

# Kenmotsu Lie groups

Mohamed Boucetta<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Université Cadi-Ayyad  
Faculté des sciences et techniques  
BP 549 Marrakech Maroc  
e-mail: m.boucetta@uca.ac.ma

---

## Abstract

Kenmotsu manifolds constitute an important subclass of the class of contact Riemannian manifolds. In this note, we determine entirely connected and simply-connected Lie groups having a left invariant Kenmotsu structure. We show also that these Lie groups are Einstein Riemannian manifolds.

*Keywords:* Kenmotsu manifolds, Lie groups, Lie algebras,,  
*2000 MSC:* 53C25,  
*2000 MSC:* 22E25

---

## 1. Introduction and main result

Contact geometry is a crucial area in modern differential geometry. K. Kenmotsu introduced a distinctive class of contact Riemannian manifolds which later became known as Kenmotsu manifolds. Kenmotsu demonstrated that a Kenmotsu manifold is locally a warped product  $I \times_f N$  where  $I$  is an interval and  $N$  is a Kähler manifold, with the warping function  $f(t) = ke^t$  where  $k$  is a non-zero constant. Over the years, many researchers have explored the geometry of Kenmotsu manifolds [4, 5, 6, 7, 8].

An almost contact metric manifold is a  $(2n+1)$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(M, h)$  that admits a  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\phi$  and a characteristic vector field  $\xi$  satisfying the following relations

$$\phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad h(\phi(X), \phi(Y)) = h(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \quad (1)$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$  where  $\eta(X) = h(X, \xi)$ . If the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of an almost contact metric manifold  $(M, g, \phi, \xi)$  satisfies

$$\nabla_X(\phi)Y = h(\phi X, Y) - \eta(Y)\phi(X) \quad (2)$$

for any  $X, Y \in TM$ , then it is called a Kenmotsu manifold [1].

A *Kenmotsu Lie group* is a Lie group  $G$  endowed with a Kenmotsu structure  $(h, \phi, \xi)$  where  $(h, \phi, \xi)$  are left invariant. In this Note, we give a complete description of Kenmotsu Lie groups by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Let  $(G, h, \phi, \xi)$  be a connected and simply-connected Kenmotsu Lie group of dimension  $2n+1$ . Then there exists  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(G, h, \phi, \xi)$  is isomorphic to  $(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n, h_0, \phi_0, \xi_0)$  where the group product is given by*

$$(t_1, (z_1, \dots, z_n)) \cdot (t_2, (z'_1, \dots, z'_n)) = (t_1 + t_2, (z_1 + z'_1 e^{-t_1(1+i\lambda_1)}, \dots, z_n + z'_n e^{-t_1(1+i\lambda_n)})),$$

$h_0 = dt^2 + e^{2t} \text{can}$ ,  $\phi_0(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}) = 0$ , the restriction of  $\phi_0$  to  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the canonical complex structure of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\xi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$  and can is the canonical metric of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Moreover,  $(G, h)$  is Einstein and its Ricci curvature satisfies  $\text{ric} = -2nh$ .

Beside the introduction, this Note contains one section devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1.1

In this section, we aim to prove Theorem 1.1. To do so, we proceed by establishing many intermediary results.

We start by describing our model of Kenmotsu Lie groups. Let  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Consider the Lie group  $G_\lambda$  whose underlying manifold is  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n$  and the multiplication is given by

$$(t_1, (z_1, \dots, z_n)) \cdot (t_2, (z'_1, \dots, z'_n)) = (t_1 + t_2, (z_1 + z'_1 e^{-t_1(1+i\lambda_1)}, \dots, z_n + z'_n e^{-t_1(1+i\lambda_n)})). \quad (3)$$

The Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_\lambda$  of  $G_\lambda$  can be identified as a vector space to  $T_{(0,0)}G_\lambda = \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . For any  $(a, u) \in \mathfrak{g}_\lambda$ , we denote by  $(a, u)^\ell$  the left invariant vector field on  $G_\lambda$  associated to  $(a, u)$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (a, u)^\ell(t, z) &= \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} (t, z) \cdot (sa, su) \\ &= \frac{d}{ds}\Big|_{s=0} (t + sa, (z_1 + su_1 e^{-t(1+i\lambda_1)}, \dots, z_n + su_n e^{-t(1+i\lambda_n)})) \\ &= (a, u_1 e^{-t(1+i\lambda_1)}, \dots, u_n e^{-t(1+i\lambda_n)}) \end{aligned}$$

Denote by  $e_0 = 1$  a generator of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  the canonical basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  as a complex vector space and put  $f_j = ie_j$ . We have, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$e_0^\ell = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \quad e_j^\ell = e^{-t} \left( \cos(\lambda_j t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} - \sin(\lambda_j t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad f_j^\ell = e^{-t} \left( \sin(\lambda_j t) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} + \cos(\lambda_j t) \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} \right),$$

where  $(t, x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n)$  is the coordinates system associated to the basis  $(e_0, e_1, f_1, \dots, e_n, f_n)$ . We have obviously that, for any  $j, k \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$[e_j^\ell, e_k^\ell] = [f_j^\ell, f_k^\ell] = [e_j^\ell, f_k^\ell] = 0, \quad [e_0^\ell, e_j^\ell] = -e_j^\ell - \lambda_j f_j^\ell \quad \text{and} \quad [e_0^\ell, f_j^\ell] = -f_j^\ell + \lambda_j e_j^\ell. \quad (4)$$

Let  $h_0$  be the left invariant metric on  $G_\lambda$  for which  $(e_0^\ell, e_1^\ell, f_1^\ell, \dots, e_n^\ell, f_n^\ell)$  is orthonormal. From the relations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} = e^t (\cos(\lambda_j t) e_j^\ell + \sin(\lambda_j t) f_j^\ell) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y_j} = e^t (-\sin(\lambda_j t) e_j^\ell + \cos(\lambda_j t) f_j^\ell)$$

we deduce that

$$h_0 = dt^2 + e^{2t} \sum_{j=1}^n (dx_j^2 + dy_j^2).$$

Finally, put  $\xi_0 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} = e_0^\ell$  and denote by  $\phi_0$  the left invariant  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field given by  $\phi_0(e_0^\ell) = 0$ ,  $\phi_0(e_j^\ell) = f_j^\ell$  and  $\phi_0(f_j^\ell) = -e_j^\ell$  for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

Now we establish a useful lemma.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $2n$ ,  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  a scalar product on  $V$ ,  $J : V \rightarrow V$  a skew-symmetric isomorphism such that  $J^2 = -\text{Id}_V$  and  $D : V \rightarrow V$  an endomorphism such that  $[J, D] = 0$  and  $D + D' = -2\text{Id}_V$  where  $D'$  is the adjoint of  $D$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Then there exists  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, Je_1, \dots, e_n, Je_n)$  of  $V$  such that, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,*

$$De_j = -e_j - \lambda_j Je_j \quad \text{and} \quad DJe_j = -Je_j + \lambda_j e_j.$$

*Proof.* The real vector space  $V$  has also a structure of complex vector space given by

$$(a + ib).v = av + bJv, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, v \in V,$$

and since  $[J, D] = 0$  then  $D$  is a complex endomorphism. Moreover, the symmetric bilinear form given by

$$h(u, v) = \langle u, v \rangle + \iota \langle u, Jv \rangle$$

is a Hermitian metric and  $D'$  is also the adjoint of  $D$  with respect to  $h$ . From the relation  $D + D' = -2\text{Id}_V$ , we deduce that  $[D, D'] = 0$ , i.e.,  $D$  is normal and hence it is diagonalizable. Thus there exists  $(\alpha_1, \lambda_1, \dots, \alpha_n, \lambda_n)$  and a  $h$ -orthonormal complex basis  $(e_1, \dots, e_n)$  such that, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$De_j = (\alpha_j - \iota \lambda_j)e_j = \alpha_j e_j - \lambda_j Je_j.$$

But  $A = D + \text{id}_h$  is skew-symmetric and

$$\langle Ae_j, e_j \rangle = \langle (\alpha_j + 1)e_j - \lambda_j Je_j, e_j \rangle = (\alpha_j + 1)\langle e_j, e_j \rangle = 0$$

and hence  $\alpha_j = -1$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a Lie group and  $\mathfrak{g}$  its Lie algebra identified with the space of left invariant vector fields on  $G$ . For any left invariant metric  $h$  on  $\mathfrak{g}$ , we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  its restriction to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The Levi-Civita product  $L : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  is the restriction of the Levi-Civita connection  $\nabla$  of  $h$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$  and it is given by

$$2\langle L_X Y, Z \rangle = \langle [X, Y], Z \rangle + \langle [Z, X], Y \rangle + \langle [Z, Y], X \rangle, \quad X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}. \quad (5)$$

This product is characterized by the facts that, for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$L_X + L_X^t = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad L_X Y - L_Y X = [X, Y]. \quad (6)$$

A left invariant almost complex structure on  $G$  is entirely determined by an isomorphism  $J : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $J^2 = -\text{id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ . When  $h$  is Hermitian with respect to  $J$  and  $\nabla J = 0$ ,  $(G, h, J)$  is called a Kähler Lie group and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, J)$  is called a Kähler Lie algebra. Note that the condition  $\nabla J = 0$  is equivalent to  $[L_X, J] = 0$  for any  $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ .

Recall that a Kenmotsu Lie group is a Lie group  $G$  endowed with a left invariant metric  $h$ , a left invariant  $(1, 1)$ -tensor field  $\phi : TG \rightarrow TG$  and a left invariant vector field  $\xi$  satisfying (1) and (2). Since  $(h, \phi)$  are left invariant, they are entirely determined by their restrictions  $(\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \phi)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The relations (1) and (2) are equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} \langle \xi, \xi \rangle = 1, \quad \phi^2(X) = -X + \eta(X)\xi, \quad \langle \phi(X), \phi(Y) \rangle = \langle X, Y \rangle - \eta(X)\eta(Y), \\ L_X \phi(Y) - \phi(L_X Y) = \langle \phi(X), Y \rangle \xi - \eta(Y)\phi(X), \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\eta$  is the left invariant 1-form given by  $\eta(X) = \langle X, \xi \rangle$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** For any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \phi(\xi) = 0, \eta \circ \phi = 0, \phi' + \phi = 0, \\ \mathbb{L}_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi, [\mathbb{L}_\xi, \phi] = 0, \langle \eta, \mathbb{L}_X Y \rangle = -\langle X, Y \rangle + \eta(X)\eta(Y), \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where  $\phi'$  is the adjoint of  $\phi$  with respect to  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . In particular,  $d\eta = 0$ .

*Proof.* The relations in the first line of (7) can be checked easily. From the last relation in (7) and (6), we have  $\phi(\mathbb{L}_X \xi) = \phi(X)$  and  $\eta(\mathbb{L}_X \xi) = \langle \mathbb{L}_X \xi, \xi \rangle = 0$ . Thus

$$\mathbb{L}_X \xi = X - \eta(X)\xi.$$

Since  $\phi(\xi) = 0$ , we deduce from the last relation in (7) that  $[\mathbb{L}_\xi, \phi] = 0$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \eta, \mathbb{L}_X Y \rangle &= \langle \mathbb{L}_X Y, \xi \rangle = -\langle Y, \mathbb{L}_X \xi \rangle \\ &= -\langle X, Y \rangle + \eta(X)\eta(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, for any  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,

$$d\eta(X, Y) = -\eta([X, Y]) = -\eta(\mathbb{L}_X Y) + \eta(\mathbb{L}_Y X) = 0.$$

□

Put  $\mathfrak{h} = \xi^\perp = \ker \eta$ . From the relation  $d\eta = 0$ , we have  $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathfrak{h}$  and hence  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, since  $\eta \circ \phi = 0$ ,  $\phi$  leaves  $\mathfrak{h}$  invariant and induces a isomorphism  $J$  on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Denote by  $D$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}$ ) the restriction of  $\text{ad}_\xi$  (resp.  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ ) to  $\mathfrak{h}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.**  $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, J)$  is a Kähler Lie algebra and  $D$  is an invertible derivation of  $\mathfrak{h}$  satisfying  $[D, J] = 0$  and  $D + D^t = -2\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ .

*Proof.* We have obviously from (7) that  $J^2 = -\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and  $h$  is Hermitian with respect to  $J$ . Let us compute the Levi-Civita product  $\mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y$  of  $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}})$ . For any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,

$$2\langle \mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y, Z \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} = 2\langle \mathbb{L}_X Y, Z \rangle$$

and hence  $\mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y - \mathbb{L}_X Y \in \mathbb{R}\xi$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal and by virtue of (6),

$$2\langle \mathbb{L}_X Y, \xi \rangle = \langle DX, Y \rangle + \langle DY, X \rangle.$$

We deduce that

$$\mathbb{L}_X Y = \mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y + \frac{1}{2} (\langle DX, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle DY, X \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}) \xi, \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

From the last relation in (7) and since  $\phi(\mathbb{L}_X Y) = \phi(\mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y)$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \mathbb{L}_X \phi(Y) - \phi(\mathbb{L}_X Y) - \langle JX, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \xi \\ &= \mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} JY + \frac{1}{2} (\langle DX, JY \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} + \langle DJY, X \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}) \xi - J(\mathbb{L}_X^{\mathfrak{h}} Y) - \langle JX, Y \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}} \xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$[\mathbb{L}_X, J] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad D + D^t = -2\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$2\langle L_{\xi}X, Y \rangle = \langle DX, Y \rangle - \langle DY, X \rangle$$

and hence

$$L_{\xi}X = \frac{1}{2}(DX - D^tY), \quad X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

From the last relation in (7),

$$L_{\xi}JX - JL_{\xi}X = 0$$

and hence

$$[J, D - D^t] = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad [J, D] = 0.$$

□

Now, we get all the needed ingredients to complete the proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let  $(G, h, \phi, \xi)$  be a Kenmotsu Lie group of dimension  $2n + 1$ . We have shown that  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{R}\xi$  where  $\mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal having an invertible derivation  $D = (\text{ad}_{\xi})|_{\mathfrak{h}}$  and a complex isomorphism  $J$  such that  $(\mathfrak{h}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathfrak{h}}, J)$  is a Kähler Lie algebra,  $[J, D] = 0$  and  $D + D^t = -2\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{h}}$ . According to [2], a Lie algebra with an invertible derivation must be nilpotent. On the other hand, a nilpotent Kähler Lie algebra must be abelian (see [3]). Now, according to Lemma 2.1, there exists  $(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and an orthonormal basis  $(e_1, Je_1, \dots, e_n, Je_n)$  of  $\mathfrak{h}$  such that, for any  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,

$$De_j = -e_j - \lambda_j Je_j \quad \text{and} \quad DJe_j = -Je_j + \lambda_j e_j.$$

By comparing these Lie brackets to (4), one can see that  $(\mathfrak{g}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle, \phi, \xi)$  is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of the model  $(G_{\lambda}, h_0, \phi_0, \xi_0)$ . The fact that  $(G_{\lambda}, h_0)$  is Einstein and its Ricci curvature satisfies  $\text{ric} = -2nh_0$  can be checked by a straightforward computation. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

## References

- [1] K. Kenmotsu, A class of almost contact Riemannian manifold, *Tohoku Math. J.*, 24, (1972), 93-103.
- [2] N. Jacobson, A note on automorphisms and derivations of Lie algebras, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 6, (1955), 281-283.
- [3] C. Benson and C.S. Gordon, Kahler and symplectic structures on nilmanifold, *Topology*, 24, (1988), 513-518.
- [4] H. G. Nagaraja, D. L. Kiran Kumar, Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifold under generalized D-conformal deformation, *Lobachevskii J. of Math.*, 40(2), (2019), 195-200.
- [5] D. G. Prakasha, S. K. Hui, K. Vikas, On weakly Ricci symmetric Kenmotsu manifolds, *Int. J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 95(4), (2014), 515-521.
- [6] Y. Wang, X. Liu, Ricci Solitons on Three-Dimensional Einstein Almost Kenmotsu Manifolds, *Taiwanese J. Math.*, 19(1), (2015), 91-100.
- [7] A. Ghosh, Ricci Soliton and Ricci Almost Soliton within the Framework of Kenmotsu Manifold, *Carpathian Math. Publ.*, 11(1), (2019), 56-69.
- [8] S. K. Hui, S. K. Yadav, A. Patra, Almost Conformal Ricci Solitons on f-Kenmotsu Manifolds, *Khayyam J. Math.*, 5(1), (2019), 89-104.