spatial G -white noise if

- (i) For each $A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{W}_A^2] = \bar{\sigma}^2 \lambda_A$, $-\hat{\mathbb{E}}[-\mathbb{W}_A^2] = \underline{\sigma}^2 \lambda_A$;
- (ii) For each $A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset$,

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\mathbb{W}_{A_1} \mathbb{W}_{A_1}] = \hat{\mathbb{E}}[-\mathbb{W}_{A_1} \mathbb{W}_{A_1}] = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[(\mathbb{W}_{A_1 \cup A_2} - \mathbb{W}_{A_1} - \mathbb{W}_{A_2})^2] = 0. \quad (2.2)$$

In the following, we consistently fix the parameters $\underline{\sigma}^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2$. Building upon the G -Brownian motion and spatial G -white noise, we introduce a spatio-temporal random field, which is called the space-time G -white noise. Set the parameter set as

$$\Gamma = \{[s, t) \times A : 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}.$$

Definition 2.10 A random field $\{\mathbf{W}([s, t) \times A)\}_{([s, t) \times A) \in \Gamma}$ on a sublinear expectation space $(\Omega, \mathcal{H}, \hat{\mathbb{E}})$ is called a 1-dimensional space-time G -white noise if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) For each fixed $[s, t)$, the random field $\{\mathbf{W}([s, t) \times A)\}_{A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is a 1-dimensional spatial G -white noise and has the same family of finite-dimensional distributions as $(\sqrt{t-s} \mathbb{W}_A)_{A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$;
- (ii) For any $r \leq s \leq t$, $A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $\mathbf{W}([r, s) \times A) + \mathbf{W}([s, t) \times A) = \mathbf{W}([r, t) \times A)$;
- (iii) For any $0 \leq s_i \leq t_i \leq s \leq t$ and $A, A_i \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbf{W}([s, t) \times A)$ is independent of $(\mathbf{W}([s_1, t_1) \times A_1), \dots, \mathbf{W}([s_n, t_n) \times A_n))$,

where $(\mathbb{W}_A)_{A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)}$ is a 1-dimensional spatial G -white noise with parameters $\underline{\sigma}^2$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2$.

Denote

$$\Gamma_1 = \{[s, t] \times (x, y) : (x, y) = (x_1, y_1) \times \cdots \times (x_d, y_d), \forall 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, x = (x_1, \cdots, x_d), y = (y_1, \cdots, y_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d \text{ such that } -\infty < x_i \leq y_i < \infty \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

Then $\Gamma_1 \subset \Gamma$. We first construct a space-time G -white noise indexed by Γ_1 and then extend it to the index set Γ . Let

$$\Omega = \{\omega \in C_0(\Gamma_1; \mathbb{R}) : \omega(\emptyset) = 0, \omega(A_1 \cup A_2) = \omega(A_1) + \omega(A_2), \forall A_1, A_2 \in \Gamma_1 \text{ with } A_1 \cap A_2 = \emptyset\}$$

be the space of \mathbb{R} -valued continuous functions endowed with an appropriate distance. For each $\omega \in \Omega$, define the canonical process $(\mathbf{W}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1}$ by

$$\mathbf{W}([s, t] \times (x, y))(\omega) = \omega([s, t] \times (x, y)), \forall 0 \leq s \leq t < \infty, (x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For any $T \geq 0$, set $\mathcal{F}_T = \sigma\{\mathbf{W}([s, t] \times (x, y)), 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, (x, y) \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$, $\mathcal{F} = \bigvee_{T \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_T$, and

$$L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}_T) := \{\varphi(\mathbf{W}([s_1, t_1] \times (x_1, y_1)), \cdots, \mathbf{W}([s_n, t_n] \times (x_n, y_n))) : \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n), \\ 0 \leq s_i \leq t_i \leq T, (x_i, y_i) \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ for } i = 1, \cdots, n\},$$

$$L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}) := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}_n).$$

In [16], we construct a sublinear expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$ and a conditional expectation $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\cdot | \mathcal{F}_t]$ on $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F})$, under which the canonical process $(\mathbf{W}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma_1}$ is a 1-dimensional space-time G -white noise. For each $p \geq 1$, $T \geq 0$, denote by $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ (resp., $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$) the completion of $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}_T)$ (resp., $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F})$) under the norm $\|\cdot\|_p := (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\cdot|^p])^{1/p}$. Then the sublinear expectation and conditional expectation can be extended to the complete space $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$.

For any $\gamma = [s, t] \times A \in \Gamma$, there exists a sequence $\{B_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of finite unions of open intervals such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{A \Delta B_m} = 0$. By Definition 2.10, we know that $\{\mathbf{W}([s, t] \times B_m)\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t)$ and denote the limit by $\mathbf{W}([s, t] \times A) \in \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_t)$. Thus, we construct a spatio-temporal random field $\mathbf{W} = (\mathbf{W}_\gamma)_{\gamma \in \Gamma}$ indexed by Γ and it is easy to verify that \mathbf{W} is a space-time G -white noise under $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$.

Furthermore, denote

$$\mathcal{H}_T := \{\varphi(\mathbf{W}([s_1, t_1] \times A_1), \cdots, \mathbf{W}([s_n, t_n] \times A_n)) : \text{for any } n \in \mathbb{N}, 0 \leq s_i \leq t_i \leq T, i = 1, \cdots, n, \\ A_1, \cdots, A_n \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \varphi \in C_{l.Lip}(\mathbb{R}^n)\},$$

$$\mathcal{H} := \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}_n.$$

Then $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}_T) \subset \mathcal{H}_T \subset \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathcal{H} \subset \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$. The completion of \mathcal{H}_T (resp., \mathcal{H}) under the norm $\|\cdot\|_p$ is equal to $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$ (resp., $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$).

It is worth mentioning that random variables in the Banach space $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$ can be treated as a quasi-continuous function defined on Ω . In fact, by generalizing Theorem 6.6 in [16], we have the following robust representation theorem for sublinear expectations.

Theorem 2.11 (See [8, 14, 16]) *There exists a weakly compact set \mathcal{P} of probability measures on $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}(\Omega))$ such that*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[X] = \max_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X], \text{ for all } X \in L_{ip}(\mathcal{F}),$$

where $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ is the σ -algebra generated by all open sets. \mathcal{P} is called the family of probability measures that represents $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$.

Let \mathcal{P} be the weakly compact set that represents $\hat{\mathbb{E}}$. For this set \mathcal{P} , the related capacity can be defined by

$$c(A) := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} P(A), \text{ for } A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega).$$

A set $A \in \mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ is called polar if $c(A) = 0$. A property holds “quasi-surely” (q.s.) if it holds outside a polar set. In the following, we do not distinguish two random variables X and Y if $X = Y$ q.s.

Definition 2.12 *A random variable X on Ω is called quasi-continuous if for each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an open set O with $c(O) < \epsilon$ such that $X|_{O^c}$ is continuous.*

Furthermore, we say X has a quasi-continuous version if there exists a quasi-continuous random variable Y such that $X = Y$ q.s.

Let $L^0(\Omega)$ denote the space of all $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$ -measurable real functions. We can define the related upper expectation for $X \in L^0(\Omega)$, making the following definition meaningful,

$$\bar{\mathbb{E}}[X] := \sup_{P \in \mathcal{P}} E_P[X], \text{ for } X \in L^0(\Omega).$$

Then $\hat{\mathbb{E}} = \bar{\mathbb{E}}$ on $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F})$ and the completions of $L_{ip}(\mathcal{F})$ under norms $(\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\cdot|^p])^{1/p}$ and $(\bar{\mathbb{E}}[|\cdot|^p])^{1/p}$ are the same. By Theorem 25 in Denis et al. [8] and Proposition 4.4 in Hu and Peng [14], we have the following pathwise characterization of $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$,

$$\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}) = \left\{ X \in L^0(\Omega) : X \text{ has a quasi-continuous version and } \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X|^p \mathbf{1}_{\{X > N\}}] = 0 \right\}.$$

In particular, if $X = Y$ in $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$, then $X = Y$ q.s. For $X \in \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$ and $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$, we say that $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to X in $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F})$, denoted by $X = \mathbb{L}^p - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n$, if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \bar{\mathbb{E}}[|X_n - X|^p] = 0$.

3 Stochastic integrals with respect to space-time G -white noises

For each given $p \geq 1$, $K \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, let $\mathbf{M}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ be the collection of simple random fields with the form:

$$\eta(t, x; \omega) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x), \quad (3.1)$$

where $X_{ij} \in \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_{t_i})$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$, and $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a mutually disjoint sequence such that $\cup_{j=1}^m A_j \subset K$. The Bochner integral and stochastic integral for $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ can be defined as follows:

$$\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x) dx dt := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}(t_{i+1} - t_i) \lambda_{A_j}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \mathbf{W}([t_i, t_{i+1}) \times A_j). \quad (3.3)$$

These integrals (3.2) and (3.3) are linear mappings from $\mathbf{M}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ to $\mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Similarly, for any $0 \leq r \leq s \leq T$ and $K' \subset K$, we can also define

$$\int_r^s \int_{K'} \eta(t, x) dx dt := \int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{K'}(x) \mathbf{1}_{[r, s]}(t) dx dt, \quad (3.4)$$

$$\int_r^s \int_{K'} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) := \int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{K'}(x) \mathbf{1}_{[r, s]}(t) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (3.5)$$

Set

$$\|\eta\|_{\mathbf{M}^p} := \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_K |\eta(t, x)|^p dx dt \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^p} := \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in K} (\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta(t, x)|^p])^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and denote by $\mathbf{M}_G^p([0, T] \times K)$ and $\mathbf{S}_G^p([0, T] \times K)$ the completions of $\mathbf{M}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}^p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{S}^p}$, respectively. The integral (3.2) (resp., (3.3)) can be continuously extended to the complete space $\mathbf{M}_G^1([0, T] \times K)$ (resp., $\mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$). In particular, if $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then the Bochner integral in Eq. (3.2) can be continuously extended to $\mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$.

For the space-time G -white noise and related stochastic integrals, we have the following important and useful properties.

Lemma 3.1 (See Ji and Peng [16]) *For each $0 \leq s \leq r \leq T$, we have the following properties:*

(i) *For each $\xi \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_s)$ and $A, \bar{A} \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $A \cap \bar{A} = \emptyset$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi \mathbf{W}([s, r] \times A)] = 0, \quad (3.6)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[\xi \mathbf{W}([s, r] \times A) \mathbf{W}([s, r] \times \bar{A})] = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

(ii) *For each $\eta \in \mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |\eta(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

Lemma 3.2 *For each $0 \leq s \leq r \leq T$, $\xi \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_s)$, $\eta \in \mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, and $K_1, K_2 \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $K_1 \cap K_2 = \emptyset$, we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\xi \left(\int_s^r \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] = 0, \quad (3.10)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\pm \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

Proof. Equality (3.10) is direct from Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 5.8 in [16]. It suffices to prove Eq. (3.11) here. We firstly verify that Eq. (3.11) holds for $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Without loss of generality, assume that $\eta(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x)$, where $0 \leq t_0 < \dots < t_n \leq T$, $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a

mutually disjoint sequence, and $X_{ij} \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_{t_i})$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i,l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j,k=1}^m X_{ij} X_{lk} \mathbf{W}([t_i \wedge s, t_{i+1} \wedge s) \times (A_j \cap K_1)) \mathbf{W}([t_l \wedge s, t_{l+1} \wedge s) \times (A_k \cap K_2)) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By (i) in Lemma 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{k=1}^m X_{ij} X_{ik} \mathbf{W}([t_i \wedge s, t_{i+1} \wedge s) \times (A_j \cap K_1)) \mathbf{W}([t_i \wedge s, t_{i+1} \wedge s) \times (A_k \cap K_2)) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, Eq. (3.11) holds for $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$.

For $\eta \in \mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a sequence of simple random fields $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that η_n converges to η under $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}^2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ and observing that

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} (\eta(t, x) - \eta_n(t, x)) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right| \right] \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^s \int_{K_1} (\eta(t, x) - \eta_n(t, x)) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{M}^2} \|\eta - \eta_n\|_{\mathbf{M}^2} \rightarrow 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} (\eta(t, x) - \eta_n(t, x)) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right| \right] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta_n\|_{\mathbf{M}^2} \|\eta - \eta_n\|_{\mathbf{M}^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[- \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_1} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \left(\int_0^s \int_{K_2} \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) \right] = 0,$$

which completes the proof. \square

Denote by $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ the linear space of square-integrable functions on $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d$, that is,

$$L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) = \left\{ h : [0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \text{ s.t. } \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt < \infty \right\}.$$

It is important to note that $L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \subset \mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$. Then for each $h(t, x) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ and $\eta(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x) \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, we can define the stochastic integral $I_h(\eta)$ from $\mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ as follows:

$$I_h(\eta) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (3.12)$$

For this stochastic integral I_h , we have analogous properties as presented in Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 3.3 *For each $h \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d), \eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] = 0, \quad (3.13)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] \leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2 \|h\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (3.14)$$

Proof. Property (3.13) is obvious from the definition (3.12) and Lemma 3.2. We only prove Eq. (3.14) here. For each $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ with the form $\eta(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x)$, by Lemma 3.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}^2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}^2 \left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right)^2 \right] \leq \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\zeta] + \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right],$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[\zeta] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}^2 \left(\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right)^2 - \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right) \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[X_{ij}^2 \left\{ \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} h(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right)^2 \middle| \mathcal{F}_{t_i} \right] - \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right\} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[X_{ij}^2 \left(\bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt - \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right) \right] \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right|^2 \right] &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}^2 \int_{t_i}^{t_{i+1}} \int_{A_j} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \right] \\
&\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \sup_{0 \leq i \leq n-1} \sup_{1 \leq j \leq m} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[X_{ij}^2] \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} |h(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\
&= \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 \|h\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

From the above lemma, the stochastic integral $I_h : \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ in Eq. (3.12) can be continuously extended to the mapping from $\mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ to $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Indeed, for any $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, there exists a sequence of simple random fields $\eta_n \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2} = 0$. By inequality (3.14), $\left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Thus we can define

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) := \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(t, x) \eta_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx).$$

Following the similar procedure as above, for any given set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $h \in L^2([0, T] \times K)$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$, we can also define the related Bochner integral from $\mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ to $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ as follows:

$$\int_0^T \int_K h(t, x) \eta(t, x) dx dt := \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_K h(t, x) \eta_n(t, x) dx dt \quad (3.15)$$

$$= \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{m_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \int_{t_i^{(n)}}^{t_{i+1}^{(n)}} \int_{A_j^{(n)}} h(t, x) dx dt, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\eta_n(t, x) = \sum_{i=0}^{m_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{k_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i^{(n)}, t_{i+1}^{(n)})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j^{(n)}}(x) \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times K)$ such that η_n converges to η in $\mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Additionally, we mention that the Bochner integrals in Eqs. (3.2) and (3.4) can also be generalized to the case that $X_{ij} \in \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$. This generalization will be utilized in the study of the stochastic Fubini theorem in the following section. Indeed, let $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ be the collection of simple random fields with the form:

$$\eta(s, x; \omega) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x) \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(s), \quad (3.17)$$

where $X_{ij} \in \mathbf{L}_G^p(\mathcal{F}_T)$, $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, $j = 1, \dots, m$, $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = T$, and $\{A_j\}_{j=1}^m \subset \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a mutually disjoint sequence with $\cup_{j=1}^m A_j \subset K$. Same to definition (3.2), the Bochner integral for $\eta \in \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{p,0}([0, T] \times K)$ can be defined by

$$\int_0^T \int_K \eta(s, x) dx ds := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ij} (t_{i+1} - t_i) \lambda_{A_j}.$$

Again, if $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$, this integral can be continuously extended to the complete space $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$, where $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ denotes the completion of $\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{2,0}([0, T] \times K)$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^2} := \left(\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_K |\cdot|^2 dx ds \right] \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

4 Stochastic Fubini Theorem

In this section, within the sublinear expectation framework, we present a generalization of the classical stochastic Fubini theorem in Walsh [30]. This extension will be applied in our exploration of weak solutions for stochastic heat equations.

For any given $T \geq 0$, let $\mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ be the collection of simple random fields in the following form

$$\eta(t, s, x, y; \omega) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ijkl}(\omega) \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{[s_l, s_{l+1})}(s) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x) \mathbf{1}_{B_k}(y), \quad (4.1)$$

where $X_{ijkl} \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_{t_i})$ for $i, l = 0, \dots, n-1$ and $j, k = 1, \dots, m$, $\{t_0, \dots, t_n\}$ and $\{s_0, \dots, s_n\}$ are any given partitions of $[0, T]$, and $A_j, B_k \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$ for $j, k = 1, \dots, m$ such that

$$A_j \cap A_{j'} = \emptyset, B_k \cap B_{k'} = \emptyset \text{ for any } 1 \leq j, j', k, k' \leq m \text{ with } j \neq j', k \neq k'.$$

Denote by $\overline{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ the completion of $\mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ under the norm

$$\|\eta(t, x, s, y)\|_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}^2} := \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|\eta(t, x, s, y)|^2] dx dt dy ds \right)^{1/2}.$$

Theorem 4.1 For $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] dy ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right] \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (4.2)$$

Furthermore, for $\eta \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, equality (4.2) still holds on any compact set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$, that is,

$$\int_0^T \int_K \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] dy ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right] \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (4.3)$$

Proof. For each $\eta \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ with the form (4.1), we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ijkl} \mathbf{W}([t_i, t_{i+1}) \times A_j] \right] \mathbf{1}_{[s_l, s_{l+1})}(s) \mathbf{1}_{B_k}(y),$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m \left[\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^m X_{ijkl} (s_{l+1} - s_l) \lambda_{B_k} \right] \mathbf{1}_{[t_i, t_{i+1})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{A_j}(x).$$

Obviously,

$$\left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right\}_{s \in [0, T], y \in \mathbb{R}} \in \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}),$$

$$\left\{ \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right\}_{t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}} \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R}),$$

and the integrals on the both sides of Eq. (4.2) are well-defined. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] dy ds &= \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^m \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=1}^m X_{ijkl} \mathbf{W}([t_i, t_{i+1}] \times A_j) \right] (s_{l+1} - s_l) \lambda_{B_k} \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right] \mathbf{W}(dt, dx), \end{aligned}$$

which implies Eq. (4.2).

Next for each $\eta \in \overline{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$, there exists a sequence of simple random fields $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$ such that $\eta_n \rightarrow \eta$ under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}^2}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. First we show that the integrals on both sides of Eq. (4.3) make sense. For any given $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \mathbf{1}_K(y) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_n(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right\|_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}^2}^2 &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_K \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_n(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right)^2 dy ds \right] \\ &\leq \overline{\sigma}^2 \int_0^T \int_K \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\eta_n(t, x, s, y)|^2 dx dt \right] dy ds \\ &\leq \overline{\sigma}^2 \|\eta_n\|_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}^2}^2, \end{aligned}$$

it follows that $\left\{ \mathbf{1}_K(y) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_n(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^{2,0}([0, T] \times K)$ is a Cauchy sequence under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{M}}^2}$ and converges to $\mathbf{1}_K(y) \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \in \widetilde{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$. Thus, the integral on the left-hand side of Eq. (4.3) is well-defined. Similarly, by Hölder's inequality,

$$\left\| \int_0^T \int_K \eta_n(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right\|_{\mathbf{M}^2}^2 \leq T \lambda_K \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^T \int_K |\eta_n(t, x, s, y)|^2 dy ds dx dt \right] \leq T \lambda_K \|\eta_n\|_{\overline{\mathbf{M}}^2}^2.$$

Then $\left\{ \int_0^T \int_K \eta_n(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ is a Cauchy sequence under the norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathbf{M}^2}$ and converges to $\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \in \mathbf{M}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Thus, the integral on the right-hand side of Eq. (4.3) is well-defined.

By Lemma 3.1 and Hölder's inequality, we obtain

$$\int_0^T \int_K \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) dy ds = \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_K \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta_n(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right) dy ds,$$

and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) = \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^T \int_K \eta_n(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx).$$

As $\eta_n(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{1}_K(y)$ satisfies Eq. (4.2) for any $n \geq 1$, we have, in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$,

$$\int_0^T \int_K \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \eta(t, x, s, y) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \right] dy ds = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_0^T \int_K \eta(t, x, s, y) dy ds \right] \mathbf{W}(dt, dx).$$

□

Building upon the above theorem, we now present a specific instance of the stochastic Fubini theorem. This result will be employed in proving the existence of weak solutions for G -stochastic heat equations.

Corollary 4.2 Suppose $h \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, where $C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of infinitely differentiable functions with compact support in $[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}$. For each $0 < s, t \leq T$, $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, set

$$\begin{aligned} g(s, y) &:= \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t-s, x-y) \phi(t, x) dx dt, \\ \zeta(t, x) &:= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t-s, x-y) \eta(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

If $\zeta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} h^2(t-s, x-y) \phi^2(t, x) dx dt dy ds < \infty, \quad (4.4)$$

then, in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, y) \eta(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(t, x) \phi(t, x) dx dt. \quad (4.5)$$

Proof. By assumption (4.4), it is easy to check that $g \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$. Then the integrals on both sides of Eq. (4.5) make sense.

For each $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, there exists a sequence of simple random fields $\{\eta_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ such that $\|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Assume that

$$\eta_n(s, y) = \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \mathbf{1}_{[t_i^n, t_{i+1}^n)}(s) \mathbf{1}_{A_j^n}(y),$$

where $X_{ij}^{(n)} \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_{t_i^n})$, $i = 0, \dots, k_n - 1$, $j = 1, \dots, m_n$, $0 = t_0^n < t_1^n < \dots < t_{k_n}^n = T$, and $\{A_j^n\}_{j=1}^{m_n} \subset \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is a mutually disjoint sequence. Then for $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, y) \eta_n(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \int_{A_j^n} g(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \int_{A_j^n} \left(\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t-s, x-y) \phi(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{[s, T]}(t) dx dt \right) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n(t, x) \phi(t, x) dx dt &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \int_{A_j^n} h(t-s, x-y) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right) \phi(t, x) dx dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_n-1} \sum_{j=1}^{m_n} X_{ij}^{(n)} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{t_i^n}^{t_{i+1}^n} \int_{A_j^n} h(t-s, x-y) \phi(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right) dx dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $\zeta_n(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t-s, x-y) \eta_n(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy)$. By assumption (4.4), we know that $h(t-s, x-y) \phi(t, x) \mathbf{1}_{[0, t]}(s) \in L^2([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2) \subset \overline{\mathbf{M}}_G^2([0, T]^2 \times \mathbb{R}^2)$. Applying Theorem 4.1, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, y) \eta_n(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta_n(t, x) \phi(t, x) dx dt, \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (4.6)$$

Now we show that the integrals in Eq. (4.6) converge in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. As

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[|\zeta_n(t, x) - \zeta(t, x)|^2 \right] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(t-s, x-y) (\eta_n(s, y) - \eta(s, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t-s, x-y)|^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} [|\eta_n(s, y) - \eta(s, y)|^2] dy ds \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t-s, x-y)|^2 dy ds,\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\zeta_n(t, x) - \zeta(t, x)) \phi(t, x) dx dt \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq C_{T, \phi} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{\mathbb{E}} [|\zeta_n(t, x) - \zeta(t, x)|^2] |\phi(t, x)|^2 dx dt \\ &\leq C_{T, \phi} \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |h(t-s, x-y)|^2 |\phi(t, x)|^2 dy ds dx dt \\ &\leq C_{T, h, \phi} \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $C_{T, \phi}$ and $C_{T, h, \phi}$ are constants. For the left-hand side of Eq. (4.6),

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, y) (\eta_n(s, y) - \eta(s, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s, y)|^2 |\eta_n(s, y) - \eta(s, y)|^2 dy ds \right] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g(s, y)|^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} [|\eta_n(s, y) - \eta(s, y)|^2] dy ds \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|g\|_{L^2}^2 \|\eta_n - \eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Eq. (4.6), both sides of Eq. (4.6) converge in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$ and

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} g(s, y) \eta(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \zeta(t, x) \phi(t, x) dx dt.$$

□

5 Stochastic heat equations driven by space-time G -white noises

In this section, we focus on the linear and nonlinear stochastic heat equations driven by the space-time G -white noise.

For each fixed $T > 0$, consider the space-time G -white noise $\mathbf{W} = \{\mathbf{W}([s, t] \times A) : 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T, A \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})\}$. Without causing confusion, for simplicity of notation, denote by

$$\mathbf{W}(t, x) := \mathbf{W}([0, t] \times [0 \wedge x, 0 \vee x]), \text{ for } t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

By the definition and properties of the space-time G -white noise, we know that $\mathbf{W}(t, x)$ is nowhere-differentiable with respect to t and x in the ordinary sense. Nevertheless, we may define its derivative in the sense of generalized functions. For each test function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, as $(\mathbf{W}(t, x))_{t \in [0, T], x \in K} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ for any

compact set K , the integral

$$I_{\mathbf{W}}(\varphi) := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{W}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt \quad (5.1)$$

makes sense and $I_{\mathbf{W}}$ is a linear mapping from $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$. Define the generalized mixed derivative $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ through the test function $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as follows

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} \phi(t, x) dx dt := \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{W}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt. \quad (5.2)$$

Then $\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{W}(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x}$ is called the derivative of $\mathbf{W}(t, x)$, denoted by $\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x)$, $t \in [0, T]$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

By Proposition 2.8 in Chapter 2 in Khoshnevisan [17], it is easy to get the following equality of stochastic integrals.

Proposition 5.1 *Let $\{\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x) : t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be the derivative of the space-time G -white noise $\{\mathbf{W}(t, x) : t \in [0, T], x \in \mathbb{R}\}$ in the sense of (5.2). Then for each $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have*

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x) \phi(t, x) dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (5.3)$$

Proof. By Eq. (5.2), we only need to verify that for any $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$, in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_T)$,

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{W}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt = \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (5.4)$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $T \geq 1$ and ϕ is supported in $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$, i.e., $\phi \in C_c^\infty([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$.

For each $n \geq 1$, set

$$\phi_n(t, x) := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n})}(x), \text{ for } t, x \in [0, 1]. \quad (5.5)$$

Then $\phi_n \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, 1] \times [0, 1])$, and

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \mathbf{W}\left(\left[\frac{i}{n}, \frac{i+1}{n}\right) \times \left[\frac{j}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \phi\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \left[\mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right) - \mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j+1}{n}\right) - \mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i+1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) + \mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \right] \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \left[\phi\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) - \phi\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right) + \phi\left(\frac{i-1}{n}, \frac{j-1}{n}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

By transforming the expression of ϕ inside the bracket into integral form, we have

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) = \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{W}\left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n}\right) \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt, \quad \forall n \geq 1. \quad (5.6)$$

Since $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, by Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) = \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi_n(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (5.7)$$

Thus, to obtain the equality (5.4), it suffices to prove

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{W}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt = \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt. \quad (5.8)$$

Indeed, for any $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbf{W}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt - \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt \right|^2 \right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left(\mathbf{W}(t, x) - \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \right) \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} dx dt \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left| \mathbf{W}(t, x) - \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \right|^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} \right)^2 dx dt \right)^{1/2} \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left| \mathbf{W}(t, x) - \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \right|^2 dx dt \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left(\frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial t \partial x} \right)^2 dx dt \right) \right] \\ &\leq C_\phi \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \mathbf{W}(t, x) - \mathbf{W} \left(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{n} \right) \right|^2 \right] dx dt \\ &\leq C_\phi \bar{\sigma}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\frac{i-1}{n}}^{\frac{i}{n}} \int_{\frac{j-1}{n}}^{\frac{j}{n}} \left(\frac{ij}{n^2} - tx \right) dx dt \\ &= \frac{C_\phi \bar{\sigma}^2 (2n+1)}{4n^2}, \end{aligned}$$

where C_ϕ is a constant depending on ϕ . Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the above inequality, we get Eq. (5.8) and complete the proof. \square

5.1 Linear stochastic heat equations

In this subsection, we focus on the linear stochastic heat equation driven by the additive space-time G -white noise as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) = \dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad (5.9)$$

where the initial function $u_0 : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is nonrandom, bounded and Borel measurable.

Applying Proposition 5.1, we can define the weak solution of (5.9).

Definition 5.2 A spatio-temporal random field $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}\}$ is said to be a weak solution of the linear G -stochastic heat equation (5.9) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) For each $T > 0$ and compact set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$, $(u(t, x))_{t \in [0, T], x \in K} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$;

(ii) For each $T > 0$ and $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(T, x)\phi(T, x)dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(x)\phi(0, x)dx \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Now we present the explicit expression for the weak solution of (5.9).

Theorem 5.3 For each given bounded and Borel measurable function $u_0(x)$, the linear G -stochastic heat equation (5.9) has a weak solution defined by

$$u(t, x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y)p(t, x - y)dy + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \text{ for } t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.11)$$

and $u(0, x) = u_0(x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Here $p(t, x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{x^2}{4t}}$, $t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$.

Furthermore, if u, v are two weak solutions of G -stochastic heat equation (5.9) with the same initial function, then $u(t, x) = v(t, x)$ for almost everywhere $(t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 5.4 The solution (5.11) is also called the mild solution of G -stochastic heat equation (5.9).

Remark 5.5 Note that $p(t, x) \in L^2([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, $\forall T > 0$. By the definition of stochastic integrals in Section 3, the right-hand side of Eq. (5.11) is well-defined. In particular, $p(t, x)$ is called the heat kernel (or fundamental solution) and is the solution of the following heat equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} p(t, x) - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} p(t, x) = 0, t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \lim_{t \downarrow 0} p(t, x) = \delta(x), x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (5.12)$$

To prove Theorem 5.3, we first provide the moment estimates for the stochastic integral in the definition of weak solution (5.11).

Lemma 5.6 Denote

$$Z(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \quad t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R},$$

and $Z(0, x) := 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exists a constant C depending on $\bar{\sigma}^2$ such that for each $\delta \geq 0$,

(i) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z(t, x + \delta) - Z(t, x)|^2] \leq C\delta$;

(ii) $\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z(t + \delta, x) - Z(t, x)|^2] \leq C\sqrt{\delta}$.

Proof. (i) For each $\delta \geq 0$, by Eq. (3.16) in Khoshnevisan [17],

$$\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t - s, x + \delta - y) - p(t - s, x - y)|^2 dyds \leq \frac{\delta}{2}. \quad (5.13)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[|Z(t, x + \delta) - Z(t, x)|^2 \right] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(t-s, x + \delta - y) - p(t-s, x - y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t-s, x + \delta - y) - p(t-s, x - y)|^2 dy ds \\ &\leq \frac{\bar{\sigma}^2}{2} \delta.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) For $\delta \geq 0$, since

$$\int_0^\infty \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t + \delta - s, x - y) - p(t - s, x - y)|^2 dy ds = (\sqrt{2} - 1) \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2\pi}}, \quad (5.14)$$

and

$$\int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t + \delta - s, x - y)|^2 dy ds = \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{2\pi}}, \quad (5.15)$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[|Z(t + \delta, x) - Z(t, x)|^2 \right] \\ &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(t + \delta - s, x - y) - p(t - s, x - y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) + \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t + \delta - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} (p(t + \delta - s, x - y) - p(t - s, x - y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] + \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t + \delta - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t + \delta - s, x - y) - p(t - s, x - y)|^2 dy ds + \bar{\sigma}^2 \int_t^{t+\delta} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |p(t + \delta - s, x - y)|^2 dy ds \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \sqrt{\frac{\delta}{\pi}}.\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 5.3. First, we prove that the spatio-temporal random field $(u(t, x))_{t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}}$ defined by Eq. (5.11) satisfies (i) in Definition 5.2. As $u_0(x)$ is a bounded function, it is easy to check that $\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(t, x - y) dy$ is bounded for $t \geq 0, x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(t, x - y) dy)_{t \in [0, T], x \in K} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ for any compact set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$.

For any given $T > 0$ and compact set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$, it remains to show

$$\left\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) : t \in (0, T], x \in K \right\} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K).$$

Without loss of generality, assume that $K \subset [-L, L]$ for some $L > 0$. Then it suffices to verify that $\left\{ \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) : t \in (0, T], x \in [-L, L] \right\} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [-L, L])$.

For $t \in (0, T], x \in [-L, L]$, denote

$$\begin{aligned}Z(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \\ Z_n(t, x) &:= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=-n}^{n-1} \int_0^{\frac{iT}{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} p\left(\frac{iT}{n} - s, \frac{jL}{n} - y\right) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{iT}{n}, \frac{(i+1)T}{n})}(t) \mathbf{1}_{[\frac{jL}{n}, \frac{(j+1)L}{n})}(x), \quad n \geq 1,\end{aligned}$$

and $Z(0, x) = Z_n(0, x) = 0$, for $x \in [-L, L]$, $n \geq 1$. Then $\{Z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times [-L, L])$. By Lemma 5.6, there exists a constant C depending on T , L and $\bar{\sigma}^2$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [-L, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|Z(t, x) - Z_n(t, x)|^2] \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Z - Z_n\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 = 0,$$

and $(Z(t, x))_{t \in [0, T], x \in [-L, L]} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [-L, L])$, which implies the random field $(u(t, x))_{t \in [0, T], x \in K} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times K)$ for any $T > 0$ and compact set $K \in \mathcal{B}_0(\mathbb{R})$.

Next we show that $u(t, x)$ defined by (5.11) satisfies Eq. (5.10). Substituting Eq. (5.11) into the first term on the right-hand side of Eq. (5.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(t, x - y) dy \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt \\ & \quad + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt \\ & \triangleq \mathcal{I}_1 + \mathcal{I}_2. \end{aligned} \tag{5.16}$$

By the Fubini theorem and integration by parts, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t, x - y) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt \right] dy \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(T, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) \phi(0, y) dy. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 4.2,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_2 &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_s^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} p(t - s, x - y) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt \right] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \\ &= \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(T - s, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx \right] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) - \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 into Eq. (5.16), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} u(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dx dt + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \phi(t, x) \mathbf{W}(dt, dx) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(T, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx dy - \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) \phi(0, y) dy + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(T - s, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx \right] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \end{aligned} \tag{5.17}$$

At $t = T$, multiplying $\phi(T, x)$ and integrating both sides of Eq. (5.11) with respect to x , we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} u(T, x) \phi(T, x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} u_0(y) p(T, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx dy + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left[\int_{\mathbb{R}} p(T - s, x - y) \phi(T, x) dx \right] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \tag{5.18}$$

Substituting Eq. (5.18) into the right-hand side of Eq. (5.17), we get Eq. (5.10). Thus, $u(t, x)$ defined by (5.11) is a weak solution of the linear G -stochastic heat equation (5.9).

Finally, for a fixed initial condition u_0 , suppose that u and v are two weak solutions of G -stochastic heat equation (5.9). For any given $T > 0$ and $\psi(t, x) \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$, there exists a function $\phi(t, x) \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times \mathbb{R})$ satisfying the following partial differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \phi(t, x) + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \phi(t, x) = \psi(t, x), & t > 0, x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \phi(T, x) = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases} \quad (5.19)$$

Substituting ϕ , u and v into Eq. (5.10) implies

$$\int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} (u(t, x) - v(t, x)) \psi(t, x) dx dt = 0.$$

Due to the arbitrariness of T and ψ , it is easy to check that

$$u(t, x) = v(t, x) \text{ for a. e. } (t, x) \in [0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R},$$

which completes the proof. \square

5.2 Nonlinear stochastic heat equations

In this subsection, for each fixed constants $T > 0, L > 0$, we consider the following nonlinear stochastic heat equation driven by the multiplicative space-time G -white noise:

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + a(u(t, x)) \dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x), & 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} u(t, L) = 0, & 0 < t \leq T, \\ u(0, x) = u_0(x), & 0 \leq x \leq L, \end{cases} \quad (5.20)$$

where $u_0 : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a nonrandom, bounded and Borel measurable function, and $a(x), b(x) \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R})$ are Lipschitz continuous functions, that is, there exists a constant C such that

$$|a(x) - a(y)| + |b(x) - b(y)| \leq C|x - y|, \text{ for all } x, y \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We first define the mild solution for (5.20) and subsequently demonstrate that the mild solution is also a weak solution.

Definition 5.7 *A spatio-temporal random field $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]\}$ is said to be a mild solution of the nonlinear G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) if it satisfies the following conditions:*

(i) $(u(t, x))_{0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L]);$

(ii) For $0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) = & \int_0^L u_0(y) g(t, x, y) dy + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds \\ & + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) a(u(s, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \end{aligned} \quad (5.21)$$

where $g(t, x, y)$ is the Green's function corresponding to Eq. (5.20).

By Walsh [30] and Dalang et al. [6], $g(t, x, y)$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} g(t, x, y) &= \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} [p(t, x - y - 2nL) + p(t, x + y - 2nL)] \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[e^{-\frac{(x-y-2nL)^2}{4t}} + e^{-\frac{(x+y-2nL)^2}{4t}} \right], 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x, y \leq L. \end{aligned}$$

Since for each fixed $0 \leq x \leq L$, $g(t, x, y) \in L^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$, the integrals on the right-hand side of definition (5.21) make sense for $u \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$.

For each $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$, denote

$$(g \star \eta)(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) \eta(s, y) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad (5.22)$$

and $(g \star \eta)(0, x) := 0$ for $0 \leq x \leq L$. By Lemma 3.3, $(g \star \eta)(t, x) \in \mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$ for $0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L$. Based on Lemma 5.6 and the properties of g , we obtain the following estimates and integrability for $g \star \eta$.

Lemma 5.8 *For each $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$, there exists a constant C , depending on $\bar{\sigma}^2$, T and L , such that for any $0 \leq s, t \leq T, 0 \leq x, z \leq L$,*

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(t, z)|^2] \leq C|x - z|, \quad (5.23)$$

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(s, x)|^2] \leq C|t - s|^{1/2}. \quad (5.24)$$

Proof. For each $t > 0, x, z \in [0, L]$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(t, z)|^2] &= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L \eta(s, y) (g(t-s, x, y) - g(t-s, z, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathbf{S}^2}^2 \int_0^t \int_0^L |g(t-s, x, y) - g(t-s, z, y)|^2 dy ds. \end{aligned}$$

Denote

$$h(t, x, y) := g(t, x, y) - p(t, x - y), \text{ for } 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x, y \leq L, \quad (5.25)$$

where $p(t, x)$ is the heat kernel. Then $h(t, x, y)$ is a smooth function on $(0, T] \times [0, L] \times [0, L]$ and

$$\int_0^t \int_0^L |h(t-s, x, y) - h(t-s, z, y)|^2 dy ds \leq C_1 t L |x - z|^2, \quad (5.26)$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on h . Applying Eqs. (5.13) and (5.26), we obtain that there exists a constant C depending on $T, L, \bar{\sigma}^2$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(t, z)|^2] \leq C|x - z|,$$

which implies the estimate (5.23).

Similarly, for any $0 \leq s \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(s, x)|^2] \\
&= \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^s \int_0^L \eta(r, y)(g(t-r, x, y) - g(s-r, x, y)) \mathbf{W}(dr, dy) + \int_s^t \int_0^L \eta(r, y)g(t-r, x, y) \mathbf{W}(dr, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\
&\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^s \int_0^L |\eta(r, y)(g(t-r, x, y) - g(s-r, x, y))|^2 dy dr \right] + \bar{\sigma}^2 \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_s^t \int_0^L |\eta(r, y)g(t-r, x, y)|^2 dy dr \right] \\
&\leq \bar{\sigma}^2 \|\eta\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2 \left(\int_0^s \int_0^L |g(t-r, x, y) - g(s-r, x, y)|^2 dy dr + \int_s^t \int_0^L |g(t-r, x, y)|^2 dy dr \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining Eqs. (5.14), (5.15) with (5.25), there exists a constant C depending on $T, L, \bar{\sigma}^2$ such that

$$\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta)(s, x)|^2] \leq C|t - s|^{1/2}.$$

□

Lemma 5.9 *If $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$, then $g \star \eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$.*

Proof. For $n \geq 1$, define

$$g_n(t, x) := \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (g \star \eta) \left(\frac{iT}{n}, \frac{jL}{n} \right) \mathbf{1}_{\left[\frac{iT}{n}, \frac{(i+1)T}{n}\right)}(t) \mathbf{1}_{\left[\frac{jL}{n}, \frac{(j+1)L}{n}\right)}(x), \quad \forall (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L].$$

Then $g_n \in \mathbf{M}^{2,0}([0, T] \times [0, L])$ for $n \geq 1$. By Lemma 5.8, there exists a constant C depending on T, L and $\bar{\sigma}^2$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - g_n(t, x)|^2] \\
&= \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left| (g \star \eta)(t, x) - (g \star \eta) \left(\frac{iT}{n}, \frac{jL}{n} \right) \right|^2 \mathbf{1}_{\left\{ \frac{iT}{n} \leq t < \frac{(i+1)T}{n}, \frac{jL}{n} \leq x < \frac{(j+1)L}{n} \right\}} \right] \\
&\leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|(g \star \eta)(t, x) - g_n(t, x)|^2] = 0,$$

which implies that $g \star \eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$. □

Similar to Lemma 5.8 and Lemma 5.9, we have the following statement.

Lemma 5.10 *For each $\eta \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$, set*

$$\xi(t, x) := \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) \eta(s, y) dy ds, \quad (t, x) \in (0, T] \times [0, L],$$

and $\xi(0, x) := 0$ for $x \in [0, L]$. Then $\{\xi(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]\} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$.

Theorem 5.11 *Let $u_0(x)$ be bounded and $a(x), b(x)$ be Lipschitz continuous functions. Then the nonlinear G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) has a unique mild solution $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]\}$ such that $u \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$ and $u(t, x)$ satisfies Eq. (5.21).*

Proof. (*Existence*) For each $(t, x) \in (0, T] \times [0, L]$, $n \geq 1$, define

$$\begin{cases} u^0(t, x) = \int_0^L u_0(y)g(t, x, y)dy, \\ u^n(t, x) = u^0(t, x) + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)b(u^{n-1}(s, y))dyds + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)a(u^{n-1}(s, y))\mathbf{W}(ds, dy), \end{cases} \quad (5.27)$$

and $u^n(0, x) := u_0(x)$ for $0 \leq x \leq L$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. By Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.10, the above iteration is well-defined and $\{u^n\}_{n=0}^\infty \subset \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$. We then show that u^n converges to a mild solution u in $\mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$. For $n \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^{n+1}(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^2] &\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)(b(u^n(s, y)) - b(u^{n-1}(s, y)))dyds \right|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)(a(u^n(s, y)) - a(u^{n-1}(s, y)))\mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq 2TL\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t \int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y) |b(u^n(s, y)) - b(u^{n-1}(s, y))|^2 dyds \right] \\ &\quad + 2\bar{\sigma}^2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\int_0^t \int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y) |a(u^n(s, y)) - a(u^{n-1}(s, y))|^2 dyds \right] \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y)\hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^n(s, y) - u^{n-1}(s, y)|^2]dyds \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \sup_{y \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^n(s, y) - u^{n-1}(s, y)|^2] \left[\int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y)dy \right] ds, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on $a, b, T, L, \bar{\sigma}^2$. Since there exists a constant C_T depending on T such that

$$g(t, x, y) \leq \frac{C_T}{\sqrt{4\pi t}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}}, \quad \forall 0 < t \leq T, 0 \leq x, y \leq L,$$

then

$$\sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^{n+1}(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^2] \leq \frac{C_T^2 C}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^t \sup_{y \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^n(s, y) - u^{n-1}(s, y)|^2] (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds. \quad (5.28)$$

Denote $H_n(t) := \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^{n+1}(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^2]$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Equation (5.28) reduces to

$$H_n(t) \leq \frac{C_T^2 C}{\sqrt{8\pi}} \int_0^t H_{n-1}(s)(t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds \leq \frac{C_T^4 C^2}{2\pi} \int_0^t H_{n-2}(s) ds. \quad (5.29)$$

Applying induction, we have

$$H_n(t) \leq \frac{(C_T^2 C)^{\lfloor n \rfloor}}{(2\pi)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} (\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1)!} \int_0^t H_{n-2\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}(s)(t-s)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} ds, \quad (5.30)$$

where $[n]$ denotes the greatest integer less than or equal to n . According to inequality (5.29), if $H_n(t)$ is bounded on $[0, T]$, $H_{n+1}(t)$ is also bounded on $[0, T]$, $n \geq 0$. As u^0 is bounded, and

$$\begin{aligned} H_0(t) &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, L]} 2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) b(u^0(s, y)) dy ds \right|^2 + \left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) a(u^0(s, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, L]} C' (\|u^0\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2 + 1) \int_0^t \int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y) dy ds \\ &\leq C_T^2 C' (\|u^0\|_{\mathbb{S}^2}^2 + 1) \sqrt{\frac{t}{2\pi}}, \end{aligned}$$

where C' is a constant depending on $a, b, T, L, \bar{\sigma}^2$, we know that $H_n(t)$ is bounded on $[0, T]$ for $n \geq 0$.

Denote $A := |\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} H_0(t)| \vee |\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} H_1(t)|$. By inequality (5.30), we deduce that

$$F_n^2(t) := \sup_{0 \leq s \leq t} H_n(s) \leq \frac{A(C_T^2 C)^{\lfloor n \rfloor} t^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}}{(2\pi)^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor!}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T. \quad (5.31)$$

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(t) < \infty$, and we derive that

$$\lim_{m, n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u^m(t, x) - u^n(t, x)|^2] = 0.$$

Thus, $\{u^n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$. For each $(t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]$, set $u(t, x) = \mathbb{L}^2 - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n(t, x)$. Then $u \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$.

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in the iteration (5.27), for $0 < t \leq T$, $0 \leq x \leq L$, we obtain that, in $\mathbf{L}_G^2(\mathcal{F}_t)$,

$$u(t, x) = \int_0^L u_0(y) g(t, x, y) dy + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) b(u(s, y)) dy ds + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) a(u(s, y)) \mathbf{W}(ds, dy),$$

which proves the existence of the mild solution.

(*Uniqueness*) Suppose $v \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$ is another mild solution of G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) with the same initial condition u_0 . Then for $0 < t \leq T$, $0 \leq x \leq L$,

$$\begin{aligned} u(t, x) - v(t, x) &= \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) [b(u(s, y)) - b(v(s, y))] dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) [a(u(s, y)) - a(v(s, y))] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

Squaring and taking expectations on both sides yields

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2] &\leq 2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) [b(u(s, y)) - b(v(s, y))] dy ds \right|^2 \right] \\ &\quad + 2\hat{\mathbb{E}} \left[\left| \int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) [a(u(s, y)) - a(v(s, y))] \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right|^2 \right] \\ &\leq C \int_0^t \int_0^L g^2(t-s, x, y) \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u(s, y) - v(s, y)|^2] dy ds, \end{aligned}$$

where C is a constant depending on $a, b, T, L, \bar{\sigma}^2$. As in the proof of existence, we deduce that

$$\sup_{t \in [0, T]} \sup_{x \in [0, L]} \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u(t, x) - v(t, x)|^2] = 0,$$

which implies the uniqueness of the mild solution. \square

Furthermore, we verify that the mild solution (5.21) also qualifies as a weak solution for (5.20).

Definition 5.12 A spatio-temporal random field $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]\}$ is said to be a weak solution of the G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) $(u(t, x))_{0 \leq t \leq T, 0 \leq x \leq L} \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$;

(ii) For any $0 < r \leq T$ and $\phi(t, x) \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times [0, L])$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(t, L) = 0$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L u(r, x)\phi(r, x)dx - \int_0^L u_0(x)\phi(0, x)dx \\ &= \int_0^r \int_0^L u(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt + \int_0^r \int_0^L b(u(t, x))\phi(t, x)dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_0^r \int_0^L a(u(t, x))\phi(t, x)\mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

Theorem 5.13 Let $u_0(x)$ be bounded and $a(x), b(x)$ be Lipschitz continuous functions. Then the mild solution, defined by (5.21), is also the weak solution of G -stochastic heat equation (5.20).

Proof. Let $u \in \mathbf{S}_G^2([0, T] \times [0, L])$ be the mild solution of (5.20). It suffices to prove that u satisfies Eq. (5.32). For each $0 < r \leq T$, $\phi \in C_c^\infty([0, T] \times [0, L])$ with $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\phi(t, L) = 0$, $0 \leq t \leq T$, denote

$$\mathcal{I}_1 := \int_0^L u(r, x)\phi(r, x)dx - \int_0^L u_0(x)\phi(0, x)dx, \quad (5.33)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_2 := \int_0^r \int_0^L u(t, x) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt, \quad (5.34)$$

$$\mathcal{I}_3 := \int_0^r \int_0^L b(u(t, x))\phi(t, x)dxdt + \int_0^r \int_0^L a(u(t, x))\phi(t, x)\mathbf{W}(dt, dx). \quad (5.35)$$

Then Eq. (5.32) is equal to $\mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2 - \mathcal{I}_3 = 0$. Substituting Eq. (5.21) into \mathcal{I}_1 and \mathcal{I}_2 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 &= \int_0^L \int_0^L u_0(y)g(r, x, y)\phi(r, x)dydx - \int_0^L u_0(x)\phi(0, x)dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^L \left(\int_0^r \int_0^L g(r-s, x, y)b(u(s, y))dyds \right) \phi(r, x)dx \\ & \quad + \int_0^L \left(\int_0^r \int_0^L g(r-s, x, y)a(u(s, y))\mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right) \phi(r, x)dx \\ \mathcal{I}_2 &= \int_0^r \int_0^L \left(\int_0^L u_0(y)g(t, x, y)dy \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_0^r \int_0^L \left(\int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)b(u(s, y))dyds \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt \\ & \quad + \int_0^r \int_0^L \left(\int_0^t \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)a(u(s, y))\mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \right) \left(\frac{\partial \phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\int_0^L \int_0^L u_0(y)g(0, x, y)\phi(0, x)dydx = \int_0^L u_0(y)\phi(0, y)dy,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^L \int_0^r \int_0^L u_0(y)g(t, x, y) \left(\frac{\partial\phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt dy \\ &= \int_0^L \int_0^L u_0(y)g(r, x, y)\phi(r, x)dydx - \int_0^L u_0(y)\phi(0, y)dy. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the above equality into $\mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2$ and applying Theorem 4.1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2 &= \int_0^r \int_0^L \int_0^L g(r-s, x, y)b(u(s, y))\phi(r, x)dx dy ds \\ &\quad - \int_0^r \int_0^L \int_s^L \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)b(u(s, y)) \left(\frac{\partial\phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt dy ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^r \int_0^L \int_0^L g(r-s, x, y)a(u(s, y))\phi(r, x)dx \mathbf{W}(ds, dy) \\ &\quad - \int_0^r \int_0^L \int_s^L \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y)a(u(s, y)) \left(\frac{\partial\phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt \mathbf{W}(ds, dy). \end{aligned}$$

As

$$\int_s^r \int_0^L g(t-s, x, y) \left(\frac{\partial\phi(t, x)}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial^2\phi(t, x)}{\partial x^2} \right) dxdt = \int_0^L g(r-s, x, y)\phi(r, x)dx - \phi(s, y), \quad (5.36)$$

we get $\mathcal{I}_1 - \mathcal{I}_2 = \mathcal{I}_3$, which completes the proof. \square

At the end of this section, we present the moment estimates for the solution. Utilizing Theorem 4.3 in [28] and Lemma 5.8, we derive the following estimates. The proof is straightforward and omitted here.

Proposition 5.14 *Assume that $u_0(x) : [0, L] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a bounded and α -Hölder continuous function for some $\alpha > 0$, and $a(x), b(x)$ are Lipschitz continuous functions. Let $\{u(t, x) : (t, x) \in [0, T] \times [0, L]\}$ be the mild solution of G -stochastic heat equation (5.20). Then there exists a constant C such that for any $s, t \in [0, T]$, $x, z \in [0, L]$,*

$$(i) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u(t, x) - u(t, z)|^2] \leq C|x - z|^{1 \wedge (2\alpha)};$$

$$(ii) \quad \hat{\mathbb{E}}[|u(t, x) - u(s, x)|^2] \leq C|t - s|^{(1/2) \wedge \alpha}.$$

6 Applications to problems with probability uncertainty

In many real-world problems, due to inherent complexities and model uncertainty, space-time G -white noise may provide a more appropriate approximation to the random noise, making G -stochastic heat equations better suited to describe real random systems. In this section, we present some examples to illustrate the potential applications of G -stochastic heat equations.

Example 6.1 (Random motion of a polymer chain in a liquid) Consider a polymer chain in a liquid. The continuum limit of the random motion of particles satisfies the following stochastic heat equation (see Hairer [11]),

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t, x) + b(u(t, x)) + \xi(t, x), & t > 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t, 0) = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}u(t, 1) = 0, & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.1)$$

where $b(u(t, x))$ denotes the deterministic external force and $\xi(t, x)$ describes the random kicks from the surrounding liquid. Assuming the random kicks occur independently at a high rate and their distribution is the same and invariant, $\xi(t, x)$ is modeled as a classical space-time white noise using the central limit theorem for independent and identically distributed random variables.

However, when the surrounding liquid is not constant (e.g., the temperature fluctuates within an interval), the distribution of kicks also fluctuates in a set. In this situation, by Peng's central limit theorem under sublinear expectation [26, 27], G -normally distributed random variables may be a more suitable approximation for modeling $\xi(t, x)$. Thus, $\xi(t, x)$ can be replaced by $\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x)$, transforming Eq. (6.1) into the G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) with the coefficient $a \equiv 1$.

Example 6.2 (Heat density in a random medium) In [17], Khoshnevisan introduced the following stochastic heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions to describe the heat flow in a rod of unit length,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x) = \nu \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t, x) + a(u(t, x))\xi(t, x), & t > 0, 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ u(t, 0) = u(t, 1) = 0, & t > 0, \end{cases} \quad (6.2)$$

where ν is a positive constant, $a(x)$ is a smooth function characterizing the feedback mechanism, and the space-time white noise $\xi(t, x)$ describes the external forcing density. The solution $u(t, x)$ represents the heat density at (t, x) .

In real experiments, it is challenging to maintain a constant external heating or cooling source. When the external source fluctuates within a set, $\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x)$ can be used to replace $\xi(t, x)$ to account for the model uncertainty. Consequently, Eq. (6.2) becomes a G -stochastic heat equation.

It is worth mentioning that although the boundary conditions of Eqs. (6.2) and (5.20) differ, by updating the Green's function in the solution, the well-posedness of the solution in Theorem 5.11 can be extended to the G -stochastic heat equation with Dirichlet boundary conditions.

Example 6.3 (Propagation of electric potentials in neurons) Assume nerve cells are long and thin cylinders from 0 to L . Let $V(t, x)$ represent the electrical potential at time t and position x . To describe the propagation of $V(t, x)$, Walsh [30] introduced the following stochastic heat equation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}V(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}V(t, x) - V(t, x) + f(V(t, x))\xi(t, x), \quad t > 0, 0 \leq x \leq L, \quad (6.3)$$

where $f(V(t, x))$ denotes the neuron's response to a current impulse, and the space-time white noise $\xi(t, x)$ describes the random impulse. In this model, the impulses are assumed to be small, numerous, and independent at different position x and time t .

Similar to Example 6.1, when the impulses are not strictly independent and identically distributed random variables in the classical probability space and their distribution fluctuates within a set, the G -stochastic heat equation (5.20) with the multiplicative noise $\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x)$ may be a more suitable model to describe the propagation of electric potentials in neurons.

Example 6.4 (Parabolic Anderson models with probability uncertainty) *As a special case of the stochastic heat equation, the parabolic Anderson model can be studied within the sublinear expectation framework. The results in this paper can be applied to such models, describing by the following equation with suitable initial and boundary conditions,*

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u(t, x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}u(t, x) + u(t, x)\dot{\mathbf{W}}(t, x), \quad t > 0, x \in D, \quad (6.4)$$

where $D \subset \mathbb{R}$ is a domain with smooth boundary.

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2022QA012).

References

- [1] Bertini, L., and Cancrini, N., The stochastic heat equation: Feynman-Kac formula and intermittence. *J. Stat. Phys.* 78 (1995) 1377–1401.
- [2] Caruana, M., Friz, P., and Oberhauser, H., A (rough) pathwise approach to a class of non-linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire* 28 (2011) 27–46.
- [3] Chen, X., Spatial asymptotics for the parabolic Anderson models with generalized time-space Gaussian noise. *Ann. Probab.* 44 (2016), no. 2, 1535–1598.
- [4] Da Prato, G., and Zabczyk, J., *Stochastic Equations in Infinite Dimensions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [5] Dalang, R. C., Extending the martingale measure stochastic integral with applications to spatially homogeneous s.p.d.e.’s. *Electron. J. Probab.* 4 (1999), no. 6, 1–29.
- [6] Dalang, R. C., Khoshnevisan, D., Mueller, C., Nualart, D., and Xiao, Y., *A Minicourse on Stochastic Partial Differential equations*. Lecture Notes in Math., 1962, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [7] Dawson, D. A., Stochastic evolution equations. *Math. Biosci.* 15 (1972) 287–316.
- [8] Denis, L., Hu, M., and Peng, S., Function spaces and capacity related to a sublinear expectation: Application to G -Brownian motion paths. *Potential Anal.* 34 (2011), no. 2, 139–161.
- [9] Epstein, L. G., and Ji, S., Ambiguous volatility and asset pricing in continuous time. *Rev. Financ. Stud.* 26 (2013) 1740–1786.

- [10] Gao, F., Pathwise properties and homeomorphic flows for stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 119 (2009) 3356–3382.
- [11] Hairer, M., An introduction to stochastic PDEs. arXiv:0907.4178, 2009.
- [12] Hu, M., Ji, S., Peng, S., and Song, Y., Backward stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 124 (2014) 759–784.
- [13] Hu, M., Ji, X., and Liu, G., On the strong Markov property for stochastic differential equations driven by G -Brownian motion. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 131 (2021) 417–453.
- [14] Hu, M., and Peng, S., On representation theorem of G -expectations and paths of G -Brownian motion. *Acta Math. Appl. Sin. Engl. Ser.* 25 (2009) 539–546.
- [15] Hu, Y., Some recent progress on stochastic heat equations. *Acta Math. Sci.* 39B (2019) 874–914.
- [16] Ji, X., and Peng, S., Spatial and temporal white noises under sublinear G -expectation. *Sci. China Math.* 63 (2020), no. 1, 61–82.
- [17] Khoshnevisan, D., *Analysis of Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2014.
- [18] Krylov, N. V., On Shige Peng’s central limit theorem. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 130 (2020) 1426–1434.
- [19] Krylov, N. V., and Rozovskiĭ, B. L., On the Cauchy problem for linear stochastic partial differential equations. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 41 (1977) 1329–1347.
- [20] Liu, W., and Röckner, M., *Stochastic Partial Differential Equations: An Introduction*. Springer, Cham, 2015.
- [21] Lü, Q., and Zhang, X., *Mathematical Control Theory for Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. *Probab. Theory Stoch. Model.*, 101, Springer, Cham, 2021.
- [22] Pardoux, E., Sur des équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques monotones. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A–B* 275 (1972) A101–A103.
- [23] Pardoux, E., *Équations aux dérivées partielles stochastiques monotones*. Thèse, Univ. Paris–Sud, 1975.
- [24] Pardoux, E., and Peng, S., Backward doubly stochastic differential equations and systems of quasilinear SPDEs. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields* 98 (1994) 209–227.
- [25] Peng, S., G -expectation, G -Brownian motion and related stochastic calculus of Itô’s type. *Stochastic analysis and applications*, 541–567, *Abel Symposia* 2, Springer, Berlin, 2007.
- [26] Peng, S., *Nonlinear Expectations and Stochastic Calculus under Uncertainty: With Robust CLT and G -Brownian Motion*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2019.
- [27] Peng, S., G -Gaussian processes under sublinear expectations and q -Brownian motion in quantum mechanics. *Numer. Algebra Control Optim.* 13 (2023), no. 3–4, 583–603.

- [28] Sanz-Solé, M., and Sarrà, M., Path properties of a class of Gaussian processes with applications to spde's. *Stochastic processes, physics and geometry: New interplays, I* (Leipzig, 1999), 303–316, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2000.
- [29] Song, Y., Normal approximation by Stein's method under sublinear expectations. *Stochastic Process. Appl.* 130 (2020) 2838–2850.
- [30] Walsh, J. B., *An Introduction to Stochastic Partial Differential Equations*. École d'été de Prob. de St-Flour XIV, 1984, 265–439, Lect. Notes in Math., 1180, Springer, Berlin, 1986.