

UNITAL k -RESTRICTED ∞ -OPERADS

AMARTYA SHEKHAR DUBEY AND YU LEON LIU

ABSTRACT. We study unital ∞ -operads by their arity restrictions. Given $k \geq 1$, we develop a model for unital k -restricted ∞ -operads, which are variants of ∞ -operads which has only $(\leq k)$ -arity morphisms, as complete Segal presheaves on closed k -dendroidal trees, which are closed trees build from corollas with valences $\leq k$. Furthermore, we prove that the restriction functors from unital ∞ -operads to unital k -restricted ∞ -operads admit fully faithful left and right adjoints by showing that the left and right Kan extensions preserve complete Segal objects. Varying k , the left and right adjoints give a filtration and a co-filtration for any unital ∞ -operads by k -restricted ∞ -operads.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Closed k -dendroidal trees	3
3. Unital k -restricted ∞ -operads	6
4. The left adjoint L_k	11
5. The right adjoint R_k	14
References	19

1. INTRODUCTION

In the pioneering work [Sta63], Stasheff constructs a sequence of convex polytopes K_n , called the *Stasheff associahedra*, that encode the associativity of a binary multiplication. In [Lur17, §4.1], Lurie provides an interpretation using the framework of ∞ -operads. Let $\mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{nu}}$ be the non-unital associative ∞ -operad that parametrizes a fully coherent associative multiplication. Lurie constructs a converging filtration of ∞ -operads

$$(1.1) \quad \text{Triv} = \mathbb{A}_1^{\text{nu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_2^{\text{nu}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k^{\text{nu}} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{nu}},$$

where the \mathbb{A}_k^{nu} parametrizes multiplications that are associative up to k inputs. Furthermore, by [Lur17, Theorem 4.1.6.13], extending an $\mathbb{A}_{k-1}^{\text{nu}}$ -algebra structure to an \mathbb{A}_k^{nu} -algebra structure is equivalent to lift certain maps from ∂K_k to K_k .

There is a sense that \mathbb{A}_k^{nu} is the “ k -th arity restriction” of $\mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{nu}}$:

- (1) The map $\mathbb{A}_k^{\text{nu}} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty^{\text{nu}}$ induces an equivalence on n -ary morphism spaces for $n \leq k$.
- (2) For $n > k$, the n -ary morphism space $\mathbb{A}_k^{\text{nu}}(n)$ is generated by $(\leq k)$ -morphisms in a suitable sense.

This motivates the natural notion of a k -restricted ∞ -operad, where we only consider n -ary morphism spaces for $n \leq k$. These k -restricted ∞ -operads can be viewed as the k -arity-skeletons of ∞ -operads, and the associated obstruction theory has been studied in [Bar22].

In [Heu21, Appendix C.1], Heuts considers arity restrictions of non-unital ∞ -operads.¹² Heuts constructs an ∞ -category $\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{nu}}$ of non-unital k -restricted ∞ -operads, and proves that the natural restriction functor $\text{Op}^{\text{nu}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{nu}}$ has fully faithful left and right adjoints.

In this note, we study the unital version of k -restricted ∞ -operads. Recall that an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is *unital* if for every color X the space $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(\emptyset, X)$ is contractible. We model k -restricted ∞ -operads using Moerdijk and Weiss' category Ω of dendroidal trees [CM13]. Dendroidal trees are certain trees that parametrize composable operadic operations, just as the n -th simplex $[n]$ parametrizes n -composable morphisms.

By the results of [Bar18] and [CHH18], the ∞ -category Op of ∞ -operads is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega)$ of complete Segal presheaves on the dendroidal category Ω . Using this, we prove in Corollary 3.20 that the ∞ -category Op^{un} of unital ∞ -operads is equivalent to the ∞ -category $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega^c)$ of complete Segal presheaves on the category Ω^c of *closed* dendroidal trees (Definition 2.7).³

Inspired by this, we say that a dendroidal tree is k -dendroidal if it is built from n -corollas with $n \leq k$, and define the ∞ -category $\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ of unital k -restricted ∞ -categories as complete Segal presheaves on the category $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$ of closed k -dendroidal trees (see Definition 3.21). When $k = \infty$ we take $\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ to be Op^{un} .

Our main result is the following:

Theorem 1.2 (Theorem 4.10, Theorem 5.17). *Given $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$, the natural restriction functor $(-)^k : \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ admits a fully faithful left adjoint L_k as well as a fully faithful right adjoint R_k , given by left and right Kan extension along $i_k : \Omega_{\leq k}^c \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\leq j}^c$ respectively.*

Intuitively, for a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , the n -ary morphism space of $L_k \mathcal{O}$ is the space of all possible n -ary morphism that can be created from $(\leq k)$ -ary morphisms in \mathcal{O} (Corollary 4.11); while the n -ary morphism space of $R_k \mathcal{O}$ is the space of families of $(\leq k)$ -ary morphisms that are compatible under taking units (Corollary 5.18).

To prove the main theorem, we show in Proposition 4.7 and Proposition 5.14 that the left and right Kan extensions both preserve complete Segal presheaves. Furthermore, we recognize the images of R_k and L_k in Corollary 4.12 and Corollary 5.19.

Given a unital ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , we show in Corollary 4.14 that there exists a converging filtration:

$$L_1 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow L_2 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

where $L_k \mathcal{O} := L_k(\mathcal{O})^k$. For the unital associative operad $\mathbb{A}_{\infty} = \mathbb{E}_1$, the L_k filtration is the unital version of (1.1) (see Example 4.15). More generally, in Example 4.16 we use the results of [Göp18] to identify the L_k filtration of the little cube operads \mathbb{E}_n with the stratified filtration of the Fulton-MacPherson operads.

Given a unital ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} , we show in Corollary 5.22 that there exists a converging co-filtration:

$$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_2 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow R_1 \mathcal{O}$$

where $R_k \mathcal{O} := R_k(\mathcal{O})^k$. The R_k co-filtration for the \mathbb{A}_{∞} operad is explicitly calculated in Example 5.23.

Outlook: We believe much of our results on unital k -restricted ∞ -operads and the L_k left adjoint can be extended to general k -restricted ∞ -operads by working with non-necessarily closed k -dendroidal trees. In [Bar22], Barkan studied the left adjoint L_k for general ∞ -operads using a notion of k -restriction that is closer to Lurie's model. It would be interesting to unify the two different models.

¹What we call k -restricted is called k -truncated in [Heu21].

²An ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is *non-unital* if for every color X the space $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(\emptyset, X)$ is empty.

³This result was first proven in [Moe21] using model categories on dendroidal sets. We prove this directly.

On the other hand, we believe that the R_k right adjoint does not exist for general k -restricted ∞ -operads. One sign of this is that the underlying ∞ -category functor $\text{Op} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_\infty$ does not have a right adjoint. The problem is that the right adjoint needs unital data, which varies for general ∞ -operads. However, the right adjoint should exist when we specify the unital data. In fact, this is why we restrict ourselves to unital ∞ -operads and why there is also a right adjoint in the non-unital setting, as shown in [Heu21].

In [Göp18], Göppl studied the problem of lifting morphisms between reduced ∞ -operads from their k -restrictions, by matching-and-latching along maps $L_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow R_k \mathcal{O}$.⁴ It would be interesting to prove an ∞ -categorical statement about successively lifting from $\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ to $\text{Op}_{\leq k+1}^{\text{un}}$ by some matching-and-latching obstruction theory.

Outline: In Section 2, we review dendroidal trees and the subclasses of closed and k -dendroidal trees. In Section 3, we prove that unital ∞ -operads are equivalent to complete Segal presheaves on closed dendroidal trees and define unital k -restricted ∞ -operads. We prove the left adjoint and the right adjoint parts of our main theorem in Section 4 and Section 5 respectively.

Acknowledgement: The authors are grateful to Shaul Barkan for helpful conversations. ASD would like to thank Shachar Carmeli for his guidance and support, most notably during his time in Copenhagen. YLL would also like to thank Mike Hopkins for his guidance and encouragement. YLL gratefully acknowledges the financial support provided by the Simons Collaboration on Global Categorical Symmetries.

2. CLOSED k -DENDROIDAL TREES

2.1. **Dendroidal trees.** A finite rooted tree T is a finite poset (T, \leq) such that

- (1) there exists a minimal element,
- (2) for any $x \in T$, the sub-poset $T_{\leq x}$ consisting of elements less than x is linearly ordered.

Following [CM13], a dendroidal tree (T, L) is a finite rooted tree T together with a subset L of its maximal elements. We will often refer to a dendroidal tree (T, L) simply as a tree T .

We refer to elements of T as *edges*, the minimal edge as the *root*, and elements in L as *leaves*. An edge is *external* if it is the root or a leaf; else, we call it *internal*. For an edge $e \in T$, the *valence* of e is the number of minimal elements in $T_{>e}$.

Example 2.1. The *edge* η is the dendroidal tree with only one edge. Explicitly, $\eta = \{r\}$ with its unique poset structure and $L = \{r\}$.

Example 2.2. Fix $n \geq 1$. The *n -corolla* C_n is the dendroidal tree with k leaves and no internal edges. The poset underlying C_k is $\{0, \dots, k\}$ such that 0 is minimal $L = \{1, \dots, k\}$. For $n = 0$, we take C_0 to be $(\{0\}, \emptyset)$.

Every dendroidal tree is obtained from gluing n -corollas along their roots and leaves.

Example 2.3. Fix $n \geq 0$. Let $[n]$ be the linear poset $\{0 \leq 1 \leq \dots \leq n\}$. The pair $([n], \{n\})$ is a dendroidal tree. Note that $[0]$ is the edge η , and $[1]$ is the 1-corolla C_1 .

Let Op_∞ denote the ∞ -category of ∞ -operads, and Op_1 be the full subcategory of ordinary operads. Following [CM13], for each dendroidal tree T , there is an ordinary operad $\text{Free}_{\text{Op}}(T) \in \text{Op}_1$ whose colors are edges of T and whose operations are generated by non-leaf edges of T .

⁴A unital ∞ -operad is reduced if its underlying ∞ -category is equivalent to pt .

Definition 2.4. Let Ω be the category of dendroidal trees whose objects are trees and morphisms are given by

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega}(T, T') := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{Op}_1}(\mathrm{Free}_{\mathrm{Op}}(T), \mathrm{Free}_{\mathrm{Op}}(T')).$$

Remark 2.5. Let T be a dendroidal tree. Then a morphism from η to T corresponds to an edge of T .

Observation 2.6. Let Δ be the simplex category. There exists a fully faithful inclusion $r: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$ taking the object $[n]$ to the corresponding dendroidal tree $([n], \{n\})$ defined in Example 2.3.

2.2. Closed dendroidal trees. In this subsection, we review the subcategory Ω^c of closed dendroidal trees [Moe21] and define various factorization systems on Ω^c .

Definition 2.7. A tree T is *closed* if the set of leaves L is empty.

We denote by Ω^c the full subcategory Ω consisting of closed trees.

Observation 2.8. The category Ω^c has an explicit description: its objects are finite rooted trees; furthermore, a morphism from T to T' is an order-preserving map that preserves independence. Note that two elements $x, y \in T$ are *independent* if neither $x \leq y$ nor $y \leq x$ holds, and an order-preserving map $f: T \rightarrow T'$ *preserves independence* if for every pair of independent elements $x, y \in T$, the pair $f(x)$ and $f(y)$ are also independent in T' .

The fully faithful inclusion $j: \Omega^c \hookrightarrow \Omega$ has a left adjoint $(-)^c$, which takes a tree (T, L) to its closure (T, \emptyset) . In particular, we denote the closure of the edge as $\bar{\eta}$ and the closure of the n -corolla as $\overline{C_n}$. Note that $\bar{\eta} = C_0 = \overline{C_0}$. Let μ denote the unique map $\eta \rightarrow \overline{C_0}$. We have a nice characterization for $(-)^c$:

Proposition 2.9. *The left adjoint $(-)^c$ exhibits Ω^c as the localization $\Omega[\{\mu\}^{-1}]$.⁵ That is, Ω^c is the full subcategory of μ -local objects, and for any $T \in \Omega^c$ the unit map $T \rightarrow T^c$ is a localization relative to μ .*

Proof. let T be a tree, then an edge $e: \eta \rightarrow T$ can extend to a map $\bar{e}: \overline{C_0} \rightarrow T$ if and only if there are no leaves above e . Therefore, μ -local objects are precisely the closed trees. Let T' be a closed tree, then the unit map $T \rightarrow T^c$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega}(T^c, T') \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}_{\Omega}(T, T').$$

Thus, $T \rightarrow T^c$ is a localization relative to μ . □

Next, we study factorization systems on Ω^c .⁶ First, we have the various classes of morphisms in Ω^c :

Notation 2.10. Let $f: T \rightarrow T'$ be a morphism in Ω^c .

- (1) f is *rooted* if it takes the root of T to the root of T' .
- (2) f is called a *subtree inclusion* if f is injective and the image of f is a subtree in T' , i.e., for any $e_0, e_2 \in T$ and $e' \in T'$ such that $f(e_0) \leq e' \leq f(e_2)$, then there is an $e_1 \in T$ such that $f(e_1) = e'$.
- (3) f is called *max-surjective* if for every edge $e' \in T'$ there exists an edge $e \in T$ with $f(e) \geq e'$. Equivalently, every maximal edge of T' is in the image of f .

We will often identify a subtree inclusion $f: X \rightarrow T$ with its image $f(X) \subset T$.

⁵See [Lur09, §5.2.7] for the theory of localization.

⁶We refer the reader to [Lur09, §5.2.8] for an introduction to factorization systems.

Remark 2.11. Rooted max-surjective maps are often called *active*, while subtree inclusions are often called *inert*. We chose our terminology because we will also consider max-surjective maps and rooted subtree inclusions.

Observation 2.12. Let $f: T \rightarrow T'$ be a max-surjective map in Ω^c . Then f takes maximal elements in T to maximal elements in T' . Furthermore, since f preserves independence, it restricts to an isomorphism on maximal elements.

Observation 2.13. Let T be a closed tree. A rooted subtree inclusion of T corresponds to a subset of pairwise independent elements. A subtree inclusion of T corresponds to a subset S of pairwise independent elements together with an element that is less than all elements in S .

Proposition 2.14. *The following holds:*

- (1) *The classes of (max-surjective, rooted subtree inclusion) morphisms define a factorization system on Ω^c .*
- (2) *The classes of (rooted max-surjective, subtree inclusion) morphisms also define a factorization system on Ω^c .*

Proof. The unique factorization statement for both parts is straightforward. To prove part (1), it remains to show that every map $g: T \rightarrow T''$ is the composition of a max-surjective map followed by a rooted subtree inclusion. Let T' be the rooted subtree of T'' consisting of edges $e' \in T''$ such that there exists an edge $e \in T$ with $f(e) \geq e'$. The map g factors through T' , and by construction, the first map $T \rightarrow T'$ is max-surjective.

Now we turn to part (2). We would like to show that every map $g: T \rightarrow T''$ is the composition of a rooted max-surjective map followed by a rooted subtree. In this case, we take the subtree T' of T'' to consist of edge $e' \in T''$ such that there exist edges $e_0, e_1 \in T$ with $f(e_0) \geq e' \geq f(e_1)$. The map g factors through T' , and by construction, the first map $T \rightarrow T'$ is rooted and max-surjective. \square

Remark 2.15. Every morphism $f: T \rightarrow T'$ in Ω^c factors uniquely as

$$T \xrightarrow{f_1} T_1 \xrightarrow{f_2} T_2 \xrightarrow{f_3} T'$$

where f_1 is rooted max-surjective, f_2 is a max-surjective subtree inclusion, and f_3 is a rooted subtree inclusion.

2.3. k -dendroidal trees. Throughout the subsection, let us fix $1 \leq k \leq \infty$.

Definition 2.16. Let T be a tree. We say that T is a *k -dendroidal tree* if every edge of T has valence $\leq k$. We take the definition to be vacuously true when $k = \infty$.

We denote by $\Omega_{\leq k}$ the full subcategory of Ω consisting of k -dendroidal trees. Similarly, we denote by $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$ the full subcategory of Ω^c consisting of closed k -dendroidal trees. Note that $\Omega_{\leq \infty} = \Omega$ and $\Omega_{\leq \infty}^c = \Omega^c$. Given $j > k$, We denote by i_k the inclusion $\Omega_{\leq k}^c \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\leq j}^c$.

Much of the results in Section 2.2 translates to the setting of k -dendroidal trees. The following is an immediate consequence of Proposition 2.9:

Corollary 2.17. *The localization functor $(-)^c: \Omega \rightarrow \Omega^c$ restricts to a localization functor $(-)^c: \Omega_{\leq k} \rightarrow \Omega_{\leq k}^c$.*

k -dendroidal trees also satisfy crucial closure properties with respect to max-surjective maps and subtree inclusions:

Lemma 2.18. *Given a map $f: K \rightarrow K'$ in Ω .*

- (1) If f is a subtree inclusion and K' is a k -dendroidal tree, then K is also a k -dendroidal tree.
- (2) If f is a max-surjective map and K is a k -dendroidal tree, then K' is also a k -dendroidal tree.

Proof. Part (1) is straightforward. As for part (2), suppose that K' is not a k -dendroidal tree. Then there exists an edge $x \in K'$ with $(k+1)$ minimal edges x_1, \dots, x_{n+1} in $K'_{>x}$. As f is max-surjective, there exists edges e_1, \dots, e_{n+1} in K such that $f(e_i) \geq x_i$ in K .

Let T_0 be the sub-poset of edges $e \in T$ satisfying that $e \leq e_i$ for all i . T_0 is non-empty as the root is in T_0 , and it is linearly ordered as it is a sub-poset of $T_{<e_1}$, which is linearly ordered. Let e_0 be the maximal edge of T_0 . For each $1 \leq i \leq k+1$, let e'_i be the minimal element in the poset $T_{e_0 < - \leq e_i}$. For each i , we claim that $f(e'_i) > x$: if not, then $f(e'_i) \leq x$ (as $T'_{<x_i}$ is linearly ordered) which implies that $e'_i \leq e_j$ for all j as f preserves independence. This contradicts the maximality assumption on e_0 . It follows that $f(e'_i) \geq x_i$ and the e'_i 's are pairwise independent. This implies that the valence of e_0 is at least $k+1$, which is a contradiction. \square

Lastly, we have the k -dendroidal analogue of Proposition 2.14:

Corollary 2.19. *The classes of (max-surjective, rooted subtree inclusion) morphisms define a factorization system on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. Similarly, the classes of (rooted max-surjective, subtree inclusion) morphisms define a factorization system on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$.*

3. UNITAL k -RESTRICTED ∞ -OPERADS

By the works of [Bar18] and [CHH18], the ∞ -category Op of ∞ -operads is equivalent to the ∞ -category of complete Segal presheaves on Ω . In this section, we prove an unital version of this statement in Corollary 3.20 and define unital k -restricted ∞ -operads as complete Segal presheaves on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$.

3.1. Segal presheaves. In this subsection, we extend the notion of Segal presheaves to presheaves on closed k -dendroidal trees and provide some equivalent yet useful criteria for Segal presheaves. Let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces. For any ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we write $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{C}) := \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{S})$ for the ∞ -category of presheaves on \mathcal{C} .

Definition 3.1. Let T be a tree. We denote by $(\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T}$ be the full subcategory of the over-category $\Omega_{/T}$ consisting of morphisms $(f: X \rightarrow T)$ satisfying the following:

- (1) X is either the edge η or a corolla C_n .
- (2) f is a subtree inclusion. Furthermore, if X is n -corolla with root x , then $f(x)$ also has valence n .

Note that this assignment is functorial: a map $f: T \rightarrow T'$ induces a map $f^{\text{el}}: (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T} \rightarrow (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T'}$.

Definition 3.2 ([CH20, Definition 4.2.1]). Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on Ω . We say that \mathcal{F} is a *Segal presheaf* if for every tree T , the canonical map

$$(3.3) \quad \mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X)$$

is an equivalence.

We generalize the notion of Segal presheaves to Ω^c and $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$:

Definition 3.4. Fix $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ and \mathcal{F} a presheaf on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. We say that \mathcal{F} is a *Segal presheaf* if for every closed k -dendroidal tree T , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X^c)$$

is an equivalence.

Let \mathcal{C} be Ω , $\Omega^c = \Omega_{\leq \infty}^c$, and $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$, we denote by $\text{Seg}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of Segal presheaves on \mathcal{C} .

Observation 3.5. Let $f: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a map of Segal presheaves on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. It follows from the Segal condition that f is an equivalence if and only if the induced map

$$f(\overline{C}_i): \mathcal{F}_1(\overline{C}_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(\overline{C}_i)$$

is an equivalence for every $0 \leq i \leq k$.

Observation 3.6. Fix $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$. It follows directly from the definition that $i_k^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)$ preserves Segal presheaves, hence restricts to a functor $i_k^*: \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)$.

Next we relate Segal presheaves on Ω and Ω^c :

Proposition 3.7. *The following holds:*

- (1) *The pullback functor $(-)^{c,*}: \mathcal{P}(\Omega^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ preserves Segal presheaves.*
- (2) *The induced functor $(-)^{c,*}: \text{Seg}(\Omega^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\Omega)$ is fully faithful.*
- (3) *The image of $(-)^{c,*}$ consists of Segal presheaves \mathcal{F} on Ω such that the map $\mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\eta)$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Part (1) is a direct consequence of the definition of Segal presheaves. Since $(-)^c$ is a localization functor (Proposition 2.9), by [Lur09, Prop. 5.2.7.12], the pullback functor

$$(-)^{c,*}: \mathcal{P}(\Omega^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$$

is fully faithful and its image consists of presheaves \mathcal{F} on Ω such that the map $\mathcal{F}(T^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T)$ is an equivalence for all $T \in \Omega$. This proves part (2).

Now we prove (3). Let \mathcal{F} be a Segal presheaf \mathcal{F} on Ω such that $\mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\eta)$ is an equivalence. It follows from the Segal condition that the canonical map $\mathcal{F}(T^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T)$ is an equivalence for all $T \in \Omega$, as T^c is obtained from T by gluing \overline{C}_0 to the leaves on T . Therefore \mathcal{F} lifts to a presheaf $\mathcal{F}' \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega)$. Furthermore, \mathcal{F}' is a Segal presheaf as \mathcal{F} is a Segal presheaf. \square

We end this subsection with some useful criteria for the Segal condition. First, we have to introduce some terminology.

Notation 3.8. Let T be a tree and $e \in T$ be an edge of T . We denote by $T_{\geq e} = \{x \in T \mid x \geq e\}$ the *upper subtree* of T with root e , $T^e = \{x \in T \mid x \not\geq e\}$ the *lower subtree* of T with leaf e , and $v(e)$ the subset of minimal elements in $T_{\geq e}$. When e is a non-maximal edge, we denote by C_e the $|v(e)|$ -corolla subtree of T with root e .

Note that $|v(e)|$ is the valence of e .

Proposition 3.9. *Let \mathcal{F} be a presheaf on Ω . The following are equivalent:*

- (1) *\mathcal{F} is a Segal presheaf (Definition 3.2).*
- (2) *For every tree T and inner edge e of T , the canonical map*

$$(3.10) \quad \mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \mathcal{F}(T^e)$$

is an equivalence.

- (3) *For every tree T and non-maximal edge e_0 of T , the canonical map*

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T^{e_0}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e_0)} \mathcal{F}(C_{e_0}) \times \prod_{e \in v(e_0)} \mathcal{F}(e) \prod_{e \in v(e_0)} \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e})$$

is an equivalence.

(4) For every tree T , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(C_e) \times_{\prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathcal{F}(e)} \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e})$$

is an equivalence. Here r is the root of T .

Proof. Given a tree T and an inner edge e of T , consider the following diagram:

$$(3.11) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/e} & \longrightarrow & (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}} & \longrightarrow & (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T}. \end{array}$$

Note that $(\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/e} = \{e\}$. It is straightforward to see that Equation (3.11) is a pushout of (∞) -categories, that is, $(\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T} \simeq (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}} \sqcup_{\{e\}} (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e}$.

Now we show that condition (1) implies condition (2): suppose \mathcal{F} is a Segal presheaf, then (3.10) is the composite of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(T) &\simeq \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \\ &\simeq \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}} \sqcup_e (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \\ &\simeq \left(\lim_{X_1 \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1) \right) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \left(\lim_{X_2 \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \right) \\ &\simeq \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \mathcal{F}(T^e). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that condition (1) implies condition (3), which implies condition (4).

Conversely, suppose \mathcal{F} satisfies condition (2), we are going to show that \mathcal{F} satisfies condition (1). We are going to induct on the number n of internal edges of T . The base $n = 1$ case is straightforward. For the inductive step, we pick a non-maximal internal edge e of T . Then both $T_{\geq e}$ and T^e have less than n internal edges. Now the map (3.3) is an equivalence as it is the composite of equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(T) &\simeq \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \mathcal{F}(T^e) \\ &\simeq \left(\lim_{X_1 \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1) \right) \times_{\mathcal{F}(e)} \left(\lim_{X_2 \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \right) \\ &\simeq \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T_{\geq e}} \sqcup_e (\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T^e})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \\ &\simeq \lim_{X \in ((\Omega^{\text{el}})_{/T})^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X). \end{aligned}$$

A similar argument shows that condition (4) implies condition (1) by inductively cutting at the root. This completes the proof. \square

Now, we move to the closed k -dendroidal setting. For a closed tree T and an internal edge e , we denote by \bar{e} and $\overline{T^e}$ the closure of e and T^e . Note that $T_{\geq e}$ is already closed. The following proposition can be proven by the same argument:

Proposition 3.12. Fix $1 \leq k \leq \infty$ and \mathcal{F} a presheaf on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. The following are equivalent:

- (1) \mathcal{F} satisfies the Segal condition (Definition 3.4).
- (2) For every closed k -dendroidal tree T and inner edge e of T , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{e})} \mathcal{F}(\overline{T^e})$$

is an equivalence.

(3) For every closed k -dendroidal tree T and non-maximal edge e_0 of T , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{T^{e_0}}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{e_0})} \mathcal{F}(\overline{C_n}) \times_{\prod_{e \in v(e_0)} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \prod_{e \in v(e_0)} \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e})$$

is an equivalence.

(4) For every closed k -dendroidal tree T , the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{C_r}) \times_{\prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e})$$

is an equivalence. Here r is the root of T .

3.2. Complete Segal presheaves. In this subsection, we define complete Segal presheaves in the closed k -dendroidal setting. We fix $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$.

Notation 3.13. Recall from Observation 2.6 that we have an inclusion $r: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega$. We will abuse notation and also denote by r the composite $\Delta \xrightarrow{r} \Omega \xrightarrow{(-)^c} \Omega^c$ as well as its factorization through $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$.

Observation 3.14. Let $k = 1$. Then, the map $r: \Delta \xrightarrow{r} \Omega_{\leq 1}^c$ is an equivalence.

Pulling back along r takes Segal presheaves on Ω , Ω^c , and $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$ to Segal spaces in the sense of [Rez01].

Definition 3.15. Let \mathcal{C} be Ω , Ω^c , or $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. A Segal presheaf \mathcal{F} on \mathcal{C} is *complete* if the Segal space $r^*\mathcal{F}$ is a *complete* Segal space in the sense of [Rez01]. We denote by $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of *complete Segal presheaves* on \mathcal{C} .

By [JT07], the ∞ -category $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Delta)$ of complete Segal spaces is equivalent to the ∞ -category of ∞ -categories Cat_{∞} .

Observation 3.16. By definition, the pullback functor $r^*: \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\Delta)$ preserves complete objects. For $k = 1$ this induces an isomorphism $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq 1}^c) \simeq \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Delta) \simeq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$.

Observation 3.17. The functors

$$i_k^*: \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c), \quad (-)^{c,*}: \text{Seg}(\Omega^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}(\Omega)$$

both preserve and detect complete objects. We will denote the functors on complete Segal presheaves by the same symbols.

3.3. k -restricted unital ∞ -operads. By the works of [Bar18] and [CHH18], the ∞ -category $\mathcal{P}^{\text{seg}}(\Omega)$ of complete Segal presheaves on Ω is equivalent to the ∞ -category Op of ∞ -operads:

Theorem 3.18 ([CHH18, Thm. 1.1], [Bar18, Thm. 10.16]). *We have an equivalence of ∞ -categories*

$$\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega) \simeq \text{Op}.$$

Under this equivalence, the edge η corresponds to the ∞ -operad Triv , and the n -corolla C_n corresponds to $\text{Free}_{\text{Op}}(C_n)$, viewed as an ∞ -operad.

Let \mathcal{O} be an ∞ -operad and $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}$ be the corresponding complete Segal presheaf; the space of colors \underline{Q}^{\simeq} is isomorphic to $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\eta)$. Furthermore, given colors $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y \in \mathcal{O}$, we have an equivalence

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(C_k) \times_{\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\eta)^{\times(n+1)}} (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y).$$

Here we view (X_1, \dots, X_n, Y) as a point in $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\eta)^{\times(n+1)}$ by the isomorphism $\underline{Q}^{\simeq} \simeq \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\eta)$.

Now we relate complete Segal presheaves on Ω^c to unital ∞ -operads.

Definition 3.19. An ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is *unital* if for every color $X \in \mathcal{O}$, the space $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{O}}(\emptyset; X)$ is contractible.

We denote by Op^{un} the full subcategory of Op consisting of unital ∞ -operad.

Corollary 3.20. *The equivalence $\text{Op} \simeq \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega)$ restricts to an equivalence of full subcategories $\text{Op}^{\text{un}} \simeq \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega^c)$.*

Proof. Under the equivalence in Theorem 3.18, an ∞ -operad \mathcal{O} is unital if and only if $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\overline{C_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{O}}(\eta)$ is an equivalence. Now, the result follows from Proposition 3.7. \square

Motivated by Corollary 3.20, we have the following definition for k -restricted unital ∞ -operad:

Definition 3.21. A k -restricted unital ∞ -operad is a complete Segal presheaf on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$.

Notation 3.22. From now on we will use $\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ to denote the ∞ -category $\text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)$ and $(-)^k$ to denote the functor

$$(-)^k: \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}} = \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) \xrightarrow{i_k^*} \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) = \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad. We refer to $\mathcal{F}(\overline{C_0})$ as its space of colors. Additionally, given $1 \leq n \leq k$ and colors X_1, \dots, X_n, Y in \mathcal{F} , we denote by $\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y)$ the fiber product $\mathcal{F}(\overline{C_n}) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{C_0}) \times (n+1)} \{(X_1, \dots, X_n, Y)\}$.

By Observation 3.5, we have the following observation:

Observation 3.23. Let $f: \mathcal{F}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2$ be a map of unital k -restricted ∞ -operads. The following are equivalent:

- (1) f is an equivalence.
- (2) For any $0 \leq n \leq k$, the induced map

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\overline{C_n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(\overline{C_n})$$

is an equivalence.

- (3) The induced map on colors

$$\mathcal{F}_1(\overline{C_0}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_2(\overline{C_0})$$

is an equivalence; furthermore, for any $1 \leq n \leq k$ and colors X_1, \dots, X_n, Y in \mathcal{F}_1 , the induced map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \rightarrow \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}'}(f(X_1), \dots, f(X_n); f(Y))$$

is an equivalence.

Pulling back complete Segal presheaves along $r: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega_{\leq k}^c$ induces functor

$$r^*: \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}} = \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \rightarrow \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Delta) \simeq \text{Cat}_{\infty}.$$

It takes a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad to its underlying ∞ -category.

Example 3.24. Let $k = 1$. By Observation 3.16, the functor $r^*: \text{Op}_{\leq 1}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Cat}_{\infty}$ is an equivalence. The inverse takes an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} to the 1-restricted ∞ -operad whose underlying ∞ -category is \mathcal{C} and has a unit to each object of \mathcal{C} .

4. THE LEFT ADJOINT L_k

Given $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$, we have an adjunction

$$(4.1) \quad \text{LKan}_k: \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c): i_k^*$$

where the fully faithful left adjoint LKan_k is the left Kan extension along the inclusion $i_k: \Omega_{\leq k}^c \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\leq j}^c$. In this section, we show that the LKan_k preserves complete Segal objects, thus restricting to a fully faithful left adjoint

$$L_k: \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}}$$

to the restriction functor $(-)^k: \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ defined in Notation 3.22.

4.1. Maps to k -dendroidal trees. In this subsection, we established some technical results needed for the left adjoint statement. First, we have to introduce some notations:

Notation 4.2. Given a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between ∞ -categories and $d \in \mathcal{D}$, we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{d/}$ the fiber product $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{d/}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{d/}$ is the under-category of d . Similarly we denote by $\mathcal{C}_{/d}$ the fiber product $\mathcal{C} \times_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{D}_{/d}$, where $\mathcal{D}_{/d}$ is the over-category of d .

Recall that a functor of ∞ -categories $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *coinitial* if for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{/d}$ is weakly contractible.⁷ Note that left adjoints are coinitial: if F is a left adjoint, then for every d in \mathcal{D} the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{/d}$ is weakly contractible as it has a final object.

Definition 4.3. Given a closed tree T and $k \geq 1$. Let $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}$ denote the full subcategory of $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/}$ whose objects are rooted max-surjective morphisms (see Notation 2.10) from T to closed k -dendroidal trees.

For $k = \infty$ we simply denote $(\Omega_{\leq \infty}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}$ as $(\Omega^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}$.

Lemma 4.4. *Given $k \geq 1$ and a closed tree T . We have an adjunction*

$$(4.5) \quad (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/}: \text{Fact}(-),$$

where the left adjoint is the canonical inclusion, and the right adjoint is given by taking the factorization with respect to the (rooted max-surjective, subtree inclusion) factorization system on Ω^c constructed in Proposition 2.14(1).

Proof. The (rooted max-surjective, subtree inclusion) factorization system on Ω^c gives an adjunction

$$(\Omega^c)_{T/\text{rmax}} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xrightarrow{\perp} \end{array} (\Omega^c)_{T/}: \text{Fact}(-).$$

By Lemma 2.18(1), this restricts to the desired adjunction. \square

Suppose we have a map of closed trees $f: T \rightarrow T'$. Consider the composite

$$f^{\text{rmax}} := (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T'/\text{rmax}} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T'/} \xrightarrow{f^*} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/} \xrightarrow{\text{Fact}(-)} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}.$$

Explicitly, this takes a rooted max-surjective map $(g': T' \rightarrow X')$ to $(\text{Fact}(g' \circ f): T \rightarrow X)$, where X is the (rooted max-surjective, subtree inclusion) factorization of the composite $T \xrightarrow{f} T' \xrightarrow{g'} X'$.

Now we study how $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}$ behave with respect to cutting a tree along an edge e . Fix a closed tree T and e an internal edge of T . We have closed subtrees $T_{\geq e}$ and $\overline{T^e}$ from Notation 3.8. Let $\text{inc}_e: T_{\geq e} \rightarrow T$ and $\text{inc}^e: \overline{T^e} \rightarrow T$ be the inclusion maps. Suppose we have a max-surjective $g: T \rightarrow X$ taking e to x in X . Then $\text{inc}_e(g: T \rightarrow X)$ is $(g_e: T_{\geq e} \rightarrow X_{\geq x})$ and $\text{inc}^e(g: T \rightarrow X)$ is $(g^e: \overline{T^e} \rightarrow \overline{X^x})$.

⁷A morphism is coinitial if and only if $F^{\text{op}}: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{\text{op}}$ is cofinal in the sense of [Lur09, §4.1.1]. In [Lur17], it is referred to as *right cofinal*.

This invites an inverse construction: given two rooted max-surjective maps $g_e: T_{\geq e} \rightarrow X_1$ and $g^e: T^e \rightarrow X_2$, we can build a tree $X_1 \sqcup_e X_2$ by gluing the root of X_1 to the outer edge $x := g^e(e)$ of X_2 . Furthermore, the maps g_e and g^e induce a rooted max-surjective map $g: T \rightarrow X_1 \sqcup_e X_2$. Note that $X_1 \sqcup_e X_2$ is k -dendroidal if X_1 and X_2 are k -dendroidal. This defines a functor

$$\text{glue}_e: (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{rmax}} \times (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{rmax}} \rightarrow (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}}.$$

The following lemma is clear from the construction:

Lemma 4.6. *Given $k \geq 1$, a closed tree T and an internal edge e of T . The functor*

$$(\text{inc}_e^{\text{rmax}}, \text{inc}^{e, \text{rmax}}): (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}} \rightarrow (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{rmax}} \times (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{rmax}}$$

is an equivalence with the inverse given by glue_e .

4.2. Left adjoint L_k . Throughout this subsection, we fix $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$.

Proposition 4.7. *The left Kan extension $\text{LKan}_k: \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c)$ preserves complete Segal presheaves.*

Proof. We first prove that LKan_k preserves Segal presheaves. Given a Segal presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)$. By Proposition 3.12(2), it suffices to show the following: for any closed j -dendroidal tree T and e an internal edge of T , the canonical map

$$(4.8) \quad \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{T^e})$$

is an equivalence.

By Lemma 4.4, we see that the inclusion $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{rmax}} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/}$ is coinitial. Thus, the induced map

$$X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{max-surj}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/})^{\text{op}} \quad \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(X) = \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T)$$

is an equivalence. For brevity, we will omit the op .

Now we unpack (4.8) as a sequence of equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T) &\simeq \text{colim}_{X \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T/\text{max-surj}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{(X_1, X_2) \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{rmax}} \times (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{rmax}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1 \sqcup_e X_2) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{(X_1, X_2) \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{rmax}} \times (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{rmax}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{X_1 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{max-surj}}} \left(\text{colim}_{X_2 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{max-surj}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \right) \\ &\simeq \text{colim}_{X_1 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{max-surj}}} \left(\mathcal{F}(X_1) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \text{colim}_{X_2 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{max-surj}}} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \right) \\ &\simeq \left(\text{colim}_{X_1 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{T_{\geq e}/\text{max-surj}}} \mathcal{F}(X_1) \right) \times_{\mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \left(\text{colim}_{X_2 \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{T^e}/\text{max-surj}}} \mathcal{F}(X_2) \right) \\ &\simeq \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \times_{\text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{e})} \text{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{T^e}). \end{aligned}$$

We used Lemma 4.6 for the second equivalence and Proposition 3.12(2) for the third equivalence. Additionally, we used the fact that colimits are universal in \mathcal{S} (see [Lur09, §6.1.1]) to commute colimits with fiber products.

Now it remains to show LKan_k preserves complete Segal presheaf. This follows from the fact that the map $r: \Delta \rightarrow \Omega_{\leq j}^c$ factors through $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$ and that $i_k^*(\mathrm{LKan}_k \mathcal{F}) \simeq \mathcal{F}$ for any presheaf \mathcal{F} on $\Omega_{\leq k}^c$. \square

Definition 4.9. Let L_k denote the functor

$$\mathrm{Op}_{\leq k}^{\mathrm{un}} = \mathrm{Seg}^{\mathrm{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \xleftarrow{\mathrm{LKan}_k} \mathrm{Seg}^{\mathrm{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) = \mathrm{Op}_{\leq j}^{\mathrm{un}}.$$

By Proposition 4.7, we have the left adjoint version of our main statement:

Theorem 4.10. *The adjunction (4.1) restricts to an adjunction*

$$L_k: \mathrm{Op}_{\leq k}^{\mathrm{un}} \xleftarrow{\perp} \mathrm{Op}_{\leq j}^{\mathrm{un}}: (-)^k$$

Furthermore, the left adjoint L_k is fully faithful.

We have a colimit description of the multi-ary spaces of \mathcal{F} :

Corollary 4.11. *Let \mathcal{F} be a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad. Then $L_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_n)$ is the colimit*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{C}_n/\max\text{-surj}})^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X).$$

Intuitively, the space of n -ary morphisms of $L_k \mathcal{F}$ is the space of all possible n -ary morphisms that can be created from $\leq k$ -ary morphisms in \mathcal{F} .

By Observation 3.23, we can detect when a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad \mathcal{F} is in the image of L_k :

Corollary 4.12. *A unital j -restricted ∞ -operad \mathcal{F} is in the image of L_k if and only if for each $k < n \leq j$ the canonical map*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\overline{C}_n/\max\text{-surj}})^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_n)$$

is an equivalence.

Example 4.13. Let $k = 1$. By Example 3.24 we see that $\mathrm{Op}_{\leq 1}^{\mathrm{un}} \simeq \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$. The fully faithful composite

$$\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \simeq \mathrm{Op}_{\leq k}^{\mathrm{un}} \xrightarrow{L_1} \mathrm{Op}$$

takes an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} to the unital ∞ -operad with underlying ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and no n -ary morphisms for $n \geq 2$. This is an unital analogue of the embedding $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{Op}$ that takes an ∞ -category to itself viewed as a ∞ -operad with only 1-ary morphisms.

Let us write the colocalization functor $L_k \circ (-)^k$ simply as L_k . Let \mathcal{O} be a unital ∞ -operad, we have a filtration

$$L_1 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow L_2 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow L_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}.$$

The k -th stage $L_k \mathcal{O}$ is the k -th arity approximation of \mathcal{O} , as they agree on $(\leq k)$ -ary morphisms. This implies the following:

Corollary 4.14. *Let \mathcal{O} be a unital ∞ -operad. The canonical map*

$$\mathrm{colim}_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}} L_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

is an equivalence.

Let us extend the discussion to the little cube operads:

Example 4.15. Fix $k \geq 1$. Let \mathbb{A}_{∞} be the unital associative operad, then $\mathbb{A}_k := L_k \mathbb{A}_{\infty}$ is the ∞ -operad generated by $\leq k$ -arity morphisms in \mathbb{A}_{∞} . As expected, we get a converging filtration

$$\mathbb{A}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_2 \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_k \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_{\infty}.$$

Indeed, \mathbb{A}_k is the unital analogue of \mathbb{A}_k^{un} we encountered in the introduction. Let us explicitly compute the n -ary morphism space of $\mathbb{A}_k(n)$. Following [Göp18, Example 2.1.6], there exists a topological model for \mathbb{A}_∞ whose n -ary space is $K_n \times S_n$. Recall that K_n is the n -th Stasheff associahedron, which is a convex (hence contractible) $(n - 2)$ -dimensional polytope.

In [Göp18, Example 3.1.13], Goppl shows that the space $\mathbb{A}_k(k + 1)$ can be represented by ∂K_{k+1} , and the map $\mathbb{A}_k(k + 1) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty(k + 1)$ is the canonical inclusion. Goppl's argument can be extended to show that for any $n > k$, the space $\mathbb{A}_k(n)$ can be represented by $\partial_{k-2}K_n$, which is the union of $\leq (k - 2)$ -faces of K_n , and the map $\mathbb{A}_k(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)$ is the canonical inclusion

$$\partial_{k-2}K_n \times S_n \hookrightarrow K_n \times S_n.$$

Example 4.16. Let \mathbb{E}_n be the little cube ∞ -operad (see [Lur17, Definition 5.1.0.4]). Following [Göp18, Example 2.1.6], for any $k \geq 1$ there exists a topological operad called the *Fulton-MacPherson operad* FM_n representing \mathbb{E}_n . For each $m \geq 1$, the n -ary space $\text{FM}_n(m)$ is a compact topological manifold with corners. The manifold $\text{FM}_n(m)$ is naturally stratified over the poset $\Psi(m)$ of closed trees with m labeled maximal edges and no edges of valence 1. For $k < m$, let $\text{FM}_n^{\leq k}(m)$ be the closed subspace of $\text{FM}_n(m)$ lying over the sub-poset $\Psi_{\leq k}(m)$ of $\Psi(m)$ consisting of k -dendroidal trees. Generalizing [Göp18, Example 3.1.13], we can identify the m -ary morphism space of $L_k\mathbb{E}_n$ with $\text{FM}_n^{\leq k}(m)$, and the map $L_k\mathbb{E}_n(m) \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_n(m)$ with the canonical inclusion

$$\text{FM}_n^{\leq k}(m) \hookrightarrow \text{FM}_n(m).$$

5. THE RIGHT ADJOINT R_k

Now we turn to the right adjoint. Given $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$, analogous to (4.1), we have an adjunction

$$(5.1) \quad i_k^*: \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c): \text{RKan}_k$$

where the fully faithful right adjoint RKan_k is the right Kan extension along the inclusion $i_k: \Omega_{\leq k}^c \hookrightarrow \Omega_{\leq j}^c$. In this section, we show that the RKan_k preserves complete Segal objects, thus restricting to a fully faithful right adjoint

$$R_k: \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}}$$

to the restriction functor $(-)^k: \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}} \rightarrow \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}$ defined in Notation 3.22.

5.1. Rooted subtrees. In this subsection, we establish some technical results needed for the right adjoint statement. Recall that a functor of ∞ -categories $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is *cofinal* ([Lur09, §4.1.1]) if for every $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{d/}$ is weakly contractible. Note that right adjoints are cofinal: if G is a right adjoint, then for every d the ∞ -category $\mathcal{C}_{d/}$ is weakly contractible as it has an initial object.

Definition 5.2. Given $k \geq 1$ and a closed tree T , we denote by $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$ the full subcategory of $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{/T}$ whose objects are rooted subtree inclusions from closed k -dendroidal trees to T .

When $k = \infty$ we simply denote $(\Omega_{\leq \infty}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$ as $(\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$. For a set S , we denote by $\mathcal{P}(S)$ the power set of S , which we viewed as a category with morphisms being inclusions. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(S)$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{P}(S)$ consisting of subsets $I \subset S$ with $|I| \leq k$.

Example 5.3. Let T be the closed n -corolla \overline{C}_n with root r . By Observation 2.13, the category $(\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/\overline{C}_n}$ is equivalent to the power set $\mathcal{P}(v(r))$. For $k \geq 1$, the full subcategory $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/\overline{C}_n}$ corresponds to $\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))$.

Lemma 5.4. *Given $k \geq 1$ and a closed tree T , we have an adjunction*

$$\text{Fact}(-): (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{/T} \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$$

where the right adjoint is the canonical inclusion, and the left adjoint is given by taking the factorization with respect to the (max-surjective, rooted subtree inclusion) factorization system on Ω^c constructed in Proposition 2.14(2).

Proof. The (max-surjective, rooted subtree inclusion) factorization system on Ω^c gives an adjunction

$$\text{Fact}(-): (\Omega^c)_{/T} \xrightleftharpoons{\perp} (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$$

By Lemma 2.18(2), this restricts to the desired adjunction (4.5). \square

Given a closed tree T with root r . By Notation 3.8 we have C_r the $|v(r)|$ -corolla subtree of T with root r . We get a functor

$$\phi: (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T} \rightarrow (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/\overline{C_r}}$$

given by taking a rooted subtree X of T to $X \cap \overline{C_r}$. Note that the subtree $X \cap \overline{C_r}$ is non-empty as it contains the root r . By Example 5.3 we get a composition

$$p: (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T} \xrightarrow{\phi} (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/\overline{C_r}} \simeq \mathcal{P}(v(r)).$$

This takes a rooted subtree X of T to the subset I of $v(r)$ consisting of leaves of C_r that are in X . For $k \geq 1$, the functor p restricts to a functor

$$p_k: (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)).$$

We will view $(\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$ and $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$ as categories over $\mathcal{P}(v(r))$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))$ respectively.

Definition 5.5. Given a closed tree T with root r . We define a poset $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$ as follows:

- (1) An object of $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$ is a pair (I, X_I) where I is a subset of $v(r)$ and X_I is a collection of rooted subtrees X_e of $T_{\geq e}$, one for each $e \in I$.
- (2) Given two objects (I, X_I) and $(I', X'_{I'})$, we have $(I, X_I) \leq (I', X'_{I'})$ if $I \subset I'$ and $X_e \subset X'_e$ for every $e \in I$.

We view $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$ as a category with morphisms being \leq . There is a canonical projection functor

$$q: \Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(v(r))$$

given by taking (I, X_I) to I .

Lemma 5.6. *The functor $q: \Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(v(r))$ is a coCartesian fibration.*⁸

Proof. Given an object (I, X_I) in $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$ and an inclusion $I \subset I'$, we can define a new object $(I', X'_{I'})$ where

$$X'_{e'} = \begin{cases} X_e & e \in I \\ \{e'\} & e \notin I. \end{cases}$$

The canonical map $(I, X) \rightarrow (I', X'_{I'})$ is a q -coCartesian morphism follows from the fact that the root $\{e'\}$ of $T_{\geq e'}$ is the minimal object in the poset of rooted subtrees of $T_{\geq e'}$. \square

Given a closed tree T with root r , we are going to show that $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$ and $(\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$ are isomorphic as categories over $\mathcal{P}(v(r))$. Given an object (I, X_I) in $\Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T)$. Let $\overline{C_I}$ be the sub-corolla of $\overline{C_r}$ consisting of edges in I ; we have a rooted subtree $\overline{C_I} \sqcup_{e \in I} X_e$ of T given by gluing the root of X_e to the maximal edge e of $\overline{C_I}$ for each $e \in I$.

The assignment $(I, X) \mapsto \overline{C_I} \sqcup_{e \in I} X_e$ defines a functor

$$\Gamma(T): \Omega^{c,\text{rsub}}(T) \rightarrow (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}$$

⁸We refer the reader to [Lur09, §2.4] for the theory of coCartesian fibrations.

over $\mathcal{P}(v(r))$.

Proposition 5.7. *Given a closed tree T , the functor $\Gamma(T)$ is an equivalence.*

Proof. Let us construct the inverse functor. Given X a rooted subtree of T . Let I be the subset of $v(e)$ consisting of edges in X . The assignment $X \mapsto (I, \{X_{\geq e}\}_{e \in I})$ defines a functor

$$\psi(T): (\Omega^c)_{\text{rsub}/T} \rightarrow \Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T)$$

over $\mathcal{P}(v(r))$. It is straightforward to check that $\Gamma(T)$ and $\psi(T)$ are inverses of each other. \square

Definition 5.8. Given a closed tree T . Let $\Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T, k)$ denote the full subcategory of $\Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T)$ consisting of objects (I, X) such that $|I| \leq k$, and X_e is a k -dendroidal tree for every $e \in I$.

Given $k \geq 1$, the functor $q: \Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(v(r))$ restricts to a functor

$$q_k: \Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)).$$

By the same argument as Lemma 5.6, we get:

Lemma 5.9. *Given $k \geq 1$ and a closed tree T , the functor $q_k: \Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T, k) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))$ is a coCartesian fibration.*

By Proposition 5.7 we have:

Corollary 5.10. *Given $k \geq 1$ and a closed tree T , the isomorphism $\Gamma(T)$ restricts to an isomorphism*

$$\Gamma(T, k): \Omega^{c, \text{rsub}}(T, k) \simeq (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\text{rsub}/T}.$$

5.2. The right adjoint R_k . In this subsection, we construct the fully faithful right adjoint R_k . First, we need two useful lemmas:

Lemma 5.11. *Given a finite set S and a space X_s for each $s \in S$. Consider the functor*

$$\mathcal{F}_X: (\mathcal{P}(S))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

defined by taking a subset I to $\prod_{s \in I} X_s$, and taking an inclusion $I \subset I'$ to the projection map

$$\prod_{s' \in I'} X_{s'} \rightarrow \prod_{s \in I} X_s$$

where we project away the $X_{s'}$ factors for $s' \notin I$. Given $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{F}_X|_{\leq k}$ be the composite

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(S))^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)^{\text{op}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_X} \mathcal{S}.$$

Then the canonical map

$$(5.12) \quad \prod_{s \in S} X_s = \mathcal{F}_X(S) \rightarrow \lim(\mathcal{F}_X|_{\leq k})$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. Note that \mathcal{F}_X is strongly Cartesian (see [Lur17, Definition 6.1.1.2]), that is, \mathcal{F}_X is the right Kan extension of

$$\mathcal{F}_X|_{\leq 1}: (\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(S))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$$

along the inclusion $(\mathcal{P}_{\leq 1}(S))^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)^{\text{op}}$. Thus for any $k \geq 1$, \mathcal{F}_X is also the right Kan extension of $\mathcal{F}_X|_{\leq k}: (\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(S))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ along the inclusion $(\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(S))^{\text{op}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}(S)^{\text{op}}$. Finally, (5.12) is an equivalence by evaluating the right Kan extension at the object S . \square

Given a functor of ∞ -categories $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$. For any $d \in \mathcal{D}$ we denote by \mathcal{C}_d the fiber $p^{-1}(d)$.

Lemma 5.13. *Given a coCartesian fibration of ∞ -categories $p: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ and a functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. For any $d \in \mathcal{D}$, the canonical map*

$$\lim_{c \in \mathcal{C}_d} \mathcal{F}(c) \rightarrow \lim_{c \in \mathcal{C}_{/d}} \mathcal{F}(c) = \mathrm{RKan}\mathcal{F}(d)$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. It suffices to show that for any $d \in \mathcal{D}$, the inclusion functor $\mathcal{C}_d \hookrightarrow \mathcal{C}_{/d}$ is cofinal. This follows from the adjunction

$$\mathcal{C}_{/d} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{\quad} \end{array} \mathcal{C}_d$$

where the right adjoint is the canonical inclusion, and the left adjoint is given by the p -coCartesian factorization. \square

Throughout the rest of the subsection, we fix $1 \leq k \leq j \leq \infty$.

Proposition 5.14. *The right Kan extension $\mathrm{RKan}_k: \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c)$ preserves complete Segal presheaves.*

Proof. We first prove that RKan_k preserves Segal presheaves. Given a Segal presheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \mathrm{Seg}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)$. By Proposition 3.12(4), it suffices to show the following: for any closed j -dendroidal tree T with root r , the canonical map

$$(5.15) \quad \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T) \rightarrow \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_r) \times \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e})$$

is an equivalence.

By Lemma 5.4, we see that the inclusion $(\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T} \hookrightarrow (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{/T}$ is cofinal. Thus, the induced map

$$\mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T) = \lim_{X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{/T})^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X) \rightarrow \lim_{X \in ((\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T})^{\mathrm{op}}} \mathcal{F}(X)$$

is an equivalence. Once again, for brevity, we will omit the op .

Now we unpack (5.15) as a sequence of equivalences.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T) &\simeq \lim_{X \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T}} \mathcal{F}(X) \\ &\simeq \lim_{(I, X_I) \in \Omega^c, \mathrm{rsub}(T, k)} \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I \sqcup_{e \in I} X_e) \\ &\simeq \lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \left(\lim_{X_I \in \prod_{e \in I} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T_{\geq e}}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I \sqcup_{e \in I} X_e) \right) \\ &\simeq \lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \left(\lim_{X_I \in \prod_{e \in I} (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T_{\geq e}}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I) \times \prod_{e \in I} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \prod_{e \in I} \mathcal{F}(X_e) \right) \\ &\simeq \lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \left(\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I) \times \prod_{e \in I} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \prod_{e \in I} \lim_{X_e \in (\Omega_{\leq k}^c)_{\mathrm{rsub}/T_{\geq e}}} \mathcal{F}(X_e) \right) \\ &\simeq \lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \left(\mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I) \times \prod_{e \in I} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \prod_{e \in I} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \right) \\ &\simeq \left(\lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_I) \right) \times \prod_{e \in I} \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \left(\lim_{I \in \mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r))} \prod_{e \in I} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \right) \\ &\simeq \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{\mathcal{C}}_r) \times \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(\overline{e}) \prod_{e \in v(r)} \mathrm{RKan}_k \mathcal{F}(T_{\geq e}) \end{aligned}$$

Here we used Proposition 5.7 for the second equivalence, Lemma 5.9 and Lemma 5.13 for the third equivalence, Proposition 3.12(4) for the fourth equivalence. Finally, we used Lemma 5.11 for the last equivalence.

The proof of RKan_k preserving complete objects is the same as the left adjoint case. \square

Definition 5.16. Let R_k denote the functor

$$\text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}} = \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq k}^c) \xleftarrow{\text{RKan}_k} \text{Seg}^{\text{cpl}}(\Omega_{\leq j}^c) = \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}}.$$

Finally, we have our right adjoint statement:

Theorem 5.17. *The adjunction (5.1) restricts to an adjunction*

$$(-)^k: \text{Op}_{\leq j}^{\text{un}} \xleftrightarrow{\perp} \text{Op}_{\leq k}^{\text{un}}: \text{R}_k$$

Furthermore, the right adjoint R_k is fully faithful.

We have an explicit description of the multi-ary morphism spaces of \mathcal{F} :

Corollary 5.18. *Let \mathcal{F} be a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad. For $n \geq k$, the space $\text{R}_k\mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_n)$ is the limit*

$$\lim_{I \in (\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_I).$$

Here r is the root and \overline{C}_I is the closed sub $|I|$ -corolla of \overline{C}_n corresponding to I . In particular, $\text{R}_k\mathcal{F}$ has the same colors as \mathcal{F} . Given colors X_1, \dots, X_n, Y in \mathcal{F} , we have

$$\text{Mul}_{\text{R}_k\mathcal{F}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \lim_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in (\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)))^{\text{op}}} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_l}; Y).$$

Intuitively, n -ary morphisms of $\text{L}_k\mathcal{F}$ is the space of collections of $(\leq k)$ -ary morphisms that are compatible under taking units.

By Observation 3.23, we can detect when a unital k -restricted ∞ -operad \mathcal{F} is in the image of R_k :

Corollary 5.19. *Let \mathcal{F} be a unital j -restricted ∞ -operad. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) \mathcal{F} is in the image of R_k .
- (2) For any $k < n \leq j$, the canonical map

$$\mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_n) \rightarrow \lim_{I \in (\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)))^{\text{op}}} \mathcal{F}(\overline{C}_I)$$

is an equivalence.

- (3) For any $k < n \leq j$ and colors X_1, \dots, X_n, Y in \mathcal{F} , the canonical map

$$\text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) \rightarrow \lim_{(i_1, \dots, i_l) \in (\mathcal{P}_{\leq k}(v(r)))^{\text{op}}} \text{Mul}_{\mathcal{F}}(X_{i_1}, \dots, X_{i_l}; Y)$$

is an equivalence.

Example 5.20. Let $k = 1$. By Example 3.24 we see that $\text{Op}_{\leq 1}^{\text{un}} \simeq \text{Cat}_{\infty}$. By Corollary 5.18, the fully faithful composite

$$\text{Cat}_{\infty} \simeq \text{Op}_{\leq 1}^{\text{un}} \xrightarrow{\text{R}_1} \text{Op}$$

takes an ∞ -category \mathcal{C} to the unital ∞ -operad $\text{R}_1\mathcal{C}$ with underlying ∞ -category \mathcal{C} and

$$\text{Mul}_{\text{R}_1\mathcal{C}}(X_1, \dots, X_n; Y) = \prod_{i=1}^n \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(X_i, Y).$$

This is the *coCartesian* ∞ -operad \mathcal{C}^{\sqcup} constructed in [Lur17, §2.4.3]. In particular, if the underlying ∞ -category of \mathcal{O} is pt , then $\text{R}_1\mathcal{O} \simeq \mathbb{E}_{\infty}$.

Let us denote the localization functor $R_k \circ (-)^k$ simply as R_k . Let \mathcal{O} be a unital ∞ -operad, we have a co-filtration

$$(5.21) \quad \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_k \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow R_2 \mathcal{O} \rightarrow R_1 \mathcal{O}$$

The map $\mathcal{O} \rightarrow R_k \mathcal{O}$ is an equivalence on $(\leq k)$ -ary morphisms. This implies the following:

Corollary 5.22. *Let \mathcal{O} be a unital ∞ -operad, the canonical map*

$$\mathcal{O} \rightarrow \lim_{k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}} R_k \mathcal{O}$$

is an equivalence.

Example 5.23. Fix $k \geq 1$. We would like to understand $R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty$. Let us give an abstract description for the multi-ary morphism spaces of \mathbb{A}_∞ : given a set S , let $\overline{C_S}$ be the closed $|S|$ -corolla with the set of maximal edges being S . Then $\mathbb{A}_\infty(\overline{C_S})$ is the set of linear ordering on S . Furthermore, given an inclusion $S \subset S'$, the induced map $\mathbb{A}_\infty(\overline{C_{S'}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_\infty(\overline{C_S})$ is simply given by restricting the ordering to S . By Corollary 5.18, we see that the space $R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty(n) = R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty(\overline{C_n})$ is equivalent to the set of linear orderings σ_I , one for each $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ of size $\leq k$, that are compactible under restricting. The map $\mathbb{A}_\infty(n) \rightarrow R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)$ takes a total order on $\{1, \dots, n\}$ to the compatible family of induced total order on subsets $I \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|I| \leq k$. Since total orders are determined by its restriction on pairwise elements, we see that for $k > 2$, the map $\mathbb{A}_\infty(n) \rightarrow R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)$ is injective for any n .

For $k = 1$, $R_1 \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)$ is simply pt, hence $R_1 \mathbb{A}_\infty \simeq \mathbb{E}_\infty$. Note this also follows from Example 5.20. Now $k = 2$, an element in $R_2 \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)$ is corresponds to a choice of total orderings on pairs $\{i, j\} \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$. Therefore $|R_2 \mathbb{A}_\infty(n)| = 2^{\frac{n(n-1)}{2}}$. For $k \geq 3$, we claim that the canonical map $\mathbb{A}_\infty \rightarrow R_k \mathbb{A}_\infty$ is an equivalence. It suffices to show that it is surjective: Given a set S , the pairwise total ordering $\sigma_{x,y}$ defines an inequality $<_\sigma$ on S , and the transitivity property is guaranteed by the total ordering on the triplets.

To summarize, we have the following:

Proposition 5.24. *The co-filtration (5.21) for \mathbb{A}_∞ is of the form*

$$\mathbb{A}_\infty \xrightarrow{\simeq} \cdots \xrightarrow{\simeq} R_3 \mathbb{A}_\infty \rightarrow R_2 \mathbb{A}_\infty \rightarrow R_1 \mathbb{A}_\infty \simeq \mathbb{E}_\infty.$$

REFERENCES

- [Bar18] Clark Barwick, *From operator categories to higher operads*, *Geometry & Topology* **22** (2018), no. 4, 1893–1959. [2](#), [6](#), [9](#)
- [Bar22] Shaul Barkan, *Arity approximation of ∞ -operads*, arXiv preprint arXiv:2207.07200 (2022). [1](#), [2](#)
- [CH20] Hongyi Chu and Rune Haugseng, *Enriched ∞ -operads*, *Adv. Math.* **361** (2020), 106913, 85. MR 4038556 [6](#)
- [CHH18] Hongyi Chu, Rune Haugseng, and Gijs Heuts, *Two models for the homotopy theory of infinity-operads*, *Journal of Topology* **11** (2018), no. 4, 857–873. [2](#), [6](#), [9](#)
- [CM13] Denis-Charles Cisinski and Ieke Moerdijk, *Dendroidal Segal spaces and ∞ -operads*, *J. Topol.* **6** (2013), no. 3, 675–704. MR 3100887 [2](#), [3](#)
- [Göp18] Florian Göppl, *A spectral sequence for spaces of maps between operads*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.05589 (2018). [2](#), [3](#), [14](#)
- [Heu21] Gijs Heuts, *Goodwillie approximations to higher categories*, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **272** (2021), no. 1333, ix+108. MR 4320769 [2](#), [3](#)
- [JT07] André Joyal and Myles Tierney, *Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces*, *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 431, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007, pp. 277–326. MR 2342834 [9](#)
- [Lur09] Jacob Lurie, *Higher topos theory*, *Annals of Mathematics Studies*, vol. 170, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009. MR 2522659 [4](#), [7](#), [11](#), [12](#), [14](#), [15](#)
- [Lur17] ———, *Higher algebra*, 2017, Available at the author’s website (version dated 9/18/2017). [1](#), [11](#), [14](#), [16](#), [18](#)

- [Moe21] Ieke Moerdijk, *Closed dendroidal sets and unital operads*, Theory Appl. Categ. **36** (2021), Paper No. 5, 118–170. MR 4222648 [2](#), [4](#)
- [Rez01] Charles Rezk, *A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **353** (2001), no. 3, 973–1007. [9](#)
- [Sta63] James Dillon Stasheff, *Homotopy associativity of h -spaces. ii*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **108** (1963), no. 2, 293–312. [1](#)

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH, BHUBANESWAR, 72050

Email address: `amartyashekhara.dubey@niser.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HARVARD UNIVERSITY, 1 OXFORD ST, CAMBRIDGE, MA 02139

Email address: `yuleonliu@math.harvard.edu`