

On the Twisted Spectral Large Sieve Inequality for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$

Zhi Qi

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we extend the twisted spectral large sieve inequalities of Deshouillers, Iwaniec, Luo, and Young from $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ onto $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$.

1. Introduction

1.1. Backgrounds. Let $u_j(z)$ be an orthonormal basis of Hecke–Maass cusp forms for the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. Let $\lambda_j = s_j(1 - s_j)$ and $\lambda_j(n)$ be the Laplace eigenvalue and the n -th Hecke eigenvalue of $u_j(z)$. Set $s_j = 1/2 + it_j$ ($t_j > 0$). Let $L(s, u_j)$ and $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 u_j)$ be the standard and the symmetric square L -functions associated to $u_j(z)$.

The non-vanishing condition of a certain GL_2 Rankin–Selberg convolution with $u_j(z)$ at the special point s_j arises in the Phillips–Sarnak deformation theory of cusp forms [PS]. This motivates Deshouillers, Iwaniec, and Luo [DI, Luo2] to establish the following large sieve inequalities for the special twisted Hecke eigenvalues $\lambda_j(n)n^{it_j}$:

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} \frac{1}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 u_j)} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \lambda_j(n) n^{it_j} \right|^2 \ll (T^2 + N^2)(TN)^\epsilon \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} \frac{1}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 u_j)} \left| \sum_{n \leq N} a_n \lambda_j(n) n^{it_j} \right|^2 \ll (T^2 + T^{3/2}N^{1/2} + N^{5/4})(TN)^\epsilon \sum_{n \leq N} |a_n|^2,$$

for any complex a_n .

The reader is referred to [DI, DIPS, Luo1, Luo3, Luo4] on the non-vanishing problem—it has been settled by Luo in [Luo3].

The large sieve inequalities are very useful for the study of moments of L -functions. For instance, by (1.2) Luo proved ([Luo2, (13), (14)]):

$$(1.3) \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} |L(s_j, u_j)|^4 \ll T^{2+\epsilon}, \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} |L(s_j, u_j)|^6 \ll T^{9/4+\epsilon}, \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} |L(s_j, u_j)|^8 \ll T^{5/2+\epsilon};$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11F30, 11F72, 33C10.

Key words and phrases. large sieve inequality, spectral Kuznetsov formula.

The author was supported by National Key R&D Program of China No. 2022YFA1005300 and National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 12071420.

Luo did not write down the bound in the middle as it follows from the other two by Cauchy–Schwarz. More recently, Young [You] and Chandee–Li [CL] improved Luo’s bounds $T^{9/4+\varepsilon}$ and $T^{5/2+\varepsilon}$ into the optimal mean-Lindelöf bound $T^{2+\varepsilon}$, and moreover, for any fixed $\mathrm{SL}_3(\mathbb{Z})$ or $\mathrm{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$ Hecke–Maass form ϕ , they proved

$$(1.4) \quad \sum_{t_j \leq T} |L(s_j, u_j \times \phi)|^2 \ll T^{2+\varepsilon}.$$

Their approach utilizes a refinement of Luo’s large sieve in asymptotic form, due to Young [You, Theorem 7.1], which is conducive to further analysis using the Voronoï summation for the Fourier coefficients of ϕ .

The main purpose of this paper is to extend the twisted large sieve inequalities of Deshouillers, Iwaniec, and Luo, as well as the refinement of Young from the modular group $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ to the Picard group $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$. It should be remarked that an extra weaker error term arises in the complex context, which is satisfactory in the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ but *not* in the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_4$ setting.

1.2. Notation. Denote $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ and $\mathfrak{O} = \mathbb{Z}[i]$. Let \mathfrak{O}^\times be the group of units and $\mathfrak{O}/\mathfrak{O}^\times$ denote the set of ideals, usually identified with the Gaussian integers in the first quadrant. The letters m, n, c , and q will be reserved for (non-zero) Gaussian integers in \mathfrak{O} . Let (n) denote the ideal $n\mathfrak{O}$.

The letters u, v, w , and z will be reserved for complex variables in \mathbb{C} . Let dz be the Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{C} .

Let $e[z] = \exp(2\pi i \mathrm{Re}(z))$. For $m, n \in \mathfrak{O}$ and $c, q \in \mathfrak{O} \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$(1.5) \quad S(m, n; c) = \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^\star e\left[\frac{\alpha m + \bar{\alpha} n}{c}\right],$$

$$(1.6) \quad V_q(m, n; c) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha \pmod{c} \\ (\alpha(q-\alpha), c) = (1)}} e\left[\frac{\bar{\alpha} m + q - \alpha n}{c}\right],$$

where the \star indicates the condition $(\alpha, c) = (1)$ and $\bar{\alpha}$ is given by $\alpha\bar{\alpha} \equiv 1 \pmod{c}$.

The (unitary) characters on \mathbb{C}^\times are of the form

$$(1.7) \quad \chi_{i\kappa, p}(z) = |z|^{i\kappa} (z/|z|)^p,$$

for κ real and p integral, so we introduce $\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ to parameterize the (Mellin) unitary dual of \mathbb{C}^\times . Define $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$, which will be considered as the (Fourier) dual of \mathcal{A} . Let $d\mu(\kappa, p)$ or $d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega)$ denote the usual Lebesgue measure on \mathcal{A} or $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$ respectively. For simplicity, write $\chi_p(z) = \chi_{0, p}(z)$.

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$(1.8) \quad \zeta(s, p) = \sum_{(n) \subset \mathfrak{O}} \frac{\chi_{4p}(n)}{|n|^{2s}}, \quad (\mathrm{Re}(s) > 1),$$

to be the Hecke ζ function associated to the Grössencharakter $\chi_{4p} : (n) \rightarrow (n/|n|)^{4p}$, and define

$$(1.9) \quad \tau_{s, p}(n) = \sum_{(a)(b)=(n)} \chi_{4p}(a/b) |a/b|^{2s}.$$

Note that $\tau_{-s, -p}(n) = \tau_{s, p}(n)$.

For $(\kappa, p) \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\pi_{i\kappa, p}$ be the unitary principle series of $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$: the unique infinite dimensional constituent of the representation unitarily induced from the character

$$\chi_{i\kappa, p} : \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{z} & v \\ & 1/\sqrt{z} \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow |z|^{i\kappa} (z/|z|)^p.$$

Note that $\pi_{-i\kappa, -p} \approx \pi_{i\kappa, p}$. As the Selberg conjecture holds for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{O})$, we do not consider complementary series.

Let $L_c^2(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{O}) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$ be the space of cusp forms for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{O})$. Let Π_c denote the discrete spectrum of the irreducible constituents of $L_c^2(\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{O}) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C}))$.

It may be assumed that each $\pi \in \Pi_c$ is Hecke invariant. Let $\lambda_\pi(n)$ be the Hecke eigenvalues of π . It is known that $\lambda_\pi(n)$ are all real. Note that the $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{O})$ -invariance ensures that $\lambda_\pi(n)$ only depends on the ideal (n) .

For $\pi \in \Pi_c$, let (κ_π, p_π) be the parameter $(\kappa, p) \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $\pi \simeq \pi_{i\kappa, p}$.

Let $L(s, \pi)$ and $L(s, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \pi)$ be the standard and the symmetric square L -functions attached to π .

In this paper, we shall mainly consider those π with $p_\pi \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Let Π_c^\natural be the set of such π . Define

$$\Pi_c^\natural(K, P) = \{\pi \in \Pi_c^\natural : |\kappa_\pi| \leq K, |p_\pi| \leq P\}, \quad \Pi_c^\natural(T) = \{\pi \in \Pi_c^\natural : |\kappa_\pi|, |p_\pi| \leq T\}.$$

As a convention, throughout the paper, ε is arbitrarily small and its value may differ from one occurrence to another.

1.3. Main Results. The main results of this paper are the analogs over $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ of the twisted spectral large sieve inequalities of Deshouillers, Iwaniec, and Luo.

THEOREM 1. *Let $K, P, N \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be real numbers. Let $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{O}/\mathbb{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a sequence. Define*

$$(1.10) \quad \mathcal{C}(K, P, N) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^\natural(K, P)} \frac{1}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \pi)} \left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_{(n)} \lambda_\pi(n) \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi}(n) \right|^2.$$

Then

$$(1.11) \quad \mathcal{C}(K, P, N) \ll (K^2 + P^2)(KP + N^2)N^\varepsilon \sum_{|n| \leq N} |a_{(n)}|^2.$$

THEOREM 2. *Let $T, N \geq 1$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\mathbf{a} : \mathbb{O}/\mathbb{O}^\times \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Define*

$$(1.12) \quad \mathcal{C}(T, N) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^\natural(T)} \frac{1}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \pi)} \left| \sum_{|n| \leq N} a_{(n)} \lambda_\pi(n) \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi}(n) \right|^2.$$

Then for $N > T$ we have

$$(1.13) \quad \mathcal{C}(T, N) \ll (T^3 N + TN^2 + N^{5/2})N^\varepsilon \sum_{|n| \leq N} |a_{(n)}|^2.$$

THEOREM 2'. *Let the notation be as in Theorem 1. We have the bound*

$$(1.14) \quad \mathcal{C}(K, P, N) \ll ((K^2 + P^2)KP + (K + P)^3 N + (K + P)N^2 + N^{5/2})N^\varepsilon \sum_{|n| \leq N} |a_{(n)}|^2.$$

In the case $N > K + P$, Theorem 2' follows from Theorem 2 by the embedding $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P) \hookrightarrow \Pi_c^{\natural}(K + P)$. In the case $N \leq K + P$, Theorem 2' follows directly from Theorem 1.

Note that the bound in (1.11) is better in the N -aspect than Deshouillers and Iwaniec's as in (1.1), while the bound in (1.14) is relatively weaker than Luo's as in (1.2). The term TN^2 in (1.13), which corresponds to the $(K + P)N^2$ in (1.14), arises in the approximation of certain trigonometric-hyperbolic functions. It is understood that if one changes the setting from \mathbb{R} to \mathbb{C} , then the degree (of everything) needs to be doubled, but unfortunately it is not the case for our approximation. For the details see Remark 8.1.

Theorem 1 will be derived right after the application of Kuznetsov in §7, while Theorem 2 will require more delicate analysis: simply speaking, there is cancellation between the continuous spectrum and the Kloosterman part as observed by Luo [Luo2]. However, instead of the Euler–Maclaurin formula used by Luo [Luo2], we follow Iwaniec, Li, and Young [IL, You] and apply Poisson summation after the application of Kuznetsov and a certain approximation of the Bessel integral. Moreover, we remark that our analysis is facilitated by the choice of Gaussian test functions and their self-dual property under the Fourier transform.

The reader might wonder:

- (1) Why do we work on $\Pi_c^{\natural}(T)$ as in Theorem 2?
- (2) Why do we use the embedding $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P) \hookrightarrow \Pi_c^{\natural}(K + P)$ rather than work on $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)$ directly in the proof of Theorem 2'?

Of course, the case of $\Pi_c^{\natural}(T)$ is considered to be simpler, but the real reason is that in the case of $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)$ there would always be a loss of power of $K/P + P/K$ at each step of the analysis, and the accumulation of such losses will eventually yields a worse bound, so one has *no* benefit at all to work on $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)$ instead of $\Pi_c^{\natural}(T)$. The reader is referred to [Qi2, §1.5] for the discussion of a simpler example.

1.4. Bounds for the Moments of L -functions with Special Twists. For $\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}$ consider the L -function of π with the special twist $\chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}$:

$$L(s, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}) = \sum_{(n) \subset \mathbb{O}} \frac{\lambda_{\pi}(n) \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}(n)}{|n|^{2s}}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1);$$

of course it may also be written as $L(s - i\kappa_{\pi}/2, \pi \times \chi_{p_{\pi}})$. The twisted L -function admits analytic continuation to the whole s -plane and satisfies the functional equation

$$\Lambda(s, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}) = \Lambda(1 - s, \pi \times \bar{\chi}_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}),$$

where

$$\Lambda(s, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}) = \pi^{-2s} \Gamma(s) \Gamma(s + i\kappa_{\pi} + |p_{\pi}|) L(s, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}).$$

It is a special feature that the analytic conductor is dropped from $|i\kappa_{\pi} + p_{\pi}|^4$ down to $|i\kappa_{\pi} + p_{\pi}|^2$ due to the twist by $\chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}}$, so that $L(s, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}})$ behaves like the Hecke ζ function for a Größencharakter, although the coefficients are genuine GL_2 objects. Consequently, for $\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)$ one may approximate $L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_{\pi}, p_{\pi}})$ very well by its partial sum over $|n| \leq (K + P)^{1/2 + \varepsilon}$.

One may prove

$$(1.15) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^2 \ll KP(K^2 + P^2)^{1+\varepsilon},$$

by Theorem 1, and, similar to Luo's moment bounds in (1.3),

$$(1.16) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^4 \ll (K + P)^{4+\varepsilon},$$

$$(1.17) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^6 \ll (K + P)^{9/2+\varepsilon},$$

$$(1.18) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^8 \ll (K + P)^{5+\varepsilon},$$

by Theorem 2'. In view of the embedding $\Pi_c^{\natural}(K, P) \hookrightarrow \Pi_c^{\natural}(K + P)$ in the argument above, the most optimistic bounds by the method are $O((K + P)^{4+\varepsilon})$. Thus the mean-Lindelöf bounds over $\Pi_c^{\natural}(T)$ are the best results we can hope for at present.

CONJECTURE. *The following mean-Lindelöf bounds should hold:*

$$(1.19) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(T)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^6 \ll T^{4+\varepsilon}, \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(T)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^8 \ll T^{4+\varepsilon},$$

and

$$(1.20) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}(T)} |L(1/2, \pi \times \phi \times \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi})|^2 \ll T^{4+\varepsilon},$$

if ϕ is a given cuspidal automorphic representation for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ or $\mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{C})$.

1.5. Setup. Let

$$(1.21) \quad h(\kappa, p) = \exp\left(-\left(\frac{\kappa}{K}\right)^2 - \left(\frac{p}{P}\right)^2\right).$$

Assume as we may that $a_{(n)}$ is a real sequence and that $a_{(n)} = 0$ unless $N < |n| \leq 2N$. Define

$$\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2 = \left(\sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} |a_{(n)}|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Subsequently, we shall deal with the smoothed

$$(1.22) \quad \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}} \frac{h(\kappa_\pi, p_\pi)}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2 \pi)} \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} \lambda_\pi(n) \chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi}(n) \right|^2,$$

and

$$(1.23) \quad \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{h(2\kappa, 4p)}{|\zeta(1 + 2i\kappa, 2p)|^2} \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} \tau_{i\kappa, p}(n) \chi_{2i\kappa, 4p}(n) \right|^2 d\mu(\kappa, p).$$

Note that one may as well change $\pi \in \Pi_c^{\natural}$ into $\pi \in \Pi_c$ in (1.22), as the n -sum vanishes if $\chi_{i\kappa_\pi, p_\pi}(n)$ is not a Grössencharakter. This simple observation will be needed when we apply the Kuznetsov trace formula.

1.6. Strategy for the Proof of Theorem 2. In the rest of Introduction, set $K = P = T$. Define the bilinear form

$$(1.24) \quad \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) = T^2 \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \sigma(m, n), \quad \sigma(m, n) = \sum_c \frac{S(m, 0; c) S(n, 0; c)}{|c|^4}.$$

The idea for the proof of Theorem 2 is to extract the same main term—a multiple of $\Sigma(\mathbf{a})$ —from the Eisenstein series contribution $\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a})$ and the Kloosterman part in $\mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a})$ after the Kuznetsov formula, and then do the cancellation. More precisely, we shall prove the following asymptotic formulae.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let $N > T$. Then*

$$(1.25) \quad \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2).$$

PROPOSITION 4. *Let $N > T$. Then*

$$(1.26) \quad \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O\left(\left(T^3 \min\{N, T^3\} + TN^2 + \frac{N^3}{T^2}\right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right).$$

It follows from Propositions 3 and 4 that

$$(1.27) \quad \mathfrak{C}(T, N) \ll \left(T^3 \min\{N, T^3\} + TN^2 + \frac{N^3}{T^2}\right) N^\varepsilon \sum_{|n| \leq N} |a_{(n)}|^2,$$

as long as $N > T$. Since $\mathfrak{C}(T, N)$ is non-decreasing in T , on replacing T by $T + \sqrt{N}$ we arrive at (1.13) in Theorem 2.

1.7. Refined Large Sieve. Finally, we shall also establish (see in particular Proposition 15) the analog of Young’s refined large sieve [You, Theorem 7.1].

THEOREM 5. *Let $1 \leq X \leq T < N$. Then*

$$(1.28) \quad \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{a}) = S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) + O\left(\left(TN^2 + \frac{N^3}{T^2} + \frac{T^2 N^2}{X^2}\right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right),$$

with

$$(1.29) \quad S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) \ll T^4 N^\varepsilon \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^2} \sum_{0 < |q| \leq |c| N^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \iint_{\overline{D}_{N^\varepsilon/T}} \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} S(n, q; c) e\left[\frac{n}{c} u\right] \right|^2 du,$$

where D_ρ is the disc of radius ρ centered at the origin.

Note that the error terms in (1.28) are all $O(T^{4+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$ as long as $N \leq T^{3/2+\varepsilon}$ if we choose $X \approx T^{1/2}$. Therefore, given the asymptotic formula for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$ Bessel functions in [Qi1, Theorem 16.6] (indeed for $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$), it seems possible to extend the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_3$ mean-Lindelöf bound of Young [You, Theorem 3.1] by applying the Voronoi summation for $\mathrm{GL}_3(\mathbb{C})$. However, the term TN^2 exceeds $T^{4+\varepsilon}$ by T for $N = T^{2+\varepsilon}$, so it is not yet possible to extend the $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_4$ result of Chandee and Li [CL].

2. Spectral Kuznetsov Trace Formula for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i])$

Our main tool is the spectral Kuznetsov trace formula of Bruggeman and Motohashi over the Gaussian field \mathbb{F} [BM, Theorem 10.1]. The version here in our set of notation is from [Qi2, Lemma 5].

For $\nu \in \mathbb{C}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, and $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, define

$$(2.1) \quad J_{\nu,p}(z) = J_{\nu+p}(z)J_{\nu-p}(\bar{z}),$$

$$(2.2) \quad \mathbf{J}_{\nu,p}(z) = \frac{2\pi^2}{\sin(\pi\nu)}(J_{-\nu,-p}(z) - J_{\nu,p}(z)),$$

where $J_\nu(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind (see [Wat]).

LEMMA 6. *Let the notation be as in §1.2. Let $h(\kappa, p)$ be an even function on \mathcal{A} that admits an entire analytic continuation $h(\kappa + i\sigma, p)$ so that it decays rapidly in both κ and p , uniformly for σ on bounded intervals. For $m, n \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$, we have the identity:*

$$(2.3) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \Pi_c} \frac{\lambda_\pi(m)\lambda_\pi(n)}{L(1, \mathrm{Sym}^2\pi)} h(\kappa_\pi, p_\pi) + \frac{1}{\pi} \iint_{\mathcal{A}} \frac{\tau_{i\kappa,p}(m)\tau_{i\kappa,p}(n)}{|\zeta(1+2i\kappa, 2p)|^2} h(2\kappa, 4p) d\mu(\kappa, p) \\ = \frac{1}{16\pi^3} \delta_{(m),(n)} \cdot \mathcal{H} + \frac{1}{64\pi^3} \sum_{\epsilon \in \mathbb{O}^\times / \mathbb{O}^\times 2} \sum_{c \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}} \frac{S(m, \epsilon n; c)}{|c|^2} \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{\epsilon mn}}{c}\right),$$

where $\delta_{(m),(n)}$ is the Kronecker δ symbol for ideals, \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{H}(z)$ are the Plancherel and Bessel integrals defined by

$$(2.4) \quad \mathcal{H} = \iint_{\mathcal{A}} h(\kappa, p)(\kappa^2 + p^2) d\mu(\kappa, p), \quad \mathcal{H}(z) = \iint_{\mathcal{A}} h(\kappa, p) \mathbf{J}_{i\kappa,p}(z)(\kappa^2 + p^2) d\mu(\kappa, p).$$

3. Preliminary Analysis for the Bessel Integral

For $u \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$, let

$$(3.1) \quad h(\kappa, p; u) = h(\kappa, p) \cos(2\kappa \log |u| + 2p \arg(u)),$$

where $h(\kappa, p)$ is defined as in (1.21) (not in §2). In this section, we investigate the associated Bessel integral

$$(3.2) \quad \mathcal{H}(z; u) = \iint_{\mathcal{A}} h(\kappa, p; u) \mathbf{J}_{i\kappa,p}(z)(\kappa^2 + p^2) d\mu(\kappa, p).$$

To this end, we follow closely the line of arguments in §4 of [Qi2]. Note that $h(\kappa, p; 1) = h(\kappa, p)$, so Lemmas 7 and 8 below may be viewed as a generalization of Lemmas 5 and 6 in [Qi2]. However, unlike [Qi2], the formulae in Lemmas 7 and 8 are too complicated and not directly applicable for our purpose—further analysis will be conducted in the next section §4 (in the square case $K = P = T$).

LEMMA 7. *We have the formula*

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{H}(z; u) = \iint_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \cos(\mathrm{Re}(v\mathrm{tr}h(r, \omega) - w\mathrm{tr}h'(r, \omega))) f(r, \omega) d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega),$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad v = zu + z/u, \quad w = zu - z/u,$$

$$(3.5) \quad \text{trh}(r, \omega) = \cosh r \cos \omega + i \sinh r \sin \omega, \quad \text{trh}'(r, \omega) = \sinh r \cos \omega + i \cosh r \sin \omega,$$

$$(3.6) \quad f(r, \omega) = -k''(r)\theta(\omega) - k(r)\theta''(\omega),$$

$$(3.7) \quad k(r) = \sqrt{\pi}K \exp(- (Kr)^2), \quad \theta(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi}P \sum \exp(- (P(\omega + \pi p))^2).$$

LEMMA 8. *We have the formula*

$$(3.8) \quad \mathcal{H}(z; u) = \iint_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \cos(\text{Re}(v\text{trh}(r, \omega) - w\text{trh}'(r, \omega)))g(r, \omega; v, w)k(r)\theta(\omega)d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega),$$

where $g(r, \omega; v, w)$ is defined to be

$$(3.9) \quad (\sinh^2 r + \sin^2 \omega)|v|^2 + (\cosh^2 r - \sin^2 \omega)|w|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\text{Re}((\sinh 2r + i \sin 2\omega)v\bar{w}).$$

Later, it will be more convenient to consider the variant Bessel integral

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{G}(v, w) = \iint_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \exp(i\text{Re}(v(\text{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\text{Re}(w\text{trh}'(r, \omega)))f(r, \omega)d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega),$$

or, in the second form,

$$(3.11) \quad \iint_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \exp(i\text{Re}(v(\text{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\text{Re}(w\text{trh}'(r, \omega)))g(r, \omega; v, w)k(r)\theta(\omega)d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega).$$

In practice, for $m, n, c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$(3.12) \quad z = \frac{2\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}, \quad u = \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}},$$

as in (6.2), and hence

$$(3.13) \quad v = 2\pi\frac{m+n}{c}, \quad w = 2\pi\frac{m-n}{c},$$

as in (6.3).

A pleasant feature is that m and n become separate inside the integrals. The first formula (3.3) or its variant (3.10) is of a simpler form and will be used for the analysis in §4. The second formula (3.8) or (3.11), in particular, the factors $|v|^2$, $|w|^2$, $v\bar{w}$ as in (3.9), will be used mainly to ensure the decay of the c -sum in Kuznetsov.

PROOF OF LEMMA 7. From [Qi2, (4.7)], we invoke the integral representation:

$$(3.14) \quad \mathbf{J}_{i\kappa, p}(xe^{i\phi}) = 4\pi i^{2p} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \bar{\chi}_{2p}(\cosh(r + i\phi))J_{2p}(2x|\cosh(r + i\phi)|) \exp(2ir\kappa)dr,$$

where $\chi_{2p}(z) = (z/|z|)^{2p}$ as in §1.2. For $|r| > 1$, we have the uniform bound

$$J_{2p}(2x|\cosh(r + i\phi)|) \ll \frac{\sqrt{1+p^2}}{\sqrt{x \cosh r}}.$$

Thus the triple integral obtained by inserting (3.14) into (3.2) is absolutely convergent, and hence it is permissible to change the order of integration.

Now let the r -integral as in (3.14) be the outermost, and apply the following variant of the Bessel formula (as in [Qi2, (4.8)]):

$$(3.15) \quad \bar{\chi}_{2p}(a)J_{2p}(|a|) = \frac{1}{2\pi i^{2p}} \int_0^{2\pi} \exp(2ip\omega + i\text{Re}(ae^{i\omega}))d\omega,$$

with $a = 2x \cosh(r + i\phi)$ so that $\mathrm{Re}(ae^{i\omega}) = 2x \mathrm{trh}(r, \omega; \phi)$, where

$$\mathrm{trh}(r, \omega; \phi) = \cosh r \cos \omega \cos \phi - \sinh r \sin \omega \sin \phi.$$

It follows that the Bessel integral $\mathcal{H}(xe^{i\phi}; ye^{i\theta})$ is equal to

$$4 \iint_{\hat{\mathcal{A}}} \cos(2x \mathrm{trh}(r, \omega; \phi)) d\hat{\mu}(r, \omega) \\ \cdot \iint_{\mathcal{A}} \cos(2\kappa(r + \log y) + 2p(\omega + \theta)) k(\kappa, p) (\kappa^2 + p^2) d\mu(\kappa, p),$$

in the notation of §1.2 ($\mathcal{A} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{A}} = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}/\pi\mathbb{Z}$).

Next, we make the change of variables $r \rightarrow r - \log y$ and $\omega \rightarrow \omega - \theta$. By a simple calculation, we find that $2x \mathrm{trh}(r - \log y, \omega - \theta; \phi)$ is equal to

$$xy \cdot (\cosh r - \sinh r) \cos(\omega - \theta - \phi) + x/y \cdot (\cosh r + \sinh r) \cos(\omega - \theta + \phi).$$

Further, for $z = xe^{i\phi}$ and $u = ye^{i\theta}$, this may be reformulated into

$$\mathrm{Re}(v \mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - w \mathrm{trh}'(r, \omega)),$$

as defined by (3.4) and (3.5). Moreover, after the change of variables, the integral

$$4 \iint_{\mathcal{A}} \cos(2\kappa r + 2p\omega) k(\kappa, p) (\kappa^2 + p^2) d\mu(\kappa, p)$$

may be easily evaluated by

$$\int \cos(2r\kappa) \exp(-(\kappa/K)^2) d\kappa = \sqrt{\pi} K \exp(-(Kr)^2),$$

and (by Poisson)

$$\sum \cos(2\omega p) \exp(-(p/P)^2) = \sqrt{\pi} P \sum \exp(-(P(\omega + \pi p))^2),$$

yielding $f(r, \omega)$ as given by (3.6) and (3.7). Q.E.D.

PROOF OF LEMMA 8. In view of (3.3) and (3.6), the formula in (3.8) follows from partial integration, along with the identity

$$((\partial/\partial r)^2 + (\partial/\partial \omega)^2) \cos(\mathrm{Re}(v \mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - w \mathrm{trh}'(r, \omega))) \\ = -g(r, \omega; v, w) \cdot \cos(\mathrm{Re}(v \mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - w \mathrm{trh}'(r, \omega))).$$

Q.E.D.

4. Further Analysis for the Bessel Integral

This section is for the asymptotic analysis of the variant Bessel integral $\mathcal{G}(v, w)$ as in (3.10), and may be considered as the prelude to §8. However, in practice, the \mathbf{a} -average will be inside the integrals, and one has to first apply bounds for the quadratic forms of \mathbf{a} , so the results here are not intended for direct applications.

Let $K = P = T$ throughout this section.

We start with the formula in (3.10) and simplify it in *four* steps:

Step 1: Truncate smoothly the double integral in (3.10) at $|r|, |\omega| \asymp T^\varepsilon/T$, so that the integral $\mathcal{G}(v, w)$ is turned into

$$\int_{-T^\varepsilon/T}^{T^\varepsilon/T} \int_{-T^\varepsilon/T}^{T^\varepsilon/T} \exp(i\operatorname{Re}(v(\operatorname{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\operatorname{Re}(w\operatorname{trh}'(r, \omega))) f(r, \omega) \tau(r, \omega) dr d\omega,$$

up to an error term $O(T^2 \exp(-T^\varepsilon))$, where $\tau(r, \omega)$ is a suitable cut-off function of the indicated square support and bounds

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} \tau(r, \omega)}{\partial r^\alpha \partial \omega^\beta} \ll_{\alpha, \beta} T^{\gamma - \varepsilon \gamma}, \quad \gamma = \alpha + \beta.$$

Step 2: In the above integral, approximate the weight $f(r, \omega)$ (see (3.6) and (3.7)) by

$$(4.1) \quad f_{\natural}(r, \omega) = -k''(r)k(\omega) - k(r)k''(\omega),$$

where

$$(4.2) \quad k(r) = \sqrt{\pi}T \exp(-(Tr)^2), \quad k(\omega) = \sqrt{\pi}T \exp(-T\omega)^2);$$

the error term is dominated by that in Step 1.

LEMMA 9. *Define*

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\natural}(v, w) = \iint \exp(i\operatorname{Re}(v(\operatorname{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\operatorname{Re}(w\operatorname{trh}'(r, \omega))) f_{\natural}(r, \omega) \tau(r, \omega) dr d\omega.$$

We have the bound

$$(4.4) \quad \mathcal{G}_{\natural}(v, w) \ll_{\gamma} T^2 \left(\frac{T}{|w|} + \frac{1}{T} \frac{|v|^2}{|w|^3} \right)^{2\gamma}$$

for any integer $\gamma \geq 0$.

PROOF. Recall the definition of $g(r, \omega; v, w)$ as in (3.9). We introduce the differential operators

$$D = -\frac{1}{g(r, \omega; v, w)} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} \right), \quad D^* = -\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \omega^2} \right) \frac{1}{g(r, \omega; v, w)};$$

D^* is the dual of D . Note that $\exp(i\operatorname{Re}(v(\operatorname{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\operatorname{Re}(w\operatorname{trh}'(r, \omega)))$ is invariant under D (see the proof of Lemma 8), so D^* may be executed repeatedly. By induction we may prove that $D^{*\gamma}(f_{\natural}(r, \omega)\tau(r, \omega))$ is a linear combination of quotients of the form

$$\frac{\partial^\alpha (f_{\natural}(r, \omega)\tau(r, \omega)) \prod (\partial^\beta g(r, \omega; v, w))^{k_\beta}}{g(r, \omega; v, w)^{\gamma+k}}, \quad \sum k_\beta = k, \quad \alpha + \sum k_\beta \beta = 2\gamma,$$

where ∂^α is the shorthand for any partial derivative of degree α . It is then an easy excise to derive (4.4). Note that $g(r, \omega; v, w)$ is dominated by $|w|^2$ due to the $\cosh^2 r$ and that its *first* derivative $\partial g(r, \omega; v, w)$ contains either $\sinh 2r \cdot |v|^2$ or $\sin 2\omega \cdot |v|^2$ (hence the factor $1/T$). Q.E.D.

Step 3: In view of (see (3.5))

$$\mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) = 1 + O(r^2 + \omega^2), \quad \mathrm{trh}'(r, \omega) = r + i\omega + O(r^3 + \omega^3),$$

further replace $\exp(i\mathrm{Re}(v(\mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - 1))) \cos(\mathrm{Re}(w\mathrm{trh}'(r, \omega)))$ by

$$(4.5) \quad \cos(\mathrm{Re}(w(r + i\omega))) + O((|v| + |w|)(r^2 + \omega^2)),$$

so that the integral $\mathcal{G}_1(v, w)$ is simplified into

$$\iint \cos(\mathrm{Re}(w(r + i\omega))) f_{\frac{1}{2}}(r, \omega) \tau(r, \omega) dr d\omega,$$

up an error term $O(|v| + |w|)$.

Step 4: Extend the integral domain onto \mathbb{R}^2 , with the cut-off function $\tau(r, \omega)$ removed and an error term added as in Step 1, and conclude by a simple evaluation of the double Fourier integral:

$$\iint \cos(\mathrm{Re}(w(r + i\omega))) f_{\frac{1}{2}}(r, \omega) dr d\omega = |\pi w|^2 \exp(-(|w|/2T)^2).$$

Note that only Step 3 contributes an essential error term $O(|v| + |w|)$.

LEMMA 10. *We have the asymptotic formula*

$$(4.6) \quad \mathcal{G}(v, w) = |\pi w|^2 h(w/2) + O(|v| + |w| + T^2 \exp(-T^\epsilon)),$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad h(z) = \exp\left(-\frac{|z|^2}{T^2}\right).$$

5. Quadratic Forms and Large Sieve

In this section, we recollect simple bounds for quadratic forms with Kloosterman sums and establish hybrid variants of the large sieve inequality. Subsequently, Π, Λ, M, N, C will always denote positive parameters.

5.1. Bounds for Quadratic Forms.

LEMMA 11. *Let $\mathbf{b} : \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $c \in \mathbb{O} \setminus \{0\}$. We have*

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{M < |m| \leq M + \Pi \\ N < |n| \leq N + \Lambda}} |b_m \bar{b}_n S(m, n; c)| \ll \tau^2(c) |c| \cdot \Pi \|\mathbf{b}_{M, \Pi}\|_2 \cdot \Lambda \|\mathbf{b}_{N, \Lambda}\|_2,$$

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{\substack{M < |m| \leq M + \Pi \\ N < |n| \leq N + \Lambda}} b_m \bar{b}_n S(m, n; c) \ll \sqrt{|c|^2 + \Pi^2} \|\mathbf{b}_{M, \Pi}\|_2 \cdot \sqrt{|c|^2 + \Lambda^2} \|\mathbf{b}_{N, \Lambda}\|_2,$$

and in particular

$$(5.3) \quad \sum_{N < |m|, |n| \leq 2N} |b_m \bar{b}_n S(m, n; c)| \ll \tau^2(c) |c| N^2 \|\mathbf{b}_N\|_2^2,$$

$$(5.4) \quad \sum_{N < |m|, |n| \leq 2N} b_m \bar{b}_n S(m, n; c) \ll (|c|^2 + N^2) \|\mathbf{b}_N\|_2^2,$$

where

$$\|\mathbf{b}_{N,A}\|_2 = \left(\sum_{N < |n| \leq N+A} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}, \quad \|\mathbf{b}_N\|_2 = \left(\sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

PROOF. The inequalities (5.1) and (5.3) follow from Cauchy–Schwarz and the Weil bound:

$$S(m, n; c) \ll \tau(c)|(m, n, c)||c|.$$

The inequalities (5.2) and (5.4) follow from Cauchy–Schwarz and the mean value theorem:

$$\sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}} \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] \right|^2 \ll (|c|^2 + A^2) \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} |b_n|^2,$$

which is an easy consequence of the orthogonality relation.

Q.E.D.

Let us also record here a useful inequality for the Ramanujan sums:

$$(5.5) \quad \sum_{|c| \leq C} \left(\sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} |b_n S(n, 0; c)| \right)^2 \ll C^2 N^{2+\varepsilon} \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} |b_n|^2,$$

which is a direct consequence of $|S(n, 0; c)| \leq |(n, c)|^2$ and Cauchy–Schwarz.

5.2. A Hybrid Large Sieve. The large sieve inequality over $F = \mathbb{Q}(i)$ reads (see [Hux, Theorem 2]):

$$(5.6) \quad \sum_{|c| \leq C} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq N+A} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] \right|^2 \ll (C^4 + A^2) \sum_{N < |n| \leq N+A} |b_n|^2,$$

and we wish to prove a hybrid variant of (5.6) as follows. It is not hard to generalize this into a form analogous to [You, Lemma 6].

LEMMA 12. *Let $\mathbf{b} : \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $v \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$. We have*

$$(5.7) \quad \iint_{\overline{D}_\rho} \sum_{|c| \leq C} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq N+A} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz \ll (C^4 \rho^2 + |v|^2) \|\mathbf{b}_{N,A}\|_2^2,$$

where D_ρ is the disc of radius ρ centered at the origin.

PROOF. For the proof we adopt some arguments of Luo [Luo2, §3] and Young [You, §6].

By the change of variables $z \rightarrow z\rho$ and $v \rightarrow v\rho$, it suffices to consider the case $\rho = 1$. Therefore, if we write $D = D_1$, then our goal is to prove

$$(5.8) \quad \iint_{\overline{D}} \sum_{|c| \leq C} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq N+A} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz \ll (C^4 + |v|^2) \|\mathbf{b}_{N,A}\|_2^2.$$

Note that (5.8) follows directly from (5.6) if $A \ll |v|$, so we only need to consider the case when $|v| \ll A$.

Let ϕ be a non-negative Schwartz function on \mathbb{C} such that $\phi \geq 1$ on the unit disc \overline{D} and that $\hat{\phi}$ is of compact support. Such ϕ may be constructed by product from the

Schwartz functions on \mathbb{R} as in [Vaa]. Thus for $\mathbf{c} : \mathbb{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ supported on $N < |n| \leq N + \Lambda$ we have

$$\iint_D \left| \sum_n c_n e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz \leq \iint \phi(z) \left| \sum_n c_n e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz = \sum_{m,n} c_m \bar{c}_n \hat{\phi}\left(-\frac{m-n}{v}\right).$$

Since $\hat{\phi}$ is compactly supported, we must have $|m-n| \ll |v|$. As in §8, we dissect the sum over m and n into hyper-annuli

$$A_{IJ} = \{(m, n) : |m| \in I, |n| \in J\},$$

for I and J sub-intervals of $(N, N + \Lambda]$ of equal length $\Delta = O(|v|)$ ($\Delta \leq \Lambda$). The only relevant hyper-annuli A_{IJ} are those with I and J either equal or adjacent. Next we reverse the Fourier transform so that the partial sum on such A_{IJ} is turned into

$$\iint \phi(z) \sum_{(m,n) \in A_{IJ}} c_m \bar{c}_n e\left[\frac{m-n}{v}\right] dz.$$

Further, as there are at most three such A_{IJ} for each I , by Cauchy we infer that

$$\iint_D \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq N + \Lambda} c_n e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz \leq 3 \iint \phi(z) \sum_I \left| \sum_{N_I < |n| \leq N_I + \Delta} c_n e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz,$$

where $I = (N_I, N_I + \Delta]$, say. Finally, specialize this to $c_n = b_n e[\alpha n/c]$ and sum over α and c , then (5.8) follows from (5.6), applied with N and Λ replaced by N_I and $\Delta = O(|v|)$. Q.E.D.

COROLLARY 13. *We have*

$$(5.9) \quad \iint_{\bar{D}_\rho} \sum_{|c| \leq C\alpha(\bmod c)} \sum^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] e\left[\frac{nz}{cv}\right] \right|^2 dz \ll \rho^2 (C^4 + C^\epsilon N^2) \|\mathbf{b}_N\|_2^2,$$

$$(5.10) \quad \iint_{\bar{D}_\rho} \sum_{|c| \leq C\alpha(\bmod c)} \sum^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] e\left[\frac{nz}{cv}\right] \right|^2 dz \ll (C^4 \rho^2 + C^2 |v|^2) \|\mathbf{b}_N\|_2^2.$$

PROOF. By the change $z \rightarrow cz$ we rewrite the expression on the left as

$$\sum_{|c| \leq C} |c|^2 \iint_{\bar{D}_{\rho/|c|}} \sum^* \left| \sum_{N < |n| \leq 2N} b_n e\left[\frac{\alpha n}{c}\right] e\left[\frac{nz}{v}\right] \right|^2 dz,$$

then via a dyadic partition (5.9) and (5.10) follow from (5.6) and (5.7) respectively. Q.E.D.

6. Application of Kuznetsov

We start by extending the spectral sum onto Π_c (see the remark below (1.22) and (1.23)), choosing the spectral weight in (2.3) to be $h(\kappa, p; \sqrt{m/n})$ as defined in (3.1), multiplying both sides of (2.3) by $a_{(m)} a_{(n)}$, and then summing over $m, n \in \mathbb{O}$ with $N < |m|, |n| \leq 2N$. Note that

$$\mathrm{Re}(\chi_{i\kappa, p}(m/n)) = \cos(\kappa \log |m/n| + p \arg(m/n)),$$

so the Kuznetsov formula (2.3) in Lemma 6 yields

$$(6.1) \quad \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32\pi^3} P(\mathbf{a}) + O(KP(K^2 + P^2) \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2),$$

with

$$(6.2) \quad P(\mathbf{a}) = \sum_c \sum_{m,n} \sum a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \frac{S(m, n; c)}{|c|^2} \mathcal{H}\left(\frac{2\pi\sqrt{mn}}{c}; \sqrt{\frac{m}{n}}\right).$$

It follows from $m \leftrightarrow n$ that

$$(6.3) \quad P(\mathbf{a}) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_c \frac{1}{|c|^2} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} S(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}\right] \mathcal{G}\left(2\pi\frac{m+n}{c}, 2\pi\frac{m-n}{c}\right).$$

See (3.2)–(3.13) for the expressions of the Bessel \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{G} integrals.

7. Proof of Theorem 1

As remarked below (3.13), m and n become separate in the (variant) Bessel integral, so Lemma 11 may be applied easily inside the integrals.

Define $\Phi(c; \mathbf{a})$ to be the inner (m, n) -sum in (6.3):

$$(7.1) \quad \Phi(c; \mathbf{a}) = \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} S(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}\right] \mathcal{G}\left(2\pi\frac{m+n}{c}, 2\pi\frac{m-n}{c}\right).$$

If $|c| > N^2$ then by (3.11) and (5.3) we get

$$(7.2) \quad \Phi(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll \frac{\tau^2(c)N^4}{|c|} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

If $N < |c| \leq N^2$ then by (3.11) and (5.4) we get

$$(7.3) \quad \Phi(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll N^2 \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

If $|c| \leq N$ then by (3.10) and (5.4) we get

$$(7.4) \quad \Phi(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll (K^2 + P^2) N^2 \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

By the estimates (7.2) and (7.3), it follows from (6.3) that

$$(7.5) \quad P(\mathbf{a}) = \operatorname{Re} \sum_{|c| \leq N} \frac{\Phi(c; \mathbf{a})}{|c|^2} + O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2).$$

Moreover, if (7.4) is taken into account, then by (6.1) we have

$$(7.6) \quad \mathfrak{C}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) \ll (K^2 + P^2) (KP + N^{2+\varepsilon}) \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2,$$

and consequently Theorem 1.

8. Reductions for the Application of Poisson

In the rest of this paper, we shall always assume $K = P = T$.

In this section, we proceed as in §4 to extract a main term from $P(\mathbf{a})$, with the aid of Lemmas 11 for the error estimation.

As the main term of $\mathcal{G}(v, w)$ is equal to $|\pi w|^2 h(w/2)$ (see (4.6) and (4.7)), so that of $P(\mathbf{a})$ reads:

$$(8.1) \quad Q(\mathbf{a}) = 4\pi^4 \mathrm{Re} \sum_c \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} |m-n|^2 S(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}\right] h\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{c}\right).$$

Similar to (7.5), one may restrict to $|c| \leq N$ at the cost of an error $O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$, by using

$$(8.2) \quad h(w/2) = \iint \cos(\mathrm{Re}(w(r+i\omega))) k(r) k(\omega) dr d\omega$$

to separate m and n (see (4.2) and (4.7)).

By (5.3) the error terms in Steps 1, 2, and 4 are all $O(N^{3+\varepsilon}/T^2 \cdot \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$, say. However, Step 3 requires more delicate analysis. To this end, some arguments from [Luo2, §3] will be adopted.

Let $E(c; \mathbf{a})$ denote the error-term contribution to $\Phi(c; \mathbf{a})$ as in Step 3.

For $N^{1-\varepsilon}/T < |c| \leq N$, in view of (4.1)–(4.3), (4.5), and (7.1), by (5.4) we infer that

$$E(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll \frac{N}{|c|} \cdot N^2 \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 < TN^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

Here one needs to use Taylor expansion to keep m and n separate, for example, as follows:

$$e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}(\mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - 1)\right] = 1 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(2\pi i)^k}{k!} \left(\mathrm{Re}\left(\frac{m+n}{c}(\mathrm{trh}(r, \omega) - 1)\right)\right)^k.$$

This argument only works effectively when

$$\frac{N}{|c|T^2} < 1,$$

so that the Taylor series are rapidly convergent.

For $|c| \leq N^{1-\varepsilon}/T$ define

$$(8.3) \quad \Delta = \max \left\{ |c|TN^\varepsilon, \sqrt[3]{\frac{|c|N^{2+\varepsilon}}{T}} \right\}.$$

Next, we split the m - and n -sums in $E(c; \mathbf{a})$ into hyper-annuli

$$A_{IJ} = \{(m, n) : |m| \in I, |n| \in J\},$$

where I and J range over sub-intervals of $(N, 2N]$ of equal length Δ . If I and J are neither equal nor adjacent then we have $|m-n| > \Delta$, and it follows from (4.4) and the choice of Δ in (8.3) that $\mathcal{G}_{\frac{1}{2}}(2\pi(m+n)/c, 2\pi(m-n)/c)$ is negligibly small. Then by (5.3) the contribution to $E(c; \mathbf{a})$ of such hyper-annuli may be trivially bounded by

$O(\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$, say, so we only need to consider that of the remaining hyper-annuli. It follows that

$$E(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll \frac{N}{|c|} (|c|^2 + \Delta^2) \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2,$$

by (5.2) in the case $N/T^2 < |c| \leq N^{1-\varepsilon}/T$, and

$$E(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll \tau^2(c) \Delta^2 N \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2,$$

by (5.1) in the case $|c| \leq N/T^2$. Note that the sum of $\|\mathbf{a}_{N_I, \Delta}\|_2 \cdot \|\mathbf{a}_{N_J, \Delta}\|_2$ (say $I = (N_I, N_I + \Delta]$) with I and J equal or adjacent is bounded by $3\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2$. Moreover, in the former case $\Delta = |c|TN^\varepsilon$ and hence

$$E(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll |c|T^2N^{1+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 \ll TN^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2,$$

while in the latter case $\Delta = \sqrt[3]{|c|N^2/TN^\varepsilon}$ and hence

$$E(c; \mathbf{a}) \ll \tau^2(c) |c|^{2/3} \frac{N^{7/3}}{T^{2/3}} N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 \ll \frac{N^{3+\varepsilon}}{T^2} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

By gathering the error bounds for $E(c; \mathbf{a})$ above and summing over c as in (7.5), we conclude that

$$(8.4) \quad P(\mathbf{a}) = Q(\mathbf{a}) + O\left(\left(T + \frac{N}{T^2}\right) N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right),$$

where $Q(\mathbf{a})$ is defined by (8.1).

REMARK 8.1. Our asymptotic formula (8.4) is reminiscent of Luo's (36) in [Luo2]. However, our error term is relatively weaker, since the approximation

$$\frac{m+n}{c} \operatorname{trh}(r, \omega) = \frac{m+n}{c} + O\left(\frac{N(r^2 + \omega^2)}{|c|}\right)$$

is *not* as strong as Luo's

$$\frac{m+n}{c} \cos \delta = \frac{m+n}{c} + O\left(\frac{N\delta^2}{c}\right).$$

Next, by (1.5) we write

$$S(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}\right] = \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* e\left[\frac{(1-\alpha)m + (1-\bar{\alpha})n}{c}\right],$$

and split the sum according to the ideal $(1-\alpha, c) = (q)$. Thus $c = dq$ and $\alpha = 1 - \bar{\delta}q$, where δ ranges over residue classes in $\mathcal{O}/d\mathcal{O}$ such that $(\delta(q-\delta), d) = (1)$. We obtain

$$S(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{m+n}{c}\right] = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{dq=c} \sum_{\substack{\delta \pmod{d} \\ (\delta(q-\delta), d) = (1)}} e\left[\frac{\bar{\delta}m + \overline{q-\bar{\delta}n}}{d}\right].$$

Therefore, in view of (1.6) and (8.1), we have

$$(8.5) \quad Q(\mathbf{a}) = \pi^4 \operatorname{Re} \sum_{c,q} \frac{1}{|cq|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} |m-n|^2 V_q(m, n; c) h\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{cq}\right).$$

Finally, let $1 \leq X \leq T$ be a parameter to be chosen optimally later. Let $Q(\mathbf{a}; X)$ be the partial sum of (8.5) restricted by $|c| \leq X$. It follows from the hybrid large sieve in Corollary 13 that

$$(8.6) \quad Q(\mathbf{a}) = Q(\mathbf{a}; X) + O\left(\frac{T^2 N^{2+\varepsilon}}{X^2} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right).$$

More explicitly, for $X \leq C \leq N/2$, we denote by $Q_C(\mathbf{a})$ the partial sum over $C \leq |c| \leq 2C$. Then we separate m and n by (8.2), open $V_q(m, n; c)$ by (1.6), and apply Corollary 13 with $\rho = N^\varepsilon/T$ to deduce

$$Q_C(\mathbf{a}) \ll \sum_q \frac{N^2}{|Cq|^4} T^2 \left(\frac{C^4}{T^2} + \min \left\{ \frac{N^2}{T^2}, C^2 |q|^2 \right\} \right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 \ll \left(1 + \frac{T^2}{C^2} \right) N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

9. Application of Poisson

Let us rearrange the sum $Q(\mathbf{a}; X)$ as follows

$$Q(\mathbf{a}; X) = \pi^4 \mathrm{Re} \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \cdot |m-n|^2 \sum_q \frac{V_q(m, n; c)}{|q|^4} h\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{cq}\right).$$

Following Iwaniec, Li, and Young [IL, You], we now proceed to apply the Poisson summation for the q -sum modulo c . For important technical reasons we first introduce a real-valued cut-off function $\eta \in C^\infty(0, \infty)$ with $\eta \equiv 0$ on $(0, 1/2]$ and $\eta \equiv 1$ on $[1, \infty)$ so that the inner q -sum may be rewritten as

$$|m-n|^2 \sum_q V_q(m, n; c) \frac{\eta(|q|)}{|q|^4} h\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{cq}\right).$$

Then we use Poisson to transform it into

$$\left| \frac{m-n}{c} \right|^2 \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}} V_\alpha(m, n; c) \sum_q e\left[\frac{\alpha q}{c}\right] \iint \eta(|z|) h\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{cz}\right) e\left[-\frac{qz}{c}\right] \frac{dz}{|z|^4}.$$

Note that

$$\sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}} V_\alpha(m, n; c) e\left[\frac{\alpha q}{c}\right] = S(m, q; c) S(n, q; c),$$

since by (1.6) it equals the double exponential sum

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \pmod{c} \\ (\beta(\alpha-\beta), c) = (1)}} e\left[\frac{\bar{\beta}m + \overline{\alpha - \beta}n + \alpha q}{c}\right] = \sum_{\beta, \gamma \pmod{c}}^* e\left[\frac{\bar{\beta}m + \bar{\gamma}n + (\beta + \gamma)q}{c}\right].$$

Let us introduce the Fourier integral

$$(9.1) \quad f(w; v) = |w|^2 \iint \eta(|z|) h(w/z) e[-vz] \frac{dz}{|z|^4}.$$

Note that $2\mathrm{Re}f(w; v) = f(w; v) + f(-w; -v)$.

Consequently, we obtain after Poisson:

$$(9.2) \quad Q(\mathbf{a}; X) = Z(\mathbf{a}; X) + S(\mathbf{a}; X),$$

where $Z(\mathbf{a}; X)$ is the zero frequency

$$(9.3) \quad Z(\mathbf{a}; X) = \pi^2 \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} S(m, 0; c) S(n, 0; c) f\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{c}; 0\right),$$

and $S(\mathbf{a}; X)$ is the dual sum

$$(9.4) \quad S(\mathbf{a}; X) = \pi^2 \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \sum_{q \neq 0} S(m, q; c) S(n, q; c) f\left(\pi \frac{m-n}{c}; \frac{q}{c}\right).$$

Next, our aim is to establish the following asymptotic results on $Z(\mathbf{a}; X)$ and $S(\mathbf{a}; X)$. This will be done in §§11.1 and 11.2.

PROPOSITION 14. *Let $1 \leq X \leq T < N$. We have*

$$(9.5) \quad Z(\mathbf{a}; X) = \pi^3 \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O\left(\left(\frac{T^2 N^2}{X^2} + T^4\right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right),$$

where $\Sigma(\mathbf{a})$ is defined by (1.24).

PROPOSITION 15. *Let $1 \leq X \leq T < N$. We have*

$$(9.6) \quad S(\mathbf{a}; X) = S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) + O(\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2),$$

where

$$(9.7) \quad S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) \ll T^4 N^\varepsilon \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^2} \sum_{0 < |q| \leq |c| N^\varepsilon} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \iint_{\overline{D}_{N^\varepsilon/T}} \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} S(n, q; c) e\left[\frac{n}{c} u\right] \right|^2 du,$$

and hence

$$(9.8) \quad S(\mathbf{a}; X) \ll T^4 X^2 N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

10. Analysis for the Fourier Integrals

For the separation of m and n in $w = \pi(m-n)/c$, we need to use the Fourier method. To this end, we prove here some estimates for $f(w; v)$ and $\hat{f}(u; v)$.

LEMMA 16. *We have*

$$(10.1) \quad f(w; v) \ll_\gamma \min \left\{ \frac{|w|^2}{|v|^{2\gamma}}, T^2 \left(\frac{T}{|vw|} \right)^{2\gamma} \right\},$$

for any integer $\gamma \geq 0$.

It is then clear that $f(w; v)$ is of rapid decay in the first variable w by Lemma 16. Next we consider the Fourier transform:

$$\hat{f}(u; v) = \iint f(w; v) e[-uw] dw.$$

LEMMA 17. *We have the formula*

$$(10.2) \quad \hat{f}(u; v) = -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial \bar{u}} \iint \eta(|z|) k(\pi u z) e[-vz] \frac{dz}{|z|^2},$$

$$(10.3) \quad k(z) = \pi T^2 \exp(-T^2 |z|^2),$$

and consequently the uniform bounds

$$(10.4) \quad \widehat{f}(u/\pi; v) \ll \frac{T^2}{|u|^2},$$

$$(10.5) \quad \widehat{f}(u/\pi; v) \ll \left(1 + \log \left(1 + \frac{2}{T|u|}\right)\right) \frac{T^2}{|v|^2},$$

and for $|u| > 1/T$,

$$(10.6) \quad \widehat{f}(u/\pi; v) \ll T^4 \exp(-T^2|u/2|^2).$$

REMARK 10.1. Note that our bound (10.6) is stronger than Young's (8.3) in [You] thanks to the fact that the Gaussian is self-dual under the Fourier transform. Consequently, our later analysis in §11.2 will be much simpler.

The next simple lemma on Fourier integrals will be useful.

LEMMA 18. *Let A_ρ denote $\mathbb{C} \setminus D_\rho$. Suppose that $f \in C^\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is supported on A_ρ and satisfies*

$$\frac{\partial^{\alpha+\beta} f(z)}{\partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta} \ll_{\alpha, \beta} \frac{1}{|z|^{\theta+\alpha+\beta}}$$

for some $\theta > 1$. Then

$$\iint_{A_\rho} f(z) e[-vz] dz = \frac{1}{(\pi i |v|)^{2\gamma}} \iint_{A_\rho} \Delta^\gamma f(z) e[-vz] dz, \quad \Delta = \partial^2 / \partial z \partial \bar{z},$$

for any integer $\gamma \geq 0$.

PROOF OF LEMMA 16. For brevity, let ∂^γ denote any partial derivative of degree γ (namely, in the form $\partial^{\alpha+\beta} / \partial z^\alpha \partial \bar{z}^\beta$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$).

Recall from (9.1) that

$$f(w; v) = |w|^2 \iint_{A_{1/2}} \eta(|z|) h(w/z) e[-vz] \frac{dz}{|z|^4}.$$

As $h(z) = \exp(-|z/T|^2)$ (see (4.7)), it follows by induction that $\partial^\beta(h(w/z))$ is a linear combination of all the terms occurring in the expansions of

$$\left(\frac{1}{T^2} \left(\frac{w}{z} + \frac{\bar{w}}{\bar{z}}\right)^2\right)^\alpha \left(\frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{\bar{z}}\right)^\beta h\left(\frac{w}{z}\right), \quad \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, \beta,$$

and hence

$$\partial^\beta(h(w/z)) \ll_\beta \left(1 + \frac{|w/z|}{T}\right)^{2\beta} \frac{h(w/z)}{|z|^\beta}.$$

Consequently,

$$\Delta^\gamma \left(\frac{\eta(|z|) h(w/z)}{|z|^4}\right) \ll_\gamma \left(1 + \frac{|w/z|}{T}\right)^{4\gamma} \frac{h(w/z)}{|z|^{2\gamma+4}}$$

for any $z \in A_{1/2}$. By Lemma 18 and the change of variable $z \rightarrow w/Tz$, we have

$$f(w; v) \ll \frac{T^{2\gamma+2}}{|vw|^{2\gamma}} \iint_{D_{2|w|/T}} (1 + |z|)^{4\gamma} |z|^{2\gamma} \exp(-|z|^2) dz,$$

and hence the bound in (10.1) by trivial estimation (in the polar coordinates). Q.E.D.

PROOF OF LEMMA 17. By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{f}(u; v) &= \iint |w|^2 e[-uw] \left(\iint \eta(|z|) h(w/z) e[-vz] \frac{dz}{|z|^4} \right) dw \\ &= -\frac{1}{\pi^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial u \partial \bar{u}} \iint \iint \eta(|z|) h(w/z) e[-vz - uw] \frac{dz dw}{|z|^4}.\end{aligned}$$

Then we obtain (10.2) by reversing the order of integrations and a direct evaluation of the w -integral. However, the quadruple integral is *not* (but on the edge) absolutely integrable. This issue may be easily addressed by replacing $|z|^4$ by $|z|^{4+\varepsilon}$ in the denominator and letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ at the end. Alternatively, similar to [You], one may apply Lemma 18 ($\gamma = 1$ is enough) to produce extra $1/z$ or $1/\bar{z}$ and then apply Lemma 18 in reverse at the end.

The bounds (10.4), (10.5), and (10.6) follow from (10.2) by trivial estimation (in the polar coordinates), in the second case with an application of Lemma 18. For example, note that trivially

$$\frac{\partial^2 k(uz)}{\partial u \partial \bar{u}} \ll T^4 (1 + T|uz|)^2 \cdot |z|^2 k(uz).$$

For (10.4) and (10.5), except for a term containing $1/|z|^2$ in the second case (this yields the logarithmic term), we may as well extend the integration onto the whole complex plane. Q.E.D.

11. Proof of Propositions 14 and 15

11.1. Treating the Zero Frequency. Let us first prove Proposition 14. Set $\psi(x) = \eta(1/x) - 1$. Note that $\psi'(x)$ is supported on $[1, 2]$. We have

$$f(w; 0) = |w|^2 \iint \eta(|z|) h(w/z) \frac{dz}{|z|^4} = \iint h(z) dz + |w|^2 \iint \psi(|z|) h(wz) dz.$$

Recall from (4.7) that $h(z) = \exp(-|z/T|^2)$, so the first integral is πT^2 and hence contributes

$$\pi^3 T^2 \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \left(\sum_n a_{(n)} S(n, 0; c) \right)^2 = \pi^3 \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O\left(\frac{T^2 N^{2+\varepsilon}}{X^2} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 \right),$$

where the tail of the sum has been estimated trivially by (5.5). In the polar coordinates, the second integral may be reformulated as

$$2\pi |w|^2 \int_1^2 \psi(x) x \exp(-|wx/T|^2) dx = \pi T^2 \int_1^2 \psi'(x) \exp(-|wx/T|^2) dx,$$

by partial integration. Thus one is reduced to estimating the sum

$$T^2 \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} S(m, 0; c) S(n, 0; c) \exp\left(-\left|\pi \frac{m-n}{c}\right|^2 \frac{x^2}{T^2}\right),$$

for any $1 \leq x \leq 2$. Then separate the variables m and n by

$$\exp(-|wx/T|^2) = \frac{1}{\pi} \iint \exp(2i\operatorname{Re}(wxz/T) - |z|^2) dz,$$

so that the sum above is turned into

$$\frac{T^2}{\pi} \iint \exp(-|z|^2) \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} S(n, 0; c) e \left[\frac{nxz}{cT} \right] \right|^2 dz.$$

Next open the Ramanujan sum and apply Cauchy–Schwarz to bound this by

$$\frac{T^2}{\pi} \iint \exp(-|z|^2) \sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^2} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} e \left[\frac{\alpha n}{c} \right] e \left[\frac{nxz}{cT} \right] \right|^2 dz,$$

so that (5.10) in Corollary 13 immediately yields the bound $O(T^2(X^2 + T^2)N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2) = O(T^4 N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$. Thus the proof of Proposition 14 is completed.

11.2. Treating the Dual Sum. Now we consider the dual sum $S(\mathbf{a}; X)$ as given in (9.4) and prove Proposition 15.

First of all, the bound (10.1) for $f(w; v)$ in Lemma 16 suggests that we may assume in practice that $|q| \leq |c|N^\varepsilon$ as in our case $v = q/c$. By Fourier inversion,

$$\pi^2 f \left(\pi \frac{m-n}{c}; \frac{q}{c} \right) = \iint \hat{f} \left(\frac{u}{\pi}; \frac{q}{c} \right) e \left[\frac{m-n}{c} u \right] du,$$

and the bound (10.6) for $\hat{f}(u/\pi; v)$ in Lemma 17 suggests that we may restrict the integration on the disc $\bar{D}_{N^\varepsilon/T}$. It follows that up to an error $O(\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$, say, the dual sum $S(\mathbf{a}; X)$ is equal to

$$\sum_{|c| \leq X} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{0 < |q| \leq |c|N^\varepsilon} \iint_{\bar{D}_{N^\varepsilon/T}} \hat{f} \left(\frac{u}{\pi}; \frac{q}{c} \right) \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} S(n, q; c) e \left[\frac{n}{c} u \right] \right|^2 du.$$

Now (9.6) and (9.7) are a direct consequence of the bound (10.5) for $\hat{f}(u/\pi; v)$ in Lemma 17; one needs to bound trivially the logarithmic term on a very small disc $\bar{D}_{1/N^{4+\varepsilon}}$, say, so that the error is still $O(\|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$.

It is left to prove that $S_0(\mathbf{a}; X)$ has the bound in (9.8). To this end, in (9.7) we remove the $1/|q|^2$ (use trivially $1/|q|^2 \leq 1$), extend the sum over q to $O(N^\varepsilon)$ many complete sums modulo c , open the square and the Kloosterman sums, and execute the summation over q . It follows that

$$S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) \ll T^4 N^\varepsilon \sum_{|c| \leq X} \iint_{\bar{D}_{N^\varepsilon/T}} \sum_{\alpha \pmod{c}}^* \left| \sum_n a_{(n)} e \left[\frac{\alpha n}{c} \right] e \left[\frac{n}{c} u \right] \right|^2 du,$$

and an application of (5.10) in Corollary 13 yields $O(T^4 X^2 N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2)$.

12. Proof of Proposition 4

On the identities or asymptotics in (6.1), (8.4), (8.6), (9.2), (9.5), and (9.8), we have established

$$\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathcal{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O \left(\left(TN^2 + \frac{N^3}{T^2} + \frac{T^2 N^2}{X^2} + T^4 X^2 \right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2 \right),$$

for any $1 \leq X \leq T$. Then (1.26) follows if we choose $X = \min \{ \sqrt{N/T}, T \}$.

13. Proof of Proposition 3

In this section, we investigate the Eisenstein $\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a})$ as defined in (1.23) and prove its asymptotic formula in (1.25).

We start with the Ramanujan identity ([BM, (2.18)]):

$$\frac{\sigma_{1-s,p}(n)}{\zeta(s,p)} = \sum_{(c) \subset \mathfrak{O}} \frac{S(n, 0; c) \chi_{4p}(c)}{|c|^{2s}}, \quad (\operatorname{Re}(s) > 1),$$

where

$$(13.1) \quad \sigma_{s,p}(n) = \sum_{(d)|(n)} \chi_{4p}(d) |d|^{2s}.$$

For $\operatorname{Re}(s) = 1$, by the same argument of §13.3 by Lemma 13.2 in [Tit], it follows that

$$(13.2) \quad \frac{\sigma_{1-s,p}(n)}{\zeta(s,p)} = \sum_{(c): \log |c| \leq Y^\varepsilon} \frac{S(n, 0; c) \chi_{4p}(c)}{|c|^{2s}} + O_\varepsilon(1/Y),$$

as long as $|\operatorname{Im}(s)| \leq Y$ (no constraint on p is needed).

As $h(\kappa, p) = \exp(-|i\kappa + p|^2/T^2)$ and $\chi_{i\kappa,p}(z) = \exp(i\kappa \log |z| + ip \arg(z))$, we have

$$(13.3) \quad \iint_{\mathcal{A}} h(2\kappa, 4p) \chi_{2i\kappa, 4p}(z) d\mu(\kappa, p) = k(\log |z|) \theta(2 \arg(z)),$$

where, similar to (3.7),

$$(13.4) \quad k(r) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}T}{2} \exp(-(Tr/2)^2), \quad \theta(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi}T}{4} \sum \exp(-(T(\omega + \pi p)/4)^2).$$

By (1.9) and (13.1),

$$\sigma_{2s, 2p}(n) = \tau_{s,p}(n) \chi_{2s, 4p}(n),$$

so (1.23) may be expanded and rewritten as

$$(13.5) \quad \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \iint_{\mathcal{A}} h(2\kappa, 4p) \frac{\sigma_{2i\kappa, 2p}(m/n)}{|\zeta(1 + 2i\kappa, 2p)|^2} d\mu(\kappa, p).$$

Now let $s = 1 \pm 2i\kappa$, $Y = T^2$ in (13.2) and $z = b/c$ in (13.3), it follows that

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} X(m, n) + O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2),$$

where

$$X(m, n) = \sum_{(b),(c)} \sum_{\log |b|, \log |c| \leq T^\varepsilon} \frac{S(m, 0; b) S(n, 0; c)}{|bc|^2} \cdot k(\log |b/c|) \theta(2 \arg(b/c)).$$

Next, according to $(b) = (c)$ or $(b) \neq (c)$ in the sum $X(m, n)$, we split

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \mathfrak{E}_0(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}_b(\mathbf{a}).$$

Clearly,

$$\mathfrak{E}_0(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{k(0)\theta(0)}{4\pi} \sum_{m,n} a_{(m)} a_{(n)} \sum_{\log |c| \leq T^\varepsilon} \frac{S(m, 0; c) S(n, 0; c)}{|c|^4},$$

and if we approximate $k(0)\theta(0)$ by $\pi T^2/8$ and estimate the tail of the c -sum by (5.5), then it follows that

$$(13.6) \quad \mathfrak{E}_0(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2).$$

Since, in view of (13.4), $k(r)$ and $\theta(\omega)$ are of exponential decay, we have

$$\mathfrak{E}_b(\mathbf{a}) \ll T^2 \sum_{\substack{(b) \neq (c) \\ \log |b|, \log |c| \leq T^\varepsilon \\ |\log |b/c||, |\arg(b/c)| \leq T^\varepsilon/T}} \sum_{m,n} |a_{(m)} a_{(n)}| \frac{|S(m, 0; b) S(n, 0; c)|}{|bc|^2} + N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2,$$

then, by the AM–GM inequality and by symmetry, the sum is bounded by

$$T^2 \sum_{(c)} \frac{1}{|c|^4} \sum_{(b) \neq (c)} \left(\sum_n |a_{(n)} S(n, 0; c)| \right)^2,$$

where the summation over (b) and (c) of course is subject to the conditions inherited from the above. Note that the number of ideals (b) is bounded by $O(|c|^2 T^\varepsilon / T^2)$, since one may as well extend the range of b onto the punctured disc $\bar{D}_{|c|T^\varepsilon/T}(c) \setminus \{c\}$ (in the first quadrant). Thus an estimation by (5.5) yields

$$(13.7) \quad \mathfrak{E}_b(\mathbf{a}) \ll N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2.$$

By (13.6) and (13.7) we complete the proof of Proposition 3.

14. Proof of Theorem 5

In view of (9.6) and (9.7) in Proposition 15, we may refine (1.26) into:

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + S_0(\mathbf{a}; X) + O\left(\left(TN^2 + \frac{N^3}{T^2} + \frac{T^2 N^2}{X^2}\right) N^\varepsilon \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2\right),$$

while we have just proven (1.25):

$$\mathfrak{E}(\mathbf{a}) = \frac{1}{32} \Sigma(\mathbf{a}) + O(N^{2+\varepsilon} \|\mathbf{a}_N\|_2^2),$$

and hence Theorem 5 is a direct consequence.

References

- [BM] R. W. Bruggeman and Y. Motohashi. Sum formula for Kloosterman sums and fourth moment of the Dedekind zeta-function over the Gaussian number field. *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.*, 31:23–92, 2003.
- [CL] V. Chandee and X. Li. The second moment of $GL(4) \times GL(2)$ L -functions at special points. *Adv. Math.*, 365:107060, 39, 2020.
- [DI] J.-M. Deshouillers and H. Iwaniec. The nonvanishing of Rankin–Selberg zeta-functions at special points. *The Selberg Trace Formula and Related Topics* (Brunswick, Maine, 1984), *Contemp. Math.*, Vol. 53, 51–95. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986.
- [DIPS] J.-M. Deshouillers, H. Iwaniec, R. S. Phillips, and P. Sarnak. Maass cusp forms. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 82(11):3533–3534, 1985.
- [Hux] M. N. Huxley. The large sieve inequality for algebraic number fields. *Mathematika*, 15:178–187, 1968.
- [IL] H. Iwaniec and X. Li. The orthogonality of Hecke eigenvalues. *Compos. Math.*, 143(3):541–565, 2007.

- [Luo1] W. Luo. On the nonvanishing of Rankin-Selberg L -functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 69(2):411–425, 1993.
- [Luo2] W. Z. Luo. The spectral mean value for linear forms in twisted coefficients of cusp forms. *Acta Arith.*, 70(4):377–391, 1995.
- [Luo3] W. Luo. Nonvanishing of L -values and the Weyl law. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 154(2):477–502, 2001.
- [Luo4] W. Luo. Refined asymptotics for second spectral moment of Rankin-Selberg L -functions at the special points. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (23):5457–5483, 2012.
- [PS] R. S. Phillips and P. Sarnak. On cusp forms for co-finite subgroups of $\mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbf{R})$. *Invent. Math.*, 80(2):339–364, 1985.
- [Qi1] Z. Qi. Theory of fundamental Bessel functions of high rank. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 267(1303):vii+123, 2020.
- [Qi2] Z. Qi. On the spectral large sieve inequality for $\mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{Z}[i]) \backslash \mathrm{PGL}_2(\mathbb{C})$. arXiv:2404.09085, 2024.
- [Tit] E. C. Titchmarsh. *The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function*. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2nd edition, 1986. Edited and with a preface by D. R. Heath-Brown.
- [Vaa] J. D. Vaaler. Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.)*, 12(2):183–216, 1985.
- [Wat] G. N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, England; The Macmillan Company, New York, 1944.
- [You] M. P. Young. The second moment of $GL(3) \times GL(2)L$ -functions at special points. *Math. Ann.*, 356(3):1005–1028, 2013.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, ZHEJIANG UNIVERSITY, HANGZHOU, 310027, CHINA
Email address: zhi.qi@zju.edu.cn