

NUMERICAL SEMIGROUPS OF COATED ODD ELEMENTS

J. C. ROSALES, M. B. BRANCO, AND M. A. TRAESEL

ABSTRACT. A numerical semigroup S is coated with odd elements (Coe-semigroup), if $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq S$ for all odd element x in S . In this note, we will study this kind of numerical semigroups. In particular, we are interested in the study of the Frobenius number, gender and embedding dimension of a numerical semigroup of this type.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathbb{Z} be the set of integers and let $\mathbb{N} = \{z \in \mathbb{Z} \mid z \geq 0\}$. A submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is a subset of \mathbb{N} closed under addition, containing the zero element. A submonoid with finite complement in \mathbb{N} is a numerical semigroup.

A numerical semigroup, S , is coated with odd elements, if $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq S$ for all odd element x in S . Henceforth known as **Coe-semigroup**, which will be the object of study in this work.

If S is a numerical semigroup, then $m(S) = \min(S \setminus \{0\})$, $F(S) = \max(\mathbb{Z} \setminus S)$ and $g(S)$ the cardinality of $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ are three important invariants of S known as **multiplicity**, **Frobenius number** and **gender** of S , respectively.

If \mathcal{A} is a nonempty subset of \mathbb{N} , we denote by $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ the submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ generated by \mathcal{A} , that is,

$$\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i a_i \mid n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}, a_i \in \mathcal{A}, \lambda_i \in \mathbb{N} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, n\} \right\}.$$

In [9, Lemma 2.1], we can see that $\langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup if and only if $\gcd(\mathcal{A}) = 1$.

If M is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ and $M = \langle \mathcal{A} \rangle$ then we say that \mathcal{A} is a system of generators of M . Moreover, if $M \neq \langle \mathcal{B} \rangle$ for all $\mathcal{B} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}$, then we say that \mathcal{A} is a minimal system of generators of S . In [9, Corollary 2.8], we see that, every submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ admits an unique minimal system

Key words and phrases. Frobenius number, gender, Numerical semigroups, Frobenius family, Coe-semigroups and tree (associated to an Coe-semigroup).

The first author was partially supported by MTM-2017-84890-P and by Junta de Andalucía group FQM-343. The second author was partially supported by CIMA – Centro de Investigação em Matemática e Aplicações, Universidade de Évora, project UIDB/04674/2020 (Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia). 2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20M14, 11D07.

of generators, which is finite. We denote by $\text{msg}(M)$ the minimal system of generators of M and its elements are called minimal generators. The cardinality of $\text{msg}(M)$ is called the embedding dimension of M and it is denoted by $e(M)$.

The Frobenius problem (see [6]) consists of finding formulas for the Frobenius number and the gender of a numerical semigroup in terms of its minimal system of generators. This problem was solved in [11], for numerical semigroups with embedding dimension two. At present, this problem is open for embedding dimension greater than or equal to three.

In [12] Wilf conjectured that if S is a numerical semigroup then $e(S)g(S) \leq (e(S) - 1)(F(S) + 1)$. This question is still widely open and it is one of the most important problems in numerical semigroups theory. A very good source of the state of the art of this problem is [3].

Denote by $\mathcal{C} = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup}\}$. In Section 2, we will show that, if $S \in \mathcal{C}$ then $S \cup \{F(S), F(S) - 1\} \in \mathcal{C}$. This result will be used in Section 3, to order the elements of \mathcal{C} in a rooted tree. We will characterize the sons of a vertex in this tree and this will allow us to give an algorithm procedure to obtain recursively the elements of \mathcal{C} .

In Section 4, we will see that given k an odd positive integer, then $\mathcal{C}(k) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup and } k \in S\}$ is a finite set. Moreover, given p a positive integer, $\mathcal{C}(\text{Frob} \leq p) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup with } F(S) \leq p\}$ and $\mathcal{C}(\text{gen} \leq p) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup with } g(S) \leq p\}$ are also finite sets. Following the same line of previous section, we are going to order these three families of semigroups in a rooted tree.

An Coe-monoid is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ which can be expressed as intersection of Coe-semigroups. It is clear that, the intersection of Coe-monoids is an Coe-monoid. This allows us to introduce the smallest Coe-monoid containing a subset X of \mathbb{N} , denoted by $\text{Coe}(X)$. In Section 5, we will show that a submonoid M of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ is an Coe-monoid if and only if either $M \subseteq \{2k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ or M is an Coe-semigroup. We will give an algorithm to compute $\text{Coe}(X)$ and will see that $\text{Coe}(X)$ is an Coe-semigroup if and only if X contains at least an odd element.

In [9, Proposition 2.10], it is shown that if S is a numerical semigroup, then $e(S) \leq m(S)$. We say that S has maximal embedding dimension (MED-semigroup) if $e(S) = m(S)$. This class of numerical semigroups has been well studied in the semigroup literature (see for instance [2]). In Section 6, we will study the Coe-semigroups which are MED-semigroups.

Finally, in Section 7, we will study the Coe-semigroups with an unique odd minimal generator. We will show that this kind of numerical semigroups are equal to the set $\{T \mid T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S), S \text{ is a numerical semigroup and } \{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S\}$. We will give formulas for $F(T)$, $g(T)$ and $e(T)$ as a function of $F(S)$, $g(S)$ and $e(S)$. From these results, we will prove that if S verifies the Wilf's conjecture, then T

also verifies the same conjecture. In addition, we will solve the Frobenius problem for Coe-semigroups with embedding dimension three.

2. FIRST RESULTS

Note that \mathbb{N} is an Coe-semigroup with $m(\mathbb{N}) = 1$ and $F(\mathbb{N}) = -1$. Hence, if S is a numerical semigroup and $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then we let us consider that $m(S) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0, 1\}$ and $F(S) \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$.

Proposition 1. *If S is an Coe-semigroup and $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then $m(S)$ is an even integer and $F(S)$ is an odd integer.*

Proof. As $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then $m(S) \geq 2$ and $F(S) \geq 1$. If $m(S)$ is odd, then we get that $m(S) - 1 \in S$, a contradiction. If $F(S)$ is even, then $F(S) + 1$ is an odd element belongs to S . Hence, we obtain that $(F(S) + 1) - 1 = F(S) \in S$, a contradiction again. \square

Proposition 2. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) S is an Coe-semigroup.
- (2) $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq S$ for all odd element x in $\text{msg}(S)$.

Proof. 1) implies 2) Trivial.

2) implies 1) Let s be an odd element in S . Clearly, there exists an odd element x in $\text{msg}(S)$, such that $s - x \in S$. Then, we obtain that $s - 1 = x - 1 + s - x \in S$ and $s + 1 = x + 1 + s - x \in S$ and so S is an Coe-semigroup. \square

Example 3. By applying Proposition 2, we have that $S = \langle 4, 6, 7 \rangle$ is an Coe-semigroup, because $\{7 - 1, 7 + 1\} \subseteq S$.

The next result has immediate proof.

Lemma 4. *If S is a numerical semigroup such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then $S \cup \{F(S)\}$ and $S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$ are also numerical semigroups.*

Observe that, if S is an Coe-semigroup such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then we have that $S \cup \{F(S)\}$ is not necessary an Coe-semigroup. In fact, $S = \{0, 6, 10, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup, but $S \cup \{F(S)\} = \{0, 6, 9, 10, \rightarrow\}$ is not an Coe-semigroup. In addition, if S is an Coe-semigroup then $F(S) - 1$ may or may not belong to S . Indeed, we have that $S = \{0, 6, 10, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup such that $F(S) - 1 \notin S$ and $T = \{0, 6, 8, 10, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup such that $F(T) - 1 \in T$.

Lemma 5. *If S is an Coe-semigroup such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$, then $S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$ is an Coe-semigroup.*

Proof. Applying Lemma 4, we obtain that $S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$ is a numerical semigroup. We know that, by Proposition 1, $F(S)$ is an odd integer. As $\{F(S) - 1, F(S) + 1\} \subseteq S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$, we deduce that $S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$ is an Coe-semigroup. \square

As a consequence of Lemma 5, we have all the ingredients needed to give a recursive way of calculating a sequence of Coe-semigroups. For a given an Coe-semigroup S :

- $S_0 = S$,
- $S_{n+1} = \begin{cases} S_n \cup \{F(S_n) - 1, F(S_n)\} & \text{if } S_n \neq \mathbb{N} \\ \mathbb{N} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$

The next result is trivial.

Proposition 6. *If S is an Coe-semigroup, then there exists a sequence of Coe-semigroups, $S = S_0 \subsetneq S_1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq S_k = \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore, the cardinality of $S_{i+1} \setminus S_i$ is 1 or 2 for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, k-1\}$.*

We will refer to the previous sequence as the chain of Coe-semigroups associated to S and k is the length of this chain, denoted by l_S .

The following result is easy to prove.

Proposition 7. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup. The cardinality of the set $\{x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S \mid x \text{ is odd}\}$ is equal to l_S .*

Example 8. Clearly $S = \langle 6, 8, 13, 14, 15, 17 \rangle = \{0, 6, 8, 12, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup. The chain of Coe-semigroups associated to S is the following: $S = S_0 \subsetneq S_1 = \{0, 6, 8, 10, \rightarrow\} \subsetneq S_2 = \{0, 6, 8, \rightarrow\} \subsetneq S_3 = \{0, 6, \rightarrow\} \subsetneq S_4 = \{0, 4, \rightarrow\} \subsetneq S_5 = \{0, 2, \rightarrow\} \subsetneq S_6 = \mathbb{N}$. The cardinality of $\{x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S \mid x \text{ is odd}\} = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 11\}$ is $6 = l_S$.

3. THE TREE OF COE-SEMIGROUPS

A graph G is a pair (V, E) where V is a nonempty set and E is a subset of $\{(u, v) \mid u, v \in V, u \neq v\}$. The elements of V and E are called vertices and edges, respectively. A path of length n connecting the vertices u and v of G is a sequence of n distinct edges of the form $(v_0, v_1), (v_1, v_2), \dots, (v_{n-1}, v_n)$ with $v_0 = u$ and $v_n = v$.

A graph G is a tree if there exists a vertex r (known as the root of G) such that for every other vertex v of G , there exists a unique path connecting v and r . If (u, v) is an edge of the tree then we say that u is a son of v .

Our main goal in this section will be to build the tree whose vertex set is $\mathcal{C} = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup}\}$.

We define the graph $G(\mathcal{C})$ as the graph whose vertices are elements of \mathcal{C} and $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{C}$ is an edge if $T = S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$.

As a consequence of Proposition 6, we have the following.

Proposition 9. *The graph $G(\mathcal{C})$ is a tree with root equal to \mathbb{N} .*

It is clear that we can be build recursively the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$, starting in \mathbb{N} and we connecting each vertex with its sons. Hence, we need to characterize the sons of an arbitrary vertex of this tree.

Lemma 10. [7, Lemma 1.7] *Let S be a numerical semigroup and $x \in S$. Then $S \setminus \{x\}$ is a numerical semigroup if and only if $x \in \text{msg}(S)$.*

The next result is easy to prove.

Lemma 11. *Let S be a numerical semigroup such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$ and $\{x, x + 1\} \subseteq S$. Then $S \setminus \{x, x + 1\}$ is a numerical semigroup if and only if $\{x, x + 1\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S)$.*

Lemma 12. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup and let x be an odd element in $\text{msg}(S)$, such that $x > F(S)$. Then $S \setminus \{x\}$ is a son of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 10, we have that $S \setminus \{x\}$ is a numerical with $F(S \setminus \{x\}) = x$. Since x is odd then $S \setminus \{x\}$ is an Coe-semigroup and $x - 1 \in S$. Moreover, we can deduce that $(S \setminus \{x\} \cup \{F(S \setminus \{x\}), F(S \setminus \{x\}) - 1\}) = S \setminus \{x\} \cup \{x, x - 1\} = S$ and thus $S \setminus \{x\}$ is a son of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$. \square

Lemma 13. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup and let T be a son of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$ such that $g(T) = g(S) + 1$. Then, there exists an odd element x in $\text{msg}(S)$ with $x > F(S)$ such that $T = S \setminus \{x\}$.*

Proof. If T is a son of S such that $g(T) = g(S) + 1$, then we obtain that $T \cup \{F(T)\} = S$ and so $T = S \setminus \{F(T)\}$. By using Lemma 10, we have that $F(T) \in \text{msg}(S)$ and it is clear that $F(S) < F(T)$. Applying Proposition 1, we conclude that $F(T)$ is odd. \square

Lemma 14. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup such that $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S)$. Then $S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}$ is a son of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. By Lemma 11, we have that $T = S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}$ is a numerical semigroup such that $F(T) = F(S) + 2$. By applying Proposition 1, $F(S)$ is odd and so $F(T)$ is also odd. Hence, we deduce that T is an Coe-semigroup. As $S = T \cup \{F(T), F(T) - 1\}$, then we get that T is a son of S . \square

Lemma 15. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup and let T be a son of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$ such that $g(T) = g(S) + 2$. Then, $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S)$ and $T = S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}$.*

Proof. If T is a son of S , then T is an Coe-semigroup and $S = T \cup \{F(T), F(T) - 1\}$. Since $g(T) = g(S) + 2$, this implies that $F(T) - 1 \notin T$. By applying Proposition 1, $F(T)$ is odd and so $F(T) - 2$ is also odd. As $F(S) - 1 \notin T$ and T is an Coe-semigroup, we obtain that $F(T) - 2 \notin T$ and thus $F(S) = F(T) - 2$. Consequently, $T = S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}$ and by using Lemma 11, we conclude that $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S)$. \square

As a consequence of Lemmas 12, 13, 14 and 15 we obtain the following result.


Theorem 16. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup, then set of sons of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$ is equal to:*

- (1) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } x > F(S)\}$ if $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \not\subseteq \text{msg}(S)$.

- (2) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } x > F(S)\} \cup \{S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}\}$ if $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S)$.

It is clear that for all $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ we have that $\{0, 2k, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup, this implies that the set \mathcal{C} has infinite cardinality.

The last theorem can be used to recurrently construct the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$, starting in \mathbb{N} , containing the set of all Coe-semigroups.



Note that the numbers that appears on either side of the edges is the elements that we remove from the vertex to obtain its son.

4. EXAMPLES OF FINITE TREES

Given $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we denote by

$$\mathcal{C}(k) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup and } k \in S\}.$$

If k is an even positive integer and $n \in \{x \in \mathbb{N} \mid x > k\}$, then $(\{k\} + \langle 2 \rangle) \cup \{0, n, \rightarrow\} \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ and so, in this case, $\mathcal{C}(k)$ has infinite cardinality. Our first aim in this section is to see that $\mathcal{C}(k)$ has finite cardinality, when k is an odd positive integer.

If S is a numerical semigroup, then $\mathbb{N} \setminus S$ is a finite set and so we obtain the following result.

Lemma 17. *If S is a numerical semigroup, then $\{T \mid T \text{ is a numerical semigroup and } S \subseteq T\}$ is a finite set.*

Proposition 18. *If k is an odd positive integer, then $\mathcal{C}(k)$ is a nonempty finite set.*

Proof. Since $\mathbb{N} \in \mathcal{C}(k)$, then $\mathcal{C}(k) \neq \emptyset$. If $S \in \mathcal{C}(k)$, then we have that $\langle k - 1, k, k + 1 \rangle \subseteq S$ and it is clear that $\langle k - 1, k, k + 1 \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup. Besides, $\mathcal{C}(k) \subseteq$

$\{T \mid T \text{ is a numerical semigroup and } \langle k-1, k, k+1 \rangle \subseteq T\}$. By Lemma 17, we can deduce that $\mathcal{C}(k)$ is a finite set. \square

The following result is easy to prove.

Lemma 19. *Let k be a positive integer and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Then $S \cup \{F(S), F(S) - 1\} \in \mathcal{C}(k)$.*


We define the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(k))$ as the graph whose vertices are elements of $\mathcal{C}(k)$ and $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}(k) \times \mathcal{C}(k)$ is an edge if $T = S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$.

Using the same argument of Section 3, we have the following result.

Theorem 20. *If k is a positive integer, then the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(k))$ is a tree with root equal to \mathbb{N} . Furthermore, if $S \in \mathcal{C}(k)$ then the set of sons of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(k))$ is equal to:*

- (1) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } x > F(S) \text{ and } x \neq k\}$
if $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \not\subseteq \text{msg}(S) \setminus \{k\}$.
- (2) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } x > F(S) \text{ and } x \neq k\}$
 $\cup \{S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}\}$ if $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq \text{msg}(S) \setminus \{k\}$.

Example 21. We are going to build the the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(5))$.



Given a positive integer F , denote by

$$\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup with } F(S) \leq F\}.$$

Note that if S is an element in $\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$, then $\{F + 1, \rightarrow\} \subseteq S$ and thus $\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$ is a finite set.

The next result is easy to prove.

Lemma 22. *Let F be a positive integer and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$ such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Then $S \cup \{F(S), F(S) - 1\} \in \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$.*


Now, we define the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F))$ as follows: $\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$ is its set of vertices and $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F) \times \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$ is an edge if $T = S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$.

By using again the same argument of Section 3, we have the next result.

Theorem 23. *If F is a positive integer, then the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F))$ is a tree with root equal to \mathbb{N} . Furthermore, if $S \in \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F)$ then the set of sons of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq F))$ is equal to:*

- (1) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } F(S) < x \leq F\}$ if
 $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \not\subseteq \{x \in \text{msg}(S) \mid x \leq F\}$.
- (2) $\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } \text{msg}(S) \text{ with } F(S) < x \leq F\}$
 $\cup \{S \setminus \{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\}\}$ if $\{F(S) + 1, F(S) + 2\} \subseteq$
 $\{x \in \text{msg}(S) \mid x \leq F\}$.

Example 24. We are going to build the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(Frob \leq 5))$.



Given a positive integer g , denote by

$$\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g) = \{S \mid S \text{ is an Coe-semigroup with } g(S) \leq g\}.$$

In [9, Lemma 2.14] it is shown that, if S is a numerical semigroup then $F(S) \leq 2g(S) - 1$. Therefore, we obtain that $\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g) \subseteq \mathcal{C}(Frob \leq 2g - 1)$ and so $\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$ is a finite set.

The next result is easy to prove.

Lemma 25. *Let g be a positive integer and let $S \in \mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$ such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Then $S \cup \{F(S), F(S) - 1\} \in \mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$.*


We define the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g))$ as follows: $\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$ is its set of vertices and $(S, T) \in \mathcal{C}(gen \leq g) \times \mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$ is an edge if $T = S \cup \{F(S) - 1, F(S)\}$.

By using again the same argument of Section 3, we have the next result.

Theorem 26. *If g is a positive integer, then the graph $G(\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g))$ is a tree with root equal to \mathbb{N} . Furthermore, if $S \in \mathcal{C}(gen \leq g)$ then the set of sons of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(gen \leq g))$ is equal to:*

- (1) *the set of sons of S in the tree $G(\mathcal{C})$ if $g(S) \leq g - 2$ (see Theorem 16).*
- (2) *$\{S \setminus \{x\} \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } msg(S) \text{ with } x > F(S)\}$ if $g(S) = g - 1$.*
- (3) *the empty set if $g(S) = g$.*

Example 27. We are going to build the the tree $G(\mathcal{C}(gen \leq 4))$.



Observe that the numbers that appears on the right side of each of the semigroups are their genders.

5. COE-MONONIDS

It is well known that the intersection of finitely many numerical semigroups is a numerical semigroup. Hence, the next result is easy to prove.

Proposition 28. *The intersection of finitely many Coe-semigroups is an Coe-semigroup.*

Note that the previous result does not hold for infinite intersections. In fact, by using Proposition 2, we can deduce that $\langle 2, 2k + 1 \rangle$ is an Coe-semigroup for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \langle 2, 2k + 1 \rangle = \langle 2 \rangle$ is not a numerical semigroup.

Clearly, the intersection (finite or infinite) of numerical semigroups is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. An Coe-monoid is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ which can be expressed as intersection of Coe-semigroups. Besides, the intersection of Coe-monoids is an Coe-monoid. In view of this, given X a subset of \mathbb{N} we

can define the Coe-monoid generated by X as the intersection of all Coe-monoids containing X , denoted by $Coe(X)$. Then, we have that $Coe(X)$ is the smallest Coe-monoid containing X .

The next result has immediate proof.

Proposition 29. *If X is a subset of \mathbb{N} , then $Coe(X)$ is the intersection of all Coe-semigroups that containing X .*

If M is an Coe-monoid and X is a subset of \mathbb{N} such that $M = Coe(X)$, then we say that X is an coe-system of generators of M .

The following properties are a direct consequence of the definitions.

Lemma 30. *Let X and Y be subsets of \mathbb{N} and let M be an Coe-monoid. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) *If $X \subseteq Y$ then $Coe(X) \subseteq Coe(Y)$,*
- (2) *$Coe(X) = Coe(\langle X \rangle)$,*
- (3) *$Coe(M) = M$,*
- (4) *$Coe(X \setminus \{0\}) = Coe(X)$,*
- (5) *$Coe(\emptyset) = \{0\}$.*

Proposition 31. *Let M be an Coe-monoid such that $M \neq \{0\}$. Then there exists a nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $M = Coe(X)$.*

Proof. We have that $msg(M)$ is a nonempty finite subset $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $M = \langle msg(M) \rangle$. By applying Lemma 30, we deduce that $M = Coe(msg(M))$. \square

As a consequence of previous proposition we have the following result.

Corollary 32. *The set formed by all Coe-monoids is equal to $\{Coe(X) \mid X \text{ is a nonempty finite subset of } \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}\} \cup \{\{0\}\}$.*

Given X a nonempty finite subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, our next aim in this section will be to show a procedure that allows us to compute $Coe(X)$.

Theorem 33. *Let M be a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ such that $M \neq \{0\}$. The following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1) *M is an Coe-monoid.*
- (2) *$\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq M$ for all odd element x in M .*
- (3) *$\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq M$ for all odd element x in $msg(M)$.*

Proof. The equivalence between conditions 2) and 3) is analogous to the proof of Proposition 2.

1) *implies* 2) If M is an Coe-monoid, then there exists a family $\{S_i\}_{i \in I}$ of Coe-semigroups such that $M = \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i$. If x is an odd element in M , then $x \in S_i$ for all $i \in I$. As $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq S_i$ for all $i \in I$, then we get that $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq \bigcap_{i \in I} S_i = M$.

2) *implies* 1) It is clear that $M_k = M \cup \{2k, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, $M = \bigcap_{k \in \mathbb{N}} M_k$ is an Coe-monoid. \square

Corollary 34. *Let M be a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$. Then M is an Coe-monoid if and only if either $M \subseteq \langle 2 \rangle$ or M is an Coe-semigroup.*

Proof. If M is an Coe-monoid which does not contain odd elements, then $M \subseteq \langle 2 \rangle$. On the other hand, if x is an odd element in M , then by Theorem 33, $\{x-1, x, x+1\} \subseteq M$. Therefore, we can conclude that M is a numerical semigroup which is an Coe-semigroup.

Conversely, if $M \subseteq \langle 2 \rangle$, then, by applying condition 2) of Theorem 33, we get that M is an Coe-monoid. Furthermore, if M is an Coe-semigroup, then it is an Coe-monoid. \square

Corollary 35. *If X is a subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, then $\text{Coe}(X)$ is a submonoid of $(\mathbb{N}, +)$ generated by $X \cup \{x+1 \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } X\} \cup \{x-1 \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } X\}$.*

Proof. Let $A = X \cup \{x+1 \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } X\} \cup \{x-1 \mid x \text{ is an odd element in } X\}$. By condition 2) of Theorem 33, we get that $\langle A \rangle \subseteq \text{Coe}(X)$ and by condition 3) of Theorem 33, we obtain that $\text{Coe}(X) \subseteq A$. Whence, $\langle A \rangle = \text{Coe}(X)$. \square

Example 36. By using Corollary 35, we obtain that $\text{Coe}(\{4, 7\}) = \langle 4, 6, 7, 8 \rangle = \langle 4, 6, 7 \rangle$.

The following result is easy to prove.

Corollary 37. *Let X be a subset of $\mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, $\text{Coe}(X)$ is an Coe-semigroup if and only if X contains at least one odd element.*

6. COE-SEMIGROUPS WITH MAXIMAL EMBEDDING DIMENSION

It is known that, if S is a numerical semigroup, then its embedding dimension, $e(S)$, is less than or equal to its multiplicity, $m(S)$ (see [9, Proposition 2.10]). And S has maximal embedding dimension (Med-semigroup, for short) whenever $e(S) = m(S)$. From [2, Proposition I.2.9] we can deduce the next result.

Lemma 38. *Let S be a numerical semigroup. Then S is an Med-semigroup if and only if $\{s - m(S) \mid s \in S \setminus \{0\}\}$ is a numerical semigroup.*

Proposition 39. *Let S be an Med-semigroup such that $S \neq \mathbb{N}$. Then S is an Coe-semigroup if and only if $m(S)$ is even and $T = \{-m(S)\} + (S \setminus \{0\})$ is an Coe-semigroup.*

Proof. Necessity. From Lemma 38, we have that T is a numerical semigroup and by Proposition 1, $m(S)$ is even. Let t be an odd element in T . Then there exists $s \in S$ such that $t = s - m(S)$ and s is odd. Since S is an Coe-semigroup then $\{s-1, s+1\} \subseteq S$ and so $\{t-1, t+1\} = \{s-1-m(S), s+1-m(S)\} \subseteq T$. Hence, T is an Coe-semigroup.

Sufficiency. Let s be an odd element in S . Then $s - m(S)$ is an odd element in T . As T is an Coe-semigroup then $\{s-1-m(S), s+1-m(S)\} \subseteq T$ and so $\{s-1, s+1\} \subseteq S$. Consequently, S is an Coe-semigroup. \square

From Lemma 38, is easy to prove the following result.

Lemma 40. *If S is a numerical semigroup and $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$, then $S_x = (\{x\} + S) \cup \{0\}$ is an Med-semigroup with $m(S_x) = x$. Moreover, every Med-semigroup is of this form.*

Proposition 41. *Let S be an Coe-semigroup and let x be an even element in $S \setminus \{0\}$. Then $S_x = (\{x\} + S) \cup \{0\}$ is an Coe-semigroup with maximal embedding dimension. Moreover, every Coe-semigroup with maximal embedding dimension, distinct of \mathbb{N} , is of this form.*

Proof. By using Lemma 40, we know that S_x is an Med-semigroup with $m(S_x) = x$. Clearly, that $S = \{-x\} + (S_x \setminus \{0\})$ and by Proposition 39 we get that S_x is an Coe-semigroup.

Now, let T be an Coe-semigroup with maximal embedding dimension, distinct from \mathbb{N} . By Proposition 1, we have that $m(T)$ is even and, by Proposition 39, we deduce that $Q = \{-m(T)\} + (T \setminus \{0\})$ is an Coe-semigroup. Finally, $T = (\{m(T)\} + Q) \cup \{0\}$ wherein Q is an Coe-semigroup and $m(T)$ is an even element in Q . \square

Let S be a numerical semigroup and let $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$. The Apéry set (named so in honour of [1]) of n in S is

$$\text{Ap}(S, n) = \{s \in S \mid s - n \notin S\}.$$

Lemma 42. [9, Lemma 2.4] *Let S be a numerical semigroup and $n \in S \setminus \{0\}$. Then $\text{Ap}(S, n) = \{0 = w(0), w(1), \dots, w(n-1)\}$, where $w(i)$ is the least element in S congruent with i modulo n , for all $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$.*

Observe that the above lemma in particular implies that the cardinality of $\text{Ap}(S, n)$ is n . From [8], we can deduce the next result.

Proposition 43. *Let S be a numerical semigroup, $x \in S \setminus \{0\}$ and $T = (\{x\} + S) \cup \{0\}$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) T is an MED-semigroup
- (2) $m(T) = x$.
- (3) $F(T) = F(S) + x$.
- (4) $g(T) = g(S) + x - 1$.
- (5) $\text{msg}(T) = \text{Ap}(S, x) + \{x\}$.

Example 44. From Example 3 we have that $S = \langle 4, 6, 7 \rangle = \{0, 4, 6, 7, 8, 10, \rightarrow\}$ is an Coe-semigroup. Since 6 is an even element in S , then by applying Propositions 41 and 43, we obtain that $T = (\{6\} + S) \cup \{0\}$ is an Coe-semigroup with maximal embedding dimension, $m(T) = 6$, $F(T) = 9 + 6 = 15$, $g(T) = 5 + 6 - 1 = 10$ and $\text{msg}(T) = \text{Ap}(S, 6) + \{6\} = \{0, 4, 7, 8, 11, 15\} + \{6\} = \{6, 10, 13, 14, 17, 21\}$.

7. COE-SEMIGROUPS WITH AN UNIQUE ODD MINIMAL GENERATOR

Our first aim in this section is to prove Theorem 47, which can be used to construct whole set of Coe-semigroups with an unique odd minimal generator.

Lemma 45. *Let S be a numerical semigroup and $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$. Then $T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S)$ is an Coe-semigroup. Moreover, $2s + 1$ is the unique odd minimal generator in T .*

Proof. The sum of two elements in $2S$ belongs to $2S$. The sum of two elements in $\{2s + 1\} + 2S$ belongs to $2S$. The sum of an element in $2S$ and an element in $\{2s + 1\} + 2S$ belongs to $\{2s + 1\} + 2S$. As a result of the previous observations, we can conclude that T is a numerical semigroup. Besides, if $\text{msg}(S) = \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$, then $\{2n_1, \dots, 2n_p, 2s + 1\}$ is a system of generators of T and $2s + 1$ is the unique odd minimal generator in T . Since $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$, then $\{2s, 2s + 2\} \subseteq T$ and so, by Proposition 2, we obtain that T is an Coe-semigroup. \square

Lemma 46. *Let T be an Coe-semigroup, with $T \neq \mathbb{N}$, and x the unique odd minimal generator in T . Then there exists a numerical semigroup S such that $T = 2S \cup (\{x\} + 2S)$ and $\{\frac{x-1}{2}, \frac{x-1}{2} + 1\} \subseteq S$.*

Proof. If $A = \{a \in \text{msg}(T) \mid a \text{ is even}\}$, then $\text{msg}(T) = A \cup \{x\}$. Since T is an Coe-semigroup, then $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq T$ and so $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$. As $\text{gcd}\{x - 1, x + 1\} = 2$, then $\text{gcd}\{A\} = 2$ and therefore $S = \langle \{\frac{a}{2} \mid a \in A\} \rangle$ is a numerical semigroup.

Since $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$, then $2x = (x - 1) + (x + 1) \in \langle A \rangle$ and we obtain that $T = \langle A \rangle \cup (\{x\} + \langle A \rangle)$. Besides, we have that $\langle A \rangle = 2S$, then $T = 2S \cup (\{x\} + 2S)$. Finally, if $\{x - 1, x + 1\} \subseteq \langle A \rangle$, then we get that $\{\frac{x-1}{2}, \frac{x+1}{2}\} = \{\frac{x-1}{2}, \frac{x-1}{2} + 1\} \subseteq S$. \square

As a consequence of Lemmas 45 and 46, we obtain the next result.

Theorem 47. *If S is a numerical semigroup and $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$, then $T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S)$ is an Coe-semigroup with an unique odd minimal generator. Moreover, every Coe-semigroup with an unique odd minimal generator is of this form.*

Proposition 48. *Let S be a numerical semigroup, and $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$, then $T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S)$. Then the following conditions hold:*

- (1) $m(T) = 2m(S)$.
- (2) $F(T) = 2F(S) + (2s + 1)$.
- (3) $g(T) = 2g(S) + s$.
- (4) $\text{msg}(T) = (2\text{msg}(S)) \cup \{2s + 1\}$.
- (5) $e(T) = e(S) + 1$.

Proof. (1) Trivial.

- (2) It is sufficient to see that all even elements greater than $2F(S)$ and all odd elements greater than $2s + 1 + 2F(S)$ belong to T . Since $2s + 1 + 2F(S) \notin T$, then $F(T) = 2s + 1 + 2F(S)$.
- (3) The cardinality of the set of the even elements not in T is equal to $g(S)$. The set of the odd elements not in T is equal to $\{2k + 1 \mid k \in \{0, 1, \dots, s - 1\}\} \cup \{2s + 1 + 2x \mid x \in \mathbb{N} \setminus S\}$. Hence, we get that $g(T) = 2g(S) + s$.
- (4) If $\text{msg}(S) = \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$, then $T = \langle 2n_1, \dots, 2n_p, 2s + 1 \rangle$. In fact, $2s + 1 \notin \langle 2n_1, \dots, 2n_p \rangle$. In order to conclude the proof, it suffices to show that $2n_1 \notin \langle 2n_2, \dots, 2n_p, 2s + 1 \rangle$. Suppose that there exists $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ such that $2n_1 = \lambda_1(2s + 1) + \lambda_2(2n_2) + \dots + \lambda_p(2n_p)$. Hence, we get that λ_1 is even and $n_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{2}(2s + 1) + \lambda_2 n_2 + \dots + \lambda_p n_p$, with $\{\frac{\lambda_1}{2}, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_p\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$. Since $\text{msg}(S) = \{n_1, \dots, n_p\}$ and $2s + 1 \in S$, then $n_1 = 2s + 1$. We have that $s = \frac{n_1 - 1}{2}$, and as $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$, then $\{\frac{n_1 - 1}{2}, \frac{n_1 + 1}{2}\} \subseteq S \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, $\frac{n_1 - 1}{2} + \frac{n_1 + 1}{2} = n_1$ and so $n_1 \notin \text{msg}(S)$, a contradiction.
- (5) Follow directly from the (4). □

Example 49. If $S = \langle 5, 7, 9 \rangle$ then $m(S) = 5$, $F(S) = 13$, $g(S) = 8$, $\text{msg}(S) = \{5, 7, 9\}$ and $e(S) = 3$. Since $\{14, 15\} \subseteq S$, then by Theorem 47, $T = 2S \cup (\{29\} + 2S)$ is an Coe-semigroup. By Proposition 48, we obtain that $m(T) = 10$, $F(T) = 55$, $g(T) = 30$, $\text{msg}(T) = \{10, 14, 18, 29\}$ and $e(T) = 4$.

Let S a numerical semigroup. We say that s is a small element in S if $s < F(S)$. Denote by $N(S)$ the set of all small elements in S and by $n(S)$ its cardinality. Note that $F(S) + 1 = g(S) + n(S)$.

In 1978, H. S. Wilf (see [12]) conjectured upper bound for $g(S)$, namely, $g(S) \leq (e(S) - 1)n(S)$. Nowadays, the Wilf's conjecture remains unanswered, but for some families of numerical semigroups this conjecture is known to be true (see for example, [3]).

Proposition 50. *Let S be a numerical semigroup, $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$ and $T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S)$. If S verifies the Wilf's conjecture, then T also verifies the same conjecture.*

Proof. As $n(T) = F(T) + 1 - g(T)$, then by Proposition 48, we have that $n(T) = 2F(S) + (2s + 1) + 1 - (2g(S) + s) = 2(F(S) + 1 - g(S)) + s = 2n(S) + s$. We have that T verifies the Wilf's conjecture if

$$\begin{aligned} g(T) &\leq (e(T) - 1)n(T) \iff \\ 2g(S) + s &\leq e(S)(2n(S) + s) \iff \\ g(S) &\leq (e(S) - 1)n(S) + n(S) + \frac{(e(S) - 1)s}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

Since S verifies the Wilf's conjecture, then $g(S) \leq (e(S) - 1)n(S)$, $n(S) \geq 0$ and $\frac{(e(S)-1)s}{2} \geq 0$ and therefore T also verifies the same conjecture. \square

Example 51. If S is a numerical semigroup with $e(S) = 3$, then by [4, Theorem 2.11], we have that S verifies the Wilf's conjecture. If $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq S$, then by Proposition 48, we obtain that $T = 2S \cup (\{2s + 1\} + 2S)$ is an Coe-semigroup which verifies the Wilf's conjecture.

We finish this section by studying the class of Coe-semigroups with embedding dimension 1, 2 and 3. Clearly, the numerical semigroups, \mathbb{N} and $\langle 2, 2k + 1 \rangle$ with $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$, are all Coe-semigroups with embedding dimension 1 and 2.

Lemma 52. *If S is an Coe-semigroup with $e(S) = 3$, then S has an unique odd minimal generator.*

Proof. If $\text{msg}(S) = \{n_1 < n_2 < n_3\}$, then by Proposition 1, we get that n_1 is an even integer. If n_2 and n_3 are two odd integers, as $\{n_2 - 1, n_2 + 1\} \subseteq T$, then $\{n_2 - 1, n_2 + 1\} \subseteq \langle n_1 \rangle$ and so $n_1 = 2$. Therefore, $m(S) = 2$ and $e(S) \leq m(S) = 2$ a contradiction. \square

Proposition 53. *Let a and b be positive integers such that $2 \leq a < b$, $\gcd\{a, b\} = 1$ and $\{s, s + 1\} \subseteq \langle a, b \rangle$. Then $T = \langle 2a, 2b, 2s + 1 \rangle$ is an Coe-semigroup with embedding dimension 3. Moreover, every Coe-semigroup with embedding dimension 3 is of this form.*

Proof. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 47, Proposition 48 and Lemma 52. \square

The next result appears in [11].

Lemma 54. *Let a and b be positive integers such that $2 \leq a < b$, $\gcd\{a, b\} = 1$. Then:*

- (1) $F(\langle a, b \rangle) = ab - a - b$.
- (2) $g(\langle a, b \rangle) = \frac{(a-1)(b-1)}{2}$.

By applying Propositions 48, 53 and Lemma 54, we obtain the next result.

Proposition 55. *If S is an Coe-semigroup, $\text{msg}(S) = \{n_1, n_2, n_3\}$ and n_3 an odd integer, then:*

- (1) $F(S) = n_3 + \frac{n_1 n_2}{2} - n_1 - n_2$.
- (2) $g(S) = \frac{n_3 - 1}{2} + (\frac{n_1}{2} - 1)(\frac{n_2}{2} - 1)$.

From [9, Lemma 2.14] we know that if S is a numerical semigroup, then $g(S) \geq \frac{F(S)+1}{2}$. Following the terminology introduced in [5] a numerical semigroup is symmetric if $g(S) = \frac{F(S)+1}{2}$.

By Proposition 55, we have the following result.

Corollary 56. *If S is an Coe-semigroup with $e(S) = 3$, then S is a symmetric numerical semigroup.*

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Apéry, Sur les branches superlinéaires de courbes algébriques, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 222, (1946), 1198-2000.
- [2] V. Barucci, V. and D. E. Dobbs and M. Fontana, *Maximality Properties in Numerical Semigroups and Applications to One-Dimensional Analytically Irreducible Local Domains*. Memoirs of the Amer. Math. Soc. 598, Amer. Math. Soc. Providence, RI, (1997).
- [3] M. Delgado, Conjecture of Wilf: A Survey. In: Barucci V., Chapman S., D'Anna M., Fröberg R. (eds) Numerical Semigroups. Springer INdAM Series, vol 40. Springer, Cham, (2020)
- [4] D. E. Dobbs, G. L. Matthews, On a question of Wilf concerning numerical semigroups, en Focus on Commutative Rings Research, 193–202, Nova Sci. Publ. New York, 2006.
- [5] E. Kunz, The value-semigroup of a one-dimensional Gorenstein ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 25 (1973), 748-751.
- [6] J. L. Ramirez Alfonsín, The Diophantine Frobenius Problem, Oxford University Press, Oxford (2005).
- [7] J. C. Rosales, Numerical semigroups that differ from a Symmetric numerical semigroups in an element, Algebra Colloquium 15 (2008), 23-32.
- [8] J. C. Rosales, Principal ideals of a numerical semigroups, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, Volume 10, Number 3 (2003), 329-343.
- [9] J. C. Rosales and P. A. García-Sánchez, *Numerical semigroups*. Developments in Mathematics, vol.20, Springer, New York, (2009).
- [10] J. C. Rosales, P. A. García-Sánchez, J. I. Garcia-Garcia and M. B. Branco, Numerical semigroups with maximal embedding dimension, International Journal Commutative rings, 2 (2003), 47-53.
- [11] J. J. Sylvester, Mathematical questions with their solutions, Educational Times 41 (1884): 21.
- [12] H. S. Wilf, Circle-of-lights algorithm for money changing problem, American Mathematical Monthly 85 (1978), 562-565.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, UNIVERSIDAD DE GRANADA, E-18071 GRANADA, SPAIN

Email address: `jrosales@ugr.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE DE ÉVORA, 7000 ÉVORA, PORTUGAL

Email address: `mbb@uevora.pt`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, INSTITUTO FEDERAL DE SÃO PAULO, CARAGUATATUBA, SP, BRAZIL

Email address: `marciotraesel@ifsp.edu.br`