

A Survey of Text Style Transfer: Applications and Ethical Implications

Sourabrata Mukherjee, Mateusz Lango, Zdeněk Kasner, Ondřej Dušek

Charles University, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics

Institute of Formal and Applied Linguistics

Prague, Czech Republic

{mukherjee, lango, kasner, odusek}@ufal.mff.cuni.cz

Abstract

Text style transfer (TST) is an important task in controllable text generation, which aims to control selected attributes of language use, such as politeness, formality, or sentiment, without altering the style-independent content of the text. The field has received considerable research attention in recent years and has already been covered in several reviews, but the focus has mostly been on the development of new algorithms and learning from different types of data (supervised, unsupervised, out-of-domain, etc.) and not so much on the application side. However, TST-related technologies are gradually reaching a production- and deployment-ready level, and therefore, the inclusion of the application perspective in TST research becomes crucial. Similarly, the often overlooked ethical considerations of TST technology have become a pressing issue.

This paper presents a comprehensive review of TST applications that have been researched over the years, using both traditional linguistic approaches and more recent deep learning methods. We discuss current challenges, future research directions, and ethical implications of TST applications in text generation. By providing a holistic overview of the landscape of TST applications, we hope to stimulate further research and contribute to a better understanding of the potential as well as ethical considerations associated with TST.

1 Introduction

Text Style Transfer (TST) is a task in controllable natural language generation that constructs techniques that change the stylistic attributes of a written text such as politeness, formality, or sentiment, without altering the rest of the text’s meaning (Jin et al., 2022a). Although the general goal of rewriting a text while preserving its content is relevant to other NLP tasks such as machine translation (Sutskever et al., 2014; Bahdanau et al., 2015) or summarisation (Allahyari et al., 2017), TST is

unique in focusing on more subtle, stylistic aspects of the text. The text style may reflect the writer’s demographic characteristics, such as gender and age, their educational background, emotional state, and even situational context, as reflected in the sentiment and politeness of the text. Figure 1 shows some basic examples of TST.

In recent years, TST research has gained considerable momentum. With the advent of neural networks, text style transfer research has shifted from traditional linguistic approaches, which relied on hand-crafted grammars and templates, to data-driven approaches, typically based on sequence-to-sequence modeling (Rao and Tetreault, 2018). However, since there is a considerable dearth of style-specific parallel data, TST research has taken up the challenge of devising new methodologies, such as latent-space content-style disentanglement (Hu et al., 2017a; Shen et al., 2017), prototype editing (Li et al., 2018), or pseudo-parallel corpora (Zhang et al., 2018; Jin et al., 2019) (see Section 2 for details).

This rapid development of TST technologies has already been covered by several reviews (Jin et al., 2022b; Hu et al., 2022), but they are method-oriented and do not pay much attention to the research on *TST applications*, which has also come a long way. We believe that this application perspective will play an increasingly important role, as TST-related technologies are gradually reaching a production- and deployment-ready level, which will boost interest in application-specific issues. Therefore, in this paper, we provide what we believe to be the first comprehensive overview of different TST applications in order to stimulate future research in this area. We analyze TST applications in user privacy and security, the generation of personalized texts, and building dialogue agents, as well as the use of TST to improve the performance of other NLP and machine learning tasks. We also provide a discussion of the implications of TST




Figure 1: Several examples of text style transfer: transferring sentiment, politeness and formality.

applications from an ethical perspective, draw lines for future research, and review recent LLM-related TST work that has not been covered in previous reviews.

We begin by defining the task of text style transfer and providing a brief summary of the models proposed in TST research in Section 2. This is followed by the main contribution of this paper, which is an overview of different applications of TST in Section 3. Next, we describe open problems and future research directions in Sections 4 and 5. Finally, we conclude with a discussion of the ethical impact of TST applications in Section 6.

2 The Task of Text Style Transfer

In this section, we present a basic introductory overview of TST. For more detail, we refer the reader to the existing general surveys of TST by Jin et al. (2022b) and Hu et al. (2022).

Text style refers to how individuals express their state of mind, personal characteristics, and rhetorical choices in their writing. This can be seen in their word choice, writing style, and other attributes. The style of a text can also be described using various concepts such as sentiment (Mukherjee et al., 2022), emotion (Helbig et al., 2020), humor (Hossain et al., 2019), similes (Chakrabarty et al., 2020), personality (Kaptein et al., 2015), politeness (Mukherjee et al., 2023b), formality (Rao and Tetreault, 2018), simplicity (Tan and Goonawardene, 2017), or authorship (Reddy and Knight, 2016a).

TST models aim to change the style of the text while preserving the *style-independent* content in the text. Given an arbitrary text, x with a source style s_1 , our goal is to rephrase x to a new text \hat{x}

with a target style s_2 ($s_2 \neq s_1$) while preserving its style-independent content (see Figure 1).

The training process of these models can be broadly classified into four categories which we discuss in the following subsections: parallel supervised (Section 2.1), non-parallel supervised (Section 2.2), purely unsupervised (Section 2.3), and using Large Language Models (LLMs) (Section 2.4). We also briefly discussed the evaluation process of the TST task in Section 2.5.

2.1 Parallel Supervised Approaches

Parallel supervised TST models are trained using similar pairs of texts with similar content but different styles. The most common underlying architecture of these models is the sequence-to-sequence architecture, same as for many other natural language generation tasks (Gatt and Krahmer, 2018). Usually, a sequence-to-sequence model is trained on a parallel corpus wherein the text of the original style is fed into the encoder and the decoder outputs the corresponding text according to the target style. For example, Jhamtani et al. (2017) used this approach to translate between modern and Shakespearean English (where ample training data exists), while Mukherjee and Dusek (2023) employed minimal parallel data and various low-resource methods for sentiment transfer.

Applying the sequence-to-sequence approach to this task is quite challenging due to the unavailability of parallel data (Hu et al., 2022; Mukherjee et al., 2023a). To handle this, data augmentation methods, such as pseudo-parallel datasets, have been explored (Mukherjee and Dusek, 2023; Shang et al., 2019; Jin et al., 2019; Nikolov and Hahnloser, 2018; Liao et al., 2018).

2.2 Non-parallel Supervised Approaches

An alternative method to using parallel datasets is the non-parallel supervised setting. In this setting, the TST models aim to transfer the style of texts without any knowledge of matching text pairs in different styles. Data for each individual target style is provided, but not aligned with other styles.

To train the TST models in the non-parallel supervised setting, researchers have proposed the strategy of disentangling the style and content in text (Gatys et al., 2015). There are three main types of style-content disentanglement strategies:

(1) Explicit Style-Content Disentanglement

TST models for *explicit style-content disentanglement* adopt a straightforward text replacement approach for generating texts of a target style. For example, Li et al. (2018) found that parts of a text that are associated with the original style can be replaced with new phrases associated with the target style.

(2) Implicit Style-Content Disentanglement

For *implicit style-content disentanglement*, TST models first learn the latent representations of the content and the style of the given text. The latent representation of the original content is then combined with the latent representation of the desired target style to generate text in the target style. Techniques such as back-translation and adversarial learning (Shen et al., 2017; Zhao et al., 2018; Fu et al., 2018; Prabhumoye et al., 2018; Hu et al., 2017a) have been proposed to disentangle content and style in latent representations.

(3) No Style-Content Disentanglement

The most recent research has also explored performing TST without disentangling the text's style and content. These techniques include attribute-controllable generation, reinforcement learning, probabilistic modeling (Lample et al., 2019a; Dai et al., 2019a; Li et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2019a; He et al., 2020).

2.3 Unsupervised Approaches

Works on purely unsupervised TST are comparatively rarer. An early study by Radford et al. (2017) explored the properties of recurrent language models at the byte level. They trained an LSTM model on text processed as a sequence of UTF-8 encoded bytes in an unsupervised manner.

Interestingly, they found a single neuron within the trained LSTM that directly corresponded to the sentiment. By manipulating this neuron, they were able to transfer sentiments in sentences. Xu et al. (2020) used unsupervised representation learning to separate style and content on a mixed corpus of unspecified styles. They were able to isolate a latent dimension responsible for sentiment and achieved satisfactory results in sentiment transfer. Shen et al. (2020) computed a "sentiment vector" by averaging latent codes separately for 100 positive and 100 negative sentences in the development set, then calculating the difference between them. Given a test sentence, they changed its sentiment from positive to negative or vice versa by adding or subtracting the sentiment vector.

The performance of these purely unsupervised TST methods is encouraging. However, it is important to note that these methods have been evaluated primarily on sentiment transfer tasks. More research is needed to determine whether purely unsupervised methods can be generalized to other TST tasks.

2.4 Using Large Language Models (LLMs)

Large Language Models (LLMs) have revolutionized the field of natural language processing by generating coherent and contextually relevant text (e.g., Touvron et al., 2023a,b). By learning complex patterns and structures from vast amounts of text data, LLMs inherently capture various linguistic styles and nuances. This capability is particularly beneficial for TST tasks.

A distinctive feature of LLMs is their ability to perform valuable tasks without fine-tuning, showcasing zero- and few-shot capabilities (Liu et al., 2023). Reif et al. (2021) frame style transfer as a sentence rewriting task, enhancing LLMs' zero-shot performance for arbitrary TST by using task-related exemplars. Suzgun et al. (2022) proposed a reranking method to select high-quality outputs from multiple candidates generated by the LLM, thereby improving performance. Luo et al. (2023) proposed the use of a prompt-based style classifier to guide the search for word-level edits of a given text. Additionally, Liu et al. (2024) introduced dynamic prompt generation to guide the language model in producing text in the desired style.

While prompt engineering is a prevalent approach (Brown et al., 2020; Jiang et al., 2020), LLMs are highly sensitive to prompts (Mishra et al.,

2021; Zhu et al., 2023) and may not always guarantee optimal performance (Liu et al., 2024). Furthermore, Ouyang et al. (2022) indicated that larger LLMs are not always inherently superior in understanding user intent. Mukherjee et al. (2024b) demonstrated that despite good results for prompting, finetuning the LLMs still leads to significant performance improvements.

2.5 TST Evaluation

TST tasks are mainly evaluated in three main dimensions: style transfer accuracy, content preservation, and fluency. Style transfer accuracy is typically measured using a trained style classifier (Jin et al., 2022a). Previous works (Mukherjee and Dusek, 2023; Hu et al., 2022; Jin et al., 2022a) evaluated content preservation using the BLEU score (Papineni et al., 2002a) and embedding similarity (Rahutomo et al., 2012) against the input sentences. Sentence-BERT (Reimers and Gurevych, 2019) or a similar embedding representation is used, and then cosine similarity is used for embedding similarity. The perplexity of pre-trained language models, such as GPT-2 (Radford et al., 2019), is used to estimate fluency.

3 Applications

We now turn to the core of our paper, i.e. the overview of TST applications. We grouped the applications into four broad areas: user privacy and security (Section 3.1), creating personalized texts (Section 3.2), dialog response generation (Section 3.3), TST used in other NLP tasks (Section 3.4) and TST for data augmentation (Section 3.5). We provide a high-level overview of TST applications and examples of these applications in Table 1.

3.1 User Privacy and Security

TST has several applications in the area of user privacy and security. It can be used to correct undesirable social biases reflected in text, to protect users' mental health by combating offensive language, and to safeguard users' privacy by disguising their writing style while still conveying the intended message.

Bias Correction Narratives and news texts sometimes reflect social biases and stereotypes, like the traditional gender roles (Lakoff, 1973; Fiske, 1993; Fast et al., 2016). The TST task of *controllable text revision* constructs methods for rephrasing a

text to a targeted style that can also include reframing its content. This leads to the development of automatic revision tools that have already proved useful in reshaping gender roles portrayed in the media (Clark et al., 2018).

Reddy and Knight (2016b) used rule-based lexical substitution to obfuscate gender in social media and review text. Ma et al. (2020) proposed a controllable debiasing that aims to rewrite a given text in order to correct the implicit and potentially undesirable bias in character portrayals. Ma et al. (2020) proposed a controllable debiasing that aims to rewrite a given text in order to correct the implicit and potentially undesirable bias in character portrayals. They masked verbs associated with power or agency in the input text using a dictionary (Sap et al., 2017) and regenerated them using a GPT-based transformer. The same dictionary is also used during decoding to increase the probability of more neutral verbs. Pryzant et al. (2020) proposed an approach to automatically convert subjective text into a neutral point of view.

Fighting Offensive Language Even though social media platforms have undeniably positive impacts on facilitating communication, it is often the hub of offensive and abusive language use which may lead to cyberbullying (Beran and Li, 2005; Munro, 2011). To solve this issue, many recent studies have focused on developing machine learning models for detecting hate speech (Davidson et al., 2017; Xiang et al., 2012; Djuric et al., 2015; Waseem and Hovy, 2016; Chen et al., 2012; Founta et al., 2019) and offensive language using various text classification methods (Xiang et al., 2012; Warner and Hirschberg, 2012; Kwok and Wang, 2013; Burnap and Williams, 2015; Nobata et al., 2016; Davidson et al., 2017; Founta et al., 2019). However, these techniques only detect the problem, but do not provide actionable tools to mitigate it (Janiszewski et al., 2021). Such tools could automatically suggest transforming hateful sentences into non-hateful ones, which is essentially a TST task.

Dos Santos et al. (2018) proposed an extension of a basic encoder-decoder architecture by including a collaborative classifier to deal with abusive language redaction. They also suggest using TST to prevent online conversations from derailing by suggesting a more polite alternative to the offensive message a user is about to post. Logacheva et al. (2022) and Klamra et al. (2022) collected

	Original Text	→	Style Transferred Text
User Privacy and Security (Section 3.1)			
Bias Correction:	Each of them is physically handicapped.	→	Each of them has a physical disability.
	She was hysterical and overly emotional.	→	She expressed her emotions openly and passionately.
Fighting Offensive Language:	He’s an old geezer who doesn’t understand technology.	→	He’s an older individual who may not be familiar with the technology.
	You’re being such a retard, it’s not that difficult.	→	You’re struggling with this, but it’s not as simple as you thought.
Concealing Authorship:	I suggest we revise the current strategy to improve our results.	→	The suggestion is to revise the current strategy to improve the results.
	Our research indicates that this approach is highly effective.	→	The research indicates that this approach is highly effective.
Creating Personalized Texts (Section 3.2)			
Marketing and Advertisement:	Our company has been in business for 10 years.	→	With a decade of excellence, our established company brings unparalleled expertise and experience to cater to your needs.
	Enjoy the convenience of online shopping with our user-friendly website.	→	Experience seamless and hassle-free online shopping with our intuitive and user-friendly website!
Text Simplification:	The prevalence of cardiovascular diseases is higher in individuals with a family history of hypertension and dyslipidemia.	→	People with a family history of high blood pressure and high cholesterol are more likely to have heart problems.
	The research utilized a cross-sectional design and collected data through self-report questionnaires and objective measurements to examine the relationship between sleep duration and mental health outcomes.	→	The study used surveys and tests to look at how sleep duration is related to mental health in a large group of people.
Dialog Response Generation (Section 3.3)			
Stylized Response Generation:	User: Hello there.		
	Chatbot: What can I help you with?	→	Chatbot: Hello! I’m here to assist you with anything you need. How may I help you today?
	User: I need help with my account.		
	Chatbot: What’s the problem?	→	Chatbot: Of course, I would be happy to assist you with your account. Could you please provide me with some more details about the issue you are experiencing?
Use as Part of Other NLP Tasks (Section 3.4)			
Stylized Machine Translation:	Mach endlich die Tür zu! (<i>Close the door already!</i>)	→	Could you please close the door?
	Das geht dich nichts an! (<i>That’s none of your business!</i>)	→	I’d rather not share that information.
Stylized image captioning:	A man and a boy lying in the snow	→	Father and son playing in the snow, enjoying the winter.
	Front of an old building with a sign	→	A photo of an ugly building with a stupid sign out front.

Table 1: Examples of TST Applications.

parallel corpora of toxic and non-toxic texts and used them to fine-tune transformer-based language models. The multi-lingual aspect of constructing detoxification tools was tackled by [Dementieva et al. \(2023\)](#) where a joint supervised learning of translation and detoxification was considered. An unsupervised style transfer technique for redacting offensive comments in social media was proposed in [\(Tran et al., 2020\)](#). The approach consists of a retrieve-generate-edit pipeline that edits text in a word-restricted manner while maintaining a high level of fluency and preserving the original text content.

Concealing Authorship Text anonymisation is often required when creating public datasets or sharing data with third parties. It is also useful for countering the problematic task of author profiling, which aims to extract the identity of the author of the text (e.g. whistleblowers, dissidents), including privacy-invading characteristics such as gender and age ([Schler et al., 2006](#); [Brennan et al., 2012](#)). TST methods can help protect user privacy by modifying text to disguise the true identity of users ([Reddy and Knight, 2016a](#)).

[Gröndahl and Asokan \(2020\)](#) proposed a combinatorial paraphrasing method to hide authorship. The approach applies multiple linguistic rules to

paraphrases produced by a neural method to construct a set of candidate paraphrases, from which a final text is later selected by maximising the distance to the style of the original author. Jones et al. (2022) found that pre-trained language models can successfully transfer the style of a given author to fool authorship detection techniques. Zhai et al. (2022) proposed adversarial training that takes into account deobfuscation techniques that attempt to guess authorship. Fisher et al. (2024) addressed the problem of lack of supervised data in many domains and proposed constrained decoding, an inference-time technique applied over small language models to conceal authorship. The method first extracts keywords from a given text and uses them as lexical constraints in a constrained diverse beam search to generate a faithful set of candidate texts. The final output is selected by automatic metrics of coherence and grammatical correctness.

3.2 Creating Personalized Texts

TST has the potential to be used for creating personalized texts, where users can tailor the writing style of a text to suit their preferences or needs. This can have applications in various domains, such as content creation, marketing, and communication, where personalized texts can enhance user engagement, user experience, and customer satisfaction.

Marketing and Advertisement Multiple studies reveal that the use of convincing text creates an impact on people's behavior (Chambliss and Garner, 1996; Kaptein et al., 2012). This impact depends not only on the information contained in a text, but also on the way it is presented (Johnstone, 1989; Muehlenhaus, 2012; Darani, 2014). Insights gained from these studies have been applied to improve the effectiveness of marketing and advertising strategies and TST techniques can be used to automatically convert a text into an effective style. Recent studies have explored this opportunity and developed style transfer strategies based on a user profile (Kaptein et al., 2015). Jin et al. (2020) proposed a use-case for using these TST methods to make news headlines more attractive. On the other hand, Li et al. (2021) generated news headlines in a user-independent attractive style using a special encoder-decoder model combined with headline prototype retrieval.

Text Simplification TST can be applied to create a bridge between an expert's language and a layman's understanding of it (Kennedy, 1995; Tan

and Goonawardene, 2017). The underlying notion is style transfer which aims to improve the readability of a text by reducing its complexity, for example by explaining complex terminology with simple phrases (Saggion, 2017). A very widely studied use case for these methods is the simplification of encyclopedia entries to facilitate broader access to knowledge. Research on this application has included methods for building parallel corpora (Hwang et al., 2015; Kajiwara and Komachi, 2016) and applications of neural techniques (Nisioi et al., 2017). More recently, Cao et al. (2020) have been working on the simplification of medical texts, focusing not only on simplifying the professional language but also on raising the expertise level of a layman's descriptions. To this end, they have collected a new medical dataset and experimented with two specialized text simplification and three TST approaches.

Writing assistants TST methods can also be incorporated into automatic writing assistants, which help to refine human-written texts to make them more suitable for their purpose (Lee et al., 2024). Writing assistants can make a complaint sound more objective, a written request more polite, or business communication more friendly or professional, depending on the context (Jin et al., 2022b). Writing assistants also provide the functionality of the text simplification methods mentioned above, making texts written by experts more suitable for a wider audience. Another important aspect that has recently been tackled is preserving the user's writing style while suggesting corrections (Yeh et al., 2024).

Generating similes Generating similes amounts to finding an effective mapping of properties between two concepts. It could help in downstream applications such as creative writing assistance and literary or poetic content creation. While most of the prior computational work has focused on simile detection (Niculae and Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil, 2014; Mpouli, 2017; Qadir et al., 2015, 2016; Zeng et al., 2020; Liu et al., 2018), there are works specifically aimed at simile generation: Chakrabarty et al. (2020) propose a method for automatically constructing a parallel corpus by transforming a large number of similes collected from Reddit to their literal counterpart using structured commonsense knowledge. Yang et al. (2023) collected a simile dataset for Chinese by automatically extracting

them from a corpus of student compositions. They also proposed an encoder-decoder architecture with a retrieval module for vehicle search. He et al. (2023) proposed an automatic evaluation system for simile generation based on five criteria: relevance, logical consistency, sentiment consistency, creativity and informativeness.

Humor Generation Whereas understanding humor is already a challenging task that requires both natural language understanding and common sense knowledge (Taylor and Mazlack, 2004; Michalcea and Strapparava, 2005; Purandare and Litman, 2006; Hempelmann, 2008), generating humorous texts that match human-created one is even more difficult (He et al., 2019; Luo et al., 2019b). Although humour generation is often realised as a natural language generation task, e.g. by completing a given text with a pun (He et al., 2019), TST techniques can be used to convert existing texts into humorous ones. For example, Weller et al. (2020) used TST to modify existing news headlines and demonstrated in an A/B experiment that they were just as humorous as those edited by humans.

Empathetic Rewriting As empathetic interactions are strongly correlated with symptom improvement in mental health care (Norcross, 2002; Elliott et al., 2018), several studies have explored the possibility of using TST methods to rewrite human or computer-generated responses to make them more empathetic. Sharma et al. (2021) used reinforcement learning to train GPT2-based language models that performs sentence-level edits to make the response of mental care provider more empathetic. The resulting method was further refined through better hyperparameter search and improved training data quality, and used in a controlled trial where the system was shown to increase conversational empathy by almost 20% (Sharma et al., 2023). A rewriting method that can provide additional educational feedback to trainee mental health workers has been proposed by Pérez-Rosas et al. (2023). They constructed a framework that first uses attention scores to mask style-relevant words in the input sentence, and then regenerates them with target-style words. The result is a rewriting template containing a rewritten and original sentence with highlighted (masked) replaced words, providing interpretable feedback to the user.

3.3 Dialog Response Generation

Most prior research on dialogue response generation is based on grammatically correct and contextually relevant responses (Ritter et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2017). However, these syntactically coherent responses did not always guarantee an engaging and attractive chatbot. Kim et al. (2019) carried out a study that showcased the impact of a chatbot’s conversational style on users. It was noticed that when a chatbot possessed a language style that matched some basic human personality traits (optimistic, humorous), it scored higher in user satisfaction and had a higher average number of interaction rounds (Song et al., 2019). These insights can be used to build a more effective chatbot, which could choose its response style according to the customer’s persona, profile and the context of the conversation, for example using a more polite conversational style when dealing with customer complaints.

Nevertheless, stylized response generation faces difficulties in generating coherent responses with a particular style, especially when the target style is only embedded in unpaired texts that cannot be used directly to train the dialogue model (Zheng et al., 2021; Silva et al., 2022). This led to the construction of stylized response generation methods inspired by unsupervised TST approaches, but also opened up the possibility of using TST methods directly by applying them to already generated responses to impose on them the desired stylistic properties.

Stylized dialogue response generation Niu and Bansal (2018) used a supervised style classification dataset to generate stylised responses without parallel data, but with a trained style text classifier to train a language model in a weakly supervised manner. Gao et al. (2019) investigated the generation of stylised responses from non-stylised dialogue data and non-dialogue text in a given style. They proposed a special neural architecture consisting of a sequence-to-sequence model for dialogue data and two autoencoders: one for stylised text and one for dialogue responses from parallel data. Both autoencoders and the sequence-to-sequence model share the same decoder and are trained together with multi-task learning, using specialised regularisers to align their latent spaces. Zheng et al. (2021) also explored this type of training data and proposed an inverse dialog model to generate possible preceding dialogue contexts for non-dialogue

data. Such generated stylized pseudo-dialogs are then used to train dialog model with a joint training procedure. A similar, but simpler approach was applied by Mukherjee et al. (2023c) who applied a TST model to convert dialogue responses in the training set to the desired style and later train a chatbot.

Silva et al. (2022) explored stylized task-oriented dialog agents in a transfer learning scenario across different domains, and found that direct stylized response generation consistently produced lower quality results than the two-step approach involving standard response generation followed by TST. Rewriting dialog responses was also explored by Sun et al. (2022) in the context of stylized knowledge-grounded dialogue generation. They proposed using a neural system to rewrite generated dialog responses to positive/negative/polite styles by tagging style-related words and replace them with words associated with the desired style while taking into account the information from the knowledge graph.

Persona-based dialogue response generation A particular type of style transfer studied in the dialogue community is related to *persona-based dialogue systems*, where the generated response should reflect the style and personal characteristics of a selected dialog agent’s persona. Li et al. (2016) encoded personas of individuals in contextualized embeddings that helped in capturing the background information and style to maintain consistency in the generated responses. The persona embedding is passed to the model at each generation step. Su et al. (2019) followed this approach, but used generative adversarial networks and sequence-to-sequence reconstruction loss to improve both coherence and style transfer. Zheng et al. (2020) also encoded personas in additional embeddings, but developed a special attention routing algorithm to dynamically reweight the influence of persona style and dialogue context during generation. Song et al. (2020) used persona embeddings to predict the masking of words in the generated response and then rewrite it to a more personality-consistent one.

Luan et al. (2017) focused on using both dialogue data and non-dialogue texts written by personas to train the neural response generation model through multi-task learning. Song et al. (2021) likewise used two types of training data, but the non-dialogue text corpus was used to improve the consistency of the generated responses rather than

to enable style transfer. Cao et al. (2022) proposed a model-agnostic data manipulation strategy, including the transfer of persona features between sentences, to train persona-based dialogues as standard sequence-to-sequence models. Finally, Xu et al. (2023) pre-trained a persona augmentation generation model to enable zero-shot persona transfer.

Joint persona-based and stylized dialogue response generation A special case of joint persona-based and stylized generation was covered by Firdaus et al. (2022), who focused on generating polite, personalized dialogue responses in accordance with the user’s profile and consistent with their conversation history. Based on the user’s profile, they created human annotated politeness templates and then trained an architecture with two successive decoding steps: text generation incorporating the persona and dialogue context, followed by text refinement to incorporate style (politeness).

3.4 Use as Part of Other NLG Tasks

TST integrates with various NLP tasks, such as sentiment analysis, machine translation, and data-to-text generation. TST can be used to manipulate the style of the generated text according to specific requirements, e.g. to translate a formal text to an informal text, or to generate text with a specific sentiment or emotion. This can improve the versatility and adaptability of NLP models in generating texts with desired style attributes.

Stylized machine translation It is desirable to have additional control of the style of the translated text in the machine translation domain. Some of the commonly used styles for TST in machine translation are politeness (Sennrich et al., 2016) and formality (Niu et al., 2017; Wu et al., 2020).

Sennrich et al. (2016) used an additional formality token at the input to the neural decoder to control the use of honorific terms. Niu et al. (2017) proposed a re-ranking strategy for phrase-based machine translation that also takes the expected level of formality as an additional input. Wu et al. (2020) developed a dataset for training machine translation systems that simultaneously transfers the style from informal Chinese to formal English. To mitigate the problem of lack of parallel data for stylized translation for other styles and language pairs, Wu et al. (2021) proposed using a neural translation model together with a TST model and training them simultaneously with bidirectional knowledge

transfer. Another method to address this issue was proposed by [Zou et al. \(2024\)](#), who developed a curriculum pre-training strategy with four learning tasks of increasing difficulty: standard masked language modelling (MLM), MLM between sentences in different styles, target generation based on masked target concatenated with source, and MLM between source-target translation pairs with masked style tokens.

Stylized image captioning Image captioning is a widely studied problem in the computer vision community, which aims at factually describing image content. However, it has been found that generating captions in a less neutral style makes them more attractive and enables new applications ([Mathews et al., 2016](#); [Gan et al., 2017](#)). Many stylised image captioning approaches build complex multimodal architectures, often inspired by TST research, but some works use TST more directly. [Tan et al. \(2022\)](#) proposed a framework that first extracts a style representation from a stylised text-only corpus and then uses it for caption generation. The style extraction is performed by adopting a TST method for disentangled representation ([John et al., 2019](#)). [Wu et al. \(2022\)](#) proposed a multi-pass decoding process using the TST module as the final processing stage.

Stylistic summarization Another natural language generation task that is sometimes considered in conjunction with TST is text summarisation. [Chawla et al. \(2019\)](#) used a style classifier-based score to define a reward function for reinforcement learning of a stylised summariser. [Cao and Wang \(2021\)](#) developed two inference-time strategies to control the style of generated summaries. One restricts vocabulary with word unit prediction and the other uses a style classifier to guide text generation. [Li et al. \(2021\)](#) proposed an architecture that disentangles style and content from selected prototypes and then uses the style representation during summary generation. [Goyal et al. \(2022\)](#) showed that a model with a mixture-of-experts decoder can automatically learn different summary styles under standard cross-entropy loss.

Stylized data-to-text generation Data-to-text is a natural language generation task that involves generating text describing facts from structural data (e.g. RDF triples, tables) ([Lin et al., 2024](#)). [Jing et al. \(2023\)](#) investigated stylised data-to-text generation of product specifications on e-commerce

platforms, as they noticed that advertising texts on different platforms use different text styles (more or less formal). They experimented with different combinations of standard data-to-text models with two TST approaches: StyleT ([Dai et al., 2019b](#)) and NAST ([Huang et al., 2021](#)), as well as an end-to-end solution.

Software engineering Just like the text in natural language, the program’s code has a style that encompasses code formatting, naming convention and the use of particular programming language features to express a given functionality. Some simple code stylistic features can be applied via hard-coded rules (e.g. indentation), but others require more advanced solutions. This is why the software engineering community started exploring TST methods. [Munson et al. \(2022\)](#) fine-tuned a neural language model for code style transfer in Python. This was followed by [Ting et al. \(2023\)](#) who constructed a tool using such trained models. ([Chen and Abedjan, 2023](#)) improved code style transfer performance by combining code generation with code retrieval from a large code database.

3.5 TST for data augmentation

TST methods have also been applied to tasks not directly related to generating natural language in a particular style. They can be used to generate artificial training data to obtain better generalizability, obtain special examples to attack neural language models, and even to generate counterfactual examples to explain model predictions.

Robustness to variability Since TST methods aim to rephrase a text without altering the text content, they can be used to augment data for most non-style-related NLP tasks. Although using style transfer methods for this purpose is popular in the computer vision community ([Zheng et al., 2019](#)), the number of approaches involving text is surprisingly low. [Hu et al. \(2017b\)](#) used TST to generate training data for sentiment classification. [Chen et al. \(2022\)](#) approached low-resource named entity recognition by using TST together with a special constrained decoding algorithm to produce only training examples with correct tagging.

[Wei et al. \(2023\)](#) proposed using TST with back-translation augmentation to improve general, non-stylized machine translation systems. It has been found that most of the parallel corpora used to train such systems are produced by human translators

who have a different style to the naturally occurring input (van der Werff et al., 2022). Since this limits the performance gains from back-translation, Wei et al. (2023) proposed using TST with back-translation to transfer the style of the training texts to the naturally occurring style. Experimental comparison with several variants of back-translation showed improved performance on several popular MT metrics.

Robustness to attacks Several techniques for attacking deep NLP models use TST techniques. Qi et al. (2021) observed that stylistic features are irrelevant to many NLP tasks and can thus be used to construct efficient adversarial and backdoor attack methods. Pan et al. (2022) showed a backdoor attack based on generating texts with certain stylistic features via TST and demonstrated its effectiveness in bypassing existing defences. Similarly, Li et al. (2024) proposed the use of a multistyle backdoor attack. Kang et al. (2024) used TST to expand the search space of an optimisation algorithm that searches for the most effective adversarial example. Note that just as TST techniques can be used to attack models, they can also be used to defend them. For example, adding adversarial examples to training sets has been shown to improve model robustness (Chen and Ji, 2022).

Explainable AI Generating counterfactual explanations is a popular way of explaining predictions of black-box machine learning models (Stepka et al., 2024). A counterfactual example is an instance that is similar to the one for which the prediction was made, but the model’s decision was different. Among the many possible methods for counterfactual generation, Wang et al. (2021) suggested using TST methods for this purpose in the medical domain. They adapted the delete-retrieve-generate TST approach (Li et al., 2018) to delete sets of undesirable attributes from electronic health records, retrieve them from similar healthy patient data, and generate the final counterfactual. Their approach has been further extended to take into account concurrent treatments and the patient’s medical history (Wang et al., 2023).

4 Open Problems

Many aspects of TST still require deeper understanding, including how to learn good style representation, whether some styles are easier to represent than others, how to measure content preser-

vation, and what is modeled in disentangled style-content text representations. The lack of understanding of these issues correlates with the applicability of TST in real-world scenarios. We have organized our discussion of open issues in TST around three main themes: evaluation, multiple style transfer and multilingualism - each of which is described in a separate section below.

Evaluation Metrics The existing evaluation metrics for TST are relatively limited (Pang, 2019; Pang and Gimpel, 2018; Mir et al., 2019; Yerukola et al., 2023).

A commonly used metric for *transfer accuracy* (how well the target style was matched) is based on a style classifier (Jin et al., 2022b). However, the style classification task does not involve measuring the strength and appropriateness of the style in a given text, not to mention that the classifier’s accuracy is not perfect. It should also be noted that training a style classifier requires supervised data,¹ which is available for a very limited number of domains. Although unsupervised TST methods have been proposed (Radford et al., 2017; Xu et al., 2020; Shen et al., 2020), they still need labeled data for evaluation, limiting their impact in real-world applications. Current transfer accuracy metrics also do not take into account the context of the rewritten sentence, which has a significant impact on how humans evaluate them (Yerukola et al., 2023).

Moreover, a suitable metric for measuring content preservation has not been established yet. Most commonly, BLEU (Papineni et al., 2002b) is computed between transferred sentences and their source, as the n-gram overlap with the source is considered a proxy for content preservation. Cosine similarity (Rahutomo et al., 2012) between the embeddings of the original and the transferred sentence is also sometimes used as a metric (Fu et al., 2018). This methodology follows the idea that the embeddings of the two sentences should be close to each other if most of the semantics is preserved. However, none of the automatic metrics are able to evaluate style transfer methods specifically in terms of content preservation in style transfer (Toshevskaja and Gievska, 2021).

The main reason behind these limitations is the entanglement between the semantic and stylistic

¹Using an unlabelled corpus of stylised texts combined with a general collection of texts for negative examples might be sufficient to train a style classifier, but the classification accuracy of such an approach may vary.

properties of natural language. It is very difficult to separate these two properties because changing one often affects the other. Thus, balancing the trade-off between style transfer accuracy and content preservation poses a challenge for the objective evaluation of TST tasks. Further analysis is also needed on how well models can represent different styles and how this affects the performance of their transfer. Better evaluation methods and tools for analyzing the weaknesses of TST models would provide a better way of assessing their usefulness in different real-world applications.

Multiple TST Up until now, most works explored in TST are related to binary style transfer. However, in many real-world applications, performing *multiple style transfers* at the same time is required. For instance, transferring both the formality and the demographic style could make the text more appealing to a certain audience. Multiple TST is very challenging and very little explored. [Lample et al. \(2019a\)](#) proposed techniques to do such multiple attributes style transfer together. The specification of multiple attributes makes the TST task more complex and realistic.

Multilinguality Most previous works on TST have been done in English, neglecting other languages for which language-specific TST applications could be developed. For example, an interesting application of TST could be localizing a text (e.g. using locally known named entities) after translating it into another language. [Mizukami et al. \(2015\)](#) incorporated individual Japanese writing styles in a work focused on statistical machine translation. [Garcia et al. \(2021\)](#) and [Krishna et al. \(2022\)](#) aim to address the lack of non-English works in a principled way by studying multilingual TST in low-resource scenarios. [Garcia et al. \(2021\)](#) leverage a large pre-trained multilingual model and fine-tune it to perform general-purpose multilingual attribute transfer. [Krishna et al. \(2022\)](#) proposed an approach for few-shot style transfer in low-resource multilingual settings. They introduced DIFFUR, a model that enhances few-shot style transfer by modeling stylistic differences between paraphrases, achieving significant improvements in formality transfer across seven languages. Furthermore, [Mukherjee et al. \(2024a\)](#) focus on sentiment transfer in eight Indian languages, introducing dedicated datasets and evaluating various benchmark models, including both low-resource

parallel and non-parallel approaches. Further down the road, this line of research could lead to the development of multilingual TST applications.

5 Future Directions

Other potential future directions for TST research include:

- **Document-level TST** Existing TST techniques are mostly operating on the sentence level. Document-level TST can eventually help to transfer the style of full books or Wikipedia articles or to simplify medical and law documents, keeping the transfer consistent across the document, similar to today’s document-level machine translation ([Bao et al., 2021](#)). An interesting approach to document-level TST could be incorporating contextual TST ([Cheng et al., 2020](#)).
- **Dynamically-tailored content** TST built upon smaller models with lower latency ([Fournier et al., 2021](#)) could be used for generating dynamically-tailored content in real-time, e.g., for targeting automatically generated reports or news articles to a particular audience.
- **Domain independence** More domain-independent TST approaches could enable using a single model for multiple applications. For example, words such as “*delicious*” and “*tasty*”, convey positive sentiment in restaurant reviews but not in movie reviews where the words “*imaginative*”, “*hilarious*”, or “*dramatic*” are more indicative. Domain shift ([Gretton et al., 2009](#)) thus results in feature misalignment. Only little has been explored in [Li et al. \(2019\)](#) regarding domain adaptation TST. Their approach includes the use of domain discriminators to align features from different domains, which helps in adapting the domain shift issue and enhances the generalizability of TST models across various domains.
- **Combining existing TST approaches** Some of the existing TST methods could be combined or extended to find their way into new application areas without the need to collect new data sets and build new architectures. For example, a text paraphrasing system could be built as an ensemble of multiple TST systems (e.g. for formality, politeness, simplification)

with the final result selected by a reranking mechanism. Another possibility is to combine TSTs such as politeness, formality and humor adaptation to localise content for different cultures and to adapt marketing texts to the cultural nuances of different target markets.

- **Improved style representation** Constructing a latent representation of text which preserves its meaning while abstracting away from its stylistic properties is not trivial (Lample et al., 2019b), and even impossible in theory without inductive biases or other forms of supervision (Locatello et al., 2019). New ways of representing text independently of its style could have a long-lasting impact on TST research.

There are even more potential TST application areas, which open new directions for future work. For instance, TST can be used for data augmentation by generating the same text with different emotions, which may be used for tasks such as automated story plot generation. A similar approach has been already explored in image style transfer (Zheng et al., 2019; Jackson et al., 2019). TST can also be used in today's marketing-dependent and social network-based scenarios, e.g., for generating more attractive headlines. Furthermore, TST can be used for changing time- and socio-dependent elements of a text, which may help to attract new generations of readers to historical texts. The nature of paraphrasing generation (Madnani and Dorr, 2010) shares a lot in common with TST. Many trained TST models can be borrowed for paraphrasing, such as formality transfer and simplification. By paraphrasing the texts and conversations intended for adults, TST can be also used for making the content more amenable to children.

Also, sentiment transfer applications remain largely unexplored despite having immense potential in various fields such as customer service, social media, marketing, and news coverage. For instance, they can help provide more balanced and neutral responses to customer complaints, balance the tone and sentiment of social media posts, create engaging marketing content while preserving the core message, and provide a balanced and neutral view of events and topics in news coverage. In summary, sentiment transfer has the potential to create more positive and engaging content while providing a balanced and neutral perspective on information.

6 Ethical Impacts of TST Applications

TST has to deal with the same ethical challenges as natural language processing in general, which are mostly in understanding and mitigating the bias in data and algorithms (Prabhumoye et al., 2021). This is an especially urgent problem for TST models based on language models trained on massive uncensored datasets, as task-specifically finetuned models inherit risks of their base models (Weidinger et al., 2022). TST also has to follow general principles while working on topics that involve human subjects or have direct applications to humans (Beauchamp and Childress, 2001a). More specifically, the work on TST involves two particular ethical considerations: social impact and data privacy.

TST can be used in negative propaganda (Bernays, 2005; Carey, 1997), for instance, it can be misused by fraudulent sellers to manipulate the polarity of reviews to their benefit, utilizing sentiment transfer (Prabhumoye et al., 2018), and for generating malicious texts which can be harmful to people in various scenarios. There have been reports of several victims who tended to be suicidal due to the stress from cyberbullying (Kowalski et al., 2014). The stress may further lead to detachment from family (Oksanen et al., 2014). This effect is particularly amplified amongst young social media users.

TST can also be used to make fake text highly persuasive and tailored to specific user groups by mimicking their communication style. The given text can even be adapted to the preferences of a particular user, for example when the system is used as a social bot. An example cited in Jin et al. (2022b) showcases how social bots played a significant role in the 2016 United States presidential election (Bessi and Ferrara, 2016; Shao et al., 2018) by being responsible for 19% of the total tweets. It is a prominent example of how TST may be used to manipulate major political decisions. As suggested by Hu et al. (2022), social bots could also be used as an army with different persuasive styles to promote anti-social behavior such as online hate speech or cyberbullying against individuals or groups.

Another potential major negative consequence of TST applications is data privacy. TST requires collecting huge amounts of data like ratings of reviews, which may also include sensitive information such as the gender (Prabhumoye et al., 2018) and age (Lample et al., 2019a) of the user. To avoid such

negative scenarios, [Leidner and Plachouras \(2017a\)](#) proposed a framework that encourages organizations to build natural language processing applications with ethical considerations. This framework could also be applied to TST research wherein researchers could include an ethical review process to examine the research and development of TST applications.

Regarding social impact, an important application of TST is changing malicious and abusive texts into positive ones (see [Section 3.1](#)). TST can help to remove hate speech, offensive language, sexist and racist language, aggression, profanity, cyberbullying, harassment, trolling, and toxic language ([Waseem et al., 2017](#)). A neutral tone is something that the majority of the audience expects and demands. In the same context, a tool that can automatically detect subjectively-toned language and suggest neutrally-toned counterparts is very useful ([Pryzant et al., 2020](#)). The same tool can also be helpful for providing reading assistance to alert readers when subjectivity bias is hidden within any context ([Pryzant et al., 2020](#)). This tool can also help readers by ensuring that the text is presented in a neutral and unbiased manner ([Pryzant et al., 2020](#)), which is especially important to maintain objectivity in news, encyclopedias, and other informative content. The automatic offensive-to-neutral language transfer can be used for social chatbots to ensure the generated content does not contain any offensive language ([Roller et al., 2020](#)) and to purify malicious content on social media.

The goal of a discussion on ethics is to take into account various concerns like how a system should be built, who it is intended for, and how to assess its societal impact ([Hovy and Spruit, 2016](#); [Beauchamp and Childress, 2001b](#)). Instead of abandoning the whole idea of building such tools, one must explore the concerns and find ways to deal with them ([Leidner and Plachouras, 2017b](#)). This should be viewed as an opportunity to increase transparency by surfacing the risks and finding the best ways to its strategy into practice.

7 Conclusion

In this survey, we provided a comprehensive overview of the applications of TST. We also discussed the problems and ethical impact of TST and provided directions for future work in the domains that can make use of future TST developments. We hope that this contribution will not only stimulate

TST research by highlighting potential application perspectives and problems encountered in specific applications, but will also be useful to researchers and practitioners in other fields who are considering the use of TST in their work.

Acknowledgements

This research was funded by the European Union (ERC, NG-NLG, 101039303) and by Charles University projects GAUK 392221 and SVV 260698.

References

- Mehdi Allahyari, Seyedamin Pouriyeh, Mehdi Assefi, Saeid Safaei, Elizabeth D Trippe, Juan B Gutierrez, and Krys Kochut. 2017. Text summarization techniques: a brief survey. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1707.02268*.
- Dzmitry Bahdanau, Kyunghyun Cho, and Yoshua Bengio. 2015. [Neural machine translation by jointly learning to align and translate](#). In *3rd International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2015, San Diego, CA, USA, May 7-9, 2015, Conference Track Proceedings*.
- Guangsheng Bao, Yue Zhang, Zhiyang Teng, Boxing Chen, and Weihua Luo. 2021. G-transformer for document-level machine translation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.14761*.
- Tom L Beauchamp and James F Childress. 2001a. Principles of biomedical ethics 5th edn. In *.. Oxford University Press*.
- Tom L Beauchamp and James F Childress. 2001b. Respect for autonomy. *Principles of biomedical ethics*, 5:57–112.
- Tanya Beran and Qing Li. 2005. Cyber-harassment: A study of a new method for an old behavior. *Journal of educational computing research*, 32(3):265.
- Edward L Bernays. 2005. *Propaganda*. Ig publishing.
- Alessandro Bessi and Emilio Ferrara. 2016. Social bots distort the 2016 US presidential election online discussion. *First monday*, 21(11-7).
- Michael Brennan, Sadia Afroz, and Rachel Greenstadt. 2012. [Adversarial stylometry: Circumventing authorship recognition to preserve privacy and anonymity](#). *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst. Secur.*, 15(3).
- Tom Brown, Benjamin Mann, Nick Ryder, Melanie Subbiah, Jared D Kaplan, Prafulla Dhariwal, Arvind Neelakantan, Pranav Shyam, Girish Sastry, Amanda Askell, et al. 2020. Language models are few-shot learners. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 33:1877–1901.

- Pete Burnap and Matthew L Williams. 2015. Cyber hate speech on twitter: An application of machine classification and statistical modeling for policy and decision making. *Policy & internet*, 7(2):223–242.
- Shuyang Cao and Lu Wang. 2021. [Inference time style control for summarization](#). In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 5942–5953, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yixin Cao, Ruihao Shui, Liangming Pan, Min-Yen Kan, Zhiyuan Liu, and Tat-Seng Chua. 2020. Expertise style transfer: A new task towards better communication between experts and laymen. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Yu Cao, Wei Bi, Meng Fang, Shuming Shi, and Dacheng Tao. 2022. [A model-agnostic data manipulation method for persona-based dialogue generation](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7984–8002, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alex Carey. 1997. *Taking the risk out of democracy: Corporate propaganda versus freedom and liberty*. University of Illinois Press.
- Tuhin Chakrabarty, Smaranda Muresan, and Nanyun Peng. 2020. [Generating similes effortlessly like a pro: A style transfer approach for simile generation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2020, Online, November 16-20, 2020*, pages 6455–6469. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Marilyn J Chambliss and Ruth Garner. 1996. Do adults change their minds after reading persuasive text? *Written Communication*, 13(3):291–313.
- Kushal Chawla, Balaji Vasan Srinivasan, and Niyati Chhaya. 2019. [Generating formality-tuned summaries using input-dependent rewards](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd Conference on Computational Natural Language Learning (CoNLL)*, pages 833–842, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Binger Chen and Ziawasch Abedjan. 2023. [Duetcs: Code style transfer through generation and retrieval](#). In *2023 IEEE/ACM 45th International Conference on Software Engineering (ICSE)*, pages 2362–2373.
- Hanjie Chen and Yangfeng Ji. 2022. Adversarial training for improving model robustness? look at both prediction and interpretation. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Hongshen Chen, Xiaorui Liu, Dawei Yin, and Jiliang Tang. 2017. [A survey on dialogue systems: Recent advances and new frontiers](#). *SIGKDD Explor.*, 19(2):25–35.
- Shuguang Chen, Leonardo Neves, and Tamar Solorio. 2022. [Style transfer as data augmentation: A case study on named entity recognition](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 1827–1841, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ying Chen, Yilu Zhou, Sencun Zhu, and Heng Xu. 2012. [Detecting offensive language in social media to protect adolescent online safety](#). In *2012 International Conference on Privacy, Security, Risk and Trust, PASSAT 2012, and 2012 International Conference on Social Computing, SocialCom 2012, Amsterdam, Netherlands, September 3-5, 2012*, pages 71–80. IEEE Computer Society.
- Yu Cheng, Zhe Gan, Yizhe Zhang, Oussama Elachqar, Dianqi Li, and Jingjing Liu. 2020. Contextual text style transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.00136*.
- Elizabeth Clark, Anne Spencer Ross, Chenhao Tan, Yangfeng Ji, and Noah A. Smith. 2018. [Creative writing with a machine in the loop: Case studies on slogans and stories](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Intelligent User Interfaces, IUI 2018, Tokyo, Japan, March 07-11, 2018*, pages 329–340. ACM.
- Ning Dai, Jianze Liang, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2019a. [Style transformer: Unpaired text style transfer without disentangled latent representation](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August 2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 5997–6007. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ning Dai, Jianze Liang, Xipeng Qiu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2019b. [Style transformer: Unpaired text style transfer without disentangled latent representation](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5997–6007, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Laya Heidari Darani. 2014. Persuasive style and its realization through transitivity analysis: A SFL perspective. *Procedia-social and behavioral sciences*, 158:179–186.
- Thomas Davidson, Dana Warmsley, Michael W. Macy, and Ingmar Weber. 2017. [Automated hate speech detection and the problem of offensive language](#). In *Proceedings of the Eleventh International Conference on Web and Social Media, ICWSM 2017, Montréal, Québec, Canada, May 15-18, 2017*, pages 512–515. AAAI Press.
- Daryna Dementieva, Daniil Moskovskiy, David Dale, and Alexander Panchenko. 2023. [Exploring methods for cross-lingual text style transfer: The case of text detoxification](#). In *Proceedings of the 13th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing and the 3rd Conference of the Asia-Pacific*

- Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1083–1101, Nusa Dua, Bali. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nemanja Djuric, Jing Zhou, Robin Morris, Mihajlo Grbovic, Vladan Radosavljevic, and Narayan Bhamidipati. 2015. [Hate speech detection with comment embeddings](#). In *Proceedings of the 24th International Conference on World Wide Web Companion, WWW 2015, Florence, Italy, May 18-22, 2015 - Companion Volume*, pages 29–30. ACM.
- Cícero Nogueira dos Santos, Igor Melnyk, and Inkit Padhi. 2018. [Fighting offensive language on social media with unsupervised text style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2018, Melbourne, Australia, July 15-20, 2018, Volume 2: Short Papers*, pages 189–194. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Robert Elliott, Arthur C Bohart, Jeanne C Watson, and David Murphy. 2018. Therapist empathy and client outcome: An updated meta-analysis. *Psychotherapy*, 55(4):399.
- Ethan Fast, Tina Vachovsky, and Michael S Bernstein. 2016. Shirtless and dangerous: Quantifying linguistic signals of gender bias in an online fiction writing community. In *Tenth International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*.
- Mauajama Firdaus, Arunav Shandilya, Asif Ekbal, and Pushpak Bhattacharyya. 2022. Being polite: Modeling politeness variation in a personalized dialog agent. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*.
- Jillian Fisher, Ximing Lu, Jaehun Jung, Liwei Jiang, Zaid Harchaoui, and Yejin Choi. 2024. [JAMDEC: Unsupervised authorship obfuscation using constrained decoding over small language models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2024 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 1552–1581, Mexico City, Mexico. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Susan T Fiske. 1993. Controlling other people: The impact of power on stereotyping. *American psychologist*, 48(6):621.
- Antigoni-Maria Founta, Despoina Chatzakou, Nicolas Kourtellis, Jeremy Blackburn, Athena Vakali, and Ilias Leontiadis. 2019. [A unified deep learning architecture for abuse detection](#). In *Proceedings of the 11th ACM Conference on Web Science, WebSci 2019, Boston, MA, USA, June 30 - July 03, 2019*, pages 105–114. ACM.
- Quentin Fournier, Gaétan Marceau Caron, and Daniel Aloise. 2021. [A practical survey on faster and lighter transformers](#). *CoRR*, abs/2103.14636.
- Zhenxin Fu, Xiaoye Tan, Nanyun Peng, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2018. [Style transfer in text: Exploration and evaluation](#). In *Proceedings of the Thirty-Second AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, (AAAI-18), the 30th innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence (IAAI-18), and the 8th AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence (EAAI-18), New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, February 2-7, 2018*, pages 663–670. AAAI Press.
- Chuang Gan, Zhe Gan, Xiaodong He, Jianfeng Gao, and Li Deng. 2017. [Stylenet: Generating attractive visual captions with styles](#). In *Proceedings of the IEEE conference on computer vision and pattern recognition*, pages 3137–3146.
- Xiang Gao, Yizhe Zhang, Sungjin Lee, Michel Galley, Chris Brockett, Jianfeng Gao, and Bill Dolan. 2019. [Structuring latent spaces for stylized response generation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (EMNLP-IJCNLP)*, pages 1814–1823, Hong Kong, China. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xavier Garcia, Noah Constant, Mandy Guo, and Orhan Firat. 2021. Towards universality in multilingual text rewriting. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.14749*.
- Albert Gatt and Emiel Kraemer. 2018. [Survey of the state of the art in natural language generation: Core tasks, applications and evaluation](#). *J. Artif. Intell. Res.*, 61:65–170.
- Leon A. Gatys, Alexander S. Ecker, and Matthias Bethge. 2015. [A neural algorithm of artistic style](#). *CoRR*, abs/1508.06576.
- Tanya Goyal, Nazneen Rajani, Wenhao Liu, and Wojciech Kryscinski. 2022. [HydraSum: Disentangling style features in text summarization with multi-decoder models](#). In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 464–479, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Arthur Gretton, AJ. Smola, Jiayuan Huang, Marcel Schmittfull, Karsten Borgwardt, and Bernhard Schölkopf. 2009. Covariate shift and local learning by distribution matching. In *Dataset Shift in Machine Learning*. MIT Press.
- Tommi Gröndahl and N. Asokan. 2020. [Effective writing style transfer via combinatorial paraphrasing](#). *Proceedings on Privacy Enhancing Technologies*, 2020(4):175–195.
- He He, Nanyun Peng, and Percy Liang. 2019. [Pun generation with surprise](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2019, Minneapolis, MN, USA, June 2-7, 2019, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 1734–1744. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Junxian He, Xinyi Wang, Graham Neubig, and Taylor Berg-Kirkpatrick. 2020. A probabilistic formulation of unsupervised text style transfer. In *International Conference on Learning Representations (ICLR)*.
- Qianyu He, Yikai Zhang, Jiaqing Liang, Yuncheng Huang, Yanghua Xiao, and Yunwen Chen. 2023. HAUSER: Towards holistic and automatic evaluation of simile generation. In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 12557–12572, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- David Helbig, Enrica Troiano, and Roman Klinger. 2020. Challenges in emotion style transfer: An exploration with a lexical substitution pipeline. In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Workshop on Natural Language Processing for Social Media, SocialNLP@ACL 2020, Online, July 10, 2020*, pages 41–50. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Christian F Hempelmann. 2008. Computational humor: Beyond the pun? *The Primer of Humor Research. Humor Research*, 8:333–360.
- Nabil Hossain, John Krumm, and Michael Gamon. 2019. "president vows to cut< taxes> hair": Dataset and analysis of creative text editing for humorous headlines. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1906.00274*.
- Dirk Hovy and Shannon L Spruit. 2016. The social impact of natural language processing. In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 591–598.
- Zhiqiang Hu, Roy Ka-Wei Lee, Charu C Aggarwal, and Aston Zhang. 2022. Text style transfer: A review and experimental evaluation. *ACM SIGKDD Explorations Newsletter*, 24(1):14–45.
- Zhiting Hu, Zichao Yang, Xiaodan Liang, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Eric P. Xing. 2017a. Toward controlled generation of text. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2017, Sydney, NSW, Australia, 6-11 August 2017*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1587–1596. PMLR.
- Zhiting Hu, Zichao Yang, Xiaodan Liang, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Eric P. Xing. 2017b. Toward controlled generation of text. In *Proceedings of the 34th International Conference on Machine Learning*, volume 70 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 1587–1596. PMLR.
- Fei Huang, Zikai Chen, Chen Henry Wu, Qihan Guo, Xiaoyan Zhu, and Minlie Huang. 2021. NAST: A non-autoregressive generator with word alignment for unsupervised text style transfer. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL-IJCNLP 2021*, pages 1577–1590, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- William Hwang, Hannaneh Hajishirzi, Mari Ostendorf, and Wei Wu. 2015. Aligning sentences from standard Wikipedia to Simple Wikipedia. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 211–217, Denver, Colorado. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Philip T. G. Jackson, Amir Atapour Abarghouei, Stephen Bonner, Toby P. Breckon, and Boguslaw Obara. 2019. Style augmentation: Data augmentation via style randomization. In *IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshops, CVPR Workshops 2019, Long Beach, CA, USA, June 16-20, 2019*, pages 83–92. Computer Vision Foundation / IEEE.
- Piotr Janiszewski, Mateusz Lango, and Jerzy Stefanowski. 2021. Time aspect in making an actionable prediction of a conversation breakdown. In *Machine Learning and Knowledge Discovery in Databases. Applied Data Science Track: European Conference, ECML PKDD 2021, Bilbao, Spain, September 13–17, 2021, Proceedings, Part V 21*, pages 351–364. Springer.
- Harsh Jhamtani, Varun Gangal, Eduard H. Hovy, and Eric Nyberg. 2017. Shakespearizing modern language using copy-enriched sequence-to-sequence models. *CoRR*, abs/1707.01161.
- Zhengbao Jiang, Frank F Xu, Jun Araki, and Graham Neubig. 2020. How can we know what language models know? *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 8:423–438.
- Di Jin, Zhijing Jin, Zhiting Hu, Olga Vechtomova, and Rada Mihalcea. 2022a. Deep learning for text style transfer: A survey. *Computational Linguistics*, 48(1):155–205.
- Di Jin, Zhijing Jin, Zhiting Hu, Olga Vechtomova, and Rada Mihalcea. 2022b. Deep learning for text style transfer: A survey. *Computational Linguistics*, 48(1):155–205.
- Di Jin, Zhijing Jin, Joey Tianyi Zhou, Lisa Orri, and Peter Szolovits. 2020. Hooks in the headline: Learning to generate headlines with controlled styles. In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*.
- Zhijing Jin, Di Jin, Jonas Mueller, Nicholas Matthews, and Enrico Santus. 2019. IMaT: Unsupervised text attribute transfer via iterative matching and translation. In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 3-7, 2019*, pages 3095–3107. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Liqiang Jing, Xuemeng Song, Xuming Lin, Zhongzhou Zhao, Wei Zhou, and Liqiang Nie. 2023. Stylized data-to-text generation: A case study in the e-commerce domain. *ACM Trans. Inf. Syst.*, 42(1).

- Vineet John, Lili Mou, Hareesh Bahuleyan, and Olga Vechtomova. 2019. [Disentangled representation learning for non-parallel text style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 424–434, Florence, Italy. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Barbara Johnstone. 1989. Linguistic strategies and cultural styles for persuasive discourse.
- Keenan Jones, Jason R.C. Nurse, and Shujun Li. 2022. [Are you robert or roberta? deceiving online authorship attribution models using neural text generators](#). *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, 16(1):429–440.
- Tomoyuki Kajiwara and Mamoru Komachi. 2016. Building a monolingual parallel corpus for text simplification using sentence similarity based on alignment between word embeddings. In *Proceedings of COLING 2016, the 26th International Conference on Computational Linguistics: Technical Papers*, pages 1147–1158.
- Yan Kang, Jianjun Zhao, Xuekun Yang, Baochen Fan, and Wentao Xie. 2024. A hybrid style transfer with whale optimization algorithm model for textual adversarial attack. *Neural Computing and Applications*, 36(8):4263–4280.
- Maurits Kaptein, Boris De Ruyter, Panos Markopoulos, and Emile Aarts. 2012. Adaptive persuasive systems: a study of tailored persuasive text messages to reduce snacking. *ACM Transactions on Interactive Intelligent Systems (TiiS)*, 2(2):1–25.
- Maurits Kaptein, Panos Markopoulos, Boris De Ruyter, and Emile Aarts. 2015. Personalizing persuasive technologies: Explicit and implicit personalization using persuasion profiles. *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, 77:38–51.
- Jane Kennedy. 1995. Debiasing the curse of knowledge in audit judgment. *Accounting Review*, pages 249–273.
- Soomin Kim, Joonhwan Lee, and Gahgene Gweon. 2019. Comparing data from chatbot and web surveys: Effects of platform and conversational style on survey response quality. In *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 1–12.
- Cezary Klamra, Grzegorz Wojdyga, Sebastian Żurowski, Paulina Rosalska, Matylda Kozłowska, and Maciej Ogrodniczuk. 2022. Devulgarization of polish texts using pre-trained language models. In *Computational Science – ICCS 2022*, pages 49–55, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- Robin M Kowalski, Gary W Giumetti, Amber N Schroeder, and Micah R Lattanner. 2014. Bullying in the digital age: a critical review and meta-analysis of cyberbullying research among youth. *Psychological bulletin*, 140(4):1073.
- Kalpesh Krishna, Deepak Nathani, Xavier Garcia, Bidisha Samanta, and Partha Talukdar. 2022. Few-shot controllable style transfer for low-resource multilingual settings. In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7439–7468.
- Irene Kwok and Yuzhou Wang. 2013. [Locate the hate: Detecting tweets against blacks](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Seventh AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, July 14-18, 2013, Bellevue, Washington, USA*. AAAI Press.
- Robin Lakoff. 1973. Language and woman’s place. *Language in society*, 2(1):45–79.
- Guillaume Lample, Sandeep Subramanian, Eric Michael Smith, Ludovic Denoyer, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, and Y-Lan Boureau. 2019a. [Multiple-attribute text rewriting](#). In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net.
- Guillaume Lample, Sandeep Subramanian, Eric Michael Smith, Ludovic Denoyer, Marc’Aurelio Ranzato, and Y-Lan Boureau. 2019b. [Multiple-attribute text rewriting](#). In *7th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2019, New Orleans, LA, USA, May 6-9, 2019*. OpenReview.net.
- Mina Lee, Katy Ilonka Gero, John Joon Young Chung, Simon Buckingham Shum, Vipul Raheja, Hua Shen, Subhashini Venugopalan, Thiemo Wambgans, David Zhou, Emad A. Alghamdi, Tal August, Avinash Bhat, Madiha Zahrah Choksi, Senjuti Dutta, Jin L.C. Guo, Md Naimul Hoque, Yewon Kim, Simon Knight, Seyed Parsa Neshaei, Antonette Shibani, Disha Shrivastava, Lila Shroff, Agnia Sergejuk, Jessi Stark, Sarah Serman, Sitong Wang, Antoine Bosse-lut, Daniel Buschek, Joseph Chee Chang, Sherol Chen, Max Kreminski, Joonsuk Park, Roy Pea, Eugenia Ha Rim Rho, Zejiang Shen, and Pao Siangliulue. 2024. [A design space for intelligent and interactive writing assistants](#). In *Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, CHI ’24, New York, NY, USA*. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Jochen L. Leidner and Vassilis Plachouras. 2017a. [Ethical by design: Ethics best practices for natural language processing](#). In *Proceedings of the First ACL Workshop on Ethics in Natural Language Processing, EthNLP@EACL, Valencia, Spain, April 4, 2017*, pages 30–40. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jochen L Leidner and Vassilis Plachouras. 2017b. [Ethical by design: Ethics best practices for natural language processing](#). In *Proceedings of the First ACL Workshop on Ethics in Natural Language Processing*, pages 30–40.

- Dianqi Li, Yizhe Zhang, Zhe Gan, Yu Cheng, Chris Brockett, Bill Dolan, and Ming-Ting Sun. 2019. [Domain adaptive text style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 3-7, 2019*, pages 3302–3311. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiwei Li, Michel Galley, Chris Brockett, Georgios P. Spithourakis, Jianfeng Gao, and William B. Dolan. 2016. [A persona-based neural conversation model](#). In *Proceedings of the 54th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2016, August 7-12, 2016, Berlin, Germany, Volume 1: Long Papers*. The Association for Computer Linguistics.
- Juncen Li, Robin Jia, He He, and Percy Liang. 2018. [Delete, retrieve, generate: a simple approach to sentiment and style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2018, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, June 1-6, 2018, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 1865–1874. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mingzhe Li, Xiuying Chen, Min Yang, Shen Gao, Dongyan Zhao, and Rui Yan. 2021. [The style-content duality of attractiveness: Learning to write eye-catching headlines via disentanglement](#). In *Thirty-Fifth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2021, Thirty-Third Conference on Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence, IAAI 2021, The Eleventh Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2021, Virtual Event, February 2-9, 2021*, pages 13252–13260. AAAI Press.
- Xiangjun Li, Xin Lu, and Peixuan Li. 2024. [Leverage nlp models against other nlp models: Two invisible feature space backdoor attacks](#). *IEEE Transactions on Reliability*, pages 1–10.
- Yi Liao, Lidong Bing, Piji Li, Shuming Shi, Wai Lam, and Tong Zhang. 2018. [QuaSE: Sequence editing under quantifiable guidance](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Brussels, Belgium, October 31 - November 4, 2018*, pages 3855–3864. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yupian Lin, Tong Ruan, Jingping Liu, and Haofen Wang. 2024. [A survey on neural data-to-text generation](#). *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 36(4):1431–1449.
- Lizhen Liu, Xiao Hu, Wei Song, Ruiji Fu, Ting Liu, and Guoping Hu. 2018. [Neural multitask learning for simile recognition](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Brussels, Belgium, October 31 - November 4, 2018*, pages 1543–1553. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Pengfei Liu, Weizhe Yuan, Jinlan Fu, Zhengbao Jiang, Hiroaki Hayashi, and Graham Neubig. 2023. [Pre-train, prompt, and predict: A systematic survey of prompting methods in natural language processing](#). *ACM Comput. Surv.*, 55(9):195:1–195:35.
- Qingyi Liu, Jinghui Qin, Wenxuan Ye, Hao Mou, Yuxuan He, and Keze Wang. 2024. [Adaptive prompt routing for arbitrary text style transfer with pre-trained language models](#). In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 38, pages 18689–18697.
- Francesco Locatello, Stefan Bauer, Mario Lucic, Gunnar Rätsch, Sylvain Gelly, Bernhard Schölkopf, and Olivier Bachem. 2019. [Challenging common assumptions in the unsupervised learning of disentangled representations](#). In *Proceedings of the 36th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2019, 9-15 June 2019, Long Beach, California, USA*, volume 97 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 4114–4124. PMLR.
- Varvara Logacheva, Daryna Dementieva, Sergey Ustyantsev, Daniil Moskovskiy, David Dale, Irina Krotova, Nikita Semenov, and Alexander Panchenko. 2022. [ParaDetox: Detoxification with parallel data](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 6804–6818, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Yi Luan, Chris Brockett, Bill Dolan, Jianfeng Gao, and Michel Galley. 2017. [Multi-task learning for speaker-role adaptation in neural conversation models](#). In *Proceedings of the Eighth International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 605–614, Taipei, Taiwan. Asian Federation of Natural Language Processing.
- Fuli Luo, Peng Li, Jie Zhou, Pengcheng Yang, Baobao Chang, Xu Sun, and Zhifang Sui. 2019a. [A dual reinforcement learning framework for unsupervised text style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the Twenty-Eighth International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence, IJCAI 2019, Macao, China, August 10-16, 2019*, pages 5116–5122. ijcai.org.
- Fuli Luo, Shun Yao Li, Pengcheng Yang, Lei Li, Baobao Chang, Zhifang Sui, and Xu Sun. 2019b. [Pun-GAN: Generative adversarial network for pun generation](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 3-7, 2019*, pages 3386–3391. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Guoqing Luo, Yu Han, Lili Mou, and Mauajama Firdaus. 2023. [Prompt-based editing for text style transfer](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 5740–5750, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.

- Xinyao Ma, Maarten Sap, Hannah Rashkin, and Yejin Choi. 2020. [PowerTransformer: Unsupervised controllable revision for biased language correction](#). In *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2020, Online, November 16-20, 2020*, pages 7426–7441. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Nitin Madnani and Bonnie J. Dorr. 2010. [Generating phrasal and sentential paraphrases: A survey of data-driven methods](#). *Computational Linguistics*, 36(3):341–387.
- Alexander Mathews, Lexing Xie, and Xuming He. 2016. Senticap: Generating image descriptions with sentiments. In *Proceedings of the AAAI conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 30.
- Rada Mihalcea and Carlo Strapparava. 2005. [Making computers laugh: Investigations in automatic humor recognition](#). In *HLT/EMNLP 2005, Human Language Technology Conference and Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, Proceedings of the Conference, 6-8 October 2005, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada*, pages 531–538. The Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Remi Mir, Bjarke Felbo, Nick Obradovich, and Iyad Rahwan. 2019. [Evaluating style transfer for text](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2019, Minneapolis, MN, USA, June 2-7, 2019, Volume 1 (Long and Short Papers)*, pages 495–504. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Swaroop Mishra, Daniel Khashabi, Chitta Baral, Yejin Choi, and Hannaneh Hajishirzi. 2021. Reframing instructional prompts to gptk’s language. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.07830*.
- Masahiro Mizukami, Graham Neubig, Sakriani Sakti, Tomoki Toda, and Satoshi Nakamura. 2015. Linguistic individuality transformation for spoken language. In *Natural Language Dialog Systems and Intelligent Assistants*, pages 129–143. Springer.
- Suzanne Mpouli. 2017. Annotating similes in literary texts. In *Proceedings of the 13th Joint ISO-ACL Workshop on Interoperable Semantic Annotation (ISA-13)*.
- Ian Muehlenhaus. 2012. If looks could kill: The impact of different rhetorical styles on persuasive geocommunication. *The Cartographic Journal*, 49(4):361–375.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Akanksha Bansal, Pritha Majumdar, Atul Kr. Ojha, and Ondřej Dušek. 2023a. [Low-resource text style transfer for Bangla: Data & models](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Bangla Language Processing (BLP-2023)*, pages 34–47, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee and Ondřej Dušek. 2023. Leveraging low-resource parallel data for text style transfer. In *Proceedings of the 16th International Natural Language Generation Conference*, pages 388–395, Prague, Czechia.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Vojtech Hudeček, and Ondřej Dušek. 2023b. [Polite chatbot: A text style transfer application](#). In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EACL 2023 - Student Research Workshop, Dubrovnik, Croatia, May 2-4, 2023*, pages 87–93.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Vojtěch Hudeček, and Ondřej Dušek. 2023c. [Polite chatbot: A text style transfer application](#). In *Proceedings of the 17th Conference of the European Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Student Research Workshop*, pages 87–93, Dubrovnik, Croatia. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Zdeněk Kasner, and Ondřej Dušek. 2022. Balancing the style-content trade-off in sentiment transfer using polarity-aware denoising. In *International Conference on Text, Speech, and Dialogue*, pages 172–186. Springer.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Atul Kr Ojha, Akanksha Bansal, Deepak Alok, John P McCrae, and Ondřej Dušek. 2024a. Multilingual text style transfer: Datasets & models for indian languages. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2405.20805*.
- Sourabrata Mukherjee, Atul Kr Ojha, and Ondřej Dušek. 2024b. Are large language models actually good at text style transfer? *arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.05885*.
- Emily R Munro. 2011. The protection of children online: a brief scoping review to identify vulnerable groups. *Childhood Wellbeing Research Centre*.
- Karl Munson, Anish Savla, Chih-Kai Ting, Serenity Wade, Kiran Kate, and Kavitha Srinivas. 2022. [Exploring code style transfer with neural networks](#).
- Vlad Niculae and Cristian Danescu-Niculescu-Mizil. 2014. [Brighter than gold: Figurative language in user generated comparisons](#). In *Proceedings of the 2014 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2014, October 25-29, 2014, Doha, Qatar, A meeting of SIGDAT, a Special Interest Group of the ACL*, pages 2008–2018. ACL.
- Nikola I. Nikolov and Richard H. R. Hahnloser. 2018. [Large-scale hierarchical alignment for author style transfer](#). *CoRR*, abs/1810.08237.
- Sergiu Nisioi, Sanja Štajner, Simone Paolo Ponzetto, and Liviu P Dinu. 2017. Exploring neural text simplification models. In *Proceedings of the 55th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 2: Short Papers)*, pages 85–91.

- Tong Niu and Mohit Bansal. 2018. Polite dialogue generation without parallel data. *Transactions of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, 6:373–389.
- Xing Niu, Marianna J. Martindale, and Marine Carpuat. 2017. A study of style in machine translation: Controlling the formality of machine translation output. In *Proceedings of the 2017 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2017, Copenhagen, Denmark, September 9-11, 2017*, pages 2814–2819. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chikashi Nobata, Joel R. Tetreault, Achint Thomas, Yashar Mehdad, and Yi Chang. 2016. Abusive language detection in online user content. In *Proceedings of the 25th International Conference on World Wide Web, WWW 2016, Montreal, Canada, April 11 - 15, 2016*, pages 145–153. ACM.
- John C Norcross. 2002. *Psychotherapy relationships that work: Therapist contributions and responsiveness to patients*. Oxford University Press.
- Atte Oksanen, James Hawdon, Emma Holkeri, Matti Näsi, and Pekka Räsänen. 2014. Exposure to online hate among young social media users. In *Soul of society: a focus on the lives of children & youth*. Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Long Ouyang, Jeffrey Wu, Xu Jiang, Diogo Almeida, Carroll L. Wainwright, Pamela Mishkin, Chong Zhang, Sandhini Agarwal, Katarina Slama, Alex Ray, John Schulman, Jacob Hilton, Fraser Kelton, Luke Miller, Maddie Simens, Amanda Askell, Peter Welinder, Paul F. Christiano, Jan Leike, and Ryan Lowe. 2022. Training language models to follow instructions with human feedback. In *NeurIPS*.
- Xudong Pan, Mi Zhang, Beina Sheng, Jiaming Zhu, and Min Yang. 2022. Hidden trigger backdoor attack on {NLP} models via linguistic style manipulation. In *31st USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security 22)*, pages 3611–3628.
- Richard Yuanzhe Pang. 2019. Towards actual (Not operational) textual style transfer auto-evaluation. In *Proceedings of the 5th Workshop on Noisy User-generated Text, W-NUT@EMNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 4, 2019*, pages 444–445. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Richard Yuanzhe Pang and Kevin Gimpel. 2018. Unsupervised evaluation metrics and learning criteria for non-parallel textual transfer. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1810.11878*.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Weijing Zhu. 2002a. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 311–318, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kishore Papineni, Salim Roukos, Todd Ward, and Weijing Zhu. 2002b. Bleu: a method for automatic evaluation of machine translation. In *Proceedings of the 40th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, July 6-12, 2002, Philadelphia, PA, USA*, pages 311–318. ACL.
- Verónica Pérez-Rosas, Ken Resnicow, Rada Mihalcea, et al. 2023. Verve: Template-based reflective rewriting for motivational interviewing. In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: EMNLP 2023*, pages 10289–10302.
- Shrimai Prabhumoye, Brendon Boldt, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Alan W. Black. 2021. Case study: Deontological ethics in NLP. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2021, Online, June 6-11, 2021*, pages 3784–3798. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Shrimai Prabhumoye, Yulia Tsvetkov, Ruslan Salakhutdinov, and Alan W. Black. 2018. Style transfer through back-translation. In *Proceedings of the 56th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2018, Melbourne, Australia, July 15-20, 2018, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 866–876. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Reid Pryzant, Richard Diehl Martinez, Nathan Dass, Sadao Kurohashi, Dan Jurafsky, and Diyi Yang. 2020. Automatically neutralizing subjective bias in text. In *Proceedings of the aaai conference on artificial intelligence*, volume 34, pages 480–489.
- Amruta Purandare and Diane J. Litman. 2006. Humor: Prosody analysis and automatic recognition for F*R*I*E*N*D*S*. In *EMNLP 2006, Proceedings of the 2006 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, 22-23 July 2006, Sydney, Australia*, pages 208–215. ACL.
- Ashequl Qadir, Ellen Riloff, and Marilyn A. Walker. 2015. Learning to recognize affective polarity in similes. In *Proceedings of the 2015 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2015, Lisbon, Portugal, September 17-21, 2015*, pages 190–200. The Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ashequl Qadir, Ellen Riloff, and Marilyn A. Walker. 2016. Automatically inferring implicit properties in similes. In *NAACL HLT 2016, The 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, San Diego California, USA, June 12-17, 2016*, pages 1223–1232. The Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Fanchao Qi, Yangyi Chen, Xurui Zhang, Mukai Li, Zhiyuan Liu, and Maosong Sun. 2021. Mind the style of text! adversarial and backdoor attacks based on text style transfer. In *Proceedings of the 2021 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 4569–4580, Online and Punta Cana,

- Dominican Republic. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Alec Radford, Rafal Józefowicz, and Ilya Sutskever. 2017. [Learning to generate reviews and discovering sentiment](#). *CoRR*, abs/1704.01444.
- Alec Radford, Jeffrey Wu, Rewon Child, David Luan, Dario Amodei, and Ilya Sutskever. 2019. Language models are unsupervised multitask learners. *OpenAI Blog*, 1(8):9.
- Faisal Rahutomo, Teruaki Kitasuka, and Masayoshi Arisugi. 2012. Semantic cosine similarity. In *The 7th international student conference on advanced science and technology ICAST*, volume 4, page 1.
- Sudha Rao and Joel R. Tetreault. 2018. [Dear sir or madam, may I introduce the GYAFC dataset: Corpus, benchmarks and metrics for formality style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the 2018 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, NAACL-HLT 2018, New Orleans, Louisiana, USA, June 1-6, 2018, Volume 1 (Long Papers)*, pages 129–140. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sravana Reddy and Kevin Knight. 2016a. [Obfuscating gender in social media writing](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on NLP and Computational Social Science, NLP+CSS@EMNLP 2016, Austin, TX, USA, November 5, 2016*, pages 17–26. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Sravana Reddy and Kevin Knight. 2016b. [Obfuscating gender in social media writing](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on NLP and Computational Social Science*, pages 17–26, Austin, Texas. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Emily Reif, Daphne Ippolito, Ann Yuan, Andy Coenen, Chris Callison-Burch, and Jason Wei. 2021. A recipe for arbitrary text style transfer with large language models. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2109.03910*.
- Nils Reimers and Iryna Gurevych. 2019. Sentence-bert: Sentence embeddings using siamese bert-networks. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1908.10084*.
- Alan Ritter, Colin Cherry, and William B. Dolan. 2011. [Data-driven response generation in social media](#). In *Proceedings of the 2011 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing, EMNLP 2011, 27-31 July 2011, John McIntyre Conference Centre, Edinburgh, UK, A meeting of SIGDAT, a Special Interest Group of the ACL*, pages 583–593. ACL.
- Stephen Roller, Emily Dinan, Naman Goyal, Da Ju, Mary Williamson, Yinhan Liu, Jing Xu, Myle Ott, Kurt Shuster, Eric M Smith, et al. 2020. Recipes for building an open-domain chatbot. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2004.13637*.
- Horacio Saggin. 2017. Automatic text simplification. *Synthesis Lectures on Human Language Technologies*, 10(1):1–137.
- Maarten Sap, Marcella Cindy Prasettio, Ari Holtzman, Hannah Rashkin, and Yejin Choi. 2017. Connotation frames of power and agency in modern films. In *Proceedings of the 2017 conference on empirical methods in natural language processing*, pages 2329–2334.
- Jonathan Schler, Moshe Koppel, Shlomo Argamon, and James W. Pennebaker. 2006. [Effects of age and gender on blogging](#). In *Computational Approaches to Analyzing Weblogs, Papers from the 2006 AAAI Spring Symposium, Technical Report SS-06-03, Stanford, California, USA, March 27-29, 2006*, pages 199–205. AAAI.
- Rico Sennrich, Barry Haddow, and Alexandra Birch. 2016. [Controlling politeness in neural machine translation via side constraints](#). In *NAACL HLT 2016, The 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, San Diego California, USA, June 12-17, 2016*, pages 35–40. The Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Mingyue Shang, Piji Li, Zhenxin Fu, Lidong Bing, Dongyan Zhao, Shuming Shi, and Rui Yan. 2019. [Semi-supervised text style transfer: Cross projection in latent space](#). In *Proceedings of the 2019 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing and the 9th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing, EMNLP-IJCNLP 2019, Hong Kong, China, November 3-7, 2019*, pages 4936–4945. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Chengcheng Shao, Giovanni Luca Ciampaglia, Onur Varol, Kai-Cheng Yang, Alessandro Flammini, and Filippo Menczer. 2018. The spread of low-credibility content by social bots. *Nature communications*, 9(1):1–9.
- Ashish Sharma, Inna W Lin, Adam S Miner, David C Atkins, and Tim Althoff. 2021. Towards facilitating empathic conversations in online mental health support: A reinforcement learning approach. In *Proceedings of the Web Conference 2021*, pages 194–205.
- Ashish Sharma, Inna W Lin, Adam S Miner, David C Atkins, and Tim Althoff. 2023. Human–ai collaboration enables more empathic conversations in text-based peer-to-peer mental health support. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 5(1):46–57.
- Tianxiao Shen, Tao Lei, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi Jaakkola. 2017. Style transfer from non-parallel text by cross-alignment. *Advances in neural information processing systems*, 30.
- Tianxiao Shen, Jonas Mueller, Regina Barzilay, and Tommi S. Jaakkola. 2020. [Educating text autoencoders: Latent representation guidance via denoising](#). In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event, volume 119 of Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 8719–8729. PMLR.

- Diogo Silva, David Semedo, and João Magalhães. 2022. [Polite task-oriented dialog agents: To generate or to rewrite?](#) In *Proceedings of the 12th Workshop on Computational Approaches to Subjectivity, Sentiment & Social Media Analysis*, pages 304–314, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haoyu Song, Yan Wang, Kaiyan Zhang, Wei-Nan Zhang, and Ting Liu. 2021. [BoB: BERT over BERT for training persona-based dialogue models from limited personalized data.](#) In *Proceedings of the 59th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics and the 11th International Joint Conference on Natural Language Processing (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 167–177, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Haoyu Song, Yan Wang, Wei-Nan Zhang, Xiaojiang Liu, and Ting Liu. 2020. [Generate, delete and rewrite: A three-stage framework for improving persona consistency of dialogue generation.](#) In *Proceedings of the 58th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics*, pages 5821–5831, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhenqiao Song, Xiaoqing Zheng, Lu Liu, Mu Xu, and Xuanjing Huang. 2019. [Generating responses with a specific emotion in dialog.](#) In *Proceedings of the 57th Conference of the Association for Computational Linguistics, ACL 2019, Florence, Italy, July 28- August 2, 2019, Volume 1: Long Papers*, pages 3685–3695. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ignacy Stepka, Mateusz Lango, and Jerzy Stefanowski. 2024. [A multi-criteria approach for selecting an explanation from the set of counterfactuals produced by an ensemble of explainers.](#) *Int. J. Appl. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 34(1):119–133.
- Feng-Guang Su, Aliyah R. Hsu, Yi-Lin Tuan, and Hung-Yi Lee. 2019. [Personalized Dialogue Response Generation Learned from Monologues.](#) In *Proc. Interspeech 2019*, pages 4160–4164.
- Qingfeng Sun, Can Xu, Huang Hu, Yujing Wang, Jian Miao, Xiubo Geng, Yining Chen, Fei Xu, and Daxin Jiang. 2022. [Stylized knowledge-grounded dialogue generation via disentangled template rewriting.](#) In *Proceedings of the 2022 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies*, pages 3304–3318, Seattle, United States. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Ilya Sutskever, Oriol Vinyals, and Quoc V. Le. 2014. [Sequence to sequence learning with neural networks.](#) In *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems 27: Annual Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems 2014, December 8-13 2014, Montreal, Quebec, Canada*, pages 3104–3112.
- Mirac Suzgun, Luke Melas-Kyriazi, and Dan Jurafsky. 2022. [Prompt-and-rerank: A method for zero-shot and few-shot arbitrary textual style transfer with small language models.](#) *arXiv preprint arXiv:2205.11503*.
- Sharon Swee-Lin Tan and Nadee Goonawardene. 2017. [Internet health information seeking and the patient-physician relationship: a systematic review.](#) *Journal of medical Internet research*, 19(1):e5729.
- Yutong Tan, Zheng Lin, Peng Fu, Mingyu Zheng, Lanrui Wang, Yanan Cao, and Weiping Wang. 2022. [Detach and attach: Stylized image captioning without paired stylized dataset.](#) In *Proceedings of the 30th ACM International Conference on Multimedia, MM '22*, page 4733–4741, New York, NY, USA. Association for Computing Machinery.
- Julia M Taylor and Lawrence J Mazlack. 2004. [Computationally recognizing wordplay in jokes.](#) In *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the Cognitive Science Society*, volume 26.
- Chih-Kai Ting, Karl Munson, Serenity Wade, Anish Savla, Kiran Kate, and Kavitha Srinivas. 2023. [Codestylist: A system for performing code style transfer using neural networks.](#) *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, 37(13):16485–16487.
- Martina Toshevska and Sonja Gievska. 2021. [A Review of Text Style Transfer using Deep Learning.](#) *IEEE Transactions on Artificial Intelligence*.
- Hugo Touvron, Thibaut Lavril, Gautier Izacard, Xavier Martinet, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Timothée Lacroix, Baptiste Rozière, Naman Goyal, Eric Hambro, Faisal Azhar, Aurélien Rodriguez, Armand Joulin, Edouard Grave, and Guillaume Lample. 2023a. [LLaMA: Open and Efficient Foundation Language Models.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2302.13971.
- Hugo Touvron, Louis Martin, Kevin Stone, Peter Albert, Amjad Almahairi, Yasmine Babaei, Nikolay Bashlykov, Soumya Batra, Prajjwal Bhargava, Shrutu Bhosale, Dan Bikel, Lukas Blecher, Cristian Canton-Ferrer, Moya Chen, Guillem Cucurull, David Esiohu, Jude Fernandes, Jeremy Fu, Wenyin Fu, Brian Fuller, Cynthia Gao, Vedanuj Goswami, Naman Goyal, Anthony Hartshorn, Saghar Hosseini, Rui Hou, Hakan Inan, Marcin Kardas, Viktor Kerkez, Madian Khabsa, Isabel Kloumann, Artem Korenev, Punit Singh Koura, Marie-Anne Lachaux, Thibaut Lavril, Jenya Lee, Diana Liskovich, Yinghai Lu, Yuning Mao, Xavier Martinet, Todor Mihaylov, Pushkar Mishra, Igor Molybog, Yixin Nie, Andrew Poulton, Jeremy Reizenstein, Rashi Rungta, Kalyan Saladi, Alan Schelten, Ruan Silva, Eric Michael Smith, Ranjan Subramanian, Xiaoqing Ellen Tan, Binh Tang, Ross Taylor, Adina Williams, Jian Xiang Kuan, Puxin Xu, Zheng Yan, Iliyan Zarov, Yuchen Zhang, Angela Fan, Melanie Kambadur, Sharan Narang, Aurélien Rodriguez, Robert Stojnic, Sergey Edunov, and Thomas Scialom. 2023b. [Llama 2: Open Foundation and Fine-tuned Chat Models.](#) *CoRR*, abs/2307.09288.
- Minh Tran, Yipeng Zhang, and Mohammad Soleymani. 2020. [Towards A friendly online community: An unsupervised style transfer framework for profanity redaction.](#) In *Proceedings of the 28th International*

- Conference on Computational Linguistics, COLING 2020, Barcelona, Spain (Online), December 8-13, 2020*, pages 2107–2114. International Committee on Computational Linguistics.
- Tobias van der Werff, Rik van Noord, and Antonio Toral. 2022. [Automatic discrimination of human and neural machine translation: A study with multiple pre-trained models and longer context](#). In *Proceedings of the 23rd Annual Conference of the European Association for Machine Translation*, pages 161–170, Ghent, Belgium. European Association for Machine Translation.
- Zhendong Wang, Isak Samsten, Vasiliki Kougia, and Panagiotis Papapetrou. 2023. [Style-transfer counterfactual explanations: An application to mortality prevention of icu patients](#). *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, 135:102457.
- Zhendong Wang, Isak Samsten, and Panagiotis Papapetrou. 2021. Counterfactual explanations for survival prediction of cardiovascular icu patients. In *Artificial Intelligence in Medicine*, pages 338–348, Cham. Springer International Publishing.
- William Warner and Julia Hirschberg. 2012. Detecting hate speech on the world wide web. In *Proceedings of the second workshop on language in social media*, pages 19–26.
- Zeerak Waseem, Thomas Davidson, Dana Warmsley, and Ingmar Weber. 2017. [Understanding abuse: A typology of abusive language detection subtasks](#). In *Proceedings of the First Workshop on Abusive Language Online, ALW@ACL 2017, Vancouver, BC, Canada, August 4, 2017*, pages 78–84. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zeerak Waseem and Dirk Hovy. 2016. [Hateful symbols or hateful people? predictive features for hate speech detection on twitter](#). In *Proceedings of the Student Research Workshop, SRW@HLT-NAACL 2016, The 2016 Conference of the North American Chapter of the Association for Computational Linguistics: Human Language Technologies, San Diego California, USA, June 12-17, 2016*, pages 88–93. The Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Daimeng Wei, Zhanglin Wu, Hengchao Shang, Zongyao Li, Minghan Wang, Jiabin Guo, Xiaoyu Chen, Zhengzhe Yu, and Hao Yang. 2023. [Text style transfer back-translation](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7944–7959, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Laura Weidinger, Jonathan Uesato, Maribeth Rauh, Conor Griffin, Po-Sen Huang, John Mellor, Amelia Glaese, Myra Cheng, Borja Balle, Atoosa Kasirzadeh, et al. 2022. Taxonomy of risks posed by language models. In *2022 ACM Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, pages 214–229.
- Orion Weller, Nancy Fulda, and Kevin Seppi. 2020. [Can humor prediction datasets be used for humor generation? humorous headline generation via style transfer](#). In *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Figurative Language Processing*, pages 186–191, Online. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Xinxiao Wu, Wentian Zhao, and Jiebo Luo. 2022. Learning cooperative neural modules for stylized image captioning. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 130(9):2305–2320.
- Xuanxuan Wu, Jian Liu, Xinjie Li, Jinan Xu, Yufeng Chen, Yujie Zhang, and Hui Huang. 2021. Improving stylized neural machine translation with iterative dual knowledge transfer. In *IJCAI*, pages 3971–3977.
- Yu Wu, Yunli Wang, and Shujie Liu. 2020. [A dataset for low-resource stylized sequence-to-sequence generation](#). In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020, The Thirty-Second Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2020, The Tenth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2020, New York, NY, USA, February 7-12, 2020*, pages 9290–9297. AAAI Press.
- Guang Xiang, Bin Fan, Ling Wang, Jason I. Hong, and Carolyn P. Rosé. 2012. [Detecting offensive tweets via topical feature discovery over a large scale twitter corpus](#). In *21st ACM International Conference on Information and Knowledge Management, CIKM'12, Maui, HI, USA, October 29 - November 02, 2012*, pages 1980–1984. ACM.
- Peng Xu, Jackie Chi Kit Cheung, and Yanshuai Cao. 2020. [On variational learning of controllable representations for text without supervision](#). In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2020, 13-18 July 2020, Virtual Event*, volume 119 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 10534–10543. PMLR.
- Xinchao Xu, Zeyang Lei, Wenquan Wu, Zheng-Yu Niu, Hua Wu, and Haifeng Wang. 2023. [Towards zero-shot persona dialogue generation with in-context learning](#). In *Findings of the Association for Computational Linguistics: ACL 2023*, pages 1387–1398, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Kexin Yang, Dayiheng Liu, Wenqiang Lei, Baosong Yang, Xiangpeng Wei, Zhengyuan Liu, and Jun Xie. 2023. [Fantastic expressions and where to find them: Chinese simile generation with multiple constraints](#). In *Proceedings of the 61st Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 468–486, Toronto, Canada. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Catherine Yeh, Gonzalo Ramos, Rachel Ng, Andy Huntington, and Richard Banks. 2024. [Ghostwriter: Augmenting collaborative human-ai writing experiences through personalization and agency](#).

- Akhila Yerukola, Xuhui Zhou, Elizabeth Clark, and Maarten Sap. 2023. [Don't take this out of context!: On the need for contextual models and evaluations for stylistic rewriting](#). In *Proceedings of the 2023 Conference on Empirical Methods in Natural Language Processing*, pages 11419–11444, Singapore. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Jiali Zeng, Linfeng Song, Jinsong Su, Jun Xie, Wei Song, and Jiebo Luo. 2020. [Neural simile recognition with cyclic multitask learning and local attention](#). In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020, The Thirty-Second Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2020, The Tenth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2020, New York, NY, USA, February 7-12, 2020*, pages 9515–9522. AAAI Press.
- Wanyue Zhai, Jonathan Ruser, Zubair Shafiq, and Padmini Srinivasan. 2022. [Adversarial authorship attribution for deobfuscation](#). In *Proceedings of the 60th Annual Meeting of the Association for Computational Linguistics (Volume 1: Long Papers)*, pages 7372–7384, Dublin, Ireland. Association for Computational Linguistics.
- Zhirui Zhang, Shuo Ren, Shujie Liu, Jianyong Wang, Peng Chen, Mu Li, Ming Zhou, and Enhong Chen. 2018. [Style transfer as unsupervised machine translation](#). *CoRR*, abs/1808.07894.
- Junbo Jake Zhao, Yoon Kim, Kelly Zhang, Alexander M. Rush, and Yann LeCun. 2018. [Adversarially regularized autoencoders](#). In *Proceedings of the 35th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2018, Stockholmsmässan, Stockholm, Sweden, July 10-15, 2018*, volume 80 of *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, pages 5897–5906. PMLR.
- Xu Zheng, Tejo Chalasani, Koustav Ghosal, Sebastian Lutz, and Aljosa Smolic. 2019. [STaDA: Style transfer as data augmentation](#). In *Proceedings of the 14th International Joint Conference on Computer Vision, Imaging and Computer Graphics Theory and Applications, VISIGRAPP 2019, Volume 4: VISAPP, Prague, Czech Republic, February 25-27, 2019*, pages 107–114. SciTePress.
- Yinhe Zheng, Zikai Chen, Rongsheng Zhang, Shilei Huang, Xiaoxi Mao, and Minlie Huang. 2021. Stylized dialogue response generation using stylized unpaired texts. In *Proceedings of the AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, volume 35, pages 14558–14567.
- Yinhe Zheng, Rongsheng Zhang, Minlie Huang, and Xiaoxi Mao. 2020. [A pre-training based personalized dialogue generation model with persona-sparse data](#). In *The Thirty-Fourth AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, AAAI 2020, The Thirty-Second Innovative Applications of Artificial Intelligence Conference, IAAI 2020, The Tenth AAAI Symposium on Educational Advances in Artificial Intelligence, EAAI 2020, New York, NY, USA, February 7-12, 2020*, pages 9693–9700. AAAI Press.
- Kaijie Zhu, Jindong Wang, Jiaheng Zhou, Zichen Wang, Hao Chen, Yidong Wang, Linyi Yang, Wei Ye, Neil Zhenqiang Gong, Yue Zhang, et al. 2023. Promptbench: Towards evaluating the robustness of large language models on adversarial prompts. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.04528*.
- Aixiao Zou, Xuanxuan Wu, Xinjie Li, Ting Zhang, Fuwei Cui, and Jinan Xu. 2024. Curriculum pre-training for stylized neural machine translation. *Applied Intelligence*, pages 1–11.