

MAJOR INDEX ON CATALAN COMBINATORICS

KEIICHI SHIGECHI

ABSTRACT. We study the two statistics, the inversion number and the major index, on Catalan combinatorial objects such as r -Dyck paths, r -Stirling permutations, non-crossing partitions, Dyck tilings, and symmetric Dyck paths. We show that they are equidistributed with the inversion number or the major index on Dyck paths.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Catalan numbers $\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2n}{n} = 1, 2, 5, 14, 42, \dots$ are one of the most well-known combinatorial numbers. They count the numbers of combinatorial objects such as triangulation of a polygon, Dyck paths, binary trees, rooted planar trees, non-crossing partitions and so on (see e.g. [22, 23]). Another remarkable combinatorial object is a permutation. A subset of permutations has been studied to study the set of Catalan objects. For example, the permutations which avoid a w -pattern $w \in \{132, 231, 312, 321\}$ are known to be bijective to Dyck paths (see [1, 11, 12, 24] and references therein). In this paper, we focus on the set of combinatorial objects which are bijective to the Catalan objects. They are r -Dyck paths with $r \geq 1$, r -Stirling permutations, non-crossing partitions, Dyck tilings corresponding to 231-avoiding permutations, and symmetric Dyck paths.

A statistic on combinatorial objects is an important tool to extract a combinatorial structure from the objects. The inversion number of a permutation is one of the most well-known statistic on a permutation, which goes back to at least [4, 16]. Two different statistics are said to be equidistributed if they have the same generating function on the combinatorial objects. A statistic is called Mahonian if it is equidistributed with the inversion statistic on the permutations. One of Mahonian statistics is the major index which is introduced by P. A. MacMahon in [14, 15]. In this paper, we consider the inversion number and the major index on the combinatorial objects mentioned above.

The number of Dyck paths of size n is given by the n -th Catalan number. Since the number of permutations in $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ which avoid the pattern 231 is also given by the Catalan number, we have a bijection between Dyck paths and 231-avoiding permutations [24]. We make use of this property of the correspondence when we consider combinatorial objects such as r -Stirling permutations, non-crossing partitions, and Dyck tilings.

An r -Dyck path is a generalization of a Dyck path, and the number of r -Dyck paths is given by the Fuss–Catalan numbers. An r -Stirling permutation is a generalization of a permutation introduced by [8, 17]. As in the case of Dyck paths and permutations, we have a bijection between r -Dyck paths and 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutations. This bijection allows us to study the inversion number and major index on r -Stirling permutations instead of r -Dyck paths. We introduce a new major index on r -Stirling permutations, and show that it is Mahonian (Theorem 3.10).

A non-crossing partition of $[n]$ is a combinatorial object whose total number is given by the Catalan number. G. Kreweras initiated a systematical study of non-crossing partitions in [13].

Date: July 25, 2024.

We define two statistics on a non-crossing partition which give the major index and the inversion number of a Dyck path (Theorem 4.3 and Proposition 4.11 respectively). By a refinement of the major index on a non-crossing partition, we obtain a generating function $\mathcal{A}(q, t)$ with two variables q and t which is reduced to the generating function of the major index at $t = q$ (Corollary 4.16). This polynomial $\mathcal{A}(q, t)$ is essentially the same as the polynomial $\mathcal{A}(q, t^{-1})$ studied in [24]. This generalization of the major index is achieved by constructing a bijection between non-crossing permutations and 312-avoiding permutations (equivalently 231-avoiding permutations) (Theorem 4.14).

A Dyck tiling is a tiling of the region between two Dyck paths by tiles which are called Dyck tiles. A Dyck tiling first appeared in the study of the Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials for Grassmannian permutations in [21], and independently in the study of the double-dimer models in [9]. In this paper, we consider a variant of Dyck tilings which is introduced in [18]. Since we have a one-to-one correspondence between Dyck tilings and permutations, to relate them with Dyck paths, we consider the 231-avoiding permutations. By giving a weight on a Dyck tile, one can define the weight of a Dyck tiling which is equidistributed with the major index on the corresponding Dyck path (Theorem 5.6). The bijection between 231-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths introduced in [24] plays a central role to show that the weight of a Dyck tiling is equal to the major index of the corresponding Dyck path. Similarly, by modifying the weight of a Dyck tile, we obtain a Mahonian statistic on Dyck tilings (Proposition 5.11).

Finally, we consider the set of symmetric Dyck paths which are bijective to Dyck paths of size n . We make use of the bijection between the two sets introduced in [20, Section 7.3]. We regard a path as a word of two alphabets 0 and 1, and this bijection does not preserve the length of a word in general. We define two statistics on a symmetric Dyck path which are equidistributed with the major index and the inversion number of a Dyck path. A Dyck path of size n has n pairs of 0 and 1 in its word representation. On the other hand, a symmetric Dyck path of size n has $n - k$ pairs of 0 and 1, and k unpaired 0's with $0 \leq k \leq n$. The existence of k unpaired 0's allows us to refine the major index (more precisely the q -Narayana numbers) on symmetric Dyck paths (Theorem 6.7). Similarly, we newly define the weight on symmetric Dyck paths which is Mahonian (Proposition 6.11).

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce the notion of r -Dyck paths, r -Stirling permutations, and their pattern avoidance. In Section 3, we introduce and study the inversion number and the major index on permutations, r -Dyck paths, and r -Stirling permutations. The inversion number and major index on non-crossing partitions are defined and studied in Section 4. In Section 5, we study the Dyck tilings in the view of the inversion number and the major index on them. In Section 6, the major index and inversion number on symmetric Dyck paths are shown to be equidistributed with the two statistics on Dyck paths respectively.

Notation. We will use the standard q -notation:

$$(x; q)_n = (x)_n = (1 - x)(1 - qx) \cdots (1 - q^{n-1}x) = \sum_{k=0}^n q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} (-x)^k,$$

$$[n] = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q^i, \quad \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(q)_n}{(q)_k (q)_{n-k}}.$$

The Kronecker delta function $\delta(P)$ is defined by

$$\delta(P) := \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } P \text{ is true,} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2. COMBINATORIAL OBJECTS

2.1. Generalized Dyck paths. A *generalized Dyck path* of length n or r -Dyck path with $r \geq 1$ is an up-right lattice path from $(0, 0)$ to (rn, n) such that the path never goes down the line $y = x/r$. Since an r -Dyck path p consists of up steps $(0, 1)$ and right steps $(1, 0)$, we express p in terms of 0 and 1 where 0 (resp. 1) stands for an up (resp. right) step. For example, we have five Dyck paths of length three:

000111 001011 001101 010011 010101

A Dyck path d is said to be *prime* if d touches the line $y = x/r$ exactly twice. For example, we have two prime Dyck paths of size three: 000111 and 001011.

We denote by \mathcal{P}_n^r be the set of r -Dyck paths of length n . The set \mathcal{P}_n^r has two distinguished paths: the lowest path $(01^r)^n$ and the top path $0^n 1^{rn}$.

The number $|\mathcal{P}_n^r|$ of r -Dyck paths of length n is given by the Fuss–Catalan number

$$|\mathcal{P}_n^r| = \frac{1}{nr + 1} \binom{(r + 1)n}{n}.$$

When $r = 1$, the number of Dyck paths of size n is given by the well-known Catalan numbers.

2.2. r -Stirling permutations. When $r = 1$, the set \mathcal{P}_n^1 of Dyck paths is bijective to a subset of the permutations of n letters. A Dyck path may be characterized by the pattern avoidance of the permutations. For example, a region between the top Dyck path and a Dyck path gives a Young diagram. Then, we have a one-to-one correspondence between Dyck paths and 132-avoiding permutations. By considering a correspondence between Dyck paths and other combinatorial objects whose total number is given by the Catalan number, one has a correspondence between Dyck paths and w -avoiding permutations with $w \in \{132, 231, 312, 321\}$ (see e.g. [1, 11, 12]). We denote the set of w -avoiding permutations by $\mathfrak{S}(w)$.

For $r \geq 2$, r -Stirling permutations play a role instead of permutations for $r = 1$. The r -Stirling permutations were introduced by I. Gessel and R. P. Stanley [8] for $r = 2$, and generalized to the case $r \geq 3$ by S. Park [17].

An r -Stirling permutation of size n is a permutation of the multiset $\{1^r, 2^r, \dots, n^r\}$ such that if an integer i appears between two j 's then $i < j$. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ denote the set of r -Stirling permutations of size n . When $r = 1$, we have the set $\mathfrak{S}_n := \mathfrak{S}_n^{(1)}$ of the permutations of n letters. The total number of the set $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ is given by

$$|\mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}| = \prod_{s=1}^{n-1} (sr + 1).$$

For example, we have fifteen 2-Stirling permutations of size three:

332211 332112 322311 331122 322113
 223311 311322 321123 223113 113322
 311223 221133 113223 211233 112233

2.3. Bijection between r -Dyck paths and 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutations. An r -Stirling permutation $\pi := \pi_1 \dots \pi_{nr} \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ is said to be 231-avoiding if there is no integers $i < j < k$ such that $\pi_k < \pi_i < \pi_j$. For 2-Stirling permutations of size three, we have three 231-containing 2-Stirling permutations: 322311, 223311, and 223113.

Definition 2.1. We denote by $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)$ the set of 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutations.


In what follows, we give a bijection between r -Dyck paths of size n and 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutations of size n .

Let $\pi := \pi_1 \dots \pi_{rn}$ be a 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutation. We define a sequence $\alpha(\pi) := (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$ of non-negative integers of length n from π by

$$\alpha_i := \#\{j > i : j \text{ is left to the left-most } i \text{ in } \pi\}.$$

By definition, we have $\alpha_n = 0$. For example, we have $\alpha(\pi) = (2, 1, 1, 0)$ if $\pi = 42112334 \in \mathfrak{S}_4^{(2)}$.

Let p_0 be the lowest r -Dyck path of length n , i.e., $p_0 = (01^r)^n$. We construct an r -Dyck path $p(\pi)$ for π from $\alpha(\pi)$. We add α_i unit boxes in the i -th row of p_0 from top to bottom. Then, we define $p(\pi)$ as the top path. For example, $p(42112334)$ is the 2-Dyck path 010110101111. Graphically, we have



Note that we have two, one and one boxes in the first, second and third rows.

We denote the map $\kappa : \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_n^r$ defined as above.

Proposition 2.2. The map κ is well-defined, that is, $\kappa(\pi) \in \mathcal{P}_n^r$ if $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)$. Further, κ is a bijection between the two sets.

Proof. We first show that κ is well-defined. Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)$ be an r -Stirling permutation. Then, by the definition of $\alpha(\pi)$, we always have $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} + r + 1$, since π avoids a pattern 231 and $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$. On the other hand, recall that p_0 is the lowest r -Dyck path in \mathcal{P}_n^r . This implies that if we put β_i unit boxes in the i -th row above the lowest path p_0 , the numbers β_i satisfy the relation $\beta_i < \beta_{i+1} + r + 1$ to have an r -Dyck path. Then, by construction of κ , we have $\alpha_i = \beta_i$, and $\kappa(\pi)$ is an r -Dyck path. Therefore, the map κ is well-defined.

By construction of κ , the inverse map κ^{-1} is easily obtained. Let p be an r -Dyck path. Then, we count the numbers α_i of unit boxes in the i -th row which are below p and above the lowest path p_0 . Once we have α , it is obvious that we have a 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutation. These imply that κ^{-1} is indeed an inverse of κ . Thus, it is a bijection between the two sets \mathcal{P}_n^r and $\mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)$. \square

3. STATISTICS

Let $f := (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be an arbitrary sequence of real numbers of length n . The *inversion number* $\text{inv}(f)$ of f is defined as the number of pairs (j, k) such that $1 \leq j < k \leq n$ and $x_j > x_k$. The *major index* $\text{maj}(f)$ of f is defined as the sum of all integers j such that $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$ and $x_j > x_{j+1}$. Given a word f , we denote the set of descents by $\text{Des}(f)$, i.e., $\text{Des}(f) := \{i : f_i > f_{i+1}\}$.

3.1. Dyck paths and permutations. Given a permutation ω , the inversion number of ω is defined to be $\text{inv}(\omega)$. Similarly, the major index of ω is given by $\text{maj}(\omega)$.

Proposition 3.1. We have

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\omega)} = \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\omega)} = [n]!.$$

Sketch of the proof. We prove the statement by induction on n . We decompose the permutation ω as $\omega = \omega_1 1 \omega_2$. Then, we have $\text{inv}(\omega) = \text{inv}(\omega_1 \omega_2) + |\omega_1|$. This implies

$$\sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n} q^{\text{inv}(\omega)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\omega' \in \mathfrak{S}_{n-1}} q^{\text{inv}(\omega') + j} = [n] \cdot [n-1]! = [n]!$$

One can prove the statement for the major index by a similar way. \square

Following [2, 3, 6], we define q -Catalan numbers $C_n = C_n(q)$ by

$$(3.1) \quad z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} C_{n-1} z^n (1-z)(1-qz) \cdots (1-q^{n-1}z).$$

The first few values are

$$\begin{aligned} C_0 = C_1 = 1, \quad C_2 = 1 + q, \quad C_3 = 1 + q + 2q^2 + q^3, \\ C_4 = 1 + q + 2q^2 + 3q^3 + 3q^4 + 3q^5 + q^6. \end{aligned}$$

The q -Catalan number C_n satisfies the recurrence relation (see e.g. [6])

$$(3.2) \quad C_{n+1} = \sum_{k=0}^n C_k C_{n-k} q^{(k+1)(n-k)},$$

with $C_0 = 1$. Then, the exponent of q in C_n counts the area between the Dyck paths and the top path $0^n 1^n$, i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{P}_n^1} q^{\text{Area}(d)} = C_n,$$

where $\text{Area}(d)$ is the number of unit boxes above d and below $0^n 1^n$.

Recall that $\mathfrak{S}_n(132)$ is the set of 132-avoiding permutations of size n .

Proposition 3.2. *We have*

$$(3.4) \quad \sum_{\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n(132)} q^{\text{inv}(\omega)} = C_n(q).$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{h}(\omega) := h_1 h_2 \dots h_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, be a sequence of non-negative integers defined by

$$h_i := \#\{j > i : j \text{ is left to } i \text{ in } \omega\}.$$

It is easy to see that $0 \leq h_i \leq i - 1$. Further, if $\omega \in \mathfrak{S}_n(132)$, $\mathbf{h}(\omega)$ satisfies $h_i \geq h_{i+1}$. Then, $\mathbf{h}(\omega)$ is bijective to a Young diagram λ inside of the staircase Young diagram $(n-1, n-2, \dots, 1, 0)$. The sum $\sum_i h_i$ is equal to the number of inversions in ω . By the identification of $\mathbf{h}(\omega)$ with λ , this sum is equal to the number of unit boxes in the Young diagram λ . From these, we have Eq. (3.4). \square

Following [6], we define another q -Catalan numbers $E_n = E_n(q)$ by the expansion formula

$$z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_n(q) z^n}{q^{\binom{n}{2}} (-q^{-n}z)_n (-qz)_n}.$$

By using the q -Lagrange formula, one can obtain

$$E_n(q) = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let d be a Dyck path, i.e., a word of 0's and 1's. Then, the major index of d is given by $\text{maj}(d)$.

Proposition 3.3 ([6]). *We have*

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{P}_n^1} q^{\text{maj}(d)} = E_n(q) = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

3.2. r -Dyck paths and r -Stirling permutations. Recall that an r -Dyck path $\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^r$ is an up-right path starting from $(0, 0)$. Since the path μ is below the top path, the region between μ and the top path gives a Young diagram $Y(\mu)$. The inversion numbers $\text{Inv}(\mu)$ and $\text{iInv}(\mu)$ are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Inv}(\mu) &:= \frac{r}{2}n(n-1) - |Y(\mu)|, \\ \text{iInv}(\mu) &:= |Y(\mu)|, \end{aligned}$$

where $|Y(\mu)|$ is the number of unit squares in the Young diagram $Y(\mu)$.

We generalize the results of [2, 3, 6] to r -Dyck paths. Let $C_n^{(r)}$ be the number of r -Dyck paths of size n . The generating function $f(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(r)} t^n$ of r -Dyck paths satisfies the recurrence relation

$$f(t) = 1 + t f(t)^{r+1}.$$

We set $z = t^{1/r} f(t)$. Then, z satisfies

$$z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(r)} z^{rn+1} (1 - z^r)^{rn+1}.$$

We define the q -analogue of Fuss–Catalan numbers $C_n^{(r)} := C_n^{(r)}(q)$ by

$$(3.5) \quad z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n^{(r)}(q) z^{rn+1} (1 - z^r)(1 - qz^r)(1 - q^2z^r) \cdots (1 - q^{rn}z^r).$$

As in the case of $r = 1$, the exponent of q in $C_n^{(r)}$ counts the area between the r -Dyck paths and the top path $0^n 1^{rn}$.

Proposition 3.4. *We have*

$$(3.6) \quad \sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^r} q^{\text{iInv}(\mu)} = C_n^{(r)}(q).$$

Proof. Let $C'_n(q)$ be the sum $\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_n^r} q^{\text{Inv}(\mu)}$. Then, the left hand side of Eq. (3.6) is equal to $\tilde{C}_n(q) := q^{\binom{n}{2}} C'_n(q^{-1})$. We decompose an r -Dyck path d as $d = 0d_1 1d_2 1 \cdots d_r 1d_{r+1}$, where $d_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}^r$ with $n_i \geq 0$. The generating function $f'(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C'_n(q) t^n$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$f'(t) = 1 + t f'(t) f'(qt) f'(q^2t) \cdots f'(q^r t).$$

The sum $C'_n(q)$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$(3.7) \quad C'_n(q) = \sum_{l_0 + \dots + l_r = n-1} C'_{l_0}(q) \cdots C'_{l_r}(q) q^{\sum_{j=1}^r j l_j}.$$

Then, the left hand side of Eq. (3.6) satisfies the recurrence relation

$$\tilde{C}_n(q) = \sum_{l_0 + \dots + l_r = n+1} \tilde{C}_{l_0}(q) \cdots \tilde{C}_{l_r}(q) q^{\sum_{j=1}^r l_j(j+r \sum_{i=0}^{j-1} l_i)}.$$

From Eq. (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^{r+1} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^{(r)}(q) z^{rk+1} (z^r)_{rk+1} \prod_{j=1}^r q^{-k-j/r} \cdot (q^{k+j/r} z), \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} C_k^{(r)}(q) z^{rk+1} (z^r)_{rk+1} \prod_{j=1}^r q^{-k-j/r} \sum_{l_j=0}^{\infty} C_{l_j}(q) (q^{k+j/r} z)^{rl_j+1} (q^{rk+j} z^r)_{rl_j+1}, \\
 &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k+l_1+\dots+l_r=n-1} C_k C_{l_1} \dots C_{l_r} q^{\sum_{j=1}^r (j+r \sum_{i=1}^r j_i) l_j} \right) z^{rn+1} (z^r)_{rn+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite Eq. (3.5) as

$$\begin{aligned}
 z &= C_0 z(1 - z^r) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k z^{rk+1} (z^r)_{rk+1}, \\
 &= z - z^{r+1} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} C_k z^{rk+1} (z^r)_{rk+1}.
 \end{aligned}$$

We have two expressions for z^{r+1} . By comparing coefficients of the two expressions above, we obtain the recurrence relation for $C_n^{(r)}(q)$, which is nothing but Eq. (3.7). From these, we have Eq. (3.6), which completes the proof. \square

Let $d \in \{0, 1\}^*$ be an r -Dyck path in \mathcal{P}_n^r . The major index of d is given by $\text{maj}(d)$.

We define a new kind of q -analogue of the Fuss–Catalan numbers $E_n^{(r)} = E_n^{(r)}(q)$ by means of the expansion formula

$$(3.8) \quad z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{E_n^{(r)} z^n}{q^{\binom{n}{2}} (-q^{-n} z)_n (-qz)_{rn}}.$$

Proposition 3.5. *We have*

$$(3.9) \quad \sum_{d \in \mathcal{P}_n^r} q^{\text{maj}(d)} = E_n^{(r)}(q).$$

To prove Proposition 3.5, we consider a generalization of Eq. (3.8). We refine the major statistic following [6, Section 5]: For a word $w := w_1 w_2 \dots w_n \in \{0, 1\}^*$, we set

$$\begin{aligned}
 \alpha(w) &:= \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(w)} |\{j \leq i : w_j = 0\}|, \\
 \beta(w) &:= \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(w)} |\{j \leq i : w_j = 1\}|,
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Des}(w)$ is the descent set of w . It is obvious that $\text{maj}(w) = \alpha(w) + \beta(w)$.

Theorem 3.6. *The following statements are equivalent:*

$$(3.10) \quad E_n^{(r)}(x; a, b) := \sum_{d \in \mathcal{P}_n^r} x^{|\text{Des}(d)|} a^{\alpha(d)} b^{\beta(d)},$$

$$(3.11) \quad z = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\binom{n}{2}} E_n^{(r)}(x; a, b) z^n}{(1 + a^{-1}z) \cdots (1 + a^{-n}z)(1 + xbz) \cdots (1 + xb^n z)},$$

$$(3.12) \quad E_{n+1}^{(r)}(x) = \sum_{n_0+n_1+\dots+n_r=n} E_{n_0}^{(r)}(ax) \prod_{i=1}^r E_{n_i}(a^{d_1(i)} b^{d_2(i)} x) (a^{d_1(i)} b^{d_2(i)} x)^{\delta(n_i > 0)},$$

where $E_0^{(r)}(x) := 1$, $\delta(P)$ is the Kronecker delta function and

$$d_1(i) := 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j, \quad d_2(i) := i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} n_j.$$

Proof. We first show (3.10) \Leftrightarrow (3.12). We decompose the word $w \in \mathcal{P}_n^r$ into $w = 0w_01w_1 \dots 1w_r$ with $w_i \in \mathcal{P}_{n_i}^r$ for $0 \leq n_i \leq n$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{Des}(w)| &= \sum_{i=0}^r |\text{Des}(w_i)| + \sum_{i=1}^r \delta(n_i > 0), \\ \alpha(w) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha(w_i) + \sum_{i=1}^r d_1(i)(1 + |\text{Des}(w_i)|)\delta(n_i > 0), \\ \beta(w) &= \sum_{i=0}^r \alpha(w_i) + \sum_{i=1}^r d_2(i)(1 + |\text{Des}(w_i)|)\delta(n_i > 0). \end{aligned}$$

This gives the recurrence relation (3.12).

(3.11) \Leftrightarrow (3.12). We use the same method as in [6, Section 5]. We define $F_n^{(i)}(x)$ by the following expression:

$$(3.13) \quad z = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\binom{n}{2}} F_n^{(i)}(x) z^{n+1}}{(-z; a^{-1})_{n+1} (-xbz; b)_{rn+i}}.$$

We add the factor $(1 + xb^{rn+i+1}z)$ in the denominator of the right hand side of Eq. (3.13). Note that $(1 + xb^{rn+i+1}z) = (1 + xa^n b^{rn+i+1} a^{-n} z)$. We replace $a^{-n}z$ by the series (3.11) with $xa^n b^{rn+i+1}$ instead of x . Then, Eq. (3.13) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} z &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\binom{n}{2}} F_n^{(i)}(x) z^{n+1}}{(-z; a^{-1})_{n+1} (-xbz; b)_{rn+i+1}} \left(1 + xa^n b^{rn+i+1} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\binom{j}{2}} E_n(xa^n b^{rn+i+1}) z^j a^{-nj}}{(-za^{-n-1}; a^{-1})_{n+1} (-xb^{rn+i+2}z; b)_{rj}} \right), \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a^{-\binom{n}{2}} z^{n+1}}{(-z; a^{-1})_{n+1} (-xbz; b)_{rn+i+1}} \left(F_n^{(i)}(x) + x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{rk+i+1} F_k^{(i)} E_{n-k}(xa^k b^{rk+i+1}) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $E_n(x) := E_n^{(r)}(x; a, b)$ for short. By definition of $F_n^{(i)}(x)$, we have

$$(3.14) \quad F_n^{(i+1)}(x) = F_n^{(i)}(x) + x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a^k b^{rk+i+1} F_k^{(i)} E_{n-k}(xa^k b^{rk+i+1}).$$

Since we have $F_n^{(0)}(x) = E_n(x)$, and $F_n^{(r)}$ is also expressed in terms of $E_n(x)$, we obtain the recurrence relation (3.12). \square

Proof of Proposition 3.5. From Theorem 3.6, Eq. (3.8) is obtained by setting $(a, b, x) = (q, q, 1)$. Then, we have Eq. (3.9) from Eqs. (3.10) and (3.11). \square

For example, the first few values of $E_n^{(2)}$ are given by

$$E_1^{(2)} = 1,$$

$$E_2^{(2)} = 1 + abx + ab^2x,$$

$$E_3^{(2)} = 1 + abx + a^2bx + ab^2x + a^2b^2x + a^2b^3x + a^2b^4x + a^3b^3x^2 + a^3b^4x^2 + 2a^3b^5x^2 + a^3b^6x^2.$$

3.3. Major index on r -Stirling permutations. We define two statistics, which we call inversion number and major index, on the r -Stirling permutations. Let $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ be an r -Stirling permutation of size n .

Definition 3.7. *The inversion number $\text{INV}(\nu)$ of ν is given by*

$$\text{INV}(\nu) := \frac{1}{r} \text{inv}(\nu).$$

Similarly, the major index $\text{MAJ}(\nu)$ of ν is define by

$$\text{MAJ}(\nu) := \sum_{j \in J(\nu)} j,$$

where $J(\nu)$ is the set of positive integers

$$(3.15) \quad J(\nu) = \{1 \leq j \leq r(n-1) : \nu_j > \nu_{j+1} = \nu_{j+2} = \dots = \nu_{j+r}\}.$$

Remark 3.8. *In the case of $r = 1$, the statistics $\text{INV}(\nu)$ and $\text{MAJ}(\nu)$ are equivalent to $\text{inv}(\nu)$ and $\text{maj}(\nu)$ for $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n$.*

Example 3.9. *Let $(n, r) = (3, 2)$. Then, the inversion numbers and major indices for the 2-Stirling permutations of size three are given in Table 1.*

	112233	211233	221133	113223	311223	321123	322113	113322
INV	0	1	2	1	2	3	4	2
MAJ	0	1	2	3	1	2	4	4

	311322	331122	332112	332211	223113	223311	322311
INV	3	4	5	6	3	4	5
MAJ	5	2	3	6	3	4	5

TABLE 1. The major indices and inversion numbers for 2-Stirling permutations of size three.

The last three 2-Stirling permutations are not 231-avoiding 2-Stirling permutations.

Theorem 3.10. *We have*

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}} q^{\text{INV}(\nu)} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}} q^{\text{MAJ}(\nu)} = [r+1][2r+1] \cdots [(n-1)r+1].$$

To prove Theorem 3.10, we will construct a permutation Φ satisfying

$$(3.16) \quad \text{INV}(\Phi(\nu)) = \text{MAJ}(\nu) \quad \text{for all } \nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)},$$

and such that $\Phi(\nu) \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$. In the case of $r = 1$, Foata gave an explicit construction of Φ in [5]. This motivates us to construct the permutation Φ in the case of general $r \geq 2$.

In what follows, we give a map $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ satisfying Eq. (3.16) for all $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$. Let ν be an r -Stirling permutation in the set $[2, n]$. To obtain an r -Stirling permutation in $[1, n]$, we insert 1^r somewhere in ν . Let ν_i , $0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)$, be an r -Stirling permutation obtained from ν by inserting 1^r from the i -th position in ν . Define two numbers P_i and Q_i by

$$\begin{aligned} P_i &:= \text{INV}(\nu_i) - \text{INV}(\nu), \\ Q_i &:= \text{MAJ}(\nu_i) - \text{MAJ}(\nu). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.11. *We have*

$$\{P_i : 0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)\} = \{Q_i : 0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)\} = [0, r(n-1)].$$

Proof. Since the integer 1 is the smallest in the set $[1, n]$, it is obvious that we have $P_i = i$ for $0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)$. Therefore, we have $\{P_i\} = [0, r(n-1)]$.

Suppose that $\{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ is the set of descents of ν . We first show that all Q_i are distinct. From Eq. (3.15), we have

$$Q_{i_k+s} = \begin{cases} r(m-k) + s, & 0 \leq s \leq r-1, \\ r(m-k) + i_k + s, & r \leq s \leq i_{k+1} - i_k - 1. \end{cases}$$

When $0 \leq s, s' \leq r-1$, it is obvious that we have $Q_{i_j+s} \neq Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ if $j \neq j'$. Suppose we have $Q_{i_j+s} = Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ with $r \leq s, s'$ and $j < j'$. The condition $Q_{i_j+s} = Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} i_{j'} - i_j &= r(j' - j) + s - s', \\ &\leq r(j' - j) + i_{j+1} - i_j - 1 - r. \end{aligned}$$

The above inequality is equivalent to

$$i_{j'} - i_j \leq r(j' - j) - 1,$$

for $j < j'$. However, the condition (3.15) insures that we have $i_{j'} - i_j \geq r(j' - j)$, which gives a contradiction. Therefore, we have $Q_{i_j+s} \neq Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ with $r \leq s, s'$ and $j < j'$. Finally, suppose that $Q_{i_j+s} = Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ and $r \leq s'$. The equation $Q_{i_j+s} = Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned} i_{j'} &= r(j' - j) + s - s', \\ &\leq r(j' - j) + r - 1 - r, \\ &= r(j' - j) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

The condition (3.15) again implies $i_{j'} \leq r(j'-1) + 1$. These two conditions imply that $r(j-1) \leq -2$, which is a contradiction since we have $j \geq 1$. Therefore, we have $Q_{i_j+s} \neq Q_{i_{j'}+s'}$ with $0 \leq s \leq r-1$ and $r \leq s'$. From these, all Q_i are distinct. It is easy to see that $Q_i \geq 0$. We prove that $Q_i \leq r(n-1)$. The condition (3.15) implies that the number of descents in ν is at most $n-1$. If $Q_{i_k+s} \geq r(n-1) + 1$ for $0 \leq s \leq r-1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} r(n-1) + 1 &\leq Q_{i_k+s} = r(m-k) + s, \\ &< r(m-k) + r. \end{aligned}$$

This inequality is equivalent to $n-1 \leq m-k$. However, we have $m-k \leq n-1-1 = n-2$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, we have $Q_i \leq r(n-1)$. Recall that $0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)$, $0 \leq Q_i \leq r(n-1)$, and all Q_i are distinct. From these, we have $\{Q_i : 0 \leq i \leq r(n-1)\} = [0, r(n-1)]$, which completes the proof. \square

We define a map Φ recursively as follows. Let ν' be an r -Stirling permutation of the set $[2, n]$, and $\Phi(\nu')$ be an r -Stirling permutation of the set $[2, n]$ satisfying the condition $\text{INV}(\Phi(\nu')) = \text{MAJ}(\nu')$. Let ν be an r -Stirling permutation of the set $[1, n]$ obtained from ν' by inserting 1^r . We insert 1^r in $\Phi(\nu')$ and obtain $\tilde{\nu}$. From Lemma 3.11, we have a unique position such that $\text{MAJ}(\nu) = \text{INV}(\tilde{\nu})$. Then, the map Φ on ν is defined by $\Phi : \nu \mapsto \tilde{\nu}$.

Example 3.12. Let $\nu = 2255431134$. Then, we have

$$(3.17) \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{maj} : 55 \rightarrow 5544 \rightarrow 554334 \rightarrow 22554334 \rightarrow 2255431134, \\ \text{inv} : 55 \rightarrow 5544 \rightarrow 533544 \rightarrow 53223544 \rightarrow 5113223544. \end{array}$$

The top line in Eq. (3.17) is 2-permutations of the set $[i, 5]$ for $i = 5, 4, \dots, 1$. The bottom line in Eq. (3.17) is the corresponding 2-permutations. As a result, we have $\Phi : 2255431134 \mapsto 5113223544$ with $\text{MAJ}(2255431134) = \text{INV}(5113223544) = 6$.

Proof of Theorem 3.10. A map $\Phi : \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)} \rightarrow \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}$ is bijective since we have an inverse Φ^{-1} by reversing the procedure. Further, by definition, Φ satisfies Eq. (3.16). As a consequence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}} q^{\text{MAJ}(\nu)} &= \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}} q^{\text{INV}(\Phi(\nu))} = \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}} q^{\text{INV}(\nu)}, \\ &= \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} [jr + 1]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

For the 231-avoiding r -Stirling permutations, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.13. We have

$$(3.18) \quad \sum_{\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)} q^{\text{INV}(\nu)} = q^{r \binom{n}{2}} C_n^{(r)}(q^{-1}).$$

Proof. Given $\nu \in \mathfrak{S}_n^{(r)}(231)$, we define a sequence $\mathbf{h}(\nu) := (h_1, \dots, h_n)$ of non-negative integers by

$$h_i := \frac{1}{r} \cdot \#\{j > i : j \text{ is left to } i \text{ in } \nu\}.$$

It is easy to see that $h_n = 0$ and $0 \leq h_i \leq r(n-i)$. Since ν is 231-avoiding, we have $h_i < h_{i+1} + r + 1$. Note that $\sum_i h_i = \text{INV}(\nu)$. Let $\kappa(\nu)$ be an r -Dyck path obtained from ν as in Section 2.3. Then, the integer h_i is the number of unit boxes in the i -th row from top. This implies that $\sum_i h_i$ is equal to the number of unit boxes above $(01^r)^n$ and below $\kappa(\nu)$. As a consequence, we have Eq. (3.18). \square

4. NON-CROSSING PARTITIONS AND q -CATALAN NUMBER

4.1. Non-crossing partitions and major index. A *non-crossing partition* of the set $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is a partition π of $[n]$ such that if four integers satisfy $a < b < c < d$, a block B_1 contains a and c , and another block B_2 contains b and d , then the two blocks B_1 and B_2 coincide with each other. We denote by $\text{NC}(n)$ the set of non-crossing partitions of $[n]$. It is well-known that $|\text{NC}(n)|$ is given by the Catalan number.

Suppose that π consists of m blocks B_1, \dots, B_m , and each block B consists of ordered integers (j_1, \dots, j_p) where p is the number of integers in B_i .

G. Kreweras initiated a systematical study of the non-crossing partition lattice, which we call Kreweras lattice, in [13]. The Kreweras lattice is a graded lattice with the rank function rk . We define the rank of π as $\text{rk}(\pi) := n - m$ where m is the number of blocks in π . When a block B consists of integers i_1, \dots, i_m , we write $i_1 \dots i_m$. Further, since a non-crossing partition π consists of m blocks, we write $\pi = B_1/B_2/\dots/B_m$ as a one-line notation. Since π is a collection of blocks, the order of blocks in the one-line notation is irrelevant. A non-crossing partition $\pi \in \text{NC}(n)$ has a graphical presentation such that it has n points on a circle, the integers in a block B_i are connected by arches. The non-crossing property implies that arches in different blocks do not intersect.

Example 4.1. We write $\pi = 134/2/58/67$ since π consists of four blocks $B_1 = (1, 3, 4)$, $B_2 = (2)$, $B_3 = (5, 8)$ and $B_4 = (6, 7)$. The rank of π is $\text{rk}(\pi) = 8 - 4 = 4$. A graphical presentation of π is given in Figure 4.2. Integers in a block are connected by arches.




FIGURE 4.2. A graphical presentation of $\pi = 134/2/58/67$.

The next theorem is one of the main results of this paper. We write $B \in \pi$ if B is a block of π , and $B = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$ if B consists of integers i_1, \dots, i_p .

Theorem 4.3. *We have*

$$\sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n)} \prod_{B \in \pi} q^{\text{wt}(B)} = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$(4.1) \quad \text{wt}(B) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } p = 1, \\ j_1 + j_p + 2 \sum_{k=2}^{p-1} j_k - p + 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for $B = (j_1, \dots, j_p)$.

The rest of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4.3.

We denote by $\text{NC}(n; r)$ the set of non-crossing partitions π of n such that $\text{rk}(\pi) = r$. Let $N_2(n, r)$ be the generating function

$$N_2(n, r) := \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n; r)} \prod_{B \in \pi} q^{\text{wt}(B)}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *The generating function $N_2(n, r)$ satisfies the following recurrence relation:*

$$(4.2) \quad N_2(n, r) = q^{2r} N_2(n-1, r) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} N_2(j, s) N_2(n-j-1, r-s-1) q^{2(j+1)(r-s)-j+2s}.$$

Proof. Let $\pi \in \text{NC}(n; r)$. We divide π into two non-crossing partitions π_1 and π_2 where π_1 is a unique block in π which contains 1, and π_2 consists of the remaining blocks. For example, if $\pi = 145/23/68/7$, we have $\pi_1 = 145$ and $\pi_2 = 23/68/7$.

Suppose that π_1 consists of only the integer 1. We have a non-crossing partition in $\text{NC}(n-1; r)$ if we decrease the integers of π_2 by one. From Eq. (4.1), this gives $q^{2r} N_2(n-1, r)$.

Suppose that $|\pi_1| \geq 2$ and $j \geq 2$ is the second smallest integer in π_1 . By definition, 1 and j belong to the same block π_1 . This implies that π consists of two non-crossing partitions: one is in $[2, j-1]$ and the other is in $\{1\} \cup [j, n]$. In the latter non-crossing partition, 1 and j belong to the same block. The non-crossing partition in $[2, j-1]$ gives $q^{2s} N_2(j-2, s)$, and the non-crossing partition in $[j, n]$ gives $q^{2(j-1)(r-s-1)} N_2(n-j+1, r-s-1)$. Further, 1 and j gives the factor q^j by Eq. (4.1). By taking the product of all these three contributions, we have $N(j-2, s) N(n-j+1, r-s-1) q^{2(j-1)(r-s)-j+2+2s}$.

By taking the sum over all non-crossing partitions of rank r , we obtain Eq. (4.2). \square

We introduce the q -analogue of Narayana numbers $N(n, r)$ by

$$(4.3) \quad N(n, r) := \frac{1}{[n]} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r+1 \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r+1)}.$$

Recall that a Dyck path d is expressed as a word of 0 and 1. We say that a partial path 10 in d is a valley. We denote by $\mathcal{P}_{n,r}$ the set Dyck paths of length n with r valleys.

Then, it is well-known that q -Narayana numbers are generating functions of Dyck paths of length n with r valleys. This implies that we have

$$\sum_{r=0}^{n-1} N(n, r) = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Lemma 4.5 ([6, Eq. (4.1)]). *Let $d \in \{0, 1\}^n$ be a Dyck path in $\mathcal{P}_{n,r}$. Then, we have*

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{P}_{n,r}} q^{\text{maj}(d)} = N(n, r).$$

Lemma 4.6. *The q -Narayana numbers satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$(4.4) \quad N(n, r) = q^r N(n-1, r) + \sum_{j=0}^{n-2} \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} N(j, s) N(n-1-j, r-s-1) q^{2(j+1)(r-s)+s}.$$

Proof. A Dyck path D of length n is uniquely written as a concatenation of a prime Dyck path of length j and a Dyck path of length $n - j$. We calculate the major index of D .

In the case of $j = n$, we have a Dyck path d' of size $n - 1$ from a prime Dyck path d of size n by deleting the first and last steps. This implies that $\text{maj}(d) = \text{maj}(d') + r$ where r is the number of valleys in d . This gives the term $q^r N(n - 1, r)$ in Eq. (4.4).

Suppose that $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, and the prime Dyck path of size j has $s \leq r - 1$ valleys. By the same argument as the case $j = n$, we have the term $q^s N(j - 1, s)$ from the prime Dyck path. The contribution of the Dyck path of length $n - j$ is given by $N(n - j, r - s - 1)q^{2j(r-s-1)}$. The factor $q^{2j(r-s-1)}$ comes from the fact that we have $2j$ steps left to the Dyck path of length $n - j$. Finally, we have a factor q^{2j} since we concatenate two Dyck paths and we have a valley between them. As a result, these give the term $N(j - 1, s)N(n - j, r - s - 1)q^{2j(r-s)+s}$.

By taking the sum over all Dyck paths of size n with r valleys, we obtain the expression Eq. (4.4). \square

Proposition 4.7. *We have $N_2(n, r) = N(n, r)$.*

Proof. The q -Narayana numbers $N(n, r)$ are generating functions of Dyck paths of length n with r descents. Similarly, $N_2(n, r)$ is a generating function of non-crossing partition of $[n]$ with rank r . We prove the proposition by constructing a bijection between a Dyck path with r descents and a non-crossing partition with rank r .

We compare the expression (4.2) with Eq. (4.4). For $n = 1$, we have a unique Dyck path $\mu = UD$ and a unique non-crossing partition $\pi = 1$. We construct a bijection between a Dyck path and a non-crossing partition inductively.

The first term in Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4) consists of a factor times the generating function with smaller size $n - 1$. By induction assumption, we have a bijection between \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^1 and $\text{NC}(n - 1)$. The factor in the first term differs by q^r . Note that a Dyck path μ in \mathcal{P}_{n-1}^1 corresponds to a prime Dyck path in \mathcal{P}_n^1 by adding 0 and 1 to μ from left and right. Similarly, given a non-crossing partition $\pi \in \text{NC}(n - 1)$, we increase the integers in π by one and add a single block 1 to the new π . This gives a non-crossing partition in $\text{NC}(n)$. For example, if $\pi = 125/34 \in \text{NC}(5)$, then we have a new non-crossing partition $1/236/45 \in \text{NC}(6)$. The weight of π is q^r times the weight of μ . Suppose that $10^k 1$ is a sub-path in μ such that the first 1 is a descent in μ . We transform the sub-path $10^k 1$ to a new sub-path 110^k in μ . We perform this transformation for all r descents in μ , and this gives the factor q^r . This operation is well-defined since the path μ is prime.

We consider the second terms in Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4). By induction assumption, we have a bijection between a pair of non-crossing partitions (π_1, π_2) and a pair of Dyck paths (μ_1, μ_2) such that $\pi_1 \in \text{NC}(j)$ with rank s , $\pi_2 \in \text{NC}(n - j - 1)$ with rank $r - s - 1$, $\mu_1 \in \mathcal{P}_j^1$ with s descents, and $\mu_2 \in \mathcal{P}_{n-j-1}^1$ with $r - s - 1$ descents. From the pair (π_1, π_2) , we construct a non-crossing partition π in $\text{NC}(n)$ as follows. First, we increase the integers in π_1 by one, and add a block consisting of a single integer 1 to the new π_1 . We have a non-crossing partition π'_1 of size $j + 1$. We increase the integers in π_2 by $j + 1$, and add blocks π'_1 to π_2 . As a result, we have a non-crossing partition π from π'_1 and π_2 . For example, when $\pi_1 = 14/23$ and $\pi_2 = 15/24/3$, then we have $\pi'_1 = 1/25/34$ and $\pi = 1/25/34/610/79/8 \in \text{NC}(10)$. Similarly, we construct a Dyck path μ in \mathcal{P}_n^1 from the pair (μ_1, μ_2) as follows. First, we add 0 and 1 to μ_1 from left and right respectively, and obtain a new path μ'_1 . The path μ is given by a concatenation of two paths μ'_1 and μ_2 . By comparing the factor in Eqs. (4.2) and (4.4), the weight of π is q^{-j+s} times the weight of μ . Therefore, to construct the bijection which preserves the weight, we transform μ to a new Dyck path $\bar{\mu}$. Since we have $j - s \geq 0$, we have $\text{maj}(\bar{\mu}) = \text{maj}(\mu) - j + s$. Recall that μ is a concatenation of two paths $\mu'_1 \in \mathcal{P}_j^1$

and μ_2 , and the last letter of μ'_1 is 1 which is a descent in μ . Let $\nu = 110^k1$ be a sub-path in μ such that the second 1 in ν is the last letter of μ'_1 . We transform this sub-path 110^k1 to 10^k11 in μ . This operation is well-defined since μ'_1 is prime. The major index of the new path is the major index of μ minus one by construction. Further, the number of descents in the new path is equal to that in μ . We perform the same operations $t \leq j - s$ times on the new descent d until we have a pattern 010^k1 . If $t = j - s$, then we define the new path as $\bar{\mu}$. If $t < j - s$, we move to the right-most descent in the new path which is left to the descent d . Then, we continue to change the pattern 110^k1 to 10^k11 for some k , and move a left descent if necessary. The total number of transformations is $j - s$. We define the new path obtained by $j - s$ transformations as $\bar{\mu}$. For example, we have the following Dyck path shown in Figure 4.8 if $(j, s) = (4, 1)$. The Dyck




FIGURE 4.8. Transformation of a Dyck path

path 0000111.011.0011 is transformed to the path 00001.0111.00111 as in Figure 4.8. Note that the number of descents is preserved. Once we have a pair of integers (j, s) , the above mentioned operation is invertible. As a consequence a map between π and $\bar{\mu}$ is a bijection which preserves the major index. Then, we have

$$N_2(n, r) = \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n)} q^{\text{maj}(\pi)} = \sum_{\bar{\mu} \in \mathcal{P}_n^1} q^{\text{maj}(\bar{\mu})} = N(n, r),$$

which completes the proof. □

Example 4.9. We consider the correspondence between $N(4, 2)$ and $N_2(4, 2)$ given in Proposition 4.7. First, in $N(3, 2)$, we have



From $N(0, 0)N(3, 1)$, we have



From $N(1, 0)N(2, 1)$, we have



Finally, from $N(2, 1)N(1, 0)$, we have



Proof of Theorem 4.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n)} \prod_{B \in \pi} q^{\text{wt}(B)} &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n, r)} \prod_{B \in \pi} q^{\text{wt}(B)}, \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} N_2(n, r) = \sum_{r=0}^{n-1} N(n, r), \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 4.7 and the property of q -Narayana numbers. \square

Example 4.10. When $n = 3$, the major indices for non-crossing partitions are given in Table 2.

π	1/2/3	12/3	13/2	1/23	123
$\text{maj}(\pi)$	0	2	3	4	6

TABLE 2. Non-crossing partitions and major indices

4.2. Non-crossing partitions and q -Catalan number $C_n(q)$. Let π be a non-crossing partition in $\text{NC}(n)$. The partition π consists of m blocks B_1, \dots, B_m . We define the weight $\text{wt}'(B)$ of a block B by

$$\text{wt}'(B) := \sum_{j \in B} (j - \min B),$$

where $j \in B$ means that an integer j appears in the block B . Similarly, the weight $\text{wt}'(\pi)$ of the partition π is defined to be

$$\text{wt}'(\pi) := \sum_{i=1}^m \text{wt}'(B_i).$$

Proposition 4.11. We have

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_{\pi \in \text{NC}(n)} q^{\text{wt}'(\pi)} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} C_n(q^{-1}).$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{C}_n(q)$ be the left hand side of Eq. (4.5). Let B be a block of π which contains n and $j := \min B$. We consider two cases: 1) $j = n$, and 2) $j < n$.

Case 1). Since n forms a block by itself, the contribution to $\tilde{C}_n(q)$ is $\tilde{C}_{n-1}(q)$.

Case 2). Since j is the minimum integer in B , and π is non-crossing, blocks in $[1, j-1]$ do not intersect with B . This implies that the contribution to $\tilde{C}_n(q)$ is given by $C_{j-1}(q)C_{n-j}(q)q^{n-j}$ where q^{n-j} comes from the weight for integers n and j .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_n(q) &= \tilde{C}_{n-1}(q) + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} q^{n-j} \tilde{C}_{j-1}(q) \tilde{C}_{n-j}(q), \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n q^k \tilde{C}_k(q) \tilde{C}_{n-k}(q). \end{aligned}$$

By comparing the above expression with Eq. (3.2), we obtain Eq. (4.5). \square

4.3. Refinement of the major index. Let π be a non-crossing partition which consists of m blocks B_1, \dots, B_m . The major index of π is defined as the sum of the major index of B_i , i.e., $\text{maj}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^m \text{wt}(B_i)$ where $\text{wt}(B_i)$ is defined by Eq. (4.1). We will construct a bijection $\beta : \text{NC}(n) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}(312)$, $\pi \mapsto \sigma := \beta(\pi)$, from non-crossing partitions to 312-avoiding permutations such that

$$\text{maj}(\pi) = \text{maj}(\sigma) + \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}).$$

Let $S_X(\pi) := (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $S_Y(\pi) := (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ be two increasing sequences of positive integers obtained from π by

$$\begin{aligned} \{i_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\} &= \{\min B_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}, \\ \{j_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\} &= \{\max B_k : 1 \leq k \leq m\}. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, we have $m = n - \mathbf{rk}(\pi)$ where \mathbf{rk} is the rank function. In a Dyck path, a subsequence 01 is called a peak. We say that the position of a peak in a Dyck path is the i -th position if the i -th step in the Dyck path is 0 and the $i + 1$ -th step is 1. It is easy to see that if we fix the positions of peaks and valleys, these give a unique Dyck path. We give the positions of peaks in a Dyck path $d(\pi)$ from $S_X(\pi)$ and $S_Y(\pi)$ by

$$S_P(\pi) := \{i_k + j_k - 1 : 1 \leq k \leq m\}.$$

Similarly, the positions of valleys in a Dyck path $d(\pi)$ is given by

$$S_V(\pi) := \{i_{k+1} + j_k - 1 : 1 \leq k \leq m - 1\}.$$

Note that the number of valleys is one less than that of peaks in a Dyck path. Let $d(\pi)$ be the Dyck path whose positions of peaks and valleys are given by $S_P(\pi)$ and $S_V(\pi)$.

Let $d := d(\pi) = d_1 \dots d_{2n} \in \{0, 1\}^{2n}$ be a Dyck path in terms of two alphabets 0 and 1. Let l_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, be the position of the i -th 0 from the left end in d . We define non-negative integers a_i , $1 \leq i \leq n$, by

$$a_i := \{j < l_{n+1-i} : d_j = 1\}.$$

Then, we define a permutation μ whose Lehmer code is (a_1, \dots, a_n) . As a summary, we have

$$(4.6) \quad \pi \mapsto d(\pi) \mapsto \mu \mapsto \overline{\mu^{-1}} =: \sigma,$$

where $\overline{\mu} = \overline{\mu_1} \dots \overline{\mu_n}$ with $\overline{\mu_i} = n + 1 - \mu_i$.

Lemma 4.12. *The permutation σ is 312-avoiding.*

Proof. Since $d(\pi)$ is a Dyck path, the Lehmer code (a_1, \dots, a_n) satisfies $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq \dots \geq a_n$. The permutation μ is a 132-avoiding permutation. This implies that μ^{-1} is also 132-avoiding, and σ is 312-avoiding. \square

The major indices of σ and σ^{-1} are given in terms of the two sets $S_X(\pi)$ and $S_Y(\pi)$.

Lemma 4.13. *We have*

$$\text{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in A(\pi)} i, \quad \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}) = \sum_{i \in B(\pi)} i,$$

where

$$A(\pi) := \{i < n : i + 1 \notin S_X(\pi)\}, \quad B(\pi) := \{i < n : i \notin S_Y(\pi)\}.$$

Proof. We first show $\text{maj}(\sigma) = \sum_{i \in A(\pi)} i$. We consider the Dyck path μ in Eq. (4.6). The integer i_k in $S_X(\pi)$ indicates the column number of the k -th peak in μ . By construction of σ , this means that i_k cannot be a descent in σ . On the other hand, if the integer $i + 1 \notin S_X(\pi)$ and $i < n$, the integer i is a descent of σ . Since $\text{maj}(\sigma)$ is the sum of the descents of σ , the statement follows.

The inverse of the permutation corresponds to the exchange of X and Y , and the exchange of row and column in μ . By a similar argument in the case of $\text{maj}(\sigma)$, we have $\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}) = \sum_{i \in B(\pi)} i$. This completes the proof. \square

Theorem 4.14. *Let $\pi \in \text{NC}(n)$, and σ is the 312-avoiding permutation obtained by Eq. (4.6). We have*

$$\text{maj}(\pi) = \text{maj}(\sigma) + \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}).$$

Proof. From Lemma 4.13, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{maj}(\sigma) &= \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^m \min B_i - \text{rk}(\pi), \\ \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}) &= \frac{1}{2}n(n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^m \max B_i, \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{rk}(\pi)$ is the rank of π . We compare these with Eq. (4.1), namely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{wt}(\pi) &= \sum_{i=1}^m \text{wt}(B_i), \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^m \left(2 \sum_{k=1}^{d(i)} j_k^i - \min B_i - \max B_i - d(i) + 1 \right), \\ &= n(n+1) - \sum_{i=1}^m (\min B_i + \max B_i) - \text{rk}(\pi), \\ &= \text{maj}(\sigma) + \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}), \end{aligned}$$

where $B_i = (j_1^i, \dots, j_{d(i)}^i)$ is a block and we have used $\text{rk}(\pi) = \sum_{i=1}^m (d(i) - 1)$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 4.15. *We consider a non-crossing partition $\pi = 158/24/3/67$. The major index of π is $\text{maj}(\pi) = 17 + 5 + 12 = 34$. We have $S_X(\pi) = (1, 2, 3, 6)$ and $S_Y(\pi) = (3, 4, 7, 8)$. Then, the set of the positions of peaks and valleys are given by $S_P(\pi) = \{3, 5, 9, 13\}$ and $S_V(\pi) = \{4, 6, 12\}$. We obtain the Dyck path $d(\pi) = 0001.01.000111.0111$. From this, we have $\mu = 63452178$, which gives $\sigma = 34765821$ and $\sigma^{-1} = 87125436$. The major indices of σ and σ^{-1} are given by $\text{maj}(\sigma) = 3 + 4 + 6 + 7 = 20$ and $\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1}) = 1 + 2 + 5 + 6 = 14$. Therefore, we have $\text{maj}(\pi) = 34 = \text{maj}(\sigma) + \text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})$.*

We define the generating function $\mathcal{A}(q, t)$ by

$$\mathcal{A}(q, t) := \sum_{\sigma \in S(312)} q^{\text{maj}(\sigma)} t^{\text{maj}(\sigma^{-1})}.$$

The following is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.14.

Corollary 4.16. *We have*

$$\mathcal{A}(q, q) = \frac{1}{[n+1]} \begin{bmatrix} 2n \\ n \end{bmatrix}.$$

Remark 4.17. *Two remarks are in order:*

- (1) *If we define $\mathcal{A}'(q, t) := t^{\binom{n}{2}} \mathcal{A}(q, t^{-1})$, the generating function $\mathcal{A}'(q, t)$ is the polynomial $\mathcal{A}(q, t)$ studied in [24]. Although the polynomial $\mathcal{A}'(q, t)$ is not the same as the q, t -Catalan numbers introduced by A. Garsia and M. Haiman in [7], they coincide with each other at $t = q^{-1}$.*

- (2) *Corollary 4.16 implies that we construct a bijection between 231-avoiding permutations and non-crossing partitions which sends the sum of the major index and the inverse major index of a 231-avoiding permutation to the major index of the corresponding non-crossing partition.*

5. DYCK TILINGS AND q -CATALAN NUMBER

5.1. Dyck tilings and 231-avoiding permutations. In this section, we consider a relation between the q -Catalan numbers and combinatorial objects called Dyck tilings.

We introduce the notion of Dyck tilings following [9, 10, 21]. A standard notion of a Dyck tiling is a tiling in an area between two Dyck paths. We consider another type of Dyck tilings studied in [18, Section 3].

Let $\lambda_b = (01)^n$ and $\lambda_t = 1^{2n}$ be two paths where 0 (resp. 1) stands for north-east (resp. south-east) step. We put these two paths in the Cartesian coordinate such that λ_b is starting from $(0, 0)$ and ending at $(2n, 0)$, and λ_t is starting $(0, 2n)$ and ending at $(2n, 0)$. We consider the region R surrounded by three lines λ_b , λ_t and $x = 0$. We put squares which are rotated 45 degrees in the region R . We put positive integers from 1 to n on the squares from top to bottom in the line $x = 0$. We call a square with a label an anchor box.

To define a Dyck tiling in the region R , we introduce Dyck tiles first. A skew shape is said to be a ribbon if it does not contain a two-by-two squares. A Dyck tile is a ribbon such that if we connect the centers of the squares by a line, then the line is a Dyck path. A size of a Dyck tile is defined to be the size of the Dyck path which characterizes the Dyck tile. For example, a unit square is a Dyck tile of size zero. We call the Dyck tile of size zero a trivial Dyck tile, and other Dyck tiles are called non-trivial Dyck tiles. We consider a tiling of the region R by Dyck tiles which satisfies the following conditions:

- (\diamond 1) The tiling is cover-inclusive. This means that if we move a Dyck tile downward by $(0, -2)$, then it is contained in another Dyck tile or below the path λ_b .

The condition (\diamond 1) implies that we have only Dyck tiles which are characterized by zig-zag Dyck paths $(01)^l$ with some $l \geq 0$ since the bottom path λ_b is a zig-zag path.

Let D be a cover-inclusive Dyck tiling in the region R . We consider an Hermite history of D following [10, 18, 19]. The boundary of a Dyck tile consists of up steps $(1, 1)$ and down steps $(-1, 1)$. Given a Dyck tile d , we connect the left-most up step and the right-most up step by a line such that it goes through inside of d . We call the line inside of d a trajectory. We call the left-most up step an entry and the right-most up step an exit. Since D is a cover-inclusive Dyck tiling, A exit of a Dyck tile shares the same edge as an entry of another Dyck tile, an up step in λ_b , or an up step (which may not be an entry) of another Dyck tile. We connect trajectories of Dyck tiles into a longer trajectory if possible. Recall that an anchor box is on the line $x = 0$, and there is no Dyck tile north-west to it. This means that one can start the trajectory from the entry of an anchor box and extend it by the above mentioned way. Suppose that the trajectory starting from an anchor labeled i box ends at an up step of λ_b . Then, we write i below the corresponding peak of λ_b . If the trajectory starting from an anchor box labeled i stops at an up step u of a Dyck tile, we put i at the peak of λ_b which is downward u . In this way, we put integers $[1, n]$ at the peaks of λ_b . If we read the integers at the peaks of λ_b from right to left, we obtain a permutation w . This gives a correspondence between a Dyck tiling in R and a permutation. Conversely, Let $w = w_1 \dots w_n$ be a permutation of length n . Then, since λ_b has n peaks, we put the integer w_i below the i -th peak from right to left. Then, we obtain a Dyck tiling by constructing a trajectory from an up step of

λ_b labeled i to an anchor box labeled i . Therefore, we have a bijection between a Dyck tiling in R and a permutation.




FIGURE 5.1. An example of a Dyck tiling in the region R and a permutation

An example of a Dyck tiling for the permutation 24135 is given in Figure 5.1. The red lines in Dyck tiles are trajectories.

To relate a Dyck tiling in R to the major index of a Dyck path, we introduce a bijection ρ between 231-avoiding permutation and a Dyck path. This bijection ρ is different from the bijection κ introduced in Section 2.3. We study the bijection ρ following [24].

Let $\pi := \pi_1 \dots \pi_n \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$ be a 231-avoiding permutation. We define the descent set $\text{Des}(\pi)$ of π by

$$(5.1) \quad \text{Des}(\pi) = \{i : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\} \cup \{n\}.$$

Similarly, we define the descent set $\text{iDes}(\pi)$ of π^{-1} by $\text{iDes}(\pi) := \text{Des}(\pi^{-1})$.

Remark 5.2. Note that the descent set $\text{Des}(\pi)$ contains n and this is different from the standard definition of the descent set.

Lemma 5.3 (Corollary 3.2 in [24]). We have $|\text{Des}(\pi)| = |\text{iDes}(\pi)|$ if π is a 231-avoiding permutation.

Lemma 5.3 plays an important role when we construct a Dyck path from the 231-avoiding permutation π . Let $\text{Des}(\pi) = (i_1, \dots, i_m)$ and $\text{iDes}(\pi) = (j_1, \dots, j_m)$ with some $m \geq 0$. We construct a Dyck path from $\text{Des}(\pi)$ and $\text{iDes}(\pi)$ from right to left as follows. We first place j_1 1's from right to left. Then, we append i_1 0's from left to 1^{j_1} . We append $j_2 - j_1$ 1's from left, and successively append $i_2 - i_1$ 0's from left. We continue to append $j_{k+1} - j_k$ 1's and $i_{k+1} - i_k$ 0's from left. Then, we have a Dyck path of size $2n$.

Example 5.4. Let $\pi = 631245$. We have $\text{Des}(\pi) = \{1, 2, 6\}$ and $\text{iDes}(\pi) = \text{Des}(342561) = \{2, 5, 6\}$. Then, we have the Dyck path 00001.0111.011.

Definition 5.5. We denote by $\rho : \mathfrak{S}_n(231) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_n^1$, $\pi \mapsto \rho(\pi)$ the bijection defined above.

5.2. Major index on Dyck tilings. In what follows, we define the major index on the Dyck tiling in the region R . Recall that a Dyck tile d is characterized by a Dyck path $p(d)$. Suppose a Dyck tile d consists of $N(d)$ unit squares. We define the size $l(d)$ of a Dyck tile d by

$$l(d) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \text{ is a trivial Dyck tile,} \\ (N(d) + 1)/2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $x(d)$ (resp. $y(d)$) be the x -coordinate (resp. y -coordinate) of the left-most point of the Dyck path $p(d)$. When the left-most box of a Dyck tile d is an anchor box labeled n , we have $x(d) = 0$ and $y(d) = 1$. We define the major index of a Dyck tile d by

$$(5.2) \quad \text{maj}(d) := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } d \text{ is a trivial Dyck tile,} \\ l(d) + x(d) + (y(d) - 1)/2, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the major index of a Dyck tiling D by

$$\text{maj}(D) := \sum_{d \in D} \text{maj}(d),$$

where $d \in D$ means that a Dyck tile d is in a Dyck tiling D .

In Figure 5.1, we have two non-trivial Dyck tiles of size 3 and 2. The major index of the former is $3 + 2 + 0 = 5$, and that of the latter is $2 + 4 + 1 = 7$. As a total, this Dyck tiling has the major index $5 + 7 = 12$.

The next theorem is the main result of this section.

Theorem 5.6. *Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$, $D(\pi)$ be the Dyck tiling corresponding to π , and ρ be the bijection defined in Definition 5.5. Then, we have*

$$(5.3) \quad \text{maj}(D(\pi)) = \text{maj}(\rho(\pi)).$$

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 5.6, we introduce two lemmas used later.

Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$. Then, we write $j \leftarrow i$ (resp. $i \rightarrow j$) if j is left (resp. right) to i in w . We denote by $\text{pos}(i)$ the position of i from left in w , i.e., $\text{pos}(i) = w^{-1}(i)$.

Lemma 5.7. *Suppose that a non-trivial Dyck tile d belongs to a trajectory starting from the anchor box labeled i . Then, we have*

$$(5.4) \quad \text{maj}(d) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } \text{pos}(i) = i + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i\}, \\ i + 1 - \text{pos}(i) + 2(n - i), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. We consider the Dyck tiling corresponding to $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$. Recall that, in a Dyck tiling, a trajectory starts from an anchor box labeled i and ends with some point. Since the bottom Dyck path is a zig-zag path, each trajectory contains at most one non-trivial Dyck tiles. We compute the major index for the Dyck tile d_i which is in a trajectory labeled i by Eq. (5.2). Define the number $h(i)$ by

$$h(i) := n + 1 - \text{pos}(i) + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i \text{ in } w\}.$$

Then, the trajectory labeled i ends at the $h(i)$ -th up step from left in λ_b . It is obvious that if $h(i) = n + 1 - i$, equivalently $\text{pos}(i) = i + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i \text{ in } w\}$, then we have no non-trivial Dyck tiles in the trajectory labeled i . In this case, we have $\text{maj}(d_i) = 0$. Suppose that $h(i) \neq n + 1 - i$. Then, the size $l(d_i)$ of the Dyck tile is given by

$$\begin{aligned} l(d_i) &= h(i) - (n + 1 - i) + 1, \\ &= i + 1 - \text{pos}(i) + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i \text{ in } w\}. \end{aligned}$$

The x -coordinate of d_i , and the y -coordinate of d_i are given by

$$\begin{aligned} x(d) &= 2 \cdot \#\{j > i : i \rightarrow j \text{ in } w\}, \\ (y(d) - 1)/2 &= \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i \text{ in } w\}. \end{aligned}$$

From Eq. (5.2), we have Eq. (5.4). □

Lemma 5.8. *Let $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$, $\text{Des}(w) = \{i_1, \dots, i_m\}$ and $\text{iDes}(w) = \{j_1, \dots, j_m\}$. Then, we have*

$$(5.5) \quad \text{maj}(\rho(w)) = \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \{(j_m - j_k) + (i_m - i_k)\}.$$

Proof. By the construction of ρ , the Dyck path $p := \rho(w)$ starts with $i_m - i_{m-1}$ 0's, followed by $j_m - j_{m-1}$ 1's, followed by $i_{m-1} - i_{m-2}$ 0's, followed by $i_{m-1} - i_{m-2}$ 1's, and so on. A descent i satisfies $p_i = 1$ and $p_{i+1} = 0$. So, it is easy to see that the sum of descents is given by Eq. (5.5). \square

Proof of Theorem 5.6. We prove the theorem by induction on n . For $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, it is easy to see that Eq. (5.3) holds true. We assume that Eq. (5.3) holds true up to $n - 1$. The permutation $w \in \mathfrak{S}_n(231)$ is written as a concatenation $w = w'1w''$ in one-line notation. Let w_\downarrow be a permutation of size $n - 1$ obtained from w by deleting 1 and decrease all the integers by one. By its definition, an integer i in w_\downarrow corresponds to the integer $i + 1$ in w .

We first compute the major index of the Dyck tiling in the trajectory labeled $i + 1$ where the integer $i + 1$ appears in w'' . Suppose that the integer $i + 1$ satisfies $\text{pos}(i + 1) = i + 1 + \#\{j > i + 1 : j \leftarrow i + 1\}$ in w . Then, the integer i in w_\downarrow also satisfies $\text{pos}(i) = i + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i\}$. This means that if $i + 1$ is right to 1 in w and the major index of the Dyck tiles in the trajectory labeled $i + 1$ is zero, then the major index of the Dyck tiles in the trajectory labeled i in w_\downarrow is also zero. Secondly, we compute the major indexes for the Dyck tiles in the trajectories labeled $i + 1$ in w' . Since w is a 231-avoiding permutation, it is easy to see that w' is a decreasing sequence. Suppose $i + 1$ appears in w' . Then, we have $\#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i\} = \text{pos}(i + 1) - 1$, which implies that $\text{pos}(i + 1) \neq i + 1 + \#\{j > i : j \leftarrow i\}$. Therefore, there is a non-trivial Dyck tile d_{i+1} in the trajectory labeled $i + 1$. From Eq. (5.4), we have

$$\text{maj}(d_{i+1}) = i + 2 - \text{pos}(i + 1) + 2(n - i - 1).$$

We compute the major index of a non-trivial Dyck tile d'_i in the trajectory labeled i in w_\downarrow :

$$\text{maj}(d'_i) = i + 1 - \text{pos}(i) + 2(n - 1 - i).$$

Since the position of $i + 1 > 3$ in w is the same as that of i in w_\downarrow , we have $\text{maj}(d_{i+1}) = \text{maj}(d'_i) + 1$. If 2 is in w' , then $\text{pos}(2) = \text{pos}(1) - 1$ in w . This means that the major index of the Dyck tile in the trajectory labeled 2 is given by $2n - \text{pos}(1)$. From these observations, we have

$$(5.6) \quad \text{maj}(D(w)) = \text{maj}(D(w_\downarrow)) + \begin{cases} 2n - 2, & \text{if } 2 \text{ is in } w', \\ \text{pos}(1) - 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We compute the major index for the Dyck path $\rho(w)$ by use of $\text{Des}(w)$ and $\text{iDes}(w)$. Given an integer k , we define $\text{lDes}^k(w) := \text{Des}(w) \cap [1, k]$ and $\text{rDes}^k(w) := \text{Des}(w) \cap [k + 1, n]$. By definition, we have $\text{Des}(w) = \text{lDes}^k(w) \cup \text{rDes}^k(w)$. Let $k = \text{pos}(1) = w^{-1}(1)$. It is easy to see that

$$\text{lDes}^k(w) = \begin{cases} \text{lDes}^{k-1}(w_\downarrow) \cup \{k - 1\}, & \text{if } 2 \text{ is in } w', \\ \text{lDes}^{k-1}(w_\downarrow), & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\text{rDes}^k(w) = \{i + 1 : i \in \text{rDes}^{k-1}(w_\downarrow)\}.$$

For $\text{iDes}(w) = \text{Des}(w^{-1})$, we have

$$\text{iDes}(w) = \begin{cases} \{i + 1 : i \in \text{iDes}(w_\downarrow)\} \cup \{1\}, & \text{if } 2 \text{ is in } w', \\ \{i + 1 : i \in \text{iDes}(w_\downarrow)\}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Recall $\text{Des}(\pi)$ is defined in Eq. (5.1). By combining them by use of Eq. (5.5), we have

$$(5.7) \quad \text{maj}(\rho(w)) = \text{maj}(\rho(w_{\downarrow})) + \begin{cases} 2n - 2, & \text{if } 2 \text{ is in } w', \\ \text{pos}(1) - 1, & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where we have used $|\text{IDes}^{k-1}(w_{\downarrow})| = \text{pos}(1) - 2$. From Eqs. (5.6) and (5.7), we have $\text{maj}(D(w)) = \text{maj}(\rho(w))$ if and only if $\text{maj}(D(w_{\downarrow})) = \text{maj}(\rho(w_{\downarrow}))$. By use of induction hypothesis, we have Eq.(5.3), which completes the proof. \square

Example 5.10. In Figure 5.9, we summarize the correspondence among 231-avoiding permutations, Dyck paths and corresponding Dyck tilings for $n = 4$. The integer in a non-trivial Dyck tile is the major index of this tile.

5.3. Dyck tilings and q -Catalan number $C_n(q)$. Let $w \in S(231)$ and $D(w)$ be the corresponding Dyck tiling. We define the weight $\text{wt}'(d)$ of a Dyck tile d of size k , $0 \leq k$, as

$$\text{wt}'(d) := k.$$

Similarly, the weight $\text{wt}'(D)$ of a Dyck tiling D is given by

$$\text{wt}'(D) := \sum_{d \in D} \text{wt}'(d).$$

Proposition 5.11. We have

$$\sum_{w \in S(231)} q^{\text{wt}'(D(w))} = q^{\binom{n}{2}} C_n(q^{-1}),$$

where $C_n(q)$ is defined in Eq. (3.1).

Proof. We consider Dyck tilings in the region R . We have a non-trivial Dyck tile d if there is an inversion and the size of d is equal to the inversion number. From these, we have

$$\sum_{w \in S(231)} q^{\text{wt}'(D(w))} = \sum_{w \in S(231)} q^{\text{Inv}(w)}.$$

The right hand side of the above equation gives $q^{\binom{n}{2}} C_n(q^{-1})$ by Proposition 3.13 with $r = 1$. This completes the proof. \square

6. SYMMETRIC DYCK PATHS AND q -CATALAN NUMBER

6.1. Symmetric Dyck paths. In this section, we interpret the q -Catalan numbers in terms of symmetric Dyck paths. For this, we introduce the bijection Ψ between a Dyck path of size n and a symmetric Dyck path of size $n - 1$ following [20, Section 7.3].

Recall that a Dyck path $\mu := \mu_1 \mu_2 \dots \mu_n$ of size n is expressed as a word of two alphabets $\{0, 1\}$. We define the operation $\rho : \mathcal{P}_r^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_r^1$, $\mu \mapsto \overline{\mu_n \mu_{n-1}} \dots \overline{\mu_1}$ where $\overline{0} = 1$ and $\overline{1} = 0$.

Definition 6.1. A Dyck path of length n is said to be symmetric if it satisfies $\mu = \rho(\mu)$.

It is obvious that the length of a symmetric Dyck path is always even. Since a symmetric Dyck path $\mu := \mu_1 \dots \mu_{2n}$ is invariant under the action of ρ , we identify μ with a word $\nu := \mu_1 \dots \mu_n$ of length n . Note that we can recover μ from ν uniquely.

We introduce a notion of arches and half-arches for a symmetric Dyck path $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_{2n}$. Suppose that $\mu_i = 0$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then, let $j > i$ be the minimal integer such that $\mu_j = 1$ and the

$S(231)$	1234	4123	1423	1243
Dyck path				
Dyck tiling				
$S(231)$	3124	4312	4132	1324
Dyck path				
Dyck tiling				
$S(231)$	1432	2134	4213	2143
Dyck path				
Dyck tiling				
$S(231)$	3214	4321		
Dyck path				
Dyck tiling				

FIGURE 5.9. The bijection among 231-avoiding permutations, Dyck paths and Dyck tilings

sub-word $\mu_i\mu_{i+1}\dots\mu_j$ is a prime Dyck path. The pair (i, j) is called an arch if $j \leq n$. Similarly, if the pair (i, j) or equivalently the integer i , is called a half-arch if $j \geq n + 1$.

Definition 6.2. *The size of a symmetric Dyck path is the sum of the numbers of arches and half-arches. We denote by \mathcal{Q}_n the set of symmetric Dyck paths of size n .*

For example, we have five symmetric Dyck paths of size two:

$$00 \quad 001 \quad 010 \quad 0011 \quad 0101$$

Note that the size of a symmetric Dyck path does not coincide with the length of the word in $\{0, 1\}^*$.

In what follows, we introduce the bijection Ψ between a Dyck path in \mathcal{P}_n^1 and a symmetric Dyck path in \mathcal{Q}_{n-1} following [20]. We first introduce a map $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_n^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$, $\mu \mapsto \nu$ by the following algorithm:

- (1) Delete the first 0 and the last 1 in μ .
- (2) If the first alphabet is 1 and it is followed by 0, we replace this pair of 1 and 0 with a single alphabet 0.
- (3) If the first alphabet is 0, take a maximal prime Dyck path starting from this 0. Then, we leave the alphabets in this maximal prime Dyck path as they are.
- (4) We apply (2) and (3) to the remaining word until we replace all the alphabets with new alphabets.

We define the map $\Psi^{-1} : \mathcal{Q}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^1$, $\nu \mapsto \mu$ by the following algorithm [20, Section 7.3]:

- (1) Replace a half-arch in ν which consists of single alphabet 0 by 10.
- (2) Keep an arch which consists of a pair of 0 and 1 as it is.
- (3) After replacing all arches with new alphabets, add 0 from left and 1 from right.

The maps Ψ and Ψ^{-1} are obviously inverse to each other, therefore the map Ψ is a bijection $\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{Q}_n$.

6.2. Major index for symmetric Dyck paths. The descent set $\text{Des}(\nu)$ of ν is the set of integers $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ such that $(\nu_i, \nu_{i+1}) = (1, 0)$ and the integer n if $\nu_n = 1$. Note that the integer n is not included in the descent set if $\nu_n = 0$. We define the major index on a symmetric Dyck path $\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ as follows:

$$\text{maj}(\nu) := \sum_{i \in \text{Des}(\nu)} i.$$

Theorem 6.3. *The major index on \mathcal{Q}_n satisfies*

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\nu)} = \frac{1}{[n+2]} \begin{bmatrix} 2(n+1) \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Example 6.4. *We have fourteen Dyck paths in \mathcal{P}_4^1 , and fourteen symmetric Dyck paths in \mathcal{Q}_3 . In Table 3, we summarize the Dyck paths, their major indices, corresponding symmetric Dyck paths, and their major indices.*

Before proceeding to the proof of Theorem 6.3, we introduce two lemmas.

Let $\mathcal{Q}_n(r)$ be the set of symmetric Dyck paths of size n which have r descents. We define

$$N_3(n, r) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n(r)} q^{\text{maj}(\nu)}.$$

<i>Dyck path</i> σ	00001111	0001.0111	00011.011	000111.01	001.00111
$\text{maj}(\sigma)$	0	4	5	6	3
$\Psi(\sigma)$	000111	001.011	0011.01	0011.0	01.0011
$\text{maj}(\Psi(\sigma))$	6	9	10	4	8

σ	001.01.011	001.011.01	0011.0011	0011.01.01	01.000111
$\text{maj}(\sigma)$	8	9	4	10	2
$\Psi(\sigma)$	01.01.01	01.01.0	01.001	01.00	00011
$\text{maj}(\Psi(\sigma))$	12	6	7	2	5

σ	01.001.011	01.0011.01	01.01.0011	01.01.01.01
$\text{maj}(\sigma)$	7	8	6	12
$\Psi(\sigma)$	001.01	001.0	0001	000
$\text{maj}(\Psi(\sigma))$	8	3	4	0

TABLE 3. The bijection between Dyck paths and symmetric Dyck paths

The generating function $N_3(n, r)$ satisfies the following recurrence relation.

Lemma 6.5. *We have*

$$(6.1) \quad N_3(n, r) = q^r N_3(n-1, r) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} N(j-1, s) N_3(n-j, r-s-1) q^{2j(r-s)+s},$$

where $N(n, r)$ is the q -Narayana number defined in Eq. (4.3).

Proof. Since $\nu := \nu_1 \dots \nu_m \in \mathcal{Q}_n(r)$, the first letter ν_1 is 0. We consider two cases: 1) ν_1 forms a half-arch, and 2) ν_1 forms an arch with some $2j$ such that $\nu_{2j} = 1$.

In the first case, the word $\nu' := \nu_2 \dots \nu_m$ is in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-1}(r)$. Further, since each descent in ν' is shifted to right by one unit in ν , we have a factor q^r . This implies that the words ν' contribute to $N_3(n, r)$ as $q^r N_3(n-1, r)$.

In the second case, the sub-path $\nu_1 \dots \nu_{2j}$ is a prime Dyck path of size j . Since to be prime means that $\nu_{2j} = 1$ and $\nu_2 = 0$, the sub-path $\nu_2 \dots \nu_{2j-1}$ is a Dyck path of size $j-1$. This gives the factor $N(j-1, s)$ and q^s if $\nu_2 \dots \nu_{2j-1}$ has s descents. The sub-path $\nu_{2j+1} \dots \nu_m$ is in $\mathcal{Q}_{n-j}(r-s-1)$, and shifted rightward by $2j$ unit. This gives a generating function $N_3(n-j, r-s-1)$ and a factor $q^{2j(r-s-1)}$. Finally, ν_{2j} is a descent in ν since $\nu_{2j} = 1$ and $\nu_{2j+1} = 0$. This gives a factor q^{2j} . The contribution of ν to $N_3(n, r)$ is given by the product of all contributions, which is $N(j-1, s) N_3(n-j, r-s-1) q^{2j(r-s)+s}$. By taking the sum over j and s , we obtain the expression (6.1), which completes the proof. \square

Lemma 6.6. *We have $N_3(n, r) = N(n+1, r)$.*

Proof. We compare the expression (4.4) with Eq. (6.1). Then, it is obvious that we have $N_3(n, r) = N(n+1, r)$. \square

Proof of Theorem 6.3. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n} q^{\text{maj}(\nu)} &= \sum_{r=0}^n N_3(n, r) = \sum_{r=0}^n N(n+1, r), \\ &= \frac{1}{[n+2]} \begin{bmatrix} 2(n+1) \\ n+1 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Lemma 6.6 and the property of the q -Narayana numbers. \square

We refine the q -Narayana numbers for symmetric Dyck paths as follows. Let $\mathcal{Q}(n, r, k)$ be the set of symmetric Dyck paths which are size n , have r descents and k half-arches. Then, we define

$$N_3(n, r, k) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}(n, r, k)} q^{\text{maj}(\nu)}.$$

Theorem 6.7. *We have*

$$(6.2) \quad N_3(n, r, k) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k+1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ r-1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-r \\ k \end{bmatrix} q^{r(r+1)+2(n-r-k)}.$$

We prove Theorem 6.7 after introducing two lemmas which give recurrence relations for the generating function $N_3(n, r, k)$.

Lemma 6.8. *The generating function $N_3(n, r, k)$ has the following recurrence relation*

$$(6.3) \quad N_3(n, r, k) = q^r N_3(n-1, r, k-1) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} N(j-1, s) N_3(n-j, r-s-1, k) q^{2j(r-s)+s}.$$

Proof. Since $\nu := \nu_1 \dots \nu_m \in \mathcal{Q}(n, r, k)$, the first letter in ν is 0. We have two cases for the first 0 in ν : 1) this letter forms a half-arch, and 2) this letter forms an arch with some 1 in ν .

We consider the first case. The word $\nu' = \nu_2 \dots \nu_m$ is in $\mathcal{Q}(n-1, r, k-1)$ since the first letter ν_2 of ν' is 0. The existence of the first 0 in ν gives the factor q^r and such ν' contributes to $N_3(n, r, k)$ as $q^r N_3(n-1, r, k-1)$.

For the second case, let $2j \in [1, m]$ be the integer such that $\nu_{2j} = 1$ and this 1 forms an arch with $\nu_1 = 0$. Then, it is obvious that a sub-word $\nu_1 \dots \nu_{2j}$ is a prime Dyck path of size j . If we divide the word ν into two sub-words $\nu' = \nu_1 \dots \nu_{2j}$ and $\nu'' = \nu_{2j+1} \dots \nu_m$, ν is expressed as a concatenation of ν' and ν'' . The property that ν' is prime insures that this expression is unique. Since ν' is prime, ν' contribute to $N_3(n, r, k)$ as $q^s N(j-1, s)$ where s is the number of valleys in ν' . The word ν'' is in $\mathcal{Q}(n-j, r-s-1, k)$. Since we have $2j$ letters left to ν'' in ν , we have a factor $q^{2j(r-s-1)}$. Further, since the last letter of ν' is 1 and the first letter of $\nu''=0$, the integer $2j$ is a descent in ν . This gives the factor q^{2j} . The concatenation of two words implies that the contribution of ν to $N_3(n, r, k)$ is the product of all these contributions. This gives the term $N(j-1, s) N_3(n-j, r-s-1, k) q^{2j(r-s)+s}$. If we sum over all possible j and s , we obtain the expression (6.3), which completes the proof. \square

The last alphabet of a symmetric Dyck path $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_n$ in $\mathcal{Q}(n, r, k)$ is either 0 or 1. We denote by $\mathcal{Q}_+(n, r, k)$ (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_-(n, r, k)$) the set of symmetric Dyck path of size n with the last alphabet 0 (resp. 1). Then, it is obvious that we have $\mathcal{Q}(n, r, k) = \mathcal{Q}_+(n, r, k) \cup \mathcal{Q}_-(n, r, k)$. We further refine the $N_3(n, r, k)$ as follows. We define

$$N_{3,\epsilon}(n, r, k) := \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_\epsilon(n, r, k)} q^{\text{maj}(\nu)},$$

where $\epsilon = \pm$.

Lemma 6.9. *The generating functions $N_{3,\epsilon}(n, r, k)$, $\epsilon = \pm$, satisfy the following recurrence relation:*

$$(6.4) \quad N_3(n, r, k) = N_{3,+}(n, r, k) + N_{3,-}(n, r, k),$$

$$(6.5) \quad N_{3,+}(n, r, k) = N_3(n-1, r, k-1),$$

$$(6.6) \quad N_{3,-}(n, r, k) = q^{2n-k} \cdot N_3(n-1, r-1, k) + q \cdot N_{3,-}(n, r, k+1).$$

Proof. The definition of $Q_\epsilon(n, r, k)$ implies Eq. (6.4). If $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_m \in \mathcal{Q}_+(n, r, k)$, the last alphabet of ν is 0. This means that this alphabet is a half-arch. The word $\nu' = \nu_1 \dots \nu_{m-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}(n-1, r, k-1)$, which implies Eq. (6.5).

When $\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_-(n, r, k)$, we have two cases: $\nu_{m-1} = 0$ and $\nu_{m-1} = 1$. In the former case, we have an arch which consists of $\nu_{m-1} = 0$ and $\nu_m = 1$. Then, the word $\nu = 1 \dots \nu_{m-2}$ belongs to $\mathcal{Q}(n-1, r-1, k)$. Since $\nu_m = 1$ implies that m is in the descent set. This $\nu_m = 1$ contributes to the generating function by q^{2n-k} . Similarly, when $\nu_{m-1} = 1$, the word $\nu'' = \nu_1 \dots \nu_{m-1}$ belong to $\mathcal{Q}_-(n, r, k+1)$. Note that the integer $m-1$ is a descent for ν'' , and m is a descent for ν but $m-1$ is not a descent for ν . This gives the factor $q = q^{m-(m-1)}$. As a consequence, we have Eq. (6.6). \square

Proof of Theorem 6.7. From Lemma 6.9, the generating function $N_3(n, r, k)$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} N_3(n, r, k) - q \cdot N_3(n, r, k+1) - N_3(n-1, r, k-1) \\ + q \cdot N_3(n-1, r, k) - q^{2n-k} \cdot N_3(n-1, r-1, k) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the expression (6.2) satisfies the recurrence relation. \square

Corollary 6.10. *We have*

$$(6.7) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n N_3(n, r, k) = N(n, r).$$

Proof. Let $N'(n, r)$ be the left hand side of Eq. (6.7). If we take the sum of Eq. (6.3) with respect to k , we have

$$N'(n, r) = q^r N'(n-1, r) + \sum_{j=1}^n \sum_{s=0}^{j-1} N(j-1, s) N'(n-j, r-s-1) q^{2j(r-s)+s}.$$

By comparing the above equation with Eq. (4.4), it is obvious that $N'(n, r) = N(n, r)$ which is the q -Narayana number. \square

6.3. Symmetric Dyck paths and q -Catalan number $C_n(q)$. Let $\nu = \nu_1 \dots \nu_m$ be a symmetric Dyck path in \mathcal{Q}_n . Recall that ν consists of $n-k$ arches a_i , $1 \leq i \leq n-k$, and k half-arches b_j , $1 \leq j \leq k$, with $0 \leq k \leq n$. Then, we define $\text{Inv}_1(\nu)$ by

$$\text{Inv}_1(\nu) := k + \sum_{j=1}^k \#\{a_i : a_i \text{ is right to } b_j \text{ in } \nu\} + \sum_{j=1}^k \#\{b_i : b_i \text{ is right to } b_j \text{ in } \nu\}.$$

The inversion number $\text{Inv}_2(\nu)$ is defined to be

$$\text{Inv}_2(\nu) := \#\{(i, j) : (\nu_i, \nu_j) = (1, 0) \text{ with } 1 \leq i < j \leq m\}.$$

We define the inversion number $\text{Inv}(\nu)$ of ν by

$$\text{Inv}(\nu) := \text{Inv}_1(\nu) + \text{Inv}_2(\nu).$$

Proposition 6.11. *We have*

$$(6.8) \quad \sum_{\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n} q^{\text{Inv}(\nu)} = C_{n+1}(q).$$

Proof. Recall that $\Psi : \mathcal{P}_n^1 \rightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}$ is a bijection. To prove the statement, it is enough to show that $\text{Inv}(\nu) = \text{Inv}(\Psi^{-1}(\nu))$ for $\nu \in \mathcal{Q}_n$ and $\Psi^{-1}(\nu) \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^1$ since we have

$$\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^1} q^{\text{Inv}(\mu)} = C_{n+1}(q),$$

by Eq. (3.3). The bijection Ψ^{-1} maps a half-arch 0 to a sub-sequence 10. We consider the inversion number relative to this sub-sequence 10. The inversion number $\text{Inv}_1(\nu)$ counts the inversion number of 1 in 10, and $\text{Inv}_2(\nu)$ counts the inversion number of 0 in 10. These imply that $\text{Inv}(\nu) = \text{Inv}(\Psi^{-1}(\nu))$. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Bandlow and K. Killpatrick, *An area-to-inv bijection between Dyck paths and 312-avoiding permutations*, Electron. J. Combin. **8** (2001), no. 1, #R40.
- [2] L. Carlitz, *Sequences, paths, ballot numbers*, Fibonacci Quarterly **10** (1972), 531–549.
- [3] L. Carlitz and J. Riordan, *Two element lattice permutation numbers and their q -generalization*, Duke J. Math. **31** (1964), 371–388.
- [4] F. N. David and D. E. Barton, *Combinatorial chance*, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1962.
- [5] D. Foata, *On the Netto Inversion Number of a Sequence*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (1968), 236–240.
- [6] J. Furlinger and J. Hofbauer, *q -Catalan Numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **40** (1985), 248–264.
- [7] A. Garsia and M. Haiman, *A remarkable q, t -catalan sequence and q -Lagrange inversion*, J. Algebraic Combin. **5** (1996), 191–244.
- [8] I. Gessel and R. P. Stanley, *Stirling polynomials*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **24** (1978), no. 1, 24–33.
- [9] R. W. Kenyon and D. B. Wilson, *Double-dimer pairings and skew Young diagrams*, Electron. J. Combin. **18** (2011), no. 1, P130, [arXiv:1007.2006](#).
- [10] J. S. Kim, K. Mészáros, G. Panova, and D. B. Wilson, *Dyck tilings, increasing trees, descents and inversions*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **122** (2014), 9–27, [arXiv:1205.6578](#).
- [11] D. E. Knuth, *The Art of Computer Programming*, vol. 1, Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1973.
- [12] C. Krattenthaler, *Permutations with restricted patterns and Dyck paths*, Adv. in Appl. Math. **27** (2001), 510–530, [arXiv:math/0002200](#).
- [13] G. Kreweras, *Sur les partitions non croisees d’un cycle*, Discrete Math. **1** (1972), no. 4, 333–350, [doi](#).
- [14] P. A. MacMahon, *Two applications of general theorems in combinatory analysis*, Proc. London Math. Soc. **15** (1916), 314–321.
- [15] ———, *Combinatory analysis*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, London, 1960.
- [16] E. Netto, *Lehrbuch der Combinatorik*, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1901.
- [17] S. Park, *P -partitions and q -Stirling numbers*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A **68** (1994), 33–52.
- [18] K. Shigechi, *Bijections on Dyck tilings: DTS/DTR bijections, Dyck tableaux and tree-like tableaux*, preprint (2019), 61 pages, [arXiv:1910.08913](#).
- [19] ———, *Symmetric Dyck tilings, ballot tableaux and tree-like tableaux of shifted shapes*, preprint (2020), 60 pages, [arXiv:2011.07296](#).
- [20] ———, *Heaps of pieces for lattice paths*, preprint (2023), 31 pages, [arXiv:2401.12701](#).
- [21] K. Shigechi and P. Zinn-Justin, *Path representation of maximal parabolic Kazhdan–Lusztig polynomials*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **216** (2012), no. 11, 2533–2548, [arXiv:1001.1080](#).
- [22] R. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics*, vol. 2, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [23] ———, *Catalan Numbers*, Cambridge University Press, 2015.
- [24] C. Stump, *On bijections between 231-avoiding permutations and Dyck paths*, Sem. Lothar. Combin. **60** (2009), B60a.

Email address: `k1.shigechi AT gmail.com`