

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD ON THE ZEROS OF COSINE POLYNOMIALS

BENJAMIN BEDERT

ABSTRACT. Let $Z(N)$ denote the minimum number of zeros in $[0, 2\pi]$ that a cosine polynomial of the form

$$f_A(t) = \sum_{n \in A} \cos nt$$

can have when A is a finite set of non-negative integers of size $|A| = N$. It is an old problem of Littlewood to determine $Z(N)$. In this paper, we obtain the lower bound $Z(N) \geq (\log \log N)^{(1+o(1))}$ which exponentially improves on the previous best bounds of the form $Z(N) \geq (\log \log \log N)^c$ due to Erdélyi and Sahasrabudhe.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Notation and organisation of the paper	3
3.	L^1 bounds for structured trigonometric polynomials	3
4.	Structural results for trigonometric polynomials with few roots	7
5.	Conclusion	11
	References	11

1. INTRODUCTION

Littlewood posed the following problem in his 1968 paper “Some Problems in Real and Complex Analysis” [7, Problem 22].

Problem 1. *If A is a set of non-negative integers of size $|A| = N$, what is the lower bound on the number of real zeros of $\sum_{n \in A} \cos nt$ in a period $[0, 2\pi]$? Possibly $N - 1$, or not much less.*

The first progress on this problem was made by Borwein, Erdélyi, Ferguson and Lockhart [1] who proved the existence of cosine polynomials $\sum_{n \in A} \cos nt$ with no more than $O(N^{5/6} \log N)$ roots in a period, hence giving a counterexample to Littlewood’s suggested lower bound. Their construction was later optimised by Konyagin [6] and independently by Juškevičius and Sahasrabudhe [4] to obtain the better upper bound $O((N \log N)^{2/3})$. Let us write $Z(f_A)$ for the number of zeros in $[0, 2\pi]$ of the cosine polynomial

$$f_A(t) = \sum_{n \in A} \cos nt \tag{1}$$

benjamin.bedert@maths.ox.ac.uk

The author gratefully acknowledges financial support from the EPSRC.

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

where A is a set of non-negative integers. Obtaining lower bounds for $Z(f_A)$ seems to be a hard problem and it was only recently established that the number of such zeros grows to infinity as $|A| \rightarrow \infty$. This result was proved independently by Erdélyi [2], and by Sahasrabudhe [9] who further obtained the explicit lower bound $Z(f_A) \geq (\log \log \log |A|)^{1/2-o(1)}$. By combining the arguments of [2] and [9], Erdélyi [3] later obtained the slight improvement $Z(f_A) \geq (\log \log \log |A|)^{1-o(1)}$. We define

$$Z(N) = \min_{A \subset \mathbf{N}; |A|=N} Z(f_A) \tag{2}$$

so that Littlewood's Problem 1 is precisely to determine $Z(N)$. Then the current best bounds state that

$$(\log \log \log N)^{1-o(1)} \leq Z(N) \leq (N \log N)^{2/3}, \tag{3}$$

and there remains a large gap between the upper and lower bounds. The methods of [2, 3, 9] further prove lower bounds on the number of zeros of a more general class of cosine polynomials. Let S be a finite set and let

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \cos nt \tag{4}$$

be a cosine polynomial with coefficients $a_n \in S$. The following lower bound is proved in [3] (following similar theorems in [2, 9]).

Theorem 1 ([3], Theorem 2.1). *Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be finite and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let g be a cosine polynomial as in (4) with coefficients $a_n \in S$. Then the number of roots of g satisfies*

$$Z(g) \geq \left(\frac{c}{1 + \log M(S)} \right) \frac{\log \log \log |g(0)|}{\log \log \log \log |g(0)|} - 1,$$

where $c > 0$ is an absolute constant.

The purpose of this paper is to obtain the following improvement.

Theorem 2. *There exists an absolute constant $c > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be finite and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let g be a cosine polynomial as in (4) with coefficients $a_n \in S$. Then the number of roots of g satisfies*

$$Z(g) \geq \left(\frac{c}{1 + \log M(S)} \right) \frac{\log \log |g(0)|}{\log \log \log |g(0)|}.$$

Applying this theorem with $S = \{0, 1\}$ clearly yields the following improved lower bound compared to (3) for Littlewood's Problem 1.

Theorem 3. *We have that $Z(N) \geq (\log \log N)^{1-o(1)}$.*

Acknowledgements The author would like to thank Thomas Bloom and Ben Green for useful discussions and comments on earlier versions of the paper. The author also gratefully acknowledges financial support from the EPSRC.

2. NOTATION AND ORGANISATION OF THE PAPER

\mathbf{N} , \mathbf{Z} and \mathbf{R} denote the sets of non-negative integers, the integers and the real numbers respectively. We use the asymptotic notation $f = O(g)$ or $f \ll g$ if there is a constant C such that $|f(x)| \leq Cg(x)$ for all x . For a real number t , we use the notation $e(t) = e^{2\pi it}$. For a function g we denote its L^1 -norm by $\|g\|_1 := \frac{1}{|I|} \int_I |g(t)| dt$ where $I = [0, 2\pi]$ if g is 2π -periodic and $I = [0, 1]$ if g is 1-periodic.

The rough approach of Erdélyi and Sahasrabudhe’s arguments is to first prove that cosine polynomials with few roots must be very structured, and then prove that structured polynomials still have many roots. In section 3 we prove a result which provides an exponential improvement for the number of roots of structured cosine polynomials. This is almost strong enough to obtain Theorem 2 by using Erdélyi’s structural result [3, Lemma 3.9]. To actually obtain Theorem 2, we need to prove a slightly better structure theorem than is given in Erdélyi or Sahasrabudhe’s papers. This is achieved in section 4 with an argument that is heavily based on the ideas in [3, 9]. In section 5 we combine both results to yield the improved Theorem 2.

3. L^1 BOUNDS FOR STRUCTURED TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

A key role in the argument will be played by the following result known as ‘the Littlewood L^1 conjecture’, which was established by McGehee, Pigno and Smith [8] and independently by Konyagin [5].

Theorem 4 (Littlewood’s L^1 conjecture). *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k be complex numbers and $n_1 < n_2 < \dots < n_k$ integers. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^k a_j e(n_j t) \right\|_1 \gg \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{|a_j|}{j}.$$

In this section we prove the following theorem which provides an improved bound for the number of roots of structured trigonometric polynomials.

Proposition 1. *Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a finite set and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \cos nt$ be a cosine polynomial with coefficients $a_n \in S$. Suppose that $\deg g = N$ and that we can partition $[0, N] = \cup_{j=1}^C I_j$ into C intervals such that on each interval I_j , the coefficient sequence $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$ is periodic with period P . If d is the number of sign changes of g in $[0, 2\pi]$, then*

$$dM(S)P^2 \log(CP) \gg \log |g(0)|, \tag{5}$$

where the implied constant is absolute.

To begin, we may rescale the variable t by 2π and write g in the following form

$$g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \cos(2\pi nt) = \sum_{n=-N}^N \hat{g}(n) e(nt) \tag{6}$$

where $e(x) := e^{2\pi ix}$ and \hat{g} denotes the Fourier transform of g . We define the related cosine polynomial

$$\tilde{g}(t) = g(t) \left(\frac{2}{P} \sum_{n=0}^{P-1} \cos 2\pi nt \right)^2 \tag{7}$$

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

and record some important properties. Note that \tilde{g} and g have exactly the same d sign changes in $[0, 1]$, and from the formula $2 \cos \alpha \cos \beta = \cos(\alpha - \beta) + \cos(\alpha + \beta)$ it follows that \tilde{g} is a cosine polynomial with coefficients in $(P^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{Z}) \cap [-4M(S), 4M(S)]$, where $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. It is also clear that $|\tilde{g}(0)| = 4|g(0)|$. Finally, we analyse the structure of \tilde{g} . By assumption, we can write

$$g(t) = \sum_{j=1}^C \sum_{n \in I_j} a_n \cos(2\pi nt)$$

where each of the sequences $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$ is periodic with period P . For each $j \in [C]$, we split the interval $I_j = [u_j, v_j]$ into the interval $J_j = [u_j + 2P, v_j - 2P]$ and the set of remaining elements $I_j \setminus J_j$ (there may exist i for which J_i is empty). Define S_j to be the sum of any P consecutive terms in the sequence $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$, so note that S_j is a constant only depending on j as $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$ is P -periodic. Hence, if $j \in [C]$ and $n \in J_j$, then (7) and the expansion

$$\left(\frac{2}{P} \sum_{n=0}^{P-1} \cos 2\pi nt \right)^2 = \frac{1}{P^2} \left(1 + 2 \sum_{m=-P+1}^{P-1} e(mt) + \sum_{m=-2P+1}^{2P-1} (2P-1-|m|)e(mt) \right),$$

give

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{g}(n) &= \frac{1}{2P^2} \left(a_n + 2 \sum_{m=-P+1}^{P-1} a_{n+m} + \sum_{m=-2P+2}^{2P-2} (2P-1-|m|)a_{n+m} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2P^2} \left(4P \sum_{m=0}^{P-1} a_{n+m} \right) = \frac{2S_j}{P} \end{aligned}$$

since $J_j + [-2P, 2P] \subset I_j$ so that all the terms a_{n+m} appearing above are part of the periodic sequence $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$. Therefore we may write

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^C \frac{2S_j}{P} \sum_{n \in J_j} \cos(2\pi nt) + \sum_{n \in [N+2P] \setminus \cup_j J_j} 2\hat{g}(n) \cos(2\pi nt).$$

Note that the sum on the right hand side has at most $O(PC)$ terms since there are C intervals I_j and each of these contributes at most $|I_j \setminus J_j| \leq 4P$ terms to this second sum. Hence, if we consider all these terms as a sum over $O(PC)$ intervals of length 1, then we can find

$$\tilde{C} = C + O(PC) = O(PC) \tag{8}$$

intervals $K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{\tilde{C}}$ partitioning $[N+2P]$ and constants $c_j \in (P^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{Z}) \cap [-4M(S), 4M(S)]$ so that

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} c_j \sum_{n \in K_j} \cos(2\pi nt). \tag{9}$$

The proof of Proposition 1 comes down to finding two bounds for the L^1 -norm of \tilde{g} . For the lower bound, we simply use Littlewood's conjecture 4 together with the fact that all the coefficients c_j lie in $P^{-2} \cdot \mathbf{Z}$ so that each non-zero c_j satisfies $|c_j| \geq P^{-2}$ to deduce

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_1 \gg P^{-2} \log \frac{|\tilde{g}(0)|}{4M(S)} = P^{-2} \log \frac{|g(0)|}{M(S)} \tag{10}$$

where we also used that $\sum_{j:c_j \neq 0} c_j |K_j| = \tilde{g}(0)$ and that $|c_j| \leq 4M(S)$ to deduce that the number of non-zero terms appearing in the trigonometric polynomial \tilde{g} is at least $\frac{|\tilde{g}(0)|}{4M(S)}$. We now obtain an upper bound for $\|\tilde{g}\|_1$. We assumed that g has d sign changes in $(0, 1/2)$ and hence so does \tilde{g} . Let us denote these by $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_d$. If we write $\xi_{d+1} = 1/2$, then as \tilde{g} is even we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{g}\|_1 &= 2 \int_0^{1/2} |\tilde{g}(t)| dt \\ &= 2 \sum_{m=1}^d \varepsilon_m \int_{\xi_m}^{\xi_{m+1}} \tilde{g}(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for some signs $\varepsilon_m \in \{\pm 1\}$. We define the function $G(x) := \int_0^x \tilde{g}(t) dt$ and hence obtain the following upper bound

$$\|\tilde{g}\|_1 \ll d \sup_{x \in [0, 1/2]} |G(x)|. \quad (11)$$

This bound above can be quite wasteful for general P and C and could be improved, but the loss of a factor of d is unimportant for (5) in the situation provided by the structural result Proposition 2 where $P, \log C$ are exponential in d .

Lemma 1. *Let $D_n(t) = 1/2 + \sum_{m=1}^n \cos(mt)$ be a Dirichlet kernel. Then uniformly for $x \in [0, \pi]$, we have*

$$\int_0^x D_n(t) dt = \int_0^x \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt + O\left(\frac{1}{n}\right). \quad (12)$$

The proof can be assembled by combining some results from chapter II of Zygmund's book [10].

Proof. Let $D_n^*(t) = D_n(t) - 1/2 \cos(nt)$. Since $\int_J \cos(nt) dt = O(1/n)$ uniformly for all intervals $J \subset [0, 2\pi]$, it suffices to prove that $\int_0^x D_n^*(t) dt = \int_0^x \frac{\sin nt}{t} dt + O(1/n)$. We have the standard formula $D_n^*(t) = \frac{1}{2} \cot(t/2) \sin(nt)$, see [10, (5.2)], and hence we obtain

$$\int_0^x D_n^*(t) - \frac{\sin(nt)}{t} dt = \int_0^{2\pi} H_x(t) \sin(nt) dt$$

where $H_x(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \cot(t/2) - 1/t & \text{if } t \in (0, x) \\ 0 & \text{if } t \in [x, 2\pi] \end{cases}$. Let f be a function on $(0, 2\pi)$ whose

total variation $V(f)$ is bounded, then we can bound the Fourier coefficients of f in terms of $V(f)$ as follows, see [10, Theorem 4.12]:

$$\hat{f}(n) \ll \frac{V(f)}{n}. \quad (13)$$

Using the fact that $H_\pi(t)$ is bounded, vanishes at $t = 0$ and has bounded variation on $[0, \pi]$, we see that $V(H_x) = O(1)$ is uniformly bounded for all $x \in [0, \pi]$. Hence, from (13) we deduce that uniformly for $x \in [0, \pi]$ we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} H_x(t) \sin(nt) dt \ll |\hat{H}_x(n)| \ll n^{-1}.$$

□

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

Let $\text{Si}(x) := \int_0^x \frac{\sin t}{t} dt$ denote the sine integral. Then by Lemma 1 we get

$$\int_0^x D_n(2\pi t) dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \text{Si}(2\pi n x) + O(1/n)$$

uniformly for all $x \in [0, 1/2]$. Getting back to \tilde{g} , if we write the intervals $K_j = (k_{j-1}, k_j]$, then we can rewrite (9) as

$$\tilde{g}(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} c_j (D_{k_j}(2\pi t) - D_{k_{j-1}}(2\pi t)) \quad (14)$$

so that for $x \in [0, 1/2]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} G(x) &= \int_0^x \tilde{g}(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} c_j (\text{Si}(2\pi k_j x) - \text{Si}(2\pi k_{j-1} x)) + O\left(\max_j |c_j| \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} \frac{1}{k_j}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} c_j \int_{2\pi k_{j-1} x}^{2\pi k_j x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt + O\left(\max_j |c_j| \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} \frac{1}{k_j}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

As $\max |c_j| \ll M(S)$ and as $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{\tilde{C}}$, the error term in the final line may be bounded from above by $O(M(S) \log(\tilde{C}))$ which is of the desired shape, and we deduce from (15) that

$$\sup_{x \in [0, 1/2]} |G(x)| \ll M(S) \sup_{x \in [0, \pi]} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} \left| \int_{k_{j-1} x}^{k_j x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| + O(M(S) \log \tilde{C}). \quad (16)$$

The first term in (16) will be bounded using the next lemma.

Lemma 2. *There exists an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for all $y' > y > 1$ we have*

$$\left| \int_y^{y'} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| \leq C y^{-1} \min(1, |y' - y|).$$

Proof. From the pointwise bound $|\frac{\sin t}{t}| \leq t^{-1}$ we immediately deduce $\left| \int_y^{y'} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| \leq y^{-1} |y' - y|$. For the other bound, assume that $a\pi, (a+1)\pi, \dots, b\pi$ are the integer multiples of π in (y, y') . The sequence $\left(\int_{j\pi}^{(j+1)\pi} t^{-1} \sin t dt \right)_j$ is alternating in sign and strictly decreasing in absolute value, so

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_y^{y'} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| &\leq \left| \int_y^{a\pi} t^{-1} \sin t dt \right| + \left| \sum_{j=a}^{b-1} \int_{j\pi}^{(j+1)\pi} t^{-1} \sin t dt \right| + \left| \int_{b\pi}^{y'} t^{-1} \sin t dt \right| \\ &\ll y^{-1} + \left| \int_{a\pi}^{(a+1)\pi} t^{-1} \sin t dt \right| + (y')^{-1} \ll y^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Furthermore, it is a well-known fact that $\sup_{y \in \mathbf{R}} |\text{Si}(y)| = O(1)$. This can be proved by observing that $t^{-1} \sin t$ is bounded on \mathbf{R} and by recalling the classical result that $\lim_{y \rightarrow \infty} \text{Si}(y) = \frac{\pi}{2}$. Now we focus on bounding the first term in (16). Let $x \in [0, \pi]$ and recall that $k_1 < k_2 < \dots < k_{\tilde{C}}$. Let us define the indices $j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_c$ for some $c \leq \tilde{C}$ as follows

$$j_m = j_m(x) := \min\{j \in [\tilde{C}] : k_j x > m\}.$$

Then we can bound the sum of interest as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^{\tilde{C}} \left| \int_{k_{j-1}x}^{k_j x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| &\ll \int_0^1 \left| \frac{\sin t}{t} \right| dt + \left| \int_{k_{j_1-1}x}^{k_{j_1}x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| + \sum_{j=j_1}^{\tilde{C}} \left| \int_{k_j x}^{k_{j+1}x} \frac{\sin t}{t} dt \right| \\ &\ll 1 + \sup_y |\text{Si}(y)| + \sum_{m=1}^c \sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-1} \frac{1}{k_j x} \min(1, |k_{j+1}x - k_j x|) \\ &\ll 1 + \sum_{m=1}^c \frac{1}{k_{j_m} x} \\ &\ll 1 + \sum_{m=1}^c \frac{1}{m} \ll \log c \leq \log \tilde{C}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used Lemma 2 for the second line, for the third line that

$$\sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-1} \min(1, |k_{j+1}x - k_j x|) \leq 1 + \sum_{j=j_m}^{j_{m+1}-2} |k_{j+1}x - k_j x| \ll 1$$

by definition of the indices j_m and that $\sup_y |\text{Si}(y)| = O(1)$, and for the final line that $k_{j_m} x > m$ by definition. Plugging this estimate in (16) yields the bound $\sup_{x \in [0, 1/2]} |G(x)| \ll M(S) \log \tilde{C}$ which in turn can be plugged in (11) to obtain $\|\tilde{g}\|_1 \ll dM(S) \log \tilde{C}$. We can now finish the proof of Proposition 1 by comparing this with the lower bound (10) for $\|\tilde{g}\|_1$ and recalling that $\tilde{C} = O(PC)$ by (8).

4. STRUCTURAL RESULTS FOR TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS WITH FEW ROOTS

We begin by recalling some of the set-up from Erdélyi and Sahasrabudhe's papers. The first step in their approach to Littlewood's problem consists of proving a structural result which in rough terms states that if $g(t) = \sum_{m=0}^N a_m \cos mt$ has $O(d)$ roots, then the interval $[N]$ can be partitioned into $C(d) = O_d(1)$ intervals such that the coefficient sequence (a_m) is periodic with period $P(d) = O_d(1)$ on each interval. The goal of this section is to prove Proposition 2 in which we obtain an exponential improvement for the dependence of the period $P(d)$ on d compared to Lemma 3.9 in [3], which itself improved on Sahasrabudhe's result [9, Lemma 14] by proving that $C(d) = \exp(\exp(d^{1+o(1)}))$ instead of $\exp(\exp(d^{2+o(1)}))$. It should be noted that our work in this section is heavily based on the ideas in [3, 9].

Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be finite and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let g be a cosine polynomial

$$g(t) = \sum_{m=0}^N a_m \cos mt \tag{17}$$

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

with coefficients $a_m \in S$. Let g have degree N as a cosine polynomial so that we can write $2g(t) = G(e^{it})e^{-iNt}$ for a degree $2N$ polynomial $G(z)$ whose coefficients lie in $S \cup 2S$.¹ Throughout this section, we assume that g has d roots in $(0, \pi)$. We now collect a number of useful lemmas.

Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{C}^K$ be a vector of length K and let $1 \leq b \leq K$. Borrowing terminology from [9], we call the vectors

$$(x(r+1), x(r+2), \dots, x(r+b)) \in \mathbf{C}^b, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots, K-b,$$

the b -windows of $\mathbf{x} = (x(k))_{k=1}^K$. We need the following purely combinatorial lemma which directly follows from combining Lemmas 10 and 11 in [9].

Lemma 3 ([9], Lemmas 10 and 11). *Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a finite set, let $b \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbf{R}^K$ be a sequence of length K with terms in S . Let $1 \leq u < v \leq K$ be integers and let V denote the vector subspace of \mathbf{R}^b spanned by the b -windows*

$$(x(r+1), x(r+2), \dots, x(r+b)) \in S^b, \quad r = u, u+1, \dots, v-b.$$

Suppose that $v-u > |S|^b + 3b$ and that the non-zero vector (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_b) lies in V^\perp . Then there exists a $t < b$ and t sequences of length $v-u-2b+1$ that we denote by

$$(x_j(r))_{r \in [u+b, v-b]} \in \mathbf{C}^{v-u-2b+1}, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, t$$

such that

$$x(r) = x_1(r) + x_2(r) + \dots + x_t(r), \quad r \in [u+b, v-b]$$

and such that the sequence $(x_j(r))_{r \in [u+b, v-b]}$ is periodic with period $p_j \leq p(b) = O(b \log \log b)$ for each $j = 1, 2, \dots, t$. Moreover, there exist constants $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{C}$ and roots of unity ω_j which are also roots of the polynomial $c_1 + c_2 z + \dots + c_b z^{b-1}$ such that for all $j \in [t]$ we have $x_j(r) = \alpha_j \omega_j^r$ when $r \in [u+b, v-b]$.

We also state the following result which follows from combining the proofs of Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 in [3]. Indeed, the reader should note that the only difference in the statement of our Lemma 4 compared to Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8 in [3] is that $Q(z)$ is allowed to be any monic polynomial of degree $\deg Q = 2d$ with coefficients of size $e^{O(d)}$ which satisfies (18), rather than a specific choice of Q with these properties. The proof of Lemma 3.7 can be used without any modification for such Q while the proof of Lemma 3.8 holds because of the assumption (18) below.

Lemma 4 ([3], Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8). *Let $g(t) = \frac{1}{2}G(e^{it})e^{-iNt}$ be a cosine polynomial with coefficients in a finite set $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ and d zeros in $(0, \pi)$. Then there exists an integer $d' = e^{O(d \log \log d)}$ such that the following holds. Let $Q(z)$ be any monic polynomial of degree $\deg Q = 2d$ with coefficients of size $e^{O(d)}$ and define the polynomial $F(z) := G(z)Q(z)(z^{d'} - 1)^2$. If Q satisfies*

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} g(t)(e^{itd'} - 1)^2 e^{-itd'} Q(e^{it}) e^{-itd} dt \right| \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{2\pi} |g(t)(e^{itd'} - 1)^2 Q(e^{it})| dt, \quad (18)$$

then $F(z)$ has at most $q \leq \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)})$ non-zero coefficients.

¹All the coefficients of G except for the coefficient of z^N lie in S .

In Erdélyi and Sahasrabudhe's papers Q is chosen to be the 'companion' polynomial of g which, if the sign changes of g in $(0, \pi)$ occur at $t_1 < t_2 < \dots < t_d$, is defined by $Q_0(e^{it})e^{-itd} := 2^d \prod_{j=1}^d (\cos t - \cos t_j)$ and is the unique monic degree d cosine polynomial with sign changes at all t_j . It is clear that for this choice of Q_0 the integrand in (18) is a real-valued cosine polynomial with no sign changes so that (18) holds even when the constant $1/2$ on the right hand side is replaced by 1. For technical reasons, we use a slight variation

$$Q_\varepsilon(e^{it})e^{-itd} := 2^d \prod_{j=1}^d (\cos t - \cos(t_j + \varepsilon)), \quad (19)$$

where ε is a constant to be chosen so that (18) holds and such that none of the complex roots of $Q_\varepsilon(z)$ are roots of unity. The first property holds whenever ε is sufficiently small because Q_0 being the companion polynomial of g implies that

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi} g(t)(e^{itd'} - 1)^2 e^{-itd'} Q_\varepsilon(e^{it})e^{-itd} dt \right| \geq \left\| \left| g(t)(e^{itd'} - 1)^2 e^{-itd'} Q_\varepsilon(e^{it})e^{-itd} \right| \right\|_1$$

for $\varepsilon = 0$, and because both sides of this inequality depend continuously on ε . Clearly we can choose arbitrarily small such ε such that $\varepsilon + t_j \notin \pi \cdot \mathbf{Q}$ for all j and hence no roots of Q_ε are roots of unity. As Q_ε has degree $2d$ and coefficients of size $e^{O(d)}$, an application of Lemma 4 yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1. *Let $g(t) = \frac{1}{2}G(e^{it})e^{-iNt}$ be a cosine polynomial with coefficients in a finite set $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ and d zeros in $(0, \pi)$. Then there exists an integer $d' = e^{O(d \log \log d)}$ and a monic polynomial $Q(z)$ of degree $2d$ none of whose complex roots are roots of unity such that the following holds. The polynomial $F(z) := G(z)Q(z)(z^{d'} - 1)^2$ has at most $q \leq \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)})$ non-zero coefficients.*

In the final step of this section, we make a modest improvement over Erdélyi and Sahasrabudhe's structural result for cosine polynomials with 'few' zeros by showing that Corollary 1 implies that we can partition $[N] = \cup_{j=1}^C I_j$ into $C = \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)})$ intervals such that on each interval I_j , the coefficient sequence $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$ is periodic with period $P = e^{O(d \log \log d)}$. This removes one exponential from Erdélyi's bound for the period P which in his paper (see Lemma 3.9 in [3]) is essentially a double exponential in d .

Proposition 2. *Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a finite set and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \cos nt$ be a cosine polynomial with coefficients $a_n \in S$. Suppose that $\deg g = N$ and that g has d zeros in $(0, \pi)$. Then there exists an integer $P = e^{O(d \log \log d)}$ and a partition $[0, N] = \cup_{j=1}^C I_j$ of $[0, N]$ into $C = \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)})$ intervals such that on each interval I_j , the coefficient sequence $(a_n)_{n \in I_j}$ is periodic with period P .*

Proof. We may write $2g(t) = G(e^{it})e^{-iNt}$ for a polynomial $G(z) = \sum_{m=0}^{2N} b_m z^m$ with

$$b_m = a_{m-N}, \quad m \in [N+1, 2N]. \quad (20)$$

By Corollary 1, there exists another polynomial

$$\tilde{G}(z) = Q(z)(z^{d'} - 1)^2 \quad (21)$$

such that $F(z) := G(z)\tilde{G}(z)$ has

$$q \leq \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)}) \quad (22)$$

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

non-zero coefficients. We also note from Corollary 1 that we may assume that the polynomial Q has degree $\deg Q = O(d)$, that none of the complex roots of Q are roots of unity and that d' is a positive integer of size

$$d' = \exp(O(d \log \log d)). \quad (23)$$

Let us write $\tilde{G}(z) = \sum_{m=0}^D c_{D-m} z^m$ where $D = 2d' + \deg Q = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$ and let $\mathbf{b} = (b_m)_{m=0}^{2N}$ denote the coefficient sequence of G . Then $F = G\tilde{G}$ having q non-zero coefficients implies that we may find intervals J_1, J_2, \dots, J_{C_0} which form a partition $[N, 2N] = \cup_{j=1}^{C_0} J_j$ into $C_0 \leq q$ parts such that whenever $(b_u, b_{u+1}, \dots, b_{u+D})$ is a $(D+1)$ -window of \mathbf{b} for which $[u, u+D]$ is fully contained in one of the intervals J_i , then

$$(b_u, b_{u+1}, \dots, b_{u+D}) \cdot (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_D) = 0. \quad (24)$$

To see this, simply note that if the dot product in (24) is non-zero then $F(z)$ has a non-zero coefficient at z^{u+D} . Consider first all the intervals J_i whose length is at most $(2M(S))^{O(D)}$, which we will refer to as ‘short’ intervals. For each such ‘short’ interval J_i we simply partition it into $|J_i|$ many intervals $I_1^{(i)}, \dots, I_{|J_i|}^{(i)}$ of length 1. The intervals $I_j^{(i)} - N$ will be parts² of the desired partition I_1, I_2, \dots, I_C of $[0, N]$ from the conclusion of Proposition 2 and note that as they all have length 1, the required property that the coefficient sequence (a_n) of g is P -periodic on $I_j^{(i)} - N$ is vacuously satisfied. Moreover, note that the total number of intervals $I_j^{(i)}$ that are obtained from ‘short’ J_i is

$$O(q \max_{J_i \text{ is 'short'}} |J_i|) = O(q(2M(S))^{O(D)}) = O(\exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log \log d)})) \quad (25)$$

recalling that $D = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$ by (23) and $q \leq \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log d)})$ by (22). We can now consider the ‘long’ intervals J_i which have length at least $(2M(S))^{O(D)}$ and, if we choose the absolute constant hidden in the O -notation to be sufficiently large, then the sequence $(b_m)_{m \in J_i}$ satisfies the assumptions of Lemma 3 as (24) is also satisfied on $(b_m)_{m \in J_i}$. Let us subdivide each ‘long’ interval $J_i = [x_i, y_i]$ into $I^{(i)} = [x_i + 2D, y_i - 2D]$ and $O(D)$ many intervals of length 1 that we denote by $I_j^{(i)}$. Then the intervals $I_j^{(i)} - N$ and $I^{(i)} - N$ coming from ‘long’ intervals J_i complete the desired partition of $[0, N]$ from the conclusion of Proposition 2. To finish, we prove the following claims:

- (i) The intervals $\{I_j^{(i)} - N : J_i \text{ is ‘short’}\} \cup \{I_j^{(i)} - N : J_i \text{ is ‘long’}\} \cup \{I^{(i)} - N : J_i \text{ is ‘long’}\}$ partition $[0, N]$.
- (ii) This partition has at most $\exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log \log d)})$ parts.
- (iii) There is a positive integer $P = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$ such that if I is an interval in the partition, then the coefficient sequence $(a_m)_{m \in I}$ of g is P -periodic on I .

Claim (i) is clear since the intervals J_i partition $[N, 2N]$ and the intervals appearing in (i) are simply subdivisions of these J_i . For (ii), we recall from (25) that the number of $I_j^{(i)}$ coming from ‘short’ J_i is $O(\exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log \log d)}))$ as desired. Similarly, each ‘long’ J_i was subdivided into at most $O(D)$ intervals of the form $I_j^{(i)}$ or $I^{(i)}$ so these

²We need to shift these intervals by $-N$ by (20).

also contribute at most $O(Dq) = \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log \log d)})$ parts to the partition, recalling (22) and that $D = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$. Finally, claim (iii) is vacuously true for each of the intervals $I_j^{(i)} - N$ for any ‘long’ or ‘short’ J_i since all these intervals have length 1. To finish, it remains to show that the sequences $(a_m)_{m \in I^{(i)} - N}$ are P -periodic when i is an index for which J_i is a ‘long’ interval. By our discussion above, whenever $J_i = [x_i, y_i]$ is a ‘long’ interval we may apply Lemma 3 to the sequence $(b_m)_{m \in J_i}$ and hence there is a $t \leq D$, constants α_j and roots of unity ω_j which are also roots of the polynomial $\sum_{m=0}^D c_m z^m = z^D \tilde{G}(1/z)$ such that for all $m \in [x_i + 2D, y_i - 2D] =: I^{(i)}$ we have

$$b_m = \sum_{j=1}^t \alpha_j \omega_j^m. \quad (26)$$

We will show that the positive integer $P := d'$ has the property that any root of unity ω which is also a root of $z^D \tilde{G}(1/z)$ satisfies $\omega^P = 1$, and hence (b_m) is P -periodic on each interval $I^{(i)}$ so that $(a_m)_{m \in I^{(i)} - N}$ is also P -periodic by (20). As $d' = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$ by (23), this would give the required bound for P . Note that by its definition (21) we have $z^D \tilde{G}(1/z) = (z^{d'} - 1)^2 Q^*(z)$ where $Q^*(z) = z^{\deg Q} Q(1/z)$ is the reciprocal polynomial of Q . By Corollary 1, none of the roots of Q are roots of unity and hence neither are the roots of Q^* . Hence, if ω is a root of unity for which $\tilde{G}(1/\omega) = 0$, then $\omega^{d'} = 1$ as desired. \square

5. CONCLUSION

We combine the results from sections 3 and 4 to prove Theorem 2. Let $S \subset \mathbf{Z}$ be a finite set and $M(S) = \max_{s \in S} |s|$. Let $g(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n \cos nt$ be a cosine polynomial with coefficients $a_n \in S$ and d roots in $(0, \pi)$. Then Proposition 2 implies that $[0, N]$ can be partitioned into $C = \exp((2M(S))^{O(d \log \log d)})$ intervals such that (a_m) is periodic with period P on each interval, and $P = \exp(O(d \log \log d))$. Using these bounds for C and P in Proposition 1 gives

$$\begin{aligned} \log |g(0)| &\ll dM(S) \exp(O(d \log \log d)) (2M(S))^{O(d \log d)} \\ &\ll \exp(O(d \log d (1 + \log M(S)))) \end{aligned}$$

which simplifies to

$$d \log d \gg \frac{1}{1 + \log M(S)} \log \log |g(0)|.$$

This clearly implies the bound that we claimed in Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Borwein, T. Erdélyi, R. Ferguson, and R. Lockhart. On the zeros of cosine polynomials: solution to a problem of Littlewood. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 167(3):1109–1117, 2008.
- [2] T. Erdélyi. The number of unimodular zeros of self-reciprocal polynomials with coefficients in a finite set. *Acta Arith.*, 176(2):177–200, 2016.
- [3] T. Erdélyi. Improved lower bound for the number of unimodular zeros of self-reciprocal polynomials with coefficients in a finite set. *Acta Arith.*, 192(2):189–210, 2020.
- [4] T. Juškevičius and J. Sahasrabudhe. Cosine polynomials with few zeros. *Bull. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 53(3):877–892, 2021.
- [5] S. V. Konyagin. On the Littlewood problem. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.*, 45(2):243–265, 463, 1981.
- [6] S. V. Konyagin. On zeros of sums of cosines. *Mat. Zametki*, 108(4):547–551, 2020.

AN IMPROVED LOWER BOUND FOR A PROBLEM OF LITTLEWOOD

- [7] J. E. Littlewood. *Some problems in real and complex analysis*. D. C. Heath and Company Raytheon Education Company, Lexington, MA, 1968.
- [8] O. Carruth McGehee, Louis Pigno, and Brent Smith. Hardy's inequality and the L^1 norm of exponential sums. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 113(3):613–618, 1981.
- [9] J. Sahasrabudhe. Counting zeros of cosine polynomials: on a problem of Littlewood. *Adv. Math.*, 343:495–521, 2019.
- [10] A. Zygmund. *Trigonometric series. Vol. I, II*. Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 2002. With a foreword by Robert A. Fefferman.

MATHEMATICAL INSTITUTE, ANDREW WILES BUILDING, UNIVERSITY OF OXFORD,
RADCLIFFE OBSERVATORY QUARTER, WOODSTOCK ROAD, OXFORD, OX2 6GG,
UK.

benjamin.bedert@maths.ox.ac.uk