

Codimensions of identities of solvable Lie superalgebras

M.V. Zaicev¹ and D.D. Repovš²

Abstract. We study identities of Lie superalgebras over a field of characteristic zero. We construct a series of examples of finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras with a non-nilpotent commutator subalgebra for which PI-exponent of codimension growth exists and is an integer number.

Keywords: identities, codimensions, Lie superalgebras, PI-exponent.

1 Introduction

We study identities of Lie superalgebras over a field F of characteristic zero. The existence of a non-trivial identity of an algebra plays an important role in the study of its properties and structure. For example, if A is an associative finitely generated PI-algebra then its Gelfand – Kirillov dimension $GKdim(A)$ is finite and Jacobson radical $J(A)$ is nilpotent. Moreover, if A is simple then $\dim A < \infty$. If A and B are two finite-dimensional simple algebras (not necessarily associative) over an algebraically closed field then they are isomorphic if and only if A and B satisfy the same polynomial identities.

Analysis of numerical invariants is one of the fundamental directions in the study of identity relations. One of the most significant numerical invariants that characterizes the quantity of identities of algebra A is the sequence $c_n(A), n = 1, 2, \dots$, called the codimension sequence. In the general case, the sequence $\{c_n(A)\}$ has an overexponential growth. For example, if A is a free associative algebra of countable rank then $c_n(A) = n!$. For a free Lie algebra we have $c_n(A) = (n - 1)!$. Even if a Lie algebra L satisfies the sufficiently strong identity $[[x_1, x_2, x_3], [y_1, y_2, y_3]] \equiv 0$ then its codimension sequence $\{c_n(L)\}$ grows like $\sqrt{n!}$ (see [1]). Nevertheless, for a wide class of algebras, the codimension sequence is exponentially bounded. So, for any associative PI-algebra A there is a constant a such that $c_n(A) < a^n$ for all $n \geq 1$ [2] (see also [3]). If A is an arbitrary finite-dimensional algebra, $\dim A = d$, then $c_n(A) \leq d^{n+1}$ (see [4] or [5]). If L is an infinite-dimensional simple Lie algebra of Cartan type or Virasoro algebra then $c_n(A) < a^n$ [6]. Similar restriction holds also for any affine Kac – Moody algebra [7]. If L is a Lie superalgebra with nilpotent commutator subalgebra, $(L^2)^{t+1} = 0$, then the codimension sequence $\{c_n(L)\}$ grows asymptotically not faster than $(2t)^n$ [8]. For any Novikov algebra, A the codimension sequence is also exponentially bounded, $c_n(A) \leq 4^n$ [9].

In the 1980s S. Amitsur posed a conjecture that the limit of the sequence $\{\sqrt[n]{c_n(A)}\}$ exists and is a non-negative integer for any associative PI-algebra A . This conjecture was

¹*Zaicev Mikhail Vladimirovich* – Dr. Sci., Prof., Chair of Higher Algebra, Faculty of Mech. and Math., MSU, e-mail: zaicevmv@mail.ru

²*Repovš Dušan Dušanovič* – Dr. Sci., Prof., Chair of Topology and Geometry, Faculty of Math. and Phys., UL., e-mail: dusan.repovs@guest.arnes.si

confirmed in [10], [11] and the limit

$$\exp(A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n(A)}, \quad (1)$$

was called the PI-exponent of algebra A . Later on, the existence and integrality of the limit (1) was proved for any finite-dimensional Lie algebra [12], Jordan algebra [13], and some other algebras. It turned out that in the case of finite-dimensional associative, Lie or Jordan algebra over an algebraically closed field, that the PI-exponent of A is equal to $\dim A$ if and only if A is simple.

If A is graded by a group G , one can also study G -graded identities of A and their numerical invariants. Graded identities form a more precise characteristic than ordinary identities. For example, if $G = \mathbb{Z}_2$ then any multilinear identity of degree n is equivalent to the system of 2^n graded identities. Therefore it is reasonable in the Lie superalgebra case to consider both graded and non-graded identities.

It turned out that in the super Lie case, the situation significantly differs from the ordinary Lie or associative case. In papers [14], [15], [16] examples of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras are given for which graded and ordinary PI-exponent exist but they are not integer numbers. It was also shown that PI-exponent of simple Lie superalgebra L can be less than $\dim L$.

In the above mentioned examples, the finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras are not solvable. So, the natural question arises: Is it true that graded and non-graded exponents exist for any finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebra L ? If commutator subalgebra of L is nilpotent then the answer is affirmative (see [8]). On the other hand, for solvable Lie superalgebra $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ with nonzero odd component L_1 , its ideal L^2 can be non-nilpotent. In [17] a series of finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras $S(t), t \geq 2$, with non-nilpotent commutator subalgebras was constructed. It was also shown that $\exp(S(2)) = \exp^{gr}(S(2)) = 4$. In the present paper we prove existence and integrality of graded PI-exponent for any superalgebra $S(t), t \geq 3$. We also compute the value of this exponent.

All necessary information about polynomial identities and their numerical invariants can be found in monographs [18], [19], [20].

2 Preliminaries

Let F be a field of characteristic zero and let $F\{X, Y\}$ be the absolutely free algebra over F with two infinite sets of generators X and Y . Algebra $F\{X, Y\}$ can be naturally endowed with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $F\{X, Y\} = F\{X, Y\}_0 \oplus F\{X, Y\}_1$ if we define all generators from X as even and all from Y as odd. If $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ is some \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra over F then a nonassociative polynomial $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_n) \in F\{X, Y\}$ is called a graded identity of algebra L if $f = f(a_1, \dots, a_m, b_1, \dots, b_n) = 0$ for any $a_1, \dots, a_m \in L_0, b_1, \dots, b_n \in L_1$. The set of all identities $Id^{gr}(L)$ forms a graded ideal of $F\{X, Y\}$, invariant under all endomorphisms of $F\{X, Y\}$ preserving grading, that is, it is a T-ideal.

Denote by $P_{k,m}$ the subspace of all multilinear polynomials of degree $n = r + m$ on $x_1, \dots, x_k \in X, y_1, \dots, y_m \in Y$. It is well-known that the family of all subspaces $P_{r,m} \cap Id^{gr}(L), k, m \geq 1$, uniquely defines $Id^{gr}(L)$ as a T-ideal. Let also

$$P_{k,n-k}(L) = \frac{P_{k,n-k}}{P_{k,n-k} \cap Id^{gr}(L)}.$$

Then the value

$$c_{k,n-k}(L) = \dim P_{k,n-k}(L)$$

is called the partial $(k, n - k)$ -graded codimension, whereas the value

$$c_n^{gr}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} c_{k,n-k}(L)$$

is called the n -th graded codimension of L .

As in the non-graded case, the sequence of graded codimensions of a finite-dimensional algebra L is exponentially bounded [4]. This implies the existence of the limits

$$\overline{exp^{gr}}(A) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^{gr}(A)}, \quad \underline{exp^{gr}}(A) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^{gr}(A)},$$

called the upper and the lower graded PI-exponents of L , respectively. If the ordinary limit

$$exp^{gr}(L) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{c_n^{gr}(L)},$$

exists then it is called the (ordinary) graded PI-exponent of L .

Representation theory of symmetric groups is the main tool in the study of numerical characteristics of polynomial relations. Permutation group S_n acts naturally on multilinear expressions

$$\sigma \circ f(z_1, \dots, z_n) = f(z_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, z_{\sigma(n)}).$$

We recall some elements of representation theory of permutation groups. All details can be found in [21].

Denote by $R = FS_m$ the group algebra of group S_m . Recall the construction of minimal left ideals of R . Let $\lambda \vdash m$ be a partition of m , that is, an ordered set of integers $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$ such that $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_k > 0, \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = m$. To this partition corresponds the so-called Young diagram, that is, the tableau consisting of m cells, where λ_1 cells stay in the first row, λ_2 cells stay in the second row, etc. Then the Young tableau T_λ is the Young diagram D_λ filled up by integers $1, \dots, m$.

Given Young tableau T_λ in FS_m , one can construct two subgroups R_{T_λ} and C_{T_λ} in S_m . The first one is called the row stabilizer and consists of those $\sigma \in S_m$ which move integers only within rows of T_λ . The second one is called the column stabilizer and consists of permutations which move numbers $1, 2, \dots, m$ only within columns of T_λ . Given Young tableau T_λ , one can correspond to it the element

$$e_{T_\lambda} = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in R_{T_\lambda}} \sigma \right) \left(\sum_{\tau \in C_{T_\lambda}} (-1)^\tau \tau \right), \quad (2)$$

of group ring called the Young symmetrizer. It is well-known that Young symmetrizer is quasi-idempotent, that is, $e_{T_\lambda}^2 = \gamma e_{T_\lambda}$ where $\gamma \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a nonzero scalar. Moreover, the left ideal Re_{T_λ} is minimal. Its character is denoted by χ_λ . Any irreducible left R -module M is isomorphic to some Re_{T_λ} . In this case, its character $\chi(M)$ is equal to χ_λ . Recall also that Re_{T_λ} and Re_{T_μ} are isomorphic as FS_m -modules if and only if $\lambda = \mu$.

Any finite-dimensional S_m -module M can be decomposed into a direct sum of irreducible components $M = M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_t$. In this case, the expression

$$\chi(M) = \sum_{\lambda \vdash m} m_\lambda \chi_\lambda \quad (3)$$

means that among M_1, \dots, M_t there are exactly m_λ summands with the character χ_λ . The sum of multiplicities m_λ in the decomposition (3) (that is, the number t) is called the length of the module M .

When we study identities of \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebras, we need to use the action of direct product of two symmetric groups on multilinear components of the direct product of two symmetric groups. Group $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ acts on the space $P_{k,n-k}$. The intersection $P_{k,n-k} \cap Id^{gr}(L)$ is invariant under this action for any algebra $L_0 \oplus L_1$. Hence $P_{k,n-k}(L)$ is also a $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ -module. Any irreducible $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ -module is isomorphic to the tensor product $M \otimes N$ of irreducible S_k - and S_{n-k} -modules, respectively. The character of this module is denoted by $\chi_{\lambda,\mu}$, where $\chi_\lambda = \chi(M)$, $\chi_\mu = \chi(N)$. In these notations, decomposition of $P_{k,n-k}(L)$ into irreducible components has the following form:

$$\chi_{k,n-k}(L) = \chi(P_{k,n-k}(L)) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda,\mu} \chi_{\lambda,\mu}, \quad (4)$$

where $m_{\lambda,\mu}$ is the multiplicity of $\chi_{\lambda,\mu}$ in the decomposition of $\chi_n(L)$. It follows that

$$c_{k,n-k}(L) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda,\mu} d_\lambda d_\mu, \quad (5)$$

where d_λ, d_μ are dimensions of irreducible S_k - and S_{n-k} representations with the characters χ_λ and χ_μ , respectively.

There is another important series of numerical invariants for estimating the growth of codimensions. Value $l_{k,n-k}(L)$ defined as

$$l_{k,n-k}(L) = \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda,\mu},$$

is called the partial colength of L . Here, $m_{\lambda,\mu}$ is an integer on the right hand side of (4). The total sum

$$l_n^{gr}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^n l_{k,n-k}(L) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} m_{\lambda,\mu},$$

is called the graded colength.

An important role is played by the estimate of colength obtained in [22].

Lemma 1 [22, Theorem 1] *Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded algebra, $\dim L = d$. Then*

$$l_n^{gr}(L) \leq d(n+1)^{d^2+d+1}.$$

3 Upper estimates for codimension growth

In this section we obtain an upper bound estimate for codimension growth of Lie superalgebras close to finite-dimensional. We shall need a technical statement, related to the choice of generators in $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ -submodules in $P_{k,n-k}$.

Lemma 2 *Let M be an irreducible $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ -submodule in $P_{k,n-k}$ with the character $\chi_{\lambda,\mu}$, $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \vdash k$, $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q) \vdash (n-k)$. Then there exist $0 \neq f = f(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}) \in M$ and decompositions $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{\lambda_1}$, $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}\} = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{\mu_1}$ into disjoint subsets such that f is skew symmetric on each of the subsets $X_1, \dots, X_{\lambda_1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mu_1}$. Here, the cardinality $|X_i|$ of each X_i , $1 \leq i \leq \lambda_1$ is equal to the height of the i -th column of Young diagram D_λ , whereas the cardinality of each $|Y_j|$, $1 \leq j \leq \mu_1$ is equal to the height of the j -th column of the diagram D_μ .*

Proof. By hypotheses, M is isomorphic to $FS_k e_{T_\lambda} \otimes FS_{n-k} e_{T_\mu}$, where $\lambda \vdash k, \mu \vdash (n-k)$. In particular, M as $F[S_k \times S_{n-k}]$ -module is generated by elements of the type $(e_{T_\lambda} \otimes e_{T_\mu})h$, where $h = h(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{n-k})$ is a multilinear polynomial. Denote $h' = e_{T_\lambda} h$. If e_{T_λ} has the form (2) then we take

$$h''(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}) = \left(\sum_{\sigma \in C_{T_\lambda}} (-1)^\sigma \sigma \right) h'.$$

Let $X_1 \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ consist of all x_i such that indices i are in the first column of the tableau T_λ , $X_2 \subseteq \{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ consists of all x_i such that indices i are in the second column of T_λ and so on. Then $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\} = X_1 \cup \dots \cup X_{\lambda_1}$ and h'' is skew symmetric on each of the sets $X_1, \dots, X_{\lambda_1}$. Besides, $h'' \neq 0$ since $e_{T_\lambda}^2 \neq 0$, and

$$\left(\sum_{\rho \in R_{T_\lambda}} \rho \right) h'' = e_{T_\lambda} h' = e_{T_\lambda}^2 h.$$

Next, we set

$$f = \left(\sum_{\tau \in C_{T_\mu}} (-1)^\tau \tau \right) h''$$

and decompose $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n-k}\}$ into the union $Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_{\mu_1}$ according to the distribution of indices y_i -th among the columns of T_μ . Then $f \neq 0$ and Y_1, \dots, Y_{μ_1} satisfy all required conditions and the proof of the lemma is completed.

Recall that any ideal of a Lie superalgebra is by definition homogeneous in \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading. For an upper bound of codimension growth we need the following observation.

Lemma 3 *Let $L = L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a Lie superalgebra and $I_0 \oplus I_1$ its nilpotent ideal of L of finite codimension, $I^{m+1} = 0$. Let also $d_0 = \dim(L_0/I_0), d_1 = \dim(L_1/I_1)$. If $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \vdash k, \mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_q) \vdash (n - k)$ are two partitions such that $m_{\lambda, \mu} \neq 0$ in the decomposition (4) for L , then $\lambda_{d_0+1} + \dots + \lambda_p \leq m$ and $\mu_{d_1+1} + \dots + \mu_q \leq m$.*

Proof. Fix a basis u_1, u_2, \dots of L_0 such that u_1, \dots, u_{d_0} are linearly independent modulo I_0 , whereas all remaining u_i lie in I_0 . Similarly, choose a basis v_1, v_2, \dots in L_1 such that v_1, \dots, v_{d_1} are linearly independent modulo I_1 and $v_j \in I_1, j > d_1$.

Consider an irreducible $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ -submodule in $P_{k, n-k}$ with the character $\chi_{\lambda, \mu}$ and take in M a generator $f = f(x_1, \dots, x_k, y_1, \dots, y_{n-k})$ and distributions $X_1, \dots, X_{\lambda_1}, Y_1, \dots, Y_{\mu_1}$ constructed in Lemma 2. Suppose that $\lambda_{d_0+1} + \dots + \lambda_p \geq m + 1$. In order to check whether f is an identity of L or not it is sufficient to replace variables with elements of fixed bases of corresponding parity. Let exactly t first columns of diagram D_λ have the height strictly greater than d_0 , that is, $|X_1|, \dots, |X_t| > d_0, |X_{t+1}| \leq d_0$. If we substitute instead of variables from one of the sets $X_i, 1 \leq i \leq t$, more than d_0 basis vectors u_j with $j \leq d_0$, then we get zero value of f due to skew symmetry. Otherwise we need to substitute not less than

$$N = (|X_1| - d_0) + \dots + (|X_t| - d_0)$$

basis elements from I . But since

$$N = \lambda_{d_0+1} + \dots + \lambda_p \geq m + 1,$$

and $I^{m+1} = 0$, we again obtain zero value for f . Analogously, $f \equiv 0$, provided that $\mu_{d_1+1} + \dots + \mu_q \geq m + 1$. Since the inequality $m_{\lambda, \mu} \neq 0$ implies that f is not an identity of L , the proof of Lemma 3 is completed.

Now we estimate dimensions of irreducible components in the decomposition of $P_{k, n-k}(L)$.

Lemma 4 *Let $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p) \vdash n$ be a partition of n such that $p \geq d + 1$ and $\lambda_{d+1} + \dots + \lambda_p \leq m$. Then, given, p and m , the inequality $d_\lambda \leq n^m d^n$ holds.*

Proof. Consider a partition $\nu = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_d)$ of the integer $n' = \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_d$. Then $n - n' \leq m$ and by Lemma 6.2.4 from [20], $d_\lambda \leq n^m d_\nu$ and by Corollary 4.4.7 from [20], we have $d_\nu \leq d^{n'}$.

Proposition 1 *Let $L_0 \oplus L_1$ be a finite-dimensional Lie superalgebra $\dim L = d$ and let $I = I_0 \oplus I_1$ be a nilpotent ideal in $L, I^{m+1} = 0, \dim(L_0/I_0) = d_0$, such that $\dim(L_1/I_1) = d_1$. Then there exists a polynomial $\varphi(n)$ depending only on m, d, d_0 and d_1 such that*

$$c_{k, n-k}(L) \leq \varphi(n) d_0^k d_1^{n-k} \quad \text{for all } 0 \leq k \leq n. \quad (6)$$

In particular,

$$c_n^{gr}(L) \leq \varphi(n) (d_0 + d_1)^n. \quad (7)$$

Proof. Consider the expression (5) for $c_{k,n-k}(L)$. Since all multiplicities $m_{\lambda,\mu}$ are bounded from above by the value $l_n^{gr}(L)$, then by Lemma 1 we have

$$c_{k,n-k}(L) = d(n+1)^{d^2+d+1} \sum_{\substack{\lambda \vdash k \\ \mu \vdash n-k}} d_\lambda d_\mu. \quad (8)$$

Skew symmetry considerations applied in the proof of Lemma 3 allow us to claim that the height of partitions λ and μ (that is the height of corresponding Young diagram) does not exceed d . Clearly, the number of such partitions is less than n^d . Hence, applying Lemma 3 and Lemma 4, we deduce from (8) the bound (6) for some polynomial $\varphi(n)$. Now the inequality (7) follows from (6) and the definition of graded codimension and we have completed the proof of the proposition.

4 Lie superalgebras of the series $S(t)$

In this section we define an infinite series of finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras with nonnilpotent commutator subalgebra. We will use the following agreements. If A is a Lie superalgebra then we denote the product of elements of A by an ordinary commutator bracket $[x, y]$. If A is an associative algebra then $[x, y] = xy - yx$. If $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ is an associative algebra with \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading and x and y are homogeneous elements from A then

$$[x, y] = xy - (-1)^{|x||y|}yx,$$

where $|x|$ is the parity of x , that is, 0 or 1. We agree to omit the brackets in the case of left-normed arrangement, that is, $[x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}] = [[x_1, \dots, x_k], x_{k+1}]$ for all $k \geq 2$.

First, let R be an arbitrary associative algebra with involution $* : R \rightarrow R$. Consider the associative algebra $Q = M_2(R)$,

$$Q = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \mid A, B, C, D \in R \right\},$$

and endow Q by the \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading $Q = Q_0 \oplus Q_1$ by setting

$$Q_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & D \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad Q_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ C & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

It is well-known that Q with the product $[\cdot, \cdot]$ is a Lie superalgebra. Given an associative algebra R with involution, denote by R^+ and R^- the subspaces of symmetric and skew elements of R , respectively:

$$R^+ = \{x \in R \mid x^* = x\}, \quad R^- = \{x \in R \mid x^* = -x\}.$$

Then the subspace

$$L = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x^* \end{pmatrix} \mid x \in R, y \in R^+, z \in R^- \right\}, \quad (9)$$

is also Lie superalgebra with the same product as in R , where

$$L_0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -x^* \end{pmatrix} \right\}, \quad L_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & y \\ z & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.$$

Remark 1 *In fact, one of the series of simple Lie superalgebras, namely $p(t)$, is constructed in this way (see, for example, [23]).*

Remark 2 *For the Lie superalgebra L , constructed above the following conditions are equivalent:*

- 1) L is solvable,
- 2) L_0 is solvable Lie algebra,
- 3) R is Lie solvable,
- 4) maximal semisimple subalgebra of R is commutative.

Thus, the proposed construction gives us a wide class of finite-dimensional solvable Lie superalgebras with a non-nilpotent (as a rule) commutator subalgebra. As an example we can take algebra of upper triangular $t \times t$ matrices $R = UT_t(F)$, finite-dimensional incidence algebra or any associative subalgebra in $UT_t(F)$. We restrict ourselves to the case $R = UT_t(F)$.

Recall the description of involutions on $UT_t(F)$. One of them $\circ : R \rightarrow R$ is the reflection along secondary diagonal. That is $e_{ij}^\circ = e_{t+1-j, t+1-i}$ (e_{ij} are the matrix units). It is defined for all $t \geq 2$. We will call it *orthogonal*. Another one is defined only for even t . Let $t = 2m$. Set

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} E & 0 \\ 0 & -E \end{pmatrix},$$

where E is the identity $m \times m$ matrix. Then the map $s : R \rightarrow R$,

$$X^s = DX^\circ D^{-1},$$

is also an involution on R . It is said to be *symplectic*. If we write X as $X = \begin{pmatrix} U & V \\ 0 & W \end{pmatrix}$,

then $X^s = \begin{pmatrix} U^\circ & -V^\circ \\ 0 & W^\circ \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 2 [24, Proposition 2.5] *Any involution on $UT_t(F)$ is equivalent to \circ or s .*

Definition. Lie superalgebra $(S(t), *)$, $t \geq 2$, is algebra (9), where $R = UT_t(F)$ and $*$ = \circ or s is the orthogonal or symplectic involution on R .

Sometimes we will denote both $(S(t), \circ)$ and $(S(t), s)$ just by $S(t)$. We need multiplication formulas in L :

$$\left[\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^* \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right] = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & AB + BA^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (10)$$

$$\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^* \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{array} \right) \right] = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ -A^*C - CA & 0 \end{array} \right), \quad (11)$$

$$\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & -A^* \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} B & 0 \\ 0 & -B^* \end{array} \right) \right] = \left(\begin{array}{cc} AB - BA & 0 \\ 0 & -(AB - BA)^* \end{array} \right), \quad (12)$$

$$\left[\left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & B \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ C & 0 \end{array} \right) \right] = \left(\begin{array}{cc} BC & 0 \\ 0 & CB \end{array} \right). \quad (13)$$

Let us introduce few more notations. First note that both involutions \circ and s act similarly on diagonal matrix units: $e_{ii}^* = e_{t+1-i, t+1-i}$. Now, for even $t = 2m \geq 2$ or for odd $t = 2m + 1 \geq 3$ we denote

$$X_i = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e_{ii} - e_{ii}^* & 0 \\ 0 & e_{ii} - e_{ii}^* \end{array} \right), Y_i = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & e_{ii} + e_{ii}^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right), Z_i = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & 0 \\ e_{ii} - e_{ii}^* & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, and

$$E_{ij} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e_{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & -e_{ij}^* \end{array} \right), \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq t, \quad I = \left(\begin{array}{cc} E & 0 \\ 0 & -E \end{array} \right), \quad Y_0 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 0 & E \\ 0 & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

where E is the identity $t \times t$ matrix. The following relations follow from multiplication formulas (10) - (13):

$$[X_i, Y_j] = [X_i, Z_j] = [X_i, X_j] = 0, [Y_i, Z_j] = \delta_{ij} Z_i, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \quad (14)$$

where δ_{ij} is the Kronecker symbol and also

$$\begin{aligned} [E_{ik}, E_{kj}] &= E_{ij}, \quad 1 \leq i < k < j \leq 2m, [E_{k, k+1}, X_{k+1}] = -[E_{k, k+1}, X_k] = E_{k, k+1} \\ [E_{k, k+1}, X_j] &= 0, \quad j \neq k, k+1, [I, E_{ij}] = 0, [I, Y_0] = 2Y_0. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

At the end of this section we give a lower bound for PI-exponent.

Proposition 3 *Let L be a Lie superalgebra of the type $S(t)$. Then $\overline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \leq 2t$ for even t or $\overline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \leq 2t - 1$ for odd t .*

Proof. First note that besides \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, algebra L is also endowed by \mathbb{Z} -grading of the type $L = L^{(0)} \oplus \dots \oplus L^{(t-1)}$. Initial algebra R has \mathbb{Z} -grading $R = R^{(0)} \oplus \dots \oplus R^{(t-1)}$, where

$$R^{(k)} = \text{Span}\{e_{ij} \mid j - i = k\}.$$

Now, if we put

$$L^{(k)} = \left\{ \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & -A^* \end{array} \right) \mid A \in R^{(k)}, B \in R^+ \cap R^{(k)}, C \in R^- \cap R^{(k)} \right\},$$

then multiplication rules (10) - (13) show that $L = L^{(0)} \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{(t-1)}$ is the required \mathbb{Z} -decomposition. All subspaces $L^{(j)}$ are homogeneous in \mathbb{Z}_2 -grading, hence $L^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{(t-1)}$ is an ideal of L of codimension $2t$. Since this ideal is nilpotent, Proposition 1 completes the proof for even t .

Now let $t = 2m + 1$. In order to apply Proposition 1 again it is enough to show that $I = \langle b \rangle + L^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{(t-1)}$ is a nilpotent ideal of L , where

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & E_{m+1, m+1} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L_1 \cap L^{(0)}.$$

First we need to check that $[a, b] \in I$, if a is even or odd element from $L^{(0)}$. If a is even then $[a, b] = \alpha b$, $\alpha \in F$, as follows from (10) and definition $L^{(0)}$. If

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{16}$$

then $[ab] = 0$ since the product of any two elements of the type (16) is equal to zero. On the other hand, if

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

then c is a diagonal matrix with zero entry at the $(m + 1)$ -th position. Hence $[a, b] = 0$ according to (13).

Now let us prove that $I^{4t} = 0$. Let $a = [b_1, \dots, b_{4t}]$ be a left-normed commutator of homogeneous both in \mathbb{Z}_2 - and in \mathbb{Z} -grading elements. If among b_i 's appear at least t factors from $L^{(1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus L^{(t-1)}$ then $a = 0$, as follows from \mathbb{Z} -grading arguments. On the other hand, if such factors appear less than t times then b appears at least three times in a row since $I^{(0)} = \langle b \rangle$. In this case, also $a = 0$ since $(ad b)^3 = 0$, where $ad x$ is the operator of the right hand side multiplication on x . Since

$$\dim(L/I) = 2m + 1 + 2m = 2t - 1,$$

our statement again follows from Proposition 1 and we have completed the proof.

5 Exponents of superalgebras of series $S(t)$

For lower bound of codimension growth we need to consider multialternating polynomials. It will be convenient to use the following agreement. If some expression depends on skew symmetric set of arguments then instead of alternating sum we will mark these arguments from above by some common symbol - line, tilde, etc. For example,

$$\widetilde{x}_1 \cdots \widetilde{x}_n = \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} x_{\sigma(1)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n)}$$

is the standard polynomial in an associative algebra,

$$x\bar{y}z\bar{t} = xyzt - xtzy,$$

and

$$\overline{xy}z\overline{xy} = x\overline{y} - y\overline{y}z\overline{xx} = xyzxy - xxzyy - yyzxx + yxzyx.$$

First consider superalgebras $S(t)$ with even t .

Lemma 5 *Let $S(t)$ be a Lie superalgebra defined by orthogonal or symplectic involution $*$ and $t = 2m$. Then $\underline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \geq 4m$.*

Proof. The following relation holds in the algebra of upper triangular matrices.

$$[[e_{12}, \overline{e}_{11}], \dots, [e_{n,m+1}, \overline{e}_{mm}]] = [[e_{12}, e_{11}], \dots, [e_{m,m+1}, e_{mm}]] = (-1)^m e_{1,m+1}.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= [[E_{12}, \overline{E}_{11}], \dots, [E_{n,m+1}, \overline{E}_{mm}]] = \\ & [[E_{12}, E_{11}], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, E_{mm}]] = (-1)^m E_{1,m+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Expression a_1 contains an alternating set of even elements E_{11}, \dots, E_{mm} . Let us complicate its construction by adding m -alternating odd set. Since $[Y_i, Z_i] = X_i$, $[Y_i, Z_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$ (see (14)) and $[E_{k,k+1}, X_{k+1}] = [E_{k,k+1}, E_{k+1,k+1}]$, (see (15)), then

$$\begin{aligned} [[E_{12}, [\tilde{Y}_1, Z_1]], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, [\tilde{Y}_m, Z_m]]] &= [[E_{12}, [Y_1, Z_1]], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, [Y_m, Z_m]]] = \\ &= (-1)^m [E_{12}, \dots, E_{m,m+1}] (-1)^m E_{1,m+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Now we double the number of alternating odd elements. Put

$$a_2 = [[E_{12}, [\tilde{Y}_1, Z_1], [Y_1, \tilde{Z}_1]], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, [\tilde{Y}_m, Z_m], [Y_m, \tilde{Z}_m]]].$$

Since $[Z_i, Z_j] = 0$ if $i \neq j$, we can omit an alternation in a_2 not changing the value of whole expression, that is

$$a_2 = [[E_{12}, [Y_1, Z_1], [Y_1, Z_1]], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, [Y_m, Z_m], [Y_m, Z_m]]].$$

Finally, we put

$$\begin{aligned} a_3 &= [[E_{12}, \overline{E}_{11}, [\tilde{Y}_1, Z_1], [Y_1, \tilde{Z}_1]], \dots, [E_{m,m+1}, \overline{E}_{mm}, [\tilde{Y}_m, Z_m], [Y_m, \tilde{Z}_m]], \\ & [E_{m+1,m+2}, \overline{E}_{m+1,m+1}], \dots, [E_{2m-1,2m}, \overline{E}_{2m-1,2m-1}], [Y_0, \overline{I}]]. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from multiplication formulas (14), (15) that one can omit both alternations in a_3 preserving the value. In particular,

$$a_3 = [E_{1,2m-1}, [Y_0, I]] = 2[E_{1,2m-1}, Y_0] = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e_{1,2m-1} \pm e_{2,2m} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (18)$$

where the sign plus or minus on the right hand side of (18) depends on the choice of the involution $*$.

Procedure for constructing element a_3 allows us to replicate skew symmetric sets of even factors $\{E_{i,i}, I\}$ as well as odd factors $\{Y_i, Z_i\}$ of A . Namely, set

$$\begin{aligned} A_i^{(0)} &= [E_{i,i+1}, [Y_i^{(1)}, Z_i^{(0)}]], \\ A_i^{(1)} &= [A_i^0, [Y_i^{(2)}, Z_i^{(1)}]], \\ &\quad - \quad - \quad - \\ A_i^{(p)} &= [A_i^{p-1}, [Y_i^{(p+1)}, Z_i^{(p)}]]. \end{aligned}$$

Here all $Y_i^{(j)}$ are copies of element Y_i . We use the upper index only for further indication in which alternation set we will include it. Similar remark holds also for $Z_i^{(j)}$.

Further, let

$$A_i^{(p,1)} = [A_i^{(p)}, E_{ii}^{(1)}], \dots, A_i^{(p,q)} = [A_i^{(p,q-1)}, E_{ii}^{(q)}].$$

For $j = m + 1, \dots, 2m - 1$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} A_j^{(0)} &= E_{j,j+1}, \\ A_j^{(1)} &= [A_j^{(0)}, E_{jj}^{(1)}], \\ &\quad - \quad - \quad - \\ A_j^{(q)} &= [A_j^{(q-1)}, E_{jj}^{(q)}]. \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$A_{2m}^{(1)} = [Y_0, I^{(1)}], \dots, A_{2m}^{(q)} = [A_{(2m-1)}^{(q-1)}, I^{(q)}].$$

Let now

$$W^{(p,q)} = [A_1^{(p,q)}, \dots, A_m^{(p,q)}, A_{m+1}^{(q)}, \dots, A_{2m}^{(q)}]$$

for all $p, q \geq 1$. Note that for computing the value of the product $W^{(p,q)}$ it is useful to remember that the right hand multiplication by E_{ii} commutes with the right hand multiplication by $[Y_i, Z_i] = X_i$.

Commutator $W(p, q)$ depends on

- p sets of odd elements $Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_m^{(i)}, Z_1^{(i)}, \dots, Z_m^{(i)}$, $1 \leq i \leq p$, of the size $2m$;
- q sets of even elements $E_{11}^{(j)}, \dots, E_{2m-1,2m-1}^{(j)}, I^{(j)}$, $1 \leq j \leq q$, of the size $2m$;
- and also on $4m$ factors $E_{12}, \dots, E_{2m-1,2m}, Y_0, Z_1^{(0)}, \dots, Z_m^{(0)}, Y_1^{(p+1)}, \dots, Y_m^{(p+1)}$ outside these sets.

Applying to $W^{(p,q)}$ the alternation on the sets of order $2m$, we get an expression

$$\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)} = Alt_1^{(0)} \dots Alt_q^{(0)} Alt_1^{(1)} \dots Alt_p^{(1)} (W^{(p,q)}).$$

Here, $Alt_j^{(0)}$ is the alternation on $E_{11}^{(j)}, E_{2m-1,2m-1}^{(j)}$ and $I^{(j)}$, whereas $Alt_i^{(1)}$ is the alternation on $Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_m^{(i)}, Z_1^{(i)}, \dots, Z_m^{(i)}$.

As in computing expressions a_1, a_2 and a_3 , alternation in $\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)}$ does not play any role, that is,

$$\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)} = W^{(p,q)} = \pm 2^q [E_{1,2m-1}, Y_0] \neq 0. \quad (19)$$

Now we construct $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ in $F\{X, Y\}$ using the same procedure as for $\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)}$, only changing $E_{12}, \dots, E_{2m-1,2m}$ by even generators $x_{12}, \dots, x_{2m-1,2m}, E_{11}^{(j)}, \dots, E_{2m-1,2m-1}^{(j)}$ by even generators $x_1^{(j)}, \dots, x_{2m,2m}^{(j)}, Y_1^{(i)}, \dots, Y_m^{(i)}$ by odd $y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_m^{(i)}, Z_1^{(i)}, \dots, Z_m^{(i)}$ by odd $z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_m^{(i)}$, and Y_0 by odd y_0 .

Element $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ includes q skew symmetric sets of even variables $X^{(j)} = \{x_1^{(j)}, \dots, x_{2m}^{(j)}\}, 1 \leq j \leq q$, and p skew symmetric sets of odd variables $Y^{(i)} = \{y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_m^{(i)}, z_1^{(i)}, \dots, z_m^{(i)}\}$. In addition to these variables, $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ contains $4m$ variables $x_{12}, \dots, x_{2m-1,2m}, y_0, y_1^{(p+1)}, \dots, y_m^{(p+1)}, z_1^{(0)}, \dots, z_m^{(0)}$ not participating in alternations.

Fix now $n = 2mp + 2mq + 4m$ and $k = 2mq + 2m - 1$. Then $n - k = 2mp + 2m = 1$. Subgroup $H = S_{2mq} \times S_{2mp}$ of $S_k \times S_{n-k}$ acts on the space $P_{k,n-k}$. Left factor S_{2mq} acts on $\overline{X} = X^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup X^{(q)}$, whereas S_{2mp} acts on $\overline{Y} = Y^{(1)} \cup \dots \cup Y^{(p)}$. Relation (11) means that $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ is not an identity of L . Moreover, $\varphi(\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}) \neq 0$ for the evaluation φ such that $\varphi(\overline{X}) \subseteq V_0, \varphi(\overline{Y}) \subseteq (V_1)$, where $V_0 = L_0 \cap L^{(0)}, V_1 = L_1 \cap L^{(0)}$ are subspaces of dimension $2m$. It follows from the structure of essential idempotent (see (2)) and skew symmetry of $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ that in the decomposition of FH -submodule in $P_{k,n-k}$ generated by $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ appear only irreducible components with the character $\chi_{\lambda,\mu}$, where

$$\lambda = (q^{2m}) = (\underbrace{q, \dots, q}_{2m}), \quad \mu = (p^{2m}) = (\underbrace{p, \dots, p}_{2m})$$

are two rectangular partitions. From this it follows that $c_{k,n-k}(L) \geq \deg \chi_{\lambda,\mu} = d_\lambda d_\mu$.

It is well-known that dimension of irreducible representation with rectangular Young diagram is exponential, where the ratio of exponent is the height of the diagram. For example, by Lemma 5.10.1 from [20], for $\nu = s^d \vdash N = sd$ for all s large enough the following inequality holds

$$d_\nu > N^{-\frac{d(d-1)}{2}} d^N$$

provided that d is fixed. In our case for $k = N + 2m - 1, N = 2mq$, we have

$$d_\lambda > \frac{1}{N^{m(m-1)}} (2m)^{k-2m+1} > \frac{1}{n^{m(m-1)}} \cdot \frac{(2m)^k}{(2m)^{2m-1}}.$$

Similarly,

$$d_\mu > \frac{1}{n^{m(m-1)}} \cdot \frac{(2m)^{n-k}}{(2m)^{2m+1}}.$$

Hence we have proved the inequality

$$c_{k,n-k} > \frac{1}{n^{2m(m-1)} (2m)^{2m}} (2m)^n \quad (20)$$

for $k = 2mq + 2m - 1, n - k = 2mp + 2m + 1$.

To obtain analogous lower bound estimate for $c_{k,n-k}$ for arbitrary k and $n - k$ large enough, note that

$$[\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)}, \underbrace{E_{11}, \dots, E_{11}}_r] \neq 0, \quad [\widetilde{W}^{(p,q)}, \underbrace{[Y_1, Z_1], \dots, [Y_1, Z_1]}_r] \neq 0$$

in L for any $r \geq 1$. Hence the polynomial

$$[\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}, x_1, \dots, x_i, y_1, \dots, y_j] \quad (21)$$

is not a graded identity of L .

Now for an arbitrary pair k, n we can find $0 \leq i, j \leq 2m - 1, p$ and q such that $k = k_0 + i, n = n_0 + j$ where $k_0 = 2mq + 2m - 1, n_0 - k_0 = 2mp + 2m + 1$. Using the same arguments for polynomial (21) that we apply for $\widetilde{w}^{(p,q)}$, we obtain lower bound

$$\begin{aligned} \dim P_{k,n-k}(L) &\geq d_\lambda d_\mu \geq \frac{(2m)^{k_0}}{n_0^{m(m-1)} (2m)^{2m-1}} \cdot \frac{(2m)^{n_0-k_0}}{n_0^{m(m-1)} (2m)^{2m+1}} \geq \\ &\geq \frac{(2m)^{n_0}}{n^{2m(m-1)} (2m)^{4m}} = \frac{(2m)^n}{n^{2m(m-1)} (2m)^{4m+i+j}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, taking into account (20), we get the restriction

$$c_{k,n-k}(L) \geq \frac{(2m)^n}{n^{2m(m-1)} (2m)^{8m}}$$

for all k and $n - k$ large enough. From this follows the inequality

$$c_n^{gr}(L) \geq \frac{(2m)^n}{n^{2m(m-1)} (2m)^{8m}} \sum_{k=C+1}^{n-C} \binom{n}{k}, \quad (22)$$

where C is some constant depending only on m . Since the sum of binomial coefficients is equal to 2^n , we obtain from (22) the estimate for lower limit,

$$\underline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \geq 4m$$

and complete the proof of our lemma.

Now consider the case of odd t .

Lemma 6 *Let $t = 2m + 1$ and $l = s(t) = (S(t), \circ)$. Then $\underline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \geq 2t - 1 = 4m + 1$.*

Proof. The proof in this case largely repeats the proof of the previous lemma and we will omit details. Values $A_i^{(p)}$ and $A_i^{(p,q)}$, $1 \leq i \leq m$ remain the same if we preserve previous notations. Also $A_j^{(1)}, \dots, A_j^{(q)}$, $m + 1 \leq j \leq 2m - 1$ do not change. Elements $A_{2m}^{(1)}, \dots, A_{2m}^{(q)}$ are defined by induction: $A_{2m}^{(1)} = E_{2m,2m+1}^{(1)}, \dots, A_{2m}^{(q)} = [A_{2m}^{(q-1)}, E_{2m,2m}^{(q)}]$,

whereas $A_{2m+1}^{(q)}$ is defined as $A_{2m}^{(q)}$ in Lemma 5. In the expression for $W^{(p,q)}$ we need to replace the last factor $A_{2m}^{(q)}$ by $A_{2m+1}^{(q)}$.

Modified element $\tilde{w}^{(p,q)}$ depends on q skew symmetric sets of even variables of order $2m + 1$, on p skew symmetric of odd sets variables of order $2m$ and has total degree $n = 2mp + (2m + 1)q + 4m + 1$. Partially modified are lower bounds for d_λ and d_μ :

$$d_\lambda > \frac{1}{n^{m(2m+1)}} \cdot \frac{(2m+1)^k}{(2m+1)^{2m}}, \quad d_\mu > \frac{1}{n^{m(2m+1)}} \cdot \frac{(2m)^{n-k}}{(2m)^{2m+1}},$$

where $\lambda = (q^{2m+1})$, $\mu = (p^{2m})$, and also for $c_{k,n-k}(L)$:

$$c_{k,n-k}(L) \geq \frac{(2m+1)^k (2m)^{n-k}}{n^{2m(2m+1)} (2m+1)^{8(m+1)}}.$$

Therefore lower bound estimate for graded codimension takes the form:

$$c_n^{gr}(L) \geq \frac{1}{n^{2m(2m+1)} (2m+1)^{8(m+1)}} \sum_{k=C+1}^{n-C} \binom{n}{k} (2m+1)^k (2m)^{n-k},$$

from which we get the following inequality

$$\underline{\exp}^{gr}(L) \geq 4m + 1,$$

and we have completed the proof.

As an immediate consequence of Lemma 5, Lemma 6 and Proposition 3, we get the main result of the paper.

Theorem 1 *Let $L = (S(t), *)$ be a Lie superalgebra of the type $S(t)$, where $*$ is orthogonal or symplectic involution. Then the graded PI-exponent of L exists and*

- $\exp^{gr}(L) = 2t$ if t is even;
- $\exp^{gr}(L) = 2t - 1$ if t is odd.

Acknowledgements. The first author was partially supported by the Russian Science Fund, grant No. 22-11-00052. The second author was supported by the Slovenian Research and Innovation Agency, grants No. P1-0292, N1-0278, N1-0114, N1-0083, J1-4031, J1-4001.

References

- [1] I.B. Volichenko. Varieties of Lie algebras with identity $[[X_1, X_2, X_3], [X_4, X_5, X_6]] = 0$ over a field of characteristic zero, *Siberian Math. J.*, **25**:3 (1984), 370 – 382.
- [2] A. Regev, Existence of identities in $A \otimes B$, *Israel J. Math.*, **11** (1972), 131 – 152.
- [3] V.N. Latyshev, On Regev’s theorem on identities in a tensor product of PI-algebras (in Russian), *Usp. Mat. Nauk*, **27**:4 (1972), 213 – 214.
- [4] Yu. Bahturin, V. Drensky, Graded polynomial identities of matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **357** (2002), 15 – 34.
- [5] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, Codimension growth of special simple Jordan algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **362**:6 (2010). 3107 – 3123.
- [6] S.P. Mishchenko, Growth in varieties of Lie algebras, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **45** (1990), 27 – 52.
- [7] M.V. Zaicev, Varieties of affine Kac-Moody algebras, *Math. Notes*, **62**:1 (1997), 80 – 86.
- [8] M.V. Zaitsev, S.P. Mishchenko, Identities for Lie superalgebras with a nilpotent commutator subalgebra, *Algebra and Logic*, **47**:5 (2008), 348 – 364.
- [9] A.S. Dzhumadil’daev, Codimension growth and non-Koszulity of Novikov operad, *Comm. Algebra*, **39**:8 (2011), 2943 – 2952.
- [10] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, On codimension growth of finitely generated associative algebras, *Adv. Math.*, **140**:2 (1998). 145 – 155.
- [11] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, Exponential codimension growth of PI algebras: an exact estimate, *Adv. Math.*, **142**:2 (1999), 221 – 243.
- [12] M.V. Zaicev, Integrality of exponents of codimension growth of finite-dimensional Lie algebras, *Izv. Math.*, **66**:3 (2002), 467 – 487.
- [13] A. Giambruno, I. Shestakov, M. Zaicev, Finite-dimensional non-associative algebras and codimension growth, *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **47**:1 (2011), 125 – 139.
- [14] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, On codimension growth of finite-dimensional Lie superalgebras, *J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2)*, **85**:2 (2012), 534 – 548.
- [15] D. Repovš, M. Zaicev, Graded identities of some simple Lie superalgebras, *Algebr. Represent. Theory*, **17**:5 (2014), 1401 – 1412.
- [16] D. Repovš, M. Zaicev, Graded codimensions of Lie superalgebra $b(2)$, *J. Algebra*, **422** (2015), 1 – 10.

- [17] D. Repovš, M. Zaicev, Codimension growth of solvable Lie superalgebras, *J. Lie Theory*, **28**:4 (2018), 1189 – 1199.
- [18] Yu.A. Bahturin, *Identical relations in Lie algebras*, VNU Science Press, b.v., Utrecht, 1987.
- [19] V. Drensky, *Free algebras and PI-algebras. Graduate course in algebra*, Springer-Verlag Singapore, Singapore, 2000.
- [20] A. Giambruno, M. Zaicev, *Polynomial Identities and Asymptotic Methods*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs. **122**. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.
- [21] G.D. James, *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Groups*, 1978 Lecture Notes in Math.682 (Berlin: Springer).
- [22] M.V. Zaicev, Graded identities in finite-dimensional algebras, *Moscow University Mathematical Bulletin*, **70**:5 (2015), 234 – 235.
- [23] M. Scheunert, *The theory of Lie superalgebras. An introduction*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 716. Springer, Berlin, 1979.
- [24] O.M. Di Vincenzo, P. Koshlukov, R. La Scala, Involutions for upper triangular matrix algebras, *Adv. Appl. Math.*, **37**:4 (2006), 541 – 568.