

Jordan-Wigner transformation constructed for spinful fermions at $S=1/2$ spins in one dimension

Zsolt Gulacsi

Department of Theoretical Physics, University of Debrecen,

H-4010 Debrecen, Bem ter 18/B, Hungary

(Dated: July 24, 2024)

Abstract

An exact Jordan-Wigner type of transformation is presented in 1D connecting spin-1/2 operators to spinful canonical Fermi operators. The transformation contains two free parameters allowing a broad interconnection possibility in between spin models and fermionic models containing spinful Fermi operators.

PACS numbers:

I. INTRODUCTION

The Jordan-Wigner transformation¹ for almost one century is a basic pillar of theoretical physics. It transforms spin 1/2 operators in spinless Fermi operators, hence connects the spin behavior to fermionic characteristics². Initially developed for the one dimensional case, has been extended also to higher dimensions³⁻⁵ and $S > 1/2$ case as well⁶. All these exact mappings terminate on the fermionic side with spinless fermion canonical Fermi operators. In many cases this is a disadvantage, since e.g. in condensed matter, we describe processes generated by electrons or holes which carry spin, hence also the models which we construct in describing these phenomena, are formulated in terms of spinful canonical Fermi operators. Furthermore, there are cases when the carrier spin is absolutely needed in the characterization of the emerging phenomena, as e.g. in the case of many-body spin-orbit interaction, which plays a major role in many fields as for example: nanophysics⁷, flat-band physics⁸, or topological phases⁹.

Motivated by these information, the spinful Jordan-Wigner transformations have been deduced as presented below. The main results of this exact transformation are collected in equations (3),(4),(11), and (12). It seems, that the extensions to $D > 1$ on the line of Refs.⁽³⁻⁵⁾ will not encounter special difficulties.

The remaining part of the paper is constructed as follows: Sect.II presents the transformation itself, and Sect.III containing the conclusions closes the presentation.

II. THE SPINFUL TRANSFORMATION

Let us consider two operators \hat{a}_i , and \hat{b}_i that satisfy anticommutation relations on the same site:

$$\begin{aligned} \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^\dagger\} = \{\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_i^\dagger\} = 1, \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i\} = \{\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{a}_i^\dagger\} = \{\hat{b}_i, \hat{b}_i\} = \{\hat{b}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_i^\dagger\} = 0, \\ \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i\} = \{\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_i^\dagger\} = \{\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i^\dagger\} = \{\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_i\} = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

and spin operators $\hat{S}_i^x, \hat{S}_i^y, \hat{S}_i^z$ satisfying the standard spin on-site commutation relations

$$[\hat{S}_i^\alpha, \hat{S}_i^\beta] = i\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} S_i^\gamma, \quad [\hat{S}_i^2, S_1^\alpha] = 0, \quad (2)$$

where Greek letters are denoting the Cartesian components, $\hat{S}_i^2 = (\hat{S}_i^x)^2 + (\hat{S}_i^y)^2 + (\hat{S}_i^z)^2$ and $\epsilon_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$ is representing the completely antisymmetric tensor.

On this background one defines

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{S}_i^x &= \frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger + \hat{a}_i}{2X} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i}{2Y}, & \hat{S}_i^y &= \frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger - \hat{a}_i}{2iZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger - \hat{b}_i}{2iW}, \\ \hat{S}_i^z &= -\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW}\right) + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i}{YW}\right) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_i) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i),\end{aligned}\quad (3)$$

where X, Y, Z, W are arbitrary scalars, satisfying the conditions

$$\frac{1}{X^2} + \frac{1}{Y^2} = 1, \quad \frac{1}{Z^2} + \frac{1}{W^2} = 1. \quad (4)$$

In this situation, based on (1,3,4), the commutation relations from (2) automatically hold, and always one has $\hat{S}_i^2 = 3/4$, which corresponds to spin 1/2.

Now an arbitrary 1D Heisenberg type of Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i (J_x \hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + J_y \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y + J_z \hat{S}_i^z \hat{S}_{i+1}^z) \quad (5)$$

can be transcribed in terms of the \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i operators, obtaining based on (3) for the the in-plane (xy) term, using the notations $J_x = J(1 + \Gamma)$, $J_y = J(1 - \Gamma)$, the following expression

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{H}_{xy} &= \frac{J}{4} \sum_i \{ [(X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}) + (X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1} + \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger) + \\ &+ (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}) + (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger) \\ &+ (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger) \\ &+ (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger)] \\ &+ \Gamma [(X^{-2} + Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}) + (X^{-2} - Z^{-2})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1} + \hat{a}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger) \\ &+ (Y^{-2} + W^{-2})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}) + (Y^{-2} - W^{-2})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger) \\ &+ (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{a}_i \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger) \\ &+ (X^{-1}Y^{-1} + W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}) + (X^{-1}Y^{-1} - W^{-1}Z^{-1})(\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger)] \}. \end{aligned}\quad (6)$$

Similarly, for the z component in (5) one obtains

$$\hat{H}_z = J_z \sum_i \{ \hat{P}_1(i, i+1) + \hat{P}_2(i, i+1) + \hat{P}_3(i, i+1) \} \quad (7)$$

where one has

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{P}_1(i, i+1) &= \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW}\right)^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{XZ} + \frac{1}{YW}\right) \left\{ \left[\frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i}{YW} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_i) \right. \right. \\ &+ \left. \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i) \right] + \left[\frac{\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}}{YW} + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right) \right. \\ &\left. \left. * (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i+1} \hat{a}_{i+1}) + \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right)(\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}) \right] \right\}. \end{aligned}\quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_2(i, i+1) &= \left(\frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i}{YW}\right) \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}}{YW}\right) + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i}{YW}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right) (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i+1} \hat{a}_{i+1})\right. \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right) (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}) \left. + \left(\frac{\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}}{XZ} + \frac{\hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}}{YW}\right) \left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right) (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_i)\right.\right. \\
&+ \left.\left. \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right) (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i)\right]. \tag{9}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{P}_3(i, i+1) &= \frac{1}{4} (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i^\dagger + \hat{b}_i \hat{a}_i) \left[\left(\frac{1}{YZ} - \frac{1}{XW}\right)^2 (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i+1} \hat{a}_{i+1}) + \left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2}\right) \right. \\
&* (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}) \left. + \frac{1}{4} (\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_i + \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i) \left[\left(\frac{1}{(YZ)^2} - \frac{1}{(XW)^2}\right) (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger + \hat{b}_{i+1} \hat{a}_{i+1}) \right.\right. \\
&+ \left.\left. \left(\frac{1}{YZ} + \frac{1}{XW}\right)^2 (\hat{a}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} + \hat{b}_{i+1}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1}) \right]. \tag{10}
\end{aligned}$$

The remaining problem is connected to the fact that since the spin operators commute at different sites, the \hat{a}_i , \hat{b}_i operators defined in (1) also commute at different sites, so at the moment are not Fermi operators. But one can transform these operators in genuine Fermi operators by extending the Jordan-Wigner transformation in the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{a}_i &= \exp[-i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)] \hat{c}_i, \quad \hat{b}_i = \exp[-i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)] \hat{f}_i, \\
\hat{a}_i^\dagger &= \hat{c}_i^\dagger \exp[i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)], \quad \hat{b}_i^\dagger = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \exp[i\pi \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (\hat{c}_j^\dagger \hat{c}_j + \hat{f}_j^\dagger \hat{f}_j)]. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

In writing (11) one considers the \hat{c}_i , \hat{f}_j operators to be canonical Fermi operators. Then all \hat{n}_i^η , $\eta = c, f$ particle number operators commute independent on i or η . Hence (11) provide back the anticommutation relations (1). E.g. based on (11) one has $\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i = \hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_i$, $\hat{a}_i \hat{a}_i^\dagger = \hat{c}_i \hat{c}_i^\dagger$, hence because $\{\hat{c}_i, \hat{c}_i^\dagger\} = 1$ holds, it results that also $\{\hat{a}_i, \hat{a}_i^\dagger\} = 1$ is satisfied. Or similarly, since from (11) the relations $\hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_i = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_i$ and $\hat{a}_i \hat{b}_i^\dagger = \hat{c}_i \hat{f}_i^\dagger$ hold, and $\{\hat{c}_i, \hat{f}_i^\dagger\} = 0$ is satisfied, it results that $\{\hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i^\dagger\} = 0$ is also true, etc.

Now one concentrates on different sites relations. Note that for different sites, all anticommutation relations in between \hat{c}_i , \hat{c}_j^\dagger , \hat{f}_n , and \hat{f}_m^\dagger operators are zero. Hence, since (11) provides e.g. $\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{a}_{i+1} = \hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{c}_{i+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_i^f}$, $\hat{a}_{i+1} \hat{a}_i^\dagger = -\hat{c}_{i+1} \hat{c}_i^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_i^f}$, where $\hat{n}_i^f = \hat{f}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_i$, from $\{\hat{c}_{i+1}, \hat{c}_i^\dagger\} = 0$, one obtains $[\hat{a}_{i+1}, \hat{a}_i^\dagger] = 0$. Or similarly, (11) gives $\hat{a}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_{i+1} = \hat{c}_i^\dagger \hat{f}_{i+1} e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_i^f}$, $\hat{b}_{i+1} \hat{a}_i^\dagger = -\hat{f}_{i+1} \hat{c}_i^\dagger e^{-i\pi \hat{n}_i^f}$, hence from $\{\hat{c}_i^\dagger, \hat{f}_{i+1}\} = 0$, one automatically obtains $[\hat{a}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_{i+1}] = 0$, etc. As a consequence, the relations (11) transform the ‘‘hybrid’’ operators \hat{a}_i, \hat{b}_i (which on-site anticommute, and inter-sites commute) in genuine canonical Fermi operators \hat{c}_i, \hat{f}_i .

Considering

$$\hat{c}_i = \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}, \quad \hat{f}_i = \hat{c}_{i,-\sigma}, \tag{12}$$

via (3,4, 11,12) we obtain a spinful Jordan-Wigner transformation which allows the exact transformation of spin operators (for $S=1/2$) in genuine spinful canonical Fermi operators.

Some observations must be added to Eq.(12). First I note that spinful $s=1/2$ canonical Fermi operators have been obtained in the past¹⁰ via a Jordan-Wigner type of transformation starting from two $S=1/2$ spin operators defined at a site. Here one has a completely different transformation since one starts from one $S=1/2$ spin operator defined at a site. Second, I underline that, if (as in the present paper) the transformation is defined between 1 spin ($S=1/2$) type (per site), and 1 spinful ($s=1/2$) fermion type (per site), then Eq.(12), given by the Pauli principle, is not a choice, is not an interpretation, but a necessity. This is simply because in this case, only $(\hat{c}_{i,\uparrow},$ and $\hat{c}_{i,\downarrow})$ satisfy the requirements imposed to the $(\hat{c}_i,$ and $\hat{f}_i)$ operators in Eq.(12). Hence the transformation Eqs.(3,4,11,12) is an exact transformation between a single type of quantum $S=1/2$ spin operator (defined on a site) and a single type of spinful ($s=1/2$) Fermi operator (defined on a site), without supplementary interpretations. Third, I mention, that if we would like to connect the operators describing a two-band Fermi system to the operators of a spin system containing one $S=1/2$ spin operator defined on a site, than the $(\hat{c}_i,$ and $\hat{f}_i)$ operators in Eq.(12) are representing spinless Fermi operators describing two bands.

The importance of this transformation lies in the fact that allows the mapping of the spin models in fermionic models (and vice versa) given with spinful Fermi operators. Such mappings till present were not possible to be done in exact terms. And, the relations (5-10), provide for this a broad spectrum. For example, taking $X = Z, Y = W, J_z = \Gamma = 0, 1/Y^2 = 1 - 1/X^2$, one finds the fermionic Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = \sum_i \sum_{\sigma} [t_{\sigma} \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1,\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) + t \hat{c}_{i,\sigma}^{\dagger} \hat{c}_{i+1,-\sigma} (1 - 2\hat{n}_{i,-\sigma}) + H.c.] \quad (13)$$

being equivalent to the Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = J \sum_i (\hat{S}_i^x \hat{S}_{i+1}^x + \hat{S}_i^y \hat{S}_{i+1}^y) \quad (14)$$

where

$$t_{\sigma} = \frac{J}{2X^2}, t_{-\sigma} = \frac{J}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{X^2}\right), t = \frac{J}{2X} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{X^2}}. \quad (15)$$

The exemplification presented in Eqs.(13-15), and many other possibilities provided by Eqs.(6-10), relate the correlated hopping (or density assisted hopping) subject intensively

studied^{11,12}, ordered phases as superconductivity¹³, ferromagnetism¹⁴, nanophysics¹⁵, topological phases¹⁵, the theory of correlated fermions¹⁶ being also involved, and even the line of exact solutions is attained¹⁸. But up today, all this trials in their connection to spin models run at the level of spinless fermions, and e.g. the spin-orbit interaction being not involved. Since for the 1D Heisenberg size of the relations, the exact results are known, the presented spinful transformations will provide exact valuable information also in these fields.

III. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

A Jordan-Wigner type of exact transformation is presented connecting spin-1/2 operators to spinful canonical Fermi operators in one dimension. The transformation contains also two free parameters allowing the interconnection between spin models, and fermionic models constructed with spinful canonical Fermi operators on a broad spectrum of possibilities. This transformation extends the application possibilities of the standard Jordan-Wigner transformation which ends up at the fermionic side, always with spinless fermions. It seems that there are not present obstacles in extending the procedure to higher dimensions.

-
- ¹ P. Jordan and E. Wigner, Über das Paulische Äquivalenzverbot, *Z. Physik* 47, 631 (1928)
- ² E. Lieb, T. Schultz, and D. Mattis, Two soluble models of an antiferromagnetic chain, *Annals of Physics* 16, 407 (1961),
Available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/0003491661901154>
- ³ M. Azzouz, Interchain-coupling effect on the one dimensional spin-1/2 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model, *Phys. Rev. B* 48, 6136 (1993),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevB.48.6136>
- ⁴ Y. R. Wang, Ground state of the two-dimensional antiferromagnetic Heisenberg model studied using an extended Wigner-Jordan transformation, *Phys. Rev. B* 43, 3786 (1991)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevB.43.3786>
- ⁵ E. Fradkin, Jordan-Wigner transformation for quantum-spin systems in two dimensions and fractional statistics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 63, 322 (1989)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prl/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevLett.63.322>
- ⁶ C. B. Batista and G. Ortiz, Generalized Jordan-Wigner transformation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 86, 1082 (2001),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prl/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevLett.86.1082>
- ⁷ N. Kucska and Z. Gulacsi, Nanograin ferromagnets from nonmagnetic bulk materials: The case of gold nanoclusters, *Int. Jour. Mod. Phys. B* 35, 2150148 (2021)
Available at <https://worldscientific.com/doi/10.1142/S0217979221501484>
- ⁸ N. Kucska and Z. Gulacsi, Spin-orbit interactions may relax the rigid conditions leading to flat

- bands, Phys. Rev. B105, 085103 (2022)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevB.105.085103>
- ⁹ A. Hijano, E. J. Rodríguez, D. Bercioux and D. Frustaglia, Spin-texture topology in curved circuits driven by spin-orbit interactions, Nature Commun. Phys. 6, 186 (2023)
Available at <https://www.nature.com/articles/s42005-023-01308-8>
- ¹⁰ B. S. Shastry, Infinite conservation laws in the one-dimensional Hubbard model, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 1529 (1986),
Available at <https://link.aps.org/doi/10.1103/PhysRevLett.56.1529>
- ¹¹ W. Chan, J. Zhang and H. Ding, Ground-state instabilities in a Hubbard-type chain with particular correlated hopping at non-half-filling, Results in Physics 49, 106472 (2023),
Available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2211379723002656>
- ¹² M. Di Liberto, C. E. Creffield, G. I. Japaridze, and C. Morais Smith, Quantum simulation of correlated-hopping models with fermions in optical lattices, Phys. Rev. A89, 013624 (2014),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/pra/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevA.89.013624>
- ¹³ M. Zegrodnik and J. Spalek, Universal properties of high-temperature superconductors from real-space pairing: Role of correlated hopping and intersite Coulomb interaction within the tJU model, Phys. Rev. B96, 054511 (2019),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevB.96.054511>
- ¹⁴ T. Westerhout and M. I. Katsnelson, Role of correlated hopping in the many-body physics of flat-band systems: Nagaoka ferromagnetism Phys. Rev. B106, L041104 (2022)
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L041104>
- ¹⁵ U. Eckern and K. I. Wysokinski, Charge and heat transport through quantum dots with local and correlated-hopping interactions, Phys. Rev. Research 3, 043003 (2021),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prresearch/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevResearch.3.043003>
- ¹⁶ K. A. Chao, J. Spalek and A. M. Oles, Kinetic exchange interaction in a narrow S-band, Jour. Phys. C: Solid State Physics 10, L271 (1977),
Available at <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/0022-3719/10/10/002>
- ¹⁷ A. Montorsi, U. Bhattacharya, D. González-Cuadra, M. Lewenstein, G. Palumbo, and L. Barbiero, Interacting second-order topological insulators in one-dimensional fermions with correlated hopping, Phys. Rev. B106, L241115 (2022),
Available at <https://journals.aps.org/prb/abstract/10.1103/PhysRevB.106.L241115>

¹⁸ I. N. Karnaukov, Exactly solvable chain of interacting electrons with correlated hopping and pairing, Phys. Lett. A383, 125951 (2019),

Available at <https://www.sciencedirect.com/journal/physics-letters-a/vol/383/issue/33>