

Time-Shifted Alternating Gelfand-Pinsker Coding for Broadcast Channels

Constantin Runge  and Gerhard Kramer 

Institute for Communications Engineering, Technical University of Munich, 80333 Munich, Germany
 {constantin.runge, gerhard.kramer}@tum.de

Abstract—A coding scheme for broadcast channels (BCs) is proposed that shifts the users’ code blocks by different amounts of time and applies alternating Gelfand-Pinsker encoding. The scheme achieves all rate tuples in Marton’s region for two receiver BCs without time-sharing or rate-splitting. Simulations with short polar codes show that the method reduces the gap to capacity as compared to time-sharing.

I. INTRODUCTION

Broadcast channels (BCs) model the downlink of wireless cellular systems. A practical approach to avoid interference is orthogonalizing transmission, e.g., by time-division multiplexing, frequency-division multiplexing, or inverse precoding. The best-known rates for BCs, up to multi-letter coding that is considered impractical, are achieved with Marton coding which simultaneously bins two or more random codebooks; see [1, p. 259], [2], [3]. However, Marton coding also seems impractical, and implementations use binning with individual codebooks, called Gelfand-Pinsker (GP) coding [4]. Capacity-achieving GP coding can be implemented by polar codes [5] for joint shaping and error control [6]. For example, the authors of [7] apply polar codes to binary symmetric GP channels; the paper [8] polarizes two random variables concurrently; the paper [9] uses polar codes for probabilistic shaping and GP coding; the authors of [10] use a chaining construction; the thesis [11] uses polar lattices; and the papers [12], [13], [14] use scalar lattices and probabilistic shaping.

GP coding for BCs achieves the corner points of Marton’s region. The other rate points may be achieved by time-sharing or rate-splitting [15], similar to multi-access channels (MACs) with successive cancellation (SC) decoding. For MACs, a simple scheme has the transmitters use time-shifted encoding [16] and SC decoding. This approach has a lower delay than time-sharing in general and is simpler than rate-splitting. A related idea is block-offset encoding and SC decoding, which can improve rates, e.g., for multi-access relay channels [17] and noisy network coding [18], [19].

This paper studies a dual of the MAC scheme in [16], which we call time-shifted alternating (TSA) GP encoding. Simulations suggest that polar codes are well-suited for the approach. The paper is organized as follows. Section II reviews notation, Marton’s region, and GP coding. Section III performs TSA-GP encoding using random codes, and Section IV uses polar codes. Section V concludes the paper.

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Notation

Random variables are written in upper case, such as X . The alphabet, distribution, and realization of X are written as \mathcal{X} , P_X and x , respectively. If $P_{Y_1|X}$ is stochastically degraded with respect to $P_{Y_2|X}$ we write $P_{Y_1|X} \preceq P_{Y_2|X}$. An index set is denoted by $[n] := \{1, \dots, n\}$. Strings (x_1, \dots, x_n) of symbols are denoted as x^n . String concatenation is denoted as $[a^m, b^n]$ and $g^n(x^n) := (g(x_1), \dots, g(x_n))$.

The expressions $\mathbb{E}[X]$, $\mathbb{H}(X)$, $\mathbb{H}(X|Y)$, and $\mathbb{I}(X;Y)$ refer to the expectation of X , the entropy of X , the conditional entropy of X given Y , and the mutual information (MI) of X and Y , respectively. The binary entropy function is denoted $h_2(p) = -p \log_2 p - (1-p) \log_2 (1-p)$ for $0 < p < 1$, and $h_2(0) = h_2(1) = 0$. The conditional Bhattacharyya parameter is defined as (see [20])

$$Z(X|Y) = 2 \mathbb{E} \left[\sqrt{P_{X|Y}(0|Y)P_{X|Y}(1|Y)} \right] \quad (1)$$

and satisfies (see [20], [21, Lemma 6])

$$Z(X|Y)^2 \leq \mathbb{H}(X|Y) \leq Z(X|Y) \quad (2)$$

$$Z(X|Y, S) \leq Z(X|Y) \quad (3)$$

where $X, Y, S \sim P_{XYS}$. The string x^n is said to be ϵ -typical with respect to P_X if

$$|N(a|x^n)/n - P_X(a)| \leq \epsilon P_X(a), \quad \text{for all } a \in \mathcal{X}$$

where $N(a|x^n)$ is the number of times the letter a occurs in the string x^n . The set of length- n ϵ -typical strings with respect to P_X is denoted as $\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(P_X)$. We write $P_X^n = (P_X)^n$ for independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) strings.

B. BCs

A two-receiver discrete memoryless BC [22] has a conditional distribution $P_{Y_1 Y_2 | X}$ with input X and two outputs Y_1, Y_2 . An (n, R_1, R_2) code is a triple consisting of one encoder and two decoders where the encoder maps a message pair $(m_1, m_2) \in \llbracket 2^{nR_1} \rrbracket \times \llbracket 2^{nR_2} \rrbracket$ to a transmit string x^n and each decoder $k \in \{1, 2\}$ maps its channel observation $y_k^n \in \mathcal{Y}_k^n$ to an estimate $\hat{m}_k \in \llbracket 2^{nR_k} \rrbracket$. Marton’s region of rate pairs (R_1, R_2) for a fixed P_{UVX} is (see [3], [23] and also [24])

$$R_1 \leq \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1), \quad R_2 \leq \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2), \quad (4)$$

$$R_1 + R_2 \leq \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) + \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - \mathbb{I}(U; V) \quad (5)$$




Figure 2. TSA-GP coding. The yellow region signifies GP encoding, and the white region point-to-point encoding.

where U, V are auxiliary random variables and the chain $(U, V) - X - (Y_1, Y_2)$ is Markov. It suffices to choose $X = g(U, V)$ for some function $g : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$.

C. Achievability via GP Coding

The interesting corner points of (4)-(5) are

$$(R_1, R_2) = (\mathbb{I}(U; Y_1), \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - \mathbb{I}(V; U)) \quad (6)$$

$$(R_1, R_2) = (\mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) - \mathbb{I}(U; V), \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2)). \quad (7)$$

Fig. 1 shows the capacity region of the Blackwell channel [25], which can be achieved with GP encoding; see [3], [26]. For the corner point (6), m_1 is encoded to u^n with a usual encoder for the channel $P_{Y_1|U}$. Next, u^n is interpreted as side-information available at the encoder, and m_2 is encoded to v^n using GP coding for the channel $P_{Y_2|U,V}$ with state U . Finally, the symbols $x_i = g(u_i, v_i)$, $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$, are transmitted. The corner point (7) is achieved by swapping the roles of messages 1 and 2.

Time-sharing can achieve any point in Marton's region by transmitting at each corner point for some fraction of the time. However, if the reliability constraints require similar code word lengths for both receivers, the delay is approximately a multiple of the code word lengths. This makes time-sharing impractical for low-delay transmissions. On the other hand, rate-splitting splits one message into two messages and treats the BC as a three-receiver channel, which incurs additional complexity for code design and at the encoder and decoders.

III. TSA ENCODING WITH RANDOM CODES

Time-sharing has each code word generated by a usual encoder or a GP encoder. TSA coding instead introduces an offset between the receiver blocks. The encoders for each receiver perform GP encoding in the first part of their blocks, where the interference of the other receiver's codeword is known, and a usual encoding in the second part; see Fig. 2.

Again, two encoders produce u^n and v^n . Let $n_1 < n$ be the shift of the blocks of receiver 2. Then, when m_1 is encoded, the last n_1 symbols of the previous v^n overlap with the first n_1 symbols of the u^n to be encoded. The encoder for receiver 1 assumes the first n_1 states are known and treats the remaining $n - n_1$ states as unknown. Similarly, the encoder for receiver 2 assumes the first $n - n_1$ states are known and treats the remaining n_1 states as unknown.




Figure 1. Capacity region of the Blackwell channel [25]. The blue and yellow regions are achievable with conventional GP coding, each corresponding to one encoding order.

Theorem 1. *TSA-GP encoding achieves all rate points in Marton's region.*

Proof. For the BC $P_{Y_1 Y_2 | X}$ fix the distribution P_{UV} , a function $g : \mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, as well as overlap lengths $0 \leq n_1 \leq n$ and $n_2 = n - n_1$. We transmit messages $m_1 \in \llbracket 2^{nR_1} \rrbracket$, $m_2 \in \llbracket 2^{nR_2} \rrbracket$ to receivers 1 and 2, respectively. For $n_1 = 0$ or $n_2 = 0$, TSA coding is identical to GP coding for the corner points. We assume $n_1, n_2 > 0$ in the following.

Code Construction: For receiver 1, choose $2^{nR_1 + n_1 R'_1}$ i.i.d. code words $u^{n_1}(m_1, l_1)$, $l_1 \in \llbracket 2^{n_1 R'_1} \rrbracket$, with $P_U^{n_1}$ and 2^{nR_1} i.i.d. $u^{n_2}(m_1)$ with $P_U^{n_2}$. For receiver 2, choose $2^{nR_2 + n_2 R'_2}$ i.i.d. $v^{n_2}(m_2, l_2)$, $l_2 \in \llbracket 2^{n_2 R'_2} \rrbracket$, with $P_V^{n_2}$ and 2^{nR_2} i.i.d. $v^{n_1}(m_2)$ with $P_V^{n_1}$.

Encoding: The encoder maps m_1, m_2 to pairs (u^{n_1}, u^{n_2}) , (v^{n_2}, v^{n_1}) , respectively, in an alternating manner. Given a previous m_2 and a current m_1 , the encoder chooses an l_1 such that $(u^{n_1}(m_1, l_1), v^{n_1}(m_2)) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_1}(P_{UV})$ and transmits $x^{n_1} = g^{n_1}(u^{n_1}(m_1, l_1), v^{n_1}(m_2))$. Similarly, given a previous m_1 and a current m_2 , the roles are reversed, i.e., the encoder

chooses a l_2 such that $(u^{n_2}(m_1), v^{n_2}(m_2, l_2)) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_2}(P_{UV})$ and transmits $x^{n_2} = g^{n_2}(u^{n_2}(m_1), v^{n_2}(m_2, l_2))$. In both cases, if there is no jointly typical string, set $l_k = 1$, $k = 1, 2$.

Decoding: Given $y_1^n = [y_1^{n_1}, y_1^{n_2}]$, receiver 1 finds indices \hat{m}_1, \hat{l}_1 such that $(u^{n_1}(\hat{m}_1, \hat{l}_1), y_1^{n_1}) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_1}(P_{UY})$ and $(u^{n_2}(\hat{m}_1), y_1^{n_2}) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_2}(P_{UY})$. Receiver 2 proceeds analogously with $y_2^n = [y_2^{n_2}, y_2^{n_1}]$.

Analysis: The encoder uses standard GP encoders, which are likely to succeed if $R'_k > \mathbb{I}(U; V)$ and n_k is large for $k = 1, 2$. Suppose $(u^{n_1}, v^{n_1}, y_1^{n_1}) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_1}(P_{UY_1})$ and consider the event \mathcal{E}_1 of finding wrong estimates \hat{m}_1, \hat{l}_1 . We have

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E}_1) = \sum_{\substack{\hat{m}, \hat{l} \\ \hat{m} \neq m_1}} \Pr(\mathcal{E}_{\text{GP}}^1(\hat{m}, \hat{l})) \Pr(\mathcal{E}_{\text{direct}}^1(\hat{m})) \quad (8)$$

$$\leq \sum_{\substack{\hat{m}, \hat{l} \\ \hat{m} \neq m_1}} 2^{-(n_1 \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) + n_2 \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) + \delta_1(\epsilon))} \quad (9)$$

$$\leq 2^{n(R_1 + \frac{n_2}{n} R'_1 - \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) + \delta_1(\epsilon))} \quad (10)$$

where the events $\mathcal{E}_{\text{GP}}^1(m, l) = \{(u^{n_1}(m, l), y_1^{n_1}) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_1}(P_{UY_1})\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_{\text{direct}}^1(m) = \{(u^{n_2}(m), y_1^{n_2}) \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^{n_2}(P_{UY_1})\}$ are independent, and $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \delta_1(\epsilon) = 0$. For the event \mathcal{E}_2 of finding erroneous indices at receiver 2, we similarly have

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E}_2) \leq 2^{n(R_2 + \frac{n_1}{n} R'_2 - \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) + \delta_2(\epsilon))}. \quad (11)$$

Defining $\alpha := \frac{n_1}{n}$ and combining our results we obtain an achievable region of

$$R_1 < \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) - \alpha \mathbb{I}(U; V) \quad (12)$$

$$R_2 < \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{I}(U; V) \quad (13)$$

with $R_1 + R_2 < \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) + \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - \mathbb{I}(U; V)$. \square

The above scheme requires no time-sharing or rate-splitting, and few blocks are needed to approach a desired rate tuple. For example, consider the target fraction $\alpha^* = a/N$ where a and N are integers. With time-sharing, the average rates are close to the desired rate tuple only if the number of blocks is a multiple of N or significantly larger than N . Thus, the number of data bits for receiver k with time-sharing is a multiple of $N \cdot nR_k$. On the other hand, TSA coding requires N to be a divisor of the block length n , i.e., the number of data bits is a multiple of nR_k , without the extra factor N . This difference is important for large N , e.g., when a fine-grained control of data rates is needed. If N does not divide n , then α^* can be closely approximated by some fraction $\frac{n_1}{n}$ for most practical block lengths. Also, time-sharing and rate-splitting require multiple rates for different blocks, while the TSA rates are the same for each block which simplifies coding.

IV. TSA ENCODING WITH POLAR CODES

We demonstrate the practicality of TSA coding via polar codes. Polar codes achieve the corner points of Marton's region using the schemes from [9], [10]. TSA polar encoding modifies these schemes.

A. Polar Codes

Polar codes are linear block codes defined via the self-inverse, linear polar transform \mathbf{G}_n with

$$\bar{x}^n = x^n \mathbf{G}_n^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{G}_n = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\otimes \log_2 n} \quad (14)$$

where $\mathbf{F}^{\otimes k}$ is the k -fold Kronecker product of \mathbf{F} . For strings X^n and Y^n we introduce the notation

$$\mathcal{L}_{X|Y} \triangleq \{i \in [n] \mid Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}, Y^n) < \delta_n\} \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{X|Y} \triangleq \{i \in [n] \mid Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}, Y^n) > 1 - \delta_n\} \quad (16)$$

for sets of strongly polarized positions and

$$\mathcal{L}'_{X|Y} \triangleq \{i \in [n] \mid Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}, Y^n) \leq 1 - \delta_n\} \quad (17)$$

$$\mathcal{H}'_{X|Y} \triangleq \{i \in [n] \mid Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}, Y^n) \geq \delta_n\} \quad (18)$$

for sets of strongly or weakly polarized positions where $\bar{X}^n = X^n \mathbf{G}_n^{-1}$ is the polar transform of X^n and $\delta_n = 2^{-n^\beta}$ for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$. These index sets polarize [6, Eqs. (38), (39)], i.e., we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{L}_{X|Y}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{L}'_{X|Y}| = 1 - \mathbb{H}(X|Y) \quad (19)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{H}_{X|Y}| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{H}'_{X|Y}| = \mathbb{H}(X|Y). \quad (20)$$

One usually has $X^n \sim \text{Ber}^n(\frac{1}{2})$ for polar codes.

This polarization property can be used for coding by considering the polar transform \bar{x}^n of the code word x^n as follows. The bits \bar{x}_i on positions $i \in \mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$, can be estimated reliably given previous \bar{x}_j , $j \in [i-1]$. An encoder thus places data into the positions in $\mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$. The remaining, so-called frozen, bits \bar{x}_i , $i \in \mathcal{H}'_{X|Y}$, are fixed to 0. An SC decoder successively computes estimates of \bar{x}_i given y^n : at every position i , either $\bar{x}_i = 0$ is known, or \bar{x}_i can be estimated reliably. The error probability of the entire decoding procedure goes to 0 as $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$ for $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.

B. Polar Codes for Probabilistic Shaping and GP Coding

The polar transform can be used to construct codes that emulate a distribution $P_{X^n} = \prod_i P_{X_i}$ [6]. Consider, e.g., the i.i.d. case $P_{X^n Y^n} = P_X^n P_{Y|X}$. We analyze $Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}, Y^n)$ and $Z(\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1})$. The bits \bar{x}_i with $i \in \mathcal{H}_X$ have entropy close to 1 and can carry one bit of information each. The shaping bits \bar{x}_i with $i \in \mathcal{L}'_X$, have entropy mostly significantly less than 1. This means $X^n \sim P_{X^n}$ induces a non-uniform distribution on these bits given \bar{x}^{i-1} . Encoding is performed by first fixing $\bar{x}_i = 0$, $i \in \mathcal{H}'_{X|Y}$, and placing data into \bar{x}_i , $i \in \mathcal{M}$, with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_{X|Y} \cap \mathcal{H}_X$. An SC decoder then computes probabilities and samples $\bar{x}_i \sim P_{\bar{X}_i | \bar{X}^{i-1}}$, $i \in \mathcal{L}'_X$. The receiver is similar to the one for polar codes with uniform X . The bits with $i \in \mathcal{H}_X$ are estimated using an SC decoder given y^n . The bits at \mathcal{L}'_X must be decoded with the same SC decoder and randomness as at the encoder. Since $\mathcal{L}_X \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$, the rate is [6]

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{M}| = \mathbb{H}(X) - \mathbb{H}(X|Y) \quad (21)$$

and the decoding error probability scales as $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$.

The above carries over to GP coding with polar codes when a state S^n is known at the encoder. Assuming $P_{S|X} \preceq P_{Y|X}$, one can show that $\mathcal{L}_{X|S} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$ [7]. The index sets $\mathcal{H}_{X|S}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$ thus align, and the coding scheme for probabilistic shaping can be applied by simply considering $X|S$ and $X|Y$ instead of X and $X|Y$ [7], [6], [9]. This degradedness assumption can be lifted using the chaining methods of [7], [10]. An error probability scaling of $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$ is achieved with the message set $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{H}_{X|S} \cap \mathcal{L}_{X|Y}$ and the rate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{M}| = \mathbb{H}(X|S) - \mathbb{H}(X|Y). \quad (22)$$

For simplicity, we assume $P_{S|X} \preceq P_{Y|X}$.

C. Proof of Polarization for TSA Coding

Polar codes achieve the corner points of Marton's region if $P_{U|V} \preceq P_{Y_2|V}$ [9, Thm. 3]. The scheme splits the target distribution P_{UV} into $P_U P_{V|U}$ and performs shaping with frozen bits $\mathcal{H}'_{U|Y_1}$ and shaping bits \mathcal{L}'_U for receiver 1, and then polar GP coding with frozen bits $\mathcal{H}'_{V|Y_2}$ and shaping bits $\mathcal{L}'_{V|U}$ for receiver 2. As outlined above, this scheme achieves the GP points with the message sets $\mathcal{M}_1 = \mathcal{L}_{U|Y_1} \cap \mathcal{H}_U$ and $\mathcal{M}_2 = \mathcal{L}_{V|Y_2} \cap \mathcal{H}_{V|U}$, i.e., we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{M}_1| = \mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) \quad (23)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{M}_2| = \mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - \mathbb{I}(U; V). \quad (24)$$

Using this polarization property, one can prove vanishing error probabilities of a coding scheme with shared randomness.

One hurdle to proving polarization for the TSA-GP scheme is that the strings of binary-input discrete memoryless channels (biDMCs) to be transformed are not stationary and depend on the block length n . We cannot identify the transformed channels after the same number of transformations for different n with each other. Instead, we prove polarization for an ensemble of polar codes concatenated with a uniform random block interleaver of length n . This interleaver allows to treat the non-stationary sequence as stationary and i.i.d. when considering its polar transform. We can model the interleaver as randomly assigning GP encoding or point-to-point encoding to each position $i \in \llbracket n \rrbracket$.

Theorem 2. *Consider a biDMC $P_{Y|UV}$ with channel input U and random state V . For each block of length n , the partial state v^{n_1} is known to the transmitter at positions $\mathcal{A} \subset \llbracket n \rrbracket$, $|\mathcal{A}| = n_1$. If the positions \mathcal{A} are chosen uniformly at random for each code block, then there exist polar encoders and decoders with rate approaching $\mathbb{I}(U; Y) - \alpha \mathbb{I}(U; V)$ and error probability approaching 0 as $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$, $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$.*

Proof. Consider $V, S, U, Y \sim P_V P_S P_{U|VS} P_{Y|UV}$ where S takes on the value 1 if $i \in \mathcal{A}$ and 0 otherwise. Let $P_S = \text{Ber}(\alpha)$, $P_{U|VS}(u|v, 0) = \mathbb{E}[P_{U|V}(u|V)] = P_U(u)$ and $P_{U|VS}(u|v, 1) = P_{U|V}(u|v)$. To show polarization, define a random variable V' with alphabet $\mathcal{V}' = \mathcal{V} \cup \{\perp\}$ where

$\perp \notin \mathcal{V}$ as $v' : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$, $(v, 1) \mapsto v$, $(v, 0) \mapsto \perp$. The models $P_V P_S P_{U|VS} P_{Y|UV}$ and $P_V P_S P_{V'|VS} P_{U|V'} P_{Y|UV}$ yield identical joint distributions for V, S, U, Y when $P_{U|V'}(u|v) = P_{U|V}(u|v)$, $v \in \mathcal{V}$, and $P_{U|V'}(u|\perp) = P_U(u)$. We have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{L}_{U|Y}| = 1 - \mathbb{H}(U|Y) \quad (25)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{H}_{U|V'}| = \alpha \mathbb{H}(U|V) + (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{H}(U) \quad (26)$$

and thus

$$\frac{1}{n} |\mathcal{M}| \rightarrow (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{I}(U; Y) + \alpha (\mathbb{I}(U; Y) - \mathbb{I}(U; V)) \quad (27)$$

$$= \mathbb{I}(U; Y) - \alpha \mathbb{I}(U; V) \quad (28)$$

with $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{L}_{U|Y} \cap \mathcal{H}_{U|V'}$. This last step requires $P_{V'|U} \preceq P_{Y|U}$ to ensure aligned polarization indices and $\mathcal{L}_{U|V'} \subseteq \mathcal{L}_{U|Y}$. If this assumption does not hold, one can use a chaining construction. The randomized encoders and decoders from [7], [6], [9] prove the existence of an encoder and a decoder with message set \mathcal{M} for which the error probability $\Pr(\mathcal{E}_{\text{id}})$ scales as $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$, $0 < \beta < \frac{1}{2}$, when communicating over the channel $P_{Y|UV}$ with i.i.d. side information V' .

It remains to show this scheme is also viable when S is not i.i.d. $\text{Ber}(\frac{n_1}{n})$ but of fixed type $p^* = (n_1, n_2)$. Let $\Pr(\mathcal{E}_p)$ be the error probability conditioned on s^n being of type p , \mathcal{P}_n be the set of all types of length n on the binary alphabet, and p_{s^n} be the type of s^n . The bounds [27, Lemmas II.1, II.2] yield $\Pr(p_{s^n} = p^*) \geq \frac{1}{n}$ and thus

$$\Pr(\mathcal{E}_{p^*}) \leq n \sum_{p \in \mathcal{P}_n} \Pr(p_{s^n} = p) \Pr(\mathcal{E}_p) = n \Pr(\mathcal{E}_{\text{id}}). \quad (29)$$

Therefore, the error probability when using the polar coding scheme constructed for i.i.d. S over the channel with partial side information of length n_1 and a random interleaver goes to 0 as $\mathcal{O}(2^{-n^\beta})$, proving there exists an interleaver at least as good as this average. \square

The above proves the existence of TSA encoders and decoders, and positions at which the partial side information is available, such that the error probability can approach zero and the rate approach $\mathbb{I}(U; Y_1) - \alpha \mathbb{I}(U; V)$. We obtain the same result for receiver 2 with rate approaching $\mathbb{I}(V; Y_2) - (1 - \alpha) \mathbb{I}(U; V)$. That is, following [28], the randomized encoders and decoders can be replaced by deterministic ones, which leaves the interleavers as random. Empirical results show the polarization speed depends on the interleaver. Using no interleaver works well with the natural decoding order on \bar{x}^n as defined by (14)-(18). We next demonstrate that TSA polar coding without an interleaver can perform better than time-sharing when using this natural decoding order.

D. Numerical Results over the Blackwell Channel

Consider the Blackwell channel [25], which is a noiseless BC with a ternary input and two binary outputs. The channel has the mapping $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_1 \times \mathcal{Y}_2$, $0 \mapsto (0, 0)$, $1 \mapsto (0, 1)$, $2 \mapsto (1, 0)$. Being noiseless, its capacity region is known [3], [26]. The sum rate-optimal input distribution is uniform on \mathcal{X} which implies $Y_1 = U \sim \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{3})$, $Y_2 = V$, $V|(U=0) \sim \text{Ber}(\frac{1}{2})$,




Figure 3. Simulated decoder error probabilities vs. back-off from sum capacity $C = \log_2 3$ bpcu over the Blackwell channel using SCL-32 decoding. The time-sharing fraction is $\alpha = 1/2$ so $R_1 = R_2$.

and $V|(U = 1) \sim \text{Ber}(0)$. The corner rates are $2/3$ bpcu for the GP coded user and ≈ 0.918 bpcu for the marginally coded user with sum-rate $\log_2 3 \approx 1.585$ bpcu. To compare TSA polar coding with time-sharing, we choose $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

Fig. 3 compares the total error probability of TSA and time-shared polar coding under successive cancellation list (SCL) decoding [29] with list size $L = 32$ and block length $n = 2048$. The codes are constructed by computing the entropies $\mathbb{H}(\bar{U}_i|\bar{U}^{i-1}, V^m)$ for each user and using all bit channels with entropy above a specified threshold $1 - \delta$ for data. The order of the polarization stages, and thus the decoding order of \bar{x}^n , is chosen to apply the largest butterflies, i.e., the $(i, i + \frac{n}{2})$ butterflies, to x^n first. Using no interleaver and this decoding order, TSA encoding ($\text{---}\bullet\text{---}$) operates closer to the sum capacity than time sharing for the same error probability. We compare with time-sharing and $n = 1024$ ($\text{---}\diamond\text{---}$), i.e., individual blocks have length $n = 1024$, and time sharing with $n = 2048$ ($\text{---}\square\text{---}$).

The improved performance is because the transformed channels of a 2×2 butterfly are at least as polarized as the initial channels. That is, the first transformed channel is at least as unreliable as the less reliable of the initial two channels, and the second transformed channel is at least as reliable as the more reliable of the initial two channels [30]. The degree of polarization of the transformed bit channels can be improved by pairing two channels of different reliability. This is a useful heuristic to match parallel channels with different reliability to polar code symbols, c.f. [31]. By using a decoding order such that the indices i and $i + \frac{n}{2}$ form a butterfly, time-shifting with $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ and using the identity interleaver causes every butterfly in the first polarization stage to see two different channels. For time-sharing, every butterfly in the first stage sees two identical channels. This improves the degree to which the bit channels are polarized under time-shifting compared to time-sharing.

V. CONCLUSIONS

We presented TSA-GP encoding as a new coding technique for BCs. The scheme can approach rate tuples between the Marton region corner points without time-sharing or rate-splitting. The scheme increases the rates compared to time-sharing for short block lengths and has lower complexity than rate-splitting. TSA encoding can be implemented with polar codes, and numerical results confirm the anticipated rate gains. Further research may demonstrate the effectiveness of TSA encoding over Gaussian BCs and may consider tailored code designs to increase the gains.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors thank Diego Lentner for valuable comments. This work was supported by the Federal Ministry of Education and Research of Germany in the program of ‘‘Souver an. Digital. Vernetzt.’’, joint project 6G-life, project identification number 16KISK002, and by the German Research Foundation (DFG) under Project KR 3517/13-1.

REFERENCES

- [1] I. Csisz ar and J. K orner, *Information Theory: Coding Theorems for Discrete Memoryless Channels*. Budapest: Akad emiai Kiad o, 1981.
- [2] G. Kramer, ‘‘Capacity results for the discrete memoryless network,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 49, no. 1, pp. 4–21, 2003.
- [3] K. Marton, ‘‘A coding theorem for the discrete memoryless broadcast channel,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 25, no. 3, pp. 306–311, May 1979.
- [4] S. I. Gel’fand and M. S. Pinsker, ‘‘Coding for channel with random parameters,’’ *Probl. of Contr. and Inf. Theory*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 19–31, Jan. 1979.
- [5] E. Arkan, ‘‘Channel polarization: A method for constructing capacity-achieving codes for symmetric binary-input memoryless channels,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 55, no. 7, pp. 3051–3073, Jun. 2009.
- [6] J. Honda and H. Yamamoto, ‘‘Polar coding without alphabet extension for asymmetric models,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 59, no. 12, pp. 7829–7838, Sep. 2013.
- [7] S. B. Korada and R. L. Urbanke, ‘‘Polar codes are optimal for lossy source coding,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 56, no. 4, pp. 1751–1768, Mar. 2010.
- [8] E. Arkan, ‘‘Polar coding for the Slepian-Wolf problem based on monotone chain rules,’’ in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Cambridge, MA, Jul. 2012, pp. 566–570.
- [9] N. Goela, E. Abbe, and M. Gastpar, ‘‘Polar codes for broadcast channels,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 758–782, Feb. 2015.
- [10] M. Mondelli, S. H. Hassani, I. Sason, and R. L. Urbanke, ‘‘Achieving Marton’s region for broadcast channels using polar codes,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 2, pp. 783–800, Feb. 2015.
- [11] L. Liu, ‘‘Polar codes and polar lattices for efficient communication and source quantization,’’ Doctoral Dissertation, Imperial College London, Sep. 2016.
- [12] M. Y.  ener, R. B ohnke, W. Xu, and G. Kramer, ‘‘Dirty paper coding based on polar codes and probabilistic shaping,’’ *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, pp. 3810–3813, Sep. 2021.
- [13] M. Y.  ener, R. B ohnke, and W. Xu, ‘‘A practical dirty paper coding scheme for MISO broadcast channels,’’ in *Proc. IEEE Global Commun. Conf.*, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, Dec. 2022, pp. 215–220.
- [14] M. Y.  ener, R. B ohnke, W. Xu, and G. Kramer, ‘‘Achieving the dirty paper channel capacity with scalar lattices and probabilistic shaping,’’ *IEEE Commun. Lett.*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 29–33, Jan. 2024.
- [15] A. Carleial, ‘‘Interference channels,’’ *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 24, no. 1, pp. 60–70, Jan. 1978.
- [16] J. Hou, J. Smee, H. Pfister, and S. Tomasin, ‘‘Implementing interference cancellation to increase the EV-DO Rev A reverse link capacity,’’ *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 44, no. 2, pp. 58–64, Feb. 2006.

- [17] L. Sankar, G. Kramer, and N. B. Mandayam, "Offset encoding for multiple-access relay channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 53, no. 10, pp. 3814–3821, Sep. 2007.
- [18] M. Yassaee and M. Aref, "Slepian-Wolf coding over cooperative relay networks," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 6, pp. 3462–3482, Aug. 2011.
- [19] S. Lim, Y.-H. Kim, A. El Gamal, and S.-Y. Chung, "Noisy network coding," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 5, pp. 3132–3152, May 2011.
- [20] E. Arıkan, "Source polarization," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Austin, TX, Jun. 2010, pp. 899–903.
- [21] L. Liu, Y. Yan, C. Ling, and X. Wu, "Construction of capacity-achieving lattice codes: Polar lattices," *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 67, no. 2, pp. 915–928, Oct. 2018.
- [22] T. Cover, "Broadcast channels," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 2–14, Jan. 1972.
- [23] A. El Gamal and E. van der Meulen, "A proof of Marton's coding theorem for the discrete memoryless broadcast channel (corresp.)," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 120–122, Jan. 1981.
- [24] Y. Liang, G. Kramer, and H. V. Poor, "On the equivalence of two achievable regions for the broadcast channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 57, no. 1, pp. 95–100, 2011.
- [25] E. van der Meulen, "Random coding theorems for the general discrete memoryless broadcast channel," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 180–190, Mar. 1975.
- [26] M. S. Pinsker, "Capacity of noiseless broadcast channel," *Probl. Perekhodchi Inf.*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 28–34, Jun. 1978.
- [27] I. Csiszár, "The method of types," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 44, no. 6, pp. 2505–2523, Oct. 1998.
- [28] R. A. Chou and M. R. Bloch, "Using deterministic decisions for low-entropy bits in the encoding and decoding of polar codes," in *Proc. Allerton Conf. Commun., Contr., Comput.*, Monticello, IL, Oct. 2015, pp. 1380–1385.
- [29] I. Tal and A. Vardy, "List decoding of polar codes," *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, vol. 61, no. 5, pp. 2213–2226, Mar. 2015.
- [30] J. Kim and J. Lee, "Polar codes for non-identically distributed channels," *EURASIP J. Wireless Commun. Netw.*, no. 287, Jul. 2016.
- [31] T. Wiegart, T. Prinz, F. Steiner, and P. Yuan, "Design of polar codes for parallel channels with an average power constraint," in *Proc. IEEE Int. Symp. Inf. Theory (ISIT)*, Paris, France, Jul. 2019, pp. 1942–1946.