

The Ground State of the $S = 1$ Antiferromagnetic Heisenberg Chain is Topologically Nontrivial if Gapped

Hal Tasaki^{1, *}

¹*Department of Physics, Gakushuin University, Mejiro, Toshima-ku, Tokyo 171-8588, Japan*
(Dated: August 2, 2024)

Under the widely accepted but unproven assumption that the one-dimensional $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model has a unique gapped ground state, we prove that the model belongs to a nontrivial symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phase. In other words, we rigorously rule out the possibility that the model has a unique gapped ground state that is topologically trivial. To be precise, we assume that the models on open finite chains with boundary magnetic field have unique ground states with a uniform gap and prove that the ground state of the infinite chain has a nontrivial topological index. This implies the presence of a gapless edge excitation in the model on the half-infinite chain and the existence of a topological phase transition in the model (9).

There is a 23-minute video that explains the motivation and the main results of the present work:
<https://youtu.be/sq4bNaDJt9g>

Haldane’s discovery [1–6] that the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with integer spin S has a unique gapped ground state opened the rich field of topological phases of matter in quantum spin systems. It is accepted that the ground state of the model with odd S belongs to a nontrivial symmetry-protected topological (SPT) phase, while that for even S belongs to a trivial SPT phase [7–13]. Since topological phases can never be characterized by a local order parameter, a major challenge in the research was to identify topological indices that characterize the ground states on the infinite chain. The index defined by Pollmann, Turner, Berg, and Oshikawa for matrix product states [9, 10] (see also [14–17]) was fully generalized by Ogata [12, 13]. The evaluation of the indices in the solvable Affleck-Kennedy-Lieb-Tasaki (AKLT) model [5, 6] rigorously confirmed the above general picture of SPT phases. See, e.g., Part II of [18] for an overview.

In spite of all the progress, however, essentially nothing rigorous was established for the most standard antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain. The similarity between the Heisenberg and the AKLT models, which seems to be taken for granted, lacks theoretical justification. Although there has been remarkable progress over the decades in the proof of the existence [19–21] and the stability [22–24] of the energy gap in many-body quantum systems, none of them are sufficient to show the existence of a gap in the $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain.

In the present paper, instead of completely resolving the conjectures for the odd S antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain, we concentrate on and solve “half” of them. We prove that the ground state of the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with odd S has a nontrivial topological index and hence belongs to a nontrivial SPT phase, under the assumption that the models on open finite chains with boundary field have unique ground states with a uniform energy gap. In other words, we rigorously establish that the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with odd S cannot have a unique gapped ground state that is

topologically trivial.

The proof is based on the elementary index theory developed by Tasaki [11]. We first use the standard Lieb-Mattis-type technique to evaluate a quantity for a finite chain that corresponds to the index. The assumption about the existence of a uniform gap then allows us to convert this information to a rigorous evaluation of the index for the ground state of the infinite chain. Although we focus only on the $S = 1$ model in what follows, all the results readily extend to models with odd S .

Main results.— The (formal) Hamiltonian of the $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model on the infinite chain is

$$\hat{H}^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j+1}, \quad (1)$$

where $\hat{\mathbf{S}}_j = (\hat{S}_j^x, \hat{S}_j^y, \hat{S}_j^z)$ with $(\hat{\mathbf{S}}_j)^2 = 2$ denotes the $S = 1$ spin operator at site j . Rather than working on the infinite chain directly, we first consider the corresponding model on the finite open chain $\{-L, \dots, L\} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_L^{(1)} = \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j+1} - h(\hat{S}_{-L}^z + \hat{S}_L^z), \quad (2)$$

where magnetic field $h > 0$ in the positive- z direction is applied to the spins at the two boundaries. It should be noted that the Hamiltonian (2) does not have any of the three standard symmetries — the time-reversal symmetry, the $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry, or the bond-centered inversion symmetry — that protect the Haldane phase [8–13]. Instead, (2) is invariant under any uniform spin-rotation about the z -axis and the inversion about the origin. Fuji, Pollmann, and Oshikawa found that such $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry also protects the Haldane phase [25].

By using the standard argument employed to prove the Marshall-Lieb-Mattis theorem [26, 27], we can prove the following.

Lemma 1.— For any $L = 1, 2, \dots$ and $h > 0$, the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_L^{(1)}$ has a unique ground state $|\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle$. It satisfies $\hat{S}_{\text{tot}}^z |\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle = |\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle$, where $\hat{S}_{\text{tot}}^z = \sum_{j=-L}^L \hat{S}_j^z$.

Proof.— Let us consider the general Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_L' = \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j+1} - \sum_{j=-L}^L (-1)^{j+L} h_j \hat{S}_j^z, \quad (3)$$

with $h_j \geq 0$. We shall prove the desired statements, assuming $h_j > 0$ for at least one j . It is convenient to make a suitable rotation to (3) and treat

$$\hat{H}_L'' = \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j+1} - \sum_{j=-L}^L (-1)^{j+L} h_j \hat{S}_j^x. \quad (4)$$

With the unitary $\hat{V} = \exp[-i\pi \sum_{j:j+L \text{ is odd}} \hat{S}_j^z]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{V}^\dagger \hat{H}_L'' \hat{V} &= \sum_{j=-L}^{L-1} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} (\hat{S}_j^+ \hat{S}_{j+1}^- + \hat{S}_j^- \hat{S}_{j+1}^+) + \hat{S}_j^z \hat{S}_{j+1}^z \right\} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=-L}^L h_j (\hat{S}_j^+ + \hat{S}_j^-). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Let $\{|-\rangle_j, |0\rangle_j, |+\rangle_j\}$ be the basis of the spin at site j . The standard basis state for the spin chain is $\bigotimes_{j=-L}^L |\sigma_j\rangle_j$, where $(\sigma_{-L}, \dots, \sigma_L)$ with $\sigma_j = 0, \pm$ gives a spin configuration. Then (5) shows that, in the modified basis $\{\hat{V} \bigotimes_{j=-L}^L |\sigma_j\rangle_j\}$, the Hamiltonian \hat{H}_L'' has non-positive off-diagonal elements and also all the basis states are connected via nonvanishing matrix elements if $h_j \neq 0$ for at least one j . Then, the Perron-Frobenius theorem implies the ground state is unique. See, e.g., [18] for details.

Since $[\hat{S}_{\text{tot}}^z, \hat{H}_L^{(1)}] = 0$, we see $\hat{S}_{\text{tot}}^z |\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle = M |\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle$ for some M . Note that the uniqueness implies M is independent of the magnetic field. To see $M = 1$, it suffices to note that the ground state of (3) with $h_j \gg 1$ for all j is essentially the Néel state. ■

Let E_L^{GS} and $E_L^{1\text{st}}$ be the ground state energy and the first excited energy of $\hat{H}_L^{(1)}$. The uniqueness of the ground state implies $E_L^{1\text{st}} - E_L^{\text{GS}} > 0$. We shall make the following much stronger assumption, which is nothing but the Haldane conjecture.

Assumption 2.— There are constants $h > 0$, $\gamma > 0$, and L_0 such that

$$E_L^{1\text{st}} - E_L^{\text{GS}} \geq \gamma, \quad (6)$$

for all $L \geq L_0$ [28].

The assumption is believed to be valid for a reasonable value of h , say $h = 1$, with the Haldane gap $\gamma \simeq 0.41$. As we noted in the introduction, rigorous proof seems far beyond our reach for the moment.

Note that the boundary field in (2) is necessary since the model with $h = 0$ is believed to possess gapless excitations at the boundaries. (One can prove that $\hat{H}_L^{(1)}$ with $h = 0$ has three-fold degenerate ground states.)

It should be stressed that we are not sneaking in the topological nature by Assumption 2. This is most clearly seen by noting that the topologically trivial model with the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_L^{(0)} = \sum_{j=-L}^L (\hat{S}_j^z)^2 - h(\hat{S}_{-L}^z + \hat{S}_L^z), \quad (7)$$

also satisfies Assumption 2 with $h > 0$ such that $h \neq 1$ and $\gamma = \min\{|h-1|, 1\}$. In fact, Assumption 2 fails to be valid only when the model has a gapless or degenerate ground state(s) or exhibits some pathological low-energy behavior.

Let us define the infinite volume ground state $\omega^{(1)}$ by

$$\omega^{(1)}(\hat{A}) = \lim_{L \uparrow \infty} \langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{A} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle, \quad (8)$$

where $|\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle$ is the unique normalized ground state of (2) and \hat{A} is an arbitrary local operator. (We take a subsequence of L if necessary.) It can be shown that $\omega^{(1)}$ is a locally-unique gapped ground state of the infinite chain Hamiltonian (1) [29].

Under Assumption 2, we prove the following.

Theorem 3.— The topological index (defined in [11]) of the ground state $\omega^{(1)}$ is $\text{Ind}[\omega^{(1)}] = -1$. (See (13) below for the definition of the index.) Therefore, $\omega^{(1)}$ is in a nontrivial SPT phase.

An important implication of the theorem is the existence of a gapless edge excitation in the model on the half-infinite chain $\mathbb{Z}_+ = \mathbb{Z} \cap [0, \infty)$ [6, 31, 32]. Let $\omega_+^{(1)}$ be a ground state of $\hat{H}_+^{(1)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \hat{\mathbf{S}}_j \cdot \hat{\mathbf{S}}_{j+1}$ that is invariant under the uniform π -rotation about the x-axis.

Corollary 4.— Assume that the ground state of (1) is unique. Then, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a unitary operator \hat{V}_ε acting only on a finite number of sites such that $\omega_+^{(1)}(\hat{V}_\varepsilon) = 0$ and $\omega_+^{(1)}(\hat{V}_\varepsilon^\dagger \hat{H}_+^{(1)} \hat{V}_\varepsilon - \hat{H}_+^{(1)}) \leq \varepsilon$.

In other words, \hat{V}_ε generates a state orthogonal to the ground state $\omega_+^{(1)}$ with excitation energy not exceeding ε . A key for the proof is that the unique ground state $\omega^{(1)}$ has the same symmetry as the Hamiltonian (1). This allows us to define \mathbb{Z}_2 topological indices corresponding to the three classes of symmetry discussed in [11]. Corollary 4 is proved in exactly the same way as Theorem 3.6 of [33] for fermion models by using the symmetry with respect to the π -rotation about the x-axis. See also [34, 35]. We can also prove similar results for finite open chains [35].

Theorem 3 also implies the existence of a topological phase transition in the ground states of the one-parameter family of Hamiltonians

$$\hat{H}^{(s)} = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \{s \hat{S}_j \cdot \hat{S}_{j+1} + (1-s)(\hat{S}_j^z)^2\}, \quad (9)$$

where $s \in [0, 1]$. Since it is easily found that $\text{Ind}[\omega^{(0)}] = 1$, Theorem 3 and the index theorem of [11] imply the following.

Corollary 5.— Let $\omega^{(s)}$ be the ground state of $\hat{H}^{(s)}$. Then there exists $s_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\omega^{(s_0)}$ is not a unique gapped ground state or $\omega^{(s)}$ is discontinuous in s at s_0 .

In case Assumption 2 is not valid, one may regard $\omega^{(1)}$ itself as critical. Therefore, we have established without any unproven assumptions that the model (9) undergoes a phase transition at some $s_0 \in (0, 1]$.

The twist operator and the \mathbb{Z}_2 index.— The definition of the \mathbb{Z}_2 -index in [11] is based on the observation by Nakamura and Todo [36] that the expectation value of the twist operator plays the role of an order parameter for the Haldane phase. For $\alpha \in (0, 4 - \pi]$, let

$$\theta_j^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} 0, & j \leq -\frac{\pi}{\alpha}; \\ \pi + \alpha j, & -\frac{\pi}{\alpha} \leq j \leq \frac{\pi}{\alpha}; \\ 2\pi, & j \geq \frac{\pi}{\alpha}. \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

We also set $\theta_j^{(0)} = \pi$ for all j . For $\alpha \in [0, 4 - \pi]$, we define the twist operator [37–39] on the chain $\{-L, \dots, L\}$ by

$$\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} = \exp\left[-i \sum_{j=-L}^L \theta_j^{(\alpha)} \hat{S}_j^z\right]. \quad (11)$$

For $\alpha \in (0, 4 - \pi]$, we define the twist operator on the infinite chain by

$$\hat{U}^{(\alpha)} = \exp\left[-i \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z} \cap [-\frac{\pi}{\alpha}, \frac{\pi}{\alpha}]} \theta_j^{(\alpha)} \hat{S}_j^z\right], \quad (12)$$

which is a local operator since $\alpha > 0$. Note that (12) is obtained as the $L \uparrow \infty$ limit of (11) because $e^{-i2\pi\hat{S}_j^z} = \hat{1}$. It is crucial that $\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)}$ and $\hat{U}^{(\alpha)}$ are continuous in α .

Let us review the index theory in [11], restricting our attention to the class of models with the $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry [40]. Let \hat{H} be a Hamiltonian for the $S = 1$ spin system on the infinite chain \mathbb{Z} that is invariant under any uniform rotation about the z-axis. We assume that \hat{H} has a locally-unique gapped ground state ω with gap $\gamma' > 0$ [29]. We further assume that ω is invariant under site-centered inversion, i.e., $\omega(\hat{U}_{\text{si}} \hat{A} \hat{U}_{\text{si}}) = \omega(\hat{A})$ for any local operator \hat{A} . Here we defined the unitary $\hat{U}_{\text{si}} = \hat{U}_{\text{si}}^\dagger$ for site-centered inversion by $\hat{U}_{\text{si}} \otimes_{j=-L}^L |\sigma_j\rangle_j = \otimes_{j=-L}^L |\sigma_{-j}\rangle_j$ for any spin configuration $(\sigma_{-L}, \dots, \sigma_L)$.

(We take L large enough so that the support of \hat{A} is included in $\{-L, \dots, L\}$.)

Then the \mathbb{Z}_2 index for ω is defined as

$$\text{Ind}[\omega] = \lim_{\alpha \downarrow 0} \omega(\hat{U}^{(\alpha)}) \in \{-1, 1\}, \quad (13)$$

where the existence of the limit is guaranteed [11, 35]. It is also proved that the index $\text{Ind}(\omega)$ is invariant when the Hamiltonian and the ground states are continuously modified so that the above symmetries are preserved and the ground state remains locally-unique and gapped.

Note that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}^{(s)}$ of (9) has the $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry for any s , and hence its unique ground state is invariant under the site-centered inversion. To prove Corollary 5, it suffices to note that the ground state of $\hat{H}^{(0)}$ is $\otimes_j |0\rangle_j$, and hence $\omega^{(0)}(\hat{U}^{(\alpha)}) = 1$ for any $\alpha > 0$. We readily find from (13) that $\text{Ind}[\omega^{(0)}] = 1$, and hence this trivial model cannot be continuously connected to the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain, which is shown to have $\text{Ind}[\omega^{(1)}] = -1$ under Assumption 2.

Proof of Theorem 3.— The following simple lemma is essential.

$$\text{Lemma 6.}— \langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Proof.— In this proof, we abbreviate $\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \cdot | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$ as $\langle \cdot \rangle$. Let \hat{U}_{si} be the unitary for site-centered inversion on the chain $\{-L, \dots, L\}$ defined as above. Since $[\hat{U}_{\text{si}}, \hat{H}_L^{(1)}] = 0$, the uniqueness implies $\hat{U}_{\text{si}} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle = \pm | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$ (where we know from the Perron-Frobenius theorem that the sign is $+$) and hence $\langle \hat{A} \rangle = \langle \hat{U}_{\text{si}} \hat{A} \hat{U}_{\text{si}} \rangle$ for any \hat{A} . We then find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} \rangle &= \langle \hat{U}_{\text{si}} \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} \hat{U}_{\text{si}} \rangle = \left\langle \exp\left[-i \sum_{j=-L}^L \theta_{-j}^{(\alpha)} \hat{S}_j^z\right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \exp\left[-i \sum_{j=-L}^L (\theta_{-j}^{(\alpha)} - 2\pi) \hat{S}_j^z\right] \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \exp\left[i \sum_{j=-L}^L \theta_j^{(\alpha)} \hat{S}_j^z\right] \right\rangle = \langle (\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)})^\dagger \rangle = \langle \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} \rangle^*, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where we noted $\theta_{-j}^{(\alpha)} - 2\pi = -\theta_j^{(\alpha)}$. ■

We next recall the variational estimate due to Lieb, Schultz, and Mattis [38]. See also [18, 30] for proof.

Lemma 7.— We have

$$\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | (\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)})^\dagger \hat{H}_L^{(1)} \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle - E_L^{\text{GS}} \leq 4\alpha^2 \left(\frac{\pi}{\alpha} + 1\right) \leq 16\alpha, \quad (15)$$

where we noted $\alpha \leq 4 - \pi$ to get the final bound.

Note that the first term on the left-hand side is the energy expectation value of the variational state $\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$. Then (15) states that the energy expectation value is close to the ground state energy if α is small.

So far, we have not used Assumption 2. The final lemma relies on the assumption.

Lemma 8.— Let $\alpha_0 = \gamma/18$. For any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$, we have $|\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle| \geq \frac{1}{3}$.

Proof.— Let $|\Psi_j\rangle$ with $j = 0, 1, \dots$ be the normalized eigenstates of $\hat{H}_L^{(1)}$ with energy eigenvalue E_j , where $j = 0$ and 1 correspond to the ground state and the first excited state, respectively. Expanding the trial state as $\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} |\Phi_L^{\text{GS}}\rangle = \sum_j c_j |\Psi_j\rangle$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | (\hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)})^\dagger \hat{H}_L^{(1)} \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle - E_L^{\text{GS}} &= \sum_j |c_j|^2 (E_j - E_0) \\ &\geq \sum_{j \neq 0} |c_j|^2 \gamma = (1 - |c_0|^2) \gamma, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where we noted that (6) implies $E_j - E_0 \geq \gamma$ for $j \neq 0$. Combining this with (15), we find $|c_0|^2 \geq 1 - 16\alpha/\gamma \geq \frac{1}{9}$. Recalling $c_0 = \langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$, we get the desired result. ■

Note that Lemmas 6 and 8 show that the expectation value $\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$ is either in $[-1, -\frac{1}{3}]$ or $[\frac{1}{3}, 1]$ if $L \geq L_0$ and $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0]$. This dichotomy is at the heart of the definition of the \mathbb{Z}_2 index in [11].

We are now ready to determine the topological index of the ground state $\omega^{(1)}$. We first note that for $\alpha = 0$, Lemma 1 implies

$$\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(0)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle = \langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \exp[-i\pi \hat{S}_{\text{tot}}^z] | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle = -1. \quad (17)$$

Since $\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle$ (for fixed $L \geq L_0$) is continuous in α , the foregoing observation shows $\langle \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} | \hat{U}_L^{(\alpha)} | \Phi_L^{\text{GS}} \rangle \in [-1, -\frac{1}{3}]$ for $\alpha \in (0, \alpha_0]$. Since this holds for any $L \geq L_0$, we see for the infinite volume ground state (8) that

$$\omega^{(1)}(\hat{U}^{(\alpha)}) \in [-1, -\frac{1}{3}] \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in (0, \alpha_0], \quad (18)$$

which shows $\text{Ind}[\omega^{(1)}] = -1$.

Discussion.— We proved that the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with $S = 1$ (or, more generally, odd S) belongs to a nontrivial SPT phase, under Assumption 2 about the existence of the Haldane gap. The theorem implies the presence of a gapless edge excitation in the model on the half-infinite chain and the existence of a phase transition in the interpolating model (9).

Generally speaking, a quantum many-body system may have (i) a unique gapped ground state that is topologically trivial, (ii) a unique gapped ground state that is topologically nontrivial, or (iii) gapless or degenerate ground states. Our theorem rigorously rules out the (least interesting) possibility (i) for the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with odd S . We have thus established that the ground state of the antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain with odd S must be nontrivial in some sense.

In our proof, it is essential to treat a model on a finite open chain that has (or is expected to have) a unique gapped ground state and possesses sufficient symmetry to protect the Haldane phase. The model (2) with the $U(1) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry turns out to be essentially the unique choice [41]. Then, an elementary Lieb-Mattis-type argument for a finite chain yields the key estimate (17). Of course, such an estimate for finite chains alone is insufficient to determine the topological index for the ground state of the infinite chain. Here, Lemmas 6 and 8 guarantee that one can continuously increase α (up to α_0) and then take the $L \uparrow \infty$ limit.

The whole analysis makes use of the index defined in [11] in terms of the expectation value of the twist operator. An intriguing problem is to show that this index coincides with the corresponding Ogata index [12, 13].

After having proven that “the ground state of the $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain is topologically nontrivial if gapped”, the remaining major challenge is to prove the existence of a gap. As we noted already, the current techniques for controlling the gap of many-body quantum systems [19–24] is still not sufficient to justify Assumption 2. It is challenging to develop a new strategy that would allow computer-aided proof of the existence of a gap in the $S = 1$ antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain.

It is a pleasure to thank Hoshio Katsura and Akinori Tanaka for their valuable discussions over the years, which made the present work possible, and Sven Bachmann, Yohei Fuji, Tohru Koma, Elliott Lieb, Bruno Nachtergaele, Masaki Oshikawa, and Amanda Young for their useful discussions. The present research is supported by JSPS Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 22K03474.

* hal.tasaki@gakushuin.ac.jp

- [1] F.D.M. Haldane, *Ground State Properties of Antiferromagnetic Chains with Unrestricted Spin: Integer Spin Chains as Realisations of the $O(3)$ Non-Linear Sigma Model*, ILL preprint SP-81/95 (1981). <https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.00076>
- [2] F.D.M. Haldane, *Continuum dynamics of the 1-D Heisenberg antiferromagnet: identification with the $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model*, Phys. Lett. **93A**, 464–468 (1983). <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/0375960183>
- [3] F.D.M. Haldane, *Nonlinear field theory of large-spin Heisenberg antiferromagnets: semiclassically quantized solitons of the one-dimensional easy-axis Néel state*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** 1153–1156 (1983). <https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.50.1153>
- [4] F.D.M. Haldane, *Nobel Lecture: Topological Quantum Matter*, Rev. Mod. Phys. **89**, 040502 (2017).
- [5] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E.H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, *Rigorous results on valence-bond ground states in antiferromagnets*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **59**, 799 (1987).
- [6] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E.H. Lieb, and H. Tasaki, *Va-*

- lence bond ground states in isotropic quantum antiferromagnets, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **115**, 477–528 (1988).
<https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104161001>
- [7] M. Oshikawa, *Hidden $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry in quantum spin chains with arbitrary integer spin*, *J. Phys. Cond. Matt.* **4**, 7469 (1992).
- [8] Z.-C. Gu and X.-G. Wen, *Tensor-entanglement-filtering renormalization approach and symmetry-protected topological order*, *Phys. Rev. B* **80**, 155131 (2009).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0903.1069>
- [9] F. Pollmann, A.M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, *Entanglement spectrum of a topological phase in one dimension*, *Phys. Rev. B* **81**, 064439 (2010).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0910.1811>
- [10] F. Pollmann, A.M. Turner, E. Berg, and M. Oshikawa, *Symmetry protection of topological phases in one-dimensional quantum spin systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **85**, 075125 (2012).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0909.4059>
- [11] H. Tasaki, *Topological phase transition and \mathbb{Z}_2 index for $S = 1$ quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **121**, 140604 (2018).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1804.04337>
- [12] Y. Ogata, *A \mathbb{Z}_2 -index of symmetry protected topological phases with time reversal symmetry for quantum spin chains*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **374**, 705–734 (2020).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1810.01045>
- [13] Y. Ogata, *A \mathbb{Z}_2 -index of symmetry protected topological phases with reflection symmetry for quantum spin chains*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **385**, 1245–1272 (2021).
<https://arxiv.org/pdf/1904.01669.pdf>
- [14] M. den Nijs and K. Rommelse, *Preroughening transitions in crystal surfaces and valence-bond phases in quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. B* **40**, 4709 (1989).
- [15] T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki, *Hidden $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2$ symmetry breaking in Haldane-gap antiferromagnets*, *Phys. Rev. B* **45**, 304–307 (1992).
- [16] T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki, *Hidden symmetry breaking and the Haldane phase in $S = 1$ quantum spin chains*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **147**, 431–484 (1992).
<https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104250747>
- [17] D. Perez-Garcia, M.M. Wolf, M. Sanz, F. Verstraete, and J.I. Cirac, *String order and symmetries in quantum spin lattices*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 167202 (2008).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/0802.0447>
- [18] H. Tasaki, *Physics and Mathematics of Quantum Many-Body Systems*, Graduate Texts in Physics (Springer, 2020).
- [19] S. Knabe, *Energy gaps and elementary excitations for certain VBS-quantum antiferromagnets*, *J. Stat. Phys.* **52**, 627–638 (1988).
- [20] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele, and R. F. Werner, *Finitely correlated states on quantum spin chains*, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **144**, 443–490 (1992).
<https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104249404>
- [21] B. Nachtergaele, *The spectral gap for some spin chains with discrete symmetry breaking*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **175**, 565–606 (1996).
<https://projecteuclid.org/euclid.cmp/1104276093>
- [22] D.A. Yarotsky, *Ground States in Relatively Bounded Quantum Perturbations of Classical Lattice Systems*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **261**, 799–819 (2006).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/math-ph/0412040>
- [23] S. Bravyi, M.B. Hastings, and S. Michalakis, *Topological quantum order: stability under local perturbations*, *J. Math. Phys.* **51**, 093512 (2010).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1001.0344>
- [24] B. Nachtergaele, R. Sims, and A. Young *Quasi-Locality Bounds for Quantum Lattice Systems. Part II. Perturbations of Frustration-Free Spin Models with Gapped Ground States*, *Annales H. Poincaré*, **23**, 393–511 (2022).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.15337>
- [25] Y. Fuji, F. Pollmann, and M. Oshikawa, *Distinct trivial phases protected by a point-group symmetry in quantum spin chains*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **114**, 177204 (2015).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/1409.8616>
- [26] W. Marshall, *Antiferromagnetism*, *Proc. Roy. Soc. A* **232**, 48 (1955).
- [27] E.H. Lieb and D. Mattis, *Ordering energy levels in interacting spin chains*, *J. Math. Phys.* **3**, 749–751 (1962).
- [28] It is sufficient that (6) is valid for an infinite increasing sequence of L . The field $h > 0$ may depend on L .
- [29] Here is a brief summary of states in the spin system on the infinite chain. See [30] for more details. Let $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}$ be the set of all polynomials of \hat{S}_j^α with $\alpha = x, y, z$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. A state ρ a linear map from $\mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}$ to \mathbb{C} such that $\rho(\hat{1}) = 1$ and $\rho(\hat{A}^\dagger \hat{A}) \geq 0$ for any $\hat{A} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}$. Here, $\rho(\hat{A})$ is the expectation value of \hat{A} . Let \hat{H} be a (formal) Hamiltonian such as (1) or (9). A state ω is said to be a locally-unique gapped ground state of \hat{H} if there is a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $\omega(\hat{V}^\dagger[\hat{H}, \hat{V}]) \geq \gamma \omega(\hat{V}^\dagger \hat{V})$ holds for any $\hat{V} \in \mathfrak{A}_{\text{loc}}$ with $\omega(\hat{V}) = 0$.
- [30] H. Tasaki, *The Lieb-Schultz-Mattis Theorem: A Topological Point of View*, in R.L. Frank, A. Laptev, M. Lewin, and R. Seiringer eds. “The Physics and Mathematics of Elliott Lieb” vol. 2, pp. 405–446 (European Mathematical Society Press, 2022).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2202.06243>
- [31] T. Kennedy, *Exact diagonalization of open spin 1 chains*, *J. Phys.: Cond. Matt.* **2**, 5737–5745 (1990).
- [32] M. Hagiwara, K. Katsumata, I. Affleck, B.I. Halperin, and J.P. Renard, *Observation of $S = 1/2$ degrees of freedom in an $S = 1$ linear-chain Heisenberg antiferromagnet*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **65**, 3181 (1990).
- [33] H. Tasaki, *Rigorous Index Theory for One-Dimensional Interacting Topological Insulators*, *J. Math. Phys.* **64**, 041903 (2023).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/2111.07335>
- [34] H. Tasaki, *Variations on a Theme by Lieb, Schultz, and Mattis*, (YouTube video, 2022).
<https://youtu.be/XUBicfQN6kk>
- [35] H. Tasaki, *Topological indices for $U(1)$ invariant quantum spin chains and applications to symmetry protected topological phases and spin pumping*, to be published.
- [36] M. Nakamura and S. Todo, *Order Parameter to Characterize Valence-Bond-Solid States in Quantum Spin Chains*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 077204 (2002).
<https://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0112377>
- [37] D. Bohm, *Note on a theorem of Bloch concerning possible causes of superconductivity*, *Phys. Rev.* **75**, 502 (1949).
- [38] E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, *Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain*, *Ann. Phys.* **16**, 407–466 (1961).
- [39] I. Affleck and E.H. Lieb, *A proof of part of Haldane’s conjecture on spin chains*, *Lett. Math. Phys.* **12**, 57–69 (1986).
- [40] This symmetry class is not treated in [11]. The extension

is trivial.

[41] One can also treat a spin S chain without a magnetic field with two spin $S/2$ at the two ends to get the same conclusion [35]. However, assuming that such a model has

a unique gapped ground state is close to assuming that the ground state has an AKLT-like structure.