

# FOURIER RESTRICTION FOR SCHATTEN CLASS OPERATORS AND FUNCTIONS ON PHASE SPACE

FRANZ LUEF AND HELGE J. SAMUELSEN

ABSTRACT. We formulate a variant of Fourier restriction for operators in Schatten classes, where the Fourier-Wigner transform of a bounded operator replaces the Fourier transform of a function. The Fourier-Wigner transform is closely related to the group Fourier transform of the Heisenberg group. The first result shows that Fourier-Wigner restriction for Schatten class operators is equivalent to the restriction of the symplectic Fourier transform of functions on phase space. We deduce various Schatten class results for the quantum Fourier extension operator and answer a conjecture by Mishra and Vemuri concerning the Weyl transform of measures in the affirmative.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Fourier restriction has attracted a lot of attention over the years and has shown to be intimately related to various challenging problems in harmonic analysis, see [22]. In short, the question Feffermann and Stein put forward in [4] on whether restricting the Fourier transform of an  $L^p$ -function to a sphere may give a well-defined bounded operator, the Fourier restriction operator, on the class of  $L^p$ -spaces. Or more generally, given a measure on the Euclidean space  $\mathbb{R}^n$  for what exponents  $p, q \in [1, \infty]$  does the Fourier restriction operator define a bounded operator from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^q(\mu)$ .

A celebrated theorem by Tomas and Stein [17], [24] shows that the Fourier restriction operator is bounded from  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{S}^{n-1})$  for  $p \leq (2n+2)/(n+3)$  and Knapp's example demonstrates that this range of exponents is sharp.

Our main motivation is to propose a formulation of the Fourier restriction problem for operators in the Schatten classes  $\mathcal{S}^p$  which one might think of as a restriction problem for the Fourier-Wigner transform [5] or the Fourier-Weyl transform [25], and closely related to the group Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_W$  of the Heisenberg group. A key observation is the equivalence of this operator variant of the Fourier restriction problem with the one of the symplectic Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$  for functions on phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

The framework of our work is based on the representation theory of the Heisenberg group, in particular, the Schrödinger representation of phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and different quantization schemes, such as Weyl quantization and Berezin quantization or  $\tau$ -quantization. In [25] this setting was used to build a theory of harmonic analysis for operators, aka quantum harmonic analysis, and the methods and tools from quantum harmonic analysis are at the core of all our arguments. Recent developments in time-frequency analysis have linked quantum harmonic analysis with the theory of localization operators, time-frequency representations and have led to the introduction of notions such as the Cohen class of an operator, the latter is also essential in our approach.

---

*Date:* July 30, 2024.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 42B10, 47G30, 47B10.

Our definition of the Fourier-Wigner restriction problem for Schatten class operators is based on the representation theory of the Heisenberg group and one might want to notice that the inverse group Fourier transform of the Heisenberg group gives a whole family of Fourier-Wigner transforms, which are all unitarily equivalent after using a suitable dilation of the Heisenberg group. We discuss this in more detail in section 2 on background material. Thus one might also recast our results as restriction theorems for the Heisenberg group. We decide to just phrase our results for one “fiber” of the group Fourier transform of the Heisenberg group since treating it in full generality does not add anything new to the problem at hand and the statements become more transparent.

The main takeaway of our paper might be the equivalence of the Fourier restriction theorem on phase space of functions with the Fourier-Wigner restriction of operators. In subsequent work, the second author established the equivalence of well-studied problems in classical harmonic analysis and formulated its variants in quantum harmonic analysis. Concretely, he showed the equivalence of  $\ell^p$ -decoupling theorems as well as of the Bochner-Riesz conjecture for operators with the one for functions relying on methods from quantum harmonic analysis. The equivalence between statements in classical harmonic analysis with ones in quantum harmonic analysis has also been noticed by Fulsche and Rodriguez-Rodriguez for spectral synthesis [8].

Fourier restriction for operators has been addressed in two recent publications [11], [15]. There is some overlap between the results of these contributions to the subject and those put forward here. Still, the methods underlying the approaches in [11], [15] are completely different from ours, and our main results are more general. In particular, our proofs rely on basic results from quantum harmonic analysis, like the Werner-Young inequality [14], [25].

We denote by  $\rho$  be the Schrödinger representation of  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , where for  $(x, \omega) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$

$$\rho(x, \xi)g(t) = e^{-\pi i x \cdot \xi + 2\pi i t \cdot \xi} g(t - x).$$

The Fourier-Wigner transform, also called the Fourier-Weyl transform, of a trace class operator  $S$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is defined as

$$(1) \quad \mathcal{F}_W S(z) = \text{tr}(\rho(z)^* S),$$

where  $\text{tr}$  is the standard semifinite trace on  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . The most suitable Fourier transform on the phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is the symplectic Fourier transform

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(G)(z) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G(z') e^{-2\pi i \sigma(z, z')} dz',$$

where  $\sigma$  denotes the standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Our main result is the following equivalence theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

*i) There exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for any  $G \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(G)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_\sigma \|G\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

*ii) There exists a constant  $C_W > 0$  such that for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(S)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_W \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}.$$

The operation dual to Fourier restriction is that of extension and is formally given by the Fourier extension operator:  $\mathcal{E}_\sigma(G) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(G d\mu)$  for the symplectic Fourier transform  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma$ . The operator analog of this extension operator is

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}_W(G) = \mathcal{F}_W^{-1}(G d\mu) = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G(z)\rho(z) d\mu(z),$$

which we understand in the weak sense and refer to as the quantum extension operator. The following is a reformulation of Theorem 1.1 in terms of extension operators.

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . For  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  with dual exponents  $p', q'$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

i) *There exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for any  $G \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(G)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_\sigma \|G\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

ii) *There exists a constant  $C_W > 0$  such that for any  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_W \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}.$$

iii) *There exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for any  $G \in L^{q'}(\mu)$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_\sigma(G)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq C_\sigma \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}.$$

iv) *There exists a constant  $C_W > 0$  such that for any  $G \in L^{q'}(\mu)$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{E}_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} \leq C_W \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}.$$

We state a consequence of the preceding Theorem 1.2 for operators of the form (2) by utilising classical Fourier restriction estimates for functions. In particular, a restriction estimate for fractal, compactly supported, probability measures yield geometric and Fourier analytic conditions which ensure Schatten class estimates for the quantum extension operator. The following theorem is a reformulation of Theorem 3.3 in [12], which extends to the setting of Schatten class operators.

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported probability measure such that for  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2d$  and constants  $C_\alpha, C_\beta > 0$  we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B(z, r)) &\leq C_\alpha r^\alpha \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \text{ and } r > 0, \\ |\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(\zeta)| &\leq C_\beta (1 + |\zeta|)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \end{aligned}$$

Then for all

$$p' \geq p'_{d, \alpha, \beta} := \frac{2(4d - 2\alpha + \beta)}{\beta},$$

there exists  $C = C(p) > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{E}_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} \leq C \|G\|_{L^2(\mu)},$$

for all  $G \in L^2(\mu)$ .

It turns out that the extension formulation of Fourier restriction in the setting of quantum harmonic analysis has applications to different quantization schemes. For a fixed function  $G$ , the Weyl symbol of the operator  $\mathcal{E}_W(G)$  is the classical extension operator  $\mathcal{E}_\sigma(G)$ . By considering the constant function  $G \equiv 1$ , we can deduce Schatten class estimates for operators whose Weyl symbols are the symplectic Fourier transform of compactly supported

Radon measures by combining Theorem 1.2 with classical restriction results. We can furthermore use a quantum harmonic Tauberian theorem proven by Luef and Skrettingland in [14] to characterize the compactness of such operators in terms of the decay conditions of the Fourier transform of the measure at infinity.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Then the operator*

$$(3) \quad L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} = \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \rho(z) d\mu(z)$$

*is compact if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  if and only if  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .*

We have as an immediate consequence:

**Corollary 1.5.** *Assume there exists  $\beta > 0$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\zeta|)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}},$$

*for all  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Then  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p$  for  $p > 4d/\beta$ .*

Mishra and Vemuri have also studied the operator (3) in [15], [16] for compact hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  with strictly positive Gaussian curvature and smooth measures  $\mu$ . They showed that for  $d \geq 2$  the respective operator is compact, and for  $p > d \geq 6$  the operator  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ . Furthermore, they conjectured that  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p$  if and only if  $p > 4d/(2d - 1)$ .

The reasoning behind this conjecture is that the Fourier restriction conjecture for functions by Stein, see [28]), holds in this case. By the concrete form of  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}$  where  $\mu$  is the surface measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{2d-1}$  and results about Laguerre functions, they showed that  $p > 4d/(2d - 1)$  is a necessary condition. A sufficient condition of the conjecture of Mishra and Vemuri follows from Theorem 1.4 through the method of stationary phase, see Theorem 1.2.1 in [20].

We answer this conjecture by Mishra and Vemuri in the affirmative as a consequence of Theorem 1.4.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Suppose  $S$  is a compact hypersurface in  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature equipped with a smooth measure  $\mu$ . Then the operator*

$$\int \rho(z) d\mu(z) \in \mathcal{S}^p,$$

*if and only if  $p > 4d/(2d - 1)$ .*

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank Sigrid Grepstad and Eugenia Malinnikova for their helpful comments on earlier versions of this manuscript. The authors also appreciate the feedback given by Robert Fulchse which helped to improve the manuscript.

## 2. BACKGROUND

**2.1. Some facts about measures.** Throughout this paper, we will consider various Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . We refer to [6] for the relevant background on measure theory.

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . For  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , we define the  $L^p$ -norm of a measurable function  $F$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  with respect to  $\mu$  by,

$$\|F\|_{L^p(\mu)} = \left( \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} |F|^p d\mu \right)^{1/p},$$

and we denote by  $L^p(\mu)$  to be the space of measurable functions  $F$  such that  $\|F\|_{L^p(\mu)} < \infty$ . We extend the definition to  $p = \infty$  in the natural way. We simply write  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  whenever we use the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

Recall that a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is called finite if  $\mu(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) < \infty$ . Furthermore, a Radon measure is a Borel measure which is finite on every compact set, inner regular on every open set and outer regular on all Borel sets. By [6, Thm. 7.8], we have that every Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  that is finite on compact sets is a Radon measure. In fact, every finite measure is a Radon measure by the monotonicity property of measures.

For a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , we define the Fourier transform at a point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  by

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu)(\zeta) = \widehat{\mu}(\zeta) := \int e^{-2\pi i \zeta \cdot z} d\mu(z),$$

which is a continuous function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . If  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, then there exist  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that  $d\mu = F dx$  by the Radon-Nikodym Theorem. In this case, we identify  $\widehat{\mu} = \widehat{F}$  with the regular Fourier transform of the  $L^1$ -function  $F$ , and thus  $\widehat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by the Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma. However, this is not the case for an arbitrary finite Borel measure, as can be seen by the fact that  $\widehat{\delta}_0 = 1$ . Here  $\delta_0$  denotes the point measure at  $z = 0$ .

Finally, a finite Borel measure is called a Rajchman measure if  $\widehat{\mu} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Thus, every absolutely continuous measure with respect to the Lebesgue measure is a Rajchman measure.

We denote the Schwartz class of rapidly decaying smooth functions on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  by  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and its dual space of tempered distributions by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . We use a sesquilinear dual-pairing for  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which is compatible with the  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  inner product. That is, given  $\psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , we can identify  $\psi$  with a tempered distribution  $\tau_\psi \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by

$$\tau_\psi(\varphi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx = \langle \varphi, \psi \rangle, \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

**2.2. The Symplectic Fourier Transform.** The standard symplectic form on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  is given by

$$\sigma((x, \xi), (y, \eta)) = y\xi - x\eta = J(x, \xi) \cdot (y, \eta),$$

where  $J \in SO(2d)$  denotes the symplectic matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_d \\ -I_d & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

and where  $I_d$  is the identity matrix on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . For a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , we define the symplectic Fourier transform at a point  $\zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  as

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(\zeta) = \int e^{-2\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} d\mu(z) = \mathcal{F}(\mu)(J\zeta),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}$  denotes the standard Fourier transform.

As the symplectic Fourier transform only differs from the standard Fourier transform by a rotation of the variables, most classical results are still true for the symplectic case. However,

from the anti-symmetry of the symplectic form, there is a sign change in Plancherel's formula and the Fourier inversion formula. In particular, we have  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma^{-1} = \mathcal{F}_\sigma$ , and there is the following version of Plancherel's theorem for finite measures.

**Lemma 2.1** (Plancherel's theorem). *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be finite Borel measures on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Then*

$$(4) \quad \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(z) d\nu(z) = \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)(-\zeta) d\mu(\zeta).$$

*Proof.* Since  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are finite measures, it follows by Fubini's theorem that

$$\begin{aligned} \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(z) d\nu(z) &= \int \int e^{-2\pi i \sigma(z, \zeta)} d\mu(\zeta)(z) d\nu(z) \\ &= \int \int e^{2\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} d\nu(z) d\mu(\zeta) = \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)(-\zeta) d\mu(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\sigma(\zeta, z) = -\sigma(z, \zeta)$ . □

Unlike for the standard Fourier transform, there is a sign change in Plancherel's Theorem for the symplectic Fourier transform. However, by instead considering a sesquilinear dual-pairing we recover the standard Plancherel formula on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** *If  $\varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , then*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\varphi)(x) \overline{\psi(x)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \varphi(\xi) \overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\psi)(\xi)} d\xi.$$

This indicates that a sesquilinear dual-pairing might be more natural whenever we are working with symplectic structures. We have therefore chosen to only consider sesquilinear dual-pairings throughout the rest of the paper.

Since the Lebesgue measure is invariant under actions of  $\text{SO}(2d)$ , it is possible to extend all classical Fourier restriction estimates to the symplectic case. This is the content of the next lemma.

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . If there exists  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$  and a constant  $C > 0$  such that*

$$\|\mathcal{F}(\Psi)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

*for all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , then the same estimate holds for the symplectic Fourier transform. Namely, for the same  $p, q$  and  $C$  we have*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|\Psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

*for all  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\Psi_J = \Psi \circ J$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\Psi_J)(\zeta) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-2\pi i \zeta \cdot z} \Psi(Jz) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-2\pi i \zeta \cdot J^{-1}\omega} \Psi(\omega) d\omega \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} e^{-2\pi i \sigma(\zeta, \omega)} \Psi(\omega) d\omega = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi)(\zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $J = (J^*)^{-1}$ , and  $J\zeta \cdot \omega = \sigma(\zeta, \omega)$ . However, this implies that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi)\|_{L^q(\mu)} = \|\mathcal{F}(\Psi_J)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|\Psi_J\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

As  $J \in SO(2d)$  we have,

$$\|\Psi_J\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \|\Psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

and the result follows.  $\square$

### 2.3. Basics on the Heisenberg group, time-frequency analysis and quantization.

Let us briefly review some basic facts on the representation theory of the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}$ , see for example [5], [23], where  $\mathbb{H}$  is the group  $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}$  with multiplication

$$(x, \xi, s) \bullet (x', \xi', s') = \left( x + x', \xi + \xi', s + s' + \frac{x' \cdot \xi - x \cdot \xi'}{2} \right).$$

We denote by  $\rho_\lambda$  the Schrödinger representation of the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , defined by

$$\rho_\lambda(z, s)g(t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda s} e^{\lambda(-\pi i x \cdot \xi + 2\pi i t \cdot \xi)} g(t - x) \quad \text{for } z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}.$$

Note that the restriction of  $\rho_\lambda$  to  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  defines a projective unitary representation of  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , denoted by  $\rho_\lambda(z) := \rho_\lambda(z, 0)$ . Then the group Fourier transform on  $\mathbb{H}$  is given by

$$\widehat{F}(\lambda)g(t) = \iint_{\mathbb{H}} F(z, s) \rho_\lambda(z, s)g(t) dz ds, \quad \text{for } F \in L^1(\mathbb{H}), g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

For  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{H}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{H})$  the mapping from  $\widehat{F}(\lambda) \mapsto F(z, s)$  computes the Fourier coefficient of  $F$ , which for a fixed  $s$  coincides with the Fourier-Wigner transform of  $F$ , see the Section on Quantum Harmonic Analysis.

We include a brief review of notation and results from time-frequency analysis. The theory presented can be found in [9]. From now on we fix  $\lambda = 1$ .

For  $z = (x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , we denote the Schrödinger representation by

$$(5) \quad \rho(z) = \rho(x, \xi) = e^{-\pi i x \cdot \xi} M_\xi T_x,$$

where  $M_\xi$  and  $T_x$  are the modulation and translation operators on  $L^2$  respectively.

The Schrödinger representation gives rise to a time-frequency representation. For  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , the *cross-ambiguity function* of  $f$  and  $g$  is defined as

$$(6) \quad A(f, g)(x, \xi) = \langle f, \rho(x, \xi)g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(t + \frac{x}{2}\right) \overline{g\left(t - \frac{x}{2}\right)} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dt.$$

For fixed  $g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  the cross-ambiguity function extends to a bounded linear functional on  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The next result is known as the covariance property of the cross-ambiguity function.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then for any  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$*

$$A(\rho(\zeta)f, g)(z) = e^{\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} A(f, g)(z - \zeta).$$

*Proof.* For  $z, \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} A(\rho(\zeta)f, g)(z) &= \langle \rho(\zeta)f, \rho(z)g \rangle = \langle f, \rho(-\zeta)\rho(z)g \rangle \\ &= e^{\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} \langle f, \rho(z - \zeta)g \rangle = e^{\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} A(f, g)(z - \zeta), \end{aligned}$$

where we used  $\rho^*(\zeta) = \rho(-\zeta)$  and the property  $\rho(\zeta)\rho(z) = e^{\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)}\rho(z + \zeta)$ .  $\square$

An important case is when both  $f$  and  $g$  are the  $L^2$  normalized Gaussian,

$$(7) \quad g_0(t) = 2^{\frac{d}{4}} e^{-\pi|t|^2}.$$

Then the cross-ambiguity function is again a Gaussian,

$$(8) \quad A(g_0, g_0)(z) = e^{-\pi \frac{|z|^2}{2}}.$$

This is a special case of Lemma 1.5.2 in [9].

A key property of the cross-ambiguity function is Moyal's identity,

$$\langle A(f, \varphi), A(g, \psi) \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle \langle \psi, \varphi \rangle,$$

for all  $f, g, \varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This results in an inversion formula, see for example [9, Cor. 3.2.3].

**Lemma 2.5** (Inversion formula). *Let  $g, h \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with  $\langle g, h \rangle \neq 0$ . Then for all  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$*

$$f = \frac{1}{\langle h, g \rangle} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} A(f, g)(z) \rho(z) h dz,$$

where the integral is defined weakly.

A localization operator with symbol  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and windows  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  is the operator  $\mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$  such that

$$\langle \mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} f, g \rangle = \langle a A(f, \varphi), A(g, \psi) \rangle,$$

holds for all  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . When the symbol  $a$  is function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , the localization operator can be written as

$$(9) \quad \mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(z) A(f, \varphi)(z) \rho(z) \varphi dz,$$

when acting on  $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . The integral has to be understood in the weak sense. If  $a \equiv 1$ , and  $\varphi = \psi$  are chosen such that  $\|\varphi\|_{L^2} = 1$ , then the localization operator becomes the identity operator on  $L^2$  by Lemma 2.5. The mapping  $a \mapsto \mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi}$  is often referred to as Berezin quantization and in the case  $\varphi = \psi = g_0$  as the anti-Wick quantization.

Associated to the cross-ambiguity function is another important time-frequency representation, known as the cross-Wigner distribution. Given  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , the cross-Wigner distribution is defined as

$$W(f, g)(x, \xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f\left(x + \frac{t}{2}\right) \overline{g\left(x - \frac{t}{2}\right)} e^{-2\pi i \xi \cdot t} dt.$$

It was first introduced by Wigner in 1932 in an attempt to achieve a phase space representation of quantum mechanics [27]. The cross-Wigner distribution is related to the cross-ambiguity function through the symplectic Fourier transform.

**Lemma 2.6** (Lemma 4.3.4 in [9]). *For any  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and all  $(x, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ ,*

$$W(f, g)(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(A(f, g))(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\langle f, \rho(z) g \rangle).$$

An important example is the Wigner distribution of the  $L^2$  normalized Gaussian  $g_0$ . Utilizing equation (8) it follows that

$$(10) \quad W(g_0, g_0)(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(A(g_0, g_0))(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma\left(e^{-\pi \frac{|z|^2}{2}}\right)(z) = 2^d e^{-2\pi|z|^2}.$$

Just as the cross-ambiguity function gave rise to the localization operator, the cross-Wigner distribution gives rise to another quantization scheme. For a tempered distribution  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  the Weyl quantization is defined as the operator  $L_a : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  given by

$$L_a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(a)(z) \rho(z) dz,$$

where  $\rho$  is the Schrödinger representation as defined in (5). We refer to  $a$  as the Weyl symbol of  $L_a$ . A well known result by Pool states that the Weyl quantization extends to an isometric isomorphism between  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and the Hilbert-Schmidt operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , see [18].

A different representation of the Weyl-quantization is the following [5, Prop. 2.5]:

**Proposition 2.7** (Weak formulation). *Let  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , and  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then*

$$\langle L_a f, g \rangle = \langle a, W(g, f) \rangle,$$

where we use a sesquilinear dual pairing between  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  and  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .

Let us close this section with a remark related to the Weyl symbol of a localization operator:

$$(11) \quad \mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} = L_{a * W(\psi, \varphi)},$$

i.e. the Weyl symbol of the localization operator is  $a * W(\psi, \varphi)$ .

**2.4. Schatten Class Operators.** We denote the bounded operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  and the compact operators by  $\mathcal{K}$ . Recall that any compact operator  $T$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  has a singular value decomposition (see for instance [19, Chap.3]):

$$T = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n(T) \varphi_n \otimes \psi_n,$$

where  $\{s_n(T)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  denotes the sequence of the singular values of  $T$  and  $\{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \{\psi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are two orthonormal bases for  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For a general compact operator  $T$  it is well-known that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n(T) = 0.$$

By requiring summability conditions on the singular values of the operators  $T \in \mathcal{K}$  we can define the Schatten  $p$ -classes. For any  $1 \leq p < \infty$  we define

$$\mathcal{S}^p := \{T \in \mathcal{K} : \{s_n(T)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \ell^p\},$$

and for  $p = \infty$  we use the identification of  $\mathcal{S}^\infty$  with the space of bounded operators  $\mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ . There is the inclusion  $\mathcal{S}^1 \subseteq \mathcal{S}^p \subseteq \mathcal{S}^q$  when  $1 \leq p \leq q \leq \infty$ , which comes from the inclusion relations for  $\ell^p$  spaces.

For  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  one can define a trace

$$\mathrm{tr}(T) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T e_n, e_n \rangle,$$

for some orthonormal basis  $\{e_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In fact, the trace is independent of the choice of the orthonormal basis. Through the trace one can define the norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \mathrm{tr}(|T|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \mathrm{tr} \left( (T^* T)^{\frac{p}{2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} = \left( \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} s_n(T)^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

which turns  $\mathcal{S}^p$  into a Banach space. It is worth noting that finite rank operators are dense in  $\mathcal{S}^p$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , as the space  $c_{00}$  of sequences with only finitely many non-zero elements are dense in  $\ell^p$  for the same range of  $p$ . This implies that  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is also dense in  $\mathcal{S}^p$  for  $1 < p < \infty$ .

There is an analog of Hölder's inequality for Schatten  $p$ -classes.

**Theorem 2.8** (Theorem 3.7.6 in [19]). *Let  $1 < p, q, r < \infty$  be such that  $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{r}$ . If  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}^q$ , then  $ST \in \mathcal{S}^r$  and*

$$(12) \quad \|ST\|_{\mathcal{S}^r} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^q}.$$

As in the case of  $\ell^p$ -sequence spaces, this allows one to define a dual pairing between  $\mathcal{S}^p$  and  $\mathcal{S}^{p'}$  for the conjugate exponent  $p' = p/(p-1)$  with the same modifications at the endpoints  $p = 1$  and  $p = \infty$ :

**Theorem 2.9** (Theorem 3.6.8 and 3.7.4 in [19]). *There is the following characterization of the dual space of  $\mathcal{S}^p$  for  $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ .*

a) *We have  $\mathcal{K}' = \mathcal{S}^1$  and  $(\mathcal{S}^1)' = \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  where the dual pairing is given by*

$$\langle S, T \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^1, \mathcal{K}} = \text{tr}(ST^*).$$

b) *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $p' = p/(p-1)$ . Then for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$  and  $T \in \mathcal{S}^{p'}$  we have  $ST^* \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and*

$$(13) \quad |\langle S, T \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^p, \mathcal{S}^{p'}}| = |\text{tr}(ST^*)| \leq \|ST^*\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}}.$$

Moreover, for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ,

$$(14) \quad \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} = \sup_{\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}}=1} |\text{tr}(ST^*)|.$$

This implies that, for  $1 < p < \infty$ , the dual space of  $\mathcal{S}^p$  is  $\mathcal{S}^{p'}$  where the dual pairing is given by

$$\langle S, T \rangle_{\mathcal{S}^p, \mathcal{S}^{p'}} = \text{tr}(ST^*), \quad \text{for } S \in \mathcal{S}^p, T \in \mathcal{S}^{p'}.$$

and note that  $\mathcal{S}^p$  is reflexive for  $1 < p < \infty$ . Furthermore,  $\mathcal{S}^2$  is a Hilbert space under this pairing and we will denote this inner product by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}}$  and refer to it as the space of Hilbert-Schmidt operators.

**2.5. Basics on Quantum Harmonic Analysis.** Let us review the key notions and basic results of Quantum Harmonic Analysis (QHA), which provides a convenient setting for harmonic analysis of operators [25]. The starting point is the way one can convolve operators. More concretely, an operator-operator convolution and an operator-function convolution are defined for  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by

$$S \star T(z) = \text{tr}(S\rho(z)PTP\rho(-z)), \quad F \star S = \int f(z)\rho(z)S\rho(-z)dz \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d},$$

where  $Pf(x) = f(-x)$  denotes the parity operator, and the integral is to be understood weakly. It follows from the definition of these convolutions are commutative. As the next two propositions will show, they are also associative and satisfy Young's inequality, as first noted by Werner [25].

**Proposition 2.10** (Proposition 2.1 in [14]). *For  $S, T, R \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and  $F, G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,*

$$\begin{aligned}(R \star S) \star T &= R \star (S \star T), \\ F \star (R \star S) &= (F \star R) \star T, \\ (F \star G) \star R &= F \star (G \star R).\end{aligned}$$

An important tool in classical harmonic analysis is Young's inequality for  $L^p$ -functions. We state here an analog for the setting of quantum harmonic analysis, see [25, Prop. 3.2(v)] or [14, Prop. 2.2].

**Proposition 2.11** (Werner-Young's inequality). *Suppose  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ,  $T \in \mathcal{S}^q$  and  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  with  $1 + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}$ .*

*Then  $S \star T \in L^r(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $F \star T \in \mathcal{S}^r$ , and*

$$(15) \quad \|S \star T\|_{L^r} \leq \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^q},$$

$$(16) \quad \|F \star T\|_{\mathcal{S}^r} \leq \|F\|_{L^p} \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^q}.$$

For  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  we define the Fourier-Wigner transform of  $T$  at a point  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  by

$$\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z) = \text{tr}(T\rho(-z)) = \langle T, \rho \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}}.$$

This defines a bounded function on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  as

$$|\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)| = |\text{tr}(T\rho(-z))| \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} \|\rho\| = \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^1},$$

by [19, Thm. 3.6.6]. Moreover, for  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_W(T) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by an analogue of the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma found in [25]. By a known result of Pool<sup>1</sup> [18], the Fourier-Wigner transform extends to a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{S}^2$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . We therefore obtain Hausdorff-Young's inequality through interpolation for operator convolutions, [25, Prop. 3.4].

**Proposition 2.12** (Werner-Hausdorff-Young Theorem). *Let  $1 \leq p \leq 2$ , and  $p^{-1} + p'^{-1} = 1$ . If  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$ , then  $\mathcal{F}_W(T) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and*

$$(17) \quad \|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}.$$

Let us look at the most elementary instance of a Fourier-Wigner transform.

**Example 2.13.** *Let  $f, g \in L^2$  and consider the rank one operator  $f \otimes g \in \mathcal{S}^1$ . Then we have that*

$$(18) \quad \mathcal{F}_W(f \otimes g) = A(f, g),$$

*where  $A(f, g)$  denotes the cross-ambiguity functions of  $f$  and  $g$  as defined in Equation (6).*

The Fourier-Wigner transform interacts with the convolutions in the same fashion as the classical Fourier transform. The following intertwining properties of the Fourier-Wigner transform and convolutions are proven in [25, Prop. 3.4].

<sup>1</sup>Pool showed that the Weyl quantization  $a \mapsto L_a$  extends to a unitary operator from  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  to  $\mathcal{S}^2$ .

For  $a \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , the Weyl quantization may be written as  $L_a = \mathcal{F}_W^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(a))$ , and thus the Fourier-Wigner transform defines a unitary operator from  $\mathcal{S}^2$  to  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by the isomorphism of the symplectic Fourier transform on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

**Proposition 2.14** (Werner's convolution theorem). *Let  $S, T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and  $F \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Then*

$$(19) \quad \mathcal{F}_\sigma(S \star T) = \mathcal{F}_W(S)\mathcal{F}_W(T)$$

$$(20) \quad \mathcal{F}_W(F \star S) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(F)\mathcal{F}_W(S).$$

**2.6. Wiener's Tauberian Theorem.** Wiener's Tauberian theorem is a classical result due to Wiener [26]. The theorem states that if  $f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ , and there exists  $h \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  with non-vanishing Fourier transform and  $A \in \mathbb{C}$  such that,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f * h)(x) = A \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} h(x) dx,$$

then for any  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} (f * g)(x) = A \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) dx.$$

The proof is based on Wiener's approximation theorem. For  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  it states that  $\overline{\text{span}}\{T_x f : x \in \mathbb{R}^d\} = L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) \neq 0$  for any  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .

In [14], Luef and Skrettingland extended Wiener's Tauberian theorem to the setting of operators, where they proved the following.

**Theorem 2.15** (Theorem 4.1 in [14]). *Let  $F \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , and assume that one of the following equivalent statements holds for some  $A \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

i) *There is some  $S \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , with  $\mathcal{F}_W(S)(z) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , such that*

$$F \star S = A \cdot \text{tr}(S)I_{L^2} + K$$

*for some  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

ii) *There is some  $H \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , with  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(a) \neq 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , such that*

$$F * a = A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} a(z) dz + H$$

*for some  $H \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .*

*Then both of the following statements hold:*

a) *For any  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$ ,  $F \star T = A \cdot \text{tr}(T)I_{L^2} + K_T$  for some  $K_T \in \mathcal{K}$ .*

b) *For any  $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,  $F * G = A \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} G(z) dz + H_G$  for some  $H_G \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .*

Here  $I_{L^2}$  denotes the identity operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . In a recent preprint [7] this result was extended using Werner's correspondence theory and the theory of limit operators.

### 3. FOURIER RESTRICTION FOR SCHATTEN CLASS OPERATORS

In this section we will prove the theorems announced in the Introduction and we start with the basic insight that the Fourier restriction theorem for the symplectic Fourier transform is in fact equivalent to the Fourier restriction theorem for Schatten class operators, Theorem 1.1.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Suppose  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , and  $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

i) *There exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that for any  $G \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,*

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(G)\|_{L^q(\mathbb{R}^{2d}, \mu)} \leq C_\sigma \|G\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}.$$

ii) There exists a constant  $C_W > 0$  such that for any  $S \in \mathcal{S}^p$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(S)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_W \|S\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}.$$

*Proof.* We start with an elementary observation: Since  $\mu$  is compactly supported we denote  $R = \text{diam}(\text{supp}(\mu)) < \infty$ . Fix  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  such that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset B(z_0, R)$ .

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii) : We assume that there exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(G)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_\sigma \|G\|_{L^p},$$

for every  $G \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Consider  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$  and associate its cross-Cohen's class, which was introduced in [13],

$$Q_T(\varphi, \psi)(z) = (\psi \otimes \varphi) \star T(z), \quad \text{for } \varphi, \psi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

It follows from Werner-Young's inequality, (15), that  $Q_T(\varphi, \psi) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Moreover, by the convolution theorem of the Fourier-Wigner transform, Proposition 2.14, and (18) we have the point-wise result

$$|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Q_T(\varphi, \psi))(z)| = |\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)\mathcal{F}_W(\psi \otimes \varphi)(z)| = |\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)| |A(\psi, \varphi)(z)|.$$

We will now consider a specific choice of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ . Let  $\varphi(t) = g_0(t) = 2^{d/4}e^{-\pi t \cdot t}$  be the  $L^2$ -normalized Gaussian, and  $\psi = \rho(z_0)g_0$  a time-frequency shifted Gaussian. In this case, it follows from (8) that

$$|A(g_{z_0}, g_0)(z)| = e^{-\pi \frac{|z-z_0|^2}{2}} \geq e^{-\pi \frac{R^2}{2}},$$

for all  $z \in \text{supp}(\mu)$  by Lemma 2.4. We therefore have a lower bound on the point-wise estimate of  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Q_T(g_0, g_{z_0}))$ ,

$$|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Q_T(g_0, g_{z_0}))(z)| = |\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)| |A(g_{z_0}, g_0)(z)| \geq e^{-\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} |\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)|,$$

for all  $z \in \text{supp}(\mu)$ . Thus, by the assumption that i) holds, there exists a constant  $C_\sigma > 0$  such that

$$e^{-q\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \int |\mathcal{F}_W(T)|^q d\mu \leq \int |\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Q_T(g_0, g_{z_0}))|^q d\mu \leq C_\sigma^q \|Q_T(g_0, g_{z_0})\|_{L^p}^q \leq C_\sigma^q \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^q,$$

where we used  $\|g_{z_0} \otimes g_0\|_{\mathcal{S}^1} = \|g_{z_0}\|_{L^2} \|g_0\|_{L^2} = 1$ . This shows that i) implies ii).

On the other hand, if we assume ii), then there exists  $C_W > 0$  such that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C_W \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p},$$

for all  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$ . In particular, for any  $F \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  we can consider the localization operator

$$\mathcal{A}_F^{\varphi, \psi} = (\psi \otimes \varphi) \star F,$$

which is an element of  $\mathcal{S}^p$  by Young's inequality, (16). By the convolution theorem of the Fourier-Wigner transform,

$$\mathcal{F}_W(\mathcal{A}_F^{\varphi, \psi})(z) = \mathcal{F}_W(\psi \otimes \varphi)(z)\mathcal{F}_\sigma(F)(z).$$

By choosing  $\varphi = g_0$  and  $\psi = g_{z_0}$ , as above, it follows from the same estimate of the ambiguity function that

$$e^{-q\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \int |\mathcal{F}_\sigma(f)|^q d\mu \leq \int |\mathcal{F}_W(\mathcal{A}_f^{\varphi, \psi})|^q d\mu \leq C_W^q \|\mathcal{A}_f^{\varphi, \psi}\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}^q \leq C_W^q \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})}^q,$$

which proves i).  $\square$

A well studied object in the literature on Fourier restriction is the so-called extension operator

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma \varphi(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma^{-1}(\varphi d\mu)(z) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\varphi d\mu)(z) = \int e^{-2\pi i \sigma(z, \zeta)} \varphi(\zeta) d\mu(\zeta),$$

for some suitably nice functions  $\varphi$ , e.g. Schwartz functions. The extension operator is, at least formally, the adjoint of the restriction operator (see for instance [21, p. 353] or [3, Chap. 1.1.]). Note that  $\mathcal{E}_\sigma$  extends a function on the support of  $\mu$  to a function on the whole phase space  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

A natural problem we would like to address is the following: What is the correct extension operator when considering Fourier restriction of operators?

As the Fourier-Wigner restriction operator maps a Schatten class operator to a function on the support of  $\mu$ , it is reasonable to expect the Fourier-Wigner extension operator to map a function on the support of  $\mu$  to an operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This is in fact the case.

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Then for every  $\Phi \in L^1(\mu)$  there exists  $\mathcal{E}_W \Phi \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathbb{R}^d))$  such that for any  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$ ,*

$$(21) \quad \langle \Phi, \mathcal{F}_W(T) \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \int \Phi(z) \overline{\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)} d\mu(z) = \text{tr}((\mathcal{E}_W \Phi) T^*) = \langle \mathcal{E}_W \Phi, T \rangle_{\mathcal{HS}}.$$

The operator  $\mathcal{E}_W \Phi$  is given by

$$(22) \quad \mathcal{E}_W \Phi = \int \Phi(z) \rho(z) d\mu(z),$$

where the integral is defined weakly, and satisfies the norm bound  $\|\mathcal{E}_W \Phi\| \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu)}$ .

*Proof.* For  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  it follows from [25, Prop. 3.4] that  $\mathcal{F}_W(T) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Thus, the integral on the left hand side in (21) is well-defined and converges for any  $\Phi \in L^1(\mu)$ . A formal calculation gives

$$\int \Phi(z) \overline{\mathcal{F}_W(T)(z)} d\mu(z) = \int \Phi(z) \overline{\text{tr}(T \rho(-z))} d\mu(z) = \text{tr} \left( \left( \int \Phi(z) \rho(z) d\mu(z) \right) T^* \right),$$

where we used  $\rho(-z) = \rho(z)^*$ .

To estimate the operator norm, we note that for any  $f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ ,

$$|\langle \mathcal{E}_W \Phi(f), g \rangle| = \left| \int \Phi(z) \langle \rho(z) f, g \rangle d\mu(z) \right| \leq \int |\Phi(z)| |\langle \rho(z) f, g \rangle| d|\mu|(z) \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu)} \|f\|_{L^2} \|g\|_{L^2},$$

as  $\rho$  is a unitary operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for any choice of  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Varying over all normalized  $f, g$  gives

$$\|\mathcal{E}_W \Phi\| = \sup_{\|f\|_2 = \|g\|_2 = 1} |\langle \mathcal{E}_W \Phi(f), g \rangle| \leq \|\Phi\|_{L^1(\mu)}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ . Then for any  $1 \leq p \leq 2 \leq q \leq \infty$  and constant  $C > 0$ , the following are equivalent:*

- i) For any  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$  we have  $\|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}$ .
- ii) For any  $G \in L^{q'}(\mu)$  we have  $\|\mathcal{E}_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} \leq C \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}^p$ , and simple functions are dense in  $L^{q'}(\mu)$ , we may assume that  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$  and  $g \in L^1(\mu) \cap L^{q'}(\mu)$  to ensure that everything is well-behaved.

**i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii):** By (21) and Hölder's inequality we have,

$$|\mathrm{tr}((\mathcal{E}_W G)T^*)| = \left| \int G \overline{\mathcal{F}_W(T)} d\mu \right| \leq \|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^q(\mu)} \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)} \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}.$$

Since the dual space of  $\mathcal{S}^p$  is  $\mathcal{S}^{p'}$ , it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{E}_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} = \sup_{\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}=1} |\mathrm{tr}((\mathcal{E}_W(G))T^*)| \leq C \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}.$$

**ii)  $\Rightarrow$  i):** By (21) and Hölder's inequality for Schatten class operators, (13), we have

$$\left| \int G \overline{\mathcal{F}_W(T)} d\mu \right| = |\mathrm{tr}((\mathcal{E}_W(G))T^*)| \leq \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|\mathcal{E}_W(G)\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}.$$

We therefore have

$$\|\mathcal{F}_W(T)\|_{L^q(\mu)} = \sup_{\|G\|_{L^{q'}(\mu)}=1} \left| \int G \overline{\mathcal{F}_W(T)} d\mu \right| \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p},$$

which proves *i*). □

*Remark 3.4.* When  $\mu$  is the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}_W(G)$  is the integrated Schrödinger representation on the reduced Heisenberg group. In this case,  $\mathcal{E}_W(G)$  is a compact operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$  by [5, Thm. 1.30] whenever  $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .

A short proof of this result follows from Proposition 3.3: If  $1 < p \leq 2$  and  $g \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , then by Proposition 2.12 it follows that

$$\mathcal{E}_W(G) = \int G(z) \rho(z) dz \in \mathcal{S}^{p'} \subset \mathcal{K}.$$

If  $G \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , choose a sequence  $\{G_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  such that  $\|G_n - G\|_1 \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, Proposition 3.3 gives

$$\|\mathcal{E}_W G - \mathcal{E}_W G_n\|_{L^2 \rightarrow L^2} \leq \|G - G_n\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0,$$

which shows that  $\mathcal{E}_W G$  is the norm limit of compact operators, and is therefore compact.

#### 4. WEYL QUANTIZATION OF MEASURES

In this section we will consider different quantization schemes whenever the symbol is the Fourier transform of a compactly supported Radon measure. We will also show a connection between the Weyl quantization of classical extension operators and the Fourier-Wigner extension operator discussed in the previous section.

Given proposition 2.7, one can investigate the Weyl-quantization of the classical extension operator  $\mathcal{E}_\sigma \Psi$  for  $\Psi \in L^1(\mu)$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\mu$  be a finite Borel measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , and let  $\Psi \in L^1(\mu)$ . If we consider the symplectic extension operator*

$$\mathcal{E}_\sigma \Psi(\zeta) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi d\mu)(\zeta) = \int \Psi(z) e^{-2\pi i \sigma(\zeta, z)} d\mu(z),$$

Then the Weyl-quantization of  $\mathcal{E}_\sigma \Psi$  is given by

$$L_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma \Psi} = \mathcal{E}_W \Psi = \int \Psi(z) \rho(z) d\mu(z).$$

*Proof.* It follows from [9, Thm. 11.2.5] that  $W(f, g) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  whenever  $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Plancherel's theorem for finite measures, Lemma 2.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{E}_\sigma \Psi(x, \xi) W(f, g)(x, \xi) dx d\xi &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi d\mu)(x, \xi) \overline{W(g, f)(x, \xi)} dx d\xi \\ &= \int \Psi(y, \eta) \overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g, f))(y, \eta)} d\mu(y, \eta) \\ &= \int \Psi(y, \eta) \overline{\langle g, \rho(y, \eta) f \rangle} d\mu(y, \eta) \\ &= \langle \mathcal{E}_W \Psi f, g \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2.6, namely that the symplectic Fourier transform of the cross-Wigner distribution is the ambiguity function. □

This shows that the Weyl-quantization of the classical extension operator is given by the Fourier-Wigner extension operator.

Weyl quantization may be viewed as a  $\tau$ -quantization and in the following we consider the extension operators in these quantization schemes. We are following the convention used in [14]. For  $\tau \in [0, 1]$ , the cross- $\tau$ -Wigner distribution  $W_\tau$  is defined as

$$W_\tau(\varphi, \psi)(x, \omega) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x + \tau t) \overline{\psi(x + (1 - \tau)t)} e^{-2\pi i t \cdot \omega} dt.$$

It follows from [2, Prop. 1.3.27] that

$$W_\tau(\varphi, \psi) = \sigma_\tau * W(\varphi, \psi),$$

where  $W(\varphi, \psi) = W_{\frac{1}{2}}(\varphi, \psi)$  is the standard cross-Wigner distribution, and  $\sigma_\tau$  is given by

$$(23) \quad \sigma_\tau(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \frac{2^d}{|2\tau - 1|^d} e^{2\pi i \frac{2}{2\tau - 1} x \cdot \xi} & \tau \neq \frac{1}{2}, \\ \delta_0, & \tau = \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

For any  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , we define the  $\tau$ -quantization as the operator  $L_a^\tau$  such that

$$\langle L_a^\tau \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle a, W_\tau(\psi, \varphi) \rangle,$$

holds for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then, it follows that

$$\langle L_a^\tau \varphi, \psi \rangle = \langle a, W_\tau(\psi, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a, \sigma_\tau * W(\psi, \varphi) \rangle = \langle a * \sigma_{1-\tau}, W(\psi, \varphi) \rangle = \langle L_{a * \sigma_{1-\tau}} \varphi, \psi \rangle,$$

for all  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . This shows that the  $\tau$ -quantization is given by convolving the symbol  $a$  by  $\sigma_{1-\tau} = \overline{\sigma_\tau}$  and then taking the standard Weyl quantization.

In particular, the  $\tau$ -quantization of the extension operator becomes

$$L_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma G}^\tau = L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Gd\mu)*\sigma_{1-\tau}} = L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})Gd\mu)} = \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})(z)G(z)\rho(z)d\mu(z),$$

where  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})$  denotes the distributional symplectic Fourier transform of  $\sigma_{1-\tau}$ . In the proof of [2, Prop. 1.3.27] it is shown that

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1}\sigma_\tau(y, \eta) = e^{-\pi i(2\tau-1)y\cdot\eta}.$$

This implies that

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_\tau)(y, \eta) = e^{\pi i(2\tau-1)y\cdot\eta},$$

and thus the  $\tau$ -quantization of the extension operator becomes

$$(25) \quad L_{\mathcal{E}_\sigma G}^\tau = \int e^{-\pi i(2\tau-1)x\cdot\xi}G(x, \xi)\rho(x, \xi)d\mu(x, \xi).$$

For the next part we need the localization operator with symbol  $a \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and windows  $\varphi, \psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$  which is defined as the operator convolution

$$\mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} = a \star (\psi \otimes \varphi).$$

As mentioned in the discussion of localization operators one can express them in terms of smoothed Weyl symbols:

$$\mathcal{A}_a^{\varphi, \psi} = L_{a*W(\psi, \varphi)}.$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Assume first that  $\mu$  is a Rajchman measure. Recall from (10) that  $W(g_0, g_0)(z) = 2^d \exp(-2\pi|z|^2)$ , and consider the measure  $\nu$  given by

$$(26) \quad d\nu = \frac{1}{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g_0, g_0))}d\mu = e^{\pi\frac{|z|^2}{2}}d\mu,$$

which is a finite measure as  $\text{supp}(\mu)$  is compact. Moreover,

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu) * W(g_0, g_0) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g_0, g_0))d\nu) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

as  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Rajchman measure. This shows that  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)}^{g_0, g_0} = L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}$ , and thus the Weyl quantization  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}$  is a compact operator by Theorem 2.15 as  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g_0, g_0)) = \exp(-\pi|z|^2/2) > 0$  for all  $z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

On the other hand, if we assume  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}$  is compact, then  $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)}^{g_0, g_0}$  is a compact operator where the measure  $\nu$  is given by (26). Thus, by Theorem 2.15

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu) * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

However, as the Fourier transform of the Gaussian has no zeros it follows from the classical Tauberian theorem that

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu) * \varphi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$$

for any  $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . In particular, choosing  $\varphi = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g_0, g_0))$  gives

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(W(g_0, g_0))d\nu) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu) * W(g_0, g_0) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

which shows that  $\mu$  is a Rajchman measure.

Since  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Radon measure, it follows that there exist  $z_0 \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and  $0 < R < \infty$  such that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset B(z_0, R)$ .

Let  $T \in \mathcal{S}^1$ , and consider the Cohen's class distribution  $Q_T(g_0, g_{z_0}) = T \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0}) \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Then by Proposition 3.2 and Lemma 2.1,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle T, L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \rangle| &= |\langle T, \mathcal{E}_W(1) \rangle| = \left| \int \mathcal{F}_W(T) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int F_W(T)(z) e^{-\pi \frac{|z-z_0|^2}{2}} d\mu(z) \right| \\ &= e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int e^{-\pi i \sigma(z_0, z)} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(T \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0}))(z) d\mu(z) \right| \\ &= e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int (T \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0}))(\zeta + \frac{z_0}{2}) \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(-\zeta) d\zeta \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By the triangle inequality and Hölder's inequality, followed by Werner-Young's inequality, we have

$$|\langle T, L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \rangle| \leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|T \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0})\|_{L^p} \|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)\|_{L^{p'}} \leq C \|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p},$$

as  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by our assumptions. Since  $\mathcal{S}^1$  is dense in  $\mathcal{S}^p$  for  $p < \infty$ , we can extend the estimate to any  $T \in \mathcal{S}^p$ . Thus, we have

$$\|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} = \sup_{\|T\|_{\mathcal{S}^p}=1} |\langle T, L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \rangle| \leq C < \infty,$$

which shows that  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^{p'}$  whenever  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in L^p$ .

Assume  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^{p'}$ , then for any  $\Psi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu), \Psi \rangle| &= \left| \langle T_{-\frac{z_0}{2}} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu), T_{-\frac{z_0}{2}} \Psi \rangle \right| = \left| \int e^{-\pi i \sigma(z_0, z)} \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\Psi) e^{-\pi \frac{|z-z_0|^2}{2}} d\mu \right| \\ &= e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \left| \int e^{-\pi i \sigma(z_0, z)} \mathcal{F}_W(\Psi \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0})) d\mu \right| \\ &= e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} |\langle \Psi \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0}), \mathcal{E}_W(1) \rangle| \\ &\leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|\Psi \star (g_0 \otimes g_{z_0})\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}}. \end{aligned}$$

Another application of Werner-Young's inequality yields

$$|\langle \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu), \Psi \rangle| \leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}} \|\Psi\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})},$$

and by the density of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for  $1 \leq p < \infty$ ,

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)\|_{L^{p'}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} \leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^{p'}},$$

for all  $1 < p' \leq \infty$ .

For  $p' = 1$  we note that  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  is the  $L^\infty$  closure of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , and thus the above estimate extends to all  $\Psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . This means that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)$  is a bounded linear functional on  $C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , and by the Riesz representation theorem we can identify  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)$  by a Radon measure  $\nu$  for which we can bound the total variation by

$$\|\nu\| \leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^1}.$$

Since  $\mu$  is a compactly supported Radon measure, it follows that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . This implies that

$$\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})} = \|\nu\| \leq e^{\pi \frac{R^2}{2}} \|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}\|_{\mathcal{S}^1},$$

which then completes the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 4.2.* In the proof of the second part of Theorem 1.4 we only needed that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  to conclude that  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p$ . If  $\mu$  is supported on a set of Hausdorff dimension  $0 < \alpha < 2d$ , then Hambrook and Laba showed that  $\|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)\|_{L^p} = \infty$  for  $p < 4d/\alpha$  in [10]. In particular, we must have  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \notin \mathcal{S}^p$  if  $\mu$  is supported on a set of Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$  and  $p < 4d/\alpha$ . If  $\alpha = 2d/n$  for some integer  $n \geq 2$ , then Chen and Seeger proved the existence of probability measures supported on a set of Hausdorff dimension  $\alpha$  for which Fourier restriction holds for  $p = 4d/\alpha$  [1]. In this case  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)} \in \mathcal{S}^{\frac{4d}{\alpha}}$  by Corollary 1.2.

In order to extend the results to the  $\tau$ -quantization, we first need the following lemma.

**Lemma 4.3.** *Let  $f \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^d)$  be uniformly continuous. Then  $f * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  if and only if  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume first that  $f * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . By Theorem 2.15 it follows that  $f * g \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  for all  $g \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . For any  $r > 0$  consider the function

$$g_r(x) = \frac{\chi_{B(0,r)}(x)}{|B(0,r)|} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Then

$$f * g_r(x) = \int_{B(0,r)} f(x-y) dy = \int_{B(x,r)} f(y) dy = A_r f(x) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

The function  $A_r f(x)$  is jointly continuous in  $r$  and  $x$  and goes to  $f(x)$  as  $r \rightarrow 0$  for a.e.  $x$  by the Lebesgue differentiation theorem. In fact,  $A_r f \rightarrow f$  pointwise for any  $x$  as  $f$  is continuous.

Since  $f$  is uniformly continuous, we have that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $r_\varepsilon > 0$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon$  when  $|x - y| < r$  for any  $r < r_\varepsilon$ . Thus, for any  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we have

$$|f(x) - A_r f(x)| \leq \int_{B(x,r)} |f(x) - f(y)| dy < \varepsilon,$$

whenever  $r < r_\varepsilon$ . This shows that  $A_r f \rightarrow f$  uniformly as  $r \rightarrow 0$ , and so  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as  $(C_0(\mathbb{R}^d), \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  is complete.

Assume now that  $f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ . Then we note that for any  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^d$ ,

$$|f(x-y)e^{-\pi|y|^2}| \leq \|f\|_\infty e^{-\pi|y|^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

By Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x-y)e^{-\pi|y|^2} dy = 0,$$

as  $\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = 0$ . This shows that  $f * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$  as the convolution is uniformly continuous.  $\square$

*Remark 4.4.* Note that the uniform continuity condition on  $f$  cannot be removed: This can be seen by considering  $f(x) = \sin(\pi x^2)$ . Then  $\check{f}(\xi) = 2^{-\frac{1}{2}}(\cos(\pi\xi^2) - \sin(\pi\xi^2)) \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ . This means that  $\check{f}e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} \in L^1(\mathbb{R})$  and so  $f * e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2} = \widehat{\check{f}e^{-\pi|\cdot|^2}} \in C_0(\mathbb{R})$  by the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma, but  $f \notin C_0(\mathbb{R})$ . This shows that Lemma 4.3 does not hold if the function is not uniformly continuous.

*Remark 4.5.* Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$  and let  $R > 0$  be such that  $\text{supp}(\mu) \subset B(0, R)$ . Then  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ , and  $\|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)\|_\infty \leq (2\pi R)^{|\alpha|} \|\mu\|$  for all  $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}^{2d}$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)$  is uniformly continuous and so lemma 4.3 is applicable to  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)$ .

A version of Theorem 1.4 can also be established for the  $\tau$ -Weyl quantization. The  $\tau$ -Weyl quantization of a symbol  $a$  is equal to the Weyl quantization of the symbol  $a * \sigma_{1-\tau}$ , where  $\sigma_\tau \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  whenever  $\tau \neq 1/2$ . By exploiting this connection, we are able to prove the following.

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $\mu$  be a compactly supported Radon measure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ , and let  $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$ . Then the following holds*

a) *The operator*

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau = \int e^{-\pi i(2\tau-1)x \cdot \xi} \rho(x, \xi) d\mu(z, \xi),$$

*is compact if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ .*

b) *If there exists  $0 < \beta \leq \alpha < 2d$  and constants  $C_\alpha, C_\beta > 0$  such that*

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(B(z, r)) &\leq C_\alpha r^\alpha \text{ for all } z \in \mathbb{R}^{2d} \text{ and } r > 0, \\ |\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)(\zeta)| &\leq C_\beta (1 + |\zeta|)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}} \text{ for all } \zeta \in \mathbb{R}^{2d}. \end{aligned}$$

*Then for all*

$$p \geq p_{d,\alpha,\beta} := \frac{2(4d - 2\alpha + \beta)}{\beta},$$

*there exists  $C = C(p) > 0$  such that*

$$\|L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(gd\mu)}^\tau\|_{\mathcal{S}^p} \leq C \|g\|_{L^2(\mu)},$$

*for all  $g \in L^2(\mu)$ .*

c) *If  $\mu$  is the surface measure of a compact hypersurface  $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathbb{R}^{2d}$  with non-vanishing Gaussian curvature, then  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau \in \mathcal{S}^p$  for  $p > 4d/(2d - 1)$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau = L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau}}$ , where  $\sigma_{1-\tau}$  is defined as in (23), it follows from Theorem 1.4 that  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau$  is compact if and only if  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Let  $G_0$  denote the  $L^2$ -normalised Gaussian on  $\mathbb{R}^{2d}$ .

Assume first that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Since  $\mu$  is a compactly supported measure, we have that the measure

$$d\nu = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})d\mu,$$

is also a compactly supported finite measure as  $|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})| = 1$  by (24), and thus

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau} = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})d\mu) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu) \in C_b(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

It follows from Lemma 4.3 that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * G_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  as  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . In fact, by Wiener's Tauberian Theorem, Theorem 2.15, we know that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \Phi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  for any

$\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . Moreover, as  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau}) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and each derivative is of at most polynomial growth, it follows that

$$\sigma_{1-\tau} * G_0 = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})G_0) \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d}) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

as  $G_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  and is invariant under the symplectic Fourier transform. In particular

$$(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau}) * G_0 = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})G_0) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

and since  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})d\mu$  is a compactly supported measure, it follows from Lemma 4.3 that

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})d\mu) = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Theorem 1.4 then gives that  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau$  is a compact operator.

If  $L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau$  is compact, then  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau} \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by Theorem 1.4. This implies that

$$(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau}) * g_0 \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}),$$

by Lemma 4.3. The same conclusion also holds whenever  $G_0$  is replaced by any  $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  by Wiener's Tauberian Theorem. Note that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})^{-1} = \overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})}$  and since  $\overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})}G_0 \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$  it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * G_0 = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \mathcal{F}_\sigma \left( \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau}) \overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})} G_0 \right) = (\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) * \sigma_{1-\tau}) * \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\overline{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})} G_0) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d}).$$

Lemma 4.3 therefore gives us that  $\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu) \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^{2d})$ . This concludes part a) of the theorem.

For part b) recall that

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(Gd\mu)}^\tau = \mathcal{E}_W(\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})G).$$

Since  $|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})| = 1$ , it follows that

$$\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})G \in L^2(\mu),$$

whenever  $G \in L^2(\mu)$ . The result then follows from Theorem 1.3.

For part c) it is enough to consider the measure  $d\nu = \mathcal{F}_\sigma(\sigma_{1-\tau})d\mu$ . Then  $|\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)(\zeta)| \leq C(1 + |\zeta|)^{-\frac{2d-1}{2}}$  by the method of stationary phase, see for example [17, Cor. 4.16]. The second part of Theorem 1.4 gives

$$L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\mu)}^\tau = L_{\mathcal{F}_\sigma(\nu)} \in \mathcal{S}^p,$$

for  $p > 4d/(2d-1)$ . □

## REFERENCES

- [1] X. Chen and A. Seeger, "Convolution powers of Salem measures with applications," *Canad. J. Math.*, vol. 69, no. 2, pp. 284–320, 2017, ISSN: 0008-414X,1496-4279. DOI: [10.4153/CJM-2016-019-6](https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2016-019-6). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.4153/CJM-2016-019-6>.
- [2] E. Cordero and L. Rodino, *Time-frequency analysis of operators* (De Gruyter Studies in Mathematics). De Gruyter, Berlin, 2020, vol. 75, pp. xiv+442, ISBN: 978-3-11-053245-6; 978-3-11-053035-3; 978-3-11-053060-5. DOI: [10.1515/9783110532456](https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110532456). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1515/9783110532456>.
- [3] C. Demeter, *Fourier restriction, decoupling, and applications* (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2020, vol. 184, xvi +331, ISBN: 978-1-108-49970-5. DOI: [10.1017/9781108584401](https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108584401). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108584401>.

- [4] C. Fefferman, “Inequalities for strongly singular convolution operators,” *Acta Math.*, vol. 124, pp. 9–36, 1970, ISSN: 0001-5962,1871-2509. DOI: [10.1007/BF02394567](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02394567). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02394567>.
- [5] G. B. Folland, *Harmonic analysis in phase space*, eng, Princeton, N.J, 1989.
- [6] G. B. Folland, *Real analysis* (Pure and Applied Mathematics (New York)), Second. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1999, pp. xvi+386, Modern techniques and their applications, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, ISBN: 0-471-31716-0.
- [7] R. Fulsche, F. Luef, and R. F. Werner, *Wiener’s tauberian theorem in classical and quantum harmonic analysis*, 2024. arXiv: [2405.08678](https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08678) [math.FA]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2405.08678>.
- [8] R. Fulsche and M. A. R. Rodriguez, *Commutative G-invariant Toeplitz  $c^*$  algebras on the Fock space and their Gelfand theory through Quantum Harmonic Analysis*, 2023. arXiv: [2307.15632](https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.15632) [math.FA]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2307.15632>.
- [9] K. Gröchenig, *Foundations of Time-Frequency Analysis* (Applied and Numerical Harmonic Analysis), eng. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 2013, ISBN: 0817640223.
- [10] K. Hambrook and I. Laba, “On the sharpness of Mockenhaupt’s restriction theorem,” *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 23, no. 4, pp. 1262–1277, 2013, ISSN: 1016-443X,1420-8970. DOI: [10.1007/s00039-013-0240-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-013-0240-9). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00039-013-0240-9>.
- [11] G. Hong, X. Lai, and L. Wang, “Fourier restriction estimates on quantum Euclidean spaces,” *Adv. Math.*, vol. 430, Paper No. 109232, 2023, ISSN: 0001-8708,1090-2082. DOI: [10.1016/j.aim.2023.109232](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2023.109232). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aim.2023.109232>.
- [12] I. Laba, “Harmonic analysis and the geometry of fractals,” in *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians—Seoul 2014. Vol. III*, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 2014, pp. 315–329, ISBN: 978-89-6105-806-3; 978-89-6105-803-2.
- [13] F. Luef and E. Skrettingland, “Mixed-state localization operators: Cohen’s class and trace class operators,” *J. Fourier Anal. Appl.*, vol. 25, pp. 2064–2108, 2019.
- [14] F. Luef and E. Skrettingland, “A Wiener Tauberian theorem for operators and functions,” eng, *J. Funct. Anal.*, vol. 280, no. 6, p. 108 883, 2021, ISSN: 0022-1236.
- [15] M. Mishra and M. K. Vemuri, “The Weyl transform of a measure,” *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, vol. 133, no. 2, Paper No. 29, 11, 2023, ISSN: 0253-4142,0973-7685. DOI: [10.1007/s12044-023-00748-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-023-00748-0). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12044-023-00748-0>.
- [16] M. Mishra and M. K. Vemuri, *The Weyl transform of a smooth measure on a real-analytic submanifold*, 2024. arXiv: [2406.03128](https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03128) [math.CA]. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2406.03128>.
- [17] C. Muscalu and W. Schlag, *Classical and multilinear harmonic analysis. Vol. I* (Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics). Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2013, vol. 137, pp. xviii+370, ISBN: 978-0-521-88245-3.
- [18] J. C. T. Pool, “Mathematical aspects of the Weyl correspondence,” *J. Mathematical Phys.*, vol. 7, pp. 66–76, 1966, ISSN: 0022-2488. DOI: [10.1063/1.1704817](https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704817). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704817>.
- [19] B. Simon, *Operator Theory: A comprehensive course in analysis, Part 4*, eng, Providence, R.I, 2015.

- [20] C. D. Sogge, *Fourier integrals in classical analysis*, eng, Cambridge, 1993.
- [21] E. M. Stein, *Harmonic analysis: Real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*, eng, Princeton, N.J, 1993.
- [22] T. Tao, “The Bochner-Riesz conjecture implies the restriction conjecture,” *Duke Math. J.*, vol. 96, no. 2, pp. 363–375, 1999, ISSN: 0012-7094,1547-7398. DOI: [10.1215/S0012-7094-99-09610-2](https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-99-09610-2). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-99-09610-2>.
- [23] S. Thangavelu, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg group* (Progress in Mathematics). Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 1998, vol. 159, pp. xiv+192, ISBN: 0-8176-4050-9. DOI: [10.1007/978-1-4612-1772-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1772-5). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-1772-5>.
- [24] P. A. Tomas, “A restriction theorem for the Fourier transform,” *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, vol. 81, pp. 477–478, 1975, ISSN: 0002-9904. DOI: [10.1090/S0002-9904-1975-13790-6](https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1975-13790-6). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9904-1975-13790-6>.
- [25] R. Werner, “Quantum harmonic analysis on phase space,” eng, *J. Math. Phys.*, vol. 25, no. 5, pp. 1404–1411, 1984, ISSN: 0022-2488.
- [26] N. Wiener, “Tauberian theorems,” *Ann. of Math. (2)*, vol. 33, no. 1, pp. 1–100, 1932, ISSN: 0003-486X,1939-8980. DOI: [10.2307/1968102](https://doi.org/10.2307/1968102). [Online]. Available: <https://doi.org/10.2307/1968102>.
- [27] E. Wigner, “On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium,” eng, *Physical review*, vol. 40, no. 5, pp. 749–759, 1932, ISSN: 0031-899X.
- [28] T. H. Wolff, *Lectures on harmonic analysis*, eng, Providence, R.I, 2003.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*Email address:* `franz.luef@ntnu.no`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NORWEGIAN UNIVERSITY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, TRONDHEIM, NORWAY

*Email address:* `helge.j.samuelson@ntnu.no`