

ZARISKI DENSITY OF CRYSTALLINE POINTS ON GSp_{2n} -VALUED LOCAL DEFORMATION RINGS

KENSUKE AOKI

ABSTRACT. We introduce trianguline deformation spaces $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ for a GSp_{2n} -valued residual representation $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k)$, where k is a finite field of characteristic $p > 0$ and \mathcal{G}_K is the absolute Galois group of a finite extension K/\mathbb{Q}_p , and study their properties. We show Zariski density of the crystalline points on the rigid generic fiber $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ of the framed deformation space of $\bar{\rho}$ under some conditions.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Notation	3
3. (φ, Γ) -modules over Robba rings	4
4. (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures	6
5. Trianguline deformation spaces for GSp_{2n}	11
6. Triangulations and refinements	12
7. Explicit calculation of the tangent spaces of deformation functors	16
8. Locally irreducibility and accumulation property	25
9. Proof of the main theorem	30
References	33

1. INTRODUCTION

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p whose Galois group is \mathcal{G}_K , L be a finite extension of K such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, L) = [K : \mathbb{Q}_p]$ and k_L be the residue field of L .

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 9.3). *Assume that a fixed representation $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $H^0(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) \cong k_L$
- (2) $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ is non-empty,
- (3) $H^0(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \omega) = 0$,
- (4) $\zeta_p \notin K^*$, or $p \nmid 2n$.

Then the Zariski closure $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ of the subset of the regular crystalline points in the rigid analytic space $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ associated to the universal framed deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ of $\bar{\rho}$ is the total space $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$.

For a fixed representation $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(k_L)$, Emerton-Gee [19] has shown the existence of a crystalline lift $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m(L)$ for general $\bar{\rho}$.

On the other hand, Zariski density of crystalline point on the rigid analytic space $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} = \mathrm{Sp}\left(\mathcal{R}_{\bar{\rho}}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right]\right)$ that is the rigid generic fiber of the framed deformation space of $\bar{\rho}$ has been considered. This problem was solved by Chenevier [15], Nakamura [33], Iyenger [24] and Böckle-Iyenger-Paškūnas [13] in the general case.

1.1. Outline of the proof. Fix $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ from here. Theorem 1.1 is an analog in the case of the universal framed deformation rings for GSp_{2n} -valued representations $\bar{\rho}$ studied by Balaji [1] in the case of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$.

As a weaker form of Theorem 1.1, we will show the following proposition in advance:

Proposition 1.2 (Proposition 9.2). *The Zariski closure $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ is a union of irreducible components of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$.*

In [33], Nakamura has constructed the local eigenvarieties for calculating dimension of a smooth point of $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ in each irreducible component $\mathfrak{Z} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ and proved the assertion analogous to the case of GL_m . However, we can use the method of [11] showing the accumulation property around the benign points on the trianguline deformation spaces instead. We also need to show the dimension is the same as that of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$. We introduce (φ, Γ) -modules with GSp_{2n} -structures over Robba rings \mathcal{R}_L and construct trianguline deformation spaces $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ for GSp_{2n} and morphisms $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$. The correspondence of triangulations of D and refinements $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ for crystalline (φ, Γ) -modules D is generalized as follows:

Proposition 1.3 (Proposition 6.1). *Let D be a crystalline frameable (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure over \mathcal{R}_L . Assume the Frobenius action on $r_*(D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D))$ has m distinct eigenvalues in $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$. Then there is a bijection from the set of triangulations of D to that of refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$. Moreover, if there is a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$, there exists exactly $\#W(G, T)$ refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$.*

By Proposition 1.3 and the accumulation property of $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$, replacing L by its finite extension, we may take a unique irreducible component \mathfrak{Y}_w in $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ which maps in \mathfrak{Z} for each element $w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ of the Weyl group of GSp_{2n} . Let \mathcal{P}_w be the triangulation of D associated to w and X_D , (resp. X_{D, \mathcal{P}_w}) be the (trianguline) deformation functor with the tangent space TX_D (resp. TX_{D, \mathcal{P}_w}). Then the maximality of the dimension of each \mathfrak{Z} is reduced to prove the following surjectivity:

Corollary 1.4 (Corollary 7.13). *Let D be a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure over \mathcal{R}_L such that \tilde{D} is φ -generic with regular Hodge-Tate type and assume that there exists a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$. Then the map*

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} TX_{D, \mathcal{P}_w} \rightarrow TX_D$$

is surjective.

In the GL_m case, Corollary 1.4 is solved by Chenevier [16, Theorem 3.19] for $K = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and noncritical D , Nakamura [32, Theorem 2.62] for general K and noncritical D and Hellmann-Margerin-Schraen [22, Theorem 3.11] for general conditions. In [16] and [32], the problem is shown by studying the subspace of crystalline deformations and induction on m . In [22], it is shown by studying the deformation

functors of trianguline almost de Rham $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -representations and their local models constructed in [11]. However, it seems that the direct generalizations of these methods do not work in the case of GSp_{2n} . We reprove the GL_m -analog [22, Theorem 3.11] and show Corollary 1.4 explicitly calculating a basis corresponds to each $w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ in section 7.

Acknowledgement. The author thanks his advisor Tetsushi Ito. He also thanks Kentaro Nakamura for valuable comments on the draft of the paper.

2. NOTATION

For a group G and a subset $S \subset G$, we write $N_G(S)$ for the normalizer of S in G and $C_G(S)$ for the centralizer of S in G .

Let K be a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p and K_0 be the maximal unramified subextension of \mathbb{Q}_p in K . We write ζ_m for a m -th roots of unity. Let $K_n := K(\zeta_{p^n})$ and $K_\infty := \bigcup_n K_n$. Let k be the residue field of K , k' be the residue field K_∞ and $K'_0 := W(k')$. We write $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$. Let L be a sufficiently large finite extension of K such that K splits in L and k_L be the residue field of L and $\Sigma := \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, L)$. Let \mathcal{G}_K be the absolute Galois group of K . Let $\epsilon: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^*$ be the p -adic cyclotomic character. We fix a uniformizer $\varpi_K \in \mathcal{O}_K$ and normalize the reciprocity isomorphism $\mathrm{rec}_K: K^* \rightarrow W_K^{\mathrm{ab}}$ of local class field theory such that ϖ_K is mapped to the geometric Frobenius automorphism. Let B_m, U_m, T_m be respectively the standard upper Borel subgroup, its unipotent radical and the split maximal torus of GL_m for $m \geq 1$.

If there is no description, G is assumed to be a connected algebraic group over K . If G is a split algebraic group and T is a split maximal torus of G , let $W(G, T) := N_G(T)/C_G(T)$ be the Weyl group.

If G is a group scheme over a ring A , let $\mathrm{Rep}_A(G)$ denote the full subcategory of the category of G_A -modules whose objects are finite free A -modules (cf. [25, §I.2.7]). We write $I_m = \mathrm{diag}(1, \dots, 1)$ for the identity matrix of size m . Let \mathfrak{S}_m be the symmetric group of degree m for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$. We have the natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{S}_m \cong W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)$. For an $(m \times m)$ -matrix $(a_{ij})_{i,j} \in M_m(A)$, we write ${}^t(a_{ij})_{i,j}$ for the transpose of $(a_{ij})_{i,j}$. For a scheme or a rigid space X and its point $x \in X$, let $k(x)$ be the residue field of x . We define the sign of Hodge-Tate weights of de Rham representations such that the Hodge-Tate weight of the p -adic cyclotomic character is $+1$.

Let \mathcal{C}_L (resp. $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$) be the category of local artinian L -algebra (resp. local artinian \mathcal{O}_L -algebra) with residue field isomorphic to L (resp. k_L), and for an A in $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C}_L)$ (resp. $\mathrm{ob}(\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L})$) let \mathfrak{m}_A denote its maximal ideal and $\phi_A: A \rightarrow L$ (resp. $\phi_A: A \rightarrow k_L$) denote the natural reduction map. If A is an element of \mathcal{C}_L or $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$, we write \mathfrak{m}_A for the maximal ideal of A . For a functor $F: \mathcal{C}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$, let TF denote the tangent space $\ker(F(L[\epsilon]) \rightarrow F(L))$ of F .

For a scheme or a rigid space X , we write $\mathrm{Bun}(X)$ for the category of locally free sheaves on the structure sheaf \mathcal{O}_X . For a sheaf of rings \mathcal{A}_X over \mathcal{O}_X , we define $\mathrm{Bun}(\mathcal{A}_X)$ as the category of locally free \mathcal{A}_X -modules. For a commutative ring R , let $R[\epsilon]$ be the R -algebra naturally isomorphic to $R[T]/(T^2)$ via $\epsilon \mapsto T$. We write $M[\epsilon] := M \otimes_R R[\epsilon]$ for the $R[\epsilon]$ -module base changed from M by the inclusion $R \rightarrow R[\epsilon]$. For a scheme X over a commutative ring R , let $\mathrm{Lie}_R(X) :=$

$\ker(X(R[\epsilon]) \rightarrow X(R))$ be the Lie module over R . Throughout the paper, a ‘‘torsor’’ means a torsor in the étale topology over a scheme or a rigid space.

Let GSp_{2n} be the split reductive group over \mathbb{Z} defined by

$$\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(R) = \{g \in \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(R) \mid {}^t g J g = \mathrm{sim}(g) J \text{ for some } \mathrm{sim}(g) \in R^*\}$$

for any commutative ring R , where J is defined by $\mathbf{x} = (x_{ij})_{i,j}$ in GL_{2n} such that $x_{ij} = (-1)^{\mathrm{sgn}(j-i)}$ if $i + j = 2n + 1$ and otherwise $x_{ij} = 0$. There is the natural faithful algebraic representation $r_{\mathrm{std}}: \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ of the group scheme over \mathbb{Z} by the definition. We define the standard Borel subgroup as $B = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \cap B_{2n}$ and the maximal split torus $T = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \cap T_{2n}$ of GSp_{2n} , embedding GSp_{2n} into GL_{2n} by r_{std} . We fix the isomorphism $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1}$ such that $(t_1, \dots, t_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{G}_m^{n+1} \cong T$ is sent to the element

$$(t_1, \dots, t_n, t_n^{-1} t_{n+1}, \dots, t_1^{-1} t_{n+1}) \in T_{2n}$$

by the embedding $T \rightarrow T_{2n}$.

3. (φ, Γ) -MODULES OVER ROBBA RINGS

We introduce the *sheaf of relative Robba rings* \mathcal{R}_X for a rigid analytic spaces X over \mathbb{Q}_p . See also [26, §2, §6] and [23, §2]. Let X denote a rigid space over \mathbb{Q}_p . We write \mathbb{U}_L for the unit open disc over a p -adic field L and $\mathbb{U}_{L,r} \subset \mathbb{U}_L$ denotes the admissible open subspace of points of absolute value $\geq r$ for some $r \in p^{\mathbb{Q}} \cap [0, 1)$. For an r as above, we write \mathcal{R}_X^r for the sheaf

$$X \supset U \mapsto \Gamma(U \times \mathbb{U}_{r, K'_0}, \mathcal{O}_{U \times \mathbb{U}_{r, K'_0}}).$$

and we write \mathcal{R}_X for the sheaf $\bigcup_{r>0} \mathcal{R}_X^r$ of functions converging on the product $X \times \mathbb{U}_{K'_0}$ as in [21, §2.2]. This sheaf of rings is endowed with a continuous \mathcal{O}_X -linear ring homomorphism $\varphi: \mathcal{R}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_X$ and a continuous \mathcal{O}_X -linear action of the group Γ .

If $X = \mathrm{Sp}(A)$ for an affinoid algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p , we write $\mathcal{R}_A := \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Sp}(A)}, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathrm{Sp}(A)}})$ for the Robba ring with coefficient A . Note that $\mathcal{R}_A = \mathcal{R} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$. The ring \mathcal{R}_L is not noetherian, but a Bézout domain. Let $M \subset \mathcal{R}_L^n$ be a \mathcal{R}_L -submodule, the module

$$M^{\mathrm{sat}} := \{m \in \mathcal{R}_L^n \mid \exists f \in \mathcal{R}_L \setminus \{0\}, fm \in M\} = (M \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L} \mathrm{Frac}(\mathcal{R}_L)) \cap \mathcal{R}_L^n$$

is called the *saturation* of M and we say that M is *saturated* if $M^{\mathrm{sat}} = M$, or which is the same if \mathcal{R}_L^n/M is torsion free (cf. [6, §2.2.3]).

Let X be a scheme or a rigid space over \mathbb{Q}_p . A K -filtered φ -module over X or a *filtered φ -module over X* if the p -adic field K is obvious is a coherent $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0$ -module \mathcal{F} that is locally on X finite free together with an $\mathrm{id} \otimes \varphi$ -linear automorphism $\Phi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ and a filtration on $\mathcal{F}_K := \mathcal{F} \otimes_{K_0} K$ by $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ -submodules that are locally on X direct summands as \mathcal{O}_X -modules.

A (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_X consists of an \mathcal{R}_X -module D that is locally on X finite free over \mathcal{R}_X together with a φ -linear isomorphism $\phi: D \rightarrow D$ and a semi-linear Γ -action commuting with ϕ . We write $\Phi F_K(X)$ (resp. $\Phi F_{K,m}(X)$) for the category of K -filtered φ -modules (resp. K -filtered φ -modules of rank m) over X . We also write $\Phi \Gamma(\mathcal{R}_X)$ (resp. $\Phi \Gamma_m(\mathcal{R}_X)$) for the category of (φ, Γ) -modules (resp. (φ, Γ) -modules of rank m) over \mathcal{R}_X .

Let X be a rigid space defined over L . We associates a K -filtered φ -module \mathcal{F} over X of rank 1 with a (φ, Γ) -module $\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F})$ over X as follows. First we assume

X is an affinoid $\mathrm{Sp}(A)$. By [26, Lemma 6.2.3], there exists a uniquely determined

$$(a, (k_\tau)_\tau) \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*) \times \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$$

such that

$$\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{F}(a; (k_\tau)_\tau) := \mathcal{F}_a \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{F}((k_\tau)_\tau)$$

such that \mathcal{F}_a is a unique free rank one $\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0$ -module on which $\Phi^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$ act via the multiplication with $a \otimes 1$, the graded module of $\mathcal{F}_{a,K}$ is concentrated on degree 0, the underlying φ -module structure of $\mathcal{F}((k_\tau)_\tau)$ is trivial, and

$$(\mathrm{gr}^i(\mathcal{F}((k_\tau)_\tau))) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K, \mathrm{id} \otimes \tau} \mathcal{O}_X \cong \begin{cases} 0 & (i \neq -k_\tau) \\ \mathcal{O}_X & (i = -k_\tau) \end{cases}$$

for all $\tau \in \Sigma = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(K, L)$. If $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_a$ for some $a \in \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$, we define

$$\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}_a) := \mathcal{F}_a \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0} \mathcal{R}_X$$

where φ acts diagonally and Γ acts on the second factor. Let $\delta: K^* \rightarrow (\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X))^*$ be a continuous character. We can write $\delta = \delta_1 \delta_2$ such that $\delta_1|_{\mathcal{O}_K^*} = 1$ and δ_2 extends to a character of \mathcal{G}_K . Then we set

$$\mathcal{R}_X(\delta) := \mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}_{\delta_1(\varpi_K)}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{rig}}(\delta_2).$$

The construction of $\mathcal{R}_X(\delta)$ is well-defined and the multiplications of characters $\delta: K^* \rightarrow \Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ correspond to tensor products of (φ, Γ) -modules.

For an embedding $\tau \in \Sigma$, we also write $\tau: K^* \rightarrow L^*$ for the restriction of τ to K^* . It follows that $\mathcal{R}_L(\tau)$ is a (φ, Γ) -submodule of the trivial one \mathcal{R}_L by [26, Example 6.2.6, (2)]. Since \mathcal{R}_L is a Bézout domain, there exists an element $t_\tau \in \mathcal{R}_L$ generating the submodule $\mathcal{R}_L(\tau)$. Using the notations, we write

$$\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}((k_\tau)_\tau)) := \left(\prod_{\tau \in \Sigma} t_\tau^{k_\tau} \right) \cdot \mathcal{R}_X \subset \mathcal{R}_X \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$$

with actions of φ and Γ are inherited from $\mathcal{R}_X \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$. Finally we define

$$\mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}) := \mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}_a) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_X} \mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F}((k_\tau)_\tau)).$$

For a general X , the constructions $\delta \mapsto \mathcal{R}_X(\delta)$ and $\mathcal{F} \mapsto \mathcal{R}_X(\mathcal{F})$ are valid by gluing locally on X . In the case $X = \mathrm{Sp}(L)$, we note that the construction is compatible with the $\delta \mapsto \mathcal{R}_X(\delta)$ via the functors D_{crys} and V_{crys} of Fontaine.

The functor $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(\mathcal{O}_K^*, \mathbb{G}_m(-))$ from the category of rigid spaces over \mathbb{Q}_p to the category of abelian groups is representable by the rigid generic fiber of $\mathrm{Spf}(\mathbb{Z}_p[[\mathcal{O}_K^*]])$. Let \mathcal{W} denote the rigid space and it is called the *weight space* of K . We define \mathcal{T} as the functor that associate a rigid space X with the abelian group $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathrm{cont}}(K^*, \mathbb{G}_m(X))$. It is also representable by a rigid space and write \mathcal{T} for it. There is a natural restriction $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ and \mathcal{T} is identified with $\mathbb{G}_m \times \mathcal{W}$ by $\delta \rightarrow (\delta(\varpi_K), \delta|_{\mathcal{O}_K^*})$. Note that the set of isomorphism classes of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_L is naturally bijective to $\mathcal{T}(L)$ by [26, Theorem 6.2.14, (i)].

We define a Herr complex $C^\bullet(D)$ as

$$D^\Delta \xrightarrow{(\varphi^{-1}, \gamma'^{-1})} D^\Delta \oplus D^\Delta \xrightarrow{(1-\gamma') \oplus (\varphi^{-1})} D^\Delta$$

where γ' is a topological generator of Γ/Δ and write $H^i(D)$ for i -th cohomology of the complex $C^\bullet(D)$ for $i \in [0, 2]$. We also write ϵ for the composition

$\epsilon \circ \text{rec}_K: K^* \rightarrow L^*$ of the p -adic cyclotomic character and rec_K , which is the same as $\delta(1, \dots, 1)|\delta(1, \dots, 1)|$.

Lemma 3.1. *For a $\delta \in \mathcal{T}(L)$, then*

- (1) $H^0(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta = \delta((-k_\tau)_\tau)$ for some $(k_\tau)_\tau \in \prod_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow L} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (2) $H^2(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\delta = \epsilon \cdot \delta((k_\tau)_\tau)$ for some $(k_\tau)_\tau \in \prod_{\tau: K \hookrightarrow L} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
- (3) $\dim_L(H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta))) = [K: \mathbb{Q}_p]$ if and only if $\delta \neq \delta((-k_\tau)_\tau)$ and $\delta \neq \epsilon \cdot \delta((k_\tau)_\tau)$ for all $(k_\tau)_\tau \in \prod_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. See [23, Lemma 2.1] (cf. also [17, Proposition 3.1], [31, Proposition 2.14] and [26, Proposition 6.2.8]). \square

Corollary 3.2. *Let $\delta: K^* \rightarrow L^*$ be a continuous character. Then a non-zero (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\mathcal{R}_L(\delta)$ is of the form $(\prod_{\tau \in \Sigma} t_\tau^{k_\tau}) \mathcal{R}_L(\delta)$ where $k_\tau \geq 0$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.1. \square

We write $\mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}} \subset \mathcal{T}$ for the set of *regular characters*, which is the set of $\delta \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\delta \neq \delta((-k_\tau)_\tau)$ and $\delta \neq \epsilon \cdot \delta((k_\tau)_\tau)$ for all $(k_\tau)_\tau \in \prod_{\tau} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

For an integer $d > 0$, let $\mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}}^d \subset \mathcal{T}^d$ denote the set of *regular parameters* $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) \in \mathcal{T}^d$ such that $\delta_i/\delta_j \in \mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}}$ for $i < j$. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}}^d \neq (\mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}})^d$.

A character $\delta \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ is *algebraic of weight $(k_\tau)_\tau$* if $\delta = \delta((k_\tau)_\tau)$ for $(k_\tau)_\tau \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$. We say that $\delta \in \mathcal{T}(A)$ is *locally algebraic of weight $(k_\tau)_\tau$* if $\delta \otimes \delta((-k_\tau)_\tau)$ becomes trivial after restricting to some open subgroup of \mathcal{O}_K^* .

A point $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) \in \mathcal{T}^d(A)$ is *algebraic* (resp. *locally algebraic*) if the i -th factor δ_i of $\underline{\delta}$ is algebraic (resp. locally algebraic) for $i \in [1, d]$. The (locally) algebraicity of elements of $\mathcal{W}^d(A)$ is similarly defined.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) \in (\mathcal{T}_{\text{reg}})^d(X)$ for a rigid space X over L . If D is a successive extension of the $\mathcal{R}_X(\delta_i)$, then $H^1(D)$ is a locally free \mathcal{O}_X -module of rank $d[K: \mathbb{Q}_p]$.*

Proof. See [23, Proposition 2.3]. \square

4. (φ, Γ) -MODULES WITH G -STRUCTURES

Let A be an affinoid algebra over \mathbb{Q}_p and \mathcal{R}_A be the Robba ring with coefficient A . We introduce frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures over \mathcal{R}_A defined in [29, §9.2]. We fix a faithful algebraic embedding $G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ over K and choose a trivialization $b_0: V \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus m}$. Write $V_{(-)}$ for $V \otimes_K (-)$. Let T^{b_0} be the trivial G -torsor over \mathcal{R}_A defined by

$$R \mapsto \{\text{trivializations } b: V_R \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{\oplus m} \mid b = g \circ b_0 \text{ for some } g \in G(\mathcal{R}_A)\}. \quad (4.1)$$

Write $\varphi^* V_{\mathcal{R}_A}$ for $\mathcal{R}_A \otimes_{\varphi, \mathcal{R}_A} V_{\mathcal{R}_A}$, where φ acts on the first factor, and $\varphi^* b_0: \varphi^* V_{\mathcal{R}_A} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m}$ for the trivialization which sends $1 \otimes b_0^{-1}(e_i)$ to e_i , where $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ is the standard basis for $\mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus m}$. Similarly as above, write $T^{\varphi^* b_0}$ for trivial G -torsor over \mathcal{R}_A defined by

$$R \mapsto \{\text{trivializations } b: \varphi^* V_R \xrightarrow{\cong} R^{\oplus m} \mid b = g \circ \varphi^* b_0 \text{ for some } g \in G(\mathcal{R}_A)\}. \quad (4.2)$$

The cases of $T^{\gamma^* b_0}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ are the same as above. Let $f: V_{\mathcal{R}_A} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{R}_A}$ be a φ -semilinear morphism, Under the condition of $b_0(\varphi^* f) \in T^{\varphi^* b_0}$, the association

$b \mapsto b(\varphi^* f)$ defines a morphism $T^{b_0} \rightarrow T^{\varphi^* b_0}$ of G -torsor. Let f also denote the last G -torsor morphism and f_{b_0} denote the morphism $G_{\mathcal{R}_A} \rightarrow G_{\mathcal{R}_A}$ of trivial G -torsors induced by f and the fixed trivializations $b_0, \varphi^* b_0$. Let I_m be the matrix $(e_1 | e_2 | \cdots | e_m) \in \mathrm{GL}(\mathbb{G}_a^m)$ and we put $[f]_{b_0} := f_{b_0}(I_m)$. Then we have the following lemma.

Lemma 4.1 ([29, Lemma 9.2.1, Lemma 9.2.2]). *For a φ -semilinear map $f: V_{\mathcal{R}_A} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{R}_A}$, a γ -semilinear map $g: V_{\mathcal{R}_A} \rightarrow V_{\mathcal{R}_A}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and $h \in G(\mathcal{R}_A)$,*

$$\begin{aligned} [f \circ g]_{b_0} &= [f]_{b_0} \varphi([g]_{b_0}), \\ [g \circ f]_{b_0} &= [g]_{b_0} \gamma([f]_{b_0}), \\ [f]_{h \circ b_0} &= h [f]_{b_0} \varphi(h)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let G be an algebraic group over K .

Definition 4.2. We define *framed (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures* over \mathcal{R}_A as data $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ of coordinate matrices of $\mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})$ such that $[\phi] \varphi([\gamma]) = [\gamma] \gamma([\phi])$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ and

$$\Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m}), \quad \gamma \mapsto [\gamma]$$

are continuous homomorphism. A G -torsor with semilinear (φ, Γ) -structure which is isomorphic to a framed (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures is called *frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures*.

By the associations (4.1), (4.2) and their subsequent argument, it is also possible that framed (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures are constructed as geometric torsors naturally included in (φ, Γ) -modules with $\mathrm{GL}(V)$ -structures. [29, Lemma 9.2.3] shows that the two pairs $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ and $([\phi]', [\gamma]' \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ are equivalent (as frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures) if and only if there exists $h \in G(\mathcal{R}_A)$ such that $[\phi] = h[\phi]' \varphi(h)^{-1}$ and $[\gamma] = h[\gamma]' \gamma(h)^{-1}$ for any $\gamma \in \Gamma$. Let $\Phi\Gamma_G(\mathcal{R}_A)$ denote the category of frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures over \mathcal{R}_A .

We will associate representations $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ with framed (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_A .

Lemma 4.3. *There is an equivalence of groupoids*

$$\{\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ (\rho', \chi, \alpha) \mid \begin{array}{l} \rho': \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}(A), \chi: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1(A), \\ \alpha: \rho' \cong (\rho')^\vee \otimes \chi, (\alpha^\vee \otimes \chi)^{-1} \circ \alpha = -1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Proof. See [27, Lemma 4.1.2]. □

Similarly, we obtain the equivalence for framed (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures. Let $\Phi\Gamma_m(\mathcal{R}_A)$ denote the category of classical finite projective (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_A which have rank m .

Lemma 4.4. *There is an equivalence of groupoids*

$$\Phi\Gamma_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}(\mathcal{R}_A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \left\{ (\tilde{D}, \delta, \alpha) \mid \begin{array}{l} \tilde{D} \in \mathrm{ob}(\Phi\Gamma_{2n}(\mathcal{R}_A)), \delta \in \mathrm{ob}(\Phi\Gamma_1(\mathcal{R}_A)), \\ \alpha: \tilde{D} \cong (\tilde{D})^\vee \otimes \delta, (\alpha^\vee \otimes \delta)^{-1} \circ \alpha = -1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Then we construct a symplectic version of the functor D_{rig} (cf. [26, Theorem 2.2.17]) as the composition of the following functors:

$$(\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)) \mapsto (\rho', \chi, \alpha) \xrightarrow{D_{\mathrm{rig}}} (D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho'), D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\chi), D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\alpha)),$$

where the first map is from Lemma 4.3. Since $(D_{\text{rig}}(\rho'), D_{\text{rig}}(\chi), D_{\text{rig}}(\alpha))$ is in the essential image of the functor in Lemma 4.4, it determines functorially the equivalence class of frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures over \mathcal{R}_A . Fix a quasi-inverse of the equivalence in Lemma 4.4 and let $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$ denote the image of $(D_{\text{rig}}(\rho'), D_{\text{rig}}(\chi), D_{\text{rig}}(\alpha))$ by the functor.

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a fully faithful and exact functor*

$$\{\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}_{2n}(A)\} \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma_{\text{GSp}_{2n}}(\mathcal{R}_A) \quad (\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}_{2n}(A)) \mapsto D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$$

from the category of continuous homomorphisms $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \text{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ to the category of frameable (φ, Γ) -modules with G -structures over \mathcal{R}_A . It also commutes with base change in A .

Proof. Existence of a functor follows by the above argument. It follows that the functor is fully faithful, exact and commuting with base changes by [26, Theorem 2.2.17]. \square

Let P be a parabolic subgroup of G . There exists the Levi decomposition $P = U \rtimes L$ where U is the unipotent radical and L is the Levi subgroup. Write $\text{ad}: L \rightarrow \text{GL}(U)$ for the adjoint action. We assume that U is abelian and fix an isomorphism $b: U \cong \mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus m}$. When $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma) \in \Phi\Gamma_P(\mathcal{R})$, write $[\phi] = [\phi_U][\phi_L]$ and $[\gamma] = [\gamma_U][\gamma_L]$ for the factors of Levi decompositions. By abuse of notation, write $\text{ad}_{[-]}$ for the matrix $b \circ \text{ad}_{[-]} \circ b^{-1} \in \text{GL}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus m})$.

Lemma 4.6. *We have*

$$(\text{ad}_{[\phi_L]}, \text{ad}_{[\gamma_L]} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma) \in \text{ob}(\Phi\Gamma_{\text{GL}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus m})}(\mathcal{R}_A)).$$

Proof. It follows $\text{ad}_{[\phi]} \varphi(\text{ad}_{[\gamma]}) = \text{ad}_{[\gamma]} \gamma(\text{ad}_{[\phi]})$ by [29, Lemma 9.4.2]. Since the conjugate actions are continuous homomorphisms, $\text{ad}_{[\gamma]}$ for $\gamma \in \Gamma$ induce a continuous homomorphism $\Gamma \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})$. \square

For a framed (φ, Γ) -module with GL_m -structure $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$, we define the framed Herr complex $C^\bullet(\text{ad}_{[\phi_L]}, \text{ad}_{[\gamma_L]} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ as

$$(\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})^\Delta \xrightarrow{(\varphi - [\phi]^{-1}, \gamma' - [\gamma]^{-1})} (\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})^\Delta \oplus (\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})^\Delta \xrightarrow{(\gamma' - \phi([\gamma]^{-1}), \gamma'([\phi]^{-1}) - \varphi} (\mathcal{R}_A^{\oplus m})^\Delta$$

where γ' is a topological generator in Γ/Δ . This complex is naturally isomorphic to the Herr complex $C^\bullet(D)$ where D is a frameable (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure that corresponds to $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$.

Lemma 4.7. *For a framed (φ, Γ) -module with L -structure $([\phi_L], [\gamma_L] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$, the A -module of the extension classes of $([\phi_L], [\gamma_L] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ to a framed (φ, Γ) -module with P -structure is naturally isomorphic to the module $H^1(C^\bullet(\text{ad}_{[\phi_L]}, \text{ad}_{[\gamma_L]} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma))$.*

Proof. This follows by the argument of [29, Corollary 10.1.6]. \square

We introduce the Tannakian formalism for G -torsors over X .

Lemma 4.8. *For a scheme or a rigid space X over K , the category of G -torsors over X is naturally equivalent to the category of exact tensor functors $\text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(X)$.*

Proof. The case of schemes is [28, Theorem 2.5.2]. For rigid spaces, the proof of [37, Theorem 19.5.2] is applied in the case that $\mathrm{Rep}_K(\mathcal{G}) = \mathrm{Rep}_K(G)$ is defined over K . Since the set of quasi-compact admissible opens of X is naturally bijective to that of quasi-compact opens of the associated adic space X^{ad} , the Lemma follows. \square

Let G be a split reductive group over K and X be a scheme or a rigid space. We define a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_X as a exact tensor functor $\mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_X)$ whose underlying fiber functor $\mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Bun}(\mathcal{R}_X)$ is associated with a geometric étale G -torsor which is étale locally on X trivial by the equivalence of Lemma 4.8. A (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_X can be seen étale locally on X frameable by giving some framing. For the natural immersion $T \subset G$, fix an isomorphism $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^d$. We write \mathcal{T}_G for the parameter space \mathcal{T}^d of (φ, Γ) -modules with \mathbb{G}_m^d -structures. Similarly define \mathcal{W}_G and the restriction $\mathcal{T}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_G$.

We say that an element $\underline{\delta}$ in $\mathcal{T}_G(A)$ or $\mathcal{W}_G(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{C}_L$ is *algebraic* (resp. *locally algebraic*) if the corresponding object in \mathcal{T}^d is algebraic (resp. locally algebraic). Note that for quasi-split reductive groups, the Weyl group scheme $W(G, T)$ is generated by symmetries for each root of (G, T) . Thus, for a closed immersion $(G, B, T) \rightarrow (G, B, T)$ of quasi-split reductive groups, there is a natural inclusion $W(G, T) \hookrightarrow W(G', T')$ of the Weyl groups.

Definition 4.9. We define *embeddings of (G, B, T) of regular type* as faithful algebraic embedding $i: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) i induces a morphism $(G, B, T) \rightarrow (\mathrm{GL}_m, B_m, T_m)$ and $G \cap B_m = B$.
- (2) The inverse image of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m, \mathrm{reg}}$ under the map $\mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m, \mathrm{reg}}$ is Zariski open and Zariski dense in $\mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$.
- (3) For the sets $\Delta(B) := \Delta(G, B, T) = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_l\}$, $\Delta(B_m) := \Delta(\mathrm{GL}_m, B_m, T_m)$ of simple roots of (G, B, T) , $(\mathrm{GL}_m, B_m, T_m)$, there is a partition $\Delta(B_m) = \Delta_1 \sqcup \dots \sqcup \Delta_l$ such that the subgroup $W_{\Delta_i} \subset W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)$ associated with $\Delta_i \subset \Delta(B_m)$ contains the nontrivial symmetry s_i in $W_{\{\alpha_i\}} \subset W(G, T)$.
- (4) There exists a sequence $\emptyset = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_s = \Delta(B)$ of subsets of $\Delta(B)$ such that the unipotent radical \tilde{U}'_{i+1} of $\tilde{L}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{P}_i = \tilde{L}_i(\tilde{L}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{U}_i)$ is abelian for $i \in [0, s-1]$, where \tilde{P}_i is the parabolic subgroup of GL_m associated with the subset $I_i \subset \Delta(B) \subset \Delta(B_m)$ and $\tilde{P}_i = \tilde{U}_i \rtimes \tilde{L}_i$ is the Levi decomposition.

A split reductive group (G, B, T) is called *of regular type* if it has an embedding of regular type.

Example 4.10. Let us consider the case $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$, B is the standard Borel subgroup and T is the maximal split torus. The standard representation $r_{\mathrm{std}}: \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ is regular type. It induces the injection of the Weyl groups $W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T) \hookrightarrow W(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, T_{2n}) \cong \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$. As the subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_{2n} , $W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ is generated by

$$\{(1, 2)(2n-1, 2n), (2, 3)(2n-2, 2n-1), \dots, (n-1, n)(n+1, n+2), (n, n+1)\}.$$

The following lemma is often used in the paper.

Lemma 4.11. *Let R be a commutative ring and G be a reductive group scheme over R . For any R -Levi subgroup of a parabolic R -subgroup of G , the natural map*

$$H^1(R, L) \rightarrow H^1(R, P)$$

is bijective.

Proof. See [34, XXVI, Corollaire 2.3]. \square

Lemma 4.12. *Let P be a parabolic subgroup and $P = U \rtimes L$ be the Levi decomposition. Then any extension of a (φ, Γ) -module with L -structure (forgetting the (φ, Γ) -structure) over \mathcal{R}_X to a P -torsor over X is étale locally on X trivial.*

Proof. The assertion follows by that (φ, Γ) -module with B -structure over \mathcal{R}_X is étale locally on X trivial and Lemma 4.11. \square

Let (G, B, T) be a split reductive group of regular type and fix an embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ of regular type. We write $\mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$ for the inverse image of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m, \mathrm{reg}}$ under the map $\mathcal{T}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}$. We set p_i to be the composition of the fixed isomorphism $T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^d$ and the i -th projection $\mathbb{G}_m^d \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$.

Let D be a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_X . A (φ, Γ) -module with B -structure \mathcal{P} , which is naturally included in D and compatible with the G -structure of D and $\mathcal{P} \times^B T \times^{p_i} \mathbb{G}_m$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{R}_X(\delta_i)$ for some $\delta_i \in \mathcal{T}(X)$ is called the *triangulation (with the parameter $\delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$)* of D . Note that a triangulation of D can be seen as an exact tensor functor $\mathrm{Rep}_K(B) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_X)$ whose composition with the restriction $\mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_K(B)$ is identical to D .

A (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure D which admits such a triangulation \mathcal{P} is said to be *trianguline* (with the parameter $\underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$). When $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the notations are compatible with those of (φ, Γ) -modules of rank m . We consider the functor \mathcal{S}^\square that assigns to a rigid space X over L the isomorphism classes of quadruples $(D, \mathcal{P}, \underline{\delta}, \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d))$, where D is a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_X , \mathcal{P} is a triangulation of D , $\delta \in \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$ and

$$\nu_i: \mathcal{P} \times^B T \times^{p_i} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}_X(\delta_i)$$

is a trivialization.

Proposition 4.13. *For a split reductive group (G, B, T) and a fixed embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ of regular type, the functor \mathcal{S}^\square is representable by a rigid space and the map $\mathcal{S}^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$ is smooth.*

Proof. Since the embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ is of regular type, there is a natural inclusion $\Delta(B) \subset \Delta(B_m)$ of the sets of simple roots and a sequence $\emptyset = I_0 \subset I_1 \subset \dots \subset I_s = \Delta(B)$ such that the unipotent radical \tilde{U}'_{i+1} of $\tilde{L}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{P}_i = \tilde{L}_i(\tilde{L}_{i+1} \cap \tilde{U}_i)$ is abelian for $i \in [0, s-1]$. It also induce the injection of Weyl groups $W(G) \rightarrow W(\mathrm{GL}_m)$. Write P_i for the parabolic subgroup of G associated with the subset $I_i \subset \Delta(B)$. We have $\tilde{P}_i \cap G = P_i$ by the Bruhat decomposition. Thus, the unipotent radical U'_{i+1} of $L_{i+1} \cap P_i = L_i(L_{i+1} \cap U_i)$ is also abelian and we have a natural immersion $U'_{i+1} \hookrightarrow \tilde{U}'_{i+1}$. It follows that the $(i+1)$ successive parabolic extensions of the torus $T \subset B$ are in the Borel subgroup B so that are in $L_{i+1} \cap B$ for each $i \in [0, s-1]$.

For each $i \in [0, s-1]$, we write $\mathcal{S}_{i+1}^\square$ for isomorphism classes of quadruples $(D, \mathcal{P}, \underline{\delta}, \nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_d))$, where D is a $L_{i+1} \cap B$ -torsor over \mathcal{R}_X , \mathcal{P} is a triangulation of D , $\underline{\delta} \in \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$ and

$$\nu_i: \mathcal{P} \times^B T \times^{p_i} \mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{R}_X(\delta_i)$$

is a trivialization. Note that $\mathcal{S}_s^\square = \mathcal{S}^\square$.

We assume that \mathcal{S}_i^\square is representable by a rigid space X_i for some $i \in [1, s-1]$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}'_{i+1}: \widetilde{L}'_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\widetilde{U}'_{i+1})$ (resp. $\mathrm{ad}'_{i+1}: L'_{i+1} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(U'_{i+1})$) be the adjoint map where \widetilde{L}'_{i+1} (resp. L'_{i+1}) is the Levi subgroup of $\widetilde{L}_{i+1} \cap \widetilde{P}_i$ (resp. $L_{i+1} \cap P_i$). We also write \widetilde{D}_{i+1} (resp. D_{i+1}) for the induced (φ, Γ) -module with $\mathrm{GL}(\widetilde{U}'_{i+1})$ -structure (resp. (φ, Γ) -module with $\mathrm{GL}(U'_{i+1})$ -structure) over \mathcal{R}_{X_i} from the universal (φ, Γ) -module with $L_i \cap P_{i-1}$ -structure Y_i by $\widetilde{\mathrm{ad}}'_{i+1}$ (resp. ad'_{i+1}). As \widetilde{U}'_{i+1} and U'_{i+1} is isomorphic to the direct sum of finite copies of \mathbb{G}_a , we can use the argument of classical (φ, Γ) -modules and their triangulations for \widetilde{D}_{i+1} and D_{i+1} . Let r_i be an integer such that $U'_{i+1} \cong \mathbb{G}_a^{\oplus r_i}$.

The (φ, Γ) -module \widetilde{D}_{i+1} admits a triangulation, which is defined over K , with parameter in $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{reg}})^d$. So considering the intersection of D_{i+1} and the triangulation of \widetilde{D}_{i+1} , D_{i+1} here also admits a triangulation with parameter in $(\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{reg}})^d$. It follows that the extension classes of the universal (φ, Γ) -module with $L_i \cap B$ -structure Y_i to (φ, Γ) -modules with $L_{i+1} \cap B$ -structures are classified by the locally free sheaf $H^1(D_{i+1})$ of rank $r_i[K: \mathbb{Q}_p]$ on X_i by Lemma 3.3 and Lemma 4.12. According to the Tate-duality ([26, Theorem 4.4.5]), we find that also

$$\mathcal{M}_{X_i} := \mathcal{E}xt_{\mathcal{R}_{X_i}}^1(D_{i+1}, \mathcal{R}_{X_i})$$

is also locally free \mathcal{O}_{X_i} -module of rank $r_i[K: \mathbb{Q}_p]$. We may put

$$\mathcal{S}_{i+1}^\square := \underline{\mathrm{Spec}}_{X_i}(\mathrm{Sym}^\bullet \mathcal{M}_{X_i}^\vee),$$

where $\underline{\mathrm{Spec}}$ is the relative spectrum in the sense of [18, 2.2]. It follows that the morphism $\mathcal{S}^\square \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$ is smooth from the construction. \square

5. TRIANGULINE DEFORMATION SPACES FOR GSp_{2n}

We fix a representation $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$. Then there exists the universal framed deformation ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ which pro-represents the functor from $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ to the set of continuous representation $\rho_A: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ such that $\bar{\rho}$ is the composition

$$\mathcal{G}_K \xrightarrow{\rho_A} \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A) \xrightarrow{\phi_A} \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$$

for example by [1, Theorem 1.3.6]. We call the local ring $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ *universal framed deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}$* . We write $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ for the rigid analytic space over L , which is the rigid generic fiber of the universal framed deformation space $\mathrm{Spf}(R_{\bar{\rho}})$ of $\bar{\rho}$. We define $U_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ as the set of points $(x, \delta) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{reg}}$ such that for the representation $\rho_x: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(L')$, which is associated with a point $x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$, its framed (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x)$ is trianguline with the parameter $\delta \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, \mathrm{reg}}$.

Definition 5.1. Let $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ denote the Zariski closure of $U_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}$. We add the structure of the reduced closed analytic subvariety ([8, 9.5.3 Proposition 4]) of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}$ to $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$. We call the rigid space $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ the *trianguline deformation space of $\bar{\rho}$* .

We write ω' for the morphism $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}$, which is the composition of the inclusion $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} \times \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}$ and the second projection. We also write ω for the composition of ω' and the restriction $\mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}$.

- Theorem 5.2.** (1) The rigid space $X_{\text{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is equidimensional of dimension $2n^2 + n + 1 + [K: \mathbb{Q}_p] \frac{(n+1)(n+2)}{2}$.
- (2) The subset $U_{\text{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is Zariski open and Zariski dense in $X_{\text{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$.
- (3) The open subspace $U_{\text{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is smooth over \mathbb{Q}_p and the restriction of ω' to $U_{\text{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is smooth morphism.

Proof. This follows by the same argument of [10, Théorème 2.6]. \square

6. TRIANGULATIONS AND REFINEMENTS

First, we introduce the notation of crystalline (φ, Γ) -modules over Robba rings (cf. [22, §3.3]). There exists a left exact functor D_{crys} from the category of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_L to the category of K -filtered φ -modules over L , which is defined by $D_{\text{crys}}(D) := (D \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])^\Gamma$. We say that a (φ, Γ) -module D over \mathcal{R}_L is *crystalline* if $\dim_{K_0} D_{\text{crys}}(D) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{R}_L} D$. Note that for a crystalline representation $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, the module $D_{\text{rig}}(\rho)$ is crystalline and there is an isomorphism

$$D_{\text{crys}}(\rho) := (B_{\text{crys}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\mathcal{G}_K} \cong D_{\text{crys}}(D_{\text{rig}}(\rho))$$

by [2, Théorème 3.6]. Let D be a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module. There exists a bijection between saturated sub- (φ, Γ) -modules D' and φ -stable sub- $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0$ -modules \mathcal{F} of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ by the same argument of [6, §2.4.2]. The explicit construction of the bijection and its inverse are as follows:

$$D' \mapsto D_{\text{crys}}(D') = (D' \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])^\Gamma, \quad \mathcal{F} \mapsto (\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \cdot \mathcal{F}) \cap D.$$

A *refinement* of a K -filtered φ -module $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ of rank m over L is a filtration \mathcal{F}_\bullet

$$\mathcal{F}_0 = 0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_m = \mathcal{F}$$

of \mathcal{F} such that each F_i , which is free of rank i , is a $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K_0$ -submodule stable under φ . Consequently, there is a bijection

$$\mathcal{P} = (D_i)_{0 \leq i \leq m} \mapsto D_{\text{crys}}(\mathcal{P}) := (D_{\text{crys}}(D_i))_{0 \leq i \leq m}$$

between the set of (classical) triangulations of D and the set of refinements of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ for crystalline D .

Let G be a split reductive group of regular type over K and fix an algebraic embedding $r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_m$ of regular type. We say that a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure $\omega: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_L)$ is *crystalline* if $\omega(V)$ is crystalline for some faithful representation $r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$, equivalently for any faithful representation $r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$ by [28, Theorem C.1.7]. Let D be a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure $\text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_L)$. Since D_{crys} is exact on its restriction to the category of crystalline (φ, Γ) -modules, the composition

$$\text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_L) \xrightarrow{D_{\text{crys}}} \Phi F_K(L)$$

is also an exact tensor functor. Write $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ for the composition functor. Though the underlying G -torsor of the $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ is not in general a trivial torsor over $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L$, it becomes trivial after replacing K and L by a sufficiently large finite extension. A *refinement* \mathfrak{P} of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ is a sub- B -torsor of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ which is invariant under the Frobenius action Φ .

Proposition 6.1. *Let D be a crystalline frameable (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_L . Assume the Frobenius action $\Phi^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$ on $r_*(D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D))$ has m distinct eigenvalues in L . Then there is a bijection from the set of triangulations of D to that of refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$. Moreover, if there is a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$, there exists exactly $\#W(G, T)$ refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$.*

Proof. Recall that a triangulation \mathcal{P} of D can be seen as an exact tensor functor $\omega_{\mathcal{P}}: \mathrm{Rep}_K(B) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_L)$ whose composition with the restriction $\mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_K(B)$ is identical to D . We construct a map from the set of triangulations of D to that of refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ as $\omega_{\mathcal{P}} \mapsto \omega_{\mathcal{P}} \circ D_{\mathrm{crys}}$.

We construct an inverse. By Lemma 4.11, we may assume that the underlying G -torsor of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ is trivial. A refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ gives the (classical) refinement of $r_*(D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D))$ and it induces the (classical) triangulation of $r_*(D)$ by the classical correspondence between triangulations and refinements. It suffices to show that the triangulation is isomorphic to the form $r_*(\mathcal{P})$ for some unique triangulation \mathcal{P} of D .

For a commutative K -algebra A , there is the map $G(A)/B(A) \rightarrow (G/B)(A)$ induced from the exact sequence of pointed sets

$$1 \rightarrow B(A) \rightarrow G(A) \rightarrow (G/B)(A) \rightarrow H^1(A, B)$$

by [14, §1.2.2], where G/B is the flag variety of (G, B, T) . Assume that A is a Bézout domain. Since the Picard group of A is trivial, it follows $H^1(A, B) \cong H^1(A, T) \cong 1$ and the map $G(A)/B(A) \rightarrow (G/B)(A)$ is bijective by Lemma 4.11. In particular, we have $G(\mathcal{R}_L)/B(\mathcal{R}_L) = (G/B)(\mathcal{R}_L)$ and $G(\mathcal{R}_L[\frac{1}{t}])/B(\mathcal{R}_L[\frac{1}{t}]) = (G/B)(\mathcal{R}_L[\frac{1}{t}])$. The faithful representation r induces the proper morphism $G/B \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m/B_m$ of the flag varieties over K . It is a monomorphism of fppf-sheaves by the definition of r and also a monomorphism of schemes. So it is a closed immersion by [35, Tag 04XV]. The variety G/B is smooth and then reduced as well. Then, there exists a unique dotted arrow such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_L[\frac{1}{t}]) & \longrightarrow & G/B \\ \downarrow & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow \\ \mathrm{Spec}(\mathcal{R}_L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{GL}_m/B_m, \end{array}$$

is commutative by the argument of the scheme theoretic images. Thus, the first part of the proposition is shown.

For the last part, we may see that if there exist a refinement xB for some x in the frameable φ -module with B -structure as a φ -invariant sub- B -torsor of the frameable φ -module with G -structure associated with $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$, the set of refinements of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ is exactly $\{xwB \mid w \in W(G, T)\}$ by the definition of the embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ of regular type and the Bruhat decomposition. \square

Remark 6.2. In the case of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$, after replacing K and L with sufficient large finite extensions, there exists a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ under the condition of Proposition 6.1.

Let D be a (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_L of rank m . If D is crystalline, we write

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F} &:= D_{\text{crys}}(D), \\ \mathcal{F}_K &:= D_{\text{crys}}(D)_K := D_{\text{crys}}(D) \otimes_{K_0} K, \\ \mathcal{F}_{K,\tau} &:= \mathcal{F}_K \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L, \tau} L, \\ \text{Fil}^\bullet &:= (\text{Fil}^i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} = (\text{Fil}^i \mathcal{F}_K)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}\end{aligned}$$

for the Hodge filtration on \mathcal{F}_K and $\text{gr}^i := \text{Fil}^i / \text{Fil}^{i+1}$. For a refinement

$$\mathcal{F}_\bullet := (\mathcal{F}_0 = 0 \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \mathcal{F}_m = \mathcal{F})$$

of \mathcal{F} , we associate it with an ordering

$$(\varphi_{\tau,1}, \dots, \varphi_{\tau,m})_\tau \in (L^{\oplus m})^\Sigma \cong (K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L)^{\oplus m}$$

of the eigenvalues of $\Phi^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$ on \mathcal{F} such that the formula

$$\det(T - \Phi^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}|_{\mathcal{F}_i}) = \prod_{j=1}^i (T - \varphi_j),$$

holds and

$$\mathbf{k} := (\mathbf{k}_{\tau,1}, \dots, \mathbf{k}_{\tau,m})_\tau \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m})^\Sigma$$

such that the quotient $\mathcal{F}_{K,\tau,i} / \mathcal{F}_{K,\tau,i-1}$, where $\mathcal{F}_{K,\tau,i} := \mathcal{F}_i \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L, \tau} L$, of the filtered modules over L has the non-zero graded quotient in degree $-\mathbf{k}_{\tau,i}$ for any $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $i \in [1, m]$. If $\mathbf{k} = (k_\tau)_\tau: K \hookrightarrow L \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$, let $z^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{T}(L)$ denote the character

$$z \mapsto \prod_{\tau \in \text{Hom}(K,L)} \tau(z)^{k_\tau}$$

where $z \in K^*$. For

$$\mathbf{k} = (k_{\tau,i})_{i \in [1,m], \tau: K \hookrightarrow L} \in (\mathbb{Z}^{\oplus m})^\Sigma,$$

let $z^{\mathbf{k}} \in \mathcal{T}^m(L)$ also denote the character

$$(z_1, \dots, z_m) \mapsto \prod_{\substack{i \in [1,m] \\ \tau \in \text{Hom}(K,L)}} \tau(z_i)^{k_{\tau,i}}$$

where $(z_1, \dots, z_m) \in (K^*)^m$. We write $\text{unr}(a)$ for the character $K^* \rightarrow A^*$ which sends \mathcal{O}_K^* to 1 and ϖ_K to a for an L -algebra A and $a \in A^*$. By the argument of [6, Proposition 2.4.1] and [10, Lemma 2.1], we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3. *For a (φ, Γ) -module D over \mathcal{R}_L of rank m and a refinement of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$, the corresponding triangulation of D has the parameter $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ such that $\delta_i = z^{\mathbf{k}_i} \text{unr}(\varphi_i)$ where $(\varphi_i)_i$ and \mathbf{k}_i are constructed above.*

We introduce a notion of G -valued strictly dominance and noncriticality of triangulations of crystalline (φ, Γ) modules with G -structures. We identify \mathcal{W}_G (resp. \mathcal{T}_G) with \mathcal{W}^d (resp. \mathcal{T}^d) by the fixed isomorphism $G \supset T \cong \mathbb{G}_m^d$ of algebraic tori. Let D be a crystalline frameable (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure and $\tilde{D} := r_*(D)$ be a faithful representation $r: G \rightarrow \text{GL}_m$ of regular type. We assume that the underlying G -torsor of $D_{\text{crys}}(\tilde{D})$ is trivial and the rank $\text{gr}^i((D_{\text{crys}}(\tilde{D}) \otimes_{K_0} K) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L, \tau} L)$ is at most 1 for any $\tau \in \Sigma$. For a rank one K -filtered φ -module \mathcal{F} over L and $\tau \in \Sigma$, let $\text{deg}_\tau(\mathcal{F})$ denote the integer i such that $\text{gr}^j(\mathcal{F} \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L, \tau} L)$ is non-trivial. Note that the assumption is satisfied if D is trianguline. Here we forget the Φ -structure

of \mathcal{F} and so a refinement of \mathcal{F} means a structure of sub- B -torsor in \mathcal{F} , which is equivalent to a flag in $(G/B)(K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L)$ if we fix a basis of $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$.

For a refinement

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{\bullet} := (\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_0 = 0 \subsetneq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m = D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\tilde{D}))$$

of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\tilde{D})$, we put

$$(d_{\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}) := (\deg_{\tau}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1), \deg_{\tau}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_2/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1), \dots, \deg_{\tau}(\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_m/\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{m-1}))$$

and $(d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m})$ to be the replacement of the factors of $(d_{\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m})$ such that $d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1} \leq \cdots \leq d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}$. We set Zariski open loci

$$\mathrm{GL}_m/B_m =: U_{\tau}^0 \supseteq U_{\tau}^1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq U_{\tau}^{m-1} = U_{\tau}^m \supseteq U_{\tau}^{m+1} := \emptyset$$

of the flag variety as a scheme GL_m/B_m over L such as U_{τ}^l for $l \in [1, m]$ is the Zariski open subset of the points such that $d_{\tau,i} = d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,i}$ for $i \in [1, l]$ in GL_m/B_m and $U_{\tau}^{m+1} := \emptyset$. Moreover, we take the stratification

$$U_{\tau}^{i-1} = \bigsqcup_{j=i}^m U_{\tau}^{i,j}$$

where $U_{\tau}^{i,j}$ is the subset of points such that $d_{\tau,i} = d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,j}$ in U_{τ}^i for $i \in [1, m]$. Note that $U_{\tau}^{i,k}$ is Zariski open in $U_{\tau}^{i-1} \setminus \bigsqcup_{j=i}^{k-1} U_{\tau}^{i,j}$. We write the closed immersion $\bar{r}: G/B \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m/B_m$ for the map of flag varieties induced from $r: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$. Then, we construct $(d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ from the data

$$(m, (d_{\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}), (d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}), (U_{\tau}^{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m+1}, \bar{r})$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, $(d_{\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}), (d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ and $U_{\tau}^{i,j} \subset \mathrm{GL}_m/B_m$ with the following algorithm:

- Step 1. Find $k \in [0, m]$ such that $\bar{r}^{-1}(U_{\tau}^k) \cap (G/B) \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{r}^{-1}(U_{\tau}^{k+1}) \cap (G/B) = \emptyset$.
If $k = m$, the algorithm finishes.
- Step 2. Find $j \in [k, m]$ such that $\bar{r}^{-1}(U_{\tau}^{k,j}) \cap (G/B) \neq \emptyset$ and $\bar{r}^{-1}(U_{\tau}^{k,j+1}) \cap (G/B) = \emptyset$. Then we set $d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,i} := d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,i}$ for $i \in [1, k]$ and $d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,k+1} := d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,j}$. If $k = m - 1$, the algorithm finishes.

Step 3. Replacing the data

$$(m, (d_{\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}), (d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}), (U_{\tau}^{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m+1}, \bar{r})$$

with the data

$$(m - k - 1, (d_{\tau,k+1}, \dots, d_{\tau,j-1}, d_{\tau,j+1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}), (d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,k+2}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m}), (U_{\tau}^{i,j})_{ij}, \bar{r})$$

where $(U_{\tau}^{i,j})_{ij} = (U_{\tau}^{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq m-k-1}$ is constructed from

$$(d_{\tau,k+1}, \dots, d_{\tau,j-1}, d_{\tau,j+1}, \dots, d_{\tau,m}), (d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,k+2}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m})$$

in the manner described above.

Step 4. Return to the Step 1.

The vectors of weights $(d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,1}, \dots, d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,m})$ for $\tau \in \Sigma$ define the point $(z^{d'_1}, \dots, z^{d'_m}) \in \mathcal{T}^m(L) = \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m}(L)$ where $d'_i := (d'_{\mathrm{dom},\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma}$. There exists a unique $d'_{G,i} := (d'_{G,\mathrm{dom},\tau,i})_{\tau \in \Sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}^{\Sigma}$ for each $i \in [1, d]$, where $d := \dim(T)$, such that the pushout $r_{T,*}(D)$ of the frameable (φ, Γ) -module with T -structure D_T corresponding to $(z^{d'_{G,1}}, \dots, z^{d'_{G,d}}) \in (\mathcal{W}_G(L))^{\Sigma}$ by the inclusion of tori $r_T: T \rightarrow T_m$ has the parameter $(z^{d'_1}, \dots, z^{d'_m}) \in$

$\mathcal{W}^m(L)^\Sigma$. By the construction, the locus of the points of refinements in G/B which has the parameter $(z^{d'_{G,1}}, \dots, z^{d'_{G,d}})$ is Zariski open in the topology of schemes. If $d_{\tau,i} \neq d_{\tau,j}$ for each $i \neq j$ and $\tau \in \Sigma$, we call the weight $(d'_{G,1}, \dots, d'_{G,d})(\mathbb{Z}^d)^\Sigma$ or the parameter $(z^{d'_{G,1}}, \dots, z^{d'_{G,d}}) \in (\mathcal{W}_G)^\Sigma$ (resp. a parameter in $(\mathcal{W}_G)^\Sigma$ whose image in $(\mathcal{T}_G)^\Sigma$ is strictly dominant) *strictly dominant*.

From here in this section, let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ and $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow G(k_L) = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ be a $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ -valued representation. We say that $x = (\rho, \underline{\delta} = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is *crystalline* if $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k(x))$ is a GSp_{2n} -valued crystalline representation.

We also call a point $x = (\rho, \delta) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ *strictly dominant* if $\omega(x)$ is strictly dominant. For a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure D such that r_*D has distinct m Hodge-Tate weights and its triangulation \mathcal{P} , we say that a triangulation \mathcal{P} of a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure D with a regular Hodge-Tate weight such that $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(r_*(D))$ has m distinct Frobenius eigenvalues is *noncritical* if the corresponding parameter $(z^{d'_{G,1}}, \dots, z^{d'_{G,d}}) \in \mathcal{W}_G$ constructed as above from the refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ associated with \mathcal{P} is strictly dominant.

Example 6.4. In the case of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ and T is the maximal split torus of GSp_{2n} , the inclusion of tori $T \rightarrow T_{2n}$ induced by the standard representation $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ determines that strictly dominant parameters are the form $(z^{d'_{G,1}}, \dots, z^{d'_{G,n+1}}) \in \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}}^\Sigma$ such that $d'_{G,\tau,1} > \dots > d'_{G,\tau,n}$ and $2d'_{G,\tau,n} > d'_{G,\tau,n+1}$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma$.

We call a point $x = (\rho, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ *saturated* if there exists a triangulation of the (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ with parameter $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1})$.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ be a $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ -valued representation and $x = (r, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ be a strictly dominant point with $\omega(x) = \delta_{\mathbf{k}}$ for some $\mathbf{k} = (k_{\tau,i})_{i \in [1,n], \tau \in \Sigma} \in (\mathbb{Z}^n)^\Sigma$. Assume that:*

$$k_{\tau,i} - k_{\tau,i+1} > [K: K_0] \mathrm{val}(\delta_1(\varpi_K) \cdots \delta_i(\varpi_K)),$$

where $\mathrm{val}(-)$ is the function of p -adic values. for $i \in [1, n]$, $\tau \in \Sigma$. Then x is saturated and semi-stable. Moreover, if $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_{n+1}) \in \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}}$, then r is crystalline strictly dominant noncritical.

Proof. This follow by the above construction and the proof of [10, Lemma 2.10]. \square

7. EXPLICIT CALCULATION OF THE TANGENT SPACES OF DEFORMATION FUNCTORS

We prove the additive version of the reconstruction theorem ([30, Theorem 11.2]). Let G be an affine algebraic monoid over a field k and R be a k -algebra. For a free module V_R over R , each element $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}_R(\mathrm{GL}(V_R))$ induces an endomorphism of the R -module V_R by the natural isomorphism $\mathrm{Lie}_R(\mathrm{GL}(V_R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(V_R)$. We also write $Y: V_R \rightarrow V_R$ for the induced endomorphism by $Y \in \mathrm{Lie}_R(\mathrm{GL}(V_R))$. Let $X \in (\mathrm{Lie}_R(G))(R)$. For every finite-dimensional algebraic representation $r_V: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G over k , we have an R -linear map $\lambda_V := (\mathrm{Lie}_R(r_{V,R}))(X): V_R \rightarrow V_R$. These maps satisfy the following conditions:

(C1) For all finite-dimensional representations V, W ,

$$\lambda_{V \otimes W} = \lambda_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_W.$$

(C2) If we write $\mathbf{1}$ for the 1-dimensional trivial representation of G , induced $\lambda_{\mathbf{1}}: k \rightarrow k$ is the zero map.

(C3) For all G -equivariant maps $u: V \rightarrow W$,

$$\lambda_W \circ u_R = u_R \circ \lambda_V.$$

We write $G_R := G \times_{\mathrm{Spec}(k)} \mathrm{Spec}(R)$ and $V_R := V \otimes_k R$ from here.

Lemma 7.1. *Let G be an affine algebraic monoid over a field k and R be a k -algebra. Suppose that we are given an R -linear map $\lambda_V: V_R \rightarrow V_R$ for every finite-dimensional algebraic representation $r_V: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$ of G . If the family $(\lambda_V)_V$ satisfies the conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) above, there exists a unique $X \in \mathrm{Lie}_R(G_R)$ such that $\lambda_V = ((\mathrm{Lie}_R(r_{V,R}))(X)): V_R \rightarrow V_R$ for all V .*

Proof. The conditions (C1), (C2), (C3) are satisfied for the uniquely induced $\lambda_V: V_R \rightarrow V_R$ from the enlarged family of filtered unions V of the finite-dimensional representations. We set $A := \Gamma(G, \mathcal{O}_G)$. Considering A as a k -vector space, the regular representation $r_A: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(A)$ is a filtered union of its finite-dimensional subrepresentation by [30, Proposition 4.6]. We write $\lambda_A: A \rightarrow A$ for the k -linear map induced from r_A .

By the condition (C1) and (C3), the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{m} & A \\ \lambda_A \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \lambda_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_A \\ A \otimes_k A & \xrightarrow{m} & A, \end{array}$$

where m is the multiplication structure of the monoid G , is commutative, so that r_A is an element of the module $\mathrm{Der}_k(A, A)$ of k -derivations. We also have the natural isomorphism

$$\iota: \mathrm{Lie}_k(G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Der}_k(A, A)$$

of k -vector spaces by [30, Proposition 12.23, Proposition 12.24].

For an element $X \in \mathrm{Lie}_R(G_R)$ and a finite-dimensional algebraic representation $\lambda_V: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, X here gives an element $X_\epsilon \in \mathrm{GL}(V[\epsilon])$. Writing ∂X for the composition

$$V \hookrightarrow V \oplus \epsilon V \xrightarrow{X_\epsilon} V \oplus \epsilon V \twoheadrightarrow \epsilon V \xrightarrow{\sim} V,$$

The element $(\mathrm{Lie}_R(r_{V,R})) \in \mathrm{Lie}(\mathrm{GL}(V_R))$ is sent to $\partial X \in \mathrm{End}_R(V_R)$ by $\mathrm{Lie}_R(\mathrm{GL}(V_R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(V_R)$. We may see that the composition

$$\mathrm{Lie}_R(G_R) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}_R(\mathrm{GL}(A_R)) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{End}_R(V_R)$$

is the same as the composition of ι_R and the inclusion $\mathrm{Der}_R(A_R, A_R)$. Then there exists $X \in \mathrm{Lie}_R(G_R)$ such that $\lambda_A = (\mathrm{Lie}_R(r_{A,R}))(X)$.

For each finite-dimensional algebraic representation $r_V: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, taking V_0 for the underlying vector space of V that has the trivial G -action, there exists an injection $i: V \hookrightarrow V_0 \otimes A$ of k -vector spaces with G -actions by [30, Proposition 4.9]. In the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V & \xrightarrow{i} & V_0 \otimes A \\ \lambda_V \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_{V_0 \otimes A} \\ V & \xrightarrow{i} & V_0 \otimes A, \end{array}$$

λ_V is also the endomorphism corresponding to the element $(\text{Lie}_R(r_{V,R}))(X) \in \text{Lie}_R(\text{GL}(V_R))$ by the conditions (C1), (C2), (C3). Then it follows that the existence of $X \in \text{Lie}_R(G_R)$. The uniqueness of X follows from considering $\lambda_{W_0 \otimes A}$ for a finite-dimensional faithful representation $r_W: G \rightarrow \text{GL}(W)$ and its underlying k -vector space W_0 . \square

Let G be an affine algebraic group over a field k and X be a k -scheme. We recall the notation of exact tensor filtrations of the exact tensor functors $\omega: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(X)$ (cf. [36], [7, §2.7]). An *exact tensor filtration* of ω is the data of decreasing filtrations $(\text{Fil}^\bullet(\omega(V)))_V$ for each $V \in \text{Rep}_K(G)$ such that the following condition are satisfied:

- (1) the filtrations are functorial in V .
- (2) the filtrations are tensor compatible, in the sense that

$$\text{Fil}^n \omega(V \otimes_k V') = \sum_{p+q=n} (\text{Fil}^p \omega(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \text{Fil}^q \omega(V')) \subset \omega(V) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \omega(V').$$

- (3) For the 1-dimensional trivial representation $\mathbf{1} \in \text{Rep}_K(G)$ it suffices that $\text{Fil}^n(\omega(\mathbf{1})) = \omega(\mathbf{1})$ if $n \leq 0$ and $\text{Fil}^n(\omega(\mathbf{1})) = 0$ if $n \geq 1$.
- (4) The associated functor from $\text{Rep}_K(G)$ to the category of \mathbb{Z} -graded objects of $\text{Bun}(X)$ is exact.

For an exact tensor functor $\omega: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(X)$ and a morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ of schemes, let $\omega_Y: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(Y)$ be the composition of ω and the base change functor $\text{Bun}(X) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(Y)$. If $Y = \text{Spec}(R)$ for a commutative ring R , we write $\omega_R = \omega_Y$.

Definition 7.2. Let $\omega, \eta: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightrightarrows \text{Bun}(X)$ be exact tensor functors. Then $\underline{\text{Hom}}^\otimes(\omega, \eta)$ is the presheaf on affine schemes $\text{Spec}(R)$ over X given by

$$\underline{\text{Hom}}^\otimes(\text{Spec}(R)) := \text{Hom}^\otimes(\omega_R, \eta_R),$$

where $\underline{\text{Hom}}^\otimes$ is the set of natural transformations of functors which preserves tensor products.

We define two subfunctors of $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$ dependent on a fixed exact tensor filtration Fil^\bullet of ω .

Definition 7.3. Let Fil^\bullet be a fixed exact tensor filtration of ω .

- (1) Let $P_{\text{Fil}^\bullet} = \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{Fil}^\bullet}^\otimes(\omega)$ be the functor on R -algebras such that $R' \mapsto \{\lambda \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)(R') \mid \lambda(\text{Fil}^n \omega(V)) \subset \text{Fil}^n \omega(V) \text{ for all } V \in \text{Rep}_K(G) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.
- (2) Let $U_{\text{Fil}^\bullet} = \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\text{Fil}^\bullet}^{\otimes!}(\omega)$ be the functor on R -algebras such that $R' \mapsto \{\lambda \in \underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)(R') \mid (\lambda - \text{id})(\text{Fil}^n \omega(V)) \subset \text{Fil}^{n+1} \omega(V) \text{ for all } V \in \text{Rep}_K(G) \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$.

Let $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ be G -torsor corresponding to ω .

Proposition 7.4. (1) The functor $\underline{\text{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$ is representable by the X -group scheme $\text{Aut}_G(\tilde{X})$, which is a form of $G \times_k X$.

- (2) The functors P_{Fil^\bullet} and U_{Fil^\bullet} are representable by closed subschemes of $\text{Aut}_G(\tilde{X})$ and they are smooth if G is.

(3) If $X = \mathrm{Spec}(R)$, we have $\mathrm{Lie}_R P_{\mathrm{Fil}^\bullet} = \mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathrm{Lie}_R \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega))$ and $\mathrm{Lie}_R U_{\mathrm{Fil}^\bullet} = \mathrm{Fil}^1(\mathrm{Lie}_R \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega))$.

Proof. See [36, Chapter IV, 2.1.4.1], [7, Corollary 2.7.3] and its following argument. \square

We assume and X is an affine scheme. Let $\mathrm{ad}(\tilde{X}) := \mathrm{Lie}_R \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega)$. For a parabolic subgroup P of $\mathrm{Aut}_G(\tilde{X})$, let $\mathrm{ad}_P(\tilde{X}) := \mathrm{Fil}^0(\mathrm{Lie}_R \underline{\mathrm{Aut}}^\otimes(\omega))$ for an exact tensor filtration Fil^\bullet such that $P_{\mathrm{Fil}^\bullet} = P$. For a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure D over \mathcal{R}_L and its triangulation \mathcal{P} , we define $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}}(D) := \mathrm{ad}_P(D)$ where P is the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}_G(D)$ which preserves $\mathcal{P} \subset D$. If D is frameable, $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}}(D) \subset \mathrm{Aut}_G(D) \cong G_{\mathcal{R}_L}$ is a Borel subgroup of $G_{\mathcal{R}_L}$ and is a conjugate of the standard Borel subgroup $B_{\mathcal{R}_L} \subset G_{\mathcal{R}_L}$.

We define the trianguline deformation functors of a frameable (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure D (associated to a triangulation \mathcal{P} of D)

$$X_D, X_{D, \mathcal{P}}: \mathcal{C}_L \rightarrow \mathrm{Set}$$

as follows. For an object $A \in \mathcal{C}_L$, let $X_{D, \mathcal{P}}(A)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of triples $(D_A, \mathcal{P}_A, \pi)$ where D_A is a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_A , \mathcal{P}_A is a triangulation of D_A and $\pi: D_A \otimes_A L \xrightarrow{\sim} D$ is an isomorphism of (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over \mathcal{R}_L such that $\pi(\mathcal{P}_A) = \mathcal{P}$. Let $X_D(A)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of (D_A, π) . Note that the underlying torsor of a deformation D_A is trivial over \mathcal{R}_A as long as D is frameable by [14, Proposition 6.1.1].

Lemma 7.5. *There is a natural isomorphism*

- (1) $TX_D = X_D(L[\epsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathrm{ad}(D))$.
- (2) $TX_{D, \mathcal{P}} = X_{D, \mathcal{P}}(L[\epsilon]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}}(D))$.

Proof. We fix a framing $([\phi], [\gamma] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ of D . For each algebraic representation $r: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, the pushout $r_*(D)$ is then the framed (φ, Γ) -module $([\phi_V], [\gamma_V] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma)$ of rank $\dim V$. For algebraic representations $r: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V)$, $r': G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V')$, lift of those of coefficient $L[\epsilon]$ is the form

$$\begin{aligned} &([\phi_V + \epsilon \cdot \partial\phi_V], [\gamma_V + \epsilon \cdot \partial\gamma_V] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma), \\ &([\phi_{V'} + \epsilon \cdot \partial\phi_{V'}], [\gamma_{V'} + \epsilon \cdot \partial\gamma_{V'}] \mid \gamma \in \Gamma), \end{aligned}$$

where $\partial\phi_V, \partial\gamma_V \in \mathrm{End}(V)$ and $\partial\phi_{V'}, \partial\gamma_{V'} \in \mathrm{End}(V')$.

Then for the pushout $(r \otimes r')_*(D)$ of D by $r \otimes r': G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(V \otimes V')$, $\phi_{V \otimes V'}$ and $\gamma_{V \otimes V'}$ is written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_{V \otimes V'} &= \phi_V \otimes \phi_{V'} + \epsilon \cdot (\partial\phi_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial\phi_{V'}), \\ \gamma_{V \otimes V'} &= \gamma_V \otimes \gamma_{V'} + \epsilon \cdot (\partial\gamma_V \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes \partial\gamma_{V'}). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 7.1, functorial parameters $\partial\phi_V, \partial\gamma_V$ for all $V \in \mathrm{Rep}_K(G)$ is just given by an element of $\mathrm{Lie}_{\mathcal{R}_L}(D)$. The results follows by the proof of [16, Proposition 3.6, (ii)]. \square

From here we consider the case of $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$ over $k = K$. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_\tau)_{\tau \in \Sigma} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$, write $t^{\mathbf{k}} := \prod_{\tau \in \Sigma} t^{k_\tau} \in \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$. We calculate bases that give each triangulation associated with the elements of the Weyl group and transformation matrices between them.

Let D be a (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_L of rank m . We assume that D is crystalline and $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ has m distinct Frobenius eigenvalues. Then fixing a refinement $\mathcal{F}_\bullet = \mathcal{F}_{\bullet, \text{id}}$ of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$, we associate the elements $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m) \cong \mathfrak{S}_m$ of the Weyl group with a refinement $\mathcal{F}_{w, \bullet}$ of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ and write \mathcal{P}_w for the corresponding triangulation of D by Proposition 6.1. Write $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_{\text{id}}$. We may take a sequence $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m$ of Frobenius eigenvalues of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ and its corresponding eigenvector x_1, \dots, x_m such that the filtration $\mathcal{F}_{w, \bullet}$ of $D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ is the same as

$$0 \subset (x_{w(1)}) \subset (x_{w(1)}, x_{w(2)}) \subset \cdots \subset (x_{w(1)}, \dots, x_{w(m)}) = D_{\text{crys}}(D),$$

where $w: \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ is the corresponding function to $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$ by $W(\text{GL}_m, T_m) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathfrak{S}_m \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Aut}(\{1, \dots, m\})$. Write $x_{w,i} := x_{w(i)}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{w,i} := (x_{w,1}, \dots, x_{w,i}) \subset D_{\text{crys}}(D)$ for $i \in [0, m]$ and $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$.

We also write $D_{w,i} := \left(\mathcal{F}_{w,i} \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L} \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \right) \cap D$. For elements $y_1, \dots, y_m \in D$ and a triangulation \mathcal{P} of D , we call (y_1, \dots, y_m) is a basis of \mathcal{P} if the filtration

$$0 \subset (y_1) \subset (y_1, y_2) \subset \cdots \subset (y_1, \dots, y_m) = D$$

is a triangulation of D and the same as \mathcal{P} . By the classical correspondence between triangulations and refinements, for $i \in [1, m]$ and $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (D_{w,i-1} \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & (D_{w,i} \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & ((D_{w,i}/D_{w,i-1}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])^\Gamma \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \parallel & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{\text{crys}}(D_{w,i-1}) & \longrightarrow & D_{\text{crys}}(D_{w,i}) & \longrightarrow & D_{\text{crys}}(D_{w,i}/D_{w,i-1}) \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{w,i-1} \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] & \longrightarrow & D_{w,i} \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] & \longrightarrow & (D_{w,i}/D_{w,i-1}) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & D_{w,i-1} & \longrightarrow & D_{w,i} & \longrightarrow & D_{w,i}/D_{w,i-1} \longrightarrow 0, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps form exact sequences, the vertical maps between the second row and the third row are the inclusions $- \mapsto \left(- \otimes_{K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L} \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \right)$ and the bottom vertical maps are the inclusions $- \mapsto \left(- \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L} \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right] \right)$.

Moreover, the bottom right module $D_{w,i}/D_{w,i-1}$ is the rank 1 (φ, Γ) -module that is generated by $(t^{\mathbf{k}_i} x_{w,i} \bmod D_{i-1})$ over \mathcal{R}_L for some $(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^m$. Let $U_m^- \subset \text{GL}_m$ be the subgroup of lower triangular unipotent matrices. Then there exists a matrix $\mathbf{u}_w = (u_{w,i,j})_{i,j} \in U_m^-(\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])$ and a unique $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^m$ such that $\mathbf{k}_i \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$ and $\mathbf{u}_w \mathbf{t}_w^t(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is a basis of \mathcal{P}_w when we write $\mathbf{t}_w := \text{diag}(t^{\mathbf{k}_1}, \dots, t^{\mathbf{k}_m})$ by Lemma 6.3.

For $w, w' \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$, write $\mathbf{a}_{w,w'} = (a_{w,w',i,j})_{i,j} \in \text{GL}_m(\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])$ for a transformation matrix from the basis of \mathcal{P}_w to that of $\mathcal{P}_{w'}$. Note that \mathbf{u}_w and $\mathbf{a}_{w,w'}$ is not unique in $\text{GL}_m(\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right])$ but is unique in $U_m^-(\mathcal{R}_L) \setminus \text{GL}_m(\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]) / U_m^-(\mathcal{R}_L)$. A matrix $\mathbf{a}_{w,w'}$ is written in the form $\mathbf{u}_{w'} \mathbf{t}_{w'} w \mathbf{t}_w^{-1} \mathbf{u}_w^{-1}$ where $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m) = N_{\text{GL}_m}(T_m) / C_{\text{GL}_m}(T_m)$ is the matrix associated with the element of the Weyl group. For $1 \leq i < j \leq m$, we call an element $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m) \cong \mathfrak{S}_m$ is of type (i, j) if $w(l) = l$ for $l < i$ or $j < l$, $w(i) = j$ and $w(j) = i$ as an element of

$\mathfrak{S}_m = \mathrm{Aut}(\{1, \dots, m\})$. Let $M_{i \times j}(A)$ be the additive abelian group of $(i \times j)$ -matrices with coefficients in A .

Lemma 7.6. *For an element $\sigma \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)$ of type (i, j) and $w, w' \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)$ such that $w\sigma = w'$, we may take $\mathbf{a}_{w, w'}$ as the form*

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{i-1} & 0_{(i-1) \times (j-i+1)} & 0_{(i-1) \times (m-j)} \\ \mathbf{n}_{w, w'} & \mathbf{a}'_{w, w'} & 0_{(j-i+1) \times (m-j)} \\ 0_{(m-j) \times (i-1)} & 0_{(m-j) \times (j-i+1)} & I_{m-j} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\mathbf{a}'_{w, w'} \in \mathrm{GL}_{j-i+1}(\mathcal{R}_L)$ and $\mathbf{n}_{w, w'} \in M_{(j-i+1) \times (i-1)}(\mathcal{R}_L)$. Moreover, writing

$$(z^{\mathbf{k}_{w,1}} \mathrm{unr}(\varphi_{w,1}), \dots, z^{\mathbf{k}_{w,m}} \mathrm{unr}(\varphi_{w,m})), (z^{\mathbf{k}_{w',1}} \mathrm{unr}(\varphi_{w',1}), \dots, z^{\mathbf{k}_{w',m}} \mathrm{unr}(\varphi_{w',m}))$$

for the parameters associated to the triangulations $\mathcal{P}_w, \mathcal{P}_{w'}$, and when we write $\mathbf{a}'_{w, w'} = (a'_{w, w', \alpha, \beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in [1, j-i+1]}$, we have $a'_{w, w', 1, j-i+1} = t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,i} - \mathbf{k}_{w',j}}$.

Proof. By the classical correspondence between triangulations and refinements, $D_{w,l} = D_{w',l}$ for $l < i$ or $j < l$. So the first part is shown.

For the last part, since matrices $\mathbf{u}_w, \mathbf{t}_w, w$ are in $U_m^- \mathrm{diag}(\mathrm{GL}_{i-1}, \mathrm{GL}_{j-i+1}, \mathrm{GL}_{m-j})$, it suffices to calculate the middle $(j-i+1) \times (j-i+1)$ -matrix $\mathbf{a}'_{w, w'}$. Write $\mathbf{u}'_{w'}, \mathbf{t}'_{w'}, w'$ for the factors of the middle $(j-i+1) \times (j-i+1)$ -matrices of $\mathbf{u}_w, \mathbf{t}_w, w$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}'_{w, w'} &= \mathbf{u}'_{w'} \mathbf{t}'_{w'} w' \mathbf{t}'_{w'}^{-1} \mathbf{u}'_{w'}^{-1} \\ &= \mathbf{u}'_{w'} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{1 \times (m-2)} & t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,i} - \mathbf{k}_{w',j}} \\ 0_{(m-2) \times 1} & *_{(m-2) \times (m-2)} & 0_{(m-2) \times 1} \\ t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,j} - \mathbf{k}_{w',i}} & 0_{1 \times (m-2)} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}'_{w'}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0_{1 \times (m-2)} & t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,i} - \mathbf{k}_{w',j}} \\ 0_{(m-2) \times 1} & *_{(m-2) \times (m-2)} & *_{(m-2) \times 1} \\ t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,j} - \mathbf{k}_{w',i}} & *_{1 \times (m-2)} & * \end{pmatrix} \mathbf{u}'_{w'}^{-1} \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} * & *_{1 \times (m-2)} & t^{\mathbf{k}_{w,i} - \mathbf{k}_{w',j}} \\ *_{(m-2) \times 1} & *_{(m-2) \times (m-2)} & *_{(m-2) \times 1} \\ * & *_{1 \times (m-2)} & * \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 7.7. (1) We write $\mathcal{F}_{K, \tau} = (D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D) \otimes_{K_0} K) \otimes_{K \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} L, \tau} L$. If D is a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module of rank m , its *Hodge-Tate type* is the sequences of integers $(k_{\tau,1} \geq \dots \geq k_{\tau,m})_{\tau \in \Sigma}$ where the $k_{\tau,i}$ are the integers s such that $\mathrm{gr}^{-s} \mathcal{F}_{K, \tau} \neq 0$, counted with multiplicity, where the multiplicity of s is defined as the dimension $\dim_L \mathrm{gr}^{-s} \mathcal{F}_{K, \tau}$.

- (2) A *Hodge-Tate type* is an element $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^m$ where $\mathbf{k}_i = (k_{\tau,1})_{\tau \in \Sigma}$ and $(k_{\tau,1}, \dots, k_{\tau,m})$ is a decreasing sequence of integers for each $\tau \in \Sigma$. We say that Hodge-Tate type \mathbf{k} is *regular* if all these sequences of integers are strictly decreasing.
- (3) We say that a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module D of rank m is *φ -generic* if the Frobenius $\Phi = \phi^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$ on $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ has m distinct eigenvalues $(\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_m)$ in L and $\varphi_i \varphi_j^{-1} \neq p^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}$ for $i \neq j$.
- (4) We say that a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module D with a regular Hodge-Tate type is *noncritical* if a trianguline parameter associated with each refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ is noncritical.

- (5) We say that a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module D is *benign* if D has a regular Hodge-Tate type, noncritical and φ -generic.

Remark 7.8. In [24, Definition 5.5], φ -genericity is defined as the definition above excluding only the last condition. However, we use the definition in [22, §3.3] for the simplification of the notation.

Lemma 7.9. *Let D be a rank m trianguline (φ, Γ) -module and \mathcal{P} be a triangulation of D that has the parameter $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ such that $\delta_i = z^{\mathbf{k}_i} \text{unr}(\varphi_i)$ for $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^m$ and $i \in [1, m]$, D' be a rank m (φ, Γ) -submodule of D , \mathcal{P}' be the triangulation of D' induced from \mathcal{P} and $(\delta'_1, \dots, \delta'_m)$ be the parameter of \mathcal{P}' such that $\delta'_i = z^{\mathbf{k}'_i} \text{unr}(\varphi_i)$ for $\mathbf{k}' = (\mathbf{k}'_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}'_m) \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^m$ and $i \in [1, m]$.*

Write $S(D, \mathcal{P}) \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$ for the subset such that $\delta_l \neq \delta'_l$ if and only if $l \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. For each $i \in S(D, \mathcal{P})$, assume that $\varphi_i \notin \{1, p^{[K_0: \mathbb{Q}_p]}\}$ and $\max(k_{i, \tau}, 1 - k'_{i, \tau}) > 0$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma$. Then the induced map $H^1(D') \rightarrow H^1(D)$ of the first cohomology modules is surjective.

Proof. Let D_i/D_{i-1} and $(D_i \cap D')/(D_{i-1} \cap D')$ for $i \in [1, m]$. Since D is trianguline with parameter $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_m)$ and D' is a submodule of D , it suffices to show that $H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta'_i)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i))$ is surjective.

In the case of $i \notin S(D, \mathcal{P})$, we obtain $\dim_L H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(z^{\mathbf{k}_i} \delta)) = \dim_L H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta))$ by the assumptions and Lemma 3.1. Then the surjectivity of the map $H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta'_i)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i))$ is reduced to show that $H^0(\mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i)/t^{\mathbf{k}''} \mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i)) = 0$ for $i \in S(D, \mathcal{P})$ and $\mathbf{k}'' := \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}' \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^\Sigma$ by the long exact sequence. It is reduced to show $H^0(t^{\mathbf{k}'''} \mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i)/t_\tau t^{\mathbf{k}'''} \mathcal{R}_L(\delta_i)) = 0$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $\mathbf{k}''' \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^\Sigma$ such that $\mathbf{k}_{i, \tau} + \mathbf{k}'''_{i, \tau} \neq 0$, as well by dévissage. This follows from that $k_{i, \tau} > 0$ for all $\tau \in \Sigma$ and [26, Lemma 6.2.12]. \square

There is an element $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$ such that the triangulation \mathcal{P}_w has a noncritical parameter. From now, we replace the triangulation \mathcal{P} with the \mathcal{P}_w . We take a basis (y_1, \dots, y_m) , $y_i \in D$ for $i \in [1, m]$ of \mathcal{P}_{id} . Let $(e_{\alpha, \beta})_{\alpha, \beta \in [1, m]}$ be the associated standard basis of $\text{ad}(D)$ with the basis (y_1, \dots, y_m) of D . Let $\mathbf{a}_w := \mathbf{a}_{\text{id}, w}$. Then we may translate $\text{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D)$ for $w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$ in terms of the standard basis. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{P}}(D) &= \langle e_{\alpha, \beta} \mid 1 \leq \beta < \alpha \leq m \rangle =: \mathfrak{b}_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}} \subset \text{ad}(D), \\ \text{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) &= \mathbf{a}_w(\mathfrak{b}_{\overline{\mathcal{P}}}). \end{aligned}$$

Let $\sigma_{i, j} \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m) \cong \mathfrak{S}_m$ be the (i, j) -transposition for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$, $i \neq j$ and $W' \subset W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)$ be the subset of $\sigma_{i, j}$. For $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \text{ad}(D)$, we write $\text{ad}(\mathbf{a})(\mathbf{b}) := \mathbf{a}\mathbf{b}\mathbf{a}^{-1}$.

Lemma 7.10. *The saturation of the image of the map*

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\text{GL}_m, T_m)} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \text{ad}(D)$$

of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_L is $\text{ad}(D)$. Moreover, this embedding of (φ, Γ) -modules satisfies the conditions in Lemma 7.9.

Proof. We prove the assertion for the map

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W'} \text{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \text{ad}(D)$$

instead. As $\mathbf{a}'_{\sigma_{i,j}} \in \mathrm{GL}_{j-i+1}(\mathcal{R}_L)$, there exists $h = h(\tau, i, j) \in [0, j - i]$ such that $\mathbf{a}_{i+h,j} = \mathbf{a}'_{h+1,j-i+1} \in \mathcal{R}_L \setminus t_\tau \mathcal{R}_L$ for each $\tau \in \Sigma$ and fix such $h(\tau, i, j)$ for $\tau \in \Sigma$ and $1 \leq i < j \leq m$. The element $\mathrm{ad}(\mathbf{a}_{\sigma_{i,j}})(e_{j,i+h_\tau})$ is of the form

$$\theta e_{i,j} \bmod \langle e_{\alpha,\beta} \mid 1 \leq \alpha \leq i, j \leq \beta \leq i, (\alpha, \beta) \neq (i, j) \rangle$$

where $\theta \in (t_\tau^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{id},i}-\mathbf{k}_{\sigma_{i,j},j}} \mathcal{R}) \setminus (t_\tau^{\mathbf{k}_{\mathrm{id},i}-\mathbf{k}_{\sigma_{i,j},j}+1} \mathcal{R})$ by Lemma 7.6.

Then we can show that the saturation of the composition of the map in the assertion and the projection from D into

$$\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{P},l}^- := \langle e_{\alpha,\beta} \mid 1 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq m, \beta - \alpha \leq l \rangle$$

is $\mathfrak{b}_{\mathcal{P},l}^-$ for $1 \leq l \leq m - 1$ by induction. When $l = m - 1$, it is just as the assertion of the lemma. The last part of the Lemma follows by the fact that $(t_\tau) + (t_{\tau'}) = \mathcal{R}_L$ for $\tau \neq \tau'$ and Corollary 3.2. \square

We reprove [22, Theorem 3.11].

Proposition 7.11. *Let D be a φ -generic rank m crystalline (φ, Γ) -module of regular Hodge-Tate type. Then the map*

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)} TX_{D, \mathcal{P}_w} \rightarrow TX_D$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let D' be the image of the map

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D)$$

in Lemma 7.10. Since the inclusion $D' \subset \mathrm{ad}(D)$ satisfies the assumption of Lemma 7.9 by the construction, it follows that $H^1(D') \cong H^1(\mathrm{ad}(D))$. We also obtain that $H^2(E) = 0$ for all (φ, Γ) -submodules E of the trianguline (φ, Γ) -module $\mathrm{ad}(D)$ because of the H^2 of the trianguline parameter of $\mathrm{ad}(D)$ is 0 by the φ -genericity of D and the right exactness of H^2 .

Let

$$D'' := \ker \left(\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D) \right).$$

It follows that the map

$$H^1 \left(\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \right) \rightarrow H^1(\mathrm{ad}(D))$$

is surjective by the long exact sequence associated with the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow D'' \rightarrow \bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GL}_m, T_m)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow D' \rightarrow 0$$

of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_L and $H^2(D'') = 0$. The result follows by Lemma 7.5, (1). \square

From here we consider the case of $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ over $k = K$. We write $B \subset \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ for the standard Borel subgroup and $T \subset B$ for the maximal split torus. Let D be a frameable (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure over \mathcal{R}_L and \mathcal{P} be a triangulation of D .

Let $r_{\mathrm{std}}: \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ denote the standard representation of GSp_{2n} . It is the embedding of regular type. We write $\tilde{D} := r_{\mathrm{std},*}(D)$. We assume that D is crystalline, \tilde{D} is φ -generic with regular Hodge-Tate type and there exists a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$. Moreover, we assume that there is a triangulation \mathcal{P} which has a noncritical parameter and fix such $\mathcal{P}_{\mathrm{id}} = \mathcal{P}$.

For the corresponding refinement $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{B}_{\mathrm{id}}$ of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ to \mathcal{P} , we associate the elements $w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ of the Weyl group with a refinement \mathfrak{B}_w of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$ and write \mathcal{P}_w for the corresponding triangulation of D by Proposition 6.1. We also write $\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_w$ for the induced triangulation of \tilde{D} from the triangulation \mathcal{P}_w of D . It follows that $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) = \mathrm{ad}(D) \cap \mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_w}(\tilde{D})$ by Proposition 7.4, (3) and $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \cap B_{2n} = B$.

Since the inclusion $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_{2n}$ induces the injective map $W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T) \hookrightarrow W(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, T_{2n})$ of the Weyl groups, we have to show Lemma 7.10 restricting $W(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, T_{2n})$ to $W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ for obtaining a result of Proposition 7.11 in case of GSp_{2n} .

Lemma 7.12. *The saturation of the image of the map*

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D)$$

of (φ, Γ) -modules over \mathcal{R}_L is $\mathrm{ad}(D)$. Moreover, this embedding of (φ, Γ) -modules satisfies the conditions in Lemma 7.9.

Proof. By the above argument, the map in the assertion is the same as

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T) \subset W(\mathrm{GL}_{2n}, T_{2n})} (\mathrm{ad}(D) \cap \mathrm{ad}_{\tilde{\mathcal{P}}_w}(\tilde{D})) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D).$$

Let I_{sat} be the image of the map. Calculating

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathbf{a}_{\sigma_{i,j}\sigma_{2n+1-j,2n+1-i}})(e_{j,i} - e_{2n+1-i,2n+1-j})$$

for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ instead of $\mathrm{ad}(\mathbf{a}_{\sigma_{i,j}})(e_{j,i})$ for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ in the proof of Lemma 7.10, we may view I_{sat} contains the opposite Siegel parabolic submodule

$$\mathfrak{p}^- := \begin{pmatrix} *_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \\ *_{n \times n} & *_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \cap \mathrm{ad}(D).$$

Then, in a similar way considering $\mathrm{ad}(\mathbf{a}_{\sigma_{n,n+1}})(\mathfrak{p}^-)$, we have

$$\langle e_{n,n+1}, e_{l,n+1} - e_{n,2n+1-l} \mid l \in [1, n-1] \rangle \subset I_{\mathrm{sat}}.$$

Finally considering

$$\mathrm{ad}(\mathbf{a}_{\sigma_{k,n}\sigma_{n+1,2n+1-k}})(\langle e_{n,n+1}, e_{l,n+1} - e_{n,2n+1-l} \mid l \in [1, n-1] \rangle),$$

we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0_{n \times n} & *_{n \times n} \\ 0_{n \times n} & 0_{n \times n} \end{pmatrix} \cap \mathrm{ad}(D) \subset I_{\mathrm{sat}}.$$

Consequently, we obtain $I_{\mathrm{sat}} = \mathrm{ad}(D)$. For the last part, additionally calculating the images of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_\sigma}(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D)$ for $\sigma = \sigma_{c,2n+1-c}$, $c \in [1, n]$ as in Lemma 7.10, we can check that the embedding of (φ, Γ) -modules satisfies the conditions in Lemma 7.9 by the constructions and the calculations above. \square

Corollary 7.13. *Let D be a crystalline (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure over \mathcal{R}_L such that \tilde{D} is φ -generic with regular Hodge-Tate type and assume that there exists a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$. Then the map*

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} TX_{D, \mathcal{P}_w} \rightarrow TX_D$$

is surjective.

Proof. The map of (φ, Γ) -modules with GSp_{2n} -structures

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{P}_w}(D) \rightarrow \mathrm{ad}(D)$$

in the Lemma 7.12 satisfies the condition of Lemma 7.9 by its construction. Thus the result follows by Lemma 7.9. \square

8. LOCALLY IRREDUCIBILITY AND ACCUMULATION PROPERTY

In this section, we prove locally irreducibility and accumulation property of the trianguline deformation spaces for GSp_{2n} .

8.1. Almost de Rham representations with G -structures. Let us recall the notations in [11, §3]. Let $B_{\mathrm{dR}}, B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ be the usual de Rham period rings of Fontaine with the \mathcal{G}_K -action and the natural topology and all finite type modules over these rings are endowed with the natural topology (cf. [20, §3.2]). Let $\mathrm{Rep}_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ (resp. $\mathrm{Rep}_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}(\mathcal{G}_K)$) denote the category of B_{dR} -representations (resp. B_{dR}^+ -representations) of \mathcal{G}_K (cf. [20, §3]). Let W be an object of $\mathrm{Rep}_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$. It follows that W contains a B_{dR}^+ -lattice stable under \mathcal{G}_K . We say that W is *almost de Rham* if it contains a \mathcal{G}_K -stable B_{dR}^+ -lattice W^+ such that the Sen weights of the C -representation W^+/tW^+ are all in \mathbb{Z} (cf. [20, §3.7]).

Let B_{pdR}^+ be the algebra $B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+[\log(t)]$ and $B_{\mathrm{pdR}} := B_{\mathrm{dR}} \otimes_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+} B_{\mathrm{pdR}}^+$. The group \mathcal{G}_K acts on these algebras as $g(\log(t)) = \log(t) + \log(\epsilon(g))$. Let $\nu_{B_{\mathrm{pdR}}}$ be the unique B_{dR} -derivation of B_{pdR} such that $\nu_{B_{\mathrm{pdR}}}(\log(t)) = -1$, which preserves the subalgebra $B_{\mathrm{pdR}}^+ \subset B_{\mathrm{pdR}}$. We set $D_{\mathrm{pdR}}(W) := (B_{\mathrm{pdR}} \otimes_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}} W)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$, which is a finite dimensional K -vector space of dimension $\leq \dim_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}} W$. It follows that W is almost de Rham if and only if $\dim_K D_{\mathrm{pdR}}(W) = \dim_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}} W$ by [20, Théorème 4.1 (2)].

We say that W is *de Rham* if $\dim_K W^{\mathcal{G}_K} = \dim_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}} W$. If W is de Rham, W is also almost de Rham. We write $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{pdR}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ for the category of almost de Rham B_{dR} -representations, which is a Tannakian subcategory of $\mathrm{Rep}_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ and is stable under kernel, cokernel and extensions (cf. [20, §3.7]).

Let A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra. An $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ -representations of \mathcal{G}_K is a B_{dR} -representation W of \mathcal{G}_K together with a morphism of \mathbb{Q}_p -algebras $A \rightarrow \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Rep}_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)}(W)$ which makes W a finite free $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ -module. Let $\mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ be the category of $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ -representations of \mathcal{G}_K . We similarly define $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+$ -representations of \mathcal{G}_K and their category $\mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}(\mathcal{G}_K)$. We say that an $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ -representation of \mathcal{G}_K is *almost de Rham* if the underlying B_{dR} -representation is. Write $\mathrm{Rep}_{\mathrm{pdR}, A}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ for the category of almost de Rham representations of \mathcal{G}_K .

Let (G, B, T) be a split reductive group of regular type over K . For an abelian tensor category \mathcal{A} , let $G\text{-}\mathcal{A}$ denote the category of exact tensor functors $\omega: \mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow$

A. For an exact tensor functor $F: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ between abelian tensor categories, we also write $F: G\text{-}\mathcal{A} \rightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{A}'$ the induced functor. An $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}$ -representation with G -structure of \mathcal{G}_K is an object of $G\text{-Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ and a $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ -representation with G -structure of \mathbb{G}_a is an object of $G\text{-Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K}(\mathbb{G}_a)$. We say that $\omega \in \text{ob}(G\text{-Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K))$ is almost de Rham if $\omega(V)$ is almost de Rham for all $V \in \text{Rep}_K(G)$. Write $G\text{-Rep}_{\text{pdR}, A}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ for the category of almost de Rham $A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}$ -representations with G -structures of \mathcal{G}_K .

Lemma 8.1. *The functor D_{pdR} induces an equivalence of categories between $G\text{-Rep}_{\text{pdR}, A}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ and $G\text{-Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K}(\mathbb{G}_a)$.*

Proof. This follows from [11, Lemma 3.1.4] and Tannakian formalism. \square

8.2. Trianguline deformation functors of almost de Rham representations with G -structures. Fix an almost $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}$ -representation with G -structure W . A triangulation \mathcal{P}_W of W is a B -torsor $\text{Rep}_K(B) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_{L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\text{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ such that the composition with $\text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Rep}_K(B)$ is the same as the W . We assume that the underlying G -torsor over $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$ of $D_{\text{pdR}}(W)$ is trivial replacing K, L with sufficiently large finite extensions if necessary. Let $\omega_{\text{st}}: \text{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \text{Bun}(L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K)$ be the standard fiber functor. We also fix an isomorphism $\alpha: \omega_{\text{st}} \xrightarrow{\sim} D_{\text{pdR}}(W)$.

Definition 8.2. (1) Let X_W be the groupoid over \mathcal{C}_L as follows:

- The objects of $X_W(A)$ are pairs (W_A, ι_A) where A is an object of \mathcal{C}_L , W_A is an object of $G\text{-Rep}_{\text{pdR}, A}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ and $\iota_A: W_A \times_A L \xrightarrow{\sim} W$.
- A morphism $(W_A, \iota_A) \rightarrow (W_{A'}, \iota_{A'})$ is a map $A \rightarrow A'$ in \mathcal{C}_L and an isomorphism $W_A \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} W_{A'}$ compatible with the morphisms $\iota_A, \iota_{A'}$.

(2) Let X_W^{\square} be the groupoid over \mathcal{C}_L as follows:

- The objects of $X_W^{\square}(A)$ are (W_A, ι_A, α_A) with (W_A, ι_A) an object of $X_W(A)$ and $\alpha_A: \omega_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \omega_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha_A} & D_{\text{pdR}}(W_A) \otimes_A L \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\ \omega_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & D_{\text{pdR}}(W). \end{array}$$

- A morphism $(W_A, \iota_A, \alpha_A) \rightarrow (W_{A'}, \iota_{A'}, \alpha_{A'})$ is a morphism $(W_A, \iota_A) \rightarrow (W_{A'}, \iota_{A'})$ in X_W such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\omega_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A) \otimes_A A' & \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \alpha_A} & D_{\text{pdR}}(W_A) \otimes_A A' \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \cong \\ \omega_{\text{st}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A' & \xrightarrow{\alpha_{A'}} & D_{\text{pdR}}(W_{A'}). \end{array}$$

(3) For a fixed triangulation \mathcal{P}_W of W , let X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W} be the groupoid over \mathcal{C}_L as follows:

- The objects of $X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}(A)$ are $(W_A, \mathcal{P}_{W, A}, \iota_A)$ where (W_A, ι_A) is an object of $X_W(A)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{W, A}$ is a triangulation of W_A such that ι_A induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{W, A} \otimes_A L \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_W$.

- The morphisms are the morphisms in X_W which induce an isomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{W,A} \otimes_A A' \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{P}_{W,A'}$.

(4) For a fixed triangulation \mathcal{P}_W of W , let $X_{W,\mathcal{P}_W}^\square := X_{W,\mathcal{P}_W} \times_{X_W} X_W^\square$.

We set an algebraic group \underline{G} over L as

$$\underline{G} := \mathrm{Spec} L \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_p} \mathrm{Res}_{K/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\mathrm{GL}_{n,K}) \cong (\mathrm{GL}_{n,L})^\Sigma.$$

Similarly we define \underline{B} , \underline{T} . Let \mathfrak{g} , \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{t} be the Lie algebra over L of respectively \underline{G} , \underline{B} , \underline{T} . For a finite \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra A , we write $\mathfrak{g}(A) := \mathfrak{g} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A$ and do the same for \mathfrak{b} , \mathfrak{t} . Let $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ be the L -scheme defined by

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} := \{(g\underline{B}, \psi) \in (\underline{G}/\underline{B}) \times \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{ad}(g^{-1})\psi \in \mathfrak{b}\} \subset (\underline{G}/\underline{B}) \times \mathfrak{g}.$$

We write $q: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ for the Grothendieck's simultaneous resolution of singularities, which is defined by $(g\underline{B}, \psi) \mapsto \psi$. Let X be the L -scheme defined by

$$X := \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times_{\mathfrak{g}} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \{(g_1\underline{B}, g_2\underline{B}, \psi) \in (\underline{G}/\underline{B}) \times (\underline{G}/\underline{B}) \times \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathrm{ad}(g_1^{-1})\psi \in \mathfrak{b}, \mathrm{ad}(g_2^{-1})\psi \in \mathfrak{b}\},$$

where the fiber product is taken by the map q .

Lemma 8.3. *Let E be a field of characteristic 0 and A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra. There is a natural bijection between the set of homomorphisms of A -group schemes $\mathbb{G}_{a,A} \rightarrow G_A$ and the set of nilpotent elements of $\mathrm{Lie}_A(G_A)$.*

Moreover, the full subcategory of the objects, whose underlying torsor are trivial, of $G\text{-Rep}_A(\mathbb{G}_a)$ is equivalent to the category of the pair (G_A, ν_A) where $\nu_A \in \mathrm{Lie}_A(G_A)$ is a nilpotent element.

Proof. This follows from the preceding argument of [11, Proposition 3.1.1], the construction of a quasi-inverse of the equivalence in Lemma 8.1 (cf. [11, Corollary 3.1.2]) and Lemma 7.1. \square

Let W be an almost de Rham $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$ -representation with G -structure and \mathcal{P}_W be a triangulation of W . If (W_A, ι_A) is an object of $X_W(A)$ for $A \in \mathcal{C}_L$, let $\nu_{W_A} := \nu_{D_{\mathrm{pdR}}(W_A)}$ denote the nilpotent endomorphism of $D_{\mathrm{pdR}}(W_A)$ corresponding by Lemma 8.3 to the action of \mathbb{G}_a , which is given by Lemma 8.1. If (W_A, ι_A, α_A) is an object of $X_W^\square(A)$, we define $N_{W_A} \in \mathfrak{g}(A)$ as the matrix of $\alpha_A^{-1} \circ \nu_{W_A} \circ \alpha_A$ in the canonical basis of ω_{st} . In the case $A = L$, we simply write $N_W = N_{W_L}$.

Let $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ (resp. $\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}$) denote the completion of \mathfrak{g} (resp. \mathfrak{t}) at the point $N_W \in \mathfrak{g}(L)$ (resp. $0 \in \mathfrak{t}$). Note that the set of triangulations of $\omega_{\mathrm{st},A}: \mathrm{Rep}_K(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(G_K)$ is bijective to the subset of elements of $\{gBg^{-1} \mid g \in \omega_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{geom}}(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}})\}$, where $\omega_{\mathrm{st}}^{\mathrm{geom}}$ is the underlying scheme of ω_{st} naturally isomorphic to the group scheme $G_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}$, which are invariant in the action of \mathcal{G}_K .

It is also bijective to the subset of elements of $(G/B)(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}})$, which are invariant in the action of \mathcal{G}_K , by $gBg^{-1} \mapsto gB$. let $\widehat{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ denote the completion of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ at the point $(\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_W), N_W) \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}(L)$, where $\alpha^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_W) \in (\underline{G}/\underline{B})(L)$ is the object corresponding to the triangulation \mathcal{P}_W . If $A \in \mathcal{C}_L$, we similarly define $\alpha_A^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{W,A})$ for triangulations \mathcal{P}_W of $D_{\mathrm{pdR}}(D_A)$. For a groupoid X over \mathcal{C}_L , let $|X|$ denote the associated functor with X .

Corollary 8.4. (1) *The groupoid X_W^\square over \mathcal{C}_L is pro-representable and the functor*

$$(W_A, \iota_A, \alpha_A) \mapsto N_{W_A}$$

induces an isomorphism between X_W^\square and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

(2) The groupoid $X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}^\square$ over \mathcal{C}_L is pro-representable and the functor

$$(\mathcal{P}_{W,A}, W_A, \iota_A, \alpha_A) \mapsto (\alpha_A^{-1}(\mathcal{P}_{W,A}), N_{W_A})$$

induces an isomorphism between $X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}^\square$ and $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Proof. In the case of $G = \mathrm{GL}_m$, we have $X_W^\square = |X_W^\square|$ and $X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}^\square = |X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}^\square|$ by the preceding argument of [11, Corollary 3.6]. For a general G , considering the pushout $r_*(D)$ of D by a faithful representation $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$, we also have the similar two equations as above. Then the two pro-representabilities follows from Lemma 7.1 and Lemma 8.1. \square

8.3. Formally smoothness of the functor W_{dR} . Let A be a finite dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra. For an object \mathcal{M} of $\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}])$ and a \mathcal{R}_A -lattice D of \mathcal{M} stable under φ, Γ , there exists covariant functors

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ : \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}(\mathcal{G}_K) & D &\mapsto W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D) \\ W_{\mathrm{dR}} : \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}]) &\rightarrow \mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K) & \mathcal{M} &\mapsto W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M}), \end{aligned}$$

which are induced from the functors in the case of $A = \mathbb{Q}_p$ in [3, Proposition 2.2.6 (2)], constructed by [11, Lemma 3.3.5].

Let \mathcal{M} be an object of $G\text{-}\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}])$ and D be an object of $G\text{-}\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A)$ whose composition with the base change functor $\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A) \rightarrow \Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}])$ of (φ, Γ) -modules is isomorphic to \mathcal{M} . It follows that the functors

$$\begin{aligned} W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ : G\text{-}\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A) &\rightarrow G\text{-}\mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+}(\mathcal{G}_K) \\ W_{\mathrm{dR}} : G\text{-}\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}]) &\rightarrow G\text{-}\mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K), \end{aligned}$$

are induced by ones constructed above since W_{dR}^+ is an exact tensor functor, that is seen by the construction. Since B_{dR}^+ is a complete discrete valuation ring whose residue field is algebraically closed and $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$ is defined over B_{dR}^+ as $W_{\mathrm{dR}} = W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+ \otimes_{B_{\mathrm{dR}}^+} B_{\mathrm{dR}}$, the underlying torsors of the objects $W_{\mathrm{dR}}^+(D)$ and $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$ are trivial by [14, Proposition 6.1.1].

We define a (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure \mathcal{M} over $\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}]$, its *triangulation* $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ and its *parameter*, and when $A = L$ the groupoid $X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}}$ of deformations over \mathcal{C}_L in the same way as those over \mathcal{R}_A for an affinoid algebra A over \mathbb{Q}_p , especially in the case of A is an object of \mathcal{C}_L . Note that a parameter of a fixed triangulation over $\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}]$ is not unique.

Let \mathcal{T}_0 be the Zariski open subspace of the rigid space \mathcal{T} , which is the complement of the characters $z^{\mathbf{k}, \epsilon \cdot z^{\mathbf{k}}}$ where $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{Z}^\Sigma$, and \mathcal{T}_0^n be the Zariski open subspace of the rigid space \mathcal{T}^d , which is the complement of the characters $(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d)$ such that $\delta_i \delta_j^{-1} \in \mathcal{T}_0$ for $i \neq j$. For a fixed embedding $r: G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ of regular type, we define $\mathcal{T}_{G,0}$ be the inverse image of $\mathcal{T}_0^m \subset \mathcal{T}^m$ by the map $\mathcal{T}_G \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathrm{GL}_m} = \mathcal{T}^m$.

Let \mathcal{M} be a trianguline (φ, Γ) -module with G -structure over $\mathcal{R}_L[\frac{1}{t}]$, $\mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}$ be a triangulation of \mathcal{M} with a parameter $\underline{\delta} := (\delta_i)_{i \in [1, d]} \in (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_d) \in \mathcal{T}^d$, $W := W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$, \mathcal{P}_W be the induced triangulation $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M})$. Arguing as [11, Corollary 3.3.9], we obtain a morphism of groupoids over \mathcal{C}_L :

$$X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\delta}}^n \times_{\widehat{\mathfrak{t}}} X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}.$$

Proposition 8.5. *Assume the parameter $\underline{\delta}$ is locally algebraic and in $\mathcal{T}_{G,0}$. Then the morphism*

$$X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\delta}}^n \times_{\widehat{\tau}} X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W}$$

of groupoids over \mathcal{C}_L is formally smooth.

Proof. Let $A \twoheadrightarrow A'$ be a surjective map in \mathcal{C}_L , $x_{A'} = (\mathcal{M}_{A'}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_{A'}}, \pi_{A'})$ be an object of $X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}}(A')$, $y_{A'} = (\underline{\delta}_{A'}, W_{A'}, \mathcal{P}_{W_{A'}}, \iota_{A'})$ be its image in $(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\delta}}^n \times_{\widehat{\tau}} X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W})(A')$. Let $y_A = (\underline{\delta}_A, W_A, \mathcal{P}_{W_A}, \iota_A)$ be an object of $(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\delta}}^n \times_{\widehat{\tau}} X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W})(A)$ such that $\underline{\delta}_A = \underline{\delta}_{A'}$ modulo $\ker(A \rightarrow A')$ and $A' \otimes_A (W_A, \mathcal{P}_{W_A}, \iota_A) = (W_{A'}, \mathcal{P}_{W_{A'}}, \iota_{A'})$. We prove that there exists an object $x_A = (\mathcal{M}_A, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_A}, \pi_A)$ in $X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}}(A)$ whose image in $X_{\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}}}(A')$ is $x_{A'}$ and whose image in $(\widehat{\mathcal{T}}_{\underline{\delta}}^n \times_{\widehat{\tau}} X_{W, \mathcal{P}_W})(A)$ is isomorphic to y_A .

Since the embedding $G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_m$ is of regular type, we use the notations and the constructions in Proposition 4.13. By induction on $i \in [0, s-1]$, we will construct (φ, Γ) -modules with $L_{i+1} \cap B$ -structures $\mathcal{M}_{A, i+1}$, which are the parabolic extensions of the (φ, Γ) -module with $L_i \cap B$ -structure $\mathcal{M}_{A, i}$, which is already known by the assumption and compatible with isomorphisms $A' \otimes_A \mathcal{F}_{A, i} \cong \mathcal{F}_{A', i}$ and $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M}_{A, i}) \cong W_{A, i}$, where $W_{A, i}$ is the i successive parabolic extension of the T -torsor $\mathcal{P}_{W_{A'}} \times^B T$ given by the assumption.

By the assumption of the induction, i successive parabolic extensions of $\mathcal{P}_{W_A} \times^B T$, $\mathcal{P}_{W_{A'}} \times^B T$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_A} \times^B T$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_{A'}} \times^B T$ are given by respectively $W_{A, i}$, $W_{A', i}$, $\mathcal{M}_{A, i}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A', i}$. For each $i \in [1, s-1]$, there exist objects $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}$, $W_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}$ and $W_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}$ in each category and the corresponding $(i+1)$ -th extension classes are respectively written as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} E_1 &:= \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Rep}_{A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)}^1(A \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}, W_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}), \\ E &:= \mathrm{Ext}_{\mathrm{Rep}_{A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}}(\mathcal{G}_K)}^1(A' \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{dR}}, W_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}), \\ E_2 &:= \mathrm{Ext}_{\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_{A'}[\frac{1}{t}])}^1(\mathcal{R}_{A'}[\frac{1}{t}], \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}), \end{aligned}$$

where $W_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i} \otimes_A A' \cong W_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}$, $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i} \otimes_A A' \cong \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}$, $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}) \cong W_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}$ and $W_{\mathrm{dR}}(\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}) \cong W_{\mathrm{ad}, A', i}$ and the parameter of $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{M}_{A', i}}$ is in $\mathcal{T}_{G,0}(A')$. There is the map

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{\Phi\Gamma(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}])}^1(\mathcal{R}_A[\frac{1}{t}], \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{ad}, A, i}) \rightarrow E_1 \times_E E_2$$

induced by $W_{\mathrm{dR}} \times (B \otimes_A 1)$. Then it suffices to prove the map is surjective and this follows by the argument of [11, Theorem 3.4.4]. \square

8.4. Locally irreducibility and accumulation property. Let $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ be a GSp_{2n} -valued representation. We may similarly do the arguments of [11, §3] in the case of GSp_{2n} , using the results of [11, §2] and Tannakian formalism. Especially, we note the GSp_{2n} -variant of [11, Corollary 3.7.10].

Proposition 8.6. *Let $x = (\rho, \underline{\delta})$ be a point of $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ such that $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho)$ is benign. Then the rigid space $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ is normal, irreducible and Cohen-Macaulay at x .*

Proposition 8.7. *Let $x = (\rho, \underline{\delta}) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ be a crystalline point such that $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\rho))$ is φ -generic with regular Hodge-Tate type and assume that there exists a refinement of $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(D)$, then the morphism $\omega': X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_G$ is flat in a neighbourhood of x .*

Proof. This is shown by Theorem 5.2, Proposition 8.5, [11, Proposition 3.5.1, Corollary 3.5.9, Corollary 3.7.8] in the $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ case, which are similarly shown with Tannakian formalism, and the proof of [11, Proposition 4.1.1]. \square

Recall that the following definition (cf. [10, Definition 2.11] or [11, Definition 4.1.3]):

Definition 8.8. Let $x = (\rho, \underline{\delta}) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ such that $\omega(x)$ is algebraic. We say that $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ satisfies the *accumulation property* if the set of the points $(\rho', \underline{\delta}') \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ such that ρ' is benign accumulate at x in $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ in the sense of [6, §3.3.1].

Proposition 8.9. Let $x = (\rho, \underline{\delta}) \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ be a crystalline point such that $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(x))$ is φ -generic with regular Hodge-Tate type and assume that $\omega(x)$ is algebraic. Then $X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\bar{\rho})$ satisfies the accumulation property at x .

Proof. We may show this as the proof of [11, Proposition 4.1.4] from Lemma 6.5 and Proposition 8.7. \square

9. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

Let $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}$ and B, T be respectively the standard Borel subgroup and the maximal split torus of GSp_{2n} . Let $\bar{\rho}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_L)$ be a continuous GSp_{2n} -representation. Let $\mathbf{k} \in (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^{2n}$ be a strict dominant regular Hodge-Tate type such that there is a character $\lambda \in X^*(T)$ over L such that the element $r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\lambda) \in X^*(T_{2n}) \cong (\mathbb{Z}^\Sigma)^{2n}$ is \mathbf{k} .

There is a quotient $R_{\bar{\rho}, \mathrm{crys}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ of $R_{\bar{\rho}}$, corresponding Zariski closed subspace $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}, \mathrm{crys}}^{\mathbf{k}} \subset \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ consists of the crystalline GSp_{2n} -representations with Hodge-Tate type \mathbf{k} by [1, Proposition 3.0.9].

Lemma 9.1. Let x be a point of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}, \mathrm{crys}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ and U be an admissible open neighborhood of x . Then there exists a point $z \in U$ whose corresponding representation is benign.

Proof. We will prove étale locally. There exists an étale morphism $U' := \mathrm{Sp}(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}, \mathrm{crys}}^{\mathbf{k}}$ whose image contains x such that

$$D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_R) := ((R \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} B_{\mathrm{crys}}) \otimes_R V_R)^{\mathcal{G}_K}$$

is a finite free $K_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} R$ -module and an isomorphism $D_{\mathrm{dR}}(V_R) \xrightarrow{\sim} K \otimes_{K_0} D_{\mathrm{crys}}(V_R)$ which are compatible with base changes, where V_R is the restriction to U' of the universal framed deformation of $\bar{\rho}$ by [5, Corollary 6.3.3], [15, Corollary 3.19] and Tannakian formalism. So it suffices to construct a deformation of the $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k_z)$ -representation ρ_z on L such that $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_z)$ satisfies φ -genericity and noncriticality for any $z \in U \times_{\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}, \mathrm{crys}}^{\mathbf{k}}} U'$ because $R_{\bar{\rho}}^{\mathbf{k}}[1/p]$ is an integral domain, which follows by [1, Proposition 4.1.5]. That is done by constructing an appropriate lift of K -filtered φ -module $D_{\mathrm{crys}}(\rho_z)$ over L on $L[\epsilon] \in \mathcal{C}_L$ by Tannakian formalism and the construction after Lemma 6.3 since weak admissibility of K -filtered φ -modules over L is preserved by deformations on $A \in \mathcal{C}_L$, and that is done by the proof of [33, Lemma 4.2]. \square

Proposition 9.2. The Zariski closure $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ of the following subset of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$:

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}} := \{x \in \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} \mid \rho_x \text{ is crystalline with regular Hodge-Tate type}\}$$

is a union of irreducible components of $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$.

Proof. We may follow the proof of [24, Proposition 5.10]. Let \mathfrak{Z} be an irreducible component of $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$. Endowing the closed subsets of $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}}$ with the reduced closed structures ([8, 9.5.3, Proposition 4]), the complement $\mathfrak{U} := \mathfrak{Z} \setminus \mathfrak{Z}_{\mathrm{sing}}$ of the singular locus $\mathfrak{Z}_{\mathrm{sing}} \subset \mathfrak{Z}$ is an admissible open in \mathfrak{Z} .

We may pick a smooth point $x \in \mathfrak{U}$ with the corresponding representation $\rho_x: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(k(x))$, and we may also assume that ρ_x is benign by Lemma 9.1. To see that x is also smooth, we have to show that $H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x))) = 0$. Then it suffices to show $H^2(\mathrm{ad}(D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\rho_x)))) = 0$ since $\mathrm{ad}(D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x))$ is a saturated (φ, Γ) -submodule of $\mathrm{ad}(D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\rho_x)))$, and this follows from the argument in the first half part of the proof of [24, Proposition 5.10] by the φ -genericity of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\rho_x))$.

The irreducible set \mathfrak{Z} is contained in a unique irreducible component of $\mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}}$. So the assertion is reduced to the surjectivity of the injection

$$T_x \mathfrak{Z} \hookrightarrow T_x \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}}$$

of the tangent spaces.

Recall that the triangulations of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x)$ are exactly parametrized by $w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)$ by Proposition 6.1, and we write them as \mathcal{P}_w . Each pair (x, \mathcal{P}_w) defines a point $y_w \in \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{tri}}(\overline{\rho})$. Replace L with the field of definition $k(x)$ of x , which is a finite extension of L . Let $\mathcal{P}_w \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$ denote the induced triangulation of the (φ, Γ) -module with GSp_{2n} -structure $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho_x) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_L} \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$ over $\mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$ from the base change by $\mathcal{R}_L \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_L \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$.

Write \mathcal{X}_ρ for the usual functor of framed deformations over \mathcal{C}_L of continuous $\rho: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(L)$, which is pro-represented by R_ρ . We define

$$\begin{aligned} X_{D, \mathcal{M}} &:= X_D \times_{X_D \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} X_{D \left[\frac{1}{t} \right], \mathcal{M}}, \\ \mathcal{X}_{D, \mathcal{M}} &:= X_{D, \mathcal{M}} \times_{X_D} \mathcal{X}_D \end{aligned}$$

as an GSp_{2n} -analogue of the groupoids over \mathcal{C}_L constructed in [11, §3.5, §3.6] for a triangulation \mathcal{M} of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(\rho) \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]$. Note that there is the natural monomorphism of groupoids $X_{D, \mathcal{P}_w} \rightarrow X_{D, \mathcal{P}_w \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}$.

Since $D_{\mathrm{rig}}(r_{\mathrm{std},*}(\rho_x))$ is benign, it follows that $\widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\overline{\rho}), y_w} \cong R_{\mathcal{P}_w \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]}$ is an integral domain by arguing [11, Theorem 3.6.2 (i), Corollary 3.7.8] (see also [24, Proposition 5.7]) with Tannakian formalism and Proposition 8.6.

It follows that there is a unique irreducible component \mathfrak{Y}_w of $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{tri}}(\overline{\rho})$, which contains y_w . Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ be the subset of points of $(\rho', \underline{\rho}') \in X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\overline{\rho})$ such that ρ' is benign. By Proposition 8.9, there exists an affinoid neighborhood \mathfrak{U}_w of y_w such that $\mathfrak{U}_w \cap \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{cr}}$ is dense in \mathfrak{U}_w . Then $\mathfrak{U}_w \cap \mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{cr}} \cap \mathfrak{Y}_w$ is dense in $\mathfrak{U}_w \cap \mathfrak{Y}_w$, which is Zariski open and Zariski dense in \mathfrak{Y}_w , so $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{cr}} \cap \mathfrak{Y}_w$ is dense in \mathfrak{Y}_w . Then the image of \mathfrak{Y}_w by the natural projection

$$X_{\mathrm{tri}}(\overline{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}} \times_L \mathcal{T}_{G, \mathrm{reg}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}}$$

is \mathfrak{Z} . So we get the maps

$$\bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} T \left(\mathcal{X}_{\rho_x, \mathcal{P}_w \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]} \right) = \bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} T_{y_w \mathfrak{Y}_w} \rightarrow T_x \mathfrak{Z} \hookrightarrow T_x \mathfrak{X}_{\overline{\rho}} \cong T(\mathcal{X}_\rho)$$

The map is compatible with the forgetful maps $X_{\rho_x, \mathcal{P}_w[\frac{1}{t}]} \rightarrow X_{\rho_x}$. By the definition of $\mathcal{X}_{\rho_x, \mathcal{P}_w[\frac{1}{t}]}$ and that $\mathcal{X}_{\rho_x} \rightarrow X_{\rho_x}$ is formally smooth, there exists a finite dimensional vector space V over L and the above sequence of the maps factors as

$$\begin{aligned} \bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} T\left(\mathcal{X}_{\rho_x, \mathcal{P}_w[\frac{1}{t}]}\right) &\cong \bigoplus_{w \in W(\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}, T)} \left(T\left(X_{\rho_x, \mathcal{P}_w[\frac{1}{t}]}\right) \oplus V\right) \\ &\rightarrow T(X_{\rho_x}) \oplus V \cong T(\mathcal{X}_{\rho_x}) \end{aligned}$$

where the middle map is defined by $\sum_w T(\pi_w) \oplus \mathrm{id}_V$ for each projection π_w to the w -component. By Corollary 7.13, $\sum_w T(\pi_w)$ is surjective, so $T_x \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow T_x \mathfrak{Y}$ is an isomorphism. \square

Write $\mathrm{det}: \mathrm{GSp}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ be the composition of r_{std} and the usual determinant map $\mathrm{GL}_{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. Let $\omega: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow k_L$ be the mod ϖ_L -cyclotomic character. For an object $\bar{\rho}$ of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\text{-Rep}_{k_L}(\mathcal{G}_K)$ whose underlying torsor is trivial, we write $\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho}) := \mathrm{Lie}_{k_L}(\mathrm{Aut}_G(\bar{\rho}))$, $\mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$ be the kernel of the map $\mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho}) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_{a, k_L}$, $x \rightarrow (\mathrm{det}(1+x) - 1)/\epsilon$ and $\chi_{\mathrm{LT}}: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow K^*$ be the Lubin-Tate character corresponding to the fixed uniformizer $\varpi_K \in \mathcal{O}_K$. We naturally identify continuous representations $\mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ with objects of $\mathrm{GSp}_{2n}\text{-Rep}_A(\mathcal{G}_K)$ whose underlying torsor is trivial for $A \in \mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$.

Theorem 9.3. *Assume that $\bar{\rho}$ satisfies the following conditions:*

- (1) $H^0(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) \cong k_L$
- (2) $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}}$ is non-empty,
- (3) $H^0(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho}) \otimes \omega) = 0$,
- (4) $\zeta_p \notin K^*$, or $p \nmid 2n$.

Then we have an equality $\overline{\mathfrak{X}}_{\mathrm{reg-crys}} = \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$.

Proof. First, if $\zeta_p \notin K^*$, we have $H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) = 0$ by the assumptions, the following exact sequence

$$H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, k_L) \rightarrow 0$$

and the Tate duality. So it follows that $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}$ is irreducible and then the theorem follows by Proposition 9.2. Next, we prove the theorem when $p \nmid 2n$. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be a maximal integer such that $\zeta_{p^\alpha} \in K$ and $\mu := \mu_{p^\infty}(K) = \mu_{p^\alpha}(K)$ be the group of the p -power roots of unity in K . There is the decomposition

$$R_{\bar{\rho}}\left[\frac{1}{p}\right] \cong \prod_{\chi: \mu \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^*} R_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi\left[\frac{1}{p}\right],$$

where $R_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi = R_{\bar{\rho}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_L[\mu], \chi} \mathcal{O}_L$, by the argument of [13, §3]. It induces the equality

$$\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}} = \bigsqcup_{\chi: \mu \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^*} \mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi$$

of rigid spaces. It follows that the rigid spaces $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi$ for all character $\chi: \mu \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_L^*$ are isomorphic by the assumptions and the argument in the last half of the proof of [33, Theorem 4.4]. Then it suffices to show that a $\mathfrak{X}_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi$ for some χ is irreducible by Proposition 9.2.

We follow the argument of the proof of [32, Theorem 4.16]. Let A be a object of $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{O}_L}$ and $I \subset A$ be a non-zero ideal such that $\mathrm{Im}_A = 0$ and $D_{\bar{\rho}}^\chi$ is the framed

deformation functor pro-represented by $R_{\bar{\rho}}$. We will prove that for each $[\rho_{A/I}] \in D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\chi}(A/I)$ lifts to $D_{\bar{\rho}}^{\chi}(A)$. We represent $\rho_{A/I}$ by a continuous homomorphism $\mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A/I)$, for which we also write $\rho_{A/I}$, by fixing an A/I -basis.

Since $R_{\bar{\rho}}$ is formally smooth for example by [12, Lemma 4.1], we can take a continuous character $c_A: \mathcal{G}_K^{\mathrm{ab}} \rightarrow A^*$ which is a lift of $\rho_{A/I}$ such that $c_A(\mathrm{rec}_K(\zeta_{p^n})) = \chi(\zeta_{p^n})$. Then taking a continuous lift $\tilde{\rho}_A: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ of $\rho_{A/I}$ such that $\det(\tilde{\rho}_A(g)) = c_A(g)$ for any $g \in \mathcal{G}_K$, $\tilde{\rho}_A(g_1 g_2) \tilde{\rho}_A(g_2)^{-1} \tilde{\rho}_A(g_1)^{-1}$ defines the 2-cocycle $f: \mathcal{G}_K \times \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow I \otimes_{k_L} \mathrm{ad}(\bar{\rho})$. It is contained in $I \otimes_{k_L} \mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$ by $\det(\tilde{\rho}_A) = c_A$ is an homomorphism. By the assumption (1), we have $H^2(\mathcal{G}_K, \mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})) = 0$. Then it follows that there is a cochain $d: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow I \otimes_{k_L} \mathrm{ad}^0(\bar{\rho})$ such that $\tilde{\rho}_A \otimes (\mathrm{id} + d)$ is a continuous homomorphism $\rho_A: \mathcal{G}_K \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(A)$ such that ρ_A is a lift of $\rho_{A/I}$ and $\det(\rho_A) = c_A$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Balaji, *G-valued potentially semi-stable deformation rings*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2012, Thesis (Ph. D.)-The University of Chicago.
- [2] L. Berger, *Représentations p-adiques et équations différentielles*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 219-284.
- [3] L. Berger, *Construction de (φ, Γ) -modules: représentations p-adiques et B-paires*, Algebra Number Theory **2** (2008), no. 1, 91-120.
- [4] L. Berger, C. Breuil, P. Colmez (eds.), *Représentations p-adiques de groupes p-adiques I: Représentations galoisiennes et (φ, Γ) -modules*, Astérisque **319** (2008).
- [5] L. Berger, P. Colmez, *Familles de représentations de de Rham et monodromie p-adique*, Astérisque **319** (2008), no. 1, 91-120.
- [6] J. Bellaïche, G. Chenevier, *Families of Galois representations and Selmer groups*, Astérisque **324** (2009), xii+314.
- [7] R. Bellovin, T. Gee, *G-valued local deformation rings and global lifts*, Algebra and Number Theory **13** (2019), 333-378.
- [8] S. Bosch, U. Güntzer, R. Remmert, *Non-archimedean analysis*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. **261**, Springer-Verlag (1984).
- [9] C. Breuil, E. Hellmann, B. Schraen, *Une interprétation modulaire de la variété trianguline*, Math. Ann. **367** (2017), no. 3-4, 1587-1645.
- [10] C. Breuil, E. Hellmann, B. Schraen, *Smoothness and clasicality on eigenvarieties*, Invent. Math. **209.1** (2017), 197-274.
- [11] C. Breuil, E. Hellmann, B. Schraen, *A local model for the trianguline variety and applications*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **130** (2019), 299-412.
- [12] G. Böckle, A. Iyengar, V. Paškūnas, *On local Galois deformation rings*, Forum Math. Pi **11** (2023), Paper No. e30, 54.
- [13] G. Böckle, A. Iyengar, V. Paškūnas, *Zariski density of crystalline points*, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA **120** (2023), no. 13, Paper No. e2221042120, 7.
- [14] K. Česnavičius, *Problems about torsors over regular rings*, Acta Math. Vietnam. **47.1** (2022). With an appendix by Yifei Zhao, 39-107.
- [15] G. Chenevier, *Sur la densité des représentations cristallines de $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$* , Math. Ann. **355** (2013), no. 4, 1469-1525.
- [16] G. Chenevier, *On the infinite fern of Galois representations of unitary type*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. **44** (2011), 963-1019.
- [17] P. Colmez, *Représentations triangulines de dimension 2*, [4], 213-258.
- [18] B. Conrad, *Relative ampleness in rigid geometry*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **56** (2006), no. 4, 1049-1126.
- [19] M. Emerton, T. Gee, *Moduli stacks of étale (φ, Γ) -modules and the existence of crystalline lifts*, Ann. of Math. Stud., 215 Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2023.
- [20] J. -M. Fontaine, *Arithmétique des représentations galoisiennes p-adiques*, Astérisque **295** (2004), 1-115.

- [21] E. Hellmann, *Families of p -adic Galois representations and (φ, Γ) -modules*, Comment. Math. Helv. **91** (2016), no. 4, 721-749.
- [22] E. Hellmann, C. M. Margerin, B. Schraen, *Density of automorphic points in deformation rings of polarized global Galois representations*, Duke Math. J. **171** (2022), no. 13, 2699-2752.
- [23] E. Hellmann, B. Schraen, *Density of potentially crystalline representations of fixed weight*, Compos. Math. **152** (2016), no. 8, 1609-1647.
- [24] A. Iyenger, *Deformation theory of the trivial mod p Galois representation for GL_n* , Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **22** (2020), 8896-8935.
- [25] J. C. Jantzen, *Representations of Algebraic Groups (Second Edition)*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs **107**, Amer. Math. Soc., 2007.
- [26] K. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst, L. Xiao, *Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), 1043-1115.
- [27] H. Lee, *Emerton-Gee stacks, Serre weights, and Breuil-Mézard conjectures for GSp_4* , preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.13879>
- [28] B. Levin, *G -valued flat deformations and local models*, PhD. Thesis (2013).
- [29] Z. Lin, *The Emerton-Gee stacks for tame groups, I*, preprint, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2304.05317>
- [30] J. Milne, *Algebraic groups: The Theory of Group Schemes of Finite Type over a Field*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Series Number **170**.
- [31] K. Nakamura, *Classification of two dimensional split trianguline representations of p -adic fields*, Composito Math. **145** (2009), 865-914.
- [32] K. Nakamura, *Deformations of trianguline B -pairs and Zariski density of two dimensional crystalline representations*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **20** (2013), 461-568.
- [33] K. Nakamura, *Zariski density of crystalline representation for any p -adic field*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **21.1** (2014), 79-127.
- [34] Philippe Gille, Patrick Polo (eds.), *Schémas en groupes (SGA 3). Tome III. Structure des schémas en groupes réductifs*, Documents Mathématiques (paris) [Mathematical Documents (Paris)], **8**, Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2011 (French). Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois Marie 1962-64. [Algebraic Geometry Seminar of Bois Marie 1962-64]; A seminar directed by M. Demazure and A. Grothendieck with the collaboration of M. Artin, J. -E. Bertin, P. Gabriel, M. Raynaud and J-P. Serre; Revised and annotated edition of the 1970 French original.
- [35] A. J. de Jong et al., *The Stacks Project*, available at <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>.
- [36] N. Saavedra Rivano, *Catégories tannakiennes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **265**, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [37] P. Scholze, J. Weinstein, *Berkeley lectures on p -adic geometry*, vol. 207, Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 2020.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, KYOTO UNIVERSITY KYOTO, 606-8502, JAPAN

Email address: `aoki.kensuke.88s@st.kyoto-u.ac.jp`