THE AFFINE SUBSPACE CONCENTRATION INEQUALITY FOR CENTERED CONVEX BODIES

KATHARINA ELLER AND ANSGAR FREYER

ABSTRACT. An affine version of the linear subspace concentration inequality as proposed by Wu in [11] is established for centered convex bodies. This generalizes results from [11] and [8] on polytopes to convex bodies

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{K}^n denote the set of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e., the family of all convex and compact subsets $K \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with non-empty interior. The subfamily of convex bodies containing the origin in their interior is denoted by $\mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$ and the subset of origin-symmetric convex bodies, i.e., the sets $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ satisfying K = -K, is denoted by \mathcal{K}^n_e . A convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ is called centered if its centroid c(K) is located at the origin, i.e.,

$$c(K) = \frac{1}{\operatorname{vol}_n(K)} \int_K x \, d\mathcal{H}^n(x) = 0,$$

where \mathcal{H}^n denotes the *n*-dimensional Hausdorff measure. We denote by vol_n the *n*-dimensional volume functional, i.e., the restriction of \mathcal{H}^n to the set of *n*-dimensional convex bodies. The set of all centered convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^n is denoted by \mathcal{K}_c^n . For $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ let $\langle x, y \rangle$ denote the standard inner product on \mathbb{R}^n , and $|x| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$ the Euclidean norm of x.

The study of geometric measures related to convex bodies is a corner stone of convex geometry. One of the central problems in the classical Brunn-Minkowski theory is the Minkowski-Christoffel problem asking for necessary and sufficient conditions characterizing the surface area measures of a convex body among the finite Borel measures on the sphere. For a definition of the surface area measures and an overview of the Minkowski-Christoffel problem we refer to [10, Chapter 8]. In addition to the surface area measures, another important geometric measure is the cone volume measure V_K of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}_{(o)}^n$. It is defined for a Borel set $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ of the sphere by

$$V_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\nu_K^{-1}(\omega)} \langle x, \nu_K(x) \rangle d\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(x),$$

where ν_K is the Gauss map of K, i.e., ν_K is defined on the regular boundary points $\operatorname{bd}'(K)$ of K and assigns each such boundary point its unique outer unit normal vector. The interest in the cone volume measure also stems from the fact that it is a particular instance of an L_p surface area measure (see [10, Section 9.2]) that allows for a geometric interpretation. The geometry behind the cone volume measure is best explained for polytopes: Let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq m\}$ be a polytope in $\mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$. We assume that the

inequalities $\langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1$ are irredundant, i.e., each of them defines a proper facet F_i of P. If we denote by $C_i \subset P$ the convex hull of the facet F_i with the origin (a "cone" in P), one can verify that

$$V_P(\omega) = \sum_{i: \frac{a_i}{|a_i|} \in \omega} \text{vol}(C_i)$$

holds for all $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$. As in the case of the surface area measures, it is natural to ask for necessary and sufficient conditions on a Borel measure μ to be the cone volume measure of a convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$, which leads to the log-Minkowski problem. For *even* measures, i.e., measures μ with $\mu(-\omega) = \mu(\omega)$, this question was settled by Böröczky, Lutwak, Yang and Zhang in [5]. They showed that an even non-zero finite Borel measure μ on \mathbb{S}^{n-1} can be represented as $\mu = V_K$ for some $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_e$, if and only if μ satisfies the (linear) subspace concentration condition, i.e., we have

(1.1)
$$\mu(L \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \le \frac{\dim(L)}{n} \mu(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

for all proper linear subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, and whenever equality holds in (1.1) for some L then there exists a complementary linear subspace L' such that μ is concentrated on $(L \cup L') \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$.

In the general case, the log-Minkowski problem is still open, although further results were obtained in recent years [1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 12]. For an overview on the state of the art regarding the cone volume measure and related problems we refer to the survey article [6]. In particular, it was shown by Henk and Linke in [9] that the cone volume measures of centered polytopes satisfy the subspace concentration condition. This was generalized to arbitrary centered convex bodies Böröczky and Henk in [3]. We state the inequality here.

Theorem 1.1 ([3]). Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$, then

(1.2)
$$V_K(L \cap \mathbb{S}^{n-1}) \le \frac{\dim(L)}{n} V_K(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

holds for all linear subspaces $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Recently, Wu formulated an affine version of the subspace concentration condition for polytopes [11]: Again, let $P = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1, \ 1 \leq i \leq m\}$, where each vector a_i defines a facet of P, and let C_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, be the corresponding cones in P. Then P is said to satisfy the affine subspace concentration condition, if

(1.3)
$$\sum_{i:a_i \in A} \operatorname{vol}(C_i) \le \frac{\dim(A) + 1}{n+1} \operatorname{vol}(P)$$

holds for all proper affine subspaces $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and, moreover, equality holds, if and only if there exists a complementary affine subspace $A' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\{a_1, \ldots, a_m\} \subset A \cup A'$. Here, the term "complementary" is meant in the sense of affine spaces, i.e., $A \cap A' = \emptyset$ and aff $(A \cup A') = \mathbb{R}^n$.

In [11] the affine subspace concentration condition is proven for polytopes that are in addition smooth and reflexive lattice polytopes. We shall not give the definition here, but refer to [11] instead. It seems that the extra condition

of P being a smooth reflexive lattice polytope might not be necessary. In [8] it is shown that the inequality (1.3) holds for all centered polytopes and all affine subspaces. However, the equality cases remain open in general.

Note that the normalization of the inequalities $\langle a_i, x \rangle \leq 1$ determining P to have right hand side 1 is crucial in the affine subspace concentration condition. Renormalizing the vectors a_i would create new affine dependencies and thus a different type of affine subspace concentration condition. In our setting the normalization is natural in that the vectors a_i are the vertices of the polar polytope P^* . Since the cone volume measure V_P is supported on the vectors $\frac{a_i}{|a_i|}$, we can restate (1.3) in terms of the cone volume measure as follows:

$$V_P(\pi(\operatorname{bd}(P^*)\cap A)) \le \frac{\dim(A)}{n+1} V_P(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}),$$

where $\pi: \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ denotes the central projection to the sphere. Presented this way, the inequality could be stated for any convex body in $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$, where $K^* = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \langle a, x \rangle \leq 1, \ \forall x \in K\}$ is the polar convex body. We show that it indeed extends to centered convex bodies.

Theorem 1.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$ be centered and let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine subspace. Then it holds

$$V_K(\pi(\operatorname{bd}(K^*)\cap A)) \le \frac{\dim(A)}{n+1} V_K(\mathbb{S}^{n-1}).$$

In the proof we make use of a lifting argument from [8] for polytopes that we adapt to convex bodies. Moreover, we provide characterizations of the equality case in Theorem 1.2 for two special cases in Section 4.

2. Preliminaries and Notation

For a comprehensive overview of the basic concepts and definitions in convex geometry we refer to [10]. We write \mathbb{S}^{n-1} for the (n-1)-dimensional Euclidean unit sphere, i.e., $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x| = 1\}$. For a convex body K let $\mathrm{bd}(K)$ denote its boundary points.

For a given convex body $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$ the support function $h_K : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$h_K(u) = \max_{x \in K} \langle u, x \rangle.$$

The support function is continuous and positively homogeneous of degree 1. The hyperplane $H_K(u) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle u, x \rangle = h_K(u)\}$ is a supporting hyperplane of K and for a boundary point $v \in \operatorname{bd} K \cap H_K(u)$, the vector u will be called an outer normal vector. If in addition $u \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ then u is an outer unit normal vector. Let $\operatorname{bd}'(K) \subseteq \operatorname{bd}(K)$ be the set of all boundary points having a unique outer unit normal vector, it is called the regular boundary. We remark that the set of boundary points not having a unique outer normal vector has measure zero, that is $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\operatorname{bd}(K) \setminus \operatorname{bd}'(K)) = 0$. The Gauss map (or spherical image map) $\nu_K : \operatorname{bd}'(K) \to \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ maps a point x to its unique outer unit normal vector.

The central projection $\pi_k : \mathbb{R}^k \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{S}^{k-1}$ is given by $\pi_k(x) = |x|^{-1}x$. We simply write π instead of π_k if the ambient dimension is clear from the context.

The cone volume can also be expressed as (cf. Section 9.2 in [10])

$$V_K(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega} h_K(u) dS_K(u)$$

where $S_K(\omega) = \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\nu_K^{-1}(\omega))$ denotes the surface area measure. We will also use a description of the cone volume measure in terms of the volume of sets that we call *star pyramids*. A star pyramid [Q, v] with apex v and (not necessarily convex) base Q is the union of closed segments from Q to v, i.e., $[Q, v] = \bigcup_{x \in Q} [x, v]$. If Q is convex, we have $[Q, v] = \text{conv}(Q \cup \{v\})$.

Lemma 2.1 (Lemma 9.2.4 in [10]). Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$ and $\omega \subset \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ be a Borel set. Then,

$$V_K(\omega) = \mathcal{H}^n\left(\left[\nu_K^{-1}(\omega), 0\right]\right).$$

3. Affine subspace concentration for centered convex bodies

The volume formula for pyramids extends to star pyramids as introduced in Section 2.

Lemma 3.1. Let $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$, $h \neq 0$. Then it holds

$$\mathcal{H}^n([Q \times \{h\}, 0]) = \frac{h}{n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Q).$$

Proof. Note that it holds $[Q \times \{h\}, 0] \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_n = t\} = \frac{t}{h}Q \times \{t\}$. Then we obtain by Cavalieri's principle and the translation invariance of the Hausdorff measure

$$\mathcal{H}^{n}([Q \times \{h\}, 0]) = \int_{0}^{h} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}([Q \times \{h\}, 0] \cap \{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} : x_{n} = t\}) dt$$
$$= \int_{0}^{h} \left(\frac{t}{h}\right)^{n-1} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Q) dt = \frac{h}{n} \mathcal{H}^{n-1}(Q). \qquad \Box$$

For a set $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^k$ we will denote $\widehat{Q} := Q \times \{1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ or $(Q)^{\wedge}$ for longer arguments. For $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$ and $j \geq 1$ we define pyramids by

(3.1)
$$K^{(j)} = [(K^{(j-1)})^{\wedge}, -(n+j)e_{n+j}]$$

where $K^{(0)} = K$. The pyramids $K^{(j)}$ are convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^{n+j} .

Lemma 3.2. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$. Then the following holds:

- i) If K is centered, $K^{(1)}$ is centered.
- ii) $\operatorname{vol}_{n+1}(K^{(1)}) = \frac{n+2}{n+1} \operatorname{vol}_n(K)$.
- iii) If $y \in \operatorname{bd}(K^*)$ is an outer normal vector of $x \in K$, then $(\frac{n+2}{n+1}y, -\frac{1}{n+1})^{\top} \in \operatorname{bd}((K^{(1)})^*)$ is an outer normal vector of $K^{(1)}$ at each point in $[(x,1)^{\top}, -(n+1)e_{n+1}].$
- iv) A regular boundary point of $K^{(1)}$ is either in the relative interior of \widehat{K} , or of the form $\mu(x,1)^{\top} (1-\mu)(n+1)e_{n+1}$ for some $x \in \text{bd}'(K)$, $\mu \in (0,1)$.

Proof. The first statement is another application of Cavalieri's principle (see [8, Lemma 2.1] for details). The second statement follows directly from Lemma 3.1.

For the third statement let $\overline{y} = (\frac{n+2}{n+1}y, -\frac{1}{n+1})^{\top}$ and $\hat{z} = (z, 1)^{\top}$, where $z \in K$ as well as $\mu \in [0, 1]$. Then we have

$$\langle \overline{y}, \mu \hat{z} - (1-\mu)(n+1)e_{n+1} \rangle = \mu \frac{n+2}{n+1} \langle y, z \rangle - \mu \frac{1}{n+1} + (1-\mu).$$

Since $y \in \mathrm{bd}(K)$ we see that

$$\langle \overline{y}, \mu \hat{z} - (1-\mu)(n+1)e_{n+1} \rangle \leq 1$$

with equality for z = x, which proves the claim. The last statement is a direct consequence of iii).

To keep track of the part of the boundary corresponding to an affine subspace $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ within the sequence of pyramids $K^{(j)}$ we introduce the following notation for $j \geq 1$

(3.2)
$$F_{K,A}^{(j)} = [(F_{K,A}^{(j-1)})^{\wedge}, -(n+j)e_{n+j}] \subset K^{(j)}$$

where

$$F_{K,A}^{(0)} = \nu_K^{-1}(\pi_n(\operatorname{bd}(K^*) \cap A)).$$

The corresponding "cones" are star pyramids defined by

$$C_{K,A}^{(j)} = [F_{K,A}^{(j)}, 0]$$

for $j \geq 0$.

Lemma 3.3. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}^n_{(o)}$ and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an affine subspace. Then for $j \geq 1$,

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+j}(C_{K,A}^{(j)}) = \mathcal{H}^n(C_{K,A}^{(0)}).$$

Proof. First we show $\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(C_{K,A}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{H}^n(C_{K,A}^{(0)})$. Set $G_1 = [(C_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}, -(n+1)e_{n+1}]$ and $G_2 = [(C_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}, 0]$. We claim that

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(C_{K,A}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(G_1) - \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(G_2).$$

Because $[(F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}, 0]$ has \mathcal{H}^{n+1} -measure zero - as it is part of the boundary of the convex body $[\widehat{K}, 0]$ - it suffices to show

$$C_{K,A}^{(1)} = (G_1 \setminus G_2) \cup [(F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}, 0].$$

Let $p \in C_{K,A}^{(1)}$, then by construction there exists $x \in (F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}$ and $\lambda, \beta \in [0,1]$ with $p = \beta(\lambda x + (1-\lambda)(-(n+1))e_{n+1}$. Let $E = \text{lin}(\{x, -(n+1)e_{n+1}\})$, this is a two dimensional subspace with $p \in E$. In fact p is contained in the triangle $\text{conv}(\{0, -(n+1)e_{n+1}, x\})$. So if $p \notin [0, x]$ then it holds $p \notin \text{conv}(\{0, e_{n+1}, x\})$. We obtain

$$p \in \text{conv}(\{0, -(n+1)e_{n+1}, x\}) \setminus \text{conv}(\{0, e_{n+1}, x\}) \subseteq G_1 \setminus G_2.$$

On the other hand let $p \in G_1 \setminus G_2$. Then there exists some $x \in (F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}$ such that $p \in \text{conv}(\{-(n+1)e_{n+1}, e_{n+1}, x\})$ and also $p \notin \text{conv}(\{0, e_{n+1}, x\})$. In particular, there exist $\lambda, \mu \in [0, 1]$ with

$$p = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)(\mu(-(n+1)e_{n+1}) + (1 - \mu) \cdot 0)$$

= $(\lambda + (1 - \lambda)\mu) \left(\frac{\lambda}{\lambda + (1 - \lambda)\mu} x + \frac{(1 - \lambda)\mu}{\lambda + (1 - \lambda)\mu} (-(n+1)e_{n+1}) \right).$

This implies $p \in C_{K,A}^{(1)}$. Furthermore by construction we have $(F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge} \subseteq F_{K,A}^{(1)}$ and so $[(F_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}, 0] \subseteq C_{K,A}^{(1)}$. This yields the claim. Using Lemma 3.2 we obtain

$$\mathcal{H}^{n+1}(C_{K,A}^{(1)}) = \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(G_1) - \mathcal{H}^{n+1}(G_2)$$

$$= \frac{n+2}{n+1}\mathcal{H}^n((C_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}) - \frac{1}{n+1}\mathcal{H}^n((C_{K,A}^{(0)})^{\wedge}) = \mathcal{H}^n(C_{K,A}^{(0)}).$$

Analogously one can prove $\mathcal{H}^{n+j}(C_{K,A}^{(j)}) = \mathcal{H}^{n+j-1}(C_{K,A}^{(j-1)})$ for $j \geq 1$. This yields the desired statement.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, we consider the embedding

$$\varphi_k : \mathbb{R}^k \to \mathbb{R}^{k+1}, \quad \varphi_k(x) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{k+2}{k+1}x \\ -\frac{1}{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and we write $\psi_{n+j} := \varphi_{n+j-1} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi_n$, where $j \geq 1$. For j = 0 we define ψ_n to be the identity on \mathbb{R}^n . We seek to apply the linear subspace concentration inequality to the convex bodies $K^{(j)}$ (introduced in (3.1)) and the linear spaces $L^{(j)} := \ln(\psi_{n+j}(A)) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{n+j}$. Note that we have $\dim(L^{(j)}) = \dim(A) + 1$ for all j > 0.

Here we write $F^{(j)} := F^{(j)}_{K,A}$ for the boundary part of the *j*-th pyramid associated to A (cf. (3.2)). By Lemmas 2.1 and 3.3 we have

(3.3)
$$V_K(\pi_n(\operatorname{bd}(K^*) \cap A)) = \mathcal{H}^n([F^{(0)}, 0]) = \mathcal{H}^{n+j}([F^{(j)}, 0]).$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 iii) that

(3.4)
$$\psi_{n+j}(\operatorname{bd} K^{\star}) \subseteq \operatorname{bd}((K^{(j)})^{\star})$$

holds for all i > 0. We claim that

$$(3.5) F^{(j)} \cap \mathrm{bd}'(K^{(j)}) \subseteq \nu_{K^{(j)}}^{-1}(\pi_{n+j} \circ \psi_{n+j}(\mathrm{bd}(K^{\star}) \cap A)).$$

For j=0, this trivially follows from the definition of $F^{(0)}$. For j>0 we argue by induction. Let $x \in F^{(j)} \cap \operatorname{bd}'(K^{(j)})$. Then x can be expressed as

$$x = \mu \hat{y} + (1 - \mu)(-(n + j)e_{n+j}),$$

where $\mu \in (0,1)$, $y \in F^{(j-1)} \cap \operatorname{bd}'(K^{(j-1)})$ and $\hat{y} = (y,1)^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+j}$ (cf. Lemma 3.2). By induction, there exists an outer normal vector of $K^{(j-1)}$ at y of the form $\psi_{n+j-1}(a)$, where $a \in \operatorname{bd}(K^{\star}) \cap A$. By (3.4), we know that $\psi_{n+j-1}(a) \in \operatorname{bd}((K^{(j-1)})^{\star})$. Hence, Lemma 3.2 iii) shows that $\psi_{n+j}(a)$ is the unique (up to positive multiples) outer normal vector of $K^{(j)}$ at x and (3.5) is proven.

It follows from (3.5) and the fact that $L^{(j)}$ is a linear space that

$$F^{(j)} \cap \mathrm{bd}'(K^{(j)}) \subseteq \nu_{K^{(j)}}^{-1}(L^{(j)} \cap \mathbb{S}^{n+j-1}).$$

Since the Hausdorff measure of the non-regular boundary points of $K^{(j)}$ is zero, we obtain from (3.3) that

$$V_K(\pi_n(\operatorname{bd}(K^*)\cap A)) \le V_{K(j)}(L^{(j)}\cap \mathbb{S}^{n+j-1}).$$

Since $K^{(j)}$ is centered and $L^{(j)}$ is $(\dim(A) + 1)$ -dimensional, the linear subspace concentration inequality (cf. Theorem 1.1) yields

$$V_K(\pi_n(\operatorname{bd}(K^*) \cap A)) \le \frac{\dim(A) + 1}{n+j} \operatorname{vol}_{n+j}(K^{(j)})$$
$$= \frac{\dim(A) + 1}{n+j} \cdot \frac{n+j+1}{n+1} \operatorname{vol}_{n+j}(K),$$

where we used Lemma 3.2 to obtain the last line. Taking the limit $j \to \infty$ now yields the desired inequality.

4. Equality cases

Here we extend the characterization of the equality case in Theorem 1.2 to the convex body setting. The corresponding results for centered polytopes are shown in [8].

Theorem 4.1. Let $K \in \mathcal{K}_c^n$.

- i) If $A = \{a\}$, then equality holds in (1.2) if and only if K is a pyramid with base $Q = H_K(a) \cap K$.
- ii) If A is a supporting hyperplane of K^* spanned by boundary point of K^* , then equality holds in (1.2) if and only if K is a pyramid with apex v_A , where v_A is the unique point in K with $\langle v, a \rangle = 1$ for all $a \in A$.

Note that the point v_A from ii) indeed exists. Since A does not pass through the origin, it follows that A can be uniquely expressed as $A = \{a \in \mathbb{R}^n \colon \langle a, v_A \rangle = 1\}$ for some $v_A \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Since A is supporting to K^* it follows that $v_A \in K$.

Proof. The proof of i) can be obtained along the same lines as in [8, Theorem 1.2 i)]; there, only the concavity properties of the section function w.r.t. a^{\perp} are used.

For ii), we adapt an argument from [8]: For a set $\omega \subseteq \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ we consider the function

$$f_{\omega} \colon K \to \mathbb{R}, \quad f_{\omega}(x) = V_{K-x}(\omega).$$

This is an affine function on K. Indeed.

$$V_{K-x}(\omega) = \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega} h_{K-x}(u) \, dS(K - x, u)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \int_{\omega} h_{K}(u) - \langle x, u \rangle \, dS_{K}(u)$$

$$= V_{K}(\omega) - \left\langle x, \int_{\omega} u \, dS_{K}(u) \right\rangle.$$

In the following we consider the set $\omega = \pi(\operatorname{bd}(K^\star) \cap A)$ and abbreviate $f = f_\omega$. Let X be a uniformly distributed random vector in K. For convenience, we assume that $\operatorname{vol}_n(K) = 1$ so that $f(x) \in [0,1]$ for all $x \in K$. Since f is affine and K is centered, we have $V_K(\omega) = f(0) = \mathbb{E}[f(X)]$. On the other hand, we have $\mathbb{E}[f(X)] = 1 - \int_0^1 \mathbb{P}(f(X) < t) \, \mathrm{d} \, t$. For $t \in [0,1]$, let $K(t) = \{x \in K \colon f(x) \le t\}$ be the corresponding sublevel set of f. Note that since f is affine, K(t) is the intersection of K with a halfspace whose normal vector $\int_\omega u \, \mathrm{d} \, S_K(u)$ is the same for all t.

Note that the vector $v_A \in K$ satisfies (by definition) $\langle v_A, u \rangle = h_K(u)$ for all $u \in \omega$. Thus, we obtain from (4.1) that $v_A \in K(0)$. Let $m \in [0, 1]$ denote the maximum of f. Then for any $t \in [0, 1]$ we have the inclusion,

$$K(t) \supseteq \frac{t}{m}K(m) + \frac{t-m}{m}K(0) \supseteq \frac{t}{m}K(m) + \frac{t-m}{m}v_A.$$

Thus,

(4.2)
$$\mathbb{P}(f(X) < t) = \operatorname{vol}_n(K(t)) \ge \operatorname{vol}_n\left(\frac{t}{m}K(m)\right) = \left(\frac{t}{m}\right)^n.$$

Since we have f(x) = 1 for $x \in [m, 1]$ we deduce

$$V_K(\omega) = 1 - \int_0^m \mathbb{P}(f(X) < t) dt - (1 - m) \le \frac{mn}{n+1} \le \frac{n}{n+1}$$

This reproves the affine subspace concentration condition in this particular case. In order to have equality, it is necessary that m=1. Moreover, for the first inequality in the above to be tight, we need equality in (4.2), i.e., $\operatorname{vol}_n(K(t)) = t^n$. Taking derivatives, it follows that $\mathcal{H}^{n-1}(\{x \in K : f(x) = t\}) = nt^{n-1}$. Since f is an affine function this implies that K is a pyramid with apex v_A and base $\{x \in K : f(x) = m\}$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Ansgar Freyer is partially supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) Project P34446-N.

References

- [1] K. Böröczky and P. Kalantzopoulos. Log-brunn-minkowski inequality under symmetry. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 375(08):5987–6013, 2022.
- [2] K. J. Böröczky, P. Heged" us, and G. Zhu. On the discrete logarithmic Minkowski problem. *International Mathematics Research Notices*. IMRN, (6):1807–1838, 2016.
- [3] K. J. Böröczky and M. Henk. Cone-volume measure of general centered convex bodies. Advances in Mathematics, 286:703-721, 2016.
- [4] K. J. Böröczky and M. Henk. Cone-volume measure and stability. Advances in Mathematics, 306:24–50, 2017.
- [5] K. J. Böröczky, E. Lutwak, D. Yang, and G. Zhang. The logarithmic Minkowski problem. *Journal of the American Mathematical Society*, 26(3):831–852, 2013.
- [6] K. J. Böröczky. The logarithmic Minkowski conjecture and the L_p-Minkowski problem, pages 83–118. De Gruyter, Berlin, Boston, 2023.
- [7] S. Chen, Q.-R. Li, and G. Zhu. The logarithmic Minkowski problem for non-symmetric measures. Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 371(4):2623–2641, 2019.
- [8] A. Freyer, M. Henk, and C. Kipp. Affine subspace concentration conditions for centered polytopes. *Mathematika*, 69(2):458–472, 2023.

- [9] M. Henk and E. Linke. Cone-volume measures of polytopes. Advances in Mathematics, $253:50-62,\ 2014.$
- [10] R. Schneider. Convex bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski theory. Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications. Cambridge University Press, 2 edition, 2013.
- [11] K.-Y. Wu. Affine subspace concentration conditions. Épijournal de Géométrie Algébrique, 7, 2023.
- [12] G. Zhu. The logarithmic Minkowski problem for polytopes. Advances in Mathematics, 262:909–931, 2014.

Institut für Mathematik, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 136, 10623 Berlin, Deutschland

Email address: eller@math.tu-berlin.de

Institut für Diskrete Mathematik und Geometrie, Technische Universität Wien, Wiedner Hauptstrasse 8-10/1046, 1040 Wien, Austria

 $Email\ address: {\tt ansgar.freyer@tuwien.ac.at}$