

STABILITY OF QUATERNION MATRIX POLYNOMIALS

PALLAVI BASAVARAJU, SHRINATH HADIMANI AND SACHINDRANATH
JAYARAMAN

ABSTRACT. A right quaternion matrix polynomial is an expression of the form $P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda^i$, where A_i 's are $n \times n$ quaternion matrices with $A_m \neq 0$. The aim of this manuscript is to determine the location of right eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ relative to certain subsets of the set of quaternions. In particular, we extend the notion of (hyper)stability of complex matrix polynomials to quaternion matrix polynomials and obtain location of right eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ using the following methods: (1) we give a relation between (hyper)stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial and its complex adjoint matrix polynomial, (2) we prove that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to an open (closed) ball in the set of quaternions, centered at a complex number if and only if it is stable with respect to its intersection with the set of complex numbers and (3) as a consequence of (1) and (2), we prove that right eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ lie between two concentric balls of specific radii in the set of quaternions centered at the origin. We identify classes of quaternion matrix polynomials for which stability and hyperstability are equivalent. We finally deduce hyperstability of certain univariate quaternion matrix polynomials via stability of certain multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials.

1. INTRODUCTION

A key concept in complex analysis and algebra is the well-known fundamental theorem of algebra, which says any scalar polynomial with complex coefficients and degree $m \geq 1$ has a zero in the set of complex numbers and the number of zeros is equal to m . Although this theorem gives a precise number of zeros of a polynomial, when the degree of the polynomial exceeds 4, it is difficult to calculate the zeros algebraically. Therefore, finding regions in which the zeros lie

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 15A18, 15B33, 12E15, 15A66.

Key words and phrases. Right quaternion matrix polynomials, right eigenvalues of quaternion matrix polynomials, complex adjoint matrix polynomials, stability and hyperstability of quaternion matrix polynomials, multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials.

plays a crucial role in certain iterative methods [22]. There is a vast literature on the location of zeros of polynomials. Some of these are [9], [10], [16], [26], [28] and references cited therein.

A natural generalization of scalar polynomials is to allow the coefficients to be complex matrices. Polynomials, whose coefficients are complex matrices, also known as matrix polynomials in the literature arise in several problems in applied mathematics. An excellent monograph on this subject is the one by Gohberg et al. [11]. The eigenvalues of a matrix polynomial are the same as the zeros of the determinant (which is scalar complex polynomial) of the matrix polynomial. Therefore, one can apply methods given in the above cited references and scalar stability tests (see [15], [18]) to this complex polynomial to obtain the location of eigenvalues. However, when the size of coefficient matrices is large, computing the determinant is difficult. Therefore, the location of eigenvalues of complex matrix polynomials has been an interesting problem. The early attempts to find bounds on eigenvalues of complex matrix polynomials are due to Higham and Tisseur [13], by associating block matrices and scalar polynomials to the given matrix polynomial. Various other bounds obtained by associating scalar polynomials to the given matrix polynomial can be found in [7], [17], [19] and [25]. Bounds for eigenvalues of matrix polynomials, very similar to those of Higham and Tisseur were obtained recently in [12]. The readers may refer to [6] and [20] for various applications of matrix polynomials and related computational issues. In [4] and [5], the authors have extended some of these methods to rational matrices.

Recently, polynomials whose coefficients are from the noncommutative ring of quaternions has received interest of some mathematicians. Locating the zeros of such polynomials pose interesting questions due to the noncommutativity of quaternion multiplication. Readers may refer to the following recent articles [2] and [21] and the references cited therein.

For quaternion matrices as well as matrix polynomials whose coefficients have entries from quaternions, (henceforth known as quaternion matrix polynomials), the definition of the determinant is not same as that of the complex case. However, there are notions of determinant that have been used in the literature (see for instance, [23] and [24]). Bounds on the moduli of eigenvalues of quaternion

matrices and quaternion matrix polynomials can be determined by localization and perturbation theorems such as the Geršgorin theorem, Bauer-Fike theorem and Ostrowski's theorem. Bounds are also determined by associating a block matrix and the norm of the coefficient matrices. These results can be found in [1], [2] and [3]. One can also introduce notions of stability of (complex) matrix polynomials, which does not involve the determinant. In [27], authors give two such notions for complex matrix polynomials to locate eigenvalues.

This present work is an attempt to extend the notions of stability and hyperstability for quaternion matrix polynomials. Due to the noncommutativity of quaternions, these definitions are not the same as in the complex case, although some of the results for quaternion matrix polynomials are similar to the ones presented in [27] for complex matrix polynomials. A more elaborate description of the results obtained in this manuscript will be given later, after introducing some preliminaries.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Preliminary ideas, definitions and basic facts needed in the main results are presented in this section. We divide this section into two subsections. We collect basic notions in the complex case in the first subsection. The second one concerns notions from the ring of quaternions.

2.1. The complex case.

\mathbb{C} stands for the field of complex numbers. The space of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{C} is denoted by $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. A complex matrix polynomial of degree m and size $n \times n$ is a function $P: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{C})$ defined as $P(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \dots + A_1 \lambda + A_0$, where coefficients $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $A_m \neq 0$. If the map $\lambda \mapsto \det P(\lambda)$ is not identically the zero function, then the matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ is said to be regular. A complex number λ_0 is called an eigenvalue of a regular matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$, if there is a vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $P(\lambda_0)y$ is a zero vector in \mathbb{C}^n . The vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ is called an eigenvector of $P(\lambda)$ corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 . Equivalently, if $\det P(\lambda_0) = 0$, then $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ is an eigenvalue for a given matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$. We now introduce two important definitions

that set the tone for this work. Let Ω be any nonempty subset of \mathbb{C} and $P(\lambda)$ be an $n \times n$ regular complex matrix polynomial of degree m .

Definition 2.1. If for each nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and each $\mu \in \Omega$, there exists a nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $z^*P(\mu)y \neq 0$, then the matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ is said to be stable with respect to Ω .

It is easy to verify that $P(\lambda)$ has no eigenvalue in Ω if and only if $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . A much stronger notion, namely, hyperstability of $P(\lambda)$ is defined as follows:

Definition 2.2. If for each nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{C}^n$, there exists a nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$ such that $z^*P(\mu)y \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Omega$, then $P(\lambda)$ is said to be hyperstable with respect to Ω .

Notice that if $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω , then it is stable with respect to Ω , although they are not equivalent in general (see for instance Example 3 from [27]).

2.2. The quaternions setting.

The space of real quaternions is defined by $\mathbb{H} := \{a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k : a_i \in \mathbb{R}\}$ with $i^2 = j^2 = k^2 = ijk = -1, ij = k = -ji, jk = i = -kj$ and $ki = j = -ik$. For $q = a_0 + a_1i + a_2j + a_3k \in \mathbb{H}$, $|q| := \sqrt{a_0^2 + a_1^2 + a_2^2 + a_3^2}$ denotes the modulus of q and $\bar{q} := a_0 - a_1i - a_2j - a_3k$ denotes the conjugate of q . Quaternions p and q are similar if $s^{-1}qs = p$ for some $s \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$. If quaternions p and q are similar, then $|p| = |q|$. Let $M_n(\mathbb{H})$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices over \mathbb{H} . For $A = (q_{ij}) \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, the adjoint of A is defined as $A^* = (\bar{q}_{ij})$. \mathbb{H}^n denotes the set of all n -component columns with quaternion components. \mathbb{H}^n is a right quaternion vector space over the division ring \mathbb{H} . Standard linear algebra concepts such as linear independence, spanning set, basis, direct sum, and so on work in exactly the same way as for finite dimensional vector spaces over commutative division rings (see [8] and [24] for details). The subspace spanned by $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is denoted by $\text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\} = \{v_1q_1 + v_2q_2 + \dots + v_nq_n : q_i \in \mathbb{H}\}$. The inner product on \mathbb{H}^n is defined by $\langle u, v \rangle = v^*u$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{H}^n$.

The set of quaternions being a noncommutative division ring, there are notions of left and right eigenvalues for matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{H})$. If $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, a quaternion

λ_0 is a right (left) eigenvalue if there exists a vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Ay = y\lambda_0$ ($Ay = \lambda_0y$). It is important to note that if λ_0 is a right eigenvalue of A , any quaternion similar to λ_0 is also a right eigenvalue of A . Thus there are infinitely many right eigenvalues for $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$. However, given $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, there are exactly n complex numbers with nonnegative imaginary parts that are right eigenvalues of A (Theorem 5.4, [29]). These right eigenvalues are called the standard eigenvalues of A . Every quaternion that is a right eigenvalue of A falls within one of the equivalence classes of these standard eigenvalues. In contrast, determining the number of left eigenvalues and their equivalence classes remains an open question. Unlike right eigenvalues, a quaternion similar to a left eigenvalue need not be a left eigenvalue. In [14], authors prove that for a 2×2 quaternion matrix the number of left eigenvalues is either at most 2 or infinite and for an $n \times n$ complex matrix the number of left quaternion eigenvalues is either at most n or infinite. However, it remains unknown if an $n \times n$ quaternion matrix with a finite number of left eigenvalues has at most n elements. Thus, left eigenvalues have received less attention in the literature than right eigenvalues.

An $n \times n$ right quaternion matrix polynomial of degree m is a function $P: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{H})$, where $P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda^i$, with $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ and $A_m \neq 0$. Note that the indeterminate λ appears on the right side of the matrix coefficients. A quaternion $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ is a right (left) eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ if there exists a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^m A_i y \lambda_0^i = 0$ ($\sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda_0^i y = 0$). An $n \times n$ left quaternion matrix polynomial is defined as a map $Q: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{H})$ given by $Q(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m \lambda^i A_i$, where $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ (the indeterminate λ is on the left of the matrix coefficients). A quaternion λ_0 is a left eigenvalue of $Q(\lambda)$ if there exists a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^m \lambda_0^i A_i y = 0$. However, defining right eigenvalues for left quaternion matrix polynomials is not possible in the same manner. The exact number of left eigenvalues for a quaternion matrix polynomial remains undetermined, making this an underexplored area in mathematical literature. As a result, our focus in this manuscript is entirely on right eigenvalues of right quaternion matrix polynomials, which we henceforth refer to as quaternion matrix polynomials. We

also refer to the right eigenvalues as eigenvalues, to avoid confusion. Additional notations and definitions will be introduced as and when needed later on.

3. A BRIEF DISCUSSION OF THE RESULTS OBTAINED

A brief description of the results obtained in this manuscript follows. We define the notions of stability and hyperstability for quaternion matrix polynomials. Due to noncommutativity of quaternion multiplication, these definitions are not the same as in the complex case. We begin by proving some results for quaternion matrix polynomials that are similar to those that appear in [27] for complex matrix polynomials. In particular, we prove that if a matrix polynomial is hyperstable with respect to a subset Ω of \mathbb{H} , then it is stable with respect to Ω . Since multiplication in quaternions is noncommutative, the proofs are not straightforward generalizations from the complex case, and hence we give detailed proofs (see Propositions 4.4 and 4.5). An important result (Theorem 4.6) of this manuscript gives a relation between (hyper)stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial and (hyper)stability of the corresponding complex adjoint matrix polynomial (see Section 4.1 for definition). We use this result to prove that stability and hyperstability are generally not equivalent for quaternion matrix polynomials. We then proceed to prove that in order to verify stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial with respect to an open or closed ball in the set of quaternions, centered at any complex number, it suffices to verify its stability with respect to a smaller set in \mathbb{C} (Theorem 4.10). As an application of Theorems 4.6 and 4.10, we prove stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial with respect to two concentric balls centered at the origin. This can be viewed as an analogue of a similar result obtained by Higham and Tisseur for complex matrix polynomials (Lemma 3.1 of [13]). Some classes of quaternion matrix polynomials for which stability and hyperstability are also brought out. We end with a result on verifying hyperstability of a quaternion matrix polynomial by introducing these notions for certain specific multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials.

4. MAIN RESULTS

The main results are presented in this section.

4.1. Stability and hyperstability of quaternion matrix polynomials in one variable.

We deal with single variable quaternion matrix polynomials and prove results that are analogous to those from [27]. We begin with the following three definitions, in order of preference. Let Ω denote any nonempty subset of the set of quaternions \mathbb{H} and

$$P(\lambda) = A_m \lambda^m + A_{m-1} \lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1 \lambda + A_0 \quad (4.1)$$

be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial of degree m , where $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_m \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ and $A_m \neq 0$.

Definition 4.1. $P(\lambda)$ is said to be stable with respect to Ω if for any nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and for any $\mu \in \Omega$ there exists a nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that

$$z^* A_m y \mu^m + z^* A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + z^* A_1 y \mu + z^* A_0 y \neq 0. \quad (4.2)$$

Definition 4.2. $P(\lambda)$ is said to be hyperstable with respect to Ω if for any nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ there exists a nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that

$$z^* A_m y \mu^m + z^* A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + z^* A_1 y \mu + z^* A_0 y \neq 0 \text{ for all } \mu \in \Omega. \quad (4.3)$$

Definition 4.3. The numerical range of $P(\lambda)$ as given in (4.1) is the set

$$W(P) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{H} : y^* A_m y \lambda^m + \cdots + y^* A_1 y \lambda + y^* A_0 y = 0 \text{ for some nonzero } y \in \mathbb{H}^n\}.$$

The following result gives a relation between the location of eigenvalues and the above notion of stability with respect to any subset of \mathbb{H} .

Proposition 4.4. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. Then $P(\lambda)$ has no eigenvalues in Ω if and only if it is stable with respect to Ω .*

Proof. Assume that $P(\lambda)$ has no eigenvalues in Ω . If $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to Ω , then there exists a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and a quaternion $\mu \in \Omega$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$,

$$z^* A_m y \mu^m + z^* A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + z^* A_1 y \mu + z^* A_0 y = 0. \quad (4.4)$$

This implies

$$z^* (A_m y \mu^m + A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1 y \mu + A_0 y) = 0. \quad (4.5)$$

Taking $z = e_i$, where e_i is the vector in \mathbb{H}^n with 1 in the i th component and zeros elsewhere, we get

$$A_m y \mu^m + A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1 y \mu + A_0 y = 0, \quad (4.6)$$

contradicting the assumption that $P(\lambda)$ has no eigenvalue in Ω .

Conversely, let $P(\lambda)$ be stable with respect to Ω . If $\mu \in \Omega$ is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$, then there exists a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that

$$A_m y \mu^m + A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1 y \mu + A_0 y = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Then for any nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$,

$$z^* A_m y \mu^m + z^* A_{m-1} y \mu^{m-1} + \cdots + z^* A_1 y \mu + z^* A_0 y = 0, \quad (4.8)$$

which contradicts the assumption that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . This completes the proof. \square

We now prove that hyperstability of a matrix polynomial is stronger than stability with respect to Ω , even when its numerical range does not intersect Ω .

Proposition 4.5. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. Consider the following conditions:*

- (a) $\Omega \cap W(P) = \emptyset$.
- (b) $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω .
- (c) $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω .

Then (a) \implies (b) \implies (c).

Proof. We first prove (a) \implies (b). Let $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Since $W(P) \cap \Omega = \emptyset$, for any $\lambda \in \Omega$, we have $\sum_{i=0}^m y^* A_i y \lambda^i \neq 0$. In particular, taking $z = y$, we obtain

$\sum_{i=0}^m z^* A_i y \lambda^i \neq 0$ for all $\lambda \in \Omega$. This proves that $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω . The second implication follows from the definition of stability. \square

As in the complex case, the reverse implications are not true in Proposition 4.5. Our first major result of this manuscript (Theorem 4.6), which gives a relation

between (hyper)stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial and its complex adjoint matrix polynomial illustrates this. We begin with the definition of the complex adjoint matrix polynomial of a quaternion matrix polynomial. Any matrix $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ can be expressed as $A = A_1 + A_2j$, where $A_1, A_2 \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$. The complex adjoint matrix of A is a $2n \times 2n$ complex block matrix defined as $\chi_A := \begin{bmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ -\bar{A}_2 & \bar{A}_1 \end{bmatrix}$. For a quaternion matrix polynomial $P(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m A_i \lambda^i$ of size $n \times n$, the complex adjoint matrix polynomial is a $2n \times 2n$ complex matrix polynomial defined as $P_\chi(\lambda) = \sum_{i=0}^m \chi_{A_i} \lambda^i$. We then have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. Then (i) $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$ if and only if its complex adjoint matrix polynomial $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. (ii) $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$ if and only if its complex adjoint matrix polynomial $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. We only prove the first statement as the proof of the second statement follows a similar argument. (i) Let $P(\lambda)$ be stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. Consider a nonzero vector $Y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n}$, where $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Define $y := y_1 - \bar{y}_2j$. Then $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$. If $\mu \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$, then there exists $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\sum_{i=0}^m z^* A_i y \mu^i \neq 0$. Writing $z = z_1 + z_2j$, where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and $A_i = A_{i1} + A_{i2}j$, where $A_{i1}, A_{i2} \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have $z^* = z_1^* - z_2^T j$ and

$$\sum_{i=0}^m z^* A_i y \mu^i = \sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* - z_2^T j)(A_{i1} + A_{i2}j)(y_1 - \bar{y}_2j) \mu^i \neq 0.$$

On expanding, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i1} y_1 + z_1^* A_{i2} y_2 + z_2^T \bar{A}_{i2} y_1 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i1} y_2) \mu^i + \\ & \left(\sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i2} \bar{y}_1 - z_1^* A_{i1} \bar{y}_2 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i1} \bar{y}_1 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i2} \bar{y}_2) \bar{\mu}^i \right) j \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

We therefore have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i1} y_1 + z_1^* A_{i2} y_2 + z_2^T \bar{A}_{i2} y_1 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i1} y_2) \mu^i \neq 0 \quad (4.9)$$

or

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i2} \bar{y}_1 - z_1^* A_{i1} \bar{y}_2 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i1} \bar{y}_1 - z_2^T \bar{A}_{i2} \bar{y}_2) \bar{\mu}^i \neq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

From Equations (4.9) and (4.10) we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} z_1^* & -z_2^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i1} & A_{i2} \\ -\bar{A}_{i2} & \bar{A}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu^i \neq 0, \quad (4.11)$$

or

$$\sum_{i=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} -z_2^* & -z_1^T \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i1} & A_{i2} \\ -\bar{A}_{i2} & \bar{A}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu^i \neq 0. \quad (4.12)$$

Therefore $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$.

Conversely, assume that $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. Let $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $\mu \in \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. Write $y = y_1 + y_2 j$, where $y_1, y_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. Consider $Y := \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ -y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$. Then, there exists $Z \in \mathbb{C}^{2n} \setminus \{0\}$ such that $Z^* P_\chi(\lambda) Y \neq 0$. If $Z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_2 \end{bmatrix}$, where $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then

$$Z^* P_\chi(\lambda) Y = \sum_{i=0}^m \begin{bmatrix} z_1^* & z_2^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{i1} & A_{i2} \\ -\bar{A}_{i2} & \bar{A}_{i1} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ -\bar{y}_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu^i \neq 0.$$

On multiplication we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i1} y_1 - z_1^* A_{i2} \bar{y}_2 - z_2^* \bar{A}_{i2} y_1 - z_2^* \bar{A}_{i1} \bar{y}_2) \mu^i \neq 0. \quad (4.13)$$

Define $z := z_1 - \bar{z}_2 j \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^m z^* A_i y \mu^i &= \sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* + z_2^* j) (A_{i1} + A_{i2} j) (y_1 + y_2 j) \mu^i \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i1} y_1 - z_1^* A_{i2} \bar{y}_2 - z_2^* \bar{A}_{i2} y_1 - z_2^* \bar{A}_{i1} \bar{y}_2) \mu^i \\ &\quad + \left(\sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^* A_{i1} y_2 + z_1^* A_{i2} \bar{y}_1 - z_2^* \bar{A}_{i2} y_2 + z_2^* \bar{A}_{i1} \bar{y}_1) \bar{\mu}^i \right) j. \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

From Equations (4.13) and (4.14) we get $\sum_{i=0}^m z^* A_i y \mu^i \neq 0$. This proves that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. \square

The following examples illustrate that the reverse implication is not true in Proposition 4.5.

Example 4.7. The numerical range of a matrix A and the numerical range of a linear matrix polynomial $P(\lambda) = I\lambda - A$ coincide. Therefore, condition (a) may not necessarily be equivalent to conditions (b) and (c). This is supported by the following example. Let $P(\lambda) = I\lambda - A$, where $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to verify that the numerical range of $P(\lambda)$ is the interval $[0, 1]$ on the real line. Note that from Theorem 5.4 of [29], the only right eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ are 0 and 1. Therefore $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega = \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0, 1\}$. We now show that $P(\lambda)$ is also hyperstable with respect to Ω . Let $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \{0\}$. For $y_2 \neq 0$,

consider $z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then for any $\mu \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = y_2 \mu \neq 0. \quad (4.15)$$

If $y_2 = 0$, then $y_1 \neq 0$. In this case, take $z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then for any $\mu \in \Omega$,

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = y_1(\mu + 1)\mu \neq 0. \quad (4.16)$$

Thus $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω . Note that $W(P) \cap \Omega \neq \emptyset$.

Example 4.8. This example shows that stability need not imply hyperstability.

Let $P: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow M_2(\mathbb{H})$ be defined by $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda^2 + 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^2$. If

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y_2 \lambda + y_1 \\ y_2 \lambda^2 + y_1 \lambda + y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, then $y_2 \lambda + y_1 = 0$ and $y_2 \lambda^2 + y_1 \lambda + y_2 = 0$. This gives $y_1 = 0 = y_2$ and so y is the zero vector. Therefore, no quaternion is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ and it is stable

with respect to any subset $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$. Let $\Omega = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q| \leq 1\}$ and $\Omega' = \{c \in \mathbb{C} : |c| \leq 1\}$. Note that $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C} = \Omega'$. We show that the complex adjoint matrix polynomial $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is not hyperstable with respect to Ω' . Note that

$$P_\chi(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda^2 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \text{ If } y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^T,$$

then for any nonzero vector $z = \begin{bmatrix} z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \end{bmatrix}^T \in \mathbb{C}^4$, $z^* P_\chi(\lambda) y$ can be computed as $\bar{z}_3 \lambda + \bar{z}_4 (\lambda^2 + 1)$. We then have the following cases:

- (i) If $z_3 = z_4 = 0$, then for any $\lambda_0 \in \Omega'$, $z^* P_\chi(\lambda_0) y = 0$.
- (ii) If $z_3 \neq 0$, $z_4 = 0$, then for $\lambda_0 = 0 \in \Omega'$, $z^* P_\chi(\lambda_0) y = 0$.
- (iii) If $z_3 = 0$, $z_4 \neq 0$, then for $\lambda_0 = i \in \Omega'$, $z^* P_\chi(\lambda_0) y = 0$.
- (iv) If $z_3 \neq 0$, $z_4 \neq 0$, then $z^* P_\chi(\lambda) y = \bar{z}_3 \lambda + \bar{z}_4 (\lambda^2 + 1) = \bar{z}_4 \lambda^2 + \bar{z}_3 \lambda + \bar{z}_4$, a quadratic polynomial with complex coefficients. Since the product of its roots is equal to $\frac{\bar{z}_4}{\bar{z}_4} = 1$, one of the roots has to lie inside Ω' . Therefore, with respect to Ω' , $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is not hyperstable. From Theorem 4.6, $P(\lambda)$ is not hyperstable with respect to Ω' , which in turn implies that $P(\lambda)$ is not hyperstable with respect to Ω .

Let $B(p; r) = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q - p| < r\}$ represent the open ball in \mathbb{H} centered at $p \in \mathbb{H}$ and radius $r > 0$ and let $\overline{B(p; r)} = \{q \in \mathbb{H} : |q - p| \leq r\}$ be its closure. In the result that follows, we prove that in order to check for stability of a quaternion matrix polynomial with respect to an open (or closed) ball in the set of quaternions, that is centered at any complex number, it is sufficient to check its stability with respect to a smaller set contained in the set of complex numbers. We prove this result for open balls and the proof follows verbatim for closed balls. We make use of the following lemma.

Lemma 4.9. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) and $B(a; r)$ be an open ball in \mathbb{H} centered at $a \in \mathbb{C}$ of radius $r > 0$. Then $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $B(a; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$ if and only if $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $B(\bar{a}; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Suppose $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $B(a; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Take a nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and let $\mu \in B(\bar{a}; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$ so that $|\mu - \bar{a}| < r$. Since $|\bar{\mu} - a| = |\mu - \bar{a}|$

we have $\bar{\mu} \in B(a; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Therefore, for the nonzero vector $w := -yj \in \mathbb{H}^n$ and $\bar{\mu} \in B(a; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$, there exists a nonzero vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n$ such that $z^* A_m w \bar{\mu}^m + \cdots + z^* A_1 w \bar{\mu} + z^* A_0 w = z^* A_m (-yj) \bar{\mu}^m + \cdots + z^* A_1 (-yj) \bar{\mu} + z^* A_0 (-yj) \neq 0$. Post-multiplying the above identity by j we have

$$z^* A_m (-yj) \bar{\mu}^m j + \cdots + z^* A_1 (-yj) \bar{\mu} j + z^* A_0 (-yj) j \neq 0.$$

Since $jq = \bar{q}j$ and $j^2 = -1$ we get

$$z^* A_m y \mu^m + \cdots + z^* A_1 y \mu + z^* A_0 y \neq 0.$$

This proves that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $B(\bar{a}; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$. The converse follows from a similar argument. \square

We are now in a position to prove the aforesaid theorem.

Theorem 4.10. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) and $\Omega = B(a; r)$ be an open ball in \mathbb{H} centered at $a \in \mathbb{C}$ of radius $r > 0$. Then $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω if and only if $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$.*

Proof. Assume that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. Suppose $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to Ω . We first consider the case when $a \in \mathbb{C}$ with nonnegative imaginary part. Then it has an eigenvalue $\mu \in \Omega$ which not a complex number. Notice that μ is similar to a standard eigenvalue $\mu_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ of $P(\lambda)$. Let q be a nonzero quaternion such that $\mu = q^{-1} \mu_0 q$. By Lemma 3.3 of [3], we have $|\mu_0 - a| \leq |q^{-1} \mu_0 q - a| = |\mu - a|$. Since $|\mu - a| < r$, we get $|\mu_0 - a| < r$, a contradiction to the fact that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. This proves that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω and the first step is complete.

If a is a complex number whose imaginary part is negative, then \bar{a} is a complex number with positive imaginary part. By Theorem 2.1(5) of [29], a and \bar{a} are similar, and hence $p^{-1} \bar{a} p = a$ for some $p \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$. Once again, by Lemma 3.3 of [3], we have

$$|\mu_0 - \bar{a}| \leq |q^{-1} \mu_0 q - p^{-1} \bar{a} p| = |\mu - a| < r. \quad (4.17)$$

We thus get $\mu_0 \in B(\bar{a}; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$. This shows that $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $B(\bar{a}; r) \cap \mathbb{C}$. We conclude from Lemma 4.9, that $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$ as well. Therefore for any $a \in \mathbb{C}$, $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $B(a; r)$.

For the converse, it is clear that if $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω , then it is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$, thereby completing the proof. \square

Some remarks are in order.

Remark 4.11. Theorem 4.10 is not true if $a \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \mathbb{C}$, as the following example illustrates. Let $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda + \begin{bmatrix} j & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to verify that the set of eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ is $\sigma(P) = \{\mu \in \mathbb{H} : \mu = s^{-1}js \text{ for some nonzero } s \in \mathbb{H}\}$. Let $\Omega = B(j; 1)$. Notice that $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to Ω as $j \in \Omega$. From Theorem 2.2 of [29], we have $\sigma(P) \cap \mathbb{C} = \{-i, i\}$. Therefore, $-i$ and i are the only complex eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$. Since $-i, i \notin \Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$, $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. This example also suggests that Theorem 4.10 does not hold for an arbitrary convex set.

4.2. Stable sets for arbitrary quaternion matrix polynomials.

In this section we make use of Theorems 4.6 and 4.10 to obtain particular sets with respect to which quaternion matrix polynomials are stable. The main results (Theorems 4.12, 4.16) are analogous to Lemma 3.1 of [13], due to Higham and Tisseur for complex matrix polynomials. We first prove that a matrix polynomial is stable with respect to a particular open ball centered at zero. For $A \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$, the spectral norm is denoted by $\|A\|$ and is defined as $\|A\| = \sup_{x \neq 0} \left\{ \frac{\|Ax\|_2}{\|x\|_2} : x \in \mathbb{H}^n \right\}$. Note that $\|\chi_A\| = \|A\|$.

Theorem 4.12. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) with A_0 invertible. Let $l(z) = \|A_m\|z^m + \cdots + \|A_1\|z - \|A_0^{-1}\|^{-1}$ be a complex polynomial. Then $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega = B(0; r) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, where r is the unique positive real zero of $l(z)$.*

Proof. Consider the complex adjoint matrix polynomial of $P(\lambda)$ given by $P_\chi(\lambda) = \chi_{A_m} \lambda^m + \cdots + \chi_{A_1} \lambda + \chi_{A_0}$. Since A_0 is invertible, it follows from Theorem 4.2(5) of [29] that χ_{A_0} is invertible and $\chi_{A_0}^{-1} = \chi_{A_0^{-1}}$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 of [13], $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$, where r is the unique positive real root of the complex polynomial $\|\chi_{A_m}\|z^m + \cdots + \|\chi_{A_1}\|z - \|\chi_{A_0}^{-1}\|^{-1} = \|A_m\|z^m + \cdots + \|A_1\|z - \|A_0^{-1}\|^{-1} = l(z)$. This proves stability of

$P_\chi(\lambda)$ with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. We then conclude from Theorem 4.6 that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\Omega \cap \mathbb{C}$. Finally, Theorem 4.10, yields that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . \square

We now proceed to prove in Theorem 4.16, that an arbitrary quaternion matrix polynomial is stable with respect to the complement of a closed ball centered at zero in \mathbb{H} . The following set of lemmas set the tone for this. We prove them in the order of preference.

Lemma 4.13. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1). Then zero is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ if and only if A_0 is non-invertible.*

Proof. Suppose zero is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ and $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ is a nonzero vector such that $A_m y 0^m + \cdots + A_1 y 0 + A_0 y = 0$. Thus $A_0 y = 0$, thereby proving that A_0 is not invertible. Conversely, if A_0 is not invertible, then χ_{A_0} is not invertible. Therefore, zero is an eigenvalue of χ_{A_0} , which in turn implies that zero is an eigenvalue of A_0 . We thus have $A_0 y = 0$ for some nonzero vector $y \in \mathbb{H}^n$ so that $A_m y 0^m + \cdots + A_1 y 0 + A_0 y = 0$. This proves that zero is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$. \square

Lemma 4.14. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) with A_m and A_0 invertible. Then $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$ if and only if $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ is an eigenvalue of $Q(\lambda) = P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right) \lambda^m = A_0 \lambda^m + A_1 \lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + A_m$.*

Proof. Let $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{H}$ be an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$. By Lemma 4.13, $\lambda_0 \neq 0$. Then, $A_m y \lambda_0^m + \cdots + A_1 y \lambda_0 + A_0 y = 0$ for some $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$. Post-multiplying by $\frac{1}{\lambda_0^m}$ on both the sides, we get $A_0 y \frac{1}{\lambda_0^m} + A_1 y \frac{1}{\lambda_0^{m-1}} + \cdots + A_m y = 0$. This implies $\frac{1}{\lambda_0}$ is an eigenvalue of $Q(\lambda)$. The converse follows in a similar argument. \square

Lemma 4.15. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) with A_m, A_0 invertible and let $\Omega = \overline{B(0; r)} \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ with $r > 0$. If $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $(\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega) \cap \mathbb{C}$, then $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega$.*

Proof. Suppose $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega$. Then, there exists an eigenvalue μ of $P(\lambda)$ in $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega$. By Lemma 4.14, $\frac{1}{\mu}$ is an eigenvalue of

$Q(\lambda) = P\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)\lambda^m$. Then $Q(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $B\left(0; \frac{1}{r}\right)$. Therefore, by Theorem 4.10, $Q(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $B\left(0; \frac{1}{r}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}$. This in turn implies there exists an eigenvalue $\delta \in \mathbb{C}$ of $Q(\lambda)$, with $|\delta| < \frac{1}{r}$. Once again by Lemma 4.14, $\frac{1}{\delta}$ is an eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$, thereby implying that $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to $(\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega) \cap \mathbb{C}$. This contradiction proves that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\mathbb{H} \setminus \Omega$. \square

We now prove the main result stated at the beginning of this section.

Theorem 4.16. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) with A_m and A_0 invertible. Let $u(z) = \|A_m^{-1}\|^{-1}z^m - \|A_{m-1}\|z^{m-1} - \dots - \|A_0\|$ be a complex polynomial. Then $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\left(\mathbb{H} \setminus \overline{B(0; R)}\right) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$, where R is the unique positive real zero of $u(z)$.*

Proof. Consider the complex adjoint matrix polynomial $P_\chi(\lambda) = \chi_{A_m}\lambda^m + \dots + \chi_{A_1}\lambda + \chi_{A_0}$ of $P(\lambda)$. Invertibility of A_m and A_0 implies χ_{A_m} and χ_{A_0} are invertible. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1 of [13], $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $D = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| > R\}$, where R is the unique positive real zero of the complex polynomial $\|\chi_{A_m}^{-1}\|^{-1}z^m - \|\chi_{A_{m-1}}\|z^{m-1} - \dots - \|\chi_{A_0}\| = \|A_m^{-1}\|^{-1}z^m - \|A_{m-1}\|z^{m-1} - \dots - \|A_0\| = u(z)$. That is, $P_\chi(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\left(\mathbb{H} \setminus \overline{B(0; R)}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}$. We conclude from Theorem 4.6, that $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to $\left(\mathbb{H} \setminus \overline{B(0; R)}\right) \cap \mathbb{C}$. Finally, the required conclusion follows from Lemma 4.15. \square

We thus infer from Theorems 4.12 and 4.16, that right eigenvalues of a quaternion matrix polynomial $P(\lambda)$ with invertible leading and constant coefficients lie in the set $\{q \in \mathbb{H} : r \leq |q| \leq R\}$, where r and R are as given in Theorems 4.12 and 4.16 respectively. As the following example illustrates, both the lower and upper bounds r and R are attained.

Example 4.17. In this example, both the lower and the upper bounds obtained from Theorems 4.12 and 4.16 coincide with the minimum and the maximum of the moduli of eigenvalues respectively. Let $P(\lambda) = A_2\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_0$ where $A_2 = A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & j \end{bmatrix}$. It is easy to verify that the minimum and the maximum

of the moduli of eigenvalues of $P(\lambda)$ are $\frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ and $\frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$ respectively. From Theorem 4.12, the lower bound on the set of moduli of eigenvalues is the unique positive zero of the complex polynomial $l(z) = \|A_2\|z^2 + \|A_1\|z - \|A_0^{-1}\|^{-1} = z^2 + z - 1$ given by $r = \frac{-1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$. Similarly, from Theorem 4.16, the upper bound is the unique positive zero of the complex polynomial $u(z) = \|A_2^{-1}\|^{-1}z^2 - \|A_1\|z - \|A_0\| = z^2 - z - 1$ given by $R = \frac{1 + \sqrt{5}}{2}$.

4.3. Class of matrix polynomials for which stability implies hyperstability.

We now proceed to identify classes of quaternion matrix polynomials for which stability implies hyperstability. Our first result in this direction concerns block upper triangular matrix polynomials, where each of the diagonal blocks are hyperstable with respect to a common set Ω .

Theorem 4.18. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be a block upper triangular matrix polynomial and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. If each of the diagonal blocks of $P(\lambda)$ are hyperstable with respect to Ω , then $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω .*

Proof. Let $P(\lambda)$ be an $n \times n$ matrix polynomial of degree m and of the form

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} P_{11}(\lambda) & P_{12}(\lambda) & \cdots & P_{1t}(\lambda) \\ 0 & P_{22}(\lambda) & \cdots & P_{2t}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & P_{tt}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $P_{ij}(\lambda) = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(ij)} \lambda^l$ are matrix polynomials of size $k_i \times k_j$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq t$

and $\sum_{j=1}^t k_j = n$. Assume that $P_{ii}(\lambda)$'s are hyperstable with respect to Ω for

$1 \leq i \leq t$. Consider a nonzero vector $y = [y_1^T \ y_2^T \ \cdots \ y_t^T]^T \in \mathbb{H}^n$, where $y_i \in \mathbb{H}^{k_i}$, for $1 \leq i \leq t$. Let r denote the index of the last nonzero y_i . Since $P_{rr}(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω , there exists $z_r \in \mathbb{H}^{k_r} \setminus \{0\}$ such that for all $\lambda \in \Omega$

$$z_r^* A_m^{(rr)} y_r \lambda^m + \cdots + z_r^* A_1^{(rr)} y_r \lambda + z_r^* A_0^{(rr)} y_r \neq 0.$$

Set $z := [0 \ \dots \ 0 \ z_r^T \ 0 \ \dots \ 0]^T \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$. On expanding $P(\lambda)$, we have

$$P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(11)} \lambda^l & \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(12)} \lambda^l & \dots & \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(1t)} \lambda^l \\ 0 & \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(22)} \lambda^l & \dots & \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(2t)} \lambda^l \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & \sum_{l=0}^m A_l^{(tt)} \lambda^l \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{l=0}^m A_l \lambda^l, \quad (4.18)$$

where $A_l = \begin{bmatrix} A_l^{(11)} & A_l^{(12)} & \dots & A_l^{(1t)} \\ 0 & A_l^{(22)} & \dots & A_l^{(2t)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_l^{(tt)} \end{bmatrix}$ for $1 \leq l \leq m$. For $1 \leq l \leq m$, consider

$$z^* A_l y = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 & z_r^* & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_l^{(11)} & A_l^{(12)} & \dots & A_l^{(1t)} \\ 0 & A_l^{(22)} & \dots & A_l^{(2t)} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & A_l^{(tt)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ \vdots \\ y_r \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = z_r^* A_l^{(rr)} y_r. \quad (4.19)$$

We thus have $\sum_{l=0}^m z^* A_l y \lambda^i = \sum_{l=0}^m z_r^* A_l^{(rr)} y_r \lambda^i$. Since $P_{rr}(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω , for any $\lambda \in \Omega$, $\sum_{l=0}^m z^* A_l y \lambda^i = \sum_{l=0}^m z_r^* A_l^{(rr)} y_r \lambda^i \neq 0$. This proves that $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω . \square

We now discuss equivalence of stability and hyperstability for quaternion polynomials. This will be used in the theorem that follows.

Theorem 4.19. *Let $p: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be a quaternion scalar polynomial and Ω be any subset of \mathbb{H} . Then $p(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω if and only if $p(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω .*

Proof. Let $p(\lambda) = a_m \lambda^m + \dots + a_1 \lambda + a_0$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{H}$, for $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$. If $p(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω , then certainly, it is stable with respect to Ω by definition. Suppose $p(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . Let $y \in \mathbb{H} \setminus \{0\}$ be

arbitrary. Taking $z = 1$, we have $z^*a_my\mu^m + \cdots + z^*a_1y\mu + z^*a_0y \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Omega$. For otherwise, $a_my\mu_0^m + \cdots + a_1y\mu_0 + a_0y = 0$ for some $\mu_0 \in \Omega$. Since $y \neq 0$, μ_0 is an eigenvalue of $p(\lambda)$, a contradiction to Proposition 4.4. Therefore, $z^*a_my\mu^m + \cdots + z^*a_1y\mu + z^*a_0y \neq 0$ for all $\mu \in \Omega$. This proves hyperstability of $p(\lambda)$ with respect to Ω . \square

Remark 4.20. Note that, in the complex case, a scalar is an eigenvalue of a scalar polynomial if and only if it is a zero of that polynomial. This need not hold in general in the quaternion case. For example, consider $p(\lambda) = \lambda - k$. It is easy to verify that $-k$ is an eigenvalue of $p(\lambda)$ with eigenvector $y = i + j$, but not a zero of $p(\lambda)$. However, we have the following result for quaternion scalar polynomials.

Lemma 4.21. *Let $p(\lambda) = \lambda^m + a_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1\lambda + a_0$ be a quaternion monic scalar polynomial. Then λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $p(\lambda)$ if and only if λ_0 is a zero of the scalar polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sum_{i+j=k} a_i \bar{a}_j \lambda^k$.*

Proof. Consider the companion matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 & \cdots & -a_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}$ of $p(\lambda)$. Theorem 5.1 of [1] tells us that λ_0 is an eigenvalue of $p(\lambda)$ if and only if λ_0 is an eigenvalue of A . The desired conclusion follows from Theorem 4.2 of [23]. \square

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.22. *Let $P(\lambda)$ be as in (4.1) with leading coefficient to be the identity matrix, and let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. If $P(\lambda)$ is an upper-triangular matrix polynomial, then $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect Ω if and only if it is stable with respect to Ω .*

Proof. Let $P(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} p_{11}(\lambda) & p_{12}(\lambda) & \cdots & p_{1n}(\lambda) \\ 0 & p_{22}(\lambda) & \cdots & p_{2n}(\lambda) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & p_{nn}(\lambda) \end{bmatrix} = I\lambda^m + A_{m-1}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + A_1\lambda + A_0$, where $p_{ij}(\lambda) = \lambda^m + a_{m-1}^{(ij)}\lambda^{m-1} + \cdots + a_1^{(ij)}\lambda + a_0^{(ij)}$ are quaternion monic

scalar polynomials and $A_i \in M_n(\mathbb{H})$ are upper-triangular matrices. Suppose $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . We then claim that $p_{ll}(\lambda)$ are stable with respect to Ω for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. If $p_{ll}(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to Ω for some $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then by Proposition 4.4, there exists an eigenvalue $\lambda_0 \in \Omega$ of $p_{ll}(\lambda)$. It then follows from Lemma 4.21 that λ_0 is a zero of the scalar polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sum_{i+j=k} a_i^{(l)} \overline{a_j^{(l)}} \lambda^k$.

This implies that λ_0 is a zero of the scalar polynomial $\prod_{l=1}^n \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2m} \sum_{i+j=k} a_i^{(l)} \overline{a_j^{(l)}} \lambda^k \right)$.

We also have from Corollary 4.3 of [23], λ_0 is an eigenvalue of the block matrix

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & I & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & I & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & I \\ -A_0 & -A_1 & -A_2 & \cdots & -A_{m-1} \end{bmatrix}. \quad \text{Thus, by Theorem 5.1 of [1], } \lambda_0 \text{ is an}$$

eigenvalue of $P(\lambda)$, thereby proving that $P(\lambda)$ is not stable with respect to Ω . This

contradiction proves that $p_{ll}(\lambda)$ are stable with respect to Ω for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

We then infer from Theorem 4.19 that $p_{ll}(\lambda)$ are hyperstable with respect to Ω

for all $l = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The desired conclusion then follows from Theorem 4.18.

The converse follows from the definition. \square

4.4. Stability and hyperstability of multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials.

We now define multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials and extend the notions of stability and hyperstability for the same. These are analogous to the definitions given in [27] for multivariate complex matrix polynomials. In particular, we establish hyperstability of univariate quaternion matrix polynomials from stability of multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials. Although some of the results are very similar to those from [27] in the complex case and are amenable for generalization to the quaternion setting, not everything goes through due to noncommutativity of the variables. We begin with the definitions and present some examples to illustrate the same.

Definition 4.23. A multivariate right quaternion matrix polynomial in k variables of degree m and size n is a function $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k): \mathbb{H}^k \rightarrow M_n(\mathbb{H})$ defined

by

$$P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) = \sum_{w \in W} A_w w(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k), \quad (4.20)$$

where W is the set of all possible words of finite length formed from the noncommuting letters $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k\}$ such that the sum of the powers does not exceed m .

Definition 4.24. Let Ω be a subset of \mathbb{H} . We say that the multivariate right quaternion matrix polynomial $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) = \sum_{w \in W} A_w w(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is stable with respect to Ω^k , if for any $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ and $(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k) \in \Omega^k$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sum_{w \in W} z^* A_w y w(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k) \neq 0. \quad (4.21)$$

Definition 4.25. Let Ω be a subset of \mathbb{H} . We say that the multivariate right quaternion matrix polynomial $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k) = \sum_{w \in W} A_w w(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_k)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω^k , if for any $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$, there exists $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that

$$\sum_{w \in W} z^* A_w y w(\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k) \neq 0 \text{ for all } (\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_k) \in \Omega^k. \quad (4.22)$$

In the following two examples, we illustrate these definitions for multivariate right quaternion matrix polynomials.

Example 4.26. Let $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_2$ and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ such that $0, -1 \notin \Omega$. Let us take an element $y = \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ and let $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Omega^2$ be arbitrary. Consider

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mu_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_2 = \begin{bmatrix} y_1(\mu_1 + 1)\mu_2 \\ y_2(\mu_1 + 1)\mu_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If $y_1 \neq 0$, choose $z = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mu_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_2 \\ & = y_1(\mu_1 + 1)\mu_2 \neq 0 \text{ for any } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

If $y_2 \neq 0$, choose $z = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mu_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \end{bmatrix} \mu_2 \\ & = y_2(\mu_1 + 1)\mu_2 \neq 0 \text{ for any } (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Omega^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, in either of the cases, $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω^2 .

Here is an example of a matrix polynomial which is not stable with respect to a given set.

Example 4.27. Let $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_1 \lambda_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_2 \lambda_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \lambda_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $\Omega = B(0; 1) \subseteq \mathbb{H}$. Consider $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{H}^2$ and $(\mu_1, \mu_2) = (-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}) \in \Omega^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mu_1 \mu_2 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mu_2 \mu_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mu_1 + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{4} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) + \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ & = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2} + 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for the above chosen y and $(\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \Omega^2$, there exists no $z \in \mathbb{H}^2 \setminus \{0\}$ such that Equation (4.21) holds. Therefore, $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is not stable with respect to Ω^2 .

We present some sufficient conditions for hyperstability of quaternion matrix polynomials in one variable via stability of multivariate quaternion matrix polynomials.

Theorem 4.28. *Let $P(\lambda) = A_2\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_0$ be a quaternion matrix polynomial and $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty. Then $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω if any one of the following holds:*

- (i) $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = A_2\lambda_1^2 + A_1\lambda_2 + A_0$ is stable with respect to Ω^2 .
- (ii) $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = A_2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + A_1\lambda_2 + A_0$ is stable with respect to Ω^2 and $0 \notin \Omega$.

Proof. We present here only the proof of (i), as the proof of (ii) is similar. Suppose $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = A_2\lambda_1^2 + A_1\lambda_2 + A_0$ is stable with respect to Ω . Note that, for $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda$, we have $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = P(\lambda)$. Therefore, $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω . Let $y \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ be arbitrary but fixed. If there is a $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_2y, z \rangle = 0$, $\langle A_1y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_0y, z \rangle \neq 0$, then

$$z^*A_2y\lambda^2 + z^*A_1y\lambda + z^*A_0y = z^*A_0y \neq 0 \text{ for any } \lambda \in \Omega.$$

Therefore $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω .

If there is no $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_2y, z \rangle = 0$, $\langle A_1y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_0y, z \rangle \neq 0$, then $A_0y \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{A_2y, A_1y\}$, so that $A_0y = A_2yq_2 + A_1yq_1$ for some $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{H}$. Then, we have

$$A_2y\lambda^2 + A_1y\lambda + A_0y = A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_2) + A_1y(\lambda + q_1). \quad (4.23)$$

Suppose $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \Omega$ are zeros of $\lambda^2 + q_2$ and $\lambda + q_1$ respectively. Then, $A_2y\mu_1^2 + A_1y\mu_2 + A_0y = A_2y\mu_1^2 + A_1y\mu_2 + A_2yq_2 + A_1yq_1 = A_2y(\mu_1^2 + q_2) + A_1y(\mu_2 + q_1) = 0$. This implies $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is not stable with respect to Ω^2 , which is a contradiction to our assumption. Therefore, at least one of $\lambda^2 + q_2$ and $\lambda + q_1$ do not have zeros in Ω .

Case (1): Assume that $\lambda^2 + q_2$ does not have zeros in Ω .

If there is a vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_1y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_2y, z \rangle \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} z^*A_2y\lambda^2 + z^*A_1y\lambda + z^*A_0y &= z^*A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_1) + z^*A_1y(\lambda + q_1) \quad \text{by (4.23)} \\ &= z^*A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_2) \\ &\neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω .

Suppose there is no vector $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_1y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_2y, z \rangle \neq 0$. Then $A_2y \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{A_1y\}$ and $A_2y = A_1yq_3$ for some $q_3 \in \mathbb{H}$. Substituting in (4.23), we get

$$A_2y\lambda^2 + A_1y\lambda + A_0y = A_1y(q_3\lambda^2 + \lambda + q_3q_2 + q_1). \quad (4.24)$$

Since $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω , we have $A_1y \neq 0$ and $q_3\lambda^2 + \lambda + q_3q_2 + q_1 \neq 0$ for any $\lambda \in \Omega$. Taking $z = A_1y$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} z^*A_2y\lambda^2 + z^*A_1y\lambda + z^*A_0y &= z^*A_1y(q_3\lambda^2 + \lambda + q_3q_2 + q_1) \quad \text{by (4.24)} \\ &= (A_1y)^*(A_1y)(q_3\lambda^2 + \lambda + q_3q_2 + q_1) \\ &\neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω .

Case(2): Assume that $\lambda + q_1$ does not have zeros in Ω .

If there exists $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_2y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_1y, z \rangle \neq 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} z^*A_2y\lambda^2 + z^*A_1y\lambda + z^*A_0y &= z^*A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_1) + z^*A_1y(\lambda + q_1) \quad \text{by (4.23)} \\ &= z^*A_1y(\lambda + q_1) \\ &\neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

This implies $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω . Therefore, we may assume that there is no $z \in \mathbb{H}^n \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\langle A_2y, z \rangle = 0$ and $\langle A_1y, z \rangle \neq 0$. This implies $A_1y \in \text{span}_{\mathbb{H}}\{A_2y\}$, so that $A_1y = A_2yq_4$ for some $q_4 \in \mathbb{H}$. Substituting in (4.23), we get

$$A_2y\lambda^2 + A_1y\lambda + A_0y = A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_4\lambda + q_2 + q_4q_1). \quad (4.25)$$

Once again, since $P(\lambda)$ is stable with respect to Ω , we see that $A_2y \neq 0$ and the scalar polynomial $\lambda^2 + q_4\lambda + q_2 + q_4q_1$ does not have any zeros in Ω . Now by taking $z = A_2y$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} z^*A_2y\lambda^2 + z^*A_1y\lambda + z^*A_0y &= z^*A_2y(\lambda^2 + q_4\lambda + q_2 + q_4q_1) \quad \text{by (4.25)} \\ &= (A_2y)^*(A_2y)(\lambda^2 + q_4\lambda + q_2 + q_4q_1) \\ &\neq 0 \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω . □

We end with the following theorem where a sufficient condition for hyperstability of a cubic matrix polynomial in one variable via stability of a multivariate quaternion matrix polynomial can be derived. We skip the proof as the proof technique is similar to that of the quadratic case presented in the previous theorem.

Theorem 4.29. *Let $P(\lambda) = A_0\lambda^3 + A_2\lambda^2 + A_1\lambda + A_0$ be a cubic quaternion matrix polynomial. Let $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{H}$ be nonempty and $0 \notin \Omega$. Then $P(\lambda)$ is hyperstable with respect to Ω , if the multivariate right matrix polynomial $P(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = A_0\lambda_2^3 + A_2\lambda_1\lambda_2 + A_1\lambda_1 + A_0$ is stable with respect to Ω^2 .*

We end the manuscript with the following remark.

Remark 4.30. Note that in [27], the authors have considered other multivariate matrix polynomials as well to obtain hyperstability of a given univariate matrix polynomial. However, in the quaternion case, due to noncommutativity of variables one cannot conclude hyperstability of a given univariate matrix polynomial.

Supplementary Material: Not applicable.

Acknowledgements: Pallavi Basavaraju and Shrinath Hadimani acknowledge the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR) and the University Grants Commission (UGC), Government of India, for financial support through research fellowships.

Declarations:

- Funding: No funding was received for conducting this study.
- Conflict of interest: The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest in this work.
- Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.
- Data, materials and code availability: Not applicable.
- Author contributions: There is equal contribution from all the authors in this work.

REFERENCES

1. S. S. Ahmad and I. Ali, *Bounds for eigenvalues of matrix polynomials over quaternion division algebra*, Advances in Applied Clifford Algebras, 26(4) (2016), 1095-1125.

2. S. S. Ahmad and I. Ali, *Localization theorems for matrices and bounds for the zeros of polynomials over quaternion division algebra*, Univerzitet u Nišu. Prirodno-Matematički Fakultet. Filomat, 32(2) (2018), 553-573.
3. S. S. Ahmad, I. Ali and I. Slapničar, *Perturbation analysis of matrices over a quaternion division algebra*, Electronic Transactions on Numerical Analysis, 54 (2021), 128-149.
4. P. Basavaraju, S. Hadimani and S. Jayaraman, *Spectral bounds for certain special type of rational matrices*, arXiv:2302.02894.
5. P. Basavaraju, S. Hadimani and S. Jayaraman, *Bounds on the moduli of eigenvalues of rational matrices*, Results in Mathematics, 79(5) (2024), Paper No. 206.
6. T. Betcke, N. J. Higham, V. Mehrmann, C. Schröder and F. Tisseur, *NLEVP: a collection of nonlinear eigenvalue problems*, Association for Computing Machinery, Transactions on Mathematical Software, 39(2) (2013), Art. 7, 28 pp.
7. D. A. Bini, V. Noferini and M. Sharify, *Locating the eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 34(4) (2013), 1708-1727.
8. A. Daniel, F. Colombo and S. Irene, *Inner product spaces and Krein spaces in the quaternionic setting*, Recent advances in inverse scattering, Schur analysis and stochastic processes, Operator Theory: Advances and Applications, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham, 244 (2015), 33-65.
9. M. Dehmer, *On the location of zeros of complex polynomials*, JIPAM. Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 7(1) (2006), Article 26, 13 pp.
10. H. L. Geleta and O. A. Alemu, *Location of the zeros of certain complex-valued harmonic polynomials*, Journal of Mathematics, (2022), Art. ID 4886522, 5 pp.
11. I. Gohberg, P. Lancaster and L. Rodman, *Matrix Polynomials*, Classics in Applied Mathematics, Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics (SIAM), Philadelphia, PA, 58 (2009).
12. S. Hans and S. Raouafi, *Annulus containing all the eigenvalues of a matrix polynomial*, Indian Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 53(2) (2022), 405-412.
13. N. J. Higham and F. Tisseur, *Bounds for eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 358 (2003), 5-22.
14. L. Huang and W. So, *On left eigenvalues of a quaternionic matrix*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 323(1-3) (2001), 105-116.
15. A. Hurwitz, *On the conditions under which an equation has only roots with negative real parts*, Mathematische Annalen, 46 (1895), 273-284.
16. A. Joyal, G. Labelle and Q. I. Rahman, *On the location of zeros of polynomials*. Canadian Mathematical Bulletin. Bulletin Canadien de Mathématiques, 10 (1967), 53-63.
17. C. T. Lê, T. H. B. Du and T. D. Nguyen, *On the location of eigenvalues of matrix polynomials*, Operators and Matrices, 13(4) (2019), 937-954.

18. M. Marden, *The Geometry of the Zeros of a Polynomial in a Complex Variable*, Mathematical Surveys, No. 3, American Mathematical Society, New York, (1949).
19. C. Mehl, V. Mehrmann and M. Wojtylak, *Matrix pencils with coefficients that have positive semidefinite Hermitian parts*, SIAM Journal on Matrix Analysis and Applications, 43(3) (2022), 1186-1212.
20. V. Mehrmann and H. Voss, *Nonlinear eigenvalue problems: a challenge for modern eigenvalue methods*, Mitteilungen der Gesellschaft für Angewandte Mathematik und Mechanik, 27(2) (2004), 121-152.
21. A. Mir, *On the zeros of a quaternionic polynomial: an extension of the Eneström-Keakeya theorem*, Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, 73(148) (2023), 649-662.
22. V. Y. Pan, *Solving a polynomial equation: some history and recent progress*, SIAM Review. A Publication of the Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics, 39(2) (1997), 187-220.
23. R. Pereira and P. Rocha, *On the determinant of quaternionic polynomial matrices and its application to system stability*, Mathematical Methods in the Applied Sciences, 31(1) (2008), 99-122.
24. L. Rodman, *Topics in Quaternion Linear Algebra*, Princeton Series in Applied Mathematics, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, (2014).
25. N. Roy and S. Bora, *Locating eigenvalues of quadratic matrix polynomials*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 649 (2022), 452-490.
26. P. G. Szabó, *On the roots of the trinomial equation*, Central European Journal of Operations Research (CEJOR), 18(1) (2010), 97-104.
27. O. J. Szymański and M. Wojtylak, *Stability of matrix polynomials in one and several variables*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 670 (2023), 42-67.
28. E. B. Van Vleck, *On the location of roots of polynomials and entire functions*, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 35(5) (1929), 643-683.
29. F. Zhang, *Quaternions and matrices of quaternions*, Linear Algebra and its Applications, 251 (1997), 21-57.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, MARUTHAMALA P.O., VITHURA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM – 695
551, KERALA, INDIA.

Email address: (pallavipoorna20, srinathsh3320, sachindranathj)@iisertvm.ac.in,
sachindranathj@gmail.com