Time-Reversal Symmetry Bounds in Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory

Ken X. Wang *†

July 25, 2024

Abstract

We provide a general treatment for the temporal coupled-mode theory with arbitrary numbers of modes and ports, and derive tight bounds for the coupling phases in addition to coupling strengths under time-reversal symmetry. We report trade-offs between the cardinalities of the reciprocal regions of the resonant coupling strengths versus phases. We discover that time-reversal symmetry enforces projected generalized reflections in the background to cancel out completely. In double-port systems, the reciprocal regions of the coupling phases span a quarter of the nonreciprocal regions for any non-hidden mode.

The temporal coupled-mode theory is a phenomenological theory for Fano-Feshbach resonances and is widely used to describe and predict physical devices [1–39]. The input and output wave amplitudes can be observed at ports [7], characterizing a scattering matrix of the physical system. Waves propagate through the system via two pathways: a background pathway without interacting with localized modes, and a resonant pathway with such perturbative interaction through the coupling between the ports and the leaky modes. Waves from these two pathways coherently form the overall scattering amplitudes. These two pathways, however, are not independent but constrained by symmetries, and the coupling parameters between modes

^{*}To whom correspondence should be addressed. Electronic mail: wxz@hust.edu.cn

[†]School of Physics, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan, Hubei, China

and ports cannot be arbitrary but are related to the scattering background. In particular, it was previously shown that there exists a bound on the coupling strengths in the single-mode double-port case [14]. In this Letter, we provide a general treatment for any numbers of modes and ports, and show that the symmetry constraints not only bound coupling strengths but also the phases.

A temporal coupled-mode system is shown in Figure 1. We assume that there are m modes and n ports. In electromagnetics, for instance, a mode is a localized solution of the Maxwell's equations, and a port corresponds to a propagating solution. It is perhaps worth noting that our abstraction of the modes and ports might not intuitively match physical or geometrical shapes of the actual structures. The coupled-mode equations model the system dynamics as follows:

$$\dot{\mathbf{a}} = (j\Omega - \Gamma)\mathbf{a} + K^T \mathbf{s}_+,\tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{s}_{-} = C\mathbf{s}_{+} + D\mathbf{a},\tag{2}$$

where **a** is the mode amplitude vector, \mathbf{s}_+ and \mathbf{s}_- are the input and output wave amplitude vectors at the ports, Ω and Γ are the frequency and decay matrices of the modes, K and D are the in-coupling and out-coupling matrices between the modes and the ports, and C is the background or the direct scattering matrix between the ports without involving the modes. Equation 1 describes the evolution of the modes with incoming waves from the ports, and Equation 2 coherently combines scattering along the background and the resonant-assisted pathways.

The system parameters above are not arbitrary but obey physical laws. If a temporal coupled-mode system obeys energy conservation alone without time-reversal symmetry, the system parameters are constrained by the equations in Table 1 [7, 40, 41]. In particular, $\Gamma^{\dagger} = \Gamma$ as implied by Equation 5. With both energy conservation and time-reversal symmetry, which together imply reciprocity [1, 6, 22, 42–45], the system parameters are constrained by the equations in Table 2. In particular, the in-coupling and out-coupling matrices are equal as shown in Equation 9.

Combining Equations 6 and 9, we have:

$$CD^* = -D. \tag{10}$$

We observe that the background pathway, described by the background scattering matrix C, and the resonant pathway under reciprocity, described by



Figure 1: Structure abstraction in temporal coupled-mode theory. **a** is the amplitude vector of the m localized modes supported by a physical structure(s), which has many possibilities. \mathbf{s}_+ and \mathbf{s}_- are the incoming and outgoing amplitude vectors of propagating waves through the n ports, which could also correspond to a variety of different physical structures.

$$\Omega^{\dagger} = \Omega \tag{3}$$

$$C^{\dagger}C = I \tag{4}$$

$$D^{\dagger}D = 2\Gamma \tag{5}$$

$$CK^* = -D \tag{6}$$

$$||K|| = ||D||$$
(7)

Table 1: Constraints on temporal coupled-mode system parameters under energy conservation.

$$\Omega^{\dagger} = \Omega$$

$$C^{\dagger}C = I$$

$$C = C^{T}$$

$$D^{\dagger}D = 2\Gamma$$

$$CK^{*} = -D$$

$$K = D$$
(9)

Table 2: Constraints on temporal coupled-mode system parameters under energy conservation and time-reversal symmetry.

the coupling matrix D, are not independent of each other, but related by Equation 10. $C \in U(n) \subset \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}$ and $D \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times m}$, in particular, we can write down each element in D:

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} d_{11} & d_{12} & \cdots & d_{1m} \\ d_{21} & d_{22} & \cdots & d_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ d_{n1} & d_{n2} & \cdots & d_{nm} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (11)

where the matrix element d_{pq} is the coupling constant between the *p*-th port and the *q*-th mode, where p = 1, 2, ..., n and q = 1, 2, ..., m. From Equations 10 and 11, we can derive that:

$$C \begin{pmatrix} d_{1q} \\ d_{2q} \\ \vdots \\ d_{nq} \end{pmatrix}^* = - \begin{pmatrix} d_{1q} \\ d_{2q} \\ \vdots \\ d_{nq} \end{pmatrix}, \ \forall q.$$
(12)

Equation 12 holds for each mode, and implies that the constraint is imposed on each mode independently. On the system level, one could engineer a mode without necessarily affecting the coupling properties of other modes. We denote $\theta_{pq} = \angle d_{pq}$ as the phase angle of the matrix element d_{pq} in D, then $d_{pq} = |d_{pq}|e^{j\theta_{pq}}$. We can then expand Equation 12 into the following:

$$C\begin{pmatrix} e^{-j\theta_{1q}} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & e^{-j\theta_{2q}} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{-j\theta_{nq}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} |d_{1q}|\\ |d_{2q}|\\ \vdots\\ |d_{nq}| \end{pmatrix} = -\begin{pmatrix} e^{j\theta_{1q}} & 0 & \cdots & 0\\ 0 & e^{j\theta_{2q}} & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{j\theta_{nq}} \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} |d_{1q}|\\ |d_{2q}|\\ \vdots\\ |d_{nq}| \end{pmatrix}, \forall q.$$
(13)

Equation 13 can be written as:

$$G\begin{pmatrix} |d_{1q}|\\ |d_{2q}|\\ \vdots\\ |d_{nq}| \end{pmatrix} = 0, \ \forall q, \tag{14}$$

where

$$G = C \begin{pmatrix} e^{-j\theta_{1q}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-j\theta_{2q}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{-j\theta_{nq}} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} e^{j\theta_{1q}} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{j\theta_{2q}} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{j\theta_{nq}} \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (15)

We examine all possible solutions of Equation 14. One solution is that:

$$\begin{pmatrix} |d_{1q}| \\ |d_{2q}| \\ \vdots \\ |d_{nq}| \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$
 (16)

Equation 16 describes a q-th mode uncoupled from all the ports. Substituting Equation 16 into Equation 5, we observe that such a mode cannot leak to ports through other modes, either. Consequently, such modes could not couple to the ports either directly or indirectly, however, they could still contribute to the overall scattering process through coupling with other modes if the overlap integral with some other mode is nonzero [7]. We refer to such mode as a hidden mode. In fact, if we do not impose any constraints on the coupling phases, then almost surely rank(G) = n and the modes are necessarily hidden. In other words, for non-hidden modes, the coupling phases are necessarily constrained.

For non-hidden modes, G is singular by Equation 14. Furthermore, if we do not impose any constraints on the coupling strengths, then we almost surely have:

$$G = 0. \tag{17}$$

Equation 17 is only possible if C is diagonal, and one must carefully engineers the coupling phases. Specifically, the background scattering matrix has to be given by:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} e^{j\delta_1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & e^{j\delta_2} & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & e^{j\delta_n} \end{pmatrix},$$
 (18)

where there are no transmissions, and the reflection at the *p*-th port introduces a phase shift of δ_p . With Equations 15, 18 and 17, we derive:

$$\theta_{pq} = \frac{\delta_p \pm \pi}{2},\tag{19}$$

for the q-th mode and the p-th port. It is remarkable that all mode-port couplings are necessarily in perfect synchronization among different modes. Equations 18 and 19 establish the condition for Equation 17 to hold.

If Equations 18 and 19 are not satisfied simultaneously, for a non-hidden mode such that Equation 16 does not hold, we have $0 < \operatorname{rank}(G) < n$. There still exist uncountably infinite many solutions of the coupling strengths $|d_{pq}|$, but with constraints, although many of which are redundant due to the rank deficiency and complexness of G. The kernel of G has a dimensionality of $n - \operatorname{rank}(G)$ according to the rank-nullity theorem [46]. Thus the lower rank G possesses, there are less restrictions on the coupling strength and more restrictions on the coupling phase. One could leverage this trade-off to engineer desirable coupling. In general,

$$\det(G) = 0,\tag{20}$$

and we can compute the determinant det(G) [47]:

$$\det(G) = \sum_{\sigma \subseteq [n]} \left[\operatorname{minor}_{\sigma} \left(C \operatorname{diag}(e^{-j\theta_{1q}}, e^{-j\theta_{2q}}, \cdots, e^{-j\theta_{nq}}) \right) \operatorname{minor}_{[n] \setminus \sigma} \operatorname{diag}(e^{j\theta_{1q}}, e^{j\theta_{2q}}, \cdots, e^{j\theta_{nq}}) \right]$$
(21)

where $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and M_{σ} or minor_{σ}(M) is the principal minor of a square matrix M indexed by σ , with $C_{\emptyset} = 1$. Equations 20 and 21 together can be simplified as:

$$\sum_{\sigma \subseteq [n]} \left(C_{\sigma} e^{-j \sum_{p \in \sigma} \theta_{pq} + j \sum_{p \in [n] \setminus \sigma} \theta_{pq}} \right) = 0.$$
(22)

Equation 22 has intriguing physical implications. C_{σ} can be interpreted as a generalized complex reflection coefficient upon the collection of ports σ . We can always divide the power set of [n] into two complementary halves N and $2^{[n]} \setminus N$ such that, for any element $\sigma \in N$, $[n] \setminus \sigma \in 2^{[n]} \setminus N$. Equation 22 can be written as:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in N} \left(C_{\sigma} e^{-j \sum_{p \in \sigma} \theta_{pq} + j \sum_{p \in [n] \setminus \sigma} \theta_{pq}} + C_{[n] \setminus \sigma} e^{-j \sum_{p \in [n] \setminus \sigma} \theta_{pq} + j \sum_{p \in \sigma} \theta_{pq}} \right) = 0.$$
(23)

Using the mathematical results on complementary principal minors of unitary matrix in the Appendix, concerning both magnitude and phase, we can further derive that:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in N} |C_{\sigma}| \cos(\eta_{\sigma}) = 0, \qquad (24)$$

where

$$\eta_{\sigma} = \frac{\angle (C_{[n]})}{2} - \angle (C_{\sigma}) + \sum_{p \in \sigma} \theta_{pq} - \sum_{p \in [n] \setminus \sigma} \theta_{pq}, \qquad (25)$$

and \angle represents the phase angle. Equation 24 determines the constraints on the coupling phases in relation to the background process C. $|C_{\sigma}| \cos(\eta_{\sigma})$ is a projection of the generalized reflection, and 2^{n-1} such terms cancel out in order to satisfy the time-reversal symmetry.

For single-port systems that n = 1, the most general background is given by $C = e^{j\phi}$, and a single-port version of Equation 19 can be derived from Equation 24. Therefore, in a single-port reciprocal system, there are no restrictions on the coupling strength of the non-hidden modes, but the couplings need to be in perfect synchronization.

For double-port systems that n = 2, the most general C can be written as:

$$C = e^{j\phi} \begin{pmatrix} r & jt \\ jt & r \end{pmatrix}$$
(26)

where $r^2 + t^2 = 1$. Substituting Equation 26 into Equation 24,

$$\cos(\theta_{1q} + \theta_{2q} - \phi) + r\cos(\theta_{1q} - \theta_{2q}) = 0.$$
(27)

Since $0 \leq r \leq 1$, we have:

$$-1 \leqslant \frac{\cos(\theta_{1q} + \theta_{2q} - \phi)}{\cos(\theta_{1q} - \theta_{2q})} \leqslant 0.$$
(28)

Equation 28 provides the bound on feasible coupling phases for any q-th mode under time-reversal symmetry. If Equation 28 is violated, then no reciprocal system is possible. Without loss of generality, we can choose appropriate reference planes such that $\phi = 0$, and plot the feasible phases in Figure 2. Exactly one quarter of the codomain, or the nonreciprocal feasible region, forms the feasible region with reciprocity. We can also use Equation 14 to derive that:

$$\frac{1-r}{1+r} \leqslant \left|\frac{d_{1q}}{d_{2q}}\right|^2 \leqslant \frac{1+r}{1-r} \tag{29}$$

for each q. Equation 29 is a bound on the coupling strengths consistent with the results previously reported [14, 16], and is more general since it holds for every single mode and beyond electromagnetics. Equation 29 further implies that a mode hidden from a port needs to be hidden from both ports.



Figure 2: Bounds on coupling phases under time-reversal symmetry. The colored regions are the reciprocal feasible regions of the phase angles at n = 2, and the uncolored regions are necessarily nonreciprocal. The bounds are identical for any q-th non-hidden mode.

When n = 3, we can compute the bounds on coupling strengths and phases similarly, by utilizing the results on U(3) parametrization [48, 49]. We could also obtain simpler closed-form bounds for systems with other symmetries, including parity, geometrical, and permutation symmetries, both for n = 3 [8, 15, 50–52], and for systems with n > 3 [49, 53].

It is also worth noting that, one may construct an overall reciprocal scattering matrix with nonreciprocal coupling. We first define the overall scattering matrix $S \in \mathbb{C}^{N \times N}$:

$$\mathbf{s}_{-} = S\mathbf{s}_{+}.\tag{30}$$

Applying Equations 1 and 2 into Equation 30, the scattering matrix can be written as:

$$S = C + D[j(\omega - \Omega) + \Gamma]^{-1} K^T.$$
(31)

From Equation 31, one can see that, even when reciprocity is maximally violated at the in- and out-coupling, for example, when K = -D, S could be symmetric and reciprocal. In other words, internal symmetry breaking does not necessarily lead to external symmetry breaking. It is also of interest to quantitatively study the degree of symmetry breaking and the relaxation of the constraints in Tables 1 and 2.

As a final remark, although the temporal coupled-mode theory is an approximate and phenomenological model, its accuracy is sufficient for many applications, for instance, in electromagnetics [22, 54]. A simpler version of Equation 29 was discovered in the temporal coupled-mode theory [14], and was later discovered that similar bounds are generally applicable in electromagnetics from first-principles [16].

In summary, we discover time-reversal symmetry bounds on both coupling strengths and phases, as well as the trade-off therein. Given the importance of phase in physics, we expect the results to be exploited in many scenarios. In addition to the bounds on the system parameters, the distribution of the parameters might not be uniform within the bounds. Further work could also include exploring deep and rich results in nonreciprocal systems or with energy conversation only in Table 1, in topological systems [55–57], in generalized, extended, or other versions of temporal coupled-mode theory [58–60], and beyond the temporal coupled-mode theory [61].

Appendix: Complementary Minors in Unitary Matrix

Complementary minors of a unitary matrix have equal magnitudes and complementary phases that add up to the phase of the determinant of the unitary matrix.

We consider a unitary matrix $U \in U(n)$:

$$U = \left(\begin{array}{cc} A & B \\ C & D \end{array}\right). \tag{32}$$

It suffices to prove for leading principal minors, as the results can be generalized to minors by permutation [46, 62].

Theorem 1. Complementary minors of a unitary matrix have equal magnitude.

Proof. By unitarity, $A^{\dagger}A = I - B^{\dagger}B$ and $DD^{\dagger} = I - BB^{\dagger}$. Since the determinant of sum of identity plus a matrix can be expressed by sums of principal minors of that matrix [47, 63], and all the symmetric functions of $B^{\dagger}B$ and BB^{\dagger} are equal to sums of respective principal minors [46], we can conclude that $\det(A^{\dagger}A) = \det(DD^{\dagger})$ and $|\det(A)| = |\det(D)| \leq |\det(U)|$. \Box

The statement can be generalized to non-square complementary submatrices as they share an identical nontrivial spectrum. The result can be further generalized with interlacing eigenvalues.

Although we can define the phase of a matrix [64], we restrict our attention to the phase of minors.

Theorem 2. Phases of complementary minors of a unitary matrix add up to the phase of the determinant of the unitary matrix.

Proof. For well-defined phases, A and D need to be invertible. Using Schur's complement [46], $\det(U) = \det(A - BD^{-1}C) \det(D) = \det(A) \det(I - A^{-1}BD^{-1}C) \det(D)$. By unitarity, and using the determinant of sum of matrices again, we can deduce that $\det(I - A^{-1}BD^{-1}C)$ is real. Hence $\angle \det(A) + \angle \det(D) = \angle \det(U)$ when the phase angle is properly defined. \Box

References

- [1] Hermann A. Haus. Waves and Fields in Optoelectronics. Prentice Hall, 1983.
- [2] Anthony E. Siegman. *Lasers*. University Science Books, 1986.
- [3] Hermann A. Haus and Weiping Huang. "Coupled-Mode Theory". In: Proc. IEEE 79.10 (1991), p. 1505.
- [4] Anatoly A. Barybin and Victor A. Dmitriev. *Modern Electrodynamics* and Coupled-Mode Theory: Application to Guided-Wave Optics. Rinton Press, 2002.
- [5] Shanhui Fan. "Optical Fiber Telecommunications". In: ed. by Ivan P. Kaminow, Tingye Li, and Alan E. Willner. 5th. Vol. A: Components and Subsystems. Optics and Photonics. Academic Press, 2008. Chap. 12 - Photonic Crystal Theory: Temporal Coupled-Mode Formalism, pp. 431–454.
- [6] Shanhui Fan, Wonjoo Suh, and J. D. Joannopoulos. "Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory for the Fano Resonance in Optical Resonators". In: J. Opt. Soc. Am. A 20.3 (Mar. 2003), pp. 569–572.
- [7] Wonjoo Suh, Zheng Wang, and Shanhui Fan. "Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory and the Presence of Non-Orthogonal Modes in Lossless Multimode Cavities". In: *IEEE J. Quant. Electron.* 40.10 (2004), p. 1511.
- [8] Z. Wang and S. Fan. "Magneto-Optical Defects in Two-Dimensional Photonic Crystals". In: Appl. Phys. B 81 (2005), pp. 369–375.
- [9] Steven G. Johnson et al. "Adiabatic Theorem and Continuous Coupled-Mode Theory for Efficient Taper Transitions in Photonic Crystals". In: *Phys. Rev. E* 66 (2002), p. 066608.
- [10] Kejie Fang et al. "Ultracompact Nonreciprocal Optical Isolator Based on Guided Resonance in a Magneto-Optical Photonic Crystal Slab". In: Opt. Lett. 36.21 (2011), pp. 4254–4256.
- [11] Yanbing Zhang, Ting Mei, and Dao Hua Zhang. "Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory of Ring-Bus-Ring Mach-Zehnder Interferometer". In: Appl. Opt. 51.4 (2012), pp. 504–508.
- [12] Zhichao Ruan and Shanhui Fan. "Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory for Light Scattering by an Arbitrarily Shaped Object Supporting a Single Resonance". In: *Phys. Rev. A* 85 (2012), p. 043828.

- [13] Linxiao Zhu et al. "Temporal Coupled Mode Theory for Thermal Emission from a Single Thermal Emitter Supporting either a Single Mode or an Orthogonal Set of Modes". In: *Appl. Phys. Lett.* 102 (2013), p. 103104.
- [14] Ken Xingze Wang et al. "Fundamental Bounds on Decay Rates in Asymmetric Single-Mode Optical Resonators". In: Opt. Lett. 38.2 (Jan. 2013), pp. 100–102.
- [15] Romain Fleury et al. "Sound Isolation and Giant Linear Nonreciprocity in a Compact Acoustic Circulator". In: Science 343.6170 (2014), pp. 516–519.
- [16] Dimitrios L. Sounas and Andrea Alù. "Time-Reversal Symmetry Bounds on the Electromagnetic Response of Asymmetric Structures". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 118 (2017), p. 154302.
- [17] Shanshan Liu et al. "Observation of acoustic Friedrich-Wintgen bound state in the continuum with bridging near-field coupling". In: *Phys. Rev. Applied* 20 (2023), p. 044075.
- [18] Ken Xingze Wang et al. "Light Trapping in Photonic Crystals". In: Energy Environ. Sci. 7 (2014), pp. 2725–2738.
- [19] Jing Xu and Yuntian Chen. "General Coupled Mode Theory in Non-Hermitian Waveguides". In: Opt. Express 23.17 (2015), pp. 22619– 22627.
- [20] Nicholas A. Estep et al. "Magnetic-Free Non-Reciprocity and Isolation Based on Parametrically Modulated Coupled-Resonator Loops". In: *Nature Phys.* 10 (2014), pp. 923–927.
- [21] Yi Yu et al. "Nonreciprocal Transmission in a Nonlinear Photonic-Crystal Fano Structure with Broken Symmetry". In: Laser Photon. Rev. 9.2 (2015), pp. 241–247.
- [22] John D. Joannopoulos et al. *Photonic Crystals: Molding the Flow of Light.* 2nd. Princeton University Press, 2008.
- [23] Hahn Young Song, Sangin Kim, and Robert Magnusson. "Tunable Guided-Mode Resonances in Coupled Gratings". In: Opt. Express 17.26 (2009), pp. 23544–23555.

- [24] Zongfu Yu, Aaswath Raman, and Shanhui Fan. "Fundamental Limit of Light Trapping in Solar Cells". In: Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 107.41 (2010), pp. 17491–17496.
- [25] Thomas Lepetit et al. "Resonance Continuum Coupling in High-Permittivity Dielectric Metamaterials". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 82 (2010), p. 195307.
- [26] Hua Lu et al. "Enhancement of Transmission Efficiency of Nanoplasmonic Wavelength Demultiplexer Based on Channel Drop Filters and Reflection Nanocavities". In: *Opt. Express* 19.14 (2011), pp. 12885– 12890.
- [27] David Pile. "Time-dependence". In: Nature Photonics 6 (2012), p. 412.
- [28] Lieven Verslegers et al. "From Electromagnetically Induced Transparency to Superscattering with a Single Structure: A Coupled-Mode Theory for Doubly Resonant Structures". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 108 (2012), p. 083902.
- [29] Chia Wei Hsu et al. "Observation of Trapped Light within the Radiation Continuum". In: Nature 499 (2013), p. 188.
- [30] J. Mork, Y. Chen, and M. Heuck. "Photonic Crystal Fano Laser: Terahertz Modulation and Ultrashort Pulse Generation". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 113 (2014), p. 163901.
- [31] Yiming Jia et al. "Theory of Half-Space Light Absorption Enhancement for Leaky Mode Resonant Nanowires". In: *Nano Lett.* 15.8 (2015), pp. 5513–5518.
- [32] Yu Shi and Shanhui Fan. "Dynamic Non-Reciprocal Meta-Surfaces with Arbitrary Phase Reconfigurability Based on Photonic Transition in Meta-Atoms". In: Appl. Phys. Lett. 108 (2016), p. 021110.
- [33] Sid Assawaworrarit, Xiaofang Yu, and Shanhui Fan. "Robust Wireless Power Transfer Using a Nonlinear Parity-Time Symmetric Circuit". In: *Nature* 546 (2017), pp. 387–390.
- [34] Eugene Kamenetskii, Almas Sadreev, and Andrey Miroshnichenko. Fano Resonances in Optics and Microwaves. Springer, 2018.
- [35] Ken Xingze Wang. "Photon Management in Solar Cells". PhD thesis. Stanford University, 2015.
- [36] Brenda Dana. "Advances in Theory and Applications of Coupled Mode Theory". PhD thesis. Tel Aviv University, 2018.

- [37] Victor Dmitriev, Gianni Portela, and Leno Martins. "Temporal Coupled-Mode Theory of Electromagnetic Components Described by Magnetic Groups of Symmetry". In: *IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory* and Techniques 66 (2018), pp. 1165–1171.
- [38] Xin Gu et al. "Polaritonic coherent perfect absorption based on selfhybridization of a quasi-bound state in the continuum and exciton". In: Optics Express 31 (2023), pp. 4691–4700.
- [39] Thomas Christopoulos, Odysseas Tsilipakos, and Emmanouil E. Kriezis. "Temporal coupled-mode theory in nonlinear resonant photonics: From basic principles to contemporary systems with 2D materials, dispersion, loss, and gain". In: J. Appl. Phys. 136 (2024), p. 011101.
- [40] Ken Xingze Wang. "Time-reversal symmetry in temporal coupled-mode theory and nonreciprocal device applications". In: Opt. Lett. 43 (2018), p. 5623.
- [41] Zhexin Zhao, Cheng Guo, and Shanhui Fan. "Connection of temporal coupled-mode-theory formalisms for a resonant optical system and its time-reversal conjugate". In: *Phys. Rev. A* 99 (2019), p. 033839.
- [42] J. D. Achenbach. *Reciprocity in Elastodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [43] Olivier Sigwarth and Christian Miniatura. "Time reversal and reciprocity". In: AAPPS Bulletin 32 (2022), p. 23.
- [44] Cheng Guo, Zhexin Zhao, and Shanhui Fan. "Internal transformations and internal symmetries in linear photonic systems". In: *Phys. Rev. A* 105 (2022), p. 023509.
- [45] Cheng Guo and Shanhui Fan. "Reciprocity Constraints on Reflection". In: *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 128 (2022), p. 256101.
- [46] Carl D. Meyer. Matrix Analysis and Applied Linear Algebra. SIAM, 2000.
- [47] R. S. Costas-Santos. "On the Deterimant of a Sum of Matrices". arXiv:0612464. 2006.
- [48] J. B. Bronzan. "Parametrization of SU(3)". In: Phys. Rev. D 38 (1988), p. 38.
- [49] C. Jarlskog. "A recursive parametrization of unitary matrices". In: J. Math. Phys. 46 (2005), p. 103508.

- [50] Zheng Wang and Shanhui Fan. "Optical Circulators in Two-Dimensional Magneto-Optical Photonic Crystals". In: Opt. Lett. 30 (2005), pp. 1989– 1991.
- [51] Zongfu Yu and Shanhui Fan. "Complete Optical Isolation Created by Indirect Interband Photonic Transitions". In: *Nature Photon.* 3 (2009), p. 91.
- [52] Dimitrios Sounas and Andrea Alù. "Non-Reciprocal Photonics Based on Time Modulation". In: *Nature Photon.* 11 (2017), pp. 774–783.
- [53] Nicola Cabibbo. "Unitary Symmetry and Leptonic Decays". In: Phys. Rev. Lett. 10 (1963), p. 531.
- [54] Tong Wu and Philippe Lalanne. "Exact Maxwell evolution equation of resonator dynamics: temporal coupled-mode theory revisited". In: *Optics Express* 32 (2024), pp. 20904–20914.
- [55] Tomoki Ozawa et al. "Topological photonics". In: Review of Modern Physics 91 (2019), p. 015006.
- [56] Kai Wang et al. "Topological complex-energy braiding of non-Hermitian bands". In: *Nature* 598 (2021), pp. 59–64.
- [57] Cheng Guo et al. "Singular topology of scattering matrices". In: Phys. Rev. B 108 (2023), p. 155418.
- [58] Dmitry A. Bykov and Leonid L. Doskolovich. "Spatiotemporal coupledmode theory of guided-mode resonant gratings". In: Optics Express 23 (2015), pp. 19234–19241.
- [59] Meibao Qin et al. "Manipulating strong coupling between exciton and quasibound states in the continuum resonance". In: *Phys. Rev. B* 105 (2022), p. 195425.
- [60] Adam Overvig, Sander A. Mann, and Andrea Alù. "Spatio-temporal coupled mode theory for nonlocal metasurfaces". In: *Light Sci. Appl.* 13 (2024), p. 28.
- [61] Semyon Dyatlov and Maciej Zworski. *Mathematical Theory of Scattering Resonances.* American Mathematical Society, 2019.
- [62] Richard W. Cottle. "Manifestations of the Schur complement". In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 8 (1974), pp. 189–211.

- [63] Christophe Reutenauer and Marcel-Paul Schützenberger. "A formula for the determinant of a sum of matrices". In: Lett. Math. Phys. 13 (1987), pp. 299–302.
- [64] Dan Wang et al. "On the phases of a complex matrix". In: *Linear Algebra and its Applications* 593 (2020), pp. 152–179.