

A STRONG COUNTEREXAMPLE TO THE LOG CANONICAL BEAUVILLE–BOGOMOLOV DECOMPOSITION

FABIO BERNASCONI, STEFANO FILIPAZZI, ZSOLT PATAKFALVI, AND NIKOLAOS
TSAKANIKAS

ABSTRACT. For every $d \geq 4$, we construct a d -dimensional, log canonical, K -trivial variety with the property that two general fibers of its Albanese morphism are not birational. We also show that this provides a strong counterexample to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition in the log canonical setting. The construction can be adapted to construct a smooth quasi-projective variety of logarithmic Kodaira dimension 0 whose quasi-Albanese morphism has maximal variation. On the positive side, we show that the Albanese morphism for log canonical pairs with nef anti-canonical class is a locally stable family of pairs.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
Acknowledgements	4
2. Preliminaries	4
2.1. Notation	4
2.2. Generalized pairs	5
2.3. Generalized log Calabi–Yau pairs	6
2.4. Albanese morphism	7
3. The Albanese morphism for log canonical pairs with nef anti-canonical divisor	7
4. Counterexamples to the log canonical Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition	10
4.1. A crepant birationally isotrivial example	10
4.2. A plt counterexample to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition	11
4.3. The universal cover does not split	15
4.4. Quasi-Albanese morphism	16
4.5. An example without boundary	16
5. Counterexamples for Fano varieties	18
References	20

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper we work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers.

Smooth projective varieties with torsion canonical divisor are one of the fundamental classes of varieties studied in birational geometry. The Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition provides a structure theorem for these varieties. It asserts that a smooth

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary: 14D06, 14E99, 14J10, 14J32, 14J40, 14K99; Secondary: 14E30, 14F35, 14J70.

Key words and phrases. Albanese morphism, log canonical singularities, log Calabi–Yau pairs, Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition.

FB is supported by the grant PZ00P2-21610 from the Swiss National Science Foundation. SF and NT are partly supported by the ERC starting grant #804334. ZsP is supported by the grant #200020B/192035 from the Swiss National Science Foundation, and by the ERC Starting grant #804334.

K -trivial variety can be decomposed, possibly after an étale cover, into a product of abelian varieties, strict Calabi–Yau varieties, and irreducible holomorphic symplectic varieties [Bog74, Bea83]. From this one can deduce the statement that is sometimes called the weak Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition: the Albanese morphism of a variety with trivial canonical divisor is isotrivial. This was first established by Calabi [Cal57]. The isotriviality of the Albanese morphism has been extended by Cao [Cao19] to the case of smooth projective varieties with nef anti-canonical divisor. This result has later been applied by Cao and Höring [CH19] to establish a Beauville–Bogomolov type decomposition of their universal cover.

From the perspective of the classification theory of varieties, a decomposition theorem for smooth projective varieties with trivial canonical class is not sufficient. One would need variants allowing mild singularities (e.g., klt or log canonical). In fact, such varieties are one of the main building blocks of the final outputs of the Minimal Model Program (MMP), singular Fano varieties and canonically polarized varieties being the other two.

Following this motivation, in the series of articles [GKP16, Dru18, GGK19, HP19], an analog of the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition for projective varieties with klt singularities and numerically trivial canonical class has been obtained. This result has been partially extended to the case of klt pairs with nef anti-canonical class in [CCM21, PZ19, MW21], and it can be summarized by the following structure theorem.

Theorem A (Decomposition theorem for klt pairs with nef anti-canonical divisor). [GKP16, Dru18, GGK19, HP19, CCM21, PZ19, MW21] *Let (X, Δ) be a projective klt pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. The following statements hold:*

- (a) *the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_X: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is an isotrivial morphism for the pair (X, Δ) and has connected fibers;*
- (b) *if $K_X + \Delta \equiv 0$, then there exists a finite quasi-étale cover $\gamma: Y \rightarrow X$ such that*

$$(Y, \gamma^* \Delta) = (F, \Delta_F) \times A \times \prod Y_i \times \prod Z_i,$$

where F is a rationally connected variety and $\Delta_F = (\gamma^ \Delta)|_F$, A is an abelian variety, the Y_i are singular strict Calabi–Yau varieties and the Z_i are irreducible symplectic varieties; and*

- (c) *if X is smooth and $\Delta = 0$, then the universal cover Y of X admits a decomposition*

$$Y = F \times \mathbb{C}^q \times \prod Y_i \times \prod Z_i,$$

where F , Z_i and Y_i are as above and smooth.

Note that the decomposition of the universal cover of a klt pair with nef anti-canonical class is still an open problem; see [MW21, Conjecture 1.5]. Note also that in point (c) the splitting does not happen on a finite cover, as instead in point (b), but only on the universal one. This can be traced back to the fact that, for any polarization, the polarized automorphism group is finite in the K -trivial klt case, but it is infinite in general in the $-K_X$ nef case.

Varieties and pairs with log canonical singularities form the largest class of varieties for which the MMP is expected to hold. It is thus a central question to decide whether Theorem A holds for log canonical pairs. However, one could then wonder which point of Theorem A should generalize to the log canonical case. As the polarized automorphism groups can be positive dimensional in the log canonical case, even in the K -trivial case (e.g., take $(\mathbb{P}^1, \{0\} + \{\infty\})$ with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ as polarization), one does not expect point (b) to extend to the log canonical case. Hence, even in the K -trivial log canonical case, the

best one could hope for is that a decomposition as in point (c) of Theorem A holds on the universal cover.

Thus, in this article we are primarily interested in the following question:

Question 1.1. Given a log canonical variety (or pair) X with $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, does the quasi-étale universal cover Y of X (which is just the normalization over X of the universal cover of X_{reg}) admit a decomposition

$$Y = F \times \mathbb{C}^q \times \prod Y_i \times \prod Z_i,$$

where the factors F , Y_i and Z_i are the singular versions of the factors of point (c) of Theorem A?

Our main theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.2. *The answer to Question 1.1 is negative.*

In fact, Theorem 1.2 is an immediate corollary of the following more precise theorem, which states that the Albanese morphism fails, in general, to be isotrivial.

Theorem 1.3. *For every integer $d \geq 4$, there exists a projective log canonical variety X of dimension d such that the following hold, where $\text{alb}_X : X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is the Albanese morphism of X :*

- (a) $K_X \sim 0$;
- (b) Alb_X is an elliptic curve;
- (c) every fiber of alb_X is birational to exactly finitely many other fibers; and
- (d) the natural map $\pi_1(X_{\text{reg}}) \rightarrow \pi_1(\text{Alb}_X)$ is an isomorphism.

Therefore, any quasi-étale cover of X is induced by an étale cover of Alb_X . In particular, the universal cover of X_{reg} admits a fibration to \mathbb{C} where any fiber is birational to exactly countably many other fibers.

In the case of pairs, we also construct a counterexample to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition where the pair has plt singularities, see Proposition 4.10. We note that the pair obtained in Proposition 4.10 is crepant birational to the variety described in Theorem 1.3. The proofs of these two statements are given in Section 4.

We note that there exists an earlier example [EIM23, Example 6.3], whose Albanese morphism is isotrivial, but does not split after a finite étale base change. This shows that there is no log canonical Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition as in point (b) of Theorem A. However, this example really only exploits the fact that the polarized automorphism groups are positive dimensional. In particular, the fibration does become split when one passes to the quasi-étale universal cover, and hence it does not give a counterexample to Question 1.1.

We also treat the case of open varieties. By [Kaw81, Fuj24], the quasi-Albanese morphism of a quasi-projective variety with logarithmic Kodaira dimension 0 is a dominant morphism with irreducible general fibers. We show that this is optimal.

Theorem 1.4. *For every integer $d \geq 4$, there exists a smooth quasi-projective variety U of dimension d and logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\bar{\kappa}(U) = 0$ such that the following hold:*

- (a) the quasi-Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_U : U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is flat with irreducible fibers; and
- (b) every fiber of alb_U is birational to exactly finitely many other fibers.

We remark that the variety in Theorem 1.3 is obtained by base change of a suitable compactification of the variety in Theorem 1.4.

Despite the above counterexamples, we can show that the Albanese morphism of log Calabi–Yau pairs satisfies various desirable properties. For example, it is a flat surjective morphism with semi-log canonical fibers. This answers affirmatively Demailly–Peternell–Schneider’s conjecture [DPS93, Conjecture 2] in the projective log canonical case. Note that part (a) of our following result was already obtained in [CZ13], even though it was explicitly stated only for smooth varieties.

Theorem 1.5. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective log canonical pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. Then $\text{alb}_X: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is a locally stable family of pairs. In particular, the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is a contraction (i.e., $(\text{alb}_X)_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_{\text{Alb}_X}$);
- (b) alb_X is flat and has geometrically reduced fibers;
- (c) every fiber $(F, \Delta|_F)$ of alb_X is a semi-log canonical pair; and
- (d) every log canonical center of (X, Δ) dominates Alb_X .

Due to Theorem 1.3, we cannot expect $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ to be isotrivial. On the other hand, we show that the minimal log canonical centers of dlt log Calabi–Yau pairs are isotrivial onto their Albanese variety; see Theorem 3.3 for the precise statement.

Lastly, one may wonder whether it is necessary for the pair in Theorem 1.5 to be log canonical. This is indeed the case: we construct a Fano 3-fold with worse-than-log canonical singularities whose Albanese morphism is not surjective.

Theorem 1.6. *Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Then there exists a normal projective 3-fold X with \mathbb{Q} -Cartier and ample anti-canonical class $-K_X$ such that $\text{Alb}_X \cong \text{Alb}_C$ and the image of alb_X is identified with the image of alb_C under this isomorphism. In particular, alb_X is not surjective.*

Similarly, Example 5.1 demonstrates that the assumption on the nefness of the anti-canonical class in Theorem 1.5 cannot be dropped either.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank H. Blum, S. Boissière, E. Floris, L. Ji, M. Mauri, S.-i. Matsumura, N. Müller, R. Svaldi, and C. Spicer for many fruitful conversations and comments on the topics of this work. The authors would also like to thank J. Moraga for helpful feedback on an earlier version of this work.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation

- (a) A variety X is an integral separated scheme of finite type over \mathbb{C} .
- (b) For a normal variety X , we denote by ω_X its canonical sheaf. A canonical divisor K_X is a Weil divisor on X such that $\mathcal{O}_X(K_X) = \omega_X$.
- (c) We say (X, Δ) is a *pair* if X is a normal variety and Δ is an effective \mathbb{Q} -divisor such that $K_X + \Delta$ is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier. For the definition of the singularities of the MMP we refer to [Kol13].
- (d) We say that two pairs (X_1, Δ_1) and (X_2, Δ_2) are *crepant birational* to one another if there exist proper birational morphisms $p_1: Y \rightarrow X_1$ and $p_2: Y \rightarrow X_2$ from a normal variety Y such that

$$p_1^*(K_{X_1} + \Delta_1) = p_2^*(K_{X_2} + \Delta_2).$$

- (e) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a proper morphism between varieties. We say that f is a *contraction* if $f_*\mathcal{O}_X = \mathcal{O}_Y$ holds. We say that f is a *fibration* if it is a contraction and moreover $\dim Y < \dim X$ holds.
- (f) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a flat projective morphism of varieties. We say that f is *isotrivial* if it is locally trivial (i.e., isomorphic to the product family) in the étale topology. If we further assume that X is endowed with the structure of a pair (X, Δ) , then we say that $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ is *isotrivial for the pair* (X, Δ) if it is locally trivial in the étale topology as a morphism of pairs.
- (g) Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of quasi-projective varieties. We say that f is *birationally isotrivial* if, for any two closed points $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, the varieties X_{y_1} and X_{y_2} are birational to each other. Furthermore, if X is endowed with the structure of a pair (X, Δ) , then we say that $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$ is *crepant birationally isotrivial* if, for any two closed points $y_1, y_2 \in Y$, the pairs $(X_{y_1}, \Delta|_{X_{y_1}})$ and $(X_{y_2}, \Delta|_{X_{y_2}})$ are crepant birational to one another. Lastly, we say that f (resp. $f: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow Y$) is *generically birationally isotrivial* (resp. *generically crepant birationally isotrivial*) if it is birationally isotrivial (resp. crepant birationally isotrivial) over a non-empty open subset $V \subset Y$.
- (h) Given a smooth quasi-projective variety U , we define the *logarithmic Kodaira dimension* $\bar{\kappa}(U)$ of U to be the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X, K_X + D)$, where X is a smooth projective variety and D is an snc divisor on X such that $U = X \setminus D$.
- (i) A variety X is said to be *uniruled* if there exists a variety Y of dimension $\dim Y = \dim X - 1$ and a dominant rational map $Y \times \mathbb{P}^1 \dashrightarrow X$. We say that X is *rationally connected* (resp. *rationally chain connected*) if any two general points on X can be joined by a rational curve (resp. a chain of rational curves).

2.2. Generalized pairs

We recall here the notion of a generalized pair, which was originally introduced by Birkar and Zhang [BZ16], as well as the usual classes of singularities of generalized pairs. For details about the language of b-divisors we refer to [FS23, § 2.1].

Definition 2.1. A *generalized sub-pair* $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ over a variety Z is the datum of

- (a) a normal quasi-projective variety X , endowed with a projective morphism $X \rightarrow Z$;
- (b) a \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ on X ; and
- (c) a \mathbb{Q} -b-Cartier \mathbb{Q} -b-divisor \mathbf{M} that is b-nef over Z ,

satisfying that $K_X + \Delta + \mathbf{M}_X$ is a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor. If Δ is effective, then we say that $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ is a *generalized pair* over Z . If $Z = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, we omit Z from the notation and simply write (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) . Moreover, if $\mathbf{M} = 0$, then we also drop \mathbf{M} and the word “generalized” from the notation, and we retrieve thus the usual notions of *sub-pair* and *pair*.

Definition 2.2. Let $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ be a generalized pair and let $\pi: X' \rightarrow X$ be a projective birational morphism from a normal variety X' . We define a \mathbb{Q} -divisor Δ' on X' via the identity

$$K_{X'} + \Delta' + \mathbf{M}_{X'} = \pi^*(K_X + \Delta + \mathbf{M}_X).$$

Given a prime divisor E on X' , we define the *generalized log discrepancy* of E with respect to $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ to be

$$a_E(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M}) := 1 - \text{coeff}_E(\Delta').$$

Definition 2.3. We say that a generalized pair $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ is *generalized log canonical* (resp. *generalized klt*) if $a_E(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M}) \geq 0$ (resp. $a_E(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M}) > 0$) for every divisor E over X .

2.3. Generalized log Calabi–Yau pairs

Definition 2.4. A projective generalized pair (X, B, \mathbf{M}) is called *generalized log Calabi–Yau* if it is generalized log canonical and $K_X + B + \mathbf{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ holds. If $\mathbf{M} = 0$, then we say that (X, B) is a *log Calabi–Yau pair*.

Example 2.5. Let (X, Δ) be a projective log canonical pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. Define the b-divisor $\mathbf{M} := -(K_X + \Delta)$. Then (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) is a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair by [BZ16, Remark 4.2(4)].

Lemma 2.6. *Let (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) be a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair. Then X is not uniruled if and only if X has canonical singularities and $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, $\Delta = 0$, and $\mathbf{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ hold.*

Proof. Assume first that X is not uniruled. Let $h: (X', \Delta', \mathbf{M}) \rightarrow (X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})$ be a \mathbb{Q} -factorial generalized dlt modification of (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) and note that $K_{X'} + \Delta' + \mathbf{M}_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$; see [FS23, Theorem 2.9]. Since X' is not uniruled either, it follows from [TX23, Lemma 2.18], which relies crucially on [BDPP13, Corollary 0.3], that X' has canonical singularities, and also that $K_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, $\Delta' = 0$, and $\mathbf{M}_{X'} \equiv 0$ hold; in particular, we have $\mathbf{M}_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Thus, by construction, we deduce that $\Delta = 0$, $\mathbf{M}_X = h_* \mathbf{M}_{X'} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and that X has canonical singularities. In particular, we have $K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. Finally, the converse follows readily from [BDPP13, Corollary 0.3]. \square

The following statement is a generalization of the results of Ambro [Amb04, Amb05] to the setting of generalized pairs. It was obtained in [Fil20, FS23], and we include it here for the reader’s convenience.

Theorem 2.7 (Adjunction and inversion of adjunction for fiber spaces). *Let $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ be a generalized pair and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction of normal varieties over Z . Suppose $K_X + \Delta + \mathbf{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, f} 0$ and that the generic fiber is generalized log canonical. Then there exists a generalized pair structure $(Y, B_Y, \mathbf{N})/Z$ on Y such that*

$$K_X + \Delta + \mathbf{M}_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} f^*(K_Y + B_Y + \mathbf{N}_Y).$$

Moreover, adjunction and inversion of adjunction hold: $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ is generalized log canonical if and only if so is $(Y, B_Y, \mathbf{N})/Z$. Furthermore, if $(X, \Delta, \mathbf{M})/Z$ is generalized klt, then so is $(Y, B_Y, \mathbf{N})/Z$.

Proof. In the case $Z = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, the first assertion is [Fil20, Theorem 1.4], while for a general base Z it is proven in [FS23, Theorem 2.20]. The adjunction statement is proven in [Fil20, Proposition 4.16]; note that op. cit. is stated for $Z = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$, but the proof is independent of what Z is. \square

Lemma 2.8. *Let (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) be a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair. Let $f: X \rightarrow A$ be a surjective morphism to an abelian variety and consider its Stein factorization $X \rightarrow Z \xrightarrow{g} A$. Then Z is an abelian variety and g is an étale cover.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.7, Z inherits from (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) the structure of a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair; we denote it by $(Z, \Delta_Z, \mathbf{N})$. Since Z admits a finite surjective morphism to an abelian variety, it is not uniruled, and it follows now from Lemma 2.6 that Z has canonical singularities and that $K_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$.

Since A is an abelian variety, we may choose a nowhere vanishing section σ of ω_A . Its pull-back $g^* \sigma$ is a non-zero section of ω_Z . Since $K_Z \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$ holds, it follows that $g^* \sigma$ is nowhere vanishing as well. In particular, we have $K_Z \sim 0$ and g has to be unramified in codimension 1, since otherwise $g^* \sigma$ would vanish along some divisor. By purity of the branch locus [Sta, Tag 0BMB], we conclude that g is étale. Therefore, Z itself is an abelian variety by [Mum08, § 18, Theorem (Serre–Lang)]. \square

2.4. Albanese morphism

The *Albanese morphism* $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ of a normal projective variety X has been constructed by Serre [Ser58]. It holds that $\text{Alb}_X \simeq (\text{Pic}_X^0)_{\text{red}}^\vee$ by [FGI+05, Remark 9.5.25]. In particular, the *Albanese variety* Alb_X of X is an abelian variety.

We collect below some properties of the Albanese morphism that we will need in this paper.

Lemma 2.9. *Let X be a proper normal variety. If X is rationally chain connected, then the Albanese variety Alb_X of X is trivial and, in particular, the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ of X is surjective.*

Proof. As Alb_X is an abelian variety and every morphism from a rational curve to an abelian variety is necessarily constant, we conclude. \square

Lemma 2.10. *Let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a contraction between proper normal varieties. If every fiber of f is rationally chain connected, then the induced map $\text{Alb}(f): \text{Alb}_X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_Y$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. By the universal property of the Albanese morphism, we obtain the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \text{alb}_X \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{alb}_Y \\ \text{Alb}_X & \xrightarrow{\text{Alb}(f)} & \text{Alb}_Y. \end{array}$$

If F is a closed fiber of f , then it is rationally chain connected by assumption. Thus, it is contracted to a closed point of Alb_X , since abelian varieties contain no rational curves. By the Rigidity Lemma [Deb01, Lemma 1.15(b)], we infer that alb_X factors through f , that is, there exists a morphism $\varphi: Y \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ such that $\varphi \circ f = \text{alb}_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \text{alb}_X \downarrow & \swarrow \exists \varphi & \downarrow \text{alb}_Y \\ \text{Alb}_X & \xrightarrow{\text{Alb}(f)} & \text{Alb}_Y. \end{array}$$

By using the universal property of the Albanese morphism, we first verify that $\text{alb}_Y = \text{Alb}(f) \circ \varphi$, and then we easily conclude that $\text{Alb}_X \simeq \text{Alb}_Y$. \square

Remark 2.11. If the morphism f is equidimensional, then it is sufficient to ask that the generic fiber of f is rationally chain connected, as rational chain connectedness specializes in equidimensional families by [Kol96, Corollary IV.3.5.2].

3. THE ALBANESE MORPHISM FOR LOG CANONICAL PAIRS WITH NEF ANTI-CANONICAL DIVISOR

In this section we prove several structure results for the Albanese morphism of pairs (X, Δ) whose anti-canonical class $-(K_X + \Delta)$ has some positivity property. We begin with the proof of [Theorem 1.5](#).

Proof of [Theorem 1.5](#). We first show that $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is a contraction. To this end, set $\mathbf{M} := \overline{-(K_X + \Delta)}$ and consider the generalized log Calabi–Yau pair (X, Δ, \mathbf{M}) . Let V be the image of $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ and let $X \rightarrow W \rightarrow V$ be the Stein factorization. By

Theorem 2.7 there exist Δ_W and \mathbf{N} such that $(W, \Delta_W, \mathbf{N})$ is a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair. As W admits a generically finite map to an abelian variety, it is not uniruled, so **Lemma 2.6** implies that $K_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, $\Delta_W = 0$, $\mathbf{N}_W \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, and that W has canonical singularities. In particular, \mathbf{N} descends on W .

Consider now the normalization $V^n \rightarrow V$ and the induced map $W \rightarrow V^n \rightarrow V$. By construction, $W \rightarrow V^n$ is finite, so by [FG12, Lemma 1.1] we may find a boundary B on V^n such that (V^n, B) is a klt log Calabi–Yau pair. Since V^n is not uniruled, **Lemma 2.6** implies that $K_{V^n} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$, $B = 0$ and V^n has canonical singularities. Since V generates Alb_X , we can apply [Uen73, Corollary 3.5] to deduce that $V = \text{Alb}_X$, and thus $W \rightarrow V$ is an étale covering of abelian varieties by **Lemma 2.8**. By the universal property of the Albanese morphism, we conclude that $W = V = \text{Alb}_X$, which shows item (a) of the theorem.

Finally, let D be a reduced divisor in Alb_X with simple normal crossing support. Then the pair (Alb_X, D) is log canonical, so the generalized pair $(\text{Alb}_X, D, \mathbf{N})$ is in turn generalized log canonical, since \mathbf{N} descends on $\text{Alb}_X = W$ by the property $\mathbf{N}_{\text{Alb}_X} \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} 0$. By **Theorem 2.7**, $(X, \Delta + (\text{alb}_X)^*D, \mathbf{M})$ is also generalized log canonical, and hence $(X, \Delta + (\text{alb}_X)^*D)$ is log canonical, since \mathbf{M} descends on X by definition. By [Kol23, Corollary 4.55], $\text{alb}_X: (X, \Delta) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is a locally stable family. In turn, items (b) and (c) are formal consequences of this fact, see [Kol23, Definition-Theorem 4.7], whereas item (d) follows from [Kol23, Corollary 4.56]. \square

The next example shows that the fibers of the Albanese morphism for log Calabi–Yau pairs are not necessarily irreducible. Therefore, one cannot expect isotriviality without any further assumption.

Example 3.1. Consider the log Calabi–Yau surface pair

$$(X, \Delta) := (\mathbb{P}^1 \times E, \{0\} \times E + \{\infty\} \times E),$$

where E is an elliptic curve. Denote by π_E the projection $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \rightarrow E$. Let $f: Y \rightarrow X$ be the blow-up of X at a closed point $x \in \{0\} \times E$ and let (Y, Δ_Y) be the log Calabi–Yau pair crepant birational to (X, Δ) . Then the Albanese morphism $Y \rightarrow E$ is the composite map $\pi_E \circ f$, which is not isotrivial, since it has a non-irreducible fiber.

We now study the Albanese morphism of the log canonical centers of log canonical pairs with nef anti-canonical class.

Lemma 3.2. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective log canonical pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. Let V be a log canonical center of (X, Δ) and let V^n be its normalization. Then the natural morphism $\text{alb}(\iota): \text{Alb}_{V^n} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ induced by $\iota: V^n \rightarrow X$ is surjective.*

Proof. By items (a) and (d) in **Theorem 1.5**, the induced morphism $\text{alb}_X \circ \iota: V^n \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is surjective. By the universal property of the Albanese morphism, this morphism factors through Alb_{V^n} , and it follows that $\text{alb}(\iota): \text{Alb}_{V^n} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is surjective, as claimed. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective dlt pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is nef. Let V be a minimal log canonical center of (X, Δ) and let (V, Δ_V) be the klt pair induced by adjunction of (X, Δ) to V . Then the following hold:*

- (a) $\text{alb}_V: (V, \Delta_V) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_V$ is isotrivial for the pair (V, Δ_V) ;
- (b) the natural morphism $\text{Alb}_V \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is surjective; and
- (c) the morphism $(V, \Delta_V) \rightarrow A$ is isotrivial for the pair (V, Δ_V) , where A is the abelian variety obtained as the Stein factorization of $\text{Alb}_V \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$.

Proof. Since (X, Δ) is dlt, V is normal by [Kol13, Theorem 4.16]. The pair (V, Δ_V) is induced by (X, Δ) via higher Poincaré residue maps [Kol13, 4.18.4] and it satisfies

$(K_X + \Delta)|_V \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} K_V + \Delta_V$. Since V is a minimal log canonical center, (V, Δ_V) is klt by [Kol13, Theorem 4.19]. Moreover, since $K_V + \Delta_V$ is obtained by adjunction of $K_X + \Delta$, $-(K_V + \Delta_V)$ is nef. Thus, part (a) of the statement follows from part (a) of Theorem A.

Part (b) follows immediately from Lemma 3.2.

Since $\text{Alb}_V \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is surjective, A is the Stein factorization of a surjective morphism between abelian varieties. By Lemma 2.8, A is an abelian variety itself. Then, part (c) follows from [MW21, Theorem 4.1]. \square

Remark 3.4. We observe that, in part (c) of Theorem 3.3, we actually obtain a stronger result, namely that $(V, \Delta_V) \rightarrow A$ is a locally constant fibration with respect to the pair (V, Δ_V) in the sense of [MW21, Definition 2.3].

The following two examples demonstrate that item (b) of Theorem 3.3 is optimal.

Example 3.5. Consider the log Calabi–Yau pair (\mathbb{P}^2, E) , where E is an elliptic curve. Then E is the minimal log canonical center of (\mathbb{P}^2, E) and $\text{Alb}_E = E$, while $\text{Alb}_{\mathbb{P}^2} = \text{Spec}(\mathbb{C})$.

Example 3.6. Let E be an elliptic curve and consider the log smooth log Calabi–Yau surface pair

$$(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E, \{0\} \times E + \{\infty\} \times E).$$

Let P be a 2-torsion point on E and consider the following $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -action on $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E$:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau: \mathbb{P}^1 \times E &\rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1 \times E \\ (x, y) &\mapsto (x^{-1}, y + P). \end{aligned}$$

Observe that τ has no fixed points and preserves the boundary, and hence it induces an automorphism of the pair

$$(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E, \{0\} \times E + \{\infty\} \times E).$$

Let (X, Δ) be the pair obtained as the quotient. Since τ has no fixed points, the quotient map $\mathbb{P}^1 \times E \rightarrow X$ is étale and X is smooth. Since τ interchanges the two components of $\{0\} \times E + \{\infty\} \times E$, the boundary Δ is irreducible and isomorphic to E . In particular, (X, Δ) is again log smooth with numerically trivial log canonical class. Furthermore, since τ is Galois, $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(m(K_X + \Delta)))$ coincides with the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant part of

$$H^0\left(\mathbb{P}^1 \times E, \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times E}(m(K_{\mathbb{P}^1 \times E} + \{0\} \times E + \{\infty\} \times E))\right),$$

which is generated by $(\frac{dx}{x} \wedge dy)^{\otimes m}$. This shows that $K_X + \Delta$ is a non-trivial 2-torsion divisor. Moreover, we have an induced morphism $X \rightarrow E'$, where E' is the elliptic curve obtained by quotienting E by the action $y \mapsto y + P$. The morphism $X \rightarrow E'$ is a \mathbb{P}^1 -fibration, so it coincides with alb_X by Lemma 2.10. In turn, the natural map $\text{Alb}_{\Delta} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$, which coincides with the morphism $\Delta \rightarrow E'$, is an étale 2:1 cover, so its fibers are not connected.

We conclude this section by showing that one can relate the Albanese morphism of a pair with big anti-canonical class with the Albanese morphism of a canonically defined subvariety of X . Before giving the precise statement, we need to recall the following two definitions.

Fix a normal projective variety X . The stable base locus of a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor Γ on X is denoted by $\mathbf{B}(\Gamma)$. Given a \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor D on X , the *non-nef locus* of D (also referred to as the *diminished base locus* of D in the literature) is defined as

$$\text{Nnef}(X, D) := \bigcup_{m \geq 1} \mathbf{B}\left(D + \frac{1}{m}A\right),$$

where A is an ample divisor on X . Note that the above definition does not depend on the ample divisor chosen and that $\text{Nnef}(X, D) = \emptyset$ if and only if D is nef. Now, given a subset V of X , we say that X is *rationally connected modulo V* if either (a) $V = \emptyset$ and X is rationally connected, or (b) $V \neq \emptyset$ and there exists an irreducible component Z of V such that for any general point $x \in X$ there exists a rational curve passing through x and intersecting Z .

According to [BP11, Theorem 1.2], if (X, Δ) is a projective pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is big, then there exists an irreducible component V of $\text{Nnef}(-K_X - \Delta) \cup \text{Nklt}(X, \Delta)$ such that X is rationally connected modulo V . With this result in mind, we are now ready to state and prove the promised statement. Specifically:

Corollary 3.7. *Let (X, Δ) be a projective pair such that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is big. Consider the locus V defined above and denote by V^n its normalization. Then the induced map $\text{Alb}_{V^n} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is surjective.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram given by the universal property of the Albanese morphism:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^n & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & X \\ \text{alb}_{V^n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{alb}_X \\ \text{Alb}_{V^n} & \xrightarrow{\text{Alb}(\sigma)} & \text{Alb}_X. \end{array}$$

Let $x \in \text{Alb}_X$. Since abelian varieties do not contain rational curves, it follows from [BP11, Theorem 1.2] that the fiber $\text{alb}_X^{-1}(x)$ intersects V . In particular, $\text{alb}_X^{-1}(x)$ intersects the image of σ . This implies that the image of $\text{Alb}(\sigma): \text{Alb}_{V^n} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ contains $\text{alb}_X(X) \subseteq \text{Alb}_X$. Since $\text{Alb}(\sigma)$ is a group homomorphism and $\text{alb}_X(X)$ generates Alb_X , we deduce the desired surjectivity of $\text{Alb}(\sigma)$. \square

4. COUNTEREXAMPLES TO THE LOG CANONICAL BEAUVILLE–BOGOMOLOV DECOMPOSITION

In this section we construct several counterexamples to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition in the log canonical case. We also prove [Theorem 1.3](#) and [Theorem 1.4](#).

4.1. A crepant birationally isotrivial example

As a warm-up, we construct an example of a 3-dimensional log Calabi–Yau pair whose Albanese morphism is not isotrivial.

Proposition 4.1. *There exists a log smooth 3-dimensional log Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ such that*

- (a) $\text{alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$ is a smooth fibration onto an elliptic curve;
- (b) all fibers are weak del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4; and
- (c) two general fibers \mathcal{Y}_t and \mathcal{Y}_s are not isomorphic.

Proof. Consider the product $\mathbb{P}^2 \times E$, where E is an elliptic curve embedded as a smooth cubic inside \mathbb{P}^2 . We may then consider $E \times E \subset \mathbb{P}^2 \times E$ as a divisor. We observe that $(\mathbb{P}^2 \times E, E \times E)$ is a log Calabi–Yau pair. Furthermore, by construction, the projection $\mathbb{P}^2 \times E \rightarrow E$ is the Albanese morphism of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times E$.

We fix four distinct closed points $P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4 \in E \subset \mathbb{P}^2$. We denote by $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2 \times E$ the blow-up of $\mathbb{P}^2 \times E$ along the disjoint union of curves $\bigcup_{i=1}^4 \{P_i\} \times E$, and by \mathcal{E} the

strict transform of $E \times E$ on \mathcal{X} . By the inclusion $\{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4\} \times E \subset E \times E$, we obtain

$$K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{E} = \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^2 \times E} + (E \times E)),$$

and we also infer that $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow E \times E$ is an isomorphism. Let Δ be the preimage of the diagonal in $E \times E$ under said isomorphism, and let $\psi: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ denote the blow-up of \mathcal{X} along Δ . As above, we have

$$K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{B} = \psi^*(K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{E}),$$

where \mathcal{B} denotes the strict transform of \mathcal{E} . Furthermore, $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is again an isomorphism.

To summarize, we have obtained a log Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ with Albanese morphism $f: \mathcal{Y} \rightarrow E$. By construction, every fiber of f is a blow-up of \mathbb{P}^2 at 5 points on the given elliptic curve E . For a general fiber, these points are all distinct, while for 4 fibers, 2 of these points are infinitely close. In particular, all fibers of f are smooth weak del Pezzo surfaces, and, with the exception of 4 closed fibers, they are actually del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4.

We are left to verify that, for two general points P and Q in E , the closed fibers X_P and X_Q are not isomorphic. Indeed, let $\psi: X_P \rightarrow X_Q$ be an isomorphism. As X_P is the blow-up at \mathbb{P}^2 at the closed points P_1, \dots, P_4 and P with exceptional divisors E_i over P_i , the images $F_i := \psi(E_i)$ and $F := \psi(E)$ are disjoint (-1) -curves on X_Q and we can blow them down by $\pi: X_Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. Therefore, the automorphism ψ is a lifting of the automorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ sending P_1, \dots, P_4 and P to Q_1, \dots, Q_5 . Up to the action of $\mathrm{PGL}_3(k)$ on the codomain, we can assume that $P_i = Q_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, 4$. This implies that $\varphi(P) = Q_5$, which contradicts the fact that P and Q are general. \square

The counterexamples to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition presented in [Example 3.1](#) and [Proposition 4.1](#) are nevertheless isotrivial after a birational modification, so they are not sufficient to prove [Theorem 1.3](#).

4.2. A plt counterexample to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition

In this subsection we construct, for every integer $d \geq 4$, a plt log Calabi–Yau d -fold whose Albanese morphism fails to be birationally isotrivial; see [Proposition 4.10](#).

In this example we are interested in pencils of degree d hypersurfaces inside \mathbb{P}^d . We choose a family of degree $d \geq 4$ to construct the counterexample because, thanks to [\[Kol19a, Theorem 30\]](#) and [\[Kol19b, Main Theorem 2\]](#), we have direct tools to show that two general elements are not birational.

Now, let $X = V(f) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ be a general smooth hypersurface of degree d . In particular, $V(f, x_d)$ is a smooth K -trivial variety S . We want to perturb f while preserving the intersection $V(f, x_d)$.

The space of homogeneous polynomials of degree $(d-1)$ in x_0, \dots, x_d has dimension $\binom{2d-1}{d}$, while the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree d in x_0, \dots, x_d has dimension $\binom{2d}{d}$. We consider the affine morphism

$$\begin{aligned} \iota: \mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d-1}{d}} &\rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d}{d}} \\ q &\mapsto f + x_d q \end{aligned}$$

where q denotes a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d-1$. The morphism is injective and therefore corresponds to a subvariety of dimension $\binom{2d-1}{d}$. Since f is general, it is not divisible by x_d . In particular, the image of ι does not contain the origin of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d}{d}}$. Then the rational map $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d}{d}} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{\binom{2d}{d}-1}$ is defined along $\mathrm{im}(\iota)$. Since $\mathrm{im}(\iota)$ is closed and does not contain the origin, it does not contain any orbit under the natural \mathbb{G}_m -action on

$\mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d}{d}}$. It follows that the image of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{2d}{d}}$ is an irreducible locally closed subset of dimension $\binom{2d-1}{d}$.

Since $V(f)$ is smooth and being smooth is an open condition, we deduce that for a general choice of $q \in \mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d-1}{d}}$, $V(f + x_d q)$ is smooth. Note that $\dim \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^d) = (d+1)^2 - 1$ and the image of $\mathbb{A}^{\binom{2d-1}{d}}$ in $\mathbb{P}^{\binom{2d}{d}-1}$ has dimension $\binom{2d-1}{d}$. Since $d \geq 4$, we have

$$\binom{2d-1}{d} > (d+1)^2 - 1.$$

Thus, for a general choice of two homogeneous polynomials q_1 and q_2 of degree $(d-1)$, the hypersurfaces $V(f + x_d q_1)$ and $V(f + x_d q_2)$ are smooth and not projectively equivalent, and hence not birational. Since q_1 and q_2 are general, we may also assume that $V(q_1 - q_2)$ and $V(q_1 - q_2, x_d)$ are smooth.

Notation 4.2. To sum up,

- (i) $V(f)$ is a general smooth Fano hypersurface in \mathbb{P}^d of degree d such that $V(f, x_d)$ is a smooth K -trivial hypersurface of degree d in \mathbb{P}^{d-1} ;
- (ii) q_1 and q_2 are general homogeneous polynomials of degree $(d-1)$ such that the varieties $V(f + x_d q_1)$, $V(f + x_d q_2)$, $V(q_1 - q_2)$ and $V(q_1 - q_2, x_d)$ are smooth;
- (iii) we consider the pencil of degree d hypersurfaces

$$(4.2.a) \quad \mathcal{X} := V(y_0(f + x_d q_1) + y_1(f + x_d q_2)) \subset \mathbb{P}_{[x_0:\dots:x_d]}^d \times \mathbb{P}_{[y_0:y_1]}^1,$$

together with the projection $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$;

- (iv) the fibers $\mathcal{X}_{[0:1]}$ and $\mathcal{X}_{[1:0]}$ of π are smooth and not birational to one another.

In the following claims we analyze the singularities and the geometry of \mathcal{X} .

Claim 4.3. *Any two general closed fibers of $\pi: \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ are not birational.*

There is a non-empty open subset $U \subset \mathbb{P}^1$ such that every fiber over U is a smooth degree d hypersurface of dimension $d-1$. Notice that $[0:1], [1:0] \in U$. By [Fuj19, Corollary 1.5] every fiber over U is K -stable. By [BX19, Theorem 1.1] the moduli stack of K -stable \mathbb{Q} -Fano varieties is separated. Since the fibers over $[0:1]$ and $[1:0]$ are not isomorphic and the moduli stack is separated, it follows that two general fibers over U are not isomorphic. In turn, by [Kol19a, Theorem 30] and [Kol19b, Main Theorem 2], two general fibers over U are not birational.

Claim 4.4. *Set $\mathcal{D} := \mathcal{X} \cap V(x_d)$. Then the pair $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is log canonical.*

Notice that $y_0(f + x_d q_1) + y_1(f + x_d q_2)$ has bidegree $(d, 1)$ and that \mathcal{X} is a Fano variety of dimension d . By construction, since \mathcal{D} is the restriction to \mathcal{X} of a divisor of bidegree $(1, 0)$, $\pi: (\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is an lc-trivial fibration; see [FS23, Definition 2.16]. We have

$$K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D} = (K_{\mathbb{P}^d \times \mathbb{P}^1} + \mathcal{X} + V(x_d))|_{\mathcal{X}} \sim \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{X}}(0, -1) \sim \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(-1),$$

so the canonical bundle formula applied to $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ has the form

$$K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathcal{D} \sim \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + B + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}),$$

where $\deg(B + \mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) = 1$. Since $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1}$ is pseudoeffective and B is effective, we have $\deg(B) \leq 1$. In particular, since the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is log canonical (log smooth even), it follows from Theorem 2.7 that $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is log canonical.

By construction, \mathcal{D} is given by the equation $\{(y_0 + y_1)f = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where \mathbb{P}^{d-1} is identified with the hyperplane $V(x_d)$ in \mathbb{P}^d . Thus, \mathcal{D} is the union of $\mathbb{P}^{d-1} \times \{[1:-1]\}$ and $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. By the definition of the boundary divisor in the canonical bundle formula (see [Amb04, § 2]), $[1:-1]$ has coefficient at least 1 in B . Since B has degree at most 1, we conclude that $B = [1:-1]$. Then, by difference, we obtain $\mathbf{M}_{\mathbb{P}^1} = 0$.

Claim 4.5. \mathcal{X} has canonical singularities.

By adjunction, $-K_{\mathcal{X}}$ is ample and Cartier. Thus, to show that \mathcal{X} is canonical, it suffices to show that it is klt. To this end, since $-\overline{K_{\mathcal{X}}}$ is ample, we may define a generalized log Calabi–Yau pair $(\mathcal{X}, 0, \mathbf{M})$, where $\mathbf{M} := -\overline{K_{\mathcal{X}}}$. By [Theorem 2.7](#), we have an induced generalized pair $(\mathbb{P}^1, \Delta, \mathbf{N})$ satisfying $K_{\mathcal{X}} + \mathbf{M}_{\mathcal{X}} \sim \pi^*(K_{\mathbb{P}^1} + \Delta + \mathbf{N})$. Since \mathbf{M} descends on \mathcal{X} , we have $\Delta \leq B$. In particular, by [Theorem 2.7](#), \mathcal{X} is klt over $\mathbb{P}^1 \setminus \{[1 : -1]\}$. To conclude, by [Theorem 2.7](#) once more, it suffices to show that $\Delta < B = [1 : -1]$. Assume by contradiction that this is not the case. Then there is a log canonical center of \mathcal{X} contained in $\mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]}$. By construction, we have $\mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]} = V(x_d(q_1 - q_2)) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, so

$$\mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]} \cong \mathbb{P}^d \cup V(q_1 - q_2).$$

Since $V(q_1 - q_2)$ is a smooth variety of dimension $d - 1$ by assumption, $\mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]}$ is smooth away from $V(x_d) \cap V(q_1 - q_2) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$. Therefore, the log canonical center of \mathcal{X} is contained in $V(x_d) \cap V(q_1 - q_2)$. Yet, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is log canonical, and \mathcal{D} contains $V(x_d) \cap V(q_1 - q_2)$, reaching thus a contradiction. In turn, we have $\Delta < B = [1 : -1]$, and the claim follows.

Claim 4.6. \mathcal{X} is not \mathbb{Q} -factorial.

To prove the claim, it suffices to exhibit two prime Weil divisors whose intersection has codimension 3 and not 2. Denote by T the irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]}$ given by the degree $(d - 1)$ hypersurface $V(q_1 - q_2) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$, and by \mathcal{D}^h the horizontal part of \mathcal{D} , which is isomorphic to $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. We have $\mathcal{D}^h \subset \mathbb{P}^{d-1} \times \mathbb{P}^1$, where \mathbb{P}^{d-1} is identified with $V(x_d) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}^h \cap \mathcal{X}_{[1:-1]} = S \subset \mathbb{P}^{d-1}$. In turn, $\mathcal{D}^h \cap T$ corresponds to the intersection in $\mathbb{P}^{d-1} = V(x_d) \subset \mathbb{P}^d$ between the degree d hypersurface S and the degree $(d - 1)$ hypersurface $V(q_1 - q_2, x_d)$, which is a codimension 3 variety.

The following result builds on the previous claims and constitutes the key step towards the construction of the desired counterexample to the log canonical Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition.

Proposition 4.7. *There exists a projective plt pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h)$ of dimension d such that the following hold:*

- (a) *there exists a contraction $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$;*
- (b) *$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h \sim \tilde{\pi}^* K_{\mathbb{P}^1}$; and*
- (c) *every fiber of $\tilde{\pi}$ is birational to exactly finitely many other fibers.*

Proof. We follow the notation from the previous claims and their proofs. Now, since \mathcal{X} is canonical by [Claim 4.5](#), we may consider a small \mathbb{Q} -factorialization $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$; see [\[Kol13, Corollary 1.37\]](#). We denote by $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ the strict transform of \mathcal{D} , and similarly, we write \hat{T} for the strict transform of T and $\hat{\pi}$ for the contraction onto \mathbb{P}^1 . Next, we run an MMP to contract \hat{T} .

Claim 4.8. *For $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the pair $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ is plt.*

Indeed, by construction, $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}})$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ over a non-empty open subset of \mathbb{P}^1 . In particular, $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}})$ is log smooth and plt over a non-empty open subset of \mathbb{P}^1 . Thus, to check that $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ is plt, we need to show that it has no log canonical centers that are vertical over \mathbb{P}^1 . Since $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}})$ is log canonical, no log canonical center can be contained in $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^v$. Then the generic point of any vertical log canonical center is contained in $\hat{T} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v$. Since T is smooth and it is Cartier away from $T \cap \mathcal{D}^v$, it follows that $\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D}^v$ is smooth in a neighborhood of $T \setminus \mathcal{D}^v$. Therefore, $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{D}^v$ is the identity in a neighborhood of $\hat{T} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v$. Thus, the generic point of any vertical log

canonical center is contained in the smooth locus of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and inside $\hat{T} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v$. Then, by the inclusion $\mathcal{D}^h \cap T \subset \mathcal{D}^v \cap T$, it follows that $\hat{\mathcal{D}}^h \cap (\hat{T} \setminus \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v) = \emptyset$. But then, the generic point of any vertical log canonical center is disjoint from the boundary of $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ and inside the smooth locus of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$. In turn, no such log canonical center can exist, and hence $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ is plt, as claimed.

Claim 4.9. *We can run a $(K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ -MMP over \mathbb{P}^1 , $\hat{\mathcal{X}} \dashrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$, which contracts the divisor \hat{T} .*

Indeed, we have

$$K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, \hat{\pi}} -\epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, \hat{\pi}} \epsilon \hat{T},$$

where $\hat{\pi}$ denotes the induced morphism from $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ to \mathbb{P}^1 . Then, by [Lai11, Lemma 2.10], \hat{T} is in the relative diminished base locus of $(\hat{\mathcal{X}}, \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$. Thus, after finitely many steps of a relative $(K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{D}} - \epsilon \hat{\mathcal{D}}^v)$ -MMP with scaling of an ample divisor over \mathbb{P}^1 (see [HX13]), \hat{T} is contracted. We denote the resulting model by $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and the strict transform of $\hat{\mathcal{D}}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}$. Since $K_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} + \hat{\mathcal{D}}$ is relatively trivial over \mathbb{P}^1 by construction, $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ is crepant birational to $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}})$. Then, by construction, $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{[1:-1]}$ is irreducible and we have $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^v = \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{[1:-1]}$. \square

Using the example constructed in Proposition 4.7, it is now immediate to construct a counterexample to the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition in the log setting.

Proposition 4.10. *For every integer $d \geq 4$ there exists a projective plt pair $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ of dimension d such that the following hold:*

- (a) $K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{B} \sim 0$;
- (b) $\text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is an elliptic curve; and
- (c) every fiber of $\text{alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is birational to exactly finitely many other fibers.

Proof. If we consider the plt pair $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h)$ constructed in Proposition 4.7, then we have

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}/\mathbb{P}^1} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h \sim 0.$$

In particular, by Theorem 2.7, $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ is a relatively minimal locally stable family of pairs; see [Kol23, § 2.1]. Since the base change of a locally stable family remains a locally stable family, by base change to an elliptic curve $E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, we obtain a relatively minimal locally stable family $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow E$. By the compatibility of the relative log canonical divisor of a locally stable family under base change, we obtain

$$0 \sim K_{\mathcal{Y}/E} + \mathcal{B} \sim K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{B}.$$

By construction, observe that the pair $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ is plt and that the morphism $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow E$, which corresponds to the Albanese fibration of \mathcal{Y} , is not crepant birationally isotrivial, since any two general fibers are not even birational. \square

Remark 4.11. We observe that in Proposition 4.10, consistently with Theorem 3.3, the family induced by adjunction to the minimal log canonical center $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is isotrivial.

Remark 4.12. We here explain why the proof of the klt case in [PZ19, Appendix A] breaks down in the above example. A crucial ingredient in the proof of [PZ19, Proposition A.11] is that, in the klt case, if a relatively ample divisor is pseudoeffective, then it is actually nef. In our case, \mathcal{B} is effective and relatively ample, but not nef, as we explain below.

First, naïvely, if \mathcal{B} were nef, then we could then apply the klt case [PZ19] to the pair $(\mathcal{Y}, (1 - \epsilon)\mathcal{B})$, for some $0 < \epsilon < 1$. We now verify that \mathcal{B} is not nef in geometric terms. As \mathcal{B} is the pull-back of $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h$ by a finite surjective map, it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h$ is not nef. To this end, fix $P \in S \setminus V(q_1 - q_2, x_d)$ and consider the rational curve

$\Sigma := \{P\} \times \mathbb{P}^1 \subset \mathbb{P}^d \times \mathbb{P}^1$ contained in $S \times \mathbb{P}^1$. The divisor \mathcal{D}^h is Cartier in a neighborhood of Σ , and a direct computation shows that $\mathcal{D}^h \cdot \Sigma = -1$. Since $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is isomorphic to \mathcal{X} in a neighborhood of Σ , it follows that $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h$ is not nef either.

4.3. The universal cover does not split

We show that, if we endow the variety \mathcal{Y} constructed in Proposition 4.10 with the structure of a complex analytic space, then the Albanese morphism $\text{alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ does not split after passing to the universal cover of the Albanese variety $\text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$.

Proposition 4.13. *Let $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ be the pair constructed in Proposition 4.10. Consider the fiber product:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}) & \xrightarrow{\pi} & (\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}) \\ \downarrow h & & \downarrow \text{alb}_{\mathcal{Y}} \\ \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}, \end{array}$$

where $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ is the universal cover. Then

- (a) $\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}) = 1$; and
- (b) two general fibers of $h: (\mathcal{Z}, \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ are not birational to each other.

Thus, even the copy of \mathbb{C} that uniformizes $E \cong \text{Alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ cannot be split off after taking the universal cover of $\mathcal{Y}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{B}$.

Proof. Denote by (Y, B) a general fiber of $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow E$. By construction, Y is a smooth degree d hypersurface of dimension $(d-1)$ and B is a smooth K -trivial variety obtained as a hyperplane section. Since $d \geq 4$, by the Lefschetz hyperplane theorem for homotopy groups [Laz04, Theorem 3.1.21] we have $\pi_1(Y) = 1$ and

$$H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}.$$

By [Lib06, Corollary 2.2], $\pi_1(Y \setminus B)$ coincides with the cokernel of the map

$$(4.13.a) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) & \rightarrow & \mathbb{Z} \\ \alpha & \mapsto & \langle \alpha, [B] \rangle, \end{array}$$

where $[B]$ denotes the class of B in $H^2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\langle -, - \rangle$ denotes the Kronecker pairing. Recall now that B is the restriction to Y of a hyperplane in \mathbb{P}^d . Then, by the identification $H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{P}^d, \mathbb{Z})$, we may find a class $\alpha \in H_2(Y, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $\langle \alpha, [B] \rangle = 1$. Thus, the map in (4.13.a) is an isomorphism, and hence $\pi_1(Y \setminus B) = 1$. Since all fibers of $\text{alb}_{\mathcal{Y}}$ are reduced (either by construction or by item (b) of Theorem 1.5), by [Nor83, Lemma 1.5] we have the exact sequence of fundamental groups

$$\pi_1(Y \setminus B) \rightarrow \pi_1(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \pi_1(E) \rightarrow 1.$$

Thus, $\pi_1(\mathcal{Y}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow \pi_1(E)$ is an isomorphism. From this, we infer that $\pi_1(\mathcal{Z}_{\text{reg}} \setminus \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{Z}}) = 1$, and by Proposition 4.10 two general fibers of h are not birational to each other. \square

Therefore, a naïve Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition as in [CH19, CCM21] cannot be expected for log canonical log Calabi–Yau pairs with integral coefficients (notice that this example is plt).

4.4. Quasi-Albanese morphism

In this section we show that the quasi-Albanese morphism of an open variety of logarithmic Kodaira dimension 0 is not generically birationally isotrivial. For the theory of quasi-Albanese varieties, morphisms, and their universal properties we refer to the recent article of Fujino [Fuj24].

Proof of Theorem 1.4. We utilize the notation in Section 4.2. By construction, $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h)$ is a plt pair with the property that

$$K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} + \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \tilde{\pi}^* K_{\mathbb{P}^1}.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.7, $(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}, \tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h + \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{Q_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{Q_2})$ is a log Calabi–Yau pair, where Q_1 and Q_2 are distinct closed points of \mathbb{P}^1 .

Now, we set

$$U := (\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \setminus (\tilde{\mathcal{D}}^h + \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{Q_1} + \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_{Q_2}))_{\text{reg}}.$$

By construction, U is smooth and satisfies $\bar{\kappa}(U) = 0$. We denote by $g: U \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ the morphism induced by $\tilde{\pi}$. By Section 4.2, two general fibers of g are not birational.

We claim that g is the quasi-Albanese morphism of U . To show this claim, we first argue that the quasi-Albanese morphism of a general fiber of g is trivial. A general fiber of g has the form $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P$ is a degree d hypersurface of dimension $(d-1)$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h$ is a smooth anti-canonical K -trivial hypersurface in $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. We consider the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1 \rightarrow \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1(\log \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h} \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P$ is a Fano variety, we have $H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1) = 0$. In turn, the morphism

$$H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1(\log \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h)) \rightarrow H^0(S, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h})$$

is injective. Then, to deduce that $H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1(\log \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h)) = 0$, it suffices to show that the connecting homomorphism $\delta: H^0(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h, \mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1)$ is injective. But $\delta(1) = c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h)$ holds by [GKKP11, Theorem 12.2], and thus δ is injective.

By the construction in [Fuj24, § 3], $h^0(\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P, \Omega_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P}^1(\log \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h)) = 0$ computes the dimension of the quasi-Albanese variety of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}_P \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{D}}_P^h$. Thus, we conclude that the quasi-Albanese morphism of a general fiber of g is trivial.

Denote by $\text{alb}_U: U \rightarrow V$ the quasi-Albanese morphism of U . By [Fuj24, Theorem 1.2], alb_U is dominant with irreducible general fiber. As a general fiber of g has trivial quasi-Albanese, it is contracted by the quasi-Albanese morphism of U by the universal property of the quasi-Albanese morphism. In turn, by dimension reasons, V has dimension 0 or 1. Since g is a non-trivial morphism to a torus, we deduce that $V = \mathbb{G}_m$. Since both g and alb_U have irreducible general fiber, we conclude that $\text{alb}_U = g$. In particular, two general fibers of the quasi-Albanese morphism of U are not birational. \square

4.5. An example without boundary

In this subsection we aim at birationally modifying the example in Proposition 4.10 to obtain an example without boundary. To this end, we first study the generic fiber $(\mathcal{Y}_\eta, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$ of the example in Proposition 4.10.

Lemma 4.14. *There exists a crepant birational model $(\mathcal{Y}_\eta'', 0)$ of $(\mathcal{Y}_\eta, \mathcal{B}_\eta)$.*

Proof. For ease of notation, we write $Y := \mathcal{Y}_\eta$, which is a smooth degree d hypersurface in \mathbb{P}_η^d , and $S := \mathcal{B}_\eta$, which is a smooth K -trivial variety. Note that (Y, S) is a log Calabi–Yau

pair. Moreover, we have

$$(4.14.a) \quad S|_S \sim H|_S,$$

where we regard S as a divisor in Y and H as an hyperplane section in \mathbb{P}^d . We now fix a general element $\Gamma \in |2H|_S|$.

Denote by Y' the blow-up of Y along Γ , and by π the corresponding birational morphism. We then have $\pi^*S = S' + F'$, where S' denotes the strict transform of S and F' denotes the reduced π -exceptional divisor.

Since $\Gamma \subset S$, we infer that $\pi|_{S'}: S' \rightarrow S$ is an isomorphism. As a consequence, we have

$$(4.14.b) \quad S|_S \sim (\pi^*S)|_{S'} \sim F'|_{S'} + S'|_{S'} \sim \Gamma' + S'|_{S'},$$

where Γ' denotes the preimage of Γ under the isomorphism $\pi|_{S'}$. Since by (4.14.a) we have $2S|_S \sim \Gamma$, from (4.14.b) we obtain

$$(4.14.c) \quad S'|_{S'} \sim -S|_S.$$

Now, we consider the following short exact sequence

$$(4.14.d) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S + S') \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S'}((\pi^*S + S')|_{S'}) \rightarrow 0.$$

By (4.14.a), $|\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S)|$ is a free linear series. Thus, the base locus of $|\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S + S')|$ is contained in S' . By (4.14.b) and (4.14.c), we have

$$(\pi^*S + S')|_{S'} \sim 2S|_S + 2S'|_{S'} \sim 0.$$

Thus, $\mathcal{O}_{S'}((\pi^*S + S')|_{S'})$ is globally generated.

Then, in order to conclude that $|\mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S + S')|$ is free, it suffices to show that $\Gamma(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S + S')) \rightarrow \Gamma(S', \mathcal{O}_{S'}((\pi^*S + S')|_{S'}))$ is surjective. In turn, this follows from the vanishing of $H^1(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S))$. This vanishing holds by the following chain of equalities

$$H^1(Y', \mathcal{O}_{Y'}(\pi^*S)) = H^1(Y, \mathcal{O}_Y(S)) = 0,$$

where the first equality follows from the projection formula and the fact that Y has rational singularities, and the second equality follows from the Kodaira vanishing theorem, since $-K_Y$ is ample.

Therefore, the divisor $\pi^*S + S'$ is big and semi-ample. Furthermore, by construction, it is trivial along S' . Hence, a sufficiently large multiple of $\pi^*S + S'$ defines a birational morphism $\psi: Y' \rightarrow Y''$ to a normal variety that contracts the divisor S' to a point.

Now, we consider the log Calabi–Yau pair (Y, S) , which is log smooth, and we note that $\pi^*(K_Y + S) = K_{Y'} + S'$, since $\Gamma \subset S$ holds. In turn, since $K_Y + S \sim 0$ and since ψ contracts S' , we deduce that Y'' is a log canonical variety with $K_{Y''} \sim 0$. In particular, $(Y'', 0)$ is crepant birational to (Y, S) . \square

We are ready to prove [Theorem 1.3](#). We replace the generic fiber of $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ with the model constructed in [Lemma 4.14](#), and after spreading out and performing some birational modification, we can produce an example without boundary.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $\Gamma \subset \mathcal{Y}_\eta$ be as in the proof of [Lemma 4.14](#), and let \mathcal{C} denote its closure in \mathcal{Y} . We choose a nonempty open subset $V \subset E$ such that $(\mathcal{Y}|_V, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow V$ is log smooth over the base and $\mathcal{C}|_V \rightarrow V$ is also smooth.

We construct the claimed model by performing crepant birational modifications on $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ in two steps.

Step 1: We perform blow-ups along \mathcal{B} to make it more negative.

First, we blow up $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ with $\psi: \text{Bl}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{Y} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$. Then, we take a thrifty log resolution of the pair $(\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{Y}, \psi_*^{-1}\mathcal{B} + F)$, where F are the singular fibers of $\text{alb}_{\text{Bl}_{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{Y}}$. We denote the

final model by $\varphi: \mathcal{Y}' \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ and we observe that the generic fiber \mathcal{Y}'_η corresponds to the intermediate model Y' in the proof of [Lemma 4.14](#).

Step 2: We run two MMPs to contract \mathcal{B}' , the strict transform of \mathcal{B} on \mathcal{Y}' .

Denote by \mathcal{E}' the sum of the φ -exceptional divisors that are vertical over E . By construction, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the pair $(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{B}' + \epsilon\mathcal{E}')$ is plt. Over V , this pair is crepant birational to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$. Furthermore, since $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ is plt and $K_{\mathcal{Y}} + \mathcal{B}$ is Cartier, the pair $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ is actually canonical, i.e., every φ -exceptional divisor has discrepancy at least 0 with respect to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$. Thus, by [[Lai11](#), Lemma 2.10], $\text{Supp}(\mathcal{E}')$ is in the relative diminished base locus of $(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{B}' + \epsilon\mathcal{E}')$ over E . By [[HX13](#), Theorem 1.1], we can run a $(K_{\mathcal{Y}'} + \mathcal{B}' + \epsilon\mathcal{E}')$ -MMP over E , which ends with a relative good minimal model $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'')$. By construction, this minimal model is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{Y}', \mathcal{B}' + \epsilon\mathcal{E}')$ over the generic point of E and contracts exactly \mathcal{E}' . In particular, $\mathcal{Y}'' \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is a rational contraction that contracts only one divisor that is horizontal over E , which we denote by \mathcal{F}'' . Furthermore, $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'')$ is crepant birational to $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$. In particular, $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'')$ is plt.

By the construction in [Lemma 4.14](#), the restriction of $2\mathcal{B}'' + \mathcal{F}''$ to the generic fiber \mathcal{Y}''_η is big and semi-ample. Thus, we may find $0 \leq \mathcal{G}'' \sim_{\mathbb{Q}, g''} 2\mathcal{B}'' + \mathcal{F}''$ whose support does not contain \mathcal{B}'' . Then, for $0 < \epsilon \ll 1$, the pair $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'' + \epsilon\mathcal{G}'')$ is still plt, and hence by [[HX13](#), Theorem 1.1], $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'' + \epsilon\mathcal{G}'')$ admits a relative good minimal model over E . We denote this model by \mathcal{Y}''' . By [Lemma 4.14](#), $\mathcal{Y}'' \dashrightarrow \mathcal{Y}'''$ contracts \mathcal{B}'' . Thus, since $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'')$ is log Calabi–Yau, we conclude that \mathcal{Y}''' is crepant birational to $(\mathcal{Y}'', \mathcal{B}'')$. In particular, $X := \mathcal{Y}'''$ is the crepant birational model of $(\mathcal{Y}, \mathcal{B})$ that is claimed in the statement. By [Proposition 4.10](#) we have that any two general fibers of Alb_X are not birational to each other, thus showing (c).

The statement (d) on the universal cover follows as in [Proposition 4.13](#). \square

5. COUNTEREXAMPLES FOR FANO VARIETIES

In this section, we show that the hypotheses on both the positivity of the anti-canonical divisor and the singularities in [Theorem 1.5](#) are necessary.

First, we show that we cannot relax the nefness hypothesis: we give below an example of a smooth projective surface with big but not nef anti-canonical class whose Albanese morphism is not surjective.

Example 5.1. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Pick an integer $m \geq 2$ and set $X := \mathbb{P}_C(\mathcal{O}_C \oplus \mathcal{O}_C(mK_C))$. By the Euler sequence, we have

$$(5.1.a) \quad K_X = \pi^*(K_C - mK_C) - 2E,$$

where E is the negative section. Furthermore,

$$(5.1.b) \quad \text{Pseff}(X) = \mathbb{R}_+[F] + \mathbb{R}_+[E],$$

where F denotes a fiber of the \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle $\pi: X \rightarrow C$. By (5.1.a) and (5.1.b) we infer that $-K_X$ is big. Note also that X is not rationally chain connected. Finally, by [Lemma 2.10](#) we obtain $\text{Alb}_X \cong \text{Alb}_C$, and since $\text{alb}_C: C \rightarrow \text{Alb}_C$ is not surjective by the hypothesis $g \geq 2$, we conclude that $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X$ is not surjective either.

Next, we construct a Fano variety of dimension 3 with worse-than-log canonical singularities and with non-surjective Albanese morphism, proving thus [Theorem 1.6](#). This result, together with the previous example, shows that, under the sole assumption that $-(K_X + \Delta)$ is big, [Corollary 3.7](#) is optimal. We refer to [[Kol13](#), § 3.1] for more information about the cone construction that will be used in [Example 5.2](#).

Example 5.2. Let C be a smooth projective curve of genus $g \geq 2$. Consider the smooth projective surface $S := C \times C$, denote by $\text{pr}_i: S \rightarrow C$ the projection to the i -th factor, and note that $\omega_S = \text{pr}_1^* \omega_C \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \omega_C$ is ample. Fix a positive integer $n \geq 1$, and set

$$\mathcal{M} := \omega_S^{\otimes n} \otimes (\mathcal{O}_C \boxtimes \omega_C) = \omega_S^{\otimes n} \otimes \text{pr}_2^* \omega_C.$$

Note that $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}_S(M)$ is an ample line bundle on S , and we have

$$(5.2.c) \quad M \sim nK_S + \text{pr}_2^* K_C.$$

Consider the projective cone $Z := C_p(S, \mathcal{M})$ over S with conormal bundle \mathcal{M} , the blow-up $\rho: Y \rightarrow Z$ of its vertex, where

$$Y := BC_p(S, \mathcal{M}) = \mathbb{P}_S(\mathcal{O}_S \oplus \mathcal{M}),$$

and denote by $E \cong S$ the ρ -exceptional divisor on Y ; see [Kol13, § 3.1].

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & S \\ \rho \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ Z & & C \end{array}$$

Note that Z is a normal projective variety such that K_Z is not \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, see [Kol13, Proposition 3.14], and that Y is a smooth projective variety satisfying

$$(5.2.d) \quad K_Y \sim \pi^*((1-n)K_S - \text{pr}_2^* K_C) - 2E.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$(5.2.e) \quad E|_E \sim -M,$$

cf. [Har77, Proposition V.2.8].

Let H_Z be the hyperplane section on Z and consider the Cartier divisor

$$L := (n-1)\rho^* H_Z + \pi^* \text{pr}_2^* K_C$$

on Y . Since L is big and semi-ample, it determines a birational fibration to a normal variety $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^* A_X$, where A_X is an ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier \mathbb{Q} -divisor on X . The morphism g contracts exactly the curves $\gamma \subseteq Y$ such that $L \cdot \gamma = 0$. As both H_Z and K_C are nef, this means that the contracted curves satisfy $\rho^* H_Z \cdot \gamma = 0$ and $\pi^* \text{pr}_2^* K_C \cdot \gamma = 0$. The first condition implies that γ is in the exceptional locus of ρ , and hence in E . Out of such curves, only the fibers of $(\pi \circ \text{pr}_2)|_E$ intersect $\pi^* \text{pr}_2^* K_C$ trivially, so they are the only curves on Y contracted by g . Observe that, under the natural isomorphism $E \cong S$, the morphism $(\pi \circ \text{pr}_2)|_E$ coincides with pr_2 .

It follows from the Rigidity Lemma [Deb01, Proposition 1.14] that there exists a unique morphism $u: X \rightarrow Z$ such that $\rho = u \circ g$ and a unique morphism $v: X \rightarrow C$ such that $\text{pr}_2 \circ \pi = v \circ g$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\pi} & & & S \\ & \searrow g & & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ & & X & & \\ \rho \downarrow & & \swarrow u & & \downarrow \text{pr}_2 \\ Z & & & & C \end{array}$$

Fix a point $c \in C$. Then $\pi^{-1}(\text{pr}_2^{-1}(c))$ is a ruled surface over C , and $v^{-1}(c)$ is obtained from it by contracting a section. In particular, the fiber $v^{-1}(c)$ is rationally chain connected. By Lemma 2.10, we obtain $\text{Alb}_X \cong \text{Alb}_C$, and since $\text{alb}_C: C \rightarrow \text{Alb}_C$ is not surjective, $\text{alb}_X: X \rightarrow \text{alb}_X$ is not surjective either. Therefore, the normal projective variety X is not rationally chain connected by Lemma 2.9.

We will now show that X has worse-than-log canonical singularities and ample anti-canonical class. To this end, we first show that $\rho(Y/X) = 1$. Since Y is a projective bundle over S , its Néron–Severi group is generated by the Néron–Severi group of S and any π -ample Cartier divisor. Since ρ^*H_Z is trivial along E , it follows that ρ^*H_Z is π -ample. Since any two fibers of $E \rightarrow C$ are numerically equivalent as curves in E and any curve in E has zero intersection with ρ^*H_Z , we deduce that any two fibers of $E \rightarrow C$ are numerically equivalent as curves in Y . In particular, $\rho(Y/X) = 1$ holds. In turn, we have $K_Y + aE \equiv_g 0$ for some $a \in \mathbb{Q}$.

To show that K_X is \mathbb{Q} -Cartier, it suffices to show that $K_Y + aE \sim_{\mathbb{Q},g} 0$. Fix a curve γ that is contracted by ρ and notice that $\pi_*\gamma \neq 0$. In view of the above construction, by (5.2.c), (5.2.d), and (5.2.e) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (K_Y + aE) \cdot \gamma \\ &= (a - 2)(E \cdot \gamma) + (1 - n)(\pi^*K_S \cdot \gamma) \\ &= -(a - 2)(M \cdot \pi_*\gamma) + (1 - n)(K_S \cdot \pi_*\gamma) \\ &= -n(a - 2)(K_S \cdot \pi_*\gamma) + (1 - n)(K_S \cdot \pi_*\gamma), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$a = 1 + \frac{1}{n},$$

since we have $K_S \cdot \pi_*\gamma > 0$ by the ampleness of K_S . Set $D := K_Y + \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)E$ and $H_Y := \rho^*H_Z$. By adjunction on E or H_Y we infer that $K_Y = -E - H_Y + \pi^*K_S$, and thus $H_Y = E + \pi^*M$ by (5.2.d). Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -D &= -K_Y - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)E = E + H_Y - \pi^*K_S - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)E \\ &= \frac{1}{n}\pi^*M - \frac{1}{n}H_Y + H_Y - \pi^*K_S = \frac{1}{n}(\pi^*\text{pr}_2^*K_C + (n - 1)H_Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{n}L \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{n}g^*A_X, \end{aligned}$$

which yields that $-K_X \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} \frac{1}{n}A_X$ is an ample \mathbb{Q} -Cartier divisor.

Finally, the relation

$$K_Y \sim_{\mathbb{Q}} g^*K_X - \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)E$$

shows that the singularities of X are worse-than-log canonical, as asserted.

REFERENCES

- [Amb04] F. Ambro, *Shokurov’s boundary property*, J. Differential Geom. **67** (2004), no. 2, 229–255. MR2153078
- [Amb05] ———, *The moduli b-divisor of an lc-trivial fibration*, Compos. Math. **141** (2005), no. 2, 385–403. MR2134273
- [BDPP13] S. Boucksom, J.-P. Demailly, M. Păun, and T. Peternell, *The pseudo-effective cone of a compact Kähler manifold and varieties of negative Kodaira dimension*, J. Algebraic Geom. **22** (2013), no. 2, 201–248. MR3019449

- [Bea83] A. Beauville, *Variétés Kähleriennes dont la première classe de Chern est nulle*, J. Differential Geom. **18** (1983), no. 4, 755–782 (1984). MR730926
- [Bog74] F. A. Bogomolov, *The decomposition of Kähler manifolds with a trivial canonical class*, Mat. Sb. (N.S.) **93(135)** (1974), 573–575, 630. MR345969
- [BP11] A. Broustet and G. Pacienza, *Rational connectedness modulo the non-nef locus*, Comment. Math. Helv. **86** (2011), no. 3, 593–607. MR2803854
- [BX19] H. Blum and C. Xu, *Uniqueness of K -polystable degenerations of Fano varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2) **190** (2019), no. 2, 609–656. MR3997130
- [BZ16] C. Birkar and D.-Q. Zhang, *Effectivity of Iitaka fibrations and pluricanonical systems of polarized pairs*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **123** (2016), 283–331. MR3502099
- [Cal57] E. Calabi, *On Kähler manifolds with vanishing canonical class*, Algebraic geometry and topology. A symposium in honor of S. Lefschetz, 1957, pp. 78–89. MR85583
- [Cao19] J. Cao, *Albanese maps of projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundles*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **52** (2019), no. 5, 1137–1154. MR4057779
- [CCM21] F. Campana, J. Cao, and S.-i. Matsumura, *Projective klt pairs with nef anti-canonical divisor*, Algebr. Geom. **8** (2021), no. 4, 430–464. MR4371536
- [CH19] J. Cao and A. Höring, *A decomposition theorem for projective manifolds with nef anticanonical bundle*, J. Algebraic Geom. **28** (2019), no. 3, 567–597. MR3959071
- [CZ13] M. Chen and Q. Zhang, *On a question of Demailly-Peternell-Schneider*, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **15** (2013), no. 5, 1853–1858. MR3082246
- [Deb01] O. Debarre, *Higher-dimensional algebraic geometry*, Universitext, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001. MR1841091
- [DPS93] J.-P. Demailly, T. Peternell, and M. Schneider, *Kähler manifolds with numerically effective Ricci class*, Compositio Math. **89** (1993), no. 2, 217–240. MR1255695
- [Dru18] S. Druel, *A decomposition theorem for singular spaces with trivial canonical class of dimension at most five*, Invent. Math. **211** (2018), no. 1, 245–296. MR3742759
- [EIM23] S. Ejiri, M. Iwai, and S.-i. Matsumura, *On asymptotic base loci of relative anti-canonical divisors of algebraic fiber spaces*, J. Algebraic Geom. **32** (2023), no. 3, 477–517. With an appendix by Frédéric Touzet. MR4622258
- [FG12] O. Fujino and Y. Gongyo, *On canonical bundle formulas and subadjunctions*, Michigan Math. J. **61** (2012), no. 2, 255–264. MR2944479
- [FGI+05] B. Fantechi, L. Göttsche, L. Illusie, S. L. Kleiman, N. Nitsure, and A. Vistoli, *Fundamental algebraic geometry*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 123, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005. Grothendieck’s FGA explained.
- [Fil20] S. Filipazzi, *On a generalized canonical bundle formula and generalized adjunction*, Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5) **21** (2020), 1187–1221. MR4288631
- [FS23] S. Filipazzi and R. Svaldi, *On the connectedness principle and dual complexes for generalized pairs*, Forum Math. Sigma **11** (2023), Paper No. e33, 39. MR4580302
- [Fuj19] K. Fujita, *K -stability of Fano manifolds with not small alpha invariants*, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu **18** (2019), no. 3, 519–530. MR3936640
- [Fuj24] O. Fujino, *On quasi-Albanese maps*, 2024. Available at [arXiv:2402.04595](https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.04595).
- [GGK19] D. Greb, H. Guenancia, and S. Kebekus, *Klt varieties with trivial canonical class: holonomy, differential forms, and fundamental groups*, Geom. Topol. **23** (2019), no. 4, 2051–2124. MR3988092
- [GKKP11] D. Greb, S. Kebekus, S. J. Kovács, and T. Peternell, *Differential forms on log canonical spaces*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **114** (2011), 87–169. MR2854859
- [GKP16] D. Greb, S. Kebekus, and T. Peternell, *Singular spaces with trivial canonical class*, Minimal models and extremal rays (Kyoto, 2011), 2016, pp. 67–113. MR3617779
- [Har77] R. Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. MR463157
- [HP19] A. Höring and T. Peternell, *Algebraic integrability of foliations with numerically trivial canonical bundle*, Invent. Math. **216** (2019), no. 2, 395–419. MR3953506
- [HX13] C. D. Hacon and C. Xu, *Existence of log canonical closures*, Invent. Math. **192** (2013), no. 1, 161–195. MR3032329
- [Kaw81] Y. Kawamata, *Characterization of abelian varieties*, Compositio Math. **43** (1981), no. 2, 253–276. MR622451

- [Kol13] J. Kollár, *Singularities of the minimal model program*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 200, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013. With a collaboration of Sándor Kovács. MR3057950
- [Kol19a] ———, *Algebraic hypersurfaces*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) **56** (2019), no. 4, 543–568. MR4007161
- [Kol19b] ———, *The rigidity theorem of Fano–Segre–Iskovskikh–Manin–Pukhlikov–Corti–Cheltsov–de Fernex–Ein–Mustață–Zhuang*, Birational geometry of hypersurfaces, 2019, pp. 129–164. MR4401011
- [Kol23] ———, *Families of varieties of general type*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 231, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. With the collaboration of Klaus Altmann and Sándor J. Kovács. MR4566297
- [Kol96] ———, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 32, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1996. MR1440180
- [Lai11] C.-J. Lai, *Varieties fibered by good minimal models*, Math. Ann. **350** (2011), no. 3, 533–547. MR2805635
- [Laz04] R. Lazarsfeld, *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics], vol. 48, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series. MR2095471
- [Lib06] A. Libgober, *Homotopy groups of complements to ample divisors*, Singularity theory and its applications, 2006, pp. 179–204.
- [Mum08] D. Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, vol. 5, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; by Hindustan Book Agency, New Delhi, 2008. With appendices by C. P. Ramanujam and Yuri Manin, Corrected reprint of the second (1974) edition. MR2514037
- [MW21] S.-i. Matsumura and J. Wang, *Structure theorem for projective klt pairs with nef anti-canonical divisor*, 2021. Available at [arXiv:2105.14308](https://arxiv.org/abs/2105.14308).
- [Nor83] M. V. Nori, *Zariski’s conjecture and related problems*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **16** (1983), no. 2, 305–344. MR732347
- [PZ19] Zs. Patakfalvi and M. Zdanowicz, *On the Beauville–Bogomolov decomposition in characteristic $p \geq 0$* , 2019. Available at [arXiv:1912.12742](https://arxiv.org/abs/1912.12742).
- [Ser58] J.-P. Serre, *Morphismes universels et variété d’Albanese*, Séminaire Claude Chevalley **4** (Unknown Month 1958), 1–22 (fr). talk:10.
- [Sta] T. Stacks Project Authors, *Stacks Project*.
- [TX23] N. Tsakanikas and Z. Xie, *Comparison and uniruledness of asymptotic base loci*, 2023. Available at [arXiv:2309.01031](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.01031), to appear in Michigan Math. J.
- [Uen73] K. Ueno, *Classification of algebraic varieties. I*, Compositio Math. **27** (1973), 277–342. MR360582

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA “GUIDO CASTELNUOVO”, SAPIENZA UNIVERSITÀ DI ROMA, PIAZZALE ALDO MORO 5, I-00185 ‘ ROMA

Email address: fabio.bernasconi@uniroma1.it

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CHAIR OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, C3 625 (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: stefano.filipazzi@epfl.ch

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CHAIR OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, C3 635 (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: zsolt.patakfalvi@epfl.ch

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE, CHAIR OF ALGEBRAIC GEOMETRY, C3 595 (BÂTIMENT MA), STATION 8, 1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND

Email address: nikolaos.tsakanikas@epfl.ch