

Noncrossing arithmetics

Kurusch Ebrahimi-Fard^a, Loïc Foissy^b, Joachim Kock^c, Frédéric Patras^d

^a*Department of Mathematical Sciences
Norwegian University of Science and Technology (NTNU)
7491 Trondheim, Norway.*

email: kurusch.ebrahimi-fard@ntnu.no

^b*Univ. Littoral Côte d'Opale, UR 2597*

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville
F-62100 Calais, France*

email: foissy@univ-littoral.fr

^c*Department of Mathematical Sciences
University of Copenhagen, Denmark
and Centre de Recerca Matemàtica (Barcelona)*

email: kock@math.ku.dk

^d*Université Côte d'Azur, CNRS, UMR 7351*

Laboratoire J.A.Dieudonné

Parc Valrose, 06108 Nice Cedex 02, France.

email: patras@unice.fr

Abstract

Higher-order notions of Kreweras complementation have appeared in the literature in the works of Krawczyk, Speicher, Mastnak, Nica, Arizmendi, Vargas, and others. While the theory has been developed primarily for specific applications in free probability, it also possesses an elegant, purely combinatorial core that is of independent interest. The present article aims at offering a simple account of various aspects of higher-order Kreweras complementation on the basis of elementary arithmetic, (co)algebraic, categorical and simplicial properties of noncrossing partitions. The main idea is to see noncrossing partitions as providing an interesting noncommutative analogue of the interplay between the divisibility poset and the multiplicative monoid of positive integers. Just as the divisibility poset can be regarded as the decalage of the multiplicative monoid, we exhibit the lattice of noncrossing partitions as the decalage of a partial monoid structure on noncrossing partitions encoding higher-order Kreweras complements. While our results may be considered known, some of the viewpoints can be regarded as novel, providing an efficient approach both conceptually and computationally.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Context and motivation	3
3	Algebraic structures	4
3.1	Order structure	5
3.2	Power maps	6
3.3	Concatenation product	6
3.4	Perfect shuffle product	7

3.5	The partial monoid structure	8
3.6	The Kreweras automorphism	10
3.7	Some applications	11
4	From classical incidence (co)algebras to decalage	12
4.1	The standard construction	12
4.2	Categorical and simplicial interpretation	13
5	Coalgebraic and topological structures	15
5.1	Noncrossing partitions coalgebras	15
5.2	Categorical and simplicial aspects	17
	References	19

1 Introduction

Although noncrossing partitions were initially studied out of combinatorial interest [16], they have since found applications in many areas of mathematics and have been extensively studied [23], [18]. They are enumerated by Catalan numbers, “probably the most ubiquitous sequence of numbers in mathematics” [25], and can be put in bijection with many families of combinatorial objects having the same generating series, such as binary trees, plane binary trees, Dyck paths, to quote a few. The set of noncrossing partitions of a linear order $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ carries an important lattice structure, which has been central to most applications of noncrossing partitions. However, considering them together for all n , noncrossing partitions also carry shuffle-algebra structures [9], as well as two operad structures [8], that further enrich the theory. All this structure can be transported to other instances of Catalan combinatorics.

One feature characteristic for noncrossing partitions is the notion of Kreweras complement, introduced by Kreweras in his foundational article [16]. The Kreweras complement defines an automorphism of the set of noncrossing partitions of $[n]$, which is not an involution but has period n . The standard way to define the Kreweras complement of a noncrossing partition α of $[n]$ is to embed this set as the odd elements of $[2n]$ and look for the noncrossing partition β of the set of even integers in $[2n]$ such that the union $\alpha \cup \beta$ is a noncrossing partition of $[2n]$ and is maximal among such partitions. In a more algebraic notation, β solves the equation

$$(\alpha \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \dots, \{2n - 1, 2n\}\} = \{\{1, \dots, 2n\}\},$$

for which $\alpha \sqcup_n \beta$, the perfect shuffle of α and β (see Definition 3.4.1), is required to be noncrossing — whereas \vee is the join in the lattice of noncrossing partitions of $[2n]$ (rigorous definitions will be given later).

In the present paper we are interested in higher versions of Kreweras complement, motivated by applications in free probability (as very briefly indicated in Section 2 below). We regard these higher Kreweras complements as a way to provide a noncommutative generalization of certain features of the positive integers, more precisely the interplay between the divisibility poset $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ and the multiplicative monoid (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) . Both feature notions of incidence (co)algebras and Möbius inversion. For the poset, this is the standard theory initiated by Rota [21]; for monoids the analogous constructions were introduced by Cartier and Foata [4].

The relationship between the two approaches can be formulated elegantly using the fact that posets and monoids are both examples of categories: Content, Lemay and Leroux [6] observed that the assignment $a | b \mapsto b/a$ constitutes a functor from the category $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ to the category (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) , and that this functor is CULF (“conservative” and possessing “unique lifting of factorizations”). They also identified the CULF functors as those that induce coalgebra homomorphisms at the level of incidence coalgebras. This coalgebra homomorphism is precisely the one from the (raw) incidence coalgebra of the divisibility poset to the reduced incidence coalgebra, where

two ‘intervals’ $a | b$ and $a' | b'$ in the divisibility poset are identified when $b/a = b'/a'$. This is important as it is usually the setting of posets that is used to stage the whole theory, whereas it is rather the reduced incidence algebra (which is the incidence algebra of the monoid) that actually matters for Möbius inversion and related phenomena and tools.

It was observed more recently [11] that the CULF map of Content–Lemay–Leroux is actually induced by decalage of simplicial sets: the (nerve of the) divisibility poset is the lower decalage of the (bar complex of the) multiplicative monoid; it is a general fact that the map back from a decalage is always CULF.

We show that all these features carry over to the noncommutative setting of noncrossing partitions. Precisely, we exhibit the lattice of noncrossing partitions as the lower decalage of a simplicial set obtained by defining a suitable composition product on noncrossing partitions. The only caveat, and likely the reason why this composition product has remained under the radar until now, is that it does not define a genuine monoid but rather a partial monoid in the sense of Segal [22]. However, partial monoids are examples of decomposition spaces [3], and the theory of incidence (co)algebras and Möbius inversion applies to decomposition spaces just as it does to posets, monoids, and categories [12]. The incidence coalgebra of this partial monoid is of some importance in free probability: the corresponding convolution algebra contains the multiplicative functions used in Speicher’s free convolution (see Nica–Speicher [20], Lecture 18).

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 briefly gives some motivation and elements of context for the current main application domain for our developments: free probability. Section 3 lists various algebraic structures on noncrossing partitions, culminating with the definition of an arithmetics-inspired composition product that happens to encode all the information of Kreweras complementation and its higher generalizations. We will show, as an application, how various key results of the theory can be formulated in terms of this partial monoid structure. Section 4 accounts for classical incidence (co)algebras of positive integers, their categorical and simplicial interpretation. The last section 5 develops coalgebraic, categorical and simplicial properties of noncrossing partitions, showing that they behave as a noncommutative version of the integers with respect to Möbius-inversion type calculus. We also briefly investigate coalgebraic properties of k -divisible noncrossing partitions.

Notation 1.0.1. The set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted $[n]$. We use the rationals \mathbb{Q} as ground field for our vector spaces.

2 Context and motivation

Higher-order Kreweras complements can be defined by generalizing the definition we have recalled earlier, replacing the odd/even embedding of $[n]$ into $[2n]$ by the analogous embedding into $[kn]$. That these notions are meaningful is supported by combinatorial results in probability theory appearing in the works of Krawczyk, Mastnak, Nica and Speicher [15], [20], [17]. Our work was initially motivated by the properties of the distributions of products of random variables in free probability – specifically, multiplicative convolution. Similarly, our previous, technically independent article [8] was driven by the properties of sums of random variables in free probability and additive convolution.

Recall from [20] that a noncommutative probability space is a pair (A, ϕ) consisting of an associative algebra A and a unital linear form ϕ on A (say \mathbb{C} -valued). Free cumulants are multilinear maps κ_n from $A^{\otimes n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, to \mathbb{C} defined by induction (or Möbius inversion) in the lattice of noncrossing partitions through the (n th-order) free moment-cumulant relation

$$\phi(a_1 \cdots a_n) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{NC}\mathcal{P}(n)} \kappa_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n).$$

Here, $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ stands for the set of noncrossing partitions of $[n]$ and κ_π denotes the multiplicative extension of free cumulants to noncrossing partitions, that is, if $\pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\} \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, then

$$\kappa_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \prod_{i=1}^k \kappa_{\pi_i}(a_1, \dots, a_n),$$

where

$$\kappa_{\pi_i}(a_1, \dots, a_n) := \kappa_{|\pi_i|}(a_{n_1^i}, \dots, a_{n_{|\pi_i|}^i}),$$

for $\pi_i = \{n_1^i, \dots, n_{|\pi_i|}^i\}$. Analogous to cumulants in classical probability, free cumulants in free probability characterize free independence, which is a good notion of independence in noncommutative probability theory [14, 19]: subalgebras A_1, \dots, A_p of A are freely independent if and only if the free cumulants $\kappa_n(a_1, \dots, a_n)$ vanish whenever at least two elements a_i belong to different subalgebras in A_1, \dots, A_p .

One motivation for the present work is the following result connecting computations in free probability with Kreweras complements, a consequence of [20, Thm. 1.12]. Indeed, it holds for free cumulants of products of random variables that:

$$\kappa_m(a_1 \cdots a_p, \dots, a_{p(m-1)+1} \cdots a_{pm}) = \sum_{\substack{\pi \in \mathcal{NCP}_{p\text{-pres}}(m) \\ \hat{\pi} = \{[pm]\}}} \kappa_\pi(a_1, \dots, a_{pm}), \quad (1)$$

where

1. the $a_{pi+1}, \dots, a_{p(i+1)}$, $i = 0, \dots, m-1$, belong to freely independent A_1, \dots, A_p ,
2. in the summation on the right-hand side of (1), $\mathcal{NCP}_{p\text{-pres}}(m)$ denotes the set of p -preserving noncrossing partitions, i.e. such that i, j can be in the same block only if $i = j \pmod p$,
3. the partition $\hat{\pi}$ stands for the finest noncrossing partition which is coarser than π and such that $pi+1, \dots, p(i+1)$ belong to the same block for all $i = 0, \dots, m-1$.

Below, we will not make further references to free probability. However, we point out that formula (1) will drive our constructions on partitions $\pi \in \mathcal{NCP}_{p\text{-pres}}(m)$, such that $\hat{\pi} = \{[pm]\}$. They are called p -completing in the literature [1, Def. 2.1] and provide a natural p -fold generalization of classical Kreweras complementation. When $p = 2$, the sum in (1) is indeed equivalently formulated over pairs of a noncrossing partition and its Kreweras complement, as described previously in this introduction — see also Lemma 3.6.1 below.

3 Algebraic structures

We shall now go through a series of algebraic and coalgebraic structures on noncrossing partitions.

Recall that a partition $\pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l\}$ of $[n]$ is noncrossing, i.e., $\pi \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, if and only if there are no distinct blocks π_i and π_j such that

$$\exists a, c \in \pi_i, b, d \in \pi_j \mid a < b < c < d.$$

Noncrossing partitions of an arbitrary totally ordered set S are defined similarly, and form a lattice $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$. An increasing bijection $\phi : S \xrightarrow{\sim} T$ induces a bijection $\mathcal{NCP}(S) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{NCP}(T)$ denoted $\mathcal{NCP}(\phi)$. In particular, the integer translation by p of an element π of $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is a noncrossing partition of $[n] + p := \{1 + p, \dots, n + p\}$ that we denote $\pi + p$. Similarly, the dilation by p of an element π of $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is a noncrossing partition of $p \cdot [n] := \{p, 2p, \dots, np\}$ that we denote $p \cdot \pi$. Finally, given an arbitrary totally ordered finite set S of cardinality n , and given a noncrossing partition $\beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(S)$, we write $\text{st}(\beta)$ for the noncrossing partition in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ obtained by transporting β along the unique increasing bijection between S and $[n]$. For example, $\text{st}(\{\{1, 8\}, \{3, 5\}\}) = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$.

The blocks of a noncrossing partition, $\pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l\}$, are ordered by $\pi_i < \pi_j$ if and only if $\inf(\pi_j) < \inf(\pi_i) \leq \sup(\pi_i) < \sup(\pi_j)$. (That is, the block π_i is nested inside the block π_j .)

A noncrossing partition in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is *irreducible* if and only if it has a unique maximal block for the order $<$, that is, if 1 and n belong to the same block. In general, the irreducible components of a noncrossing partition are the subsets of π of the form $\{C \mid C \leq B\}$, where B is a maximal block. The same definition extends to $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$. For example, the irreducible components of $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}, \{4, 8\}, \{5, 6, 7\}\}$ are $\{\{1, 3\}, \{2\}\}$ and $\{\{4, 8\}, \{5, 6, 7\}\}$. The irreducible components of $\{\{1, 4\}, \{2\}, \{5, 10\}, \{6, 7\}\}$ are $\{\{1, 4\}, \{2\}\}$ and $\{\{5, 10\}, \{6, 7\}\}$.

3.1 Order structure

The set $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$ has a natural partial order of coarsening which we write using notation borrowed from arithmetics: $\pi \mid \mu$ if and only if every block of π is contained in a block of μ . This makes $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$ a lattice. The meet and join are denoted as usual $\pi \wedge \mu$ and $\pi \vee \mu$, respectively. The minimal partition (whose blocks are singletons) is written 0_S or simply 0_n when $S = [n]$. The maximal element (with only a single block) is written 1_S , respectively 1_n if $S = [n]$.

The lattice structure of noncrossing partitions has several interesting properties that will be useful. Consider for example the set $\mathcal{NCP}_\pi(n)$ of noncrossing partitions $\mu \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ containing a given set of disjoint blocks π_1, \dots, π_k with $\pi_i = \{x_1^i, \dots, x_{|\pi_i|}^i\}$. It is a subposet of $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ (actually a sublattice). Let us briefly explain this property, as it is fundamental for forthcoming developments (we do not detail the arguments and refer the reader to [20] for details on the standard general properties of noncrossing partitions that we implicitly use hereafter).

Write π_{\min} for the minimal partition in this poset: it is the noncrossing partition containing the π_i and the singletons $\{x\}$, where x runs over the elements of $[n]$ that are not contained in the blocks π_i . Write $<_{\min}$ for the order of the blocks in π_{\min} . Write μ_0 for the subset of $[n]$ given by all elements not nested inside any of the π_i :

$$\mu_0 := \{x \in [n] \mid x \notin \bigcup_{i \leq k} \pi_i \text{ and } \forall j = 1, \dots, k, \{x\} \not\prec_{\min} \pi_j\}.$$

For $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, |\pi_i| - 1$, write μ_i^j for the subset of $[n]$ containing elements nested immediately inside the j -th gap of π_i (i.e. between the elements x_j^i and x_{j+1}^i of π_i) but not belonging to or nested inside any other block nested inside this gap:

$$\mu_i^j := \{l \in]x_j^i, x_{j+1}^i[\text{ for which } l \notin \bigcup_{i \leq k} \pi_i \text{ and } \{l\} \prec_{\min} \pi_j \text{ for all } j \text{ with } \pi_j <_{\min} \pi_i\}.$$

Then

$$\pi_{\sup} := \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k, \mu_0\} \cup \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k} \{\mu_i^1, \dots, \mu_i^{|\pi_i|-1}\}$$

is noncrossing and the maximal element in $\mathcal{NCP}_\pi(n)$ (it has been constructed to be so). In general elements $\mu \in \mathcal{NCP}_\pi(n)$ are noncrossing partitions obtained as the union of $\{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_k\}$ with noncrossing partitions of μ_0 and the μ_i^j . We summarize these constructions as follows:

Lemma 3.1.1. *With notation as above, given a set of disjoint and noncrossing blocks π_1, \dots, π_k in $[n]$, the set $\mathcal{NCP}_\pi(n)$ of noncrossing partitions $\mu \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ for which each of the π_i is a block is a sublattice of $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$. It is isomorphic as a lattice to the cartesian product of the lattices $\mathcal{NCP}(\mu_0)$ and $\mathcal{NCP}(\mu_i^j)$ with $i = 1, \dots, k$ and $j = 1, \dots, |\pi_i| - 1$.*

As for any locally finite poset, we can associate to $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ an incidence (co)algebra.

Definition 3.1.2 (Raw incidence (co)algebra). The incidence coalgebra $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$ of the poset $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$ is spanned as a vector space by the intervals $[\alpha, \gamma]$ (consisting of all β with $\alpha \mid \beta \mid \gamma$), and with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta([\alpha, \gamma]) = \sum_{\alpha \mid \beta \mid \gamma} [\alpha, \beta] \otimes [\beta, \gamma]$$

and counit the ‘‘Kronecker delta’’: $\partial([\alpha, \gamma]) = 1$ for $\alpha = \gamma$, and zero else.

The (raw) incidence algebra $\text{IncAlg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$ is given by linear functions on $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$ with multiplication given by the convolution product

$$(f * g)(\alpha, \gamma) := \sum_{\alpha|\beta|\gamma} f(\alpha, \beta)g(\beta, \gamma)$$

and unit ∂ , where, for notational simplicity we have abbreviated $f([\alpha, \gamma])$ to $f(\alpha, \gamma)$.

3.2 Power maps

Consider the ‘coface maps’

$$\begin{aligned} f_i^n : [n] &\longrightarrow [n+1] \\ j &\longmapsto \begin{cases} j & \text{for } j \leq i \\ j+1 & \text{for } j > i, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

where $i = 0, \dots, n$.

The i -th replication map (for $i = 1, \dots, n$), denoted r_i^n is the map from $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ to $\mathcal{NCP}(n+1)$ defined by sending a non crossing partition $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l)$ to $r_i^n(\pi) = \{\pi'_1, \dots, \pi'_l\}$, where $\pi'_j := f_i^n(\pi_j) \cup \{i+1\}$ for $i \in \pi_j$, and $\pi'_j := f_i^n(\pi_j)$ for $i \notin \pi_j$. In words, a copy of i is created, labelled $i+1$ and put in the same block as i , and the elements above i are translated by $+1$.

Definition 3.2.1. The p -th power of an element $\pi = \{\pi_1, \dots, \pi_l\}$ of $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is the element of $\mathcal{NCP}(pn)$ obtained as $\pi^p = (r_1^{pn-1} \circ \dots \circ r_1^{1+(n-1)p}) \circ \dots \circ (r_n^{n+p-2} \circ \dots \circ r_n^n)(\pi)$. In words, each element i is replicated p times and all the replicas are put in the same block as i , integer labeling being changed in a coherent way.

For example (with $n = 4$ and $p = 2$), if $\pi = \{\{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ then $\pi^2 = \{\{1, 2, 7, 8\}, \{3, 4, 5, 6\}\}$. One obtains the arithmetic rule

$$(\pi^p)^q = \pi^{pq}.$$

We shall see shortly (3.4.1) that the p -th power is actually the p -fold perfect shuffle of an element with itself.

Let us also immediately state an obvious but useful characterization of the image of the power map: a noncrossing partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(pn)$ is in the image of the p -th power map if and only if $1, \dots, p; p+1, \dots, 2p; \dots; pn-p+1, \dots, pn$ are respectively in the same block. In more abstract (but equivalent) terms:

Lemma 3.2.2. *A noncrossing partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(pn)$ is in the image of the p -th power map if and only if*

$$\alpha = \alpha \vee \{\{1, \dots, p\}; \{p+1, \dots, 2p\}; \dots; \{pn-p+1, \dots, pn\}\}.$$

We will write $\pi = \sqrt[p]{\pi^2}$ and more generally $\pi = (\pi^p)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Notice that the p -th root operation is not defined for general noncrossing partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}(pn)$: by Lemma 3.2.2, $\alpha^{\frac{1}{p}}$ is defined if and only if $\alpha = \alpha \vee \{\{1, \dots, p\}; \{p+1, \dots, 2p\}; \dots; \{pn-p+1, \dots, pn\}\}$.

3.3 Concatenation product

The concatenation of two noncrossing partitions, $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(m)$, is the noncrossing partition $\alpha \cdot \beta := \alpha \cup (\beta + n)$. It is an easy exercise to check the next

Lemma 3.3.1. *$NC := \coprod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ with the concatenation product is the free monoid on the set of irreducible noncrossing partitions.*

One also obtains the (noncommutative) arithmetic rule

$$(\alpha \cdot \beta)^p = \alpha^p \cdot \beta^p,$$

where power maps are defined as in the previous section (and not as powers for the concatenation product).

Remark 3.3.2. Let \mathcal{NC} denote the linear span on NC , i.e. the monoid algebra of NC , which is the free associative algebra over the set of irreducible noncrossing partitions. It can be equipped with a cocommutative Hopf algebra structure by letting the irreducible noncrossing partitions be the primitive elements. The construction is natural and allows to relate the theory of noncrossing partitions to the theory of free Lie algebras in a canonical way (see [5]).

3.4 Perfect shuffle product

Definition 3.4.1. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(kn)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(ln)$. The n -perfect shuffle of α and β

$$\alpha *_n \beta := i_k(\alpha) \cup e_l(\beta), \tag{2}$$

is a partition of $[(k+l)n]$, defined in terms of the monotone embeddings


$$i_k : \begin{cases} [kn] & \longrightarrow & [(k+l)n] \\ ak+j & \longmapsto & a(k+l)+j, \end{cases} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq k$$

$$e_l : \begin{cases} [ln] & \longrightarrow & [(k+l)n] \\ al+j & \longmapsto & a(k+l)+k+j \end{cases} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq a \leq n-1, 1 \leq j \leq l.$$

Notice that $\alpha *_n \beta$ is not a noncrossing partition in general.

For example (with $n = 3$, $k = 2$, and $l = 1$), the 3-perfect shuffle of $\alpha = \{\{1, 5, 6\}, \{2, 4\}, \{3\}\}$ with $\beta = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3\}\}$ is $\{\{1, 7, 8\}, \{2, 5\}, \{4\}, \{3\}, \{6, 9\}\}$ (it is not a noncrossing partition). For example, the integer $5 = 2 * 2 + 1$ in the first block of α is sent to $7 = 2 * 3 + 1$, whereas the integer $2 = 1 * 1 + 1$ in the second block of α is sent to $6 = 1 * 3 + 2 + 1$.

In the following picture, on top and below are indicated the initial values of the elements, before they are shuffled and relabelled.



The n -perfect shuffle product is easily seen to be associative. Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, it also satisfies

$$\alpha^p = \alpha *_n \cdots *_n \alpha \vee \{\{1, \dots, p\}; \{p+1, \dots, 2p\}; \cdots; \{pn-p+1, \dots, pn\}\}$$

where the product $\alpha *_n \cdots *_n \alpha$ on the right-hand side has p factors.

Definition 3.4.2. With the same notation as above, when (and only when) the n -perfect shuffle (2) is a noncrossing partition, that is, $i_k(\alpha) \cup e_l(\beta) \in \mathcal{NCP}((k+l)n)$, we say that the pair (α, β) is *admissible* and, to notationally distinguish that case, set

$$\alpha \sqcup_n \beta := \alpha *_n \beta.$$

When $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)^2$, we will slightly abusively say that the pair is admissible for “the pair is n -admissible”.

Definition 3.4.3. More generally, given $\alpha_1 \in \mathcal{NCP}(k_1n), \dots, \alpha_p \in \mathcal{NCP}(k_pn)$, we say that the p -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ is n -admissible if and only if $\alpha_1 *_n \dots *_n \alpha_p$ is noncrossing, in which case we also write $\alpha_1 *_n \dots *_n \alpha_p =: \alpha_1 \sqcup_n \dots \sqcup_n \alpha_p$.

When $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)^k$, we will slightly abusively say that the k -tuple is admissible for “the k -tuple is n -admissible”.

Lemma 3.4.4. *With the same notation, a p -tuple $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_p)$ is n -admissible if and only if all pairs (α_i, α_j) with $1 \leq i < j \leq p$ are n -admissible.*

Proof. The property for a partition to be noncrossing depends only on the pairwise behaviour of its blocks. As, by construction, the blocks of $\alpha_1 *_n \dots *_n \alpha_p$ are all obtained from and in bijection with the blocks of the α_i and as furthermore the α_i are noncrossing partitions, it is enough to test the noncrossing property considering only the relative positions of blocks obtained from a α_i and from a α_j for $i < j$, that is to test if $\alpha_i *_n \alpha_j$ is noncrossing for $i < j$. \square

Definition 3.4.5. An element α of $\mathcal{NCP}(kn)$ is said to be k -preserving if and only if it can be written $\alpha_1 \sqcup_n \dots \sqcup_n \alpha_k$ with the α_i in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$. Equivalently, α is k -preserving when it is the case that any two integers in $[kn]$ in the same block of α are equal modulo k . The set of k -preserving partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}(kn)$ is written $\mathcal{NCP}_{k\text{-pres}}(n)$.

We list (without proofs) some elementary properties of n -admissibility and of the n -perfect shuffle product:

Lemma 3.4.6. *If (α, β) in $\mathcal{NCP}(kn) \times \mathcal{NCP}(ln)$ is n -admissible and $\alpha' \mid \alpha, \beta' \mid \beta$, then (α', β') is n -admissible. Conversely, if (α, β) is not n -admissible (that is, $\alpha *_n \beta \notin \mathcal{NCP}((k+l)n)$) and $\alpha \mid \alpha', \beta \mid \beta'$, then (α', β') is not n -admissible.*

Lemma 3.4.7. *The n -perfect shuffle product is increasing: if $(\alpha, \beta), (\alpha', \beta')$ in $\mathcal{NCP}(kn) \times \mathcal{NCP}(ln)$ are n -admissible with $\alpha \mid \alpha'$ and $\beta \mid \beta'$, then*

$$\alpha \sqcup_n \beta \mid \alpha' \sqcup_n \beta'.$$

Lemma 3.4.8. *Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, the set of noncrossing partitions $\beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ such that (α, β) is admissible is ordered by coarsening. It is stable by meets and joins and forms a sublattice of the lattice of noncrossing partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.1.1 to the case where π_1, \dots, π_k is the set of blocks in the image $\tilde{\alpha}$ of α when α is embedded into $\mathcal{NCP}(2n)$ as a partition of the set of odd elements. The result follows. Notice that with these conventions, the lattice $\mathcal{NCP}_\pi(2n)$ is the set of all $\alpha \sqcup_n \beta$, where (α, β) is admissible. \square

3.5 The partial monoid structure

We have seen that the perfect shuffle (2) of two noncrossing partitions is not always noncrossing. This creates some difficulties to provide a synthetic picture allowing to deal simultaneously with noncrossing partitions as if they were at the same time the elements of a poset and of a monoid — as occurs with the divisibility poset and the multiplicative monoid of the integers, see Subsection 4.1.

Definition 3.5.1. The *composition product* on noncrossing partitions is the partially defined product defined for $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ such that $\alpha *_n \beta$ is noncrossing by

$$\alpha \circ \beta := \sqrt{(\alpha \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n-1, 2n\}\}}. \quad (3)$$

Notice that this product is well defined as a consequence of Lemma 3.2.2. Recalling Lemma 3.4.7, the next Lemma shows that the composition product interacts well with the order structure:

Lemma 3.5.2. *Given admissible pairs (α, β) , (α', β) , (α, β') with $\alpha \mid \alpha'$ and $\beta \mid \beta'$, we have*

$$\alpha \circ \beta \mid \alpha' \circ \beta \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \circ \beta \mid \alpha \circ \beta'.$$

Moreover, these inequalities are strict if $\alpha \neq \alpha'$, respectively $\beta \neq \beta'$.

The Lemma can be seen as a restatement, in algebraic language, of standard monotonicity properties of Kreweras complementation which can be found for example in [20]. However, as it is interesting to see how they translate into our framework, we sketch the proof:

Proof. Since the composition product (3) is clearly weakly increasing, it is enough to assume that $\alpha \neq \alpha'$ (respectively $\beta \neq \beta'$) and show that the number of blocks in $\alpha' \circ \beta$ and $\alpha \circ \beta'$ is strictly less than the number of blocks in $\alpha \circ \beta$. It is then also enough to study the particular case where α' has one block less than α , or similarly for β' and β .

There are several possible configurations. Let us assume for example that β' is obtained from β by the merge of two blocks β_i and β_{i+1} that are not comparable (for the coarsening ordering of blocks inside β) and that $\inf(\beta_i) < \inf(\beta_{i+1})$ (that is, the block indexed by i is to the left of the block indexed by $i + 1$). Such a configuration implies that the subinterval $[2 \cdot \sup(\beta_i) + 1, 2 \cdot \sup(\beta_{i+1})]$ of $[2n]$ is an union of blocks in $\alpha \sqcup_n \beta$ (otherwise one can show that (α, β') would not be admissible as the merge of β_i and β_{i+1} would create a crossing when moving from $\alpha *_n \beta$ to $\alpha *_n \beta'$). As $2 \cdot \sup(\beta_i) + 1$ is odd and $2 \cdot \sup(\beta_{i+1})$ is even, this in turn implies that $2 \cdot \sup(\beta_{i+1})$ does not belong to the same block as $2 \cdot \sup(\beta_i)$ in $(\alpha \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n - 1, 2n\}\}$. However, as β' is obtained from β by merging β_i and β_{i+1} , they belong to the same block in $(\alpha \sqcup_n \beta') \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n - 1, 2n\}\}$, so that the latter has at least one block less than the former, which concludes the proof of this case. The other cases can be obtained similarly. \square

Definition 3.5.3. A *partial monoid* (in the sense of Segal [22]) is a set M equipped with a partially-defined binary operation $M \times M \rightarrow M$ required to be associative and unital. More precisely, one is given a subset $M_2 \subset M \times M$ and a function $M_2 \rightarrow M$ written with infix notation $(m_1, m_2) \mapsto m_1 \cdot m_2$ with the property that $(m_1 \cdot m_2) \cdot m_3$ is defined if and only if $m_1 \cdot (m_2 \cdot m_3)$ is defined, and, in that case, the two expressions are equal. Finally there should be a neutral element 1 such that $1 \cdot m$ and $m \cdot 1$ are defined and equal to m , for all $m \in M$.

Proposition 3.5.4. *The set $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ equipped with the partially-defined binary operation (3) from the set of admissible pairs to $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is a partial monoid. Its unit is the noncrossing partition 0_n .*

For the proof we shall use the following lemma. Its proof is omitted as it follows easily from the definitions; it illustrates some of the power of noncrossing arithmetics techniques:

Lemma 3.5.5. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$ and assume that they form an admissible pair. Then $\alpha^2 *_n \beta$ and $\alpha *_n \beta^2$ are also noncrossing and the following identities hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \circ \beta &= \sqrt{(\alpha \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n - 1, 2n\}\}} \\ &= ((\alpha^2 \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n - 2, 3n - 1, 3n\}\})^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= ((\alpha^2 \sqcup_n \beta) \vee \{\{2, 3\}, \{5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n - 1, 3n\}\})^{\frac{1}{3}} \\ &= ((\alpha \sqcup_n \beta^2) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n - 2, 3n - 1, 3n\}\})^{\frac{1}{3}}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Proposition 3.5.4. All pairs $(\alpha, 0_n)$ and $(0_n, \alpha)$ being admissible, the fact that 0_n is a unit for the composition product \circ is a direct consequence of its definition (3) and is left as an exercise.

Assume now that the triple (α, β, γ) is not admissible. By Lemma 3.4.4, this is equivalent to having at least one of the three pairs (α, β) , (α, γ) , (β, γ) being not admissible, and therefore at

least one of the three expressions $\alpha \circ \beta$, $\alpha \circ \gamma$ and $\beta \circ \gamma$ is not defined. From Lemma 3.4.6 and $\alpha, \beta \mid \alpha \circ \beta$; $\beta, \gamma \mid \beta \circ \gamma$, we get that both $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma$ and $\alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma)$ are not defined.

Assume finally that the triple (α, β, γ) is admissible, which is equivalent to assuming that the three pairs (α, β) , (α, γ) , (β, γ) are admissible. Using associativity of joins in lattices and Lemma 3.1.1 we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\alpha *_n \beta *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\} \\ &= (\alpha *_n \beta *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3\}, \{4, 5\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1\}, \{3n\}\} \\ &\quad \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\} \\ &= (((\alpha *_n \beta) \vee \{\{1, 2\}, \{3, 4\}, \dots, \{2n-1, 2n\}\}) *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\} \\ &= ((\alpha \circ \beta)^2 *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

so that, by applying Lemma 3.5.5 we get

$$(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma = ((\alpha *_n \beta *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\})^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

The same reasoning shows that

$$\alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma) = ((\alpha *_n \beta *_n \gamma) \vee \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{4, 5, 6\}, \dots, \{3n-2, 3n-1, 3n\}\})^{\frac{1}{3}},$$

which implies $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma = \alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma)$ and concludes the proof. \square

We note that the same reasoning together with an inductive argument implies more generally:

Lemma 3.5.6. *Given $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ an admissible k -tuple, we have*

$$\alpha_1 \circ \dots \circ \alpha_k = ((\alpha_1 *_n \dots *_n \alpha_k) \vee \{\{1, \dots, k\}, \dots, \{kn-k+1, \dots, kn\}\})^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

3.6 The Kreweras automorphism

We account in this section for the notion of Kreweras complementation. This is a well-studied and classical subject and we only hint at how it can be described in the algebraic formalism we have introduced, omitting details of proofs that can be found (or easily adapted from) the Nica and Speicher's textbook [20].

Given a noncrossing partition $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, the set of partitions β such that (α, β) is admissible is ordered by coarsening. We have seen in Lemma 3.1.1 that it is stable by meets and joins and forms a sublattice of the lattice of noncrossing partitions $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$. Its maximal element is, by definition, the Kreweras complement of α , denoted $K(\alpha)$. Concretely, the latter is determined in the following way: if $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then i and j are in the same block of $K(\alpha)$ if and only if $\{k \mid i+1 \leq k \leq j\}$ is the union of blocks of α .

This definition is the most common one, but not the best suited for our purposes. We will often use instead another one that underlies the equivalence between Eq. (1) and its restatement in terms of Kreweras complements when $p = 2$, see [20, Exercise 14.3].

Definition 3.6.1. Let α be a noncrossing partition in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$. The *Kreweras complement* $K(\alpha)$ is the unique noncrossing partition in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ such that $(\alpha, K(\alpha))$ is admissible and

$$\alpha \circ K(\alpha) = 1_n. \tag{4}$$

Proof. For the definition to be consistent, one has to show the existence and unicity of $K(\alpha)$ solving Eq. 4. The existence follows from the classical construction of the Kreweras complement [16]. Unicity follows from the strict monotonicity of the composition product \circ (Lemma 3.5.2). \square

The same definition applies *mutatis mutandis* to define Kreweras complements in $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$. We denote $K^S(\alpha)$ the Kreweras complement in $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$ of an element α in $\mathcal{NCP}(S)$.

The Kreweras complement is a set automorphism (the equation $\alpha \circ \beta = 1_k$ can be solved uniquely for α given β), and a non-involutive anti-automorphism of posets. Strict monotonicity indeed implies that it reverses the order: $\alpha \mid \gamma \iff K(\gamma) \mid K(\alpha)$ and that, in this formula, $\alpha \neq \gamma \iff K(\gamma) \neq K(\alpha)$. See [16] for the classical presentation and proofs. Notice that non-involutivity is equivalent to the noncommutativity of the composition product (3): $\alpha \circ \beta \neq \beta \circ \alpha$.

In particular, since $K(0_n) = 1_n$ we have:

Lemma 3.6.2. *Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{NCP}(n)$, the two intervals $[0_n, \alpha]$ and $[K(\alpha), 1_n]$ are anti-isomorphic lattices.*

These notions generalize to the relative Kreweras complement setting:

Definition 3.6.3. Let $\alpha \mid \beta$ be two partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$. The *relative Kreweras complement* $K_\beta(\alpha)$ is the unique noncrossing partition in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ such that $(\alpha, K_\beta(\alpha))$ is admissible and

$$\alpha \circ K_\beta(\alpha) = \beta. \quad (5)$$

The relative definition actually boils down to the standard one: it can be understood as performing Kreweras complementation on each block of β . This follows from Lemma 3.1.1, and can be explained more directly as follows. Given such a block β_i , one considers its sub-blocks in α . They form a noncrossing partition γ_i of β_i . The Kreweras complement of γ_i in the set β_i is a noncrossing partition $K^{\beta_i}(\gamma_i)$. The element $K_\beta(\alpha)$ is then obtained as the union of all the $K^{\beta_i}(\gamma_i)$.

This observation allows to deduce the properties of the relative case from the absolute case. In particular, K_β is a set automorphism and an anti-automorphism of posets of the interval $[0_n, \beta]$ (it reverses the order: $0_n \mid \alpha \mid \nu \mid \beta \iff 0_n = K_\beta(\beta) \mid K_\beta(\nu) \mid K_\beta(\alpha) \mid K_\beta(0_n) = \beta$).

As $K_\beta(0_n) = \beta$ and $K_\beta(\beta) = 0_n$, we also get:

Lemma 3.6.4. *Given $\alpha \mid \beta \in \mathcal{NCP}(k)$, the two intervals $[0_n, \alpha]$ and $[K_\beta(\alpha), \beta]$, respectively $[0_n, K_\beta(\alpha)]$ and $[\alpha, \beta]$ are anti-isomorphic as lattices.*

3.7 Some applications

To finish this algebraic part of the article we show how the formalism allows to recover and rephrase two key results of the theory of noncrossing partitions obtained respectively in [20] and [1], with a view towards applications to free probability. The point is that the arithmetic formalism allows easily to perform computations with Kreweras complements. For example, for (α, β) admissible, we have

$$\alpha \circ \beta \circ K(\alpha \circ \beta) = 1_n = \alpha \circ K(\alpha),$$

so that, for (α, β) admissible we always have

$$K(\alpha) = \beta \circ K(\alpha \circ \beta).$$

Proposition 3.7.1. *Assume that (α, β, γ) is an admissible triple. Then*

$$K_{\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma}(\alpha \circ \beta) = \gamma = K_{K_{\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma}(\alpha)}(K_{\alpha \circ \beta}(\alpha)).$$

Proof. The first equation is clear. For the second, use that $K_{\alpha \circ \beta}(\alpha) = \beta$ and $K_{\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma}(\alpha) = \beta \circ \gamma$, so that

$$K_{K_{\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma}(\alpha)}(K_{\alpha \circ \beta}(\alpha)) = K_{K_{\alpha \circ \beta \circ \gamma}(\alpha)}(\beta) = K_{\beta \circ \gamma}(\beta) = \gamma.$$

□

Recall from [1] that a k -preserving noncrossing partition α in $\mathcal{NCP}(kn)$ is called k -completing if and only if

$$\alpha \vee \{\{1, \dots, k\}, \dots, \{kn - n + 1, \dots, kn\}\} = 1_{kn}.$$

An admissible k -tuple in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$, $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$, is called *complete* if and only if $\alpha_1 \circ \dots \circ \alpha_k = 1_n$. Recall also that a multichain of length k in a poset is a non-decreasing sequence of elements

$$x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \dots \leq x_k.$$

See [17] for applications of multichains in the lattice of noncrossing partitions to free probability.

The following proposition summarizes results due to Edelman [10] and Arizmendi–Vargas [1].

Proposition 3.7.2. *There are canonical bijections between*

1. *admissible k -tuples in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$,*
2. *k -preserving noncrossing partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}(kn)$,*
3. *multichains of length $k - 1$ in the poset $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$,*
4. *complete admissible $(k+1)$ -tuples in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$,*
5. *$(k+1)$ -completing noncrossing partitions in $\mathcal{NCP}((k+1)n)$.*

Proof. • 1. \iff 2. We already know that the two sets are in bijection by

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \longmapsto \alpha_1 \sqcup_n \dots \sqcup_n \alpha_k.$$

- 1. \iff 3. The bijection is given by

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \longmapsto \alpha_1 \mid \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \mid \dots \mid \alpha_1 \circ \dots \circ \alpha_k.$$

The inverse bijection by

$$\beta_1 \mid \beta_2 \mid \dots \mid \beta_k \longmapsto (\beta_1, K_{\beta_1}(\beta_2), \dots, K_{\beta_{k-1}}(\beta_k)).$$

- 1. \iff 4. The bijection is given by

$$(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k) \longmapsto (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k, K(\alpha_1 \circ \dots \circ \alpha_k)).$$

- 4. \iff 5. This follows from Lemma 3.5.6.

□

4 From classical incidence (co)algebras to decalage

4.1 The standard construction

To proceed further, it will be useful to recall classical Möbius inversion [21]. Consider the poset of positive integers (\mathbb{N}^*, \mid) with order given by divisibility, and its incidence coalgebra $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid)$ of intervals, with comultiplication given by

$$\Delta([n, m]) := \sum_{n \mid k \mid m} [n, k] \otimes [k, m].$$

The incidence algebra $\text{IncAlg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid)$ associated to (\mathbb{N}^*, \mid) is the convolution algebra defined by duality from the coalgebra $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid)$, in analogy with Definition 3.1.2. Its elements are linear functions on $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid)$. Among those functions are the zeta function $\zeta(n, m) := 1$

for all $n \mid m$, and its convolution inverse, the Möbius function $\mu := \zeta^{*-1}$. The Möbius inversion formula says that

$$g(m) = \sum_{n \mid m} f(n) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad f(m) = \sum_{n \mid m} g(n) M\left(\frac{m}{n}\right),$$

where the Möbius coefficients M are given as $M\left(\frac{m}{n}\right) = \mu(n, m)$.

Two observations are due here. First, the subspace $\text{IncAlg}^{\text{red}}(\mathbb{N}^*, |) \subset \text{IncAlg}(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ of functions whose value on (n, m) only depends on the number m/n that is, such that

$$f(n, m) = F(m/n)$$

is a subalgebra, called the reduced incidence algebra. Indeed,

$$(f * g)(n, m) = \sum_{n \mid k \mid m} f(n, k)g(k, m) = \sum_{n \mid k \mid m} F(k/n)G(m/k) = \sum_{1 \mid k \mid (m/n)} F(k)G(m/kn).$$

Second, the restriction of the convolution product from $\text{IncAlg}(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ to $\text{IncAlg}^{\text{red}}(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ identifies with the convolution product of functions on the multiplicative monoid (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot)

$$\Delta(m) := \sum_{i \cdot j = m} i \otimes j.$$

In other words, classical Möbius inversion, although generally formulated in terms of incidence (co)algebras of posets, is actually rather a property of the multiplicative monoid, the two aspects being related via the homomorphism of coalgebras

$$\begin{aligned} \text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, |) &\longrightarrow \text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) \\ [n, m] &\longmapsto n/m. \end{aligned}$$

4.2 Categorical and simplicial interpretation

The relationship between the two approaches (intervals in posets vs elements in a monoid) is formulated elegantly via the fact that posets and monoids are both examples of categories: Recall that a poset can be regarded as a category where there is a morphism $x \rightarrow y$ whenever $x \leq y$, and that a monoid M gives rise to a category with a single object and whose arrows are the elements of M , the composition of arrows being given by monoid multiplication in M . Content, Lemay and Leroux [6] observed that the assignment $a \mid b \mapsto \frac{b}{a}$ constitutes a functor from the category $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ to the category (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) . Furthermore, this functor is CULF (“conservative” and having “unique lifting of factorizations”), which they identified as the class of functors that induce coalgebra homomorphism covariantly at the level of incidence coalgebras, or equivalently, algebra homomorphisms contravariantly between the incidence algebras. The functor thus induces the above coalgebra homomorphism

$$\text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, |) \twoheadrightarrow \text{IncCoalg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot)$$

(which is a quotient map) and dually the embedding of convolution algebras

$$\text{IncAlg}(\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) \hookrightarrow \text{IncAlg}(\mathbb{N}^*, |).$$

More recently, it was observed that in the setting of simplicial sets this relationship is an instance of a very general phenomenon: the nerve of the divisibility poset $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ is the lower decalage of the bar complex of the monoid (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) , that the functor is the canonical map that always exists from a decalage back to the original simplicial set, and that this functor is always CULF [11]. In this way, one may say loosely that $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$ is just a “shift” of (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) . Let us

briefly explain the decalage viewpoint, as we will find exactly the same situation for noncrossing partitions.

One way to have posets, monoids and categories on equal footing is in terms of their nerves, which are simplicial sets, i.e. functors $X : \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ where $\mathbf{\Delta}$ is the category of finite nonempty ordinals $\{0, \dots, n\}$ and order-preserving maps. The unique order-preserving injection from $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ into $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ whose image does not contain i induces a *face map* $d_i : X_n \rightarrow X_{n-1}$. The unique order-preserving surjection from $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$ to $\{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ that maps i and $i+1$ to i induces a *degeneracy map* $s_i : X_{n-1} \rightarrow X_n$. The relations obeyed by the face and degeneracy maps are called the simplicial identities; they can be used to define simplicial sets without using the language of categories and functors. Recall that the nerve of a category \mathcal{C} is the simplicial set $X := N\mathcal{C} : \mathbf{\Delta}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ where a k -simplex is a string of k composable arrows in \mathcal{C} . In particular, X_0 is the set of objects, and X_1 is the set of morphisms. In the special case of a poset, X is also called the *order complex*: X_0 is the set of elements in the poset, X_1 is the set of all intervals, and X_k is the set of all multichains of length k (meaning that there are k steps, or equivalently $k+1$ poset elements in the multichain). For a monoid M , the nerve is also called the *bar complex*,¹ and is traditionally denoted BM . Here the set of k -simplices X_k is the set M^k . The outer face maps project away the first or last element of a k -tuple, while the inner face maps multiply adjacent elements.

For any simplicial set X , the *lower decalage* of X , denoted $\text{Dec}_{\perp}(X)$, is the simplicial set obtained by forgetting X_0 and shifting down all higher X_k , so that

$$\text{Dec}_{\perp}(X)_k = X_{k+1}.$$

This is a simplicial set again: the face and degeneracy maps are all the face and degeneracy maps of X except d_0 and s_0 , and they are shifted down by one index, so that the new d_i are the old d_{i+1} (and the new s_i are the old s_{i+1}). There is a canonical simplicial map $\text{Dec}_{\perp}(X) \rightarrow X$, often called the *dec map*, given by using the original d_0 maps. Altogether we get (degeneracy maps are not represented):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} X : & & X_0 & \xleftarrow{d_1} & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_2} & X_2 & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & \\ \text{Dec}_{\perp}(X) : & & X_1 & \xleftarrow{d_2} & X_2 & \xleftarrow{d_3} & X_3 & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & \end{array}$$

The simplicial identities ensure that this map is a map of simplicial sets.

Applying this construction to the bar complex of (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) , we obtain

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} BN^* : & & * & \xleftarrow{d_1} & \mathbb{N}^* & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & \\ \text{Dec}_{\perp}(BN^*) : & & \mathbb{N}^* & \xleftarrow{d_2} & \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* & \xleftarrow{d_3} & \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* \times \mathbb{N}^* & \cdots \\ & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & & \uparrow d_0 & \end{array}$$

In the left part of the bottom row, the face maps d_1 and d_2 send a pair (a, b) to ab and a , respectively. Clearly we have $a \mid ab$, which can be interpreted as an interval in the divisibility poset. This is in fact part of a canonical isomorphism of simplicial sets between the lower decalage of the bar complex over the monoid of the integers and the nerve of the divisibility poset:

$$\text{Dec}_{\perp}(BN^*) \xrightarrow{\sim} N(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid).$$

¹The word “bar” comes from the first paper on the subject (Eilenberg–Mac Lane), where an element in X_k was denoted $x_1|x_2|\dots|x_k$. Here we can *not* use that notation, as we employ the symbol \mid for divisibility and ordering.

In degree $k - 1$ this isomorphism is given as the following bijection between k -tuples and $(k - 1)$ -multichains:

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k) \mapsto a_1 \mid a_1 a_2 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 \mid \cdots \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots a_k.$$

The key point is that the face and degeneracy maps match up too, assembling the bijections into an isomorphism of simplicial sets. As an example, the bottom face map $d_0^{\text{Dec}_\perp(B\mathbb{N}^*)}$ is the original $d_1^{B\mathbb{N}^*}$ so its effect on $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) \in (\mathbb{N}^*)^4$ is to multiply a_1 and a_2 , giving $(a_1 a_2, a_3, a_4)$, which maps to $a_1 a_2 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$ by the isomorphism. We obtain the same value when the face map of the nerve of the divisibility poset $d_0^{N(\mathbb{N}^*, \mid)}$ acts on $a_1 \mid a_1 a_2 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 \mid a_1 a_2 a_3 a_4$.

5 Coalgebraic and topological structures

The construction of incidence coalgebra, incidence algebra, and Möbius inversion makes sense for simplicial sets more general than nerves of categories. The natural level of generality is that of *decomposition spaces* [12] (also called 2-Segal spaces [7]). They are simplicial sets more general than nerves of categories, and in particular they include posets and monoids (see [11] for many examples). It is a general fact that the lower decalage of a decomposition space is always the nerve of a category, and that the dec map is always CULF [12].

Many combinatorial coalgebras can be shown not to be the incidence coalgebra of any category (or poset or monoid), but virtually all of them can be realized by decomposition spaces (according to [11]). Where categories encode the ability to compose, decomposition spaces owe their name to having instead the ability to decompose, as happens abundantly for combinatorial structures, even in situations where one cannot always compose. As a special case, partially defined composition laws and multivalued composition laws can often fruitfully be interpreted as defining decomposition spaces. This happens in particular for partial monoids, as first observed by Bergner et al [3]: the bar complex of a partial monoid is a decomposition space. Its k -simplices are given by *admissible* k -tuples of elements in the partial monoid [22]. The partial associativity condition satisfied by partial monoids translates precisely into the axioms for a decomposition space in this case. In particular, by the general theory of decomposition spaces, partial monoids have incidence (co)algebras (where the (co)multiplication becomes an everywhere-defined operation). The comultiplication is exactly the same as for genuine monoids: $\Delta(m) = \sum_{m_1 \cdot m_2 = m} m_1 \otimes m_2$.

In this section we treat accordingly the partial monoid of noncrossing partitions. In a first step (Subsection 5.1), we perform the constructions “classically”, appealing only to standard Rota-type algebra arguments. In a second step (Subsection 5.2), just as we did for the positive integers, we show how these results can be interpreted categorically and simplicially.

5.1 Noncrossing partitions coalgebras

We first note the following analogy with the reduced incidence algebra of the divisibility poset:

Proposition 5.1.1. *The subspace $\text{IncAlg}^{\text{red}}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \mid) \subset \text{IncAlg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \mid)$ of functions such that $f(\alpha, \beta) = F(K_\beta(\alpha))$ for a function F on $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ is a subalgebra, called the reduced incidence algebra.*

Proof. By Proposition 3.7.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f * g)(\alpha, \beta) &= \sum_{\alpha \mid \gamma \mid \beta} f(\alpha, \gamma) g(\gamma, \beta) = \sum_{\alpha \mid \gamma \mid \beta} F(K_\gamma(\alpha)) G(K_\beta(\gamma)) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha \mid \gamma \mid \beta} F(K_\gamma(\alpha)) G(K_{K_\beta(\alpha)}(K_\gamma(\alpha))). \end{aligned}$$

Using then the bijection between ordered triples $\alpha \mid \gamma \mid \beta$ and noncrossing partitions ϕ solution of $\alpha \circ \phi \mid \beta$ (the bijection is given by requiring $\alpha \circ \phi = \gamma$; the ϕ are also in bijection with divisors of the maximal solution $K_\beta(\alpha)$ of the equation $\alpha \mid \gamma \mid \beta$), we finally get:

$$(f * g)(\alpha, \beta) = \sum_{0_n \mid \phi \mid K_\beta(\alpha)} F(\phi)G(K_{K_\beta(\alpha)}(\phi)),$$

which concludes the proof. \square

Remark 5.1.2. The reduction taken here — identifying intervals in $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$ if they have isomorphic relative Kreweras complements — will match the partial monoid. It should be noted that there are other possibilities for reduction. One is to identify intervals if they have the same *fibre monomial*, namely for $\alpha \mid \beta$ the same family of preimages of the blocks in β . This came up naturally in connection with the block-substitution operad of [8]. Another possibility, which goes further than both of the previous two options, is to identify intervals if their Kreweras complements have the same type (sizes of blocks). This is the one used by Speicher [24].

Just like for classical Möbius inversion, these properties can be abstracted into properties of coalgebras. Any finite partial monoid gives rise to a coalgebra by the following process which is the same as for monoids and relies on associativity, unitarity and the fact that $(\alpha \circ \beta) \circ \gamma$ is defined if and only if $\alpha \circ (\beta \circ \gamma)$ is. The proofs of coassociativity and counitality (as well as Möbius inversion) are also the same (or one can invoke the more general results for decomposition spaces [12]).

Definition 5.1.3. The incidence coalgebra $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$ is spanned as a vector space by $\mathcal{NCP}(n)$, and has comultiplication induced by the partial monoid structure of $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$:

$$\Delta_\circ : \pi \longmapsto \sum_{\alpha \circ \beta = \pi} \alpha \otimes \beta,$$

with counit $\partial_{0_n}(\pi) := 1$ if $\pi = 0_n$ and zero otherwise.

Remark 5.1.4. This coalgebra structure is implicit in the works of Mastnak, Nica and Speicher. It is closely related to techniques developed in the study of multiplicative families of functions on the lattice of noncrossing partitions by Nica and Speicher [20]. Multiplicative families of functions are a particular class of families of linear forms defined simultaneously on all the $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. They form a family of subalgebras of the convolution algebras of linear forms on $\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$. This coalgebra structure is also closely related to the Hopf algebra structure defined by Mastnak and Nica in [17] to account for the group-theoretical properties of multiplicative convolution. The objects they consider are slightly different, though, as they also use noncrossing partitions to define multilinear forms on algebras of noncommuting variables leading to a richer structure involving also words of an alphabet and multiplicativity properties similar to the ones considered by Nica and Speicher.

We have finally a compatibility property, similar to the one established in the framework of classical Möbius inversion. It will follow from general theoretical arguments of Subsection 5.2 below, but we also provide here a direct proof.

Proposition 5.1.5. *The map*

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi : \text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \mid) &\longrightarrow \text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ) \\ [\alpha, \beta] &\longmapsto K_\beta(\alpha) \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of coalgebras.

Proof. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\circ(K_\beta(\alpha)) &= \sum_{0_n | \phi | K_\beta(\alpha)} \phi \otimes K_{K_\beta(\alpha)}(\phi) \\ &= \sum_{\alpha | \alpha \circ \phi | \beta} K_{\alpha \circ \phi}(\alpha) \otimes K_{K_\beta(\alpha)}(K_{\alpha \circ \phi}(\alpha)),\end{aligned}$$

using 3.7.1, we get:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_\circ(K_\beta(\alpha)) &= \sum_{\alpha | \gamma | \beta} K_\gamma(\alpha) \otimes K_\beta(\gamma), \\ &= \sum_{\alpha | \gamma | \beta} \Psi([\alpha, \gamma]) \otimes \Psi([\gamma, \beta]) \\ &= (\Psi \otimes \Psi) \circ \Delta_I([\alpha, \beta]).\end{aligned}$$

□

5.2 Categorical and simplicial aspects

In this subsection we show that the partial monoid $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$ relates to the noncrossing partitions lattice $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$ precisely as the multiplicative monoid (\mathbb{N}^*, \cdot) relates to the divisibility poset $(\mathbb{N}^*, |)$.

Proposition 5.2.1. *The lower decalage of the (bar complex of the) partial monoid $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$ is isomorphic to the (nerve of the) poset of noncrossing partitions $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$.*

Proof. As explained, the nerve of $(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$ has $X_0 = *$ (singleton) and X_1 the set of noncrossing partitions. The set X_2 is the set of admissible pairs of noncrossing partitions. More generally X_k is the set of admissible k -tuples of noncrossing partitions. A k -simplex of the lower decalage is thus an admissible $(k+1)$ -tuple, and by Proposition 3.7.2 this defines uniquely a k -multichain in the noncrossing partitions lattice. So in each simplicial degree we have the required bijection.

The more interesting part is to check also that the face and degeneracy maps match up. This check is completely analogous to the case of the divisibility poset and the multiplicative monoid of positive integers. As a sample, let us consider a 2-simplex in the lower decalage (so an admissible 3-tuple)

$$(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3).$$

The three faces (applying d_0, d_1, d_2 of the decalage, which are d_1, d_2, d_3 of the bar complex) are, respectively

$$(\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3), \quad (\alpha_1, \alpha_2),$$

and their images in the nerve of the noncrossing partitions poset under the bijections are the intervals

$$\alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 | \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_1 | \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3, \quad \alpha_1 | \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2.$$

On the other hand, the 3-tuple $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$ is sent to the 2-multichain

$$\alpha_1 | \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 | \alpha_1 \circ \alpha_2 \circ \alpha_3$$

whose 3 faces (applying d_0, d_1, d_2 of the poset's nerve) are the same three intervals. □

Remark 5.2.2. It is quite rare for a poset (or category) to admit an “undecking” like this — a simplicial set whose decalage is the given category. According to Garner–Kock–Weber [13] the existence of an undecking amounts to the category having the structure of unary operadic category in the sense of Batanin and Markl [2], a general abstract framework for operad-like structures. In particular, the noncrossing partitions lattice is thus an example of an unary operadic category, where the so-called fibre functor is given by the Kreweras complement. As far as we know, this example of operadic category had not been observed before — it is of a

rather different flavour than the usual examples of operadic categories. (The undecked relevant to operadic categories is actually *upper* decalage, not lower, but since the noncrossing partitions lattice is self-dual, in the present situation this detail is not important.)

Composing with the dec map we get a CULF functor from the nerve of the noncrossing partitions lattice to the bar complex of the partial monoid. In simplicial degree 1, this map sends an interval in the noncrossing partitions lattice to its relative Kreweras complement:

$$\alpha | \beta \mapsto K_\beta(\alpha). \quad (6)$$

This thus defines a coalgebra homomorphism

$$\text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |) \twoheadrightarrow \text{IncCoalg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ)$$

which coincides with the one in Proposition 5.1.5, with the same description as in (6), and, dually, the algebra homomorphism

$$\text{IncAlg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), \circ) \twoheadrightarrow \text{IncAlg}(\mathcal{NCP}(n), |)$$

on the dual incidence algebras (the inclusion of Proposition 5.1.1 of those functions whose values on an interval only depends on its relative Kreweras complement).

Acknowledgements. L.F. and F.P. acknowledge support from the grant ANR-20-CE40-0007 Combinatoire Algébrique, Résurgence, Probabilités Libres et Opérades. J.K. was supported by grant PID2020-116481GB-I00 (AEI/FEDER, UE) of Spain and grant 10.46540/3103-00099B from the Independent Research Fund Denmark, and was also supported through the Severo Ochoa and María de Maeztu Program for Centers and Units of Excellence in R&D grant number CEX2020-001084-M. K.E.F. was supported by the Research Council of Norway through project 302831 *Computational Dynamics and Stochastics on Manifolds* (CODYSMA). K.E.F. and F.P. would also like to thank the Centre for Advanced Study (CAS) in Oslo for its warm hospitality and financial support during the research project *Signatures for Images* (SFI). F.P. was also supported by the ANR – FWF project PAGCAP.

References

- [1] OCTAVIO ARIZMENDI and CARLOS VARGAS. *Products of free random variables and k -divisible non-crossing partitions*. Electron. Commun. Probab. **17** (2012), 1–13.
- [2] MICHAEL BATANIN and MARTIN MARKL. *Operadic categories and duoidal Deligne’s conjecture*. Adv. Math. **285** (2015), 1630–1687. ArXiv:1404.3886.
- [3] JULIA E. BERGNER, ANGÉLICA M. OSORNO, VIKTORIYA OZORNOVA, MARTINA ROVELLI, and CLAUDIA I. SCHEIMBAUER. *2-Segal sets and the Waldhausen construction*. Topology Appl. **235** (2018), 445–484. ArXiv:1609.02853.
- [4] PIERRE CARTIER and DOMINIQUE FOATA. *Problèmes combinatoires de commutation et réarrangements*. No. 85 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, New York, 1969. Republished in the “books” section of the Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire.
- [5] PIERRE CARTIER and FRÉDÉRIC PATRAS. *Classical Hopf Algebras and Their Applications*. Algebra and Applications. Springer International Publishing, 2021.
- [6] MIREILLE CONTENT, FRANÇOIS LEMAY, and PIERRE LEROUX. *Catégories de Möbius et fonctorialités: un cadre général pour l’inversion de Möbius*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **28** (1980), 169–190.
- [7] TOBIAS DYCKERHOFF and MIKHAIL KAPRANOV. *Higher Segal spaces*. No. 2244 in Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 2019. ArXiv:1212.3563.
- [8] KURUSCH EBRAHIMI-FARD, LOIČ FOISSY, JOACHIM KOCK, and FRÉDÉRIC PATRAS. *Operads of (noncrossing) partitions, interacting bialgebras, and moment-cumulant relations*. Adv. Math. **369** (2020), 107170, 55. ArXiv:1907.01190.

- [9] KURUSCH EBRAHIMI-FARD and FRÉDÉRIC PATRAS. *Cumulants, free cumulants and half-shuffles*. Proc. A. **471** (2015), 20140843, 18pp. ArXiv:1409.5664.
- [10] PAUL H. EDELMAN. *Chain enumeration and noncrossing partitions*. Discrete Math. **31** (1980), 171–180.
- [11] IMMA GÁLVEZ-CARRILLO, JOACHIM KOCK, and ANDREW TONKS. *Decomposition spaces in combinatorics*. Preprint, arXiv:1612.09225.
- [12] IMMA GÁLVEZ-CARRILLO, JOACHIM KOCK, and ANDREW TONKS. *Decomposition spaces, incidence algebras and Möbius inversion I: Basic theory*. Adv. Math. **331** (2018), 952–1015. ArXiv:1512.07573.
- [13] RICHARD GARNER, JOACHIM KOCK, and MARK WEBER. *Operadic categories and décalage*. Adv. Math. **377** (2021), 107440. ArXiv:1812.01750.
- [14] ANIS BEN GHORBAL and MICHAEL SCHÜRMAN. *Non-commutative notions of stochastic independence*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **133** (2002), 531–561.
- [15] BERNADETTE KRAWCZYK and ROLAND SPEICHER. *Combinatorics of free cumulants*. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **90** (2000), 267–292.
- [16] GERMAIN KREWERAS. *Sur les partitions non croisées d'un cycle*. Discrete Math. **1** (1972), 333–350.
- [17] MITJA MASTNAK and ALEXANDRU NICA. *Hopf algebras and the logarithm of the S -transform in free probability*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 3705–3743.
- [18] JON MCCAMMOND. *Noncrossing partitions in surprising locations*. Amer. Math. Monthly **113** (2006), 598–610.
- [19] NAOFUMI MURAKI. *The five independences as quasi-universal products*. Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top. **5** (2002), 113–134.
- [20] ALEXANDRU NICA and ROLAND SPEICHER. *Lectures on the Combinatorics of Free Probability*, vol. 335 of London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [21] GIAN-CARLO ROTA. *On the foundations of combinatorial theory. I. Theory of Möbius functions*. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete **2** (1964), 340–368 (1964).
- [22] GRAEME SEGAL. *Configuration-spaces and iterated loop-spaces*. Invent. Math. **21** (1973), 213–221.
- [23] RODICA SIMION. *Noncrossing partitions*. Discrete Math. **217** (2000), 367–409.
- [24] ROLAND SPEICHER. *Multiplicative functions on the lattice of noncrossing partitions and free convolution*. Math. Ann. **298** (1994), 611–628.
- [25] RICHARD P. STANLEY. *Catalan Numbers*. Cambridge University Press, 2015.