

# Griffiths polynomials of $q$ -Racah type

Nicolas Crampé<sup>1,2</sup>, Luc Frappat<sup>2</sup>, Julien Gaboriaud<sup>3</sup>, Eric Ragoucy<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institut Denis-Poisson CNRS/UMR 7013 - Université de Tours - Université d'Orléans,  
Parc de Grandmont, 37200 Tours, France.

<sup>2</sup> Laboratoire d'Annecy-le-Vieux de Physique Théorique LAPTh,  
Université Savoie Mont Blanc, CNRS, F-74000 Annecy, France.

<sup>3</sup> Graduate School of Informatics, Kyoto University, Sakyo-ku, Kyoto, 606-8501, Japan.

## Abstract

New bivariate Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type are introduced and characterized. They generalize the polynomials orthogonal on the multinomial distribution introduced by R. Griffiths fifty years ago. They also correspond to a  $q$ -deformation of the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type introduced previously by the authors and collaborators. The latter are recovered from the former by a  $q \rightarrow 1$  limit. We show that these new polynomials are bispectral and biorthogonal. We also exhibit some symmetry relations that are essential in the proof of the bispectrality property.

**Keywords:** Orthogonal polynomials; Bivariate polynomials; Bispectral problem  
**MSC2020 database:** 33D50; 33D80; 16G60

**E-mail:** crampe1977@gmail.com, luc.frappat@lapth.cnrs.fr, julien.gaboriaud@umontreal.ca,  
eric.ragoucy@lapth.cnrs.fr

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>Properties of the <math>q</math>-Racah polynomials</b>	<b>4</b>
2.1	Bispectral property, duality and orthogonality . . . . .	4
2.2	Contiguity recurrence relations and difference equations . . . . .	6
<b>3</b>	<b>Tratnik polynomials of <math>q</math>-Racah type</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>Racah and Biedenharn–Elliot relations for <math>q</math>-Racah polynomials</b>	<b>13</b>
4.1	Racah relation . . . . .	13
4.2	Biedenharn–Elliot relation . . . . .	14
<b>5</b>	<b>Griffiths polynomials of <math>q</math>-Racah type</b>	<b>15</b>
5.1	Symmetry relations . . . . .	15
5.2	Duality and biorthogonality . . . . .	17
5.3	Bispectral property . . . . .	19
5.4	Polynomiality . . . . .	20
<b>6</b>	<b>Outlook</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>A</b>	<b>Basic hypergeometric functions</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>B</b>	<b>Representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra <math>\mathbf{aw}(3)</math></b>	<b>23</b>
B.1	Defining relations for $\mathbf{aw}(3)$ . . . . .	23
B.2	Representations of the algebra $\mathbf{aw}(3)$ . . . . .	24
B.3	Equivalence of the three representations $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{C}$ . . . . .	25
<b>C</b>	<b>Representations of the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra <math>\mathbf{aw}(4)</math></b>	<b>26</b>
C.1	Defining relations for $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ . . . . .	26
C.2	Representations of the algebra $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ . . . . .	27
C.3	Equivalence of the five representations $A, B, C, D, E$ . . . . .	30

## 1 Introduction

In the study of special functions, a special class of polynomials, called the  $(q-)$ hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials, plays a special role [1, 27]. This class comprises diverse families of polynomials who are usually arranged in a scheme, called the  $(q-)$ Askey scheme, which allows one to visualize the connections between the members of this class (see [47] for a review). All these families of polynomials are orthogonal and satisfy a three-term recurrence relation. In addition, they satisfy a second order differential equation or a three-term  $(q-)$ difference equation. They are described as bispectral, meaning that they satisfy a recurrence relation and a difference equation in two distinct variables. The  $(q-)$ Racah polynomials sit at the top of this scheme and the various other polynomials can be obtained from the latter by limits or specializations on the parameters. A generalization to the multivariate case is important. **The goal of this paper is to provide a bivariate generalization of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials that possesses the bispectrality property amongst many other properties.** Let us emphasize that the generalization introduced here is different from the one defined in [62]: that one is obtained as a truncation of the Koornwinder–Macdonald multivariable generalization of the Askey–Wilson polynomials.

A number of multivariate generalizations of orthogonal polynomials have been studied throughout the years. In [35, 23], a  $n$  variable generalization of the Krawtchouk polynomials (which are a limiting case of the Racah polynomials) has been introduced through a generating function, and it has been

proven that these polynomials are orthogonal with respect to the multinomial distribution. They have also been obtained in the context of a probabilistic model in [38] (and have been called Rahman polynomials for a while), expressed in terms of Gel'fand-Aomoto hypergeometric series [51], and appeared as the  $3nj$ -symbol of the oscillator algebra [61, 46, 66, 17]. These polynomials are usually called the Griffiths polynomials but, in this paper, we shall call them the *Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type* to distinguish them from the ones we will construct.

A  $n$  variable generalization of the ( $q$ -)Racah polynomials has been obtained in [57, 28]; here we shall call it the *Tratnik polynomials of ( $q$ -)Racah type*. These multivariate polynomials are bispectral and have been studied intensively in different contexts (see *e.g.* [32, 40, 56, 29, 4, 36]). Taking a limit on the Tratnik polynomials of Racah type, one obtains a multivariate generalization of the Krawtchouk polynomials, called here the *Tratnik polynomials of Krawtchouk type*. These polynomials in  $n$  variables depend on  $n$  parameters whereas the Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type depend on  $\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)$  parameters. In [31], a group theoretical interpretation of the Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type has been given (see also [54, 42, 41]). This point of view showed that the Tratnik polynomials of Krawtchouk type can be obtained by specifying some parameters of the Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type. The latter polynomials were also expressed as sums of a nested product of univariate Krawtchouk polynomials.

It is then natural to ask whether it is possible to define multivariate polynomials based on univariate Racah or  $q$ -Racah polynomials which, in a certain limit, reproduce the Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type. Recently, studying the representation theory of the rank 2 Racah algebra, bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type have been computed [15] and their bispectral property has been obtained. These polynomials are expressed as a sum of a product of three univariate Racah polynomials and share this structure with the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type. They have subsequently been studied in more detail in [13], where the properties of the bivariate polynomials have been established using only the properties of the univariate Racah polynomials. In addition, it has been proven (see [13]) that a limit of the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type reproduces the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type; this provides a justification for their designation as “bivariate Griffiths polynomials”.

In this paper, we study a  $q$ -deformed version of the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of Racah type. In other words, we study *bivariate Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type* which are expressed as a sum of a product of three univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials (see relation (5.1)). From these, a limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  leads to the Griffith polynomials of Racah type just discussed. Using properties of the univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomial, we prove numerous properties for the proposed bivariate polynomials: bispectral properties, biorthogonality, symmetry, duality, etc. The proofs differ from the ones in [13] since the properties used there seem not to generalize to the  $q$ -deformed case. Instead, we make use of a symmetry under the exchange of the two variables, which appears to be crucial and was not yet established in [13] for the undeformed case. To establish this symmetry, we use the Racah and Biedenharn–Elliot relations for the monovariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials (see relations (4.1) and (4.6)). These properties are proven using the underlying algebra of the bispectral problem which is the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ . As a byproduct of this construction, we provide finite-dimensional representations of the  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  algebra.

The plan of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, the univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials are defined in terms of  $q$ -hypergeometric functions (whose definition can be found in Appendix A) and its well-known properties, such as bispectrality, duality and orthogonality, are recalled. Supplementary relations, called contiguity relations, are proven in subsection 2.2; these are then used to prove the various properties of the Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type in Section 3. Section 4 is devoted to the Racah relation and the Biedenharn–Elliot relation for the univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials. The proofs use the representation theory of the rank 1 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  and rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  respectively, whose definitions and representations are provided in Appendices B and C respectively. We then come to the main object of the paper in Section 5: the definition and properties of the Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type. These polynomials are written as a sum of a product

of three univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials. We show in subsection 5.1 that these polynomials satisfy a certain symmetry under the exchange of the two degrees and of the two variables simultaneously. Then, the duality and biorthogonality relations are established in subsection 5.2. Subsection 5.3 contains the bispectral properties of these Griffiths polynomials. One recurrence relation is easily proven knowing the one of the Tratnik polynomials and the other one is deduced from it by leveraging the symmetry relation. The difference equations are then obtained thanks to the duality relation. We discuss about the polynomiality of the Griffiths polynomials in subsection 5.4. We conclude the paper in Section 6 by listing some open problems.

## 2 Properties of the $q$ -Racah polynomials

The  $q$ -Racah polynomials are defined (see *e.g.* [47]) in terms of the  $q$ -hypergeometric functions as follows, for  $N$  a nonnegative integer and  $0 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$R_n(\mu(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta) = {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, \alpha\beta q^{n+1}, q^{-x}, \gamma\delta q^{x+1} \\ \alpha q, \beta\delta q, \gamma q \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\mu(x) = q^{-x} + \gamma\delta q^{x+1}$  and either  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta\delta$  or  $\gamma$  is equal to  $q^{-N-1}$ . For this paper to be self-contained, the definitions and notations pertaining to  $q$ -hypergeometric functions,  $q$ -Pochhammer symbols and  $q$ -binomials are recalled in Appendix A.

It is well-known that the  $q$ -Racah polynomials  $R_n(\mu(x); \alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$  are polynomials of degree  $n$  with respect to  $\mu(x)$  and possess nice properties such as orthogonality and bispectrality. We now recall some of these properties after making a slight change of notation which will prove useful for the rest of the paper.

Let us write the parameters  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $\delta$  in the following way:

$$\alpha = c_2, \quad \beta = c_3, \quad \gamma = q^{-N-1}, \quad \delta = c_1 c_2 q^{N+1}. \quad (2.2)$$

We emphasize that  $\delta$  depends on  $N$ , which is just a choice of parameter here, but this choice is crucial in the determination of the contiguity relations (2.18), (2.22) and for the definitions of the Tratnik polynomials (3.1) or Griffiths polynomials (5.1) of  $q$ -Racah type.

We also use a particular normalization for the  $q$ -Racah polynomials  $\mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  throughout this paper. For  $N$  a nonnegative integer and  $0 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$\mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, c_2 c_3 q^{n+1}, q^{-x}, c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \\ qc_2, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}, q^{-N} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right), \quad (2.3)$$

where

$$\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \begin{bmatrix} N \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})(qc_2; q)_n (c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}; q)_n (qc_1; q)_{N-n}}{(qc_2)^{n-N/2} (c_2 c_3 q^{n+1}; q)_{N+1} (qc_3; q)_n}. \quad (2.4)$$

The normalization  $\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  is intimately linked to the weight and the norm of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials, as will be shown below. The function  $\mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  with respect to  $q^{-x} + c_1 c_2 q^{x+1}$ . We use the convention that  $\mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = 0$  for  $n > N$  or  $x > N$ .

Lastly, note that replacing  $c_i \mapsto q^{c_i}$  and taking the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , we recover the Racah polynomials with the normalization used in [13].

### 2.1 Bispectral property, duality and orthogonality

The  $q$ -Racah polynomials solve a bispectral problem *i.e.* verify a recurrence relation and a difference equation [47]. They are also orthogonal and satisfy a duality property. Below, these relations are recalled in the notations used in this paper.

**Symmetry relation.** The  $q$ -Racah polynomials obey the symmetry relation

$$\mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \left(\frac{c_1}{c_3}\right)^N \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1^{-1}, c_2^{-1}, c_3^{-1}; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}. \quad (2.5)$$

The notation  $q \rightarrow q^{-1}$  means that the corresponding expression is defined by replacing  $q$  by  $q^{-1}$  everywhere, including the Pochhammer symbols and the basic hypergeometric functions. This relation is a direct consequence of the relations

$$\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}, c_i \rightarrow c_i^{-1}} = \left(\frac{c_3}{c_1}\right)^N \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \quad (2.6)$$

$${}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, c_2 c_3 q^{n+1}, q^{-x}, c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \\ q c_2, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}, q^{-N} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) = {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^n, (c_2 c_3)^{-1} q^{-n-1}, q^x, (c_1 c_2)^{-1} q^{-x-1} \\ (q c_2)^{-1}, (c_1 c_2 c_3)^{-1} q^{-N-2}, q^N \end{matrix} \middle| q^{-1}; q^{-1} \right), \quad (2.7)$$

that are themselves checked straightforwardly.

**Duality.** Using the invariance of the  $q$ -hypergeometric functions mentioned in Appendix A, we can show that the  $q$ -Racah polynomials satisfy the following duality relation, for  $0 \leq n, x \leq N$ :

$$\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_x(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N). \quad (2.8)$$

**Orthogonality.** For  $0 \leq n, m \leq N$ , the orthogonality relation between two  $q$ -Racah polynomials is:

$$\sum_{x=0}^N \Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_m(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \delta_{n,m} \Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \quad (2.9)$$

Strictly speaking, the term *orthogonality* is valid only if the weight  $\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)$  is strictly positive for  $0 \leq x \leq N$ . This condition imposes restrictions on the parameters entering in the definition of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials.

The orthogonality relation composed with the duality relation leads to

$$\sum_{x=0}^N \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_x(m; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) = \delta_{n,m}. \quad (2.10)$$

We call (2.10) the *involution relation*.

**Recurrence relation.** It is well-known from the classical theory of orthogonal polynomials that an orthogonal polynomial sequence obeys a three-term recurrence relation. For the  $q$ -Racah polynomials, it is given as follows, for  $0 \leq n, x \leq N$ :

$$\lambda(x; c_1 c_2) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi^\varepsilon(n + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$\lambda(x; c) = -(1 - q^{-x})(1 - c q^{x+1}), \quad (2.12a)$$

$$\Phi^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{-c_2 q^{n-N} (1 - q^n) (1 - c_1 q^{N-n+1}) (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+N+1}) (1 - c_3 q^n)}{(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n}) (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})}, \quad (2.12b)$$

$$\Phi^-(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \frac{(1 - q^{n-N}) (1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{n+N+2}) (1 - c_2 q^{n+1}) (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+1})}{(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1}) (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+2})}, \quad (2.12c)$$

$$\Phi^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\Phi^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) - \Phi^-(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \quad (2.12d)$$

In the above and throughout the paper, the notation  $\varepsilon = 0, \pm$  should be understood as  $\varepsilon = 0, \pm 1$  whenever the  $\varepsilon$  gets added or multiplied. Let us remark that, for  $n = 0$  or  $n = N$ , the recurrence relation involves  $\mathfrak{p}_{-1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_{N+1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  but due to the usual conventions for the  $q$ -binomial (see Appendix A), these terms vanish. In the recurrence relation, the denominators appearing in the various coefficients must not vanish. This leads to the following constraints on the parameters:

$$c_2 c_3 \notin \{q^{-2}, q^{-3}, \dots, q^{-2N}\}. \quad (2.13)$$

There exist apparent poles for  $c_2 c_3 = 1, q^{-1}, q^{-2N-1}, q^{-2N-2}$  but the residues on these points vanish.

We also demand that the coefficients  $\Phi^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  and  $\Phi^-(n-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  do not vanish for  $n = 1, \dots, N$ . This leads to the supplementary constraints:

$$c_2, q \neq 0, \quad q, q^2, \dots, q^N \neq 1, \quad c_1, c_2, c_3, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+1} \notin \{q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-N}\}. \quad (2.14)$$

**Difference equation.** In addition to the previous recurrence relation, the  $q$ -Racah polynomials also satisfy a difference equation which can be deduced from the duality and recurrence relations. This difference equation reads, for  $0 \leq n, x \leq N$ :

$$\lambda(n; c_2 c_3) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi^\varepsilon(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x - \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \quad (2.15)$$

For  $x = 0$  (resp.  $x = N$ ), the difference equation simplifies since  $\Phi^+(0; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) = 0$  (resp.  $\Phi^-(N; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) = 0$ ) which implies that  $\mathfrak{p}_n(N+1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  or  $\mathfrak{p}_n(-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  never appear in the difference equations. As for the recurrence relation, the denominators appearing in the coefficients of the difference equation must not vanish. This leads to the following constraints on the parameters:

$$c_1 c_2 \notin \{q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-2N}\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Due to the constraints (2.14) and (2.16),  $\Phi^+(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)$  and  $\Phi^-(x-1; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)$  do not vanish for  $x = 1, \dots, N$ .

From now on, we suppose that the constraints (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) are satisfied.

**Remark 2.1.** Evidently, these relations correspond to the usual recurrence relation and difference equation of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials given, for example, in [47]. Let us point out that, in order to simplify the presentation and upcoming formulas, we have moved away from the usual naming conventions of the coefficients in the literature. The usual names can be recovered as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^-(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) &= A_n(c_1, c_2, c_3; N), & \Phi^-(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) &= B(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \\ \Phi^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) &= C_n(c_1, c_2, c_3; N), & \Phi^+(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) &= D(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

The notation  $\Phi^\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon \in \{+, 0, -\}$  used in this paper makes explicit the symmetry between the coefficients of the recurrence relation and the difference equation and allows for more compact expressions, see *e.g.* Section C.2. ▲

**Remark 2.2.** The constraints (2.13) and (2.16) are necessary and sufficient conditions to ensure  $\lambda(x; c_1 c_2) \neq \lambda(y; c_1 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(x; c_2 c_3) \neq \lambda(y; c_2 c_3)$  for  $x \neq y$  and  $0 \leq x, y \leq N$ . ▲

## 2.2 Contiguity recurrence relations and difference equations

The contiguity relations relate polynomials with contiguous values of  $N$ . For Racah polynomials, such relations played a crucial role in constructing the representations of the rank 2 Racah algebra [15]. Such contiguity relations were also used to prove the bispectral properties of the Griffiths polynomials of Krawtchouk type in [12] and of Racah type in [13]. They also appear in the study of the representations of the factorized Leonard pairs [19]. These relations and their proofs for the  $q$ -Racah polynomials are given below and will be utilized in the study of the bispectral properties of the Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type in Section 3.

**Proposition 2.3.** *The contiguity recurrence relations between the  $q$ -Racah polynomials are*

$$\lambda_{\pm}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\lambda_+(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -(q^{-N-1}/c_3 - q^{-x})(1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+x+2}), \quad (2.19a)$$

$$\Phi_+^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\sqrt{q c_2} c_2 q^{2n-N-1} \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - c_1 q^{N-n+1})(1 - c_1 q^{N+2-n})(1 - c_3 q^n)}{(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})}, \quad (2.19b)$$

$$\Phi_+^-(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\frac{(1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{n+N+2})(1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{n+N+3})(1 - c_2 q^{n+1})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+1})}{c_3 q^{N+1} \sqrt{q c_2} (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+2})}, \quad (2.19c)$$

$$\Phi_+^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm} \Phi_+^{\varepsilon}(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) - \frac{(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+2})(1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2})}{c_3 q^{N+1} \sqrt{q c_2}}, \quad (2.19d)$$

and

$$\lambda_-(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -(q^{-N} - q^{-x})(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+x+1}), \quad (2.19e)$$

$$\Phi_-^+(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\sqrt{q c_2} \frac{(1 - q^n)(1 - c_3 q^n)(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+N})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+N+1})}{q^N (1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})}, \quad (2.19f)$$

$$\Phi_-^-(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -c_3 \sqrt{q c_2} q^N \frac{(1 - q^{n-N})(1 - q^{n-N+1})(1 - c_2 q^{n+1})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{n+1})}{(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+1})(1 - c_2 c_3 q^{2n+2})}, \quad (2.19g)$$

$$\Phi_-^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = -\sum_{\varepsilon=\pm} \Phi_-^{\varepsilon}(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) - \sqrt{q c_2} (1 - c_3 q^N) (q^{-N} - 1). \quad (2.19h)$$

*Proof.* The proof is done recursively on  $n$ . For small  $n$ , the relations are proven by direct computations. Now suppose that (2.18) is valid up to a given  $n$ . Compute the l.h.s. of (2.18) for  $n \mapsto n+1$ , expressing  $\mathfrak{p}_{n+1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1)$  using the recurrence relation (2.11):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\pm}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_{\pm}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)}{\Phi^{\pm}(n+1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1)} \left[ (\lambda(x; c_1, c_2) - \Phi^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1)) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \Phi^-(n-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.20)$$

Then, use the recurrence hypothesis to get

$$\begin{aligned} & \Phi^+(n+1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \lambda_{\pm}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+1}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \\ &= (\lambda(x; c_1, c_2) - \Phi^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1)) \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \\ & \quad - \Phi^-(n-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n-1 + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \sum_{\varepsilon'=0, \pm} \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(n + \varepsilon + \varepsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+\varepsilon+\varepsilon'}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \\ & \quad - \Phi^0(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \\ & \quad - \Phi^-(n-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1) \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(n-1 + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_{n-1+\varepsilon}(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

The last equation is obtained using the recurrence relation. Finally, computing the coefficients in front of  $\mathfrak{p}_j(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)$  for  $j = n-2, n-1, n, n+1, n+2$ , relation (2.18) is proved.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.4.** *The contiguity difference relations between the  $q$ -Racah polynomials are*

$$\lambda_{\pm}(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x - \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \pm 1), \quad (2.22)$$

where  $\lambda_{\pm}$  and  $\Phi_{\pm}^{\varepsilon}$  are given by (2.19).

*Proof.* The proof can be done following the same lines as in the previous proposition. Another approach is to combine the previous result with the duality property. Starting from (2.18) and replacing each  $q$ -Racah polynomials using the duality relation (2.8) then substituting  $x \leftrightarrow n, c_1 \leftrightarrow c_3, N \mapsto N \mp 1$  and  $\varepsilon \mapsto -\varepsilon$ , one gets

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_{\pm}(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1) \frac{\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \mp 1)}{\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)} \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi_{\pm}^{-\varepsilon}(x - \varepsilon; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1) \frac{\Omega(x - \varepsilon; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1)}{\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)} \mathfrak{p}_n(x - \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \mp 1). \end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Relation (2.22) is proved remarking that

$$\lambda_{\pm}(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1) \frac{\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N \mp 1)}{\Omega(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N)} = \alpha_N^{\mp} \lambda_{\mp}(n; c_3, c_2, c_1; N), \quad (2.24)$$

$$\Phi_{\pm}^{-\varepsilon}(x - \varepsilon; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1) \frac{\Omega(x - \varepsilon; c_3, c_2, c_1; N \mp 1)}{\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)} = \alpha_N^{\mp} \Phi_{\mp}^{\varepsilon}(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N), \quad (2.25)$$

where

$$\alpha_N^+ = \frac{c_1 \sqrt{qc_2} (1 - q^{N+1})}{1 - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}}, \quad \alpha_N^- = \frac{1}{\alpha_{N-1}^+}. \quad (2.26)$$

□

### 3 Tratnik polynomials of $q$ -Racah type

A bivariate generalization of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials has been introduced in [28, 40] and is here called the *Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type*. We define these polynomials, recall some of their properties and provide their proofs in the notation of this paper.

For  $i, j$  nonnegative integers and  $i + j \leq N$ , the bivariate Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type are defined by:

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = \mathfrak{p}_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \mathfrak{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x), \quad (3.1)$$

where the parameter  $c_0$  appearing in the l.h.s. of the above relation is defined through the following constraints between the parameters:

$$q^{2N+3} c_0 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

The notation  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y)$  is short for  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$ . From the convention for the Racah polynomials, one gets:  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = 0$  for  $i < 0$  or  $j < 0$  or  $i + j > N$ . We demand that the constraints (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) be obeyed for each univariate polynomials, *i.e.* the parameters must satisfy

$$c_i, q \neq 0, \quad q, q^2, \dots, q^N \neq 1, \quad (3.3a)$$

$$c_1 c_2, c_2 c_3, c_0 c_3, c_0 c_4, q c_1 c_2 c_3, q c_0 c_3 c_4 \notin \{q^{-2}, q^{-3}, \dots, q^{-2N}\}, \quad (3.3b)$$

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \notin \{q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-N}\}. \quad (3.3c)$$

Let us emphasize that we could multiply the previous expression of  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$  by some functions  $f(i, j)$  or  $g(x, y)$ . All the relations satisfied by  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$  would still hold, although with modified expressions for the coefficients occurring in the relations.



**Remark 3.1.** The Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type (3.1) are in correspondence with the definition (2.13) of [40]. The expression  $R_2$  of the Tratnik polynomials in [40] is given by

$$R_2(z_1, z_2; n_1, n_2; \vec{\alpha}; N) = \mathcal{N}_2 {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n_1}, q^{n_1-1}\alpha_2^2/\alpha_0^2, q^{-z_1}, \alpha_1^2 q^{z_1} \\ \alpha_1^2/\alpha_0^2, \alpha_2^2 q^{z_2}, q^{-z_2} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \times {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n_2}, q^{2n_1+n_2-1}\alpha_3^2/\alpha_0^2, q^{n_1-z_2}, q^{n_1+z_2}\alpha_2 \\ q^{2n_1}\alpha_2^2/\alpha_0^2, q^{N+n_1}\alpha_3^2, q^{n_1-N} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right), \quad (3.4)$$

where  $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$  and the normalization coefficient  $\mathcal{N}_2$  is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_2 = \frac{(qc_2, q^{z_2+2}c_1c_2c_3, q^{-z_2}; q)_{n_1} (q^{2n_1+2}c_1c_2, q^{n_1-N}c_0, q^{n_1-N}; q)_{n_2}}{(qc_2c_3)^{\frac{1}{2}(n_1+n_2)} (qc_1)^{\frac{1}{2}n_2} q^{n_1n_2}}. \quad (3.5)$$

The Tratnik polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type defined in the above equation (3.1) are related to  $R_2$  as follows, for  $0 \leq z_1 \leq z_2 \leq N$  and  $n_1, n_2$  nonnegative integers such that  $0 \leq n_1 + n_2 \leq N$ :

$$\mathcal{T}_{z_1, N-z_2}(n_1, N - n_1 - n_2; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = \mathcal{W}(z_1, z_2) \mathcal{N}(n_1, n_2) R_2(z_1, z_2; n_1, n_2; \vec{\alpha}; N). \quad (3.6)$$

Here

$$\mathcal{W}(z_1, z_2) = \Omega(z_1; c_1, c_2, c_3; z_2) \Lambda(N - z_2; c_4, c_0, 1, 1; N) \xi_{N-z_2}(c_4, c_0), \quad (3.7)$$

$$\mathcal{N}(n_1, n_2) = \frac{(qc_3, q; q)_{N-n_1-n_2}}{(qc_2; q)_{n_1} (qc_4; q)_{n_2} (q; q)_N} \left( \frac{c_4}{c_1} \right)^{n_1/2} \left( \frac{c_3}{c_1c_2} \right)^{n_2/2} q^{(n_1+n_2)(N-n_1-n_2)+\frac{1}{2}n_1}, \quad (3.8)$$

the functions  $\Omega$  and  $\xi$  are defined in (2.4), (B.3) respectively and

$$\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = (-1)^x \begin{bmatrix} N \\ x \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(1 - c_1c_2q^{2x+1})(qc_2; q)_x (qc_2)^{N/2}}{(qc_1; q)_x (q^{x+1}c_1c_2; q)_{N+1}} \left( \frac{c_1c_3q^{x-1}}{c_2c_4} \right)^{x/2}. \quad (3.9)$$

The parameters  $c_i$  and  $\alpha_i$  are related through

$$c_1 = \frac{\alpha_2^2}{q\alpha_1^2}, \quad c_2 = \frac{\alpha_1^2}{q\alpha_0^2}, \quad c_3 = \alpha_0^2, \quad c_4 = \frac{\alpha_3^2}{q\alpha_2^2}. \quad (3.10)$$

▲

The following identities are used to prove several properties of the Tratnik polynomials:

**Lemma 3.2.** *The functions  $\Lambda$ ,  $\Omega$  and  $\omega$  given respectively in (3.9), (2.4) and (B.3) obey the following relations:*

$$\frac{\Omega(x; c_3, c_2, c_1; N - j)}{\Omega(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x)} = \frac{\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{\Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N)}, \quad (3.11)$$

$$\frac{\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3; N)} = \frac{\omega_x \left( \frac{c_1c_2c_3}{c_4} \right)}{\omega_x \left( \frac{c_1c_2c_4}{c_3} \right)}. \quad (3.12)$$

*Proof.* Straightforward calculation from the explicit expressions (2.4), (B.3) and (3.9).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3 (Orthogonality).** *The Tratnik polynomials obey the following orthogonality relation, for  $i, j, k, \ell$  nonnegative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$  and  $k + \ell \leq N$ :*

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x, y \leq N \\ x+y \leq N}} \Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x) \Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{T}_{k,\ell}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \\ = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N). \quad (3.13)$$

*Proof.* Direct calculation, using the explicit expression (3.1) for the Tratnik polynomials, the relation (3.11) and the orthogonality relation (2.9) for the Racah polynomials.  $\square$

As for the univariate polynomials, the previous relation defines orthogonal polynomials if the parameters are chosen such that the weight is strictly positive.

**Proposition 3.4 (Duality).** *The Tratnik polynomials obey the following duality relation, for  $i, j, x, y$  nonnegative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$  and  $x + y \leq N$ :*

$$\frac{\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N)} = \frac{\mathcal{T}_{y,x}(j, i; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N)}{\Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x) \Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}. \quad (3.14)$$

*Proof.* Consequence of relation (3.11) combined with the duality relation (2.8) for the Racah polynomials.  $\square$

As for the univariate Racah polynomials, we can compose the orthogonality and duality relations to obtain an involution relation for the Tratnik polynomials:

$$\sum_{\substack{0 \leq x, y \leq N \\ x + y \leq N}} \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{T}_{y,x}(\ell, k; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N) = \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell}. \quad (3.15)$$

It has been established in [40] that these bivariate polynomials are bispectral. The bispectral properties are recalled in the following propositions along with, to the best of our knowledge, new proofs.

To simplify the notations, we define the following two functions:

$$F_x^-(c_1, c_2) = c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \frac{(1 - c_2 q^{x+1})(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{x+1})}{(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{2x+1})(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{2x+2})}, \quad (3.16)$$

$$F_x^+(c_1, c_2) = c_1 c_2^2 q^{x+1} \frac{(1 - c_1 q^x)(1 - q^x)}{(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{2x})(1 - c_1 c_2 q^{2x+1})}. \quad (3.17)$$

**Proposition 3.5 (Recurrence relations).** *For  $x, y, i, j$  nonnegative integers such that  $x + y \leq N$  and  $i + j \leq N$ , the Tratnik polynomials obey the following recurrence relations:*

$$\lambda(x; c_1 c_2) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi^\varepsilon(i + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \mathcal{T}_{i+\varepsilon, j}(x, y), \quad (3.18)$$

$$\lambda(y; c_3 c_0) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'=0, \pm} A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{T}_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (3.19)$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\Phi^\varepsilon$  are given by (2.12) and

$$A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = -c_3 q^{N+1} F_j^{\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_0) \Phi_{\varepsilon'}^\varepsilon(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = 0, \pm, \varepsilon' = \pm, \quad (3.20a)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, 0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = c_3 q^{N+1} \left( F_j^+(c_4, c_0) + F_j^-(c_4, c_0) \right) \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j), \quad \text{for } \varepsilon = \pm, \quad (3.20b)$$

$$A_{i,j}^{0,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = -\frac{(1 - c_3 q^{N+1-j}) \sqrt{q c_2}}{1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+2-j}} \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, +}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \quad (3.20c)$$

$$- \frac{1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+1-j}}{(1 - c_3 q^{N-j}) \sqrt{q c_2}} \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, -}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) - \sum_{\varepsilon=\pm} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, 0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N).$$

*Proof.* The equation (3.18) is a direct consequence of the recurrence equation (2.11) applied to the Racah polynomial  $\mathbf{p}_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y)$ .

To prove (3.19), apply the recurrence equation to the second polynomial  $\mathbf{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x)$  such that the l.h.s. of (3.19) becomes

$$\lambda(y; c_3 c_0) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) = \mathbf{p}_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \sum_{\varepsilon=0, \pm} \Phi^\varepsilon(j + \varepsilon; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) \mathbf{p}_{j+\varepsilon}(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x). \quad (3.21)$$

Remark that for  $\varepsilon = \pm$ ,

$$\Phi^\varepsilon(j + \varepsilon; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) = -c_3 q^{N+1} F_{j+\varepsilon}^\varepsilon(c_4, c_0) \lambda_\varepsilon(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j - \varepsilon), \quad (3.22)$$

$$\Phi^0(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) = c_3 q^{N+1} \left( F_j^+(c_4, c_0) + F_j^-(c_4, c_0) \right) \lambda(x; c_1, c_2) + g_j, \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$g_j = -(1 - c_3 q^{N+1-j})(q^j - c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}) F_j^+(c_4, c_0) - c_3 (1 - q^{N-j})(q^{j+1} - c_1 c_2 q^{N+2}) F_j^-(c_4, c_0). \quad (3.24)$$

Then, apply the recurrence and contiguity recurrence relations (2.11) and (2.18) to the first polynomial  $\mathfrak{p}_i(x; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)$  in (3.21). This allows to recognize Tratnik polynomials with shifted indices as written in (3.19), with the values given in (3.20), noticing that

$$g_j = -\frac{(1 - c_3 q^{N+1-j})\sqrt{qc_2}}{1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+2-j}} \sum_{\varepsilon=0,\pm} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,+} - \frac{1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N+1-j}}{(1 - c_3 q^{N-j})\sqrt{qc_2}} \sum_{\varepsilon=0,\pm} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,-}, \quad (3.25)$$

where the notation  $A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\pm}$  is short for  $A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\pm}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$ .  $\square$

**Remark 3.6.** Note that the normalization of the Tratnik polynomials can be changed in such a way that the relation (3.20c) simplifies to

$$\sum_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'=0,\pm} \tilde{A}_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

Indeed, by changing the normalization of the Tratnik polynomials as

$$\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) \mapsto \frac{(c_1 c_2 q^{N-j+2}; q)_j}{(qc_2)^{j/2} (c_3 q^{N-j+1}; q)_j} \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) \quad (3.27)$$

the coefficients of the first recurrence relation for this renormalized Tratnik polynomial are unchanged whereas the ones of the second recurrence relation (3.19) are modified as follows:

$$A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mapsto \tilde{A}_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = \left( \frac{\sqrt{qc_2}(1 - c_3 q^{N-j+\frac{1}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}})}{1 - c_1 c_2 q^{N-j+\frac{3}{2}+\frac{\varepsilon'}{2}}} \right)^{\varepsilon'} A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N). \quad (3.28)$$

The relation (3.26) opens the path to connection to stochastic models, such as birth and death processes, in the spirit of *e.g.* [55].  $\blacktriangle$

In addition of the two recurrence relations, the Tratnik polynomials satisfy two difference equations given below.

**Proposition 3.7 (Difference equations).** *For  $x, y, i, j$  nonnegative integers such that  $x + y \leq N$  and  $i + j \leq N$ , the Tratnik polynomials satisfy the following two difference equations:*

$$\lambda(j; c_4 c_0) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon=0,\pm} \Phi^\varepsilon(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y - \varepsilon), \quad (3.29)$$

$$\lambda(i; c_2 c_3) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'=0,\pm} A_{y,x}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x - \varepsilon', y - \varepsilon). \quad (3.30)$$

*Proof.* The equation (3.29) is a direct consequence of the difference relation (2.15) applied to the Racah polynomial  $\mathfrak{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x)$  in  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y)$ . For relation (3.30), the calculation may proceed along

the same lines as what was done for Proposition 3.5. However, it can also be proven by combining the previous result (3.19), the duality relation (3.14) and the following relations between the coefficients:

$$A_{y,x}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N) = \frac{\Omega(y - \varepsilon; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x + \varepsilon')\Lambda(x - \varepsilon'; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, N)}{\Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N - x)\Lambda(x; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4, N)} \quad (3.31)$$

$$\times A_{y-\varepsilon, x-\varepsilon'}^{-\varepsilon, -\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1; N),$$

where  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm$ . □

Up until now, we have been calling  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y)$  “polynomials” without any proper justification. We provide in the following proposition a precise statement about the polynomiality of  $\mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y)$ .

**Proposition 3.8 (Polynomiality).** *For nonnegative integers  $i, j$  such that  $i + j \leq N$ , the “renormalized” Tratnik polynomials defined as*

$$\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{i,j}(x, y) = \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(x, y) / \mathcal{T}_{N,0}(x, y) \quad (3.32)$$

are polynomials of total degree  $N - i$  in  $\lambda(x; c_1 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_3 c_0)$ .

*Proof.* This is proved by recursion on  $(i, j)$ . The starting point is  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N,0}(x, y) = 1$ , which is by construction a polynomial of degree 0. Starting from this point  $(N, 0)$ , a simple recursion on  $i$  using the first recurrence relation (3.18) shows that  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-i,0}(x, y)$  is of total degree  $i$  in  $\lambda(x; c_1 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_3 c_0)$ .

Now, consider the second recurrence relation (3.19) for  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N,0}(x, y)$ : it follows that  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-1,1}(x, y)$  is of total degree 1. Then, using the first recurrence relation, one gets that  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-i,1}(x, y)$  is of total degree  $i$  in  $\lambda(x; c_1 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_3 c_0)$ .

Then, iterate through a recursion on  $j$ . Suppose that  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-i,j}(x, y)$  is of total degree  $i$ , for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - j$ . The second recurrence relation for  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-j,j}(x, y)$  shows that  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-j-1,j+1}(x, y)$  is of total degree  $j + 1$ , and from there the first recurrence relation shows that the polynomials  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-i,j+1}(x, y)$  for  $i = 0, 1, \dots, N - j - 1$  are of total degree  $i$ , which ends the recursion. □

Let us remark that the normalization  $\mathcal{T}_{N,0}(x, y)$  appearing in (3.32) takes the following simple form:

$$\mathcal{T}_{N,0}(x, y) = (-1)^N \left(\frac{c_1}{c_4}\right)^{N/2} \xi_N(c_2, c_2 c_3 q^N) \left(\frac{c_4}{c_3}\right)^{x/2} \xi_x(c_1, c_2). \quad (3.33)$$

Indeed,  $\mathcal{T}_{N,0}(x, y)$  reduces to

$$\mathcal{T}_{N,0}(x, y) = \Omega(N; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \Omega(0; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - x) {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-N}, c_2 c_3 q^{N+1}, q^{-x}, c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \\ qc_2, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}, q^{-N} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right). \quad (3.34)$$

Then, using the explicit expression of the coefficient  $\Omega$  and the relation (A.4), as well as

$${}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-N}, c_2 c_3 q^{N+1}, q^{-x}, c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \\ qc_2, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2}, q^{-N} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) = {}_3\phi_2 \left( \begin{matrix} c_2 c_3 q^{N+1}, q^{-x}, c_1 c_2 q^{x+1} \\ qc_2, c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+2} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right) \\ = \frac{(1/(q^N c_3), 1/(q^x c_1); q)_x}{(qc_2, 1/(q^{N+x+1} c_1 c_2 c_3); q)_x}, \quad (3.35)$$

we get the expression (3.33).

**Remark 3.9 (Generalized Favard theorem).** A multivariate version of the Favard theorem has been established, see *e.g.* Theorem 3.2.8 in [25]. It provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a family of multivariate polynomials satisfying recurrence relations to be orthogonal. For bivariate polynomials  $P_{i,j}(x_1, x_2)$  of total degree  $i + j$ , the recurrence relations must be of the following form, for  $i = 1, 2$ :

$$x_i \mathbb{P}_n = \mathbb{A}_{n,i} \mathbb{P}_{n+1} + \mathbb{B}_{n,i} \mathbb{P}_n + \mathbb{A}_{n-1,i}^T \mathbb{P}_{n-1}. \quad (3.36)$$

In the above, we gather the  $r_n$  polynomials of total degree  $n$  in a vector  $\mathbb{P}_n$ . In the r.h.s.,  $\mathbb{A}_{n,i}$  and  $\mathbb{B}_{n,i}$  are matrices of size  $r_n \times r_{n+1}$  and  $r_n \times r_n$  respectively. An additional necessary condition is that  $\mathbb{B}_{n,i}$  must be symmetric.

We now show that these conditions are satisfied for the bivariate Tratnik polynomials. Define the vectors

$$\mathbb{T}_n = \left( \nu_{n,0} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-n,0}, \nu_{n,1} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-n,1}, \dots, \nu_{n,n} \overline{\mathcal{T}}_{N-n,n} \right)^T \quad (3.37)$$

containing all polynomials of degree  $n$  renormalized by some coefficients  $\nu_{i,j}$ . In order to get the recurrence relations (3.19) and (3.18) in the form (3.36), we need to impose

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\nu_{i,j+1}}{\nu_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i,j+1}^{0,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{0,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, & \left( \frac{\nu_{i+1,j}}{\nu_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i-1,j}^{-,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{+,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, \\ \left( \frac{\nu_{i+1,j+1}}{\nu_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i-1,j+1}^{-,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{+,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, & \left( \frac{\nu_{i,j+1}}{\nu_{i+1,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i,j+1}^{+,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i-1,j}^{-,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, \\ & & \left( \frac{\nu_{i+1,j}}{\nu_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{\Phi^-(N-i-1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)}{\Phi^+(N-i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

where  $A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$  and  $\Phi^\varepsilon(i + \varepsilon; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j)$  are the coefficients introduced in (3.20) and (2.12). Using the explicit expression of these coefficients, one can show that in (3.38), the second and third lines are a consequence of the first line, the latter being sufficient to determine the coefficients  $\nu$  up to a global constant. Thus, the polynomials  $\overline{\mathcal{T}}_{i,j}(x, y)$  may be orthogonal, in accordance with the result in Proposition 3.3.  $\blacktriangle$

## 4 Racah and Biedenharn–Elliot relations for $q$ -Racah polynomials

Two fundamental relations involving univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials are the Racah and Biedenharn–Elliot relations. These relations will be key ingredients in the next section. Both these relations have been obtained in the study of  $6j$ -symbols of the quantum algebra  $U_q(su(2))$ , meaning that they were valid only for a restricted range of parameters. We present them below in their full generality. To the best of our knowledge, these general relations have not appeared in the literature before and the proofs we provide are new.

### 4.1 Racah relation

The Racah relation appeared in the study of the  $6j$ -symbol for the Lie algebra  $su(2)$  (see *e.g.* [49]) and has been related to the Racah algebra (a limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  of the Askey–Wilson algebra) in [33]. As the  $6j$ -symbol can be expressed in terms of Racah polynomials [64, 44], the Racah relation leads to an identity for Racah polynomials with particular parameters. This relation was then generalized through the study of the representations of the Racah algebra [15]. When the  $6j$ -symbol for the quantum group  $U_q(su(2))$  is instead considered, there exists a similar relation involving the  $q$ -Racah polynomials with particular parameters [45] and their study is intimately linked to the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  [34]. In this paper, using the representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  introduced in the Appendix B and following the lines of the proof in the non-deformed case [15], we prove the Racah relation for generic  $q$ -Racah polynomials.

**Proposition 4.1.** *The Racah relation between the  $q$ -Racah polynomials reads, for  $0 \leq i, x \leq N$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^N \frac{\omega_n(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_n(c_1, c_2)} \frac{\omega_i(c_2 c_3)}{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)} \frac{\omega_x(c_3 c_1)}{\xi_x(c_3, c_1)} \mathfrak{p}_i(n; c_1, c_2, c_3; N) \mathfrak{p}_n(x; c_3, c_1, c_2; N) \\ = \omega_N(q c_1 c_2 c_3) \mathfrak{p}_i(x; c_1, c_3, c_2; N), \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where the functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  are defined in (B.3).

*Proof.* Consider the three equivalent representations of  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$   $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  introduced in the Appendix B.2. The changes of basis between these representations (B.8) lead to

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CB}} \mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BC}} = \mathcal{C}_I = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CA}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AB}} \mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BA}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AC}}, \quad I \in \{12, 23, 13\}. \quad (4.2)$$

Since the representations  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  are irreducible, the above formula implies that there exists (by Schur's Lemma) a constant  $\tau$  such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BA}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AC}} = \tau \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BC}}. \quad (4.3)$$

To determine the constant  $\tau$  take the component  $(0, 0)$  of the previous equation. This leads to:

$$\tau = \left( \frac{qc_1c_2}{c_3} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \sum_{k=0}^N (-1)^k q^{\binom{k}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} N \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q \frac{(1 - c_1c_2q^{2k+1})(c_1c_2c_3q^{N+2}; q)_k (qc_3; q)_{N-k}}{(c_1c_2q^{k+1}; q)_{N+1}}. \quad (4.4)$$

The above sum can be computed from the orthogonality relation of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials. Starting from (2.9) in the case where  $n = m = 0$ , replace  $c_1 \mapsto c_1/t$  and  $c_2 \mapsto c_2t$  and take the limit  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . This allows to identify

$$\tau = \omega_N(qc_1c_2c_3). \quad (4.5)$$

Finally, the entry  $(i, x)$  of relation (4.3) is precisely the Racah relation of the proposition.  $\square$

## 4.2 Biedenharn–Elliot relation

Similarly to the Racah relation, the Biedenharn–Elliot relation is a relation between the  $6j$ -symbols for the Lie algebra  $su(2)$  [8, 26] which has been generalized to the case of the quantum group  $U_q(su(2))$  in [45, 50]. In [15], this relation is also obtained in the context of the Racah algebra. We provide here this relation for generic  $q$ -Racah polynomials.

**Proposition 4.2.** *For  $i, j, x, y$  nonnegative integers such that  $0 \leq i + j \leq N$  and  $0 \leq x + y \leq N$ , the Biedenharn–Elliot relation between the  $q$ -Racah polynomials is:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{a=0}^{\min(N-j, N-y)} \mathfrak{p}_i(a; c_3, c_2, c_1; N-j) \mathfrak{p}_j(y; c_1, c_0, c_4; N-a) \mathfrak{p}_a(x; c_4, c_3, c_2; N-y) \\ & \quad \times \left( \frac{c_0}{c_3} \right)^{\frac{i}{2}} \left( \frac{c_3}{c_1} \right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left( \frac{c_1}{c_4} \right)^{\frac{a}{2}} \left( \frac{c_4}{c_2} \right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \left( \frac{c_2}{c_0} \right)^{\frac{x}{2}} \xi_i(c_1, c_2) \xi_j(c_4, c_0) \xi_a(c_2, c_3) \xi_y(c_0, c_1) \xi_x(c_3, c_4) \\ & = \mathfrak{p}_j(x; c_3, c_4, c_0; N-i) \mathfrak{p}_i(y; c_0, c_1, c_2; N-x). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

The last line of the equation can also be written as a Tratnik polynomial  $\mathcal{T}_{j,i}(x, y; c_3, c_4, c_0, c_2; N)$ .

*Proof.* Consider the five equivalent representations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$   $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{E}$  introduced in the Appendix C.2. The changes of basis between these representations (C.17) lead to

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AB}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BC}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CD}} \mathcal{D}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DC}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CB}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BA}} = \mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AE}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{ED}} \mathcal{D}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{DE}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{EA}}, \quad I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}. \quad (4.7)$$

Since the representations  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $\mathcal{E}$  are irreducible, the above formula implies that there exists (by Schur's Lemma) a constant  $\tau$  such that

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AB}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{BC}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CD}} = \tau \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{AE}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{ED}}. \quad (4.8)$$

To determine the constant  $\tau$  take the component  $(0, 0); (0, 0)$  of the previous equation. This leads to:

$$\tau = \frac{\Omega(0; c_3, c_2, c_1; N)}{\Omega(0; c_3, c_4, c_0; N) \Omega(0; c_0, c_1, c_2; N)} \sum_{j=0}^N \left( \frac{c_1}{c_4} \right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \xi_j(c_2, c_3) \Omega(0; c_1, c_0, c_4; N-j) \Omega(j; c_4, c_3, c_2; N). \quad (4.9)$$

The above sum can be computed from the orthogonality relation of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials. Starting from (2.9) in the case where  $n = m = 0$ , replace  $c_1 \mapsto 1/(c_1 q^{N+1})$ ,  $c_2 \mapsto c_1 c_2 c_3 q^{N+1}$ ,  $c_3 \mapsto c_4$ . We find that  $\tau$  is precisely equal to

$$\tau = 1. \quad (4.10)$$

Finally, the entry  $(i, j); (y, x)$  of relation (4.8) is precisely the Biedenharn–Elliot relation of the proposition.  $\square$

## 5 Griffiths polynomials of $q$ -Racah type

We now introduce the main functions of this paper, called the *Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type*. For  $i, j$  nonnegative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$ , they are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \\ = \sum_{a=0}^M \omega_a \left( \frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_4} \right) \mathfrak{p}_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \mathfrak{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N - a) \mathfrak{p}_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

with  $M = \min(N - j, N - y)$ . Again, the parameter  $c_0$  is fixed through the constraint equation  $c_0 c_1 c_2 c_3 c_4 q^{2N+3} = 1$  and  $\omega_a(c)$  is given by (B.3). The notation  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  is short for the functions  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$ . Replacing  $c_i \rightarrow q^{c_i}$  and taking the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  in (5.1), we recover the *Griffiths polynomial of Racah type* studied in [13]:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; q^{c_1}, q^{c_2}, q^{c_3}, q^{c_4}; N) = G_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N). \quad (5.2)$$

The polynomials  $G_{i,j}(x, y)$  were introduced in [15] with a different normalization. As mentioned in the introduction, it has been shown in [13] that the polynomials  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$  tend (in a certain limit) to the original bivariate Griffiths polynomials introduced in [35]. This latter fact justifies the appellation of “Griffiths polynomials” used in this paper for  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$ .

The Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type can be expressed in terms of the Tratnik polynomials introduced previously:

$$\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{a=0}^M \omega_a \left( \frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_4} \right) \mathcal{T}_{i,j}(a, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathfrak{p}_a(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N - y) \quad (5.3)$$

$$= \sum_{a=0}^M \omega_a \left( \frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_4} \right) \mathfrak{p}_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j) \mathcal{T}_{j,a}(y, x; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N). \quad (5.4)$$

We demand that, for each univariate polynomials in the definition of the Griffiths polynomials (5.1), the constraints (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) be obeyed, *i.e.* the parameters must satisfy

$$c_i, q \neq 0, \quad q, q^2, \dots, q^N \neq 1, \quad (5.5a)$$

$$c_1 c_2, c_2 c_3, c_0 c_3, c_0 c_4, c_2 c_4, q c_1 c_2 c_3, q c_0 c_3 c_4, q c_1 c_2 c_4 \notin \{q^{-2}, q^{-3}, \dots, q^{-2N}\}, \quad (5.5b)$$

$$c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4 \notin \{q^{-1}, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-N}\}. \quad (5.5c)$$

In the following, we show that the Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type have numerous interesting properties.

### 5.1 Symmetry relations

The Griffiths polynomials (5.1) satisfy a symmetry relation (5.15) that links  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  to  $\mathcal{G}_{j,i}(y, x)$ . This relation will play an important role in the proof of the bispectrality of the Griffiths polynomials. Put simply, the bispectrality properties on  $i$  and  $x$  will be easily transposed to the bispectrality properties on  $j$  and  $y$ .

In order to prove this relation, we first need the following lemma.

**Lemma 5.1.** *The Griffiths polynomials (5.1) satisfy the following two relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) &= \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{2}} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)\xi_j(c_0, c_4)}{\xi_x(c_2, c_4)\xi_y(c_0, c_3)} \frac{\omega_j(c_0 c_2 c_4 / c_1)}{\omega_i(c_3 c_0 c_2 / c_1)} \omega_N(q c_1 c_2 c_3) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(y, x; c_1^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_2^{-1}, c_0^{-1}; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) &= \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{i}{2}} \left(\frac{c_3}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)\xi_j(c_0, c_4)}{\xi_x(c_2, c_4)\xi_y(c_0, c_3)} \frac{\omega_y(c_1 c_3 c_0 / c_2)}{\omega_x(c_2 c_4 c_1 / c_0)} \omega_N(q c_1 c_2 c_4) \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{G}_{j,i}(x, y; c_1^{-1}, c_4^{-1}, c_0^{-1}, c_2^{-1}; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

where  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  are given in (B.3).

*Proof.* Rewrite the Griffiths polynomial (5.1) as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) &= \sum_{b=0}^M \sum_{a=0}^{N-j} \frac{\omega_a(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_a(c_1, c_2)} \mathbf{p}_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \delta_{a,b} \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{c_3}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{b}{2}} \xi_b(c_1, c_2) \mathbf{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-b) \mathbf{p}_b(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

It is straightforward to check that (5.8) reduces to (5.1) upon getting rid of the sum on  $a$  with the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{a,b}$  and using the identities

$$\xi_b(c_1, c_2) \xi_b(c_2, c_1) = 1, \quad \omega_a(cd) = \omega_a(c) d^{\frac{a}{2}}. \quad (5.9)$$

Next, use the involution relation (2.10) to rewrite the Kronecker delta  $\delta_{a,b}$  in (5.8):

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) &= \sum_{b=0}^M \sum_{a=0}^{N-j} \sum_{d=0}^{N-j} \frac{\omega_a(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_a(c_1, c_2)} \mathbf{p}_i(a; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \mathbf{p}_a(d; c_3, c_1, c_2; N-j) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{c_3}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{b}{2}} \xi_b(c_1, c_2) \mathbf{p}_d(b; c_2, c_1, c_3; N-j) \mathbf{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-b) \mathbf{p}_b(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y). \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Since the bounds on  $a, b, d$  are independent of each other, the sums can be freely interchanged. Apply the Racah relation (4.1) on the first two polynomials (sum over  $a$ ). This leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) &= \sum_{b=0}^M \sum_{d=0}^{N-j} \omega_{N-j}(q c_1 c_2 c_3) \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)\xi_d(c_3, c_1)}{\omega_i(c_2 c_3)\omega_d(c_3 c_1)} \mathbf{p}_i(d; c_1, c_3, c_2; N-j) \\ &\quad \times \left(\frac{c_3}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{b}{2}} \xi_b(c_1, c_2) \mathbf{p}_d(b; c_2, c_1, c_3; N-j) \mathbf{p}_j(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-b) \mathbf{p}_b(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y). \end{aligned} \quad (5.11)$$

Now use the Biedenharn–Elliot relation (4.6) on the last three polynomials (sum over  $b$ ). The result vanishes if  $d > N - x$ , thus the values of  $d$  that contribute in (5.11) further restrain the summation boundaries to  $0 \leq d \leq M' = \min(N - j, N - x)$ . Hence one gets

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) &= \left(\frac{c_3}{c_2}\right)^{\frac{j}{2}} \left(\frac{c_0}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_4}\right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \frac{\omega_{N-j}(q c_1 c_2 c_3)}{\omega_i(c_2 c_3)} \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)\xi_j(c_0, c_4)}{\xi_x(c_2, c_4)\xi_y(c_0, c_3)} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{d=0}^{M'} \frac{1}{\omega_d\left(\frac{c_3 c_1 c_0}{c_2}\right)} \mathbf{p}_i(d; c_1, c_3, c_2; N-j) \mathbf{p}_j(x; c_2, c_4, c_0; N-d) \mathbf{p}_d(y; c_0, c_3, c_1; N-x). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$



Using the symmetry relation (2.5) for the Racah polynomials and the equality  $\frac{1}{\omega_d(c)} = \omega_d(c^{-1})|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}$ , the sum over  $d$  in (5.12) can now be written as a Griffiths polynomial in the indeterminate  $q^{-1}$ :

$$\sum_{d=0}^{M'} \frac{1}{\omega_d\left(\frac{c_3 c_1 c_0}{c_2}\right)} \mathfrak{p}_i(d; c_1, c_3, c_2; N-j) \mathfrak{p}_j(x; c_2, c_4, c_0; N-d) \mathfrak{p}_d(y; c_0, c_3, c_1; N-x) \quad (5.13)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^j \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^x \sum_{d=0}^{M'} \left[ \omega_d\left(\frac{c_1^{-1} c_3^{-1} c_2^{-1}}{c_0^{-1}}\right) \mathfrak{p}_i(d; c_1^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_2^{-1}; N-j) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \mathfrak{p}_j(x; c_2^{-1}, c_4^{-1}, c_0^{-1}; N-d) \mathfrak{p}_d(y; c_0^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_1^{-1}; N-x) \right] \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{c_2}{c_1}\right)^j \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^x \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(y, x; c_1^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_2^{-1}, c_0^{-1}; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

Substituting this expression in (5.12) and expressing  $\omega_{N-j}$  in term of  $\omega_N$  and  $\omega_j$ , one gets the result (5.6). The proof of relation (5.7) proceeds along the same lines.  $\square$

The previous lemma allows us to get a nice symmetry relation for the Griffiths polynomials when the two variables and the two indices are exchanged simultaneously.

**Theorem 5.2.** *The Griffiths polynomials (5.1) satisfy the following symmetry relation:*

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \omega_i\left(\frac{c_2 c_3 c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_x\left(\frac{c_4 c_2 c_1}{c_3}\right) c_0^{N/2} \\ &= \mathcal{G}_{j,i}(y, x; c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N) \omega_j\left(\frac{c_3 c_0 c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_y\left(\frac{c_1 c_0 c_3}{c_4}\right) c_2^{N/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

*Proof.* Compare the two expressions of  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)$  given in Lemma 5.1. This yields a relation between  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(y, x; c_1^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_2^{-1}, c_0^{-1}; N)|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_{j,i}(x, y; c_1^{-1}, c_4^{-1}, c_0^{-1}, c_2^{-1}; N)|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}$ . Replacing  $q^{-1} \mapsto q$  and  $c_i^{-1} \mapsto c_i$  in this expression and relabeling the  $c_i$ 's, one obtains the desired expression (5.15).  $\square$

**Remark 5.3.** In the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$  (with  $c_i \mapsto q^{c_i}$ ), the symmetry relations given in Lemma 5.1 and Theorem 5.2 provide new symmetry relations for the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type:

$$G_{i,j}(x, y) = G_{i,j}(y, x; c_1, c_3, c_2, c_0; N) (-1)^{i+j+N} \frac{(c_4+1)_x (c_3+1)_y (c_2+1)_i (c_0+1)_j}{(c_2+1)_x (c_0+1)_y (c_3+1)_i (c_4+1)_j}, \quad (5.16)$$

$$G_{i,j}(x, y) = G_{j,i}(x, y; c_1, c_4, c_0, c_2; N) (-1)^{x+y+N} \frac{(c_4+1)_x (c_3+1)_y (c_2+1)_i (c_0+1)_j}{(c_2+1)_x (c_0+1)_y (c_3+1)_i (c_4+1)_j}, \quad (5.17)$$

$$G_{i,j}(x, y) = G_{j,i}(y, x; c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N) (-1)^{x+y+i+j}, \quad (5.18)$$

where  $(a)_n$  is the Pochhammer symbol, see Appendix A. These new relations may be used to simplify proofs given in [13].  $\blacktriangle$

## 5.2 Duality and biorthogonality

The Griffiths polynomials (5.1) obey a duality relation that connects two polynomials with a different set of parameters, as was the case for Tratnik polynomials.

**Proposition 5.4.** *The Griffiths polynomials  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}$  obey the duality relation*

$$\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = \frac{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1)}{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1)} \mathcal{G}_{x,y}(i, j; c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3; N) \quad (5.19)$$

where  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$  are given respectively by (2.4) and (3.9).

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the duality relation (2.8) for the Racah polynomials as well as the identities (3.11) and (3.12).  $\square$

The Griffiths polynomials (5.1) are not orthogonal; rather they are *biorthogonal*. Both functions that are orthogonal with one another are Griffiths polynomials, but of different parameters.

**Proposition 5.5.** *The Griffiths polynomials are biorthogonal:*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x,y \geq 0 \\ x+y \leq N}} \frac{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1)}{\xi_x(c_2, c_4) \xi_y(c_0, c_3) \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{x/2} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_1}\right)^{y/2}} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(y, x; c_1, c_3, c_2, c_0; N) \\ &= \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \frac{\omega_j\left(\frac{c_2 c_0 c_4}{c_3}\right) \omega_N(q c_1 c_2 c_3)}{\omega_i(c_2 c_3)} \frac{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1)}{\xi_i(c_2, c_3) \xi_j(c_0, c_4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.20)$$

The functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  are given in (B.3).

*Proof.* Consider the sum

$$\sum_{\substack{x,y \geq 0 \\ x+y \leq N}} \frac{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1)} \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,\ell}(x, y), \quad (5.21)$$

where

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \sum_{b=0}^{\min(N-y, N-\ell)} \frac{\mathfrak{p}_k(b; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-\ell) \mathfrak{p}_\ell(y; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-b) \mathfrak{p}_b(x; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y)}{\omega_b\left(\frac{c_1 c_2 c_4}{c_3}\right)}. \quad (5.22)$$

Expressing  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,\ell}$  in term of univariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials  $\mathfrak{p}_n$ , (5.21) is rewritten as a quadruple sum (on  $x, y, a$  and  $b$ ) of univariate polynomials with the following coefficient entering the sum:

$$\frac{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1) \omega_a\left(\frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_4}\right)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1) \omega_b\left(\frac{c_1 c_2 c_4}{c_3}\right)}. \quad (5.23)$$

Using relation (3.11) to rewrite each of the  $\Lambda$  functions, the coefficient (5.23) is reexpressed as:

$$\frac{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Omega(a; c_3, c_2, c_1; N-j) \Omega(y; c_4, c_0, c_3; N-a) \Lambda(a; c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3) \omega_a\left(\frac{c_1 c_2 c_3}{c_4}\right)}{\Omega(a; c_4, c_2, c_1; N-y) \Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Omega(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-a) \Lambda(a; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) \omega_b\left(\frac{c_1 c_2 c_4}{c_3}\right)}. \quad (5.24)$$

Now, starting from (5.21), perform the sum on  $x$  and use the orthogonality relation (2.9) for  $q$ -Racah polynomials to get a  $\delta_{a,b}$  term that lets one evaluate the sum on  $b$ . By virtue of relation (3.11), the term  $\frac{\Lambda(a; c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3) \omega_a(c_1 c_2 c_3 / c_4)}{\Lambda(a; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) \omega_b(c_1 c_2 c_4 / c_3)}$  reduces to 1. Then, perform the sum on  $y$  to obtain a  $\delta_{j,\ell}$  term through the orthogonality relation. Lastly, evaluate the sum on  $a$ , again using the orthogonality relation, to obtain another  $\delta_{i,k}$  term. Hence, the expression (5.21) is  $\delta_{j,\ell} \delta_{i,k}$ . Next, notice that equation (5.13) shows that

$$\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \left(\frac{c_3}{c_1}\right)^\ell \left(\frac{c_1}{c_4}\right)^y \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(x, y; c_1^{-1}, c_2^{-1}, c_3^{-1}, c_4^{-1}; N) \Big|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}. \quad (5.25)$$

Using the relation (5.6) and the property  $\xi_n(c, c') = 1/\xi_n(c', c)$ , the final result (5.20) is obtained.  $\square$

Let us stress that in the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , the biorthogonality relation becomes an orthogonality relation, in accordance with the results obtained in [13]. The symmetry relation (5.16) is used to obtain this result.

Note also that the Griffiths polynomial  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_2, \pm \frac{q^{-N-1}}{c_2 \sqrt{q c_1}}; N)$  is orthogonal.

**Corollary 5.6.** *The Griffiths polynomials  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}$  obey an involution relation*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x,y \geq 0 \\ x+y \leq N}} \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{\frac{x}{2}} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_1}\right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \xi_x(c_2, c_4) \xi_y(c_0, c_3) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{G}_{y,x}(k, \ell; c_1, c_3, c_0, c_2; N) \\ &= \delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell} \omega_N(qc_1c_2c_3) \frac{\omega_j\left(\frac{c_3c_0c_4}{c_2}\right)}{\omega_i(c_2c_3)} \xi_i(c_2, c_3) \xi_j(c_0, c_4). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

*Proof.* As a direct consequence of the duality and biorthogonality relations, one gets:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{\substack{x,y \geq 0 \\ x+y \leq N}} \frac{\Omega(x; c_1, c_2, c_4; N-y) \Lambda(y; c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1)}{\Omega(y; c_1, c_3, c_0; N-x) \Lambda(x; c_2, c_4, c_0, c_1)} \frac{\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{G}_{y,x}(k, \ell; c_1, c_3, c_0, c_2; N)}{\xi_x(c_2, c_4) \left(\frac{c_1}{c_0}\right)^{x/2} \xi_y(c_0, c_3) \left(\frac{c_4}{c_1}\right)^{y/2}} \\ &= \frac{\omega_j\left(\frac{c_2c_0c_4}{c_3}\right) \omega_N(qc_1c_2c_3)}{\omega_i(c_2c_3)} \frac{\Omega(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_4, c_0, c_3, c_1)}{\Omega(i; c_1, c_3, c_2; N-j) \Lambda(j; c_0, c_4, c_2, c_1)} \frac{\delta_{i,k} \delta_{j,\ell}}{\xi_i(c_2, c_3) \xi_j(c_0, c_4)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.27)$$

Then using the explicit expressions of the functions  $\Omega$  and  $\Lambda$ , the result (5.26) follows.  $\square$

### 5.3 Bispectral property

In this subsection, we obtain the bispectral property of the Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type.

**Proposition 5.7.** *The Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type satisfy the following two recurrence relations:*

$$\lambda(y; c_3c_0) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} A_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{G}_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (5.28)$$

$$\lambda(x; c_4c_2) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} \frac{\omega_\varepsilon(q^{2i}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)}{\omega_{\varepsilon'}(q^{2j}c_0c_3c_4/c_1)} A_{j+\varepsilon', i+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N) \mathcal{G}_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y), \quad (5.29)$$

where  $A_{i,j}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  are given in (3.20) and  $\omega_\varepsilon$  in (B.3).

*Proof.* The proof of (5.28) consists in writing the Griffiths polynomials using the form (5.3) and in using the recurrence relation (3.19) of the Tratnik polynomials.

To simplify the calculation, we define

$$\rho_{i,j} = \frac{\omega_j\left(\frac{c_3c_0c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_y\left(\frac{c_1c_0c_3}{c_4}\right)}{\omega_i\left(\frac{c_2c_3c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_x\left(\frac{c_4c_2c_1}{c_3}\right)} \left(\frac{c_2}{c_0}\right)^{N/2}. \quad (5.30)$$

To prove (5.29), rewrite its l.h.s. using the symmetry relation (5.15) and apply the first recurrence relation (5.28) (up to a suitable change of variables and indices):

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda(x; c_2c_4) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N) = \rho_{i,j} \lambda(x; c_4c_2) \mathcal{G}_{j,i}(y, x; c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N) \\ &= \rho_{i,j} \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} A_{j+\varepsilon', i+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3) \mathcal{G}_{j+\varepsilon', i+\varepsilon}(y, x; c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N) \\ &= \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} \frac{\rho_{i,j}}{\rho_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}} A_{j+\varepsilon', i+\varepsilon}^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3) \mathcal{G}_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}(x, y; c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N). \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

Examine the last line of (5.31), the r.h.s. of relation (5.29) is recognized by remarking that the ratio of the functions  $\rho$  is given by

$$\frac{\rho_{i,j}}{\rho_{i+\varepsilon, j+\varepsilon'}} = \frac{\omega_{i+\varepsilon}\left(\frac{c_2c_3c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_j\left(\frac{c_3c_0c_4}{c_1}\right)}{\omega_i\left(\frac{c_2c_3c_4}{c_1}\right) \omega_{j+\varepsilon'}\left(\frac{c_3c_0c_4}{c_1}\right)} = \frac{\omega_\varepsilon(q^{2i}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)}{\omega_{\varepsilon'}(q^{2j}c_0c_3c_4/c_1)}, \quad (5.32)$$

which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.** *The Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type satisfy the following two difference relations:*

$$\lambda(j; c_0 c_4) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} A_{x,y}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_4, c_3; N) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x - \varepsilon, y - \varepsilon'), \quad (5.33)$$

$$\lambda(i; c_2 c_3) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) = \sum_{\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1} \frac{\omega_{-\varepsilon'}(q^{2x} c_1 c_2 c_4 / c_3)}{\omega_{-\varepsilon}(q^{2y} c_1 c_0 c_3 / c_4)} A_{y,x}^{\varepsilon', \varepsilon}(c_1, c_0, c_3, c_4; N) \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x - \varepsilon', y - \varepsilon), \quad (5.34)$$

where  $A_{x,y}^{\varepsilon, \varepsilon'}$  are given in (3.20) and  $\omega_\varepsilon$  in (B.3).

*Proof.* The proof can be done mimicking the one of Proposition 5.7. Alternatively, one can use the duality property (5.19) of the Griffiths polynomials to obtain it from the recurrence relations.  $\square$

It has been shown in [65] that  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  is the bispectral algebra of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials. For the bivariate Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type introduced here, the bispectral algebra is the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  defined in Appendix C.1.

## 5.4 Polynomiality

As was the case for the Tratnik polynomials, the recurrence relations proved above for  $\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y)$  can be used to prove its polynomiality.

**Proposition 5.9.** *For  $i, j$  nonnegative integers such that  $i + j \leq N$ , the “renormalized” Griffiths polynomials*

$$\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{i,j}(x, y) = \mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{0,N}(x, y) \quad (5.35)$$

*are bivariate polynomials of total degree  $N - j$  in the variables  $\lambda(x; c_4 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_0 c_3)$ . The function  $\lambda(x; c)$  is defined in (2.12a).*

*Proof.* The two recurrence relations (5.28), (5.29) for  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N}(x, y)$  lead to a system of two linear equations with coefficients independent from  $x$  and  $y$ , relating  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N-1}(x, y)$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1,N-1}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N}(x, y)$  to  $\lambda(x; c_4 c_2) \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N}(x, y)$ ,  $\lambda(y; c_0 c_3) \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N}(x, y)$ . Since  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N}(x, y) = 1$ , this shows that  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N-1}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1,N-1}(x, y)$  are polynomials of total degree 1 in  $\lambda(x; c_4 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_0 c_3)$ . The same procedure applied to  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N-1}(x, y)$  and then to  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1,N-1}(x, y)$  shows that  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N-2}(x, y)$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1,N-2}(x, y)$  and  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{2,N-2}(x, y)$  are polynomials of total degree 2 in  $\lambda(x; c_4 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_0 c_3)$ . Iteratively, supposing that, for  $0 \leq n \leq N$  and  $j \leq N - n$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{i,j}$  is of degree  $N - j$ , it can be shown through the recurrence relations of  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{0,N-n}$ ,  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{1,N-n}, \dots, \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{n,N-n}$  that  $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_{k,\ell}$  with  $k + \ell = N - (n + 1)$  is a polynomial of degree  $N - (n + 1)$ .  $\square$

The normalization  $\mathcal{G}_{0,N}(x, y)$  in (5.35) can be computed explicitly. Indeed, the explicit expression (5.1) of the Griffiths polynomials leads to

$$\mathcal{G}_{0,N}(x, y) = (-1)^N \left( \frac{c_3}{c_1} \right)^{\frac{N}{2}} \left( \frac{c_1}{c_4} \right)^{\frac{y}{2}} \xi_N(c_0, c_0 c_4 q^N) \xi_y(c_3, c_0). \quad (5.36)$$

Following an analogous reasoning, one can show that

$$\mathcal{G}_{i,j}(x, y) / \mathcal{G}_{N,0}(x, y) \quad (5.37)$$

is also a bivariate polynomial of total degree  $N - i$  in the variables  $\lambda(x; c_4 c_2)$  and  $\lambda(y; c_0 c_3)$ . The value of  $\mathcal{G}_{N,0}(x, y)$  is computed from (5.36) using the symmetry relation (5.15):

$$\mathcal{G}_{N,0}(x, y) = (c_0 c_3 q^{N+1})^{-\frac{N}{2}} \frac{\omega_y\left(\frac{c_1 c_0 c_3}{c_4}\right)}{\omega_x(c_2 c_4)} \xi_N(c_2, c_2 c_3 q^N) \xi_x(c_4, c_2). \quad (5.38)$$

Let us note that the direct calculation of  $\mathcal{G}_{N,0}(x, y)$  starting from its explicit expression in (5.1) is rather cumbersome.

**Remark 5.10.** Let us point out that, contrarily to the Tratnik case, we can start from either the point  $(0, N)$  or the point  $(N, 0)$  to get polynomiality. For the Tratnik polynomials, the first recursion relation involves only the three points  $(i + \varepsilon, j)$ ,  $\varepsilon = 0, \pm$ , thus it forces  $(N, 0)$  to be the starting point. For the Griffiths polynomials, both recursion relations involve nine points  $(i + \varepsilon, j + \varepsilon')$ ,  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' = 0, \pm 1$ , therefore we can choose any of the two points  $(0, N)$  or  $(N, 0)$  as the starting point.  $\blacktriangle$

**Remark 5.11 (Generalized Favard theorem).** We show that the necessary conditions of the generalized Favard theorem (see Remark 3.9) are not satisfied for the Griffiths polynomials, which is consistent with the fact that they are biorthogonal. Let us define

$$\mathbb{G}_n = \left( \chi_{n,0} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{N-n,0}, \chi_{n,1} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{N-n,1}, \dots, \chi_{n,n} \bar{\mathcal{G}}_{N-n,n} \right)^T \quad (5.39)$$

and look for coefficients  $\chi$  such that the recurrence relations (5.28) and (5.29) can be put in the form of (5.39). This leads to the constraints

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\chi_{i,j+1}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i,j+1}^{0,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{0,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, & \left( \frac{\chi_{i+1,j}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i-1,j}^{-,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{+,0}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, \\ \left( \frac{\chi_{i+1,j+1}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i-1,j+1}^{-,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i,j}^{+,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, & \left( \frac{\chi_{i,j+1}}{\chi_{i+1,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{A_{N-i,j+1}^{+,+}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}{A_{N-i-1,j}^{-,-}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4; N)}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.40)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{\chi_{i,j+1}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{\omega_-(q^{2j+2}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)}{\omega_+(q^{2j}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)} \frac{A_{j+1,N-i}^{+,0}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}{A_{j,N-i}^{-,0}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}, \\ \left( \frac{\chi_{i+1,j}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{\omega_-(q^{2(N-i)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)}{\omega_+(q^{2(N-i-1)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)} \frac{A_{j,N-i-1}^{0,-}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}{A_{j,N-i}^{0,+}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}, \\ \left( \frac{\chi_{i+1,j+1}}{\chi_{i,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{\omega_-(q^{2(N-i)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)}{\omega_+(q^{2j+2}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)} \frac{\omega_-(q^{2j}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)}{\omega_+(q^{2(N-i-1)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)} \frac{A_{j+1,N-i-1}^{+,-}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}{A_{j,N-i}^{-,+}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}, \\ \left( \frac{\chi_{i,j+1}}{\chi_{i+1,j}} \right)^2 &= \frac{\omega_+(q^{2(N-i-1)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)}{\omega_+(q^{2j}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)} \frac{\omega_-(q^{2j+2}c_3c_0c_4/c_1)}{\omega_-(q^{2(N-i)}c_2c_3c_4/c_1)} \frac{A_{j+1,N-i}^{+,+}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}{A_{j,N-i-1}^{-,-}(c_1, c_0, c_4, c_3; N)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.41)$$

From the calculations done for Tratnik polynomials, one knows that in (5.40), the second line is a consequence of the first line. Similarly, the last two lines of (5.41) are a consequence of the first two ones. However, the equations (5.40) and (5.41) are inconsistent. For instance, the ratio of both expressions of  $\left(\frac{\chi_{i,j+1}}{\chi_{i,j}}\right)^2$  given in (5.40) and (5.41) is equal to

$$\frac{q^{2j-2N-1}}{c_1c_2c_3} \neq 1. \quad (5.42)$$

Thus, the Griffiths polynomials cannot be orthogonal. Note that in the limit  $c_i \mapsto q^{c_i}$ ,  $q \rightarrow 1$ , the expressions become consistent, in accordance with the orthogonality property of the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type [13].  $\blacktriangle$

## 6 Outlook

This paper is devoted to the introduction and the study of new bivariate polynomials, the *Griffiths polynomials of  $q$ -Racah type*. From these polynomials, one could perform various limits, so as to get bivariate functions involving other polynomials such as the  $q$ -Hahn or the  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials. These limits could have interesting uses; for example, the bivariate Tratnik polynomials of

$q$ -Krawtchouk type appear naturally in the study of  $q$ -rotations [30] and in the representation theory of  $SU_q(3)$  [5] and hence the obtained polynomials may generalize these results.

The orthogonality property of these polynomials also deserves some studies. Unlike the Griffiths polynomials of Racah type, which are orthogonal, the polynomials introduced in this paper are biorthogonal. Clearly, some restriction on the parameter space can lead to orthogonal polynomials. However, it could be that other constructions lead to truly orthogonal polynomials; these polynomials would potentially possess a higher number of parameters. In that case, it is likely that the underlying algebraic structure would be different from the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ . The existence of this new algebra remains to be elucidated.

A natural generalization of the results obtained here would be to consider multivariate polynomials in more than two variables. These  $n$  variable polynomials would be a generalization of the  $n$  variable Tratnik polynomials introduced and studied in [28, 40]. Their bispectral property should provide a representation of the higher rank Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(n+2)$  which has been defined in [14]. It would also be interesting to study the representation theory of this algebra on its own to obtain results on these polynomials as a byproduct.

The Leonard theorem has initially been proved in order to try to classify the so-called  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial schemes or distance regular graph (see [48, 2]). These different notions have been generalized recently in [6, 3, 7]. It would be interesting to generalize the Leonard theorem to the multivariate case and to obtain a classification of bispectral multivariate polynomials.

**Acknowledgements:** The authors warmly thank Luc Vinet and Meri Zaimi for stimulating discussions. N. Crampé is partially supported by the international research project AAPT of the CNRS. L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy are partially supported by Université Savoie Mont Blanc and Conseil Savoie Mont Blanc grant POSITIPh. J. Gaboriaud is supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers 22F21320 and 22KF0189.

## A Basic hypergeometric functions

The basic hypergeometric functions, or  $q$ -hypergeometric functions, are defined by the following series, for  $r$  and  $s$  nonnegative integers:

$${}_r\phi_s \left( \begin{matrix} a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s \end{matrix} \middle| q; z \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_k}{(b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s; q)_k} (-1)^{(1+s-r)k} q^{\binom{1+s-r}{2}k} \frac{z^k}{(q; q)_k}, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

where

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_k = (a_1; q)_k (a_2; q)_k \dots (a_r; q)_k, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

and the  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol  $(a; q)_n$  is defined as

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_k = \prod_{\ell=0}^{k-1} (1 - aq^\ell), \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol satisfies the following transformation formula:

$$\left(\frac{1}{a}; q\right)_n = (-1/a)^n q^{\binom{n}{2}} (a q^{-n+1}; q)_n. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

When  $a_1 = q^{-n}$  for some nonnegative integer  $n$ , the series in (A.1) truncates and becomes a sum over  $k$  from 0 to  $n$ . Let us emphasize that the  $q$ -hypergeometric functions are invariant under any permutation either of its parameters  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  or of its parameters  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s$ . It also satisfies

$${}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a_2, a_3, a_4 \\ b_1, b_2, b_3 \end{matrix} \middle| q^{-1}; q^{-1} \right) = {}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, a_2^{-1}, a_3^{-1}, a_4^{-1} \\ b_1^{-1}, b_2^{-1}, b_3^{-1} \end{matrix} \middle| q; \frac{q^n a_2 a_3 a_4}{b_1 b_2 b_3} \right). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

The  $q$ -analogue of the binomial coefficient is defined as follows, for  $0 \leq n \leq N$ :

$$\begin{bmatrix} N \\ n \end{bmatrix}_q = \frac{(q; q)_N}{(q; q)_n (q; q)_{N-n}}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

By convention, the  $q$ -binomial coefficient vanishes for  $n < 0$  or  $n > N$ .

**Limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .**

In the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ , the  $q$ -generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_4\phi_3 \left( \begin{matrix} q^{-n}, q^{a_2}, q^{a_3}, q^{a_4} \\ q^{b_1}, q^{b_2}, q^{b_3} \end{matrix} \middle| q; q \right)$  tends to the generalized hypergeometric function  ${}_4F_3 \left( \begin{matrix} -n, a_2, a_3, a_4 \\ b_1, b_2, b_3 \end{matrix} \middle| 1 \right)$  and the renormalized  $q$ -Pochhammer symbol tends to the Pochhammer symbol:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 1} \frac{(q^a; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} = \prod_{n=0}^{k-1} (a+n) = (a)_k. \quad (\text{A.7})$$

## B Representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra $\mathbf{aw}(3)$

In [65], it has been shown that the operators defined by the bispectral property of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials satisfy an algebra, called the *Askey–Wilson algebra*. The Askey–Wilson algebra has subsequently found applications in various domains: association schemes [48, 2, 59], Leonard pairs [60, 58], Kauffman bracket skein algebras [10, 9], the centralizer of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  in tensor products of any three possibly different spin representations [18, 16] and symmetry of physical models [43, 52, 31]. In addition, its representation theory has been studied in [39]. For more details about the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$ , we refer to the review [11].

### B.1 Defining relations for $\mathbf{aw}(3)$

We first provide the defining relations satisfied by the  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  algebra. We then exhibit a particular symmetry under which these relations are unchanged and which we will use to obtain multiple representations in the next subsection.

**Definition B.1.** The Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  is an unital algebra generated by  $C_{12}$ ,  $C_{23}$  and  $C_{13}$ , with four central charges  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ ,  $C_3$  and  $C_{123}$  and a parameter  $q$ . The generators obey the following three relations:

$$\frac{1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} [C_{12}, C_{23}]_q = -C_{13} + \frac{1}{q + q^{-1}} (C_3 C_1 + C_2 C_{123}), \quad (\text{B.1a})$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} [C_{23}, C_{13}]_q = -C_{12} + \frac{1}{q + q^{-1}} (C_1 C_2 + C_3 C_{123}), \quad (\text{B.1b})$$

$$\frac{1}{q^2 - q^{-2}} [C_{13}, C_{12}]_q = -C_{23} + \frac{1}{q + q^{-1}} (C_2 C_3 + C_1 C_{123}), \quad (\text{B.1c})$$

where  $[X, Y]_q = qXY - q^{-1}YX$ .

**Remark B.2.** The Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry. In particular, the relations of the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  are unchanged under the following cyclical permutations of the generators:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma : \quad & C_1 \mapsto C_2, & C_{12} & \mapsto C_{23}, \\ & C_2 \mapsto C_3, & C_{123} & \mapsto C_{123}, \\ & C_3 \mapsto C_1, & C_{23} & \mapsto C_{13}, \\ & & & C_{13} \mapsto C_{12}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

It is immediate to see that  $\sigma^3 = 1$ . ▲

## B.2 Representations of the algebra $\mathbf{aw}(3)$

We now provide  $(N + 1)$ -dimensional representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra.

Define the functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  as

$$\xi_n(c_1, c_2) = \frac{(qc_1; q)_n}{(qc_2; q)_n} \left( \frac{c_2}{c_1} \right)^{n/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_n(c) = (-1)^n c^{n/2} q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

and let  $\mathbb{I}$  denote the identity matrix of dimension  $N + 1$ .

**The  $\mathcal{A}$  matrices.** Introduce the  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ -dimensional matrices  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{23}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{13}$ . Their non-vanishing entries are given as follows, for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_{12})_{i,i} &= \lambda(i; c_1 c_2), \\ (\mathcal{A}_{23})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_3, c_2, c_1; N), \\ (\mathcal{A}_{13})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \frac{\xi_{i-\varepsilon}(c_2, c_1) \omega_{i-\varepsilon}(c_2 c_1)}{\xi_i(c_2, c_1) \omega_i(c_2 c_1)} \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_3, c_1, c_2; N), \end{aligned} \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, \pm\}, \quad (\text{B.4})$$

In the above and hereinafter, by convention the entries  $(-1, i)$  and  $(N + 1, i)$  of any of the  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ -dimensional matrices are null, *e.g.*  $(\mathcal{A}_{12})_{-1,0} = (\mathcal{A}_{13})_{N+1,N} = 0$ . The matrix  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$  is diagonal and both the matrices  $\mathcal{A}_{23}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{13}$  are tridiagonal matrices.

One observes that the matrices  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathcal{A}_{23}$  encode respectively the recurrence (2.11) and difference (2.15) relations of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials, in other words their bispectral property. It is a standard result that the algebraic relations between the matrices that encode the bispectral property of the  $q$ -Racah polynomials are those of the Askey–Wilson algebra [65]. The explicit correspondence with the relations (B.1) will be given in the later Proposition (B.3).

**The  $\mathcal{B}$  matrices.** The  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ -dimensional matrices  $\mathcal{B}_{12}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}_{23}$  and  $\mathcal{B}_{13}$ , have the following non-vanishing entries, for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{B}_{23})_{i,i} &= \lambda(i; c_2 c_3), \\ (\mathcal{B}_{13})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_1, c_3, c_2; N), \\ (\mathcal{B}_{12})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \frac{\xi_{i-\varepsilon}(c_3, c_2) \omega_{i-\varepsilon}(c_3 c_2)}{\xi_i(c_3, c_2) \omega_i(c_3 c_2)} \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \end{aligned} \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, \pm\}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

with the functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  defined in (B.3).

**The  $\mathcal{C}$  matrices.** The  $(N + 1) \times (N + 1)$ -dimensional matrices  $\mathcal{C}_{12}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_{23}$  and  $\mathcal{C}_{13}$ , have the following non-vanishing entries, for  $0 \leq i \leq N$ :

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{C}_{13})_{i,i} &= \lambda(i; c_1 c_3), \\ (\mathcal{C}_{12})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_2, c_1, c_3; N), \\ (\mathcal{C}_{23})_{i-\varepsilon, i} &= \frac{\xi_{i-\varepsilon}(c_1, c_3) \omega_{i-\varepsilon}(c_1 c_3)}{\xi_i(c_1, c_3) \omega_i(c_1 c_3)} \Phi^\varepsilon(i; c_2, c_3, c_1; N), \end{aligned} \quad \varepsilon \in \{0, \pm\}, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

with the functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  defined in (B.3).

**Proposition B.3.** *Each set of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  matrices provides  $(N+1)$ -dimensional representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$ . Concretely, when the parameter  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  is equal to  $\sqrt{q}$ , the following map is an algebra homomorphism:*

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &\mapsto (\sqrt{c_i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_i}}) \mathbb{I}, & \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, \\ C_{ij} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}} \mathcal{X}_{ij} + (\sqrt{q c_i c_j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}}) \mathbb{I}, & \text{for } ij = 12, 23, 13, \\ C_{123} &\mapsto (\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_1 c_2 c_3} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_1 c_2 c_3}}) \mathbb{I}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.7})$$




In the above,  $\mathcal{X}$  is chosen as either  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , or  $\mathcal{C}$ . We will refer to these three representations as the  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  representations.

*Proof.* The algebraic relations can be checked by explicit matrix computations or using symbolic manipulation software such as Mathematica or Maple.  $\square$

Due to the constraints (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16), the above three representations  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  are irreducible. Indeed, remarking that the spectrum of  $\mathcal{A}_{12}$  is simple and that  $\mathcal{A}_{23}$  is a tridiagonal matrix where no off-diagonal entry vanishes allow to prove that the representation is irreducible. The result on  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  then follows immediately by symmetry.

As is well-known, the previous properties show that  $(\mathcal{A}_{12}, \mathcal{A}_{23})$  is a realization of a Leonard pair [58]. Let us mention that the constraints (2.13), (2.14) and (2.16) are necessary in order to get a Leonard pair, due to the irreducibility condition entering the definition of Leonard pairs.

**Remark B.4.** The three representations in the above proposition are related to one another through the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry described above. This can be presented as the following diagram:



Each vertex corresponds to one of the representations, obtained by the set of matrices  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$ . The generator  $C_I$ ,  $I = 12, 23, 13$  shown next to each vertex indicates which of the three generators takes a diagonal form in that particular representation. The arrows indicate that the representations are related to one another through the action of the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry, denoted by  $\sigma$ .

More precisely, we see from Remark B.2 and the representation homomorphism in (B.7) that the action of  $\sigma$  on the representations corresponds to permuting the generators  $\{C_{12}, C_{23}, C_{13}\}$  and the parameters  $\{c_1, c_2, c_3\}$  cyclically. Starting from the expressions for the  $\mathcal{A}$  matrices (B.4), one obtains the expressions for the  $\mathcal{B}$  matrices through that cyclical permutation. Repeating the process, one gets the  $\mathcal{C}$  matrices, then the  $\mathcal{A}$  matrices, etc.  $\blacktriangle$

A natural question to ask is whether this algebra automorphism is an *outer* or an *inner* automorphism. The answer is that it is an *inner automorphism*. The three representations  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  are actually isomorphic and can be mapped to one another through a change of basis. This will be shown explicitly in the next subsection.

### B.3 Equivalence of the three representations $\mathcal{A}$ , $\mathcal{B}$ , $\mathcal{C}$

We begin with a proposition that makes explicit the isomorphism between the  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  representations.

**Proposition B.5.** *The three representations of the Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(3)$  given by the  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  sets of matrices (B.7) are equivalent to one another:*

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_I = \mathcal{A}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{C}_I = \mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{C}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}, \quad I \in \{12, 23, 13\}. \quad (\text{B.8})$$

The explicit expressions of the matrices  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}$  are given in equations (B.9), (B.10) and (B.11) respectively. Their inverses are given in equations (B.12), (B.13) and (B.14).

*Proof.* We construct the explicit change of basis matrices in order to prove the isomorphism.

Introduce the  $(N+1) \times (N+1)$  matrices  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}$ . The entries of these matrices are given by, for  $0 \leq i, j \leq N$ :

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}})_{i,j} = \frac{\xi_j(c_2, c_3)}{\omega_j(c_2 c_3)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_3, c_2, c_1; N), \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}})_{i,j} = \frac{\xi_j(c_3, c_1)}{\omega_j(c_3 c_1)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_1, c_3, c_2; N), \quad (\text{B.10})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}})_{i,j} = \frac{\xi_j(c_1, c_2)}{\omega_j(c_1 c_2)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_2, c_1, c_3; N), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

and the functions  $\xi$  and  $\omega$  have been defined previously in (B.3). These matrices are invertible with their inverses  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}}$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}}$  given by, for  $0 \leq i, j \leq N$ :

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}})_{i,j} = \frac{\omega_i(c_2 c_3)}{\xi_i(c_2, c_3)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_1, c_2, c_3; N), \quad (\text{B.12})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}})_{i,j} = \frac{\omega_i(c_3 c_1)}{\xi_i(c_3, c_1)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_2, c_3, c_1; N), \quad (\text{B.13})$$

$$(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}})_{i,j} = \frac{\omega_i(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_i(c_1, c_2)} \mathbf{p}_i(j; c_3, c_1, c_2; N). \quad (\text{B.14})$$

This is easily checked; using the involution relation (2.10), one immediately gets

$$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{B}} = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{C}} = \mathbb{I}. \quad (\text{B.15})$$

We claim that these matrices provide the change of basis between the  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  representations, as written in (B.8). Let us first examine the matrix equation  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{B}_I = \mathcal{A}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$ . For  $I = 12$ , equating the  $(n, x)$  component of the r.h.s. and the l.h.s. leads to the difference equation (2.15) with  $c_1 \leftrightarrow c_3$ . For  $I = 23$ , proceeding similarly using the equation  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}} \mathcal{A}_I = \mathcal{B}_I \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{A}}$  and equating the  $(n, x)$  component of the r.h.s. and the l.h.s. also leads to the difference equation (2.15). Since the third generator  $I = 13$  is obtained in terms of the first two (see (B.1a)), it follows that  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{A}\mathcal{B}}$  is indeed the change of basis matrix between the  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  representations introduced above. From the  $\mathbb{Z}_3$  symmetry in Remark B.4, analogous results follow immediately:  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{C}}$  relates representations  $\mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{A}}$  relates representations  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore, equation (B.8) holds, and this completes the proof.  $\square$

We note that these changes of basis are the key ingredient in the proof of the Racah relation for  $q$ -Racah polynomials (4.1).

## C Representations of the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra $\mathbf{aw}(4)$

The higher rank version of the Askey–Wilson algebra has been introduced and studied in [53, 37, 20, 21, 22, 14, 63]. We recall in this appendix the defining relations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  as presented in [14], up to a renormalization of the generators. Then, we provide representations for this algebra.

### C.1 Defining relations for $\mathbf{aw}(4)$

The algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  is generated by  $C_I$  where  $I \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 23, 34, 123, 234, 1234\}$ . For these connected sequences, the order in  $I$  is irrelevant, for example  $C_{21} \equiv C_{12}$ . Let us now introduce the following set of 3-tuple:

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(1, 2, 3), (2, 3, 4), (1, 23, 4), (12, 3, 4), (1, 2, 34), (3, 2, 1), (4, 3, 2), (4, 23, 1), (4, 3, 12), (34, 2, 1)\}. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

For  $(I, J, K) \in \mathcal{S}$ , one defines new elements of the algebra by

$$C_{IK} = -\frac{1}{Q^2 - Q^{-2}}[C_{IJ}, C_{JK}]_Q + \frac{1}{Q + Q^{-1}}(C_I C_K + C_J C_{IJK}). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

These relations define  $C_{13}, C_{24}, C_{14}, C_{124}, C_{134}$  but also  $C_{31}, C_{42}, C_{41}, C_{412}, C_{341}$ . Let us stress that the former are different from the latter.

The defining relations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  are:

(i) for  $I, J \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 23, 34, 123, 234, 1234\}$ :

$$[C_I, C_J] = 0 \quad \text{if } I \cap J = \emptyset \quad \text{or } I \subset J, \quad (\text{C.3})$$

(ii) for  $(I, J, K) \in \mathcal{S}$ :

$$C_{IJ} = -\frac{1}{Q^2 - Q^{-2}}[C_{JK}, C_{IK}]_Q + \frac{1}{Q + Q^{-1}}(C_I C_J + C_K C_{IJK}), \quad (\text{C.4})$$

and (iii):

$$C_{14} = -\frac{1}{Q^2 - Q^{-2}}[C_{13}, C_{34}]_Q + \frac{1}{Q + Q^{-1}}(C_1 C_4 + C_3 C_{134}), \quad (\text{C.5})$$

$$C_{41} = -\frac{1}{Q^2 - Q^{-2}}[C_{42}, C_{12}]_Q + \frac{1}{Q + Q^{-1}}(C_1 C_4 + C_2 C_{412}). \quad (\text{C.6})$$

**Remark C.1.** The rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  has a  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry. In particular, the relations of the algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  are unchanged under the following cyclical permutations of the generators:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : \quad & C_1 \mapsto C_4, & C_{12} \mapsto C_{123}, & C_{13} \mapsto C_{14}, & C_{31} \mapsto C_{41}, \\ & C_2 \mapsto C_{1234}, & C_{23} \mapsto C_{234}, & C_{24} \mapsto C_{341}, & C_{42} \mapsto C_{134}, \\ & C_3 \mapsto C_1, & C_{34} \mapsto C_{12}, & C_{412} \mapsto C_{13}, & C_{124} \mapsto C_{31}, \\ & C_4 \mapsto C_2, & C_{123} \mapsto C_{23}, & C_{14} \mapsto C_{24}, & C_{41} \mapsto C_{42}, \\ & C_{1234} \mapsto C_3, & C_{234} \mapsto C_{34}, & C_{341} \mapsto C_{412}, & C_{134} \mapsto C_{123}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.7})$$

and it is immediate to see that  $\rho^5 = 1$ . Note that the  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry is just a part of the full braid group symmetry of the  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  algebra. We refer the reader to [14] for more details.  $\blacktriangle$

## C.2 Representations of the algebra $\mathbf{aw}(4)$

Define  $\tilde{N} = \binom{N+2}{2} = \#\{(i_1, i_2) \mid i_1, i_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, i_1 + i_2 \leq N\}$ . We will introduce five sets of  $\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}$  matrices, denoted by  $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}$ . Each set of matrices leads to a representation of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ ; this will be stated in the next proposition down below.

We also let  $\mathbf{1}$  denote the  $\tilde{N}$ -dimensional identity matrix.

**The  $\mathbf{A}$  matrices.** Let us introduce five  $\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}$  matrices  $\mathbf{A}_{12}, \mathbf{A}_{23}, \mathbf{A}_{34}, \mathbf{A}_{123}, \mathbf{A}_{234}$ . The entries of the matrices are labeled by two pairs of nonnegative indices  $(i, j)$  and  $(x, y)$  such that  $0 \leq i + j \leq N$  and  $0 \leq x + y \leq N$ . The non-zero entries of the matrices  $\mathbf{A}_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbf{A}_{12})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(i; c_1 c_2), \\ (\mathbf{A}_{123})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(j; c_4 c_0), \\ (\mathbf{A}_{34})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_3, c_4, c_0; N - i), \\ (\mathbf{A}_{23})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_3, c_2, c_1; N - j) \left(\frac{c_3}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_1)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2, c_1)}, \\ (\mathbf{A}_{234})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_4, c_0, c_2; N), \end{aligned} \quad \varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{0, \pm\}. \quad (\text{C.8})$$

It follows that  $\mathbf{A}_{12}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{123}$  are diagonal matrices,  $\mathbf{A}_{34}$  and  $\mathbf{A}_{23}$  are tridiagonal and the matrix  $\mathbf{A}_{234}$  is nine-diagonal. These matrices provide a representation of the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra, as will be stated in a later proposition down below.

**The B matrices.** The non-zero entries of the matrices  $B_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(B_{123})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(i; c_4 c_0), \\
(B_{23})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(j; c_2 c_3), \\
(B_{12})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - i), \\
(B_{234})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_1, c_0, c_4; N - j) \left( \frac{c_1}{c_3} \right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_0, c_4)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_0, c_4)}, \\
(B_{34})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_0; N),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.9}$$

**The C matrices.** The non-zero entries of the matrices  $C_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(C_{23})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(i; c_2 c_3), \\
(C_{234})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(j; c_0 c_1), \\
(C_{123})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_4, c_0, c_1; N - i), \\
(C_{34})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_4, c_3, c_2; N - j) \left( \frac{c_4}{c_1} \right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_3, c_2)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_2)}, \\
(C_{12})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_0, c_1, c_3; N),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.10}$$

**The D matrices.** The non-zero entries of the matrices  $D_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(D_{234})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(i; c_0 c_1), \\
(D_{34})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(j; c_3 c_4), \\
(D_{23})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_2, c_3, c_4; N - i), \\
(D_{12})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_2, c_1, c_0; N - j) \left( \frac{c_2}{c_4} \right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_1, c_0)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_0)}, \\
(D_{123})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_2, c_3, c_4, c_1; N),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.11}$$

**The E matrices.** The non-zero entries of the matrices  $E_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  are given by:

$$\begin{aligned}
(E_{34})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(i; c_3 c_4), \\
(E_{12})_{(i,j);(i,j)} &= \lambda(j; c_1 c_2), \\
(E_{234})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_0, c_1, c_2; N - i), \\
(E_{123})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_0, c_4, c_3; N - j) \left( \frac{c_0}{c_2} \right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_4, c_3)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_4, c_3)}, \\
(E_{23})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_0, c_1, c_2, c_4; N),
\end{aligned} \tag{C.12}$$

We now state more precisely how the five sets of matrices A, B, C, D, E lead to representations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ .

**Proposition C.2.** *When the parameter  $Q$  of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  is equal to  $\sqrt{q}$ , each set of A, B, C, D, E matrices provides  $\tilde{N}$ -dimensional representations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$ . Recall that the algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  is generated by  $C_I$  with  $I \in \{1, 2, 3, 4, 12, 23, 34, 123, 234, 1234\}$  with defining relations given in the Appendix C.1. Then,*

the following map is an algebra homomorphism:


$$\begin{aligned}
C_i &\mapsto (\sqrt{c_i} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_i}})\mathbf{1}, && \text{for } i = 1, 2, 3, 4, \\
C_{ij} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}} X_{ij} + (\sqrt{q c_i c_j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}})\mathbf{1}, && \text{for } ij = 12, 23, 34, \\
C_{ijk} &\mapsto \sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k} X_{ijk} + (\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k}})\mathbf{1}, && \text{for } ijk = 123, 234, \\
C_{1234} &\mapsto (\sqrt{c_0} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_0}})\mathbf{1}, && 
\end{aligned} \tag{C.13}$$

where  $X$  is chosen as either A, B, C, D or E. We will refer to these five representations as the A, B, C, D and E representations.

*Proof.* The defining relations of  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  can be verified by explicit matrix computations or using symbolic manipulation software such as Mathematica or Maple.  $\square$

The conditions (5.5) imply that these representations are irreducible. Indeed, these conditions imply that the couples of eigenvalues  $(\lambda(i; c_1 c_2), \lambda(j; c_4 c_0))$  of the matrices  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{123}$ , are all different. It allows us to construct projectors on the common eigenvector  $v_{i,j}$  corresponding to this couple of eigenvalues. Moreover, due to these conditions, the matrices  $A_{34}$  and  $A_{23}$  have non-vanishing entries connecting  $v_{i,j}$  to  $v_{i,j\pm 1}$  and  $v_{i\pm 1,j}$  respectively. Hence, through iteration, we can connect any vector  $v_{i,j}$  to any other vector  $v_{k,l}$ . This concludes the proof of irreducibility for the representation associated to A matrices. The proof of irreducibility for the other representations follows the same lines.

**Remark C.3.** The five representations in the above proposition are related to one another through the  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry described above. This can be presented as the following diagram:



Each vertex corresponds to one of the representations, obtained by the set of matrices A, B, C, D, E. The two generators  $C_I$ ,  $I \in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}$  shown next to each vertex indicate which two of the five generators take a diagonal form in that particular representation. The arrows indicate that the representations are related to one another through the action of the  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry, denoted by  $\rho$ .

More precisely, we see from Remark C.1 and the representation homomorphism in (C.13) that the action of  $\rho$  on the representations corresponds to permuting the generators  $\{C_{12}, C_{123}, C_{23}, C_{234}, C_{34}\}$  and the parameters  $\{c_1, c_4, c_2, c_0, c_3\}$  cyclically. Starting from the expressions for the A matrices (B.4), one obtains the expressions for the B matrices through that cyclical permutation. Repeating the process, one gets the C matrices, then the D matrices, the E matrices, the A matrices, etc.  $\blacktriangle$

Again, it is natural to ask whether this algebra automorphism is an *outer* or an *inner* automorphism. The answer here is again that it is an *inner automorphism*. The five representations A, B, C, D, E are actually isomorphic and can be mapped to one another through a change of basis. This will be shown explicitly in the next subsection.

For completeness, we now provide the expressions for other generators of the  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  algebra in the A representation below. The following are tridiagonal matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A}_{13})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_3, c_1, c_2; N-j) \left(\frac{c_3}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2 c_1)}{\omega_i(c_2 c_1)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{31})_{(i-\varepsilon',j);(i,j)} &= \Phi^{\varepsilon'}(i; c_3, c_1, c_2; N-j) \left(\frac{c_3}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\omega_i(c_2 c_1)}{\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2 c_1)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{124})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-i) \frac{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4, c_0)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4 c_0)}{\xi_j(c_4, c_0)\omega_j(c_4 c_0)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{412})_{(i,j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= \Phi^\varepsilon(j; c_3, c_0, c_4; N-i) \frac{\xi_j(c_0, c_4)\omega_j(c_0 c_4)}{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0, c_4)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0 c_4)},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.14}$$

and the following are nine-diagonal matrices:

$$\begin{aligned}
(\mathbf{A}_{24})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N) \frac{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4, c_0)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4 c_0)}{\xi_j(c_4, c_0)\omega_j(c_4 c_0)} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_1)\omega_i(c_2 c_1)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2, c_1)\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2 c_1)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{42})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_1; N) \frac{\xi_j(c_0, c_4)\omega_j(c_0 c_4)}{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0, c_4)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0 c_4)} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2} \frac{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2)\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_i(c_1, c_2)\omega_i(c_1 c_2)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{14})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_2; N) \frac{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4, c_0)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_4 c_0)}{\xi_j(c_4, c_0)\omega_j(c_4 c_0)} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{41})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_0, c_4, c_2; N) \frac{\xi_j(c_0, c_4)\omega_j(c_0 c_4)}{\xi_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0, c_4)\omega_{j-\varepsilon}(c_0 c_4)} \left(\frac{c_4}{c_0}\right)^{\varepsilon'/2}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{134})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_4, c_0, c_1; N) \frac{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_1, c_2)\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_1 c_2)}{\xi_i(c_1, c_2)\omega_i(c_1 c_2)}, \\
(\mathbf{A}_{341})_{(i-\varepsilon',j-\varepsilon);(i,j)} &= A_{j,i}^{\varepsilon,\varepsilon'}(c_3, c_4, c_0, c_1; N) \frac{\xi_i(c_2, c_1)\omega_i(c_2 c_1)}{\xi_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2, c_1)\omega_{i-\varepsilon'}(c_2 c_1)},
\end{aligned} \tag{C.15}$$

with  $\varepsilon, \varepsilon' \in \{0, \pm\}$ . The expressions for the matrices in the other bases B, C, D, E are directly obtained from those in the A basis by making use of the  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry, see remark C.3.

For the five representations given in the above proposition, the generators associated to non-connected sequences (see the definition in Section C.1) are then given as follows, with  $\mathbf{X} \in \{\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{D}, \mathbf{E}\}$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
C_{ij} &\mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}} \mathbf{X}_{ij} + (\sqrt{q c_i c_j} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q c_i c_j}}) \mathbf{1}, & \text{for } ij = 13, 24, 14, 31, 42, 41, \\
C_{ijk} &\mapsto \sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k} \mathbf{X}_{ijk} + (\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{q^{2N+2} c_i c_j c_k}}) \mathbf{1}, & \text{for } ijk = 124, 134, 412, 341.
\end{aligned} \tag{C.16}$$

Once again, the algebraic relations can be verified by explicit matrix computations or using symbolic manipulation software such as Mathematica or Maple.

### C.3 Equivalence of the five representations A, B, C, D, E

We begin with a proposition that makes the isomorphism between the A, B, C, D, E representations explicit.

**Proposition C.4.** *The five representations of the rank 2 Askey–Wilson algebra  $\mathbf{aw}(4)$  given by the A, B, C, D, E matrices are equivalent to one another:*

$$\begin{aligned} P_{AB} B_I &= A_I P_{AB}, & P_{BC} C_I &= B_I P_{BC}, & P_{CD} D_I &= C_I P_{CD}, & I &\in \{12, 23, 34, 123, 234\}. \\ P_{DE} E_I &= D_I P_{DE}, & P_{EA} A_I &= E_I P_{EA}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.17})$$

The explicit expressions of the change of basis matrices  $P_{AB}$ ,  $P_{BC}$ ,  $P_{CD}$ ,  $P_{DE}$ ,  $P_{EF}$  and their inverses are given in the proof below.

*Proof.* We construct the explicit change of basis matrices in order to prove the isomorphism.

Introduce the  $\tilde{N} \times \tilde{N}$  matrices  $P_{AB}$ ,  $P_{BC}$ ,  $P_{CD}$ ,  $P_{DE}$ ,  $P_{EF}$ . The entries of these matrices are given as follows, for  $0 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq N$  and  $0 \leq j_1 + j_2 \leq N$ :

$$(P_{AB})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_2, j_1} \left( \frac{c_0}{c_3} \right)^{i_1/2} \xi_{i_1}(c_1, c_2) \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}(j_2; c_3, c_2, c_1; N - i_2), \quad (\text{C.18})$$

$$(P_{BC})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_2, j_1} \left( \frac{c_3}{c_1} \right)^{i_1/2} \xi_{i_1}(c_4, c_0) \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}(j_2; c_1, c_0, c_4; N - i_2), \quad (\text{C.19})$$

$$(P_{CD})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_2, j_1} \left( \frac{c_1}{c_4} \right)^{i_1/2} \xi_{i_1}(c_2, c_3) \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}(j_2; c_4, c_3, c_2; N - i_2), \quad (\text{C.20})$$

$$(P_{DE})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_2, j_1} \left( \frac{c_4}{c_2} \right)^{i_1/2} \xi_{i_1}(c_0, c_1) \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}(j_2; c_2, c_1, c_0; N - i_2), \quad (\text{C.21})$$

$$(P_{EA})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_2, j_1} \left( \frac{c_2}{c_0} \right)^{i_1/2} \xi_{i_1}(c_3, c_4) \mathfrak{p}_{i_1}(j_2; c_0, c_4, c_3; N - i_2), \quad (\text{C.22})$$

and the function  $\xi$  has been defined previously in (B.3). The inverses of the above matrices, namely  $P_{BA}$ ,  $P_{CB}$ ,  $P_{DC}$ ,  $P_{ED}$ ,  $P_{AE}$ , have entries given as follows, for  $0 \leq i_1 + i_2 \leq N$  and  $0 \leq j_1 + j_2 \leq N$ :

$$(P_{BA})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_1, j_2} \left( \frac{c_3}{c_0} \right)^{j_1/2} \xi_{j_1}(c_2, c_1) \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}(j_1; c_1, c_2, c_3; N - j_2), \quad (\text{C.23})$$

$$(P_{CB})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_1, j_2} \left( \frac{c_1}{c_3} \right)^{j_1/2} \xi_{j_1}(c_0, c_4) \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}(j_1; c_4, c_0, c_1; N - j_2), \quad (\text{C.24})$$

$$(P_{DC})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_1, j_2} \left( \frac{c_4}{c_1} \right)^{j_1/2} \xi_{j_1}(c_3, c_2) \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}(j_1; c_2, c_3, c_4; N - j_2), \quad (\text{C.25})$$

$$(P_{ED})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_1, j_2} \left( \frac{c_2}{c_4} \right)^{j_1/2} \xi_{j_1}(c_1, c_0) \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}(j_1; c_0, c_1, c_2; N - j_2), \quad (\text{C.26})$$

$$(P_{AE})_{(i_1, i_2); (j_1, j_2)} = \delta_{i_1, j_2} \left( \frac{c_0}{c_2} \right)^{j_1/2} \xi_{j_1}(c_4, c_3) \mathfrak{p}_{i_2}(j_1; c_3, c_4, c_0; N - j_2). \quad (\text{C.27})$$

This is easily checked; using the involution relation (2.10), one gets

$$P_{AB} P_{BA} = P_{BC} P_{CB} = P_{CD} P_{DC} = P_{DE} P_{ED} = P_{EA} P_{AE} = \mathbf{1}. \quad (\text{C.28})$$

We claim that these matrices provide the change of basis between the A, B, C, D, E representations as written in (C.17).

First examine the change of basis between the A and B bases.

For  $I = 123$ , look at the change of basis equation (C.17)  $A_{123} P_{AB} = P_{AB} B_{123}$ . The entries in the l.h.s. and r.h.s. are identical so the equation is satisfied.

For  $I = 12$ , again look at the components of the equation  $A_{123} P_{AB} = P_{AB} B_{123}$ . Equating the  $(n, k); (k, x)$  component of the l.h.s. and r.h.s. leads to the difference equation (2.15) with  $c_1 \leftrightarrow c_3$  and  $N \mapsto N - k$  (for  $0 \leq n, x \leq N - k$ ) hence this equation holds.

Similarly, for  $I = 23$ , rewrite the change of basis equation (C.17) as  $B_{23} P_{BA} = P_{BA} A_{23}$ . Equating the  $(k, n); (x, k)$  component of the l.h.s. and r.h.s. leads to the difference equation (2.15) with  $N \mapsto N - k$  (for  $0 \leq n, x \leq N - k$ ) hence this equation also holds.

For  $I = 34$ , rewrite the change of basis equation (C.17) as  $A_{34} P_{AB} = P_{AB} B_{34}$ . Upon comparing the  $(n, k); (k, x)$  components of the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. one checks that they are indeed equal by virtue of the difference equation (2.15). Simultaneously, comparing the  $(n, k - \varepsilon); (k, x)$  components of the l.h.s. and the r.h.s. for  $\varepsilon = \pm$ , one confirms that they are equal by virtue of the contiguity difference equations (2.22) involving  $\lambda_\varepsilon$  respectively. Thus, the equation also holds in this case.

Finally, an analysis along the same lines as what was done for  $I = 34$  can be repeated for  $I = 234$ . Rewriting the change of basis equation (C.17) as  $B_{234} P_{BA} = P_{BA} A_{234}$ , one finds that this equation also holds.

With this, we have proven the validity of the change of basis between the A and B bases. The remaining changes of basis follow immediately by the  $\mathbb{Z}_5$  symmetry.  $\square$

Again let us remark that these changes of basis are the key ingredient in the proof of the Biedenharn–Elliot relation for  $q$ -Racah polynomials (4.6).

## References

- [1] R. Askey and J. Wilson, *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 54 (1985) 319.
- [2] E. Bannai and T. Ito, *Algebraic combinatorics I*, Benjamin-Cummings, Menlo Park, 1984.
- [3] E. Bannai, H. Kurihara, D. Zhao and Y. Zhu, *Multivariate P- and/or Q-polynomial association schemes*, arXiv:2305.00707.
- [4] P. Baseilhac and X. Martin, *A bispectral  $q$ -hypergeometric basis for a class of quantum integrable models*, J. Math. Phys. 59 (2018) 011704 and arXiv:1506.06902.
- [5] G. Bergeron, E. Koelink and L. Vinet,  *$SU_q(3)$  corepresentations and bivariate  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. 60 (2019) 051701 and arXiv:1811.02065.
- [6] P.-A. Bernard, N. Crampe, L. Poulain d’Andecy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Bivariate P-polynomial association schemes*, Algebraic Combinatorics 7 (2024) 361-382 and arXiv:2212.10824.
- [7] P.-A. Bernard, N. Crampe, L. Vinet, M. Zaimi and X. Zhang,  *$m$ -distance-regular graphs and their relation to multivariate P-polynomial association schemes*, arXiv:2309.16016.
- [8] L.C. Biedenharn, *An Identity Satisfied by Racah Coefficients*, J. Math. Phys. 31 (1953) 287.
- [9] H. Chen, *On skein algebras of planar surfaces*, arXiv:2206.07856.
- [10] J. Cooke and A. Lacabanne, *Higher Rank Askey–Wilson Algebras as Skein Algebras*, arXiv:2205.04414.
- [11] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, L. Poulain d’Andecy, E. Ragoucy and L. Vinet, *The Askey–Wilson algebra and its avatars*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 54 (2021) 063001 and arXiv:2009.14815.
- [12] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, E. Ragoucy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi,  *$\lambda$ -Griffiths polynomials: Bispectrality and biorthogonality*, arXiv:2311.03256.
- [13] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, J. Gaboriaud, E. Ragoucy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Griffiths polynomials of Racah type*, arXiv:2403.12148.



- [14] N. Crampe, L. Frappat, L. Poulain d'Andecy and E. Ragoucy, *The higher-rank Askey–Wilson algebra and its braid group automorphisms*, SIGMA 19 (2023) 077 and [arXiv:2303.17677](#).
- [15] N. Crampe, L. Frappat and E. Ragoucy, *Representations of the rank two Racah algebra and orthogonal multivariate polynomials*, Linear Algebra and its Applications 664 (2023) 165–215 and [arXiv:2206.01031](#).
- [16] N. Crampe, L. Poulain d'Andecy, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Askey–Wilson braid algebra and centralizer of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Annales Henri Poincaré 24 (2023) 1897–1922 and [arXiv:2206.11150](#).
- [17] N. Crampe, W. van de Vijver and L. Vinet, *Racah problems for the oscillator algebra, the Lie algebra  $sl(n)$ , and multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials*, Annales Henri Poincaré 21 (2020) 3939–3971 and [arXiv:1909.12643](#).
- [18] N. Crampe, L. Vinet and M. Zaimi, *Temperley–Lieb, Birman–Murakami–Wenzl and Askey–Wilson algebras and other centralizers of  $U_q(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$* , Annales Henri Poincaré 22 (2021) 3499 and [arXiv:2008.04905](#).
- [19] N. Crampe and M. Zaimi, *Factorized  $A_2$ -Leonard pair*, [arXiv:2312.08312](#).
- [20] H. De Bie and H. De Clercq, *The  $q$ -Bannai–Ito algebra and multivariate  $(-q)$ -Racah and Bannai–Ito polynomials*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. 103 (2021) 71–126 and [arXiv:1902.07883](#).
- [21] H. De Bie, H. De Clercq and W. Van De Vijver, *The higher rank  $q$ -deformed Bannai–Ito and Askey–Wilson algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 374 (2020) 277–316 and [arXiv:1805.06642](#).
- [22] H. De Clercq, *Higher Rank Relations for the Askey–Wilson and  $q$ -Bannai–Ito Algebra*, SIGMA 15 (2019) 099 and [arXiv:1908.11654](#).
- [23] P. Diaconis and R. Griffiths, *An introduction to multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials and their applications*, Journal Statistical Planning and Inference 154 (2014) 39–53 and [arXiv:1309.0112](#).
- [24] J.J. Duistermaat and F.A. Grünbaum, *Differential equations in the spectral parameter*, Comm. Math. Phys. 103 (1986) 177–240.
- [25] C. Dunkl and Y. Xu, *Orthogonal polynomials of several variables*, Encyclopedia of Math. and its applications, vol. 81, Cambridge Univ. press, 2001.
- [26] J. P. Elliott, *Theoretical studies in nuclear structure V. The matrix elements of non-central forces with an application to the  $2p$ -shell*, Proc. Roy. Soc. A 218 (1953) 345.
- [27] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Basic hypergeometric series*, Encyclopedia of Math. and its Appl., vol. 35, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [28] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, *Some systems of multivariable orthogonal Askey-Wilson polynomials* in: Theory and applications of special functions, pp. 209–219, Dev. Math. 13, Springer, New York, 2005.
- [29] V.X. Genest, P. Iliev and L. Vinet, *Coupling coefficients of  $su_q(1,1)$  and multivariate  $q$ -Racah polynomials* Nuclear Phys. B 927 (2018) 97–123 and [arXiv:1702.04626](#).
- [30] V.X. Genest, S. Post and L. Vinet, *An algebraic interpretation of the multivariate  $q$ -Krawtchouk polynomials*, Ramanujan Journal 43 (2017) 415–445 and [arXiv:1508.07770](#).
- [31] V.X. Genest, L. Vinet and A. Zhedanov, *The multivariate Krawtchouk polynomials as matrix elements of the rotation group representations on oscillator states*, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 46 (2013) 505203 and [arXiv:1306.4256](#).

- [32] J. Geronimo and P. Iliev, *Bispectrality of multivariable Racah-Wilson polynomials*, Constr. Approx. 31 (2010) 417–457 and [arXiv:0705.1469](#).
- [33] Ya. A. Granovskii and A. S. Zhedanov, *Nature of the symmetry group of the 6j-symbol*, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. 94 (1988) 49–54.
- [34] Y. I. Granovskii and A. S. Zhedanov, *Hidden Symmetry of the Racah and Clebsch-Gordan Problems for the Quantum Algebra  $sl_q(2)$* , Journal of Group Theoretical Methods in Physics 1 (1993) 161–171 and [arXiv:hep-th/9304138](#).
- [35] R. Griffiths, *Orthogonal polynomials on the multinomial distribution*, Austral. J. Statist. 13 (1971) 27–35; Corrigenda Austral. J. Statist. 14 (1972) 270.
- [36] W. Groenevelt, *A quantum algebra approach to multivariate Askey–Wilson polynomials*, International Mathematics Research Notices 2021 (2021) 3224–3266 and [arXiv:1809.04327](#).
- [37] W. Groenevelt and C. Wagenaar, *An Askey–Wilson algebra of rank 2*, SIGMA 19 (2023) 008 and [arXiv:2206.03986](#).
- [38] M.R. Hoare and M. Rahman, *A probabilistic origin for a new class of bivariate polynomials*, SIGMA 4 (2008) 89 and [arXiv:0812.3879](#).
- [39] H.-W. Huang, *Finite-dimensional irreducible modules of the universal Askey–Wilson algebra*, Comm. Math. Phys. 340 (2015) 959–984 and [arXiv:1210.1740](#).
- [40] P. Iliev, *Bispectral commuting difference operators for multivariable Askey–Wilson polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011) 1577–1598 and [arXiv:0801.4939](#).
- [41] P. Iliev, *A Lie theoretic interpretation of multivariate hypergeometric*, Compositio Math. 148 (2012) 991–1002 and [arXiv:1101.1683](#).
- [42] P. Iliev and P. Terwilliger, *The Rahman polynomials and the Lie algebras  $sl_3(\mathbb{C})$* , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2012) 4225–4238 and [arXiv:1006.5062](#).
- [43] E.G. Kalnins, J.M. Kress and W. Miller Jr., *Second-order superintegrable systems in con-formally flat spaces. I. Two-dimensional classical structure theory*, J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005) 053509.
- [44] V.K. Khersonskii, A.N. Moskalev and D.A. Varshalovich, *Quantum Theory Of Angular Momentum*, World Scientific (1988).
- [45] A.N. Kirillov and N.Yu. Reshetikhin, *Representations of the algebra  $U_q(sl(2))$ ,  $q$ -orthogonal polynomials and invariants of links*, in: Infinite dimensional Lie algebras and groups (World Sci. Publishing, NJ, 1989) 285–339.
- [46] A.U. Klimyk and N.J. Vilenkin, *Representation of Lie groups and special functions*, Kluwer Academic, 1991-1993, Dordrecht.
- [47] R. Koekoek, P.A. Lesky and R.F. Swarttouw, *Hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials and their  $q$ -analogues*, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Berlin, Heidelberg (2010).
- [48] D.A. Leonard, *Orthogonal polynomials, duality and association schemes*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. 13 (1982) 656–663.
- [49] A. Messiah, *Quantum Mechanics, Volume II*, New York: North Holland Publishing (1981).
- [50] A. Mironov, A. Morozov and A. Sleptsov, *On 6j-symbols for symmetric representations of  $U_q(su_N)$* , Jetp Lett. 106 (2017) 630–636 and [arXiv:1709.02290](#).

- [51] H. Mizukawa and H. Tanaka,  $(n + 1, m + 1)$ -hypergeometric functions associated to character algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004) 2613–2618.
- [52] S. Post, Models of quadratic algebras generated by superintegrable systems in 2D, SIGMA 7 (2011) 036–055 and [arXiv:1104.0734](#).
- [53] S. Post and A. Walter, A higher rank extension of the Askey–Wilson algebra, [arXiv:1705.01860](#).
- [54] A.V. Rosenblyum, Spectral analysis of generators of representations of the group  $U(3)$ , Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 73 (1987) 1352–1356.
- [55] R. Sasaki, Quantum vs Classical Birth and Death Processes; Exactly Solvable Examples, [arXiv:2212.10710](#).
- [56] F. Scarabotti, The tree method for multidimensional  $q$ -Hahn and  $q$ -Racah polynomials, Ramanujan Journal 25 (2011) 57–91 and [arXiv:0912.4357](#).
- [57] M.V. Tratnik, Some multivariable orthogonal polynomials of the Askey tableau-discrete families, J. Math. Phys. 32 (1991) 2337–2342.
- [58] P. Terwilliger, Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other, Linear Algebra Its Appl. 330 (2001) 149–203 and [arXiv:math/0406555](#).
- [59] P. Terwilliger, The universal Askey–Wilson algebra, SIGMA 7 (2011) 069 and [arXiv:1104.2813](#).
- [60] P. Terwilliger and R. Vidunas, Leonard pairs and the Askey–Wilson relations, Journal of Algebra and Its Applications 03 (2004) 411–426 and [arXiv:math/0305356](#).
- [61] J. Van der Jeugt, Coupling coefficients for Lie algebra representations and addition formulas for special functions, J. Math. Phys. 38 (1997) 2728.
- [62] J.F. van Diejen and J.V. Stokman, Multivariable  $q$ -Racah polynomials, Duke Math. J. 91 (1998) 89–136.
- [63] W. Wang and S. Yang, On the higher-rank Askey–Wilson algebras, [arXiv:2407.10404](#).
- [64] J. Wilson, Hypergeometric series recurrence relations and some new orthogonal functions, Ph.D. thesis, University of Wisconsin (1978).
- [65] A. S. Zhedanov, “Hidden symmetry” of Askey–Wilson polynomials, Theoretical and Mathematical Physics 89 (1992) 1146–1157.
- [66] A. Zhedanov,  $9j$ -symbols for the oscillator algebra and the Krawtchouk polynomials in two variables, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 30 (1997) 8337.