

# THE BEHAVIOR OF THE HERMITIAN CURVATURE FLOW WITH $Q = 0$ ON COMPLEX PARALLELIZABLE MANIFOLDS

LUCIO BEDULLI & LUIGI VEZZONI

ABSTRACT. We study the flow of Hermitian metrics governed by the second Chern-Ricci form on a compact complex manifolds. The flow belongs to the family of Hermitian curvature flows introduced by Streets and Tian and it was considered by Lee in order to study compact Hermitian manifolds with almost negative Chern bisectional curvature. We show a regularity result on compact complex parallelizable manifolds and we prove that Chern-flat metrics are dynamically stable.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In [22] Streets and Tian introduced a family of parabolic flows on complex manifolds which evolve an initial Hermitian metric as

$$(1) \quad \partial_t g = -\tilde{R} + Q,$$

where  $\tilde{R}$  is the second Chern-Ricci-form and  $Q$  is a quadratic form in the torsion of  $g$ . A key observation in [22] is that equation (1) is strictly parabolic for any choice of  $Q$  and the standard theory ensures the existence of a short-time solution. For a suitable choice of  $Q$  flow (1) preserves the pluriclosed condition  $\partial\bar{\partial}\omega = 0$ ; in this case the corresponding equation is called *pluriclosed flow* and it has been widely investigated in the literature (see e.g. [7, 9, 21, 23, 24] and the references therein). In [25] Ustinovskiy proved that for a different choice of  $Q$  the corresponding Hermitian curvature flow, called the *positive Hermitian curvature flow*, preserves the Griffiths positivity of the metric and used this to obtain a characterization of the projective space in terms of Chern curvature. In [4] Fei and Phong proved that on conformally balanced manifolds the positive Hermitian curvature flow is conformally equivalent to the type IIB flow introduced by Phong, Picard and Zhang in [11, 16] and studied in [3, 5, 12, 13, 14, 15]. This gives a strong geometric motivation for studying the positive Hermitian curvature flow. In [10] Lee considered the Hermitian curvature flow

$$(2) \quad \partial_t g = -\tilde{R}$$

obtained by setting  $Q = 0$  and used the flow in order to show that a compact Hermitian manifold with Griffiths non-positive Chern curvature and negative first Chern Ricci curvature at one point admits a Kähler-Einstein metric.

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C26, 35K96, 53E30.

This work was supported by GNSAGA of INdAM and by the project PRIN “Differential-geometric aspects of manifolds via Global Analysis”.

An equation formally similar to (2) emerged also in the study of the pluriclosed flow. Any pluriclosed metric  $g$  induces a canonical generalized Hermitian metric  $G$  and the pluriclosed flow once regarded as a flow of generalized Hermitian metrics satisfies

$$G^{-1}\partial_t G = -\tilde{R}_g^G,$$

see [9, 7].

In the present paper we study equation (2) on complex parallelizable manifolds, i.e., on compact quotients of complex Lie groups by lattices. In analogy to the main theorem in [7] which states the long-time existence and convergence of the pluriclosed flow on a compact complex manifold with a Bismut-flat Hermitian metric, it is natural to expect that (2) on compact parallelizable manifolds has a long-time solution for every initial datum which converges to a Chern-flat metric. We prove the long-time existence under an extra assumption. For a Hermitian metric  $g$  on a complex parallelizable manifold we define the tensor  $\Gamma$  whose components  $\Gamma_{ij}^k$  with respect to a left-invariant  $(1, 0)$ -frame are the Christoffel symbols of the Chern connection of  $g$  and let

$$w_k := \Gamma_{kr}^r.$$

The tensors  $\Gamma$  and  $w$  are well-defined since their definitions do not depend on the choice of the frame.

Our first result is the following

**Theorem 1.1** (Long-time existence). *Let  $g$  be a maximal time solution to the Hermitian curvature flow (2) on a compact complex parallelizable manifold. Assume that  $|w|_g$  is uniformly bounded, then  $g$  is defined in  $M \times [0, \infty)$ .*

The theorem and its proof are inspired by [5] where it is proved the long-time existence of the type IIB flow if the trace of torsion is bounded. The key point is that since Hermitian curvature flows are quasi-linear equations, a uniform a priori  $C^1$ -bound for the solutions is enough to have the long time existence (see [20, 16]) and we prove that in our geometric setting the bound on  $|w|_g$  is sufficient to have the  $C^1$  estimate.

A similar setting is considered in [20, 7] where the pluriclosed flow is studied on tori and Bismut-flat manifolds. In both cases it is proved that under suitable assumptions on the initial datum, the flow has a long time solution which converges to a Bismut-flat metric. About the convergence of flow (2) to a Chern-flat metric in our setting, we prove in section 4 that this happens when the initial metric is diagonal with respect to a left-invariant frame. Moreover, we establish the following stability result

**Theorem 1.2** (Stability). *Let  $M$  be a compact complex parallelizable manifold of complex dimension  $n$  and let  $\hat{g}$  be a Chern-flat Hermitian metric on  $M$ . Then there exists  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that for every Hermitian metric  $g_0$  on  $M$  satisfying*

$$\|g_0 - \hat{g}\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}} \leq \delta_0,$$

*then the maximal time solution  $g$  to (2) with starting point  $g_0$  is defined in  $M \times [0, \infty)$  and converges in  $C^\infty$ -topology to a Chern flat metric as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .*

In [22] it is proved that every Hermitian curvature flow is dynamically stable around Kähler-Einstein metrics with nonpositive scalar curvature. This theorem cannot be applied directly in order to prove Theorem 1.2 since  $\hat{g}$  is not in general Kähler. However, since  $\hat{g}$  is Chern-flat, we have that the first variation  $L$  of the operator  $g \mapsto -\hat{R}(g)$  at  $\hat{g}$  is the Chern Laplacian of  $\hat{g}$  which, since  $\hat{g}$  is balanced (i.e. its fundamental form is coclosed), it is seminegative definite and self-adjoint with respect to the  $L^2$ -product induced by  $\hat{g}$ . Therefore Chern-flat metrics are linearly stable. The proof of Theorem 1.2 follows the approach of similar results in literature (see e.g. [1, 2, 6, 17, 18, 22] and the references therein).

**Acknowledgement.** The authors are grateful to Jeffrey Streets and James Stanfield for useful conversations and observations.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

A *complex parallelizable* manifold is a complex manifold  $M$  admitting a global holomorphic coframe  $\{\zeta^1, \dots, \zeta^n\}$ . Any complex parallelizable manifold  $M$  is a quotient of a complex Lie group  $G$  by a lattice  $\Gamma$  and any left-invariant Hermitian metric  $\hat{g}$  on  $G$  gives a Chern-flat metric on  $M$  [26]. Moreover the  $(1, 0)$ -frame  $\{Z_i\}$  dual to the coframe  $\{\zeta^k\}$  satisfies

$$(3) \quad [Z_k, Z_l] = 0 \quad \text{for every } k, l.$$

Let  $M$  be a compact complex parallelizable manifold and let  $\{Z_i\}$  be a global  $(1, 0)$ -frame on  $M$  satisfying (3). If  $g$  is a Hermitian metric on  $M$ , we denote by  $g_{r\bar{s}}$  its components with respect to the fixed frame and by  $g_{r\bar{s},k} := Z_k(g_{r\bar{s}})$  its derivatives. Let  $\nabla$  be the Chern connection of  $g$ . Then the Christoffel symbols of  $\nabla$  are given by

$$\Gamma_{ij}^k = g^{\bar{r}k} g_{j\bar{r},i}$$

and the torsion of  $\nabla$  is

$$T_{ij}^k = g^{\bar{r}k} g_{j\bar{r},i} - g^{\bar{r}k} g_{i\bar{r},j}.$$

We further denote by

$$(4) \quad R_{k\bar{l}r\bar{s}} = -g_{u\bar{s}} \nabla_{\bar{l}} \Gamma_{kr}^u = -g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{s},\bar{l}} g_{r\bar{b},k}$$

the curvature of  $\nabla$  and by

$$\tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} = g^{\bar{l}k} R_{k\bar{l}r\bar{s}}$$

the second Chern-Ricci form of  $g$ . We have

$$\tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} = -g^{\bar{l}k} g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{s},\bar{l}} g_{r\bar{b},k},$$

i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} = -\Delta_g g_{r\bar{s}} + g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{s},\bar{l}} g_{r\bar{b},k}.$$

where  $\Delta_g$  is the Chern Laplacian of the metric  $g$ .

Now we fix a Chern-flat metric  $\hat{g}$  on  $M$  and let  $g = g(t)$  be a solution to (2) on  $M$  in the interval  $[0, \varepsilon]$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *There exist uniform positive constants  $K$  and  $\tilde{K}$  such that*

$$K\hat{g} \leq g(t) \leq \tilde{K}\hat{g} \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \varepsilon].$$

*Proof.* Working as in [5] and [20] we directly compute

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta_g) \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g &= (\partial_t - \Delta_g)(\hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g_{s\bar{r}}) = \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \dot{g}_{s\bar{r}} - \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} = -\hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} - \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} \\ &= \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} - \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{l},\bar{s}g_{k\bar{b},r}} - \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g_{r\bar{s},k\bar{l}} = -\hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{l},\bar{s}g_{k\bar{b},r}}, \end{aligned}$$

hence,

$$(6) \quad (\partial_t - \Delta_g) \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \leq 0$$

and the maximum principle implies

$$g \leq \tilde{K}\hat{g}$$

for a uniform constant  $K$ . Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta_g) \operatorname{tr}_g \hat{g} &= (\partial_t - \Delta_g)(g^{\bar{l}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}}) = -g^{\bar{l}\alpha} \dot{g}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{r}s} (g^{\bar{l}\alpha} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g^{\bar{\beta}k})_{,\bar{r}} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \\ &= g^{\bar{l}\alpha} \tilde{R}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} - g^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}\gamma} g_{\gamma\bar{\delta},\bar{r}} g^{\bar{\delta}\alpha} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{l}\alpha} \Delta_g g_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad - g^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}\alpha} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g^{\bar{\beta}\gamma} g_{\gamma\bar{\delta},\bar{r}} g^{\bar{\delta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \\ &= g^{\bar{l}\alpha} g^{\bar{q}p} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{\beta},\bar{q}} g_{\alpha\bar{b},p} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} - g^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}\gamma} g_{\gamma\bar{\delta},\bar{r}} g^{\bar{\delta}\alpha} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \\ &\quad - g^{\bar{l}\alpha} g^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{\beta}\gamma} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g_{\gamma\bar{\delta},\bar{r}} g^{\bar{\delta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \\ &= -g^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}\gamma} g_{\gamma\bar{\delta},\bar{r}} g^{\bar{\delta}\alpha} g_{\alpha\bar{\beta},s} g^{\bar{\beta}k} \hat{g}_{k\bar{l}} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the maximum principle implies the thesis.  $\square$

Let us denote by  $\Gamma$  the tensor

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_{ij}^k \zeta^i \otimes \zeta^j \otimes Z_k$$

and set

$$S := |\Gamma|_g^2.$$

Hence we have

$$(7) \quad S = g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} = g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{r}k} g_{j\bar{r},i} g^{\bar{q}s} g_{s\bar{p},\bar{m}} = g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{r}k} g_{j\bar{r},i} g_{k\bar{p},\bar{m}}.$$

**Lemma 2.2.**

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_g) S \leq |T|_g^2 S.$$

*Proof.* We first note that along the flow

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t (g^{\bar{r}k} g_{j\bar{r},i}) &= -g^{\bar{r}s} \dot{g}_{s\bar{m}} g^{\bar{m}k} g_{j\bar{r},i} + g^{\bar{r}k} \dot{g}_{j\bar{r},i} = g^{\bar{r}s} \tilde{R}_{s\bar{m}} g^{\bar{m}k} g_{j\bar{r},i} - g^{\bar{r}k} \tilde{R}_{j\bar{r},i} \\ &= g^{\bar{m}k} \tilde{R}_{s\bar{m}} \Gamma_{ij}^s - g^{\bar{r}k} \tilde{R}_{j\bar{r},i} = -g^{\bar{r}k} \nabla_i \tilde{R}_{j\bar{r}}, \end{aligned}$$

i.e.

$$\partial_t \Gamma_{ij}^k = -\nabla_i \tilde{R}_{j\bar{r}}^k.$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t S &= -\tilde{R}_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} \tilde{R}_{\bar{b}}^{\bar{m}} g^{\bar{b}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} \tilde{R}_{\bar{b}}^{\bar{p}} g^{\bar{b}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\quad - g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \nabla_i \tilde{R}_{j\bar{r}}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} - g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_{\bar{m}} \tilde{R}_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\nabla_{\bar{b}} S = g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{ij}^k \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g S &= g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_a \nabla_{\bar{b}} S = g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_a \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{ij}^k) \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_a \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}) \\ &\quad + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_a \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_a \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &= |\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_a \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{ij}^k) \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_a \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}) \\ &= |\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_a R_{i\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} (\nabla_{\bar{b}} \nabla_a \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}}) \\ &\quad + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} R_{a\bar{b}\bar{m}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{u}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} R_{a\bar{b}\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} - g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} R_{a\bar{b}\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \\ &= |\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_a R_{i\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_{\bar{b}} R_{\bar{m}a\bar{p}k} \\ &\quad + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{u}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} - g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then using the second Bianchi identity we get

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g S &= |\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_i R_{a\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{ai}^k R_{k\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\quad - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_{\bar{m}} R_{a\bar{b}k\bar{p}} + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{\bar{b}\bar{m}}^{\bar{s}} R_{\bar{s}a\bar{p}k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \\ &\quad + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{u}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} - g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \\ &= |\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} \nabla_i \tilde{R}_{j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{ai}^k R_{k\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &\quad - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \nabla_{\bar{m}} \tilde{R}_{k\bar{p}} + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{\bar{b}\bar{m}}^{\bar{s}} R_{\bar{s}a\bar{p}k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \\ &\quad + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{m}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{u}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g_{k\bar{q}} g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{u}}^{\bar{q}} - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} \Gamma_{ij}^k \tilde{R}_{k\bar{u}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{u}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta_g) S &= -|\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{ai}^k R_{k\bar{b}j\bar{q}} \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} - g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{\bar{b}\bar{m}}^{\bar{s}} R_{\bar{s}a\bar{p}k} \Gamma_{ij}^k \\ &= -|\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{ai}^k g_{u\bar{q}} \nabla_{\bar{b}} \Gamma_{kj}^u \Gamma_{\bar{m}\bar{p}}^{\bar{q}} + g^{\bar{m}i} g^{\bar{p}j} g^{\bar{b}a} T_{\bar{b}\bar{m}}^{\bar{s}} g_{k\bar{u}} \nabla_a \Gamma_{\bar{s}\bar{p}}^u \Gamma_{ij}^k \\ &\leq -|\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 - |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g^2 + 2|T|_g S^{1/2} |\bar{\nabla} \Gamma|_g \\ &\leq -|\nabla \Gamma|_g^2 + |T|_g^2 S, \end{aligned}$$

and finally

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_g) S \leq |T|_g^2 S,$$

as required.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *We have*

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_g) S \leq 2|\hat{T}|_g^2 S + 8S^2,$$

where  $\hat{T}$  is the torsion of  $\hat{g}$ .

*Proof.* Recalling that  $\hat{g}$  is Chern flat we have that the components of the torsion of  $\hat{\nabla}$  coincide with the components of the brackets with respect to the chosen frame, thus

$$T_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k - \Gamma_{ji}^k - \hat{T}_{ij}^k.$$

Hence

$$|T|_g \leq 2S^{1/2} + |\hat{T}|_g$$

which implies

$$|T|_g^2 \leq |\hat{T}|_g^2 + 4S + 4|\hat{T}|_g S^{1/2} \leq 2|\hat{T}|_g^2 + 8S,$$

and the claim follows.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Let  $g(t)$ ,  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ , be a solution to (2) and fix a background Chern-flat metric  $\hat{g}$  on  $M$ . In view of Lemma 2.1 there exist positive uniform constants  $K, \tilde{K}$  such that

$$K\hat{g} \leq g(t) \leq \tilde{K}\hat{g}.$$

for every  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ . Now using the computation of Lemma 2.1 we have

$$(\partial_t - \Delta_g) \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \leq -\hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{l}, \bar{s}} g_{k\bar{b}, r} \leq -K g^{\bar{s}r} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{l}, \bar{s}} g_{k\bar{b}, r} = -KS.$$

Let

$$G = \log S + b \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g,$$

where  $b$  is a positive constant to be determined later.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} (\partial_t - \Delta_g) G &= \frac{(\partial_t - \Delta_g)S}{S} + \frac{|\nabla S|_g^2}{S^2} + b(\partial_t - \Delta_g) \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g \\ &\leq 2|\hat{T}|_g^2 + \frac{|\nabla S|_g^2}{S^2} + (8 - bK)S. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $G$  has a maximum point  $(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \in M \times [0, \varepsilon]$  with  $\hat{t} > 0$ . Then

$$0 \leq 2|\hat{T}|_g^2 + \frac{|\nabla S|_g^2}{S^2} + (8 - bK)S \quad \text{at } (\hat{x}, \hat{t}).$$

From  $\nabla G(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) = 0$  we have

$$\frac{|\nabla S|_g^2}{S^2} = b^2 |\nabla \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g|_g^2 \quad \text{at } (\hat{x}, \hat{t}).$$

Now, since

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g &= \nabla_k (\hat{g}^{\bar{r}s} g_{r\bar{s}}) \\ &= (\nabla_k \hat{g}^{\bar{r}s}) g_{r\bar{s}} \\ &= \hat{g}^{\bar{b}a} \Gamma_{ka}^l g_{l\bar{b}}. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g|_g^2 &= g^{\bar{p}k} \hat{g}^{\bar{b}a} \Gamma_{ka}^l g_{l\bar{b}} \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{\bar{p}\bar{s}}^{\bar{q}} g_{r\bar{q}} \\ &\leq K^2 g^{\bar{p}k} g^{\bar{b}a} \Gamma_{ka}^l g_{l\bar{b}} g^{\bar{s}r} \Gamma_{\bar{p}\bar{s}}^{\bar{q}} g_{r\bar{q}} \leq K^2 g^{\bar{p}k} \Gamma_{ka}^a \Gamma_{\bar{p}\bar{q}}^{\bar{q}} \\ &= K^2 |w|_g^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence if  $|w|_g$  is uniformly bounded we have that  $|\nabla \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g|_g^2$  and  $\frac{|\nabla S|_g^2}{S^2}$  are uniformly bounded. Thus

$$(bK - 8)S \leq (1 + b^2)C \quad \text{at } (\hat{x}, \hat{t})$$

for a uniform constant  $C$ , and for  $b > \frac{8}{K}$  we have

$$S(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \leq C,$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $g$ .

Hence

$$G(x, t) \leq G(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) = \log S(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) + b \operatorname{tr}_{\hat{g}} g(\hat{x}, \hat{t}) \leq \log C + bn\tilde{K}$$

and

$$\log S \leq \log C + bn\tilde{K} \text{ in } M \times [0, \varepsilon].$$

This proves that  $g(t)$  has a  $C^1$  a priori bound in  $M \times [0, \varepsilon]$ .

Now we can work as in the final step of the proof of [5, Theorem 6]. Our equation can be regarded as a quasi-linear parabolic system and a classical theorem implies that

$$\|g\|_{C^{2+\alpha, 1+\alpha/2}(M \times [0, \varepsilon])} \leq C,$$

where  $C$  does not depend on  $g$ . Hence from the parabolic Schauder estimates we deduce higher order estimates on  $g$ . Then Ascoli-Arzelà theorem can be used to prove that actually  $g(t)$  can be extended in  $M \times [0, \infty)$ .

#### 4. THE FLOW FOR DIAGONAL METRICS

In this section we study equation (2) on compact complex parallelizable manifolds when the starting metric is diagonal with respect to a fixed left-invariant frame.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $M$  be a compact complex parallelizable manifold and let  $g_0$  be a Hermitian metric which is diagonal with respect to a left-invariant  $(1, 0)$ -frame. Then the maximal time solution  $g$  to (2) starting from  $g_0$  is still diagonal for every  $t$ , is defined for  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and converges to a Chern-flat metric in  $C^\infty$ -topology.*

*Proof.* From formula (5) it follows that if  $g$  is a diagonal Hermitian metric, then also  $\tilde{R}$  is diagonal and its components  $\tilde{R}_{r\bar{r}}$  satisfy

$$\tilde{R}_{r\bar{r}} = -\Delta_g g_{r\bar{r}} + \sum_k \frac{1}{g_{k\bar{k}} g_{r\bar{r}}} |g_{r\bar{r}, k}|^2 = -\sum_k \frac{1}{g_{k\bar{k}}} g_{r\bar{r}, k\bar{k}} + \sum_k \frac{1}{g_{k\bar{k}} g_{r\bar{r}}} |g_{r\bar{r}, k}|^2 = -g_{r\bar{r}} \Delta_g \log g_{r\bar{r}}.$$

Let  $g$  be the maximal time solution to (2) on  $M$  with starting point a diagonal metric  $g_0$ . Hence  $g(t)$  is diagonal for every  $t$  and its components evolve as

$$\dot{g}_{r\bar{r}} = g_{r\bar{r}} \Delta_g \log g_{r\bar{r}},$$

i.e.

$$\partial_t \log g_{r\bar{r}} = \Delta_g \log g_{r\bar{r}}.$$

Let  $f_r = \log g_{r\bar{r}}$ , then

$$(8) \quad \dot{f}_r = \Delta_g f_r.$$

Now we consider the Chern-flat metric  $\hat{g}$  which is the identity in the fixed left-invariant frame in which  $g$  is diagonal. Let us consider the quantities

$$a_r := \int_M |\hat{\nabla} f_r|_{\hat{g}}^2 dV_{\hat{g}}$$

and compute their evolution along the flow taking into account that  $\hat{g}$  is balanced:

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{a}_r &= 2 \int_M \langle \hat{\nabla} \dot{f}_r, \hat{\nabla} f_r \rangle_{\hat{g}} dV_{\hat{g}} = 2 \int_M \langle \hat{\nabla}(\Delta_g f_r), \hat{\nabla} f_r \rangle_{\hat{g}} dV_{\hat{g}} = -2 \int_M \Delta_g f_r \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} \\ &\leq -2\tilde{K}^{-1} \int_M (\Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r)^2 dV_{\hat{g}}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\tilde{K} > 0$  is the lower bound of lemma 2.1. In particular for every  $r$  the function  $a_r$  is non-increasing and  $g$  is defined in  $M \times [0, \infty)$ . Now we want to compute  $\partial_t \dot{a}_r$ . In order to do that we first compute

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \dot{f}_r &= \partial_t \Delta_g f_r = \partial_t (g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}}) = -g^{k\bar{k}} \dot{g}_{k\bar{k}} g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} + g^{k\bar{k}} \dot{f}_{r,k\bar{k}} \\ &= -g^{k\bar{k}} \dot{g}_{k\bar{k}} g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} + g^{k\bar{k}} \dot{f}_{r,k\bar{k}} = -\dot{f}_k g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} + \Delta_g \dot{f}_r. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t \dot{a}_r &= -2\partial_t \int_M \Delta_g f_r \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} \\ &= 2 \int_M \dot{f}_k g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} - 2 \int_M \Delta_g \dot{f}_r \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} - 2 \int_M \dot{f}_r \Delta_{\hat{g}} \dot{f}_r dV_{\hat{g}} \\ &\geq 2 \int_M \dot{f}_k g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} - 2K \int_M \Delta_g \dot{f}_r \dot{f}_r dV_{\hat{g}} \geq 2 \int_M \dot{f}_k g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the results of the previous section we have  $\dot{f}_k \geq -C$  for a uniform constant. Hence

$$\partial_t \dot{a}_r \geq -2C \int_M g^{k\bar{k}} f_{r,k\bar{k}} \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} = -2C \int_M \Delta_g f_r \Delta_{\hat{g}} f_r dV_{\hat{g}} = C \dot{a}_r.$$

Hence every  $a_r$  is a decreasing non-negative smooth function such that

$$\ddot{a}_r \geq C \dot{a}_r$$

for a uniform positive constant  $C$ . It follows that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}_r(t) = 0$ .

We now show that each  $f_r$  converges to the constant function  $\bar{f}_r \equiv \int_M f_r(0) dV_{\hat{g}}$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . Any sequence  $t_k \rightarrow \infty$  in  $[0, \infty)$  admits a subsequence  $t_{j_k}$  such that  $f_r(t_{j_k})$  converges in  $C^\infty$  topology to a smooth function  $\bar{f}_r$ . Since  $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{a}_r(t) = 0$ ,  $\bar{f}_r$  is constant. Integrating equation (8), we deduce that  $\int_M f_r dV_{\hat{g}}$  is constant along the flow. Hence  $\bar{f}_r \equiv \int_M f_r(0) dV_{\hat{g}}$ . It follows that  $f_r$  converges in  $C^\infty$ -topology to  $\bar{f}_r$ , as required.

Hence  $g(t)$  converges as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  in  $C^\infty$ -topology to a metric with constant entries in the fixed left-invariant  $(1, 0)$ -frame and the claim follows.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** A special case of Proposition 4.1 is when the initial metric is conformally Chern-flat, i.e. when  $g_0 = f_0 \hat{g}$  with  $\hat{g}$  Chern-flat and  $f_0 \in C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ . In this case the solution to (2) evolves as  $g = f \hat{g}$  with  $f \in C^\infty(M \times [0, \infty), \mathbb{R}_+)$  and  $f$  converges in  $C^\infty$ -topology to the constant function  $f_\infty \equiv \int_M f(0) dV_{\hat{g}}$ .

**Remark 4.3.** An easy case in which one can prove long-time existence and convergence of the flow (2) is the following. Let  $M$  be the total space of a complex bundle over a



torus. Fix a  $(1, 0)$ -frame on  $M$  such that the first vectors are tangent to the base. Let  $g$  be a Hermitian metric on  $M$  taking the following expression

$$(9) \quad g = \begin{pmatrix} h & 0 \\ 0 & k \end{pmatrix}$$

with  $h$  metric on the base and  $k$  constant. Then

$$\tilde{R}_g = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{R}_h & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $\tilde{R}_h$  is the the second Chern-Ricci form of  $h$ . Therefore if the initial metric takes expression (9), then flow (2) evolves the component of  $g$  along the basis via flow (2) restricted to the basis, while it fixes the component along the fibers. In particular if  $h$  is Kähler the flow on the base is the Kähler-Ricci flow and  $g$  converges to a Chern-flat metric.

## 5. STABILITY: PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

Now we prove Theorem 1.2 showing that Chern-flat metrics on compact complex parallelizable manifolds are dynamically stable.

Fix a background left-invariant metric  $\hat{g}$  on  $M$ . Without loss of generality we may assume  $\text{vol}_{\hat{g}}(M) = 1$ .

**Lemma 5.1.** *There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $g(t)$  is a solution of (2) for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$  and*

$$\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \delta \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \varepsilon],$$

then

$$\|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq ae^{-b\lambda t} \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \varepsilon].$$

Here  $\lambda$  is the first positive eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ ,  $a$  and  $b$  are positive constants depending only on  $\delta$  and  $a \rightarrow 1$ ,  $b \rightarrow 1$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ .

*Proof.* We have

$$\partial_t R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} = R_{i\bar{j}k}^m \dot{g}_{m\bar{l}} - \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i \dot{g}_{k\bar{l}},$$

and so

$$\partial_t \tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}} = \partial_t (g^{\bar{j}i} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}}) = -g^{\bar{j}a} \dot{g}_{ab} g^{\bar{b}i} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{j}i} R_{i\bar{j}k}^m \dot{g}_{m\bar{l}} - g^{\bar{j}i} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i \dot{g}_{k\bar{l}}.$$

It follows

$$\partial_t \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 = 2 \int_M (g^{\bar{j}a} \tilde{R}_{a\bar{b}} g^{\bar{b}i} R_{i\bar{j}k\bar{l}} - g^{\bar{j}i} R_{i\bar{j}k}^m \tilde{R}_{m\bar{l}} + g^{\bar{j}i} \nabla_{\bar{j}} \nabla_i \tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}}) \hat{g}^{\bar{l}r} \hat{g}^{\bar{s}k} \tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} dV_{\hat{g}}$$

and for

$$\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \delta, \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \varepsilon],$$

we have

$$\partial_t \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 \leq A \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 + B \hat{g}^{\bar{l}r} \hat{g}^{\bar{s}k} \int_M (\Delta_{\hat{g}} \tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}}) \tilde{R}_{r\bar{s}} dV_{\hat{g}} = A \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 + B \langle \Delta_{\hat{g}} \tilde{R}, \tilde{R} \rangle_{L_{\hat{g}}^2},$$

where  $A, B$  depend on  $\delta$  and  $A \rightarrow 0, B \rightarrow 1$  if  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ . Let  $\tilde{R}^0$  be the left-invariant tensor whose components with respect the fixed frame are

$$\tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}}^0 = \int_M \tilde{R}_{k\bar{l}} dV_{\hat{g}}.$$

We observe that  $\tilde{R}^0$  is  $\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ -harmonic and  $\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}^0$  is  $L_{\hat{g}}^2$ -orthogonal to the kernel of  $\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ . Then

$$\langle \Delta_{\hat{g}} \tilde{R}, \tilde{R} \rangle_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} = \langle \Delta_{\hat{g}}(\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}^0), \tilde{R} - \tilde{R}^0 \rangle_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq -\lambda \|\tilde{R} - \tilde{R}^0\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 \leq -\lambda(\|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} - \|\tilde{R}^0\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2})^2,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the first positive eigenvalue of  $-\Delta_{\hat{g}}$ . Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{R}^0\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 &= \int_M \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \hat{g}^{\bar{l}k} \tilde{R}_{r\bar{l}}^0 \tilde{R}_{k\bar{s}}^0 dV_{\hat{g}} = \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \hat{g}^{\bar{l}k} \left( \int_M \tilde{R}_{r\bar{l}} dV_{\hat{g}} \right) \left( \int_M \tilde{R}_{k\bar{s}} dV_{\hat{g}} \right) \\ &= \hat{g}^{\bar{s}r} \hat{g}^{\bar{l}k} \left( \int_M (\tilde{R}_{r\bar{l}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g_{r\bar{l}}) dV_{\hat{g}} \right) \left( \int_M (\tilde{R}_{k\bar{s}} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g_{k\bar{s}}) dV_{\hat{g}} \right) \\ &\leq \|\tilde{R} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Now since  $g \mapsto \tilde{R}(g)$  is Fréchet differentiable, for every  $\kappa > 0$ , if we choose  $\delta$  small enough we have

$$\|\tilde{R} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \kappa \|g - \hat{g}\|_{W_{\hat{g}}^{2,2}}.$$

Moreover elliptic regularity yields that

$$\|g - \hat{g}\|_{W_{\hat{g}}^{2,2}} \leq C \|\Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}.$$

Now

$$\|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \geq \|\Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} - \|\tilde{R} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \geq (1 - \kappa C) \|\Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2},$$

i.e.

$$\|\Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \frac{1}{1 - \kappa C} \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\tilde{R} - \Delta_{\hat{g}} g\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 \leq \frac{\kappa^2 C^2}{(1 - \kappa C)^2} \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2$$

and so

$$\partial_t \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 \leq A \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 - \lambda B \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 + \lambda B \|\tilde{R}^0\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2 \leq \left( A - \lambda B + \frac{\lambda B \kappa^2 C^2}{(1 - \kappa C)^2} \right) \|\tilde{R}\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}^2.$$

Now for  $\delta$  small enough we have that

$$A - \lambda B + \frac{\lambda B \kappa^2 C^2}{(1 - \kappa C)^2} < 0$$

and Gronwall lemma implies the claim.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.2.** *There exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $g(t)$  is a solution of (2) for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$  and*

$$\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_g^2} \leq \delta \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \varepsilon],$$

*then for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\tau \in (0, \varepsilon)$*

$$(10) \quad \|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{H_g^m} \leq C \|\tilde{R}(g(0))\|_{L_g^2} e^{-Ct} \quad \text{for every } t \in [\tau, \varepsilon].$$

*The constant  $C$  depends only on  $\varepsilon, m, \tau, \delta$  and  $\hat{g}$ .*

*Proof.* Using Hamilton's interpolation theorem for tensors [8, Corollary 12.7] we have that for every tensor  $Q$  and  $m > 0$  we have

$$\|Q\|_{H_g^m} \leq C \left( \int_M |\hat{\nabla}^m Q|_{\hat{g}}^2 dV_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|Q\|_{L_g^2},$$

for a constant  $C > 0$  depending only  $m$  and  $\dim M$ . Thus in our case

$$\|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{H_g^m} \leq C \left( \int_M |\hat{\nabla}^m \tilde{R}(g(t))|_{\hat{g}}^2 dV_{\hat{g}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{L_g^2}.$$

Assume  $\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C^2} \leq \delta'$  for every  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ , then

$$\|R(g(t))\|_{C_g^0} \leq K, \quad \|\hat{T}(g(t))\|_{\hat{g}}^2 \leq K, \quad \|\hat{\nabla} \hat{T}(g(t))\|_{\hat{g}}^2 \leq K$$

for a constant  $K$  which depends only on  $\delta'$  and  $K \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta' \rightarrow 0^+$ . Using Shi-type estimates for Hermitian curvature flows [22, Theorem 7.3] we have

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^m R(g(t))\|_{C_g^0} \leq \frac{CK}{t^{m/2}}$$

for every  $t \in (0, \varepsilon]$ , where  $C$  depends on  $m$  and  $\varepsilon$ . In particular for  $\tau \in (0, \varepsilon)$  we have

$$\|\hat{\nabla}^m R(g(t))\|_{C_g^0} \leq C \quad \text{for every } t \in [\tau, \varepsilon]$$

where  $C$  depends on  $m, \delta, \tau$  and  $T$  and  $C \rightarrow 0$  as  $\delta' \rightarrow 0$ . It follows that

$$\|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{H_g^m} \leq C \|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{L_g^2} \quad \text{for every } t \in [\tau, \varepsilon]$$

where  $C$  depends only on  $\varepsilon, \delta'$  and  $m$ . By lemma 5.1 we find  $0 < \delta < \delta'$  such that if  $\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_g^2} \leq \delta$  we have

$$\|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{H_g^m} \leq C a e^{-b\lambda t} \|\tilde{R}(g(0))\|_{L_g^2} \quad \text{for every } t \in [\tau, \varepsilon].$$

where  $C \rightarrow 0, a \rightarrow 1, b \rightarrow 1$  as  $\delta \rightarrow 0^+$ . The claim follows taking  $\delta$  small enough.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* Let  $\delta > 0$  be as in lemma 5.2. Take  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that if

$$\|g_0 - \hat{g}\|_{H_g^{n+3}} < \delta_0$$

we have

$$\|\tilde{R}(g_0)\|_{L_g^2} + \delta_0 < \frac{\delta}{C_n},$$

where  $C_n$  is the constant given by the Sobolev embedding theorem such that  $\|f\|_{C^{2,\alpha}} \leq C_n \|f\|_{H^{n+3}}$  for every symmetric 2-tensor  $f$ . Let  $g$  be the maximal time solution to (2) with initial datum  $g_0$  and let

$$\varepsilon = \sup\{s \in [0, \infty) \mid \text{for } t \in [0, s] \text{ } g(t) \text{ exists and } \|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \delta\}.$$

By the short time existence of the flow  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Assume by contradiction  $\varepsilon < \infty$ . Note that in this case  $g(t)$  exists for  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$  and

$$\|g(\varepsilon) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} = \delta.$$

Let  $\tau \in (0, \varepsilon)$  be such that

$$\|g(\tau) - \hat{g}\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}} < \delta_0.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(\varepsilon) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} &\leq C_n \|g(\varepsilon) - \hat{g}\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}} \\ &\leq C_n (\|g(\varepsilon) - g(\tau)\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}} + \|g(\tau) - \hat{g}\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}}) \\ &\leq C_n \left( \int_{\tau}^{\varepsilon} \|\tilde{R}(g(s))\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^{n+3}} ds + \delta_0 \right) \\ &\leq C_n \left( \int_{\tau}^{\varepsilon} C e^{-Cs} \|\tilde{R}(g_0)\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} ds + \delta_0 \right) \\ &\leq C_n \left( e^{-C\tau} \|\tilde{R}(g_0)\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} + \delta_0 \right) \\ &\leq C_n \left( \|\tilde{R}(g_0)\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2} + \delta_0 \right) \\ &< \delta \end{aligned}$$

which gives us a contradiction. It follows that  $g(t)$  exists for every  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and

$$\|g(t) - \hat{g}\|_{C_{\hat{g}}^2} \leq \delta \quad \text{for every } t \in [0, \infty),$$

and Lemma 5.2 implies that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $\|\tilde{R}(g(t))\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^m} \rightarrow 0$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ .

Now we show that for every  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $g(t)$  converges to a Chern-flat metric in  $H_{\hat{g}}^m$  norm. Let

$$g_{\infty} = \int_0^{\infty} \tilde{R}(g(s)) ds.$$

For every  $t > 0$ , using again Lemma 5.2 we have

$$\|g(t) - g_{\infty}\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^m} = \left\| \int_t^{\infty} \tilde{R}(g(s)) ds \right\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^m} \leq \int_t^{\infty} \left\| \tilde{R}(g(s)) \right\|_{H_{\hat{g}}^m} ds \leq e^{-Ct} \left\| \tilde{R}(g(0)) \right\|_{L_{\hat{g}}^2}$$

which implies that  $g(t) \rightarrow g_{\infty}$  in  $C^{\infty}$ -topology. Moreover, since

$$\tilde{R}(g_{\infty}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{R}(g(t)) = 0.$$

Finally we remark that a Hermitian metric  $g$  on a compact complex parallizable manifold having  $\tilde{R} = 0$  is always Chern-flat. Indeed from (5) we have that equation  $\tilde{R} = 0$  implies

$$\Delta_g \text{tr}_{\hat{g}} g - \hat{g}^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{s}, \bar{l}} g_{r\bar{b}, k} = 0.$$

Hence the maximum principle yields that

$$\hat{g}^{\bar{r}s} g^{\bar{l}k} g^{\bar{b}a} g_{a\bar{s},\bar{l}} g_{r\bar{b},k} = 0$$

and that the components of  $g$  are consequently constant.  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] L. BEDULLI AND L. VEZZONI, On the stability of the anomaly flow. *Math. Res. Lett.* **29** (2022), no.2, 323–338.
- [2] L. BEDULLI AND L. VEZZONI, Stability of geometric flows of closed forms. *Adv. Math.* **364** (2020), 107030.
- [3] T. FEI AND S. PICARD, *Anomaly Flow and T-Duality*, Pure Appl. Math. Q. (to appear) arXiv:1903.08768.
- [4] T. FEI AND D.H. PHONG, Unification of the Kähler-Ricci and Anomaly flows, *Surv. Differ. Geom.* **23**, 89–103.
- [5] T. FEI, D. H. PHONG, S. PICARD, X. ZHANG, Estimates for a geometric flow for the type IIB string. *Math. Ann.* **382** (2022), no. 3–4, 1935–1955.
- [6] T. FEI, D. H. PHONG, S. PICARD, X. ZHANG, Stability of the Type IIA flow and its applications in symplectic geometry, arXiv:2112.15580.
- [7] M. GARCIA-FERNANDEZ, J. JORDAN AND J. STREETS, Non-Kähler Calabi-Yau geometry and pluriclosed flow. *J. Math. Pures Appl. (9)* **177**(2023), 329–367.
- [8] R. S. HAMILTON, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *J. Differential Geom.* **17** (1982), no. 2, 255–306.
- [9] J. JORDAN AND J. STREETS. On a Calabi-type estimate for pluriclosed flow. *Adv. Math.*, **366** (2020), 107097.
- [10] M.-C. LEE, Hermitian manifolds with quasi-negative curvature, *Math. Ann.* **380** (2021), no.1-2, 733–749.
- [11] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD AND X.-W. ZHANG, Geometric flows and Strominger systems, *Math. Z.* **288** (2018), no. 1–2, 101–113.
- [12] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD, AND X.-W. ZHANG, The Anomaly flow on unimodular Lie groups. *Advances in complex geometry*, 217–237, *Contemp. Math.*, **735**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2019.
- [13] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD, AND X.-W. ZHANG, New curvature flows in complex geometry. In *Surveys in Differential Geometry XXII*, 331–364, 2017.
- [14] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD, AND X.-W. ZHANG, The Anomaly flow and the Fu-Yau equation. *Annals of PDE*, **4**(2):13, 2018
- [15] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD, AND X.-W. ZHANG, Anomaly flows. *Comm. Anal. Geom.* **26** (2018), no. 4, 955–1008.
- [16] D.H. PHONG, S. PICARD, AND X.-W. ZHANG, A flow of conformally balanced metrics with Kähler fixed points, *Math. Ann.* **374** (2019), no. 3–4, 2005–2040.
- [17] R. Haslhofer, R. Müller, Dynamical stability and instability of Ricci-flat metrics. *Math. Ann.* **360** (2014), no.1–2, 547–553.
- [18] N. SESUM Linear and dynamical stability of Ricci-flat metrics, *Duke Math. J.* **133** (2006), no.1, 1–26.
- [19] J. STREETS, Pluriclosed flow on generalized Kähler manifolds with split tangent bundle, *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)*, in press (2015).
- [20] J. STREETS, Pluriclosed flow, Born-Infeld geometry, and rigidity results for generalized Kähler manifolds, *Commun. Part. Diff. Eq.*, vol. 41, no. 2 (2016), 318–374.
- [21] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, A parabolic flow of pluriclosed metrics, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2010, no. 16, 3101–3133.
- [22] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, Hermitian curvature flow, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **13** (2011), no. 3, 601–634.

- [23] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, Generalized Kähler geometry and the pluriclosed flow, *Nuc. Phys. B*, Vol. 858, Issue 2, (2012) 366–376.
- [24] J. STREETS, G. TIAN, Regularity results for the pluriclosed flow, *Geom. & Top.* **17** (2013) 2389–2429.
- [25] Y. USTINOVSKIY, Hermitian curvature flow on manifolds with non-negative Griffiths curvature. *Amer. J. Math.* **141**, 1751–1775, 2019.
- [26] S.C. WANG, Complex parallizable manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **5** (1954). 771–776.

(LUCIO BEDULLI) DIPARTIMENTO DI INGEGNERIA E SCIENZE DELL'INFORMAZIONE E MATEMATICA,  
UNIVERSITÀ DELL'AQUILA, VIA VETOIO, 67100 L'AQUILA, ITALY  
*Email address:* lucio.bedulli@univaq.it

(LUIGI VEZZONI) DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA G. PEANO, UNIVERSITÀ DI TORINO, VIA CARLO  
ALBERTO 10, 10123 TORINO, ITALY  
*Email address:* luigi.vezzoni@unito.it