

Homotopy Types Of Toric Orbifolds From Weyl Polytopes

Tao Gong
tgong23@uwo.ca

Abstract

Given a reduced crystallographic root system with a fixed simple system, it is associated to a Weyl group W , parabolic subgroups W_K 's and a polytope P which is the convex hull of a dominant weight. The quotient P/W_K can be identified with a polytope. Polytopes P and P/W_K are associated to toric varieties X_P and X_{P/W_K} respectively. It turns out the underlying topological spaces X_P/W_K and X_{P/W_K} are homotopy equivalent, when considering the polytopes in the real span of the root lattice or of the weight lattice.

1 Introduction

Let R be a reduced crystallographic root system in a real Euclidean space V with a fixed system of simple roots, those hyperplanes orthogonal to roots divide V into connected components, called chambers. There is an associated Weyl group W to R that is generated by reflections by the hyperplanes. The convex hull P of the W -orbit of a dominant weight is a polytope, called a Weyl polytope. The polytope P carries a natural action of W , and the quotient space P/W can be identified with another polytope contained in P , which is the intersection of P and a fixed closed chamber \bar{C} of the W -action. Then we can consider the associated normal fans Σ_P and $\Sigma_{P/W}$ in the space V , regarded as the real span of the coweight lattice. Associated to normal fans, there are toric varieties X_P and $X_{P/W}$, and X_P carries a W -action which comes from that over P .

Here is a natural question: is X_P/W isomorphic to $X_{P/W}$? [Blu15] showed positive answers with respect to varieties for Lie types A , B and C . This paper gives out a positive answer for an arbitrary root system R in the topological level.

Theorem 1.1. *There is a homotopy equivalence $\Phi : X_P/W \rightarrow X_{P/W}$.*

This result is a special case of a general one. For any parabolic subgroup W_K of W associated to a subset of simple roots, the quotient space P/W_K can be identified with a polytope, which is the intersection of P and a fixed fundamental domain \bar{C}_K of the W_K -action on V . The normal fan Σ_{P/W_K} of P/W_K , considered in the real span of the coweight lattice, is associated to a toric variety X_{P/W_K} .

Theorem 1.2. *There is a homotopy equivalence $\Phi_K : X_P/W_K \rightarrow X_{P/W_K}$ for any parabolic subgroup W_K of W .*

For the proof of the result, we will consider the topological models of the varieties X_P and X_{P/W_K} , then show that X_P/W_K and X_{P/W_K} are finite simplicial complexes and the fiber of Φ_K is (locally) contractible, which will lead to the homotopy equivalence. It is worth mentioning that the fiber of Φ_K is, roughly speaking, homeomorphic to the quotient of a torus under the action of its corresponding Weyl group. One can see the Figure 1 below for spaces associated to the root system of type A_1 .


Corollary 1.3. *Φ_K induces the isomorphism of (co)homology of X_P/W_K and X_{P/W_K} with any coefficient ring.*

This corollary covers a result from [HMSS21], which showed the rational cohomology isomorphism of X_P/W_K and X_{P/W_K} for Lie types A , B , C and D .

We will get similar results in the dual setting. Consider the Weyl polytope in the real span of weight lattice, which is still V but with a different generating lattice, for this reason we call this polytope an alternative Weyl polytope, denoted by Q . Then the normal fans $\Sigma_Q, \Sigma_{Q/W_K}$ are lying in the real span of the coroot lattice, and they are associated to toric varieties $X_Q, X_{Q/W_K}$ respectively.

Theorem 1.4. *There is a homotopy equivalence $\Psi_K : X_Q/W_K \rightarrow X_{Q/W_K}$ for any parabolic subgroup W_K of W .*

Figure 1: Associated topological spaces to Lie type A_1



In Section 2, we will review how to construct a toric variety from a polytope, and how to obtain a topological model of the toric variety. In Section 3, we will review root systems and show the structure of the Weyl polytope and the quotient polytope. In Section 4, we will prove the special case of the main result with respect to the Weyl group from the Weyl polytope. In Section 5, we will prove the general form of the main result with respect to the parabolic subgroup of the Weyl group from the Weyl polytope. In Section 6, we will prove the dual version of the main result from the alternative Weyl polytope.

Acknowledgments. Thanks to Matthias Franz for inspiring discussions, also to Sayantan Roy Chowdhury on Algebraic Geometry.

2 Toric varieties from polytopes

We mainly follow [CLS11] and [Ful93] for toric varieties.

Given a lattice M of rank n , its dual lattice N and a lattice polytope P of dimension n in $M_{\mathbb{R}} := M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, each facet F of P admits a normal vector l_F in $N_{\mathbb{R}} := N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, which can be chosen integral, primitive and pointing inside the polytope P . Define the **normal fan** Σ_P whose cones are generated by those sets of normal vectors $l_{F_{i_1}}, l_{F_{i_2}}, \dots, l_{F_{i_k}}$ whose corresponding facets $F_{i_1}, F_{i_2}, \dots, F_{i_k}$ have non-empty intersection in P . Each cone σ in Σ_P corresponds to an affine toric variety X_{σ} , and these affine varieties fit together to form an algebraic variety, denoted by X_P .

More generally, there is a bijection between rational fans in \mathbb{R}^n and toric varieties of complex dimension n , see [CLS11, Theorem 3.1.19]. A toric variety is compact if and only if the corresponding fan is **complete**; a toric variety is smooth if and only if the corresponding fan is **smooth**; a toric variety is a **toric orbifold** (that is, it is locally isomorphic to a quotient of \mathbb{C}^n by a finite group action) if and only if the corresponding fan is **simplicial**.

For any cone σ in Σ_P , let σ^{\vee} denote $\{v \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : v(u) \geq 0, \forall u \in \sigma\}$, σ^{\perp} denote $\{v \in M_{\mathbb{R}} : v(u) = 0, \forall u \in \sigma\}$. The points of X_{σ} can be described as the semigroup homomorphisms

$$\text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^{\vee} \cap M, \mathbb{C}),$$

where \mathbb{C} is the multiplicative semigroup \mathbb{C}^* with $\{0\}$. Let \mathbb{R}_{\geq} denote $\mathbb{R}^+ \cup \{0\}$, the multiplicative semigroup of non-negative real numbers. In this case there is a retraction given by the absolute value map:

$$\mathbb{R}_{\geq} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \xrightarrow{|\cdot|} \mathbb{R}_{\geq}.$$

This determines a subspace

$$(X_{\sigma})_{\geq} := \text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^{\vee} \cap M, \mathbb{R}_{\geq}) \subset X_{\sigma} = \text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^{\vee} \cap M, \mathbb{C})$$

together with a retraction $X_{\sigma} \rightarrow (X_{\sigma})_{\geq}$. Actually, when one embeds X_{σ} into some \mathbb{C}^l as the zero set of several polynomials, $(X_{\sigma})_{\geq}$ is identified with $X_{\sigma} \cap (\mathbb{R}_{\geq})^l \subset \mathbb{C}^l$. Thus $(X_{\sigma})_{\geq}$ is a closed subspace of X_{σ} . All $(X_{\sigma})_{\geq}$'s fit together to form a closed subspace $(X_P)_{\geq}$ of X_P with a retraction

$$(X_P)_{\geq} \hookrightarrow X_P \rightarrow (X_P)_{\geq}.$$

Example 2.1. Let P be the interval $[-1, 1]$ in \mathbb{R} . Then $\Sigma_P = \{\{0\}, [0, \infty), (-\infty, 0]\}$, $X_{\{0\}} = \mathbb{C}^*$, $X_{[0, \infty)} = \mathbb{C}$ and $X_{(-\infty, 0]} = \mathbb{C}$. There are two pushout diagrams of topological spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{C}^* & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^{-1}} & \mathbb{C} \\ x \mapsto x \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & X_P, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{R}^+ & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^{-1}} & \mathbb{R}_{\geq} \\ x \mapsto x \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{R}_{\geq} & \longrightarrow & (X_P)_{\geq}. \end{array}$$

Thus $X_P \cong \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^1 \cong S^2$, $(X_P)_{\geq}$ is a meridian in S^2 .

Consider a toric orbit $O(\sigma) = \text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^\perp \cap M, \mathbb{C}^*)$ in X_P and its non-negative points $O(\sigma)_{\geq} := O(\sigma) \cap (X_P)_{\geq} = \text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^\perp \cap M, \mathbb{R}^+) \subset (X_P)_{\geq}$. The canonical map

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{sg}(M, S^1) \times O(\sigma)_{\geq} &\rightarrow O(\sigma) \\ (f, g) &\mapsto (x \mapsto f(x) \cdot g(x)) \end{aligned}$$

gives out an isomorphism induced by $\sigma^\perp \cap M \hookrightarrow M$ as below:

$$\text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^\perp \cap M, S^1) \times O(\sigma)_{\geq} \xrightarrow{\cong} O(\sigma),$$

since there is an isomorphism of semigroups $S^1 \times \mathbb{R}^+ \cong \mathbb{C}^*$.

Let $N(\sigma)$ be the sublattice of N generated by $\sigma \cap N$, S_N be the compact torus $N_{\mathbb{R}}/N = N \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S^1$, $S_{N(\sigma)}$ be the subtorus of S_N defined by $\frac{N(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} + N}{N}$. Then $\text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^\perp \cap M, S^1) = N/N(\sigma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} S^1$ and the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_N \times O(\sigma)_{\geq} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & O(\sigma) \\ & \searrow & \nearrow \cong \\ & \frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma)}} \times O(\sigma)_{\geq} & \end{array}$$

commutes. Put all toric orbits $O(\sigma)$'s together, we get a map

$$S_N \times (X_P)_{\geq} \rightarrow X_P$$

which realizes X_P as a quotient space.

Recall that for any integer $z \geq n$, the multiple zP is again a lattice polytope of dimension n . Polytopes P and zP are homeomorphic via the multiplication by z , and they have the same inward-pointing facet normals, hence $\Sigma_P = \Sigma_{zP}$, $X_P = X_{zP}$. Assume that $(zP) \cap M = \{m_0, m_1, \dots, m_k\}$, then there is an embedding

$$\begin{aligned} \rho : X_P &\hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{P}^k = \frac{\mathbb{C}^{k+1} \setminus \{0\}}{\mathbb{C}^*} \\ x &\mapsto [\chi^{m_0}(x), \chi^{m_1}(x), \dots, \chi^{m_k}(x)]. \end{aligned}$$

More precisely, when x lies in $X_\sigma = \text{Hom}_{sg}(\sigma^\vee \cap M, \mathbb{C})$ with σ corresponding to some vertex m_i of zP , then for any $m \in (zP) \cap M$, $\chi^m(x)$ is defined to be $x(m - m_i)$ since $m - m_i \in \sigma^\vee \cap M$. See [CLS11, Theorem 2.3.1] for this embedding ρ . From ρ , we can get the **moment map** $\mu : X_P \rightarrow M_{\mathbb{R}}$ given by

$$\mu(x) = \frac{1}{\sum_{m \in (zP) \cap M} |\chi^m(x)|} \sum_{m \in (zP) \cap M} |\chi^m(x)| m.$$

Its restriction to $(X_P)_{\geq}$, denoted by $\tilde{\mu}$, gives out an homeomorphism onto zP , for which one can refer to [Ful93, §4.2] or [CLS11, Theorem 12.2.5]. Furthermore, if a face F of P corresponds to the cone σ in Σ_P , then $\tilde{\mu}$ maps $O(\sigma)_{\geq}$ bijectively to the relative interior of zF .

Due to all the above, we get a topological model for X_P . There is an S_N -equivariant homeomorphism

$$X_P \cong (S_N \times P) / \sim, \tag{1}$$

where $(t_1, p_1) \sim (t_2, p_2)$ if and only if $p_1 = p_2$ and t_1, t_2 are congruent modulo the subtorus $S_{N(\sigma_{p_1})}$ of S_N for the cone $\sigma_{p_1} \in \Sigma_P$ corresponding to the face of P whose relative interior contains p_1 . Write $[t, p]$ for a point of X_P .

Now we show that the topological model for X_P is natural with respect to special lattice isomorphisms. Let $(N', M', P', \Sigma_{P'})$ be another tuple of a rank- n lattice, its dual, a lattice polytope of dimension n and its normal fan. Suppose that $r : N \rightarrow N'$ is an isomorphism of lattices such that $r^* : M'_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow M_\mathbb{R}$ maps $z'P'$ bijectively onto zP for some integers $z, z' \geq n$. Then clearly r is **compatible** with fans, i.e., $r_* : N_\mathbb{R} \rightarrow N'_\mathbb{R}$ maps cones to cones. One can consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} S_N \times (X_P)_\geq & \longrightarrow & X_P & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M_\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{z}} & M_\mathbb{R} \\ r_* \downarrow & & \downarrow r_* & & \uparrow r^* & & \uparrow \frac{z'}{z} r^* \\ S_{N'} \times (X_{P'})_\geq & \longrightarrow & X_{P'} & \xrightarrow{\mu'} & M'_\mathbb{R} & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{z'}} & M'_\mathbb{R} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

where r_* (resp. r^*) is the covariant (resp. contravariant) map induced by r .

Lemma 2.2. *Diagram 2 is commutative.*

Proof. For the left square, suppose that $u \in S_N = \text{Hom}_{\text{sg}}(M, S^1)$ and $x \in (X_\sigma)_\geq$ for some $\sigma \in \Sigma_P$, and $r_*(\sigma)$ is equal to a cone $\sigma' \in \Sigma_{P'}$, then (u, x) gives out a point ux of X_σ by

$$ux(m) = u(m) \cdot x(m)$$

for $m \in \sigma^\vee \cap M$; and $r_*(ux)$ gives out a point in $X_{\sigma'}$ by

$$r_*(ux)(m') = ux(r^*(m')) = u(r^*(m')) \cdot x(r^*(m')) = r_*u(m') \cdot r_*x(m')$$

for $m' \in (\sigma')^\vee \cap M'$, while the rightmost item is given by (r_*u, r_*x) .

For the middle square, suppose that r_* sends a maximal cone $\tau \in \Sigma_P$ to $\tau' \in \Sigma_{P'}$ with corresponding vertices m_i of zP and m'_j of $z'P'$ respectively. Let y be in X_τ , then

$$\begin{aligned} r^* \mu' r_*(y) &= \frac{1}{\sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{m'}(r_*(y))|} \sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{m'}(r_*(y))| r^*(m') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{m'}(r_*(y))|} \sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |r_*(y)(m' - m'_j)| r^*(m') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{m'}(r_*(y))|} \sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |y(r^*(m') - m_i)| r^*(m') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{r^*(m')}(y)|} \sum_{m' \in (z'P') \cap M'} |\chi^{r^*(m')}(y)| r^*(m') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sum_{m \in (zP) \cap M} |\chi^m(y)|} \sum_{m \in (zP) \cap M} |\chi^m(y)| m = \mu(y), \end{aligned}$$

where the fifth equality follows from that $(z'P') \cap M' \xrightarrow[r_*]{r^*} (zP) \cap M$.

The right square square is clearly commutative. □

Consequently, one gets the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (X_P)_\geq & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} & zP & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{z}} & P \\ r_* \downarrow & & \downarrow (r^*)^{-1} & & \downarrow \frac{z'}{z} (r^*)^{-1} \\ (X_{P'})_\geq & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}'} & z'P' & \xrightarrow{\frac{1}{z'}} & P' \end{array}$$

is commutative. Therefore, we can say the homeomorphism $X_P \cong (S_N \times P) / \sim$ is natural, in the sense that the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S_N \times P) / \sim & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_P \\ r_* \times \frac{z}{z'}(r^*)^{-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow r_* \\ (S_N \times P') / \sim & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_{P'} \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Example 2.3. Let P be the interval $[-1, 1]$ in \mathbb{R} , P' be the interval $[0, 1]$. Then

$$X_P = (S^1 \times [-1, 1]) / \sim$$

where $(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, -1) \sim (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}, -1)$, $(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 1) \sim (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}, 1)$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly,

$$X_{P'} = (S^1 \times [0, 1]) / \sim$$

where $(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 0) \sim (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}, 0)$, $(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 1) \sim (e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}, 1)$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$.

The two spaces are depicted in Figure 1.

3 Root systems and Weyl polytopes

We mainly follow [Bou08] and [Hum92] for facts of root systems.

Let V be an n -dimensional real Euclidean space with an inner product $\langle -, - \rangle$, R be a reduced crystallographic **root system** of rank n , $\Delta := \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_n\}$ be a fixed system of **simple roots**. The vectors $\omega_1^\vee, \omega_2^\vee, \dots, \omega_n^\vee$ satisfying $\langle \omega_i^\vee, \alpha_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ are called the **fundamental coweights**. The vectors $\alpha_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee, \dots, \alpha_n^\vee$ satisfying $\alpha_i^\vee = \frac{2\alpha_i}{\langle \alpha_i, \alpha_i \rangle}$ are called **simple coroots**. The vectors $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ satisfying $\langle \alpha_i^\vee, \omega_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ are called **fundamental weights**.

Each root $\alpha \in R$ can be written as a linear combination of simple roots with integral coefficients of the same sign. In this case, a root is called **positive** if all coefficients are non-negative, or **negative** if all coefficients are non-positive. Let R^+ be the set of all positive roots, R^- be the set of all negative roots, then R is the disjoint union of R^+ and R^- .

Each root $\alpha \in R$ determines a reflection $s_\alpha \in O(V)$ defined by $s_\alpha(x) = x - \langle x, \alpha \rangle \alpha^\vee$, and all these reflections s_α 's generate a finite group W , called the **Weyl group**. Moreover, W is generated by simple reflections $s_i := s_{\alpha_i}$ for $i \in [n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$.

Let I be a subset of $[n]$, one can consider the simple sub-system $\Delta_I := \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$, the root sub-system $R_I \subset R$ generated by Δ_I , and W_I the corresponding Weyl group. W_I is called a **parabolic subgroup** of W .

Associated to each root $\alpha \in R$ there are affine hyperplanes $H_{\alpha, k} := \{x \in V \mid \langle x, \alpha \rangle = k\}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Each connected component of $V \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R, k \in \mathbb{N}} H_{\alpha, k}$ is called an **alcove**. Each connected component of $V \setminus \bigcup_{\alpha \in R} H_{\alpha, 0}$ is called an **chamber**. Pick out one certain alcove A and one certain chamber C , given by


$$\begin{aligned} A &:= \{x \in V \mid 0 < \langle x, \alpha \rangle < 1, \forall \alpha \in R^+\}, \\ C &:= \{x \in V \mid \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle > 0, \forall i \in [n]\}, \end{aligned}$$

called the **fundamental alcove** and the **fundamental chamber** respectively. One can identify the closure \bar{C} of the fundamental chamber C with the quotient space V/W , which follows from the result below:

Theorem 3.1 ([Hum92, Lemma 1.12 and Theorem 1.12]). *\bar{C} is the **fundamental domain for the W -action on V , that is, each $y \in V$ lies in $W(x)$ for some unique $x \in \bar{C}$. And $x - y$ is a non-negative \mathbb{R} -linear combination of simple roots. Furthermore, the isotropy group of x , i.e. $\{s \in W : s(x) = x\}$, is generated by those simple reflections that fix x .***

Example 3.2. One can consider the root system R of type A_2 lying in $V := \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : x_1 + x_2 + x_3 = 0\}$, with simple coroots $\alpha_1^\vee = (1, -1, 0)$, $\alpha_2^\vee = (0, 1, -1)$ and the Weyl group $W \cong S_3$, generated by reflections permuting coordinates of \mathbb{R}^3 .

The data about A_2 is depicted in the following picture, where the black vectors indicate coroots, the red vectors indicate fundamental coweights, the dashed blue lines indicate $\{H_{\alpha, k}\}_{\alpha \in R, k \in \mathbb{N}}$, the gray area indicates C , the orange area indicates A .



Theorem 3.3 ([Hum92, p.90]). *When the root system R is **irreducible**, i.e. not a disjoint union of proper subsets orthogonal to each other, R has a **highest root** $\tilde{\alpha} = \sum_{i \in [n]} c_i \alpha_i$ with all c_i 's positive, the fundamental alcove A is equal to $\{x \in V \mid \langle x, \tilde{\alpha} \rangle < 1, \langle x, \alpha_i \rangle > 0, \forall i \in [n]\}$. Consequently the closure \bar{A} of A is an n -simplex with vertices $\frac{1}{c_i} \omega_i^V$ and 0 .*

Denote by $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}^V, \mathcal{Q}^V$ the lattices generated by fundamental weights, simple roots, fundamental coweights, simple coroots respectively. One can see that \mathcal{P}^V is dual to \mathcal{Q} , \mathcal{P} is dual to \mathcal{Q}^V , $\mathcal{Q} \subset \mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}^V \subset \mathcal{P}^V$ and $[\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{Q}] = [\mathcal{P}^V : \mathcal{Q}^V]$. The Weyl group W acts on R by sending a root to another, consequently W acts on $\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{Q}, \mathcal{P}^V$ and \mathcal{Q}^V respectively.

V acts on itself by translation. Hence V admits actions of the **affine Weyl group** $\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W$ and the **extended affine Weyl group** $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$. More precisely, the action of $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$ on V is given by

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W) \times V &\rightarrow V \\ ((p, w), v) &\mapsto p + w(v). \end{aligned}$$

As a subgroup of $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$, $\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W$ inherits the action on V .

Theorem 3.4 ([Bou08, p.186]). *The closure \bar{A} of A is a fundamental domain for the action of $\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W$ on V , and hence the quotient space $\frac{V}{\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W}$ is homeomorphic to \bar{A} .*

From the following lemma, one can get that $\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$.

Lemma 3.5. *If there are lattice inclusions $\mathcal{Q}^V \subset \mathcal{S}^V \subset \mathcal{P}^V$ and \mathcal{S}^V is invariant under W -action, then $\mathcal{S}^V \rtimes W$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$.*

Proof. Assume that $(q_1, w_1) \in \mathcal{S}^V \rtimes W$ and $(q_2, w_2) \in \mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$, then

$$(q_2, w_2)^{-1}(q_1, w_1)(q_2, w_2) = (w_2^{-1}(w_1(q_2) - q_2 + q_1), w_2^{-1}w_1w_2).$$

By definition, $w_1(q_2) - q_2$ lies in \mathcal{Q}^V and hence $w_2^{-1}(w_1(q_2) - q_2 + q_1)$ lies in \mathcal{S}^V . \square

Note that $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$ acts on the set $\{H_{\alpha, k}\}_{\alpha \in R, k \in \mathbb{N}}$, and hence on the set of alcoves. Let G_A be the subgroup of $\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W$ that maps A to A itself. By Theorem 3.4, the affine Weyl group $\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W$ is simply transitive on the set of alcoves, one can see that

$$\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W = (\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W) \rtimes G_A$$

and then

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^V}{\mathcal{Q}^V} \cong \frac{\mathcal{P}^V \rtimes W}{\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W} \cong G_A,$$

from which we get the affine action of $\frac{\mathcal{P}^V}{\mathcal{Q}^V}$ on \bar{A} , and the homeomorphisms due to Theorem 3.4

$$\frac{V}{\mathcal{S}^V \rtimes W} \cong \frac{V}{\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W} \Big/ \frac{\mathcal{S}^V \rtimes W}{\mathcal{Q}^V \rtimes W} \cong \frac{\bar{A}}{\mathcal{S}^V / \mathcal{Q}^V}. \quad (4)$$

where \mathcal{S}^V is given in Lemma 3.5.

Remark 3.6. When R is irreducible, the group $\frac{\mathcal{P}^V}{\mathcal{Q}^V}$ is finite, see [Bou08, p.189].

Due to Theorem 3.3, in general the closed fundamental alcove \bar{A} is a product of simplices, and hence a polytope. The (local) contractibility of $\frac{\bar{A}}{\mathcal{S}^\vee/\mathcal{Q}^\vee}$ is implied by the result below.

Lemma 3.7. *Let $P' \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a polytope of dimension n . There is a group G acting on \mathbb{R}^n as affine transformations such that G acts on P' . Then the quotient P'/G is contractible and locally contractible.*

Proof. Let c be the center of mass of P' , formally c is the average of vertices of P' . Clearly c is fixed by G . The map

$$\begin{aligned} H : P' \times [0, 1] &\rightarrow P' \\ (v, t) &\mapsto c + t(v - c) \end{aligned}$$

is G -equivariant with G acting trivially on the unit interval $[0, 1]$. H is a deformation retraction of P' onto $\{c\}$, it induces a homotopy

$$H/G : (P'/G) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow P'/G,$$

which is a deformation retraction of P'/G onto $\{c\}$. Thus we get that P'/G is contractible.

Apply the slice theorem of general topology [Bre72, Theorem II.4.4], any point $x \in P'$ has a G_x -invariant open neighborhood $U \subset P'$ such that $G(U)/G \cong U/G_x$ is an open neighborhood of $G(x)/G$ in P'/G . The open neighborhood U contains a neighborhood U' of x that is the intersection of P' and an open convex ball of \mathbb{R}^n . Then $\tilde{U} := \bigcap_{g \in G_x} g(U')$ is a G_x -invariant convex open neighborhood of x in P' . Analogously, the map

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U} \times [0, 1] &\rightarrow \tilde{U} \\ (v, t) &\mapsto x + t(v - x) \end{aligned}$$

induces a deformation retraction of $\tilde{U}/G_x \cong G(\tilde{U})/G$ onto $\{x\}$. Therefore P'/G is locally contractible. \square

Remark 3.8. One can relate Lemma 3.7 to Lie group theory. Suppose that G is a (connected compact) simple Lie group with a fixed maximal torus T and a Weyl group W . Let $\text{Inn}(G)$ denote the inner automorphism group of G , one has homeomorphisms

$$G/\text{Inn}(G) \cong T/W \cong \frac{\bar{A}}{\mathcal{S}^\vee/\mathcal{Q}^\vee},$$

where $\bar{A}, \mathcal{S}^\vee, \mathcal{Q}^\vee$ are constructed according to G . The rightmost space is (locally) contractible due to Lemma 3.7, hence $G/\text{Inn}(G)$ and T/W are (locally) contractible. See [Bou05, p. 326] for the homeomorphisms above.

Fix a point $a \in C$, the convex hull $P := \text{Conv}(W(a))$ is a polytope, called the **Weyl polytope**. Say a face F of the Weyl polytope P is **dominant** if $\dim(F \cap C) = \dim F$. The following theorem shows face information of the polytope P .

Theorem 3.9 ([Vin91, Proposition 3.2]). *Every face of P is W -conjugate to a unique dominant face. There is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all dominant faces and the set of all subsets of Δ , assigning to each subset Δ_I the face $F = \text{Conv}(W_I(a))$ of dimension $|I|$.*

Corollary 3.10. *P is a simple polytope. For any $i \in [n]$, the affine hyperplane $\{x \in V : \langle x - a, \omega_i^\vee \rangle = 0\}$ supports a dominant facet of P .*

Proof. As i ranges among $[n]$, $\text{Conv}(W_{[n] \setminus \{i\}} a)$ is a facet of P , and its normal vector is $-\omega_i^\vee$ since $a - s_k(a) = \langle a, \alpha_k^\vee \rangle \alpha_k$ for $k \in [n] \setminus \{i\}$.

By Theorem 3.9, the vertex a is contained in only n facets $\text{Conv}(W_{[n] \setminus \{i\}} a)$ for $i \in [n]$. And by symmetry, this determines facets containing any other vertex. \square

Let F_i denote the dominant facet $\text{Conv}(W_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}(a))$ for $i \in [n]$. One can see that other facets of P are given by $s(F_i)$ with the normal vector $s(-\omega_i^\vee)$ for $i \in [n]$ and $s \in W$.

Corollary 3.11. (a) *For any $i \neq j$ in $[n]$, $\{s(F_i)\}_{s \in W} \cap \{r(F_j)\}_{r \in W} = \emptyset$.*

(b) *For any $(k, s) \in [n] \times W$, $F_k \cap s(F_k)$ is either F_i or \emptyset .*

(c) Define $\Lambda := \bigcup_{I \subset [n]} \{I\} \times \frac{W}{W_{[n] \setminus I}}$, then there is a one-to-one correspondence between Λ and the set of all proper faces of P , assigning (I, s) to $s(\cap_{i \in I} F_i)$, where one should take $\cap_{i \in \emptyset} F_i$ as P .

Proof. (a) is an easy consequence of Theorem 3.9.

For (b), if $F_k \cap s(F_k) \neq \emptyset$, then there is some $r \in W$ such that $r(F_k \cap s(F_k)) = rF_k \cap rsF_k$ is a dominant face, the only possible case is that $rF_k = rsF_k = F_k$ and then $F_k = sF_k$.

For (c), W acts transitively on the set of faces of P by Theorem 3.9, and the isotropy group of each $\cap_{i \in I} F_i$ is $W_{[n] \setminus I}$. \square

Now we turn to P/W , identified with $P \cap \bar{C}$ by Theorem 3.1. Write H_i for the hyperplane $H_{\alpha_i, 0}$. In $P \cap \bar{C}$, there is only one vertex a of P , then all H_i 's and F_i 's for $i \in [n]$ support all facets of P/W .

Lemma 3.12. For each $i \in [n]$, $H_i \cap F_i = \emptyset$.

Proof. It suffices to show all vertices of F_i are strictly on the same side of H_i . Due to Theorem 3.1, any vertex $s(a)$ of $P \cap F_i$ for some $s \in W_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}$ satisfies that $s(a) - a = \sum_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} c_k \alpha_k$ with all c_k 's non-positive. Then one can get that

$$\langle s(a), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} c_k \alpha_k, \alpha_i^\vee \right\rangle + \langle a, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle = \sum_{k \in [n] \setminus \{i\}} c_k \langle \alpha_k, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle + \langle a, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle > 0,$$

since $\langle \alpha_k, \alpha_i^\vee \rangle \leq 0$ for all $k \in [n] \setminus \{i\}$. \square

For subsets $I, J \subset [n]$, let $H_I F_J$ denote $(\cap_{i \in I} H_i) \cap (\cap_{j \in J} F_j)$. One can say that $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ gives out all faces of $P \cap \bar{C}$ as I, J range among all disjoint subsets of $[n]$, which follows from the result below:


Corollary 3.13. The quotient polytope $P \cap \bar{C}$ is simple. Furthermore, for any subset I of $[n]$, $H_I F_{[n] \setminus I}$ determines a vertex of $P \cap \bar{C}$. Consequently, the polytope $P \cap \bar{C}$ is combinatorially equivalent to the standard n -cube $[0, 1]^n$.

Proof. It is clear that the vertex 0 is only contained in $H_i \cap \bar{C}$ for any $i \in [n]$, and the vertex a is only contained in F_j for any $j \in [n]$ by Corollary 3.10. For any non-empty proper subset I of $[n]$, $\cap_{i \in I} H_i$ passes through the center of mass of $\text{Conv}(W_I(a))$, which is a dominant face of P , as well as the intersection of dominant facets F_j for $j \in [n] \setminus I$. Then $H_I F_{[n] \setminus I}$ is a vertex since the corresponding normal vectors $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I} \cup \{-\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in [n] \setminus I}$ span V .

Since $P \cap \bar{C}$ is a polytope of dimension n , every vertex of it must lie in the intersection of at least n facets. More precisely, each vertex is contained in $H_I F_{[n] \setminus I}$ for some subset I of $[n]$, and only those facets H_i 's, F_j 's contain the vertex due to Lemma 3.12.

As for the combinatorially equivalent type, there is a bijection between faces of $P \cap \bar{C}$ and those of $[0, 1]^n$, which assigns to $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ the product of $\{0\}$'s, $\{1\}$'s and $[0, 1]$'s indexed by I, J and $[n] \setminus (I \cup J)$ respectively. \square

Example 3.14. In the situation of Example 3.2, one can choose a to be $\alpha_1^\vee + \alpha_2^\vee$. See the picture below, the Weyl polytope $P = \text{Conv}(W(a))$ is indicated by the red area, and P/W by the brown area. Clearly P/W is combinatorially equivalent to $[0, 1]^2$.



4 The main result about the Weyl group

In this section, suppose that R is a reduced crystallographic root system of rank n , with a simple system Δ . Let the lattice N be the coweight lattice \mathcal{P}^\vee , its dual M be the root lattice \mathcal{Q} . We consider $P = \text{Conv}(W(a))$ in $\mathcal{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$ with $a \in C \cap \mathcal{Q}$, and its normal vectors in $\mathcal{P}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$. We write σ for a cone of Σ_P , and $\sigma_{[n]}$ for a cone of $\Sigma_{P/W}$. Then for a point p in P (resp. $P \cap \bar{C}$), the cone σ_p (resp. $\sigma_{[n],p}$) corresponds to the face of P (resp. $P \cap \bar{C}$) whose relative interior contains p .

The Weyl polytope P and its quotient polytope P/W are associated to toric varieties X_P and $X_{P/W}$ respectively.

Lemma 4.1. *X_P is a smooth toric variety, $X_{P/W}$ is a toric orbifold.*

Proof. By the correspondence between toric varieties and rational fans, it suffices to show the normal fan Σ_P is non-singular and $\Sigma_{P/W}$ is simplicial.

The normal fans Σ_P and $\Sigma_{P/W}$ are both simplicial since P and P/W are both simple by Corollary 3.10 and Corollary 3.13. The normal fan Σ_P of P contains maximal cones $\text{Cone}(-s\omega_1^\vee, -s\omega_2^\vee, \dots, -s\omega_n^\vee)$ for all $s \in W$, and $s(\mathcal{P}^\vee) = \mathcal{P}^\vee$. So the primitive generators of the rays of each maximal cone form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{P}^\vee . Thus Σ_P is non-singular. \square

Remark 4.2. The normal fan $\Sigma_{P/W}$ contains maximal cones $\text{Cone}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I} \cup \{-\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in [n] \setminus I})$ as I ranges among subsets of $[n]$. The rays of $\text{Cone}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in [n]})$ have generators $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in [n]}$ (possibly not primitive), which form a \mathbb{Z} -basis of \mathcal{Q}^\vee . See Table 1 below for primitive generators of rays of $\text{Cone}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in [2]})$ for the irreducible root system of rank 2. Therefore, $\Sigma_{P/W}$ is simplicial in general.

Table 1: Primitive generators of rays of $\text{Cone}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in [2]})$

Type	Generators	Primitive generators
A_2	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee$ $\alpha_2^\vee = -\omega_1^\vee + 2\omega_2^\vee$	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee$ $\alpha_2^\vee = -\omega_1^\vee + 2\omega_2^\vee$
B_2	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee$ $\alpha_2^\vee = -2\omega_1^\vee + 2\omega_2^\vee$	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - \omega_2^\vee$ $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_2^\vee = -\omega_1^\vee + \omega_2^\vee$
G_2	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - 3\omega_2^\vee$ $\alpha_2^\vee = -\omega_1^\vee + 2\omega_2^\vee$	$\alpha_1^\vee = 2\omega_1^\vee - 3\omega_2^\vee$ $\alpha_2^\vee = -\omega_1^\vee + 2\omega_2^\vee$

X_P carries a natural action of W . Each element $s \in W$ induces a toric automorphism of the toric variety X_P , thus W acts smoothly on the underlying smooth manifold of X_P . The s also induces an automorphism of P , which consequently makes the diagram from Diagram 3

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (S_N \times P) / \sim & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_P \\ \downarrow s & & \downarrow s \\ (S_N \times P) / \sim & \xrightarrow{\cong} & X_P \end{array}$$

commute. More precisely, suppose that $[t, p] \in X_P$, then s acts on X_P by sending $[t, p]$ to $[s(t), s(p)]$. Suppose that $\cap_{i \in I} F_i$ is the minimal face that contains p for some unique subset $I \subset [n]$, then

$$t \in \frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} \cong \frac{V}{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_i^\vee : i \in I\})},$$

$s(p)$ lies in the relative interior of $\cap_{i \in I} s(F_i)$, and

$$s(t) \in s \left(\frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} \right) \cong s \left(\frac{V}{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_i^\vee : i \in I\})} \right) = \frac{V}{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{s(\omega_i^\vee) : i \in I\})} \cong \frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma_{s(p)})}},$$

which shows that W -action on X_P is well-defined.

Lemma 4.3. *X_P/W is homeomorphic to a simplicial complex, and so is $X_{P/W}$.*

Proof. Due to Illman's results on triangulations of smooth equivariant manifolds [Ill78, Theorem 3.6], X_P admits a smooth W -equivariant triangulation, which implies that X_P/W admits an ordinary triangulation.

$X_{P/W}$ is a toric variety and hence admits a triangulation, see [Hir75, Section 1] or [Hof09, p. 1]. \square

For any point $p \in P$, there exists $s \in W$ such that $s(p) \in P \cap \bar{C}$ and this $s(p)$ is unique (see Theorem 3.1). In particular, when p lies in $P \setminus \cup_{i \in [n]} H_i$, the s is unique; when p lies in $P \cap (\cap_{i \in I} H_i)$ for some maximal subset $I \subset [n]$, W_I acts trivially on p due to Theorem 3.1, while the W_I -action on S_N is not trivial. Consequently, we can construct a space as below:

$$\frac{S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}},$$

where $(t_1, p_1) \sim_{ed} (t_2, p_2)$ if and only if $p_1 = p_2$ lying in the minimal face $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ of $P \cap \bar{C}$ for some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$, and t_1, t_2 represent the same element of $\frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma_{p_1})}}/W_I$. Therefore we get the following result:

Lemma 4.4. X_P/W is homeomorphic to $\frac{S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}}$.

Via the above description, the canonical quotient map $S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C}) \rightarrow X_{P/W}$ admits a factorization through $X_{P/W}$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C}) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C})}{\sim} \\ & \searrow & \uparrow \Phi \\ & & \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}} \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Then we get a map $\Phi : X_{P/W} \rightarrow X_{P/W}$ from a quotient to a space underlying a toric variety, which is clearly continuous and surjective.

Theorem 4.5. *The map $\Phi : X_{P/W} \rightarrow X_{P/W}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

Since $X_P/W, X_{P/W}$ are simplicial complexes (Lemma 4.3), it suffices to show that Φ is a weak equivalence, which relies on a result of Stephen Smale as below.

Theorem 4.6 ([Sma57, p. 1]). *Suppose X, Y are connected, locally compact, separable metric spaces, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is proper and onto, X is locally k -connected. For each $y \in Y$, $f^{-1}(y)$ is locally $(k-1)$ -connected and $(k-1)$ -connected. Then Y is locally k -connected and the induced homomorphism $f_* : \pi_r(X) \rightarrow \pi_r(Y)$ is isomorphic for $0 \leq r \leq k-1$, onto for $r = k$.*

Lemma 4.7. $X_P/W, X_{P/W}$ are connected, Hausdorff, compact, locally contractible, separable metric spaces.

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, $X_P/W, X_{P/W}$ are connected compact simplicial complexes. A simplicial complex is locally contractible (or see [Hat02, Proposition A.4]). A compact simplicial complex is finite (or see [Hat02, Proposition A.1]), then it can be embedded as a subcomplex of some simplex with the standard Euclidean metric, thus it is Hausdorff, locally compact and metrizable. One may need a fact that a compact metric space is separable. \square

Remark 4.8. For Lemma 4.7, there is an elementary method to show that X_P/W is locally contractible. Suppose that $[t, p] \in X_P$ with p lying in the relative interior of $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ for some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$. One can choose appropriate open sets U_t of the subtorus $\frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in [n] \setminus J}) + \mathcal{P}^\vee}{\mathcal{P}^\vee}$, U_p of P such that $U_t \times S_{N(\sigma_p)} \times U_p \subset S_N \times P$ projects onto an open neighborhood of $[t, p]$ in X_P that is also a slice at $[t, p]$ under the W -action (see [Bre72, Theorem II.4.4]). Then one can show that there is a W_I -equivariant deformation retraction from this slice onto a point, which implies the local contractibility of X_P/W .

Lemma 4.9. Φ is proper, that is, the preimage of a compact set is compact.

Proof. This follows from that X_P/W and $X_{P/W}$ are Hausdorff and compact, and that Φ is continuous. \square

The following work is to understand the fiber of Φ . Before we go to the general result (Lemma 4.11), here is an easy example:

Example 4.10. One can consider the root system R of type A_1 lying in \mathbb{R} with a simple coroot $\alpha_1^\vee = 2$ and a fundamental coweight $\omega_1^\vee = 1$. The Weyl group W is generated by s_1 , the reflection of \mathbb{R} around 0. In Example 2.3, P is a Weyl polytope and P' is the quotient polytope P/W . W acts on X_P by sending $\left[e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}, p \right]$ to $\left[e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}, -p \right]$, then there is an homeomorphism

$$X_P/W \cong (S^1 \times [0, 1]) / \sim_{ed}$$

where $\left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 0 \right) \sim_{ed} \left(e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 0 \right)$, $\left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_1}, 1 \right) \sim_{ed} \left(e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta_2}, 1 \right)$ for all $\theta_1, \theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. The map $\Phi : (S^1 \times [0, 1]) / \sim_{ed} \rightarrow (S^1 \times [0, 1]) / \sim$ is given by

$$\left[e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}, p \right] \mapsto \left[e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\theta}, p \right].$$

Then $\Phi^{-1}([t, p])$ is a point if $p \neq 0$, an interval (up to homeomorphism) if $p = 0$.

The three spaces are depicted in Figure 1.

Lemma 4.11. *Suppose that $[t, p]$ is a point of $X_{P/W}$, and p lies in the relative interior of $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ for some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$. Then $\Phi^{-1}([t, p])$ is homeomorphic to*

$$\frac{S_{N(\sigma_{[n],p})}}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} / W_I \cong \frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})}{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) \cap (\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}))} / W_I.$$

In this lemma, recall that $\sigma_{[n],p} = \text{Cone}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I} \cup \{-\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})$ and $\sigma_p = \text{Cone}(\{-\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})$. One can see that W_I acts trivially on p and on $S_{N(\sigma_p)}$ by Theorem 3.1 and definition. This lemma shows, roughly speaking, that the fiber of Φ is homeomorphic to the quotient of a torus under the action of the corresponding Weyl group.

Proof of Lemma 4.11. Here we use Diagram 5 implicitly. The preimage of $[t, p]$ in $S_N \times (P \cap \bar{C})$ is

$$tS_{N(\sigma_{[n],p})} \times \{p\},$$

which is, via the projection into the first component, homeomorphic to

$$tS_{N(\tilde{\sigma}_p)} = \frac{\tilde{t} + N + N(\tilde{\sigma}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}}{N} = \frac{\tilde{t} + \mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I} \cup \{-\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})}{\mathcal{P}^\vee},$$

where $\tilde{t} \in V$ represents $t \in S_N$. Then the preimage of $[t, p]$ under Φ is homeomorphic to the image of $tS_{N(\tilde{\sigma}_p)}$ along the quotient map $S_N \rightarrow \frac{S_N}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} / W_I$, which is

$$\frac{tS_{N(\sigma_{[n],p})}S_{N(\sigma_p)}}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} / W_I = \frac{tS_{N(\sigma_{[n],p})}}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} / W_I \cong \frac{\tilde{t} + \mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})}{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})} / W_I,$$

where the first homeomorphism follows from that $\sigma_{[n],p}$ contains σ_p .

Via the inner product, we can write V as $\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) \oplus \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})^\perp$ with projections π_1, π_2 into each summand respectively. Then the translation by $-\pi_2(\tilde{t})$ induces a homeomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} & \tilde{t} + \mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}) \\ & \quad \quad \quad \downarrow -\pi_2(\tilde{t}) \\ & \mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivariant under actions of $\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})$ and W_I , and hence $\Phi^{-1}([t, p])$ is homeomorphic to

$$\frac{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})}{\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J})} / W_I \cong \frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})}{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) \cap (\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}))} / W_I,$$

where the left space is clearly homeomorphic to $\frac{S_{N(\sigma_{[n],p})}}{S_{N(\sigma_p)}} / W_I$. \square

Remark 4.12. Follow the notation of the above proof of Lemma 4.11, one can see that $R_I = R \cap \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})$ is a root system in the Euclidean space $\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})$, with simple roots $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ and simple coroots $\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}$. In this situation, $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}^\vee)$ is the coweight lattice: actually, any coweight $\tilde{\omega}^\vee$ of $R \cap \text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})$ can be extended to $\omega^\vee \in V^*$ by vanishing on $\{\alpha_k\}_{k \in [n] \setminus I}$, then $\omega^\vee \in \mathcal{P}^\vee$ and $\pi_1(\omega^\vee) = \tilde{\omega}^\vee$; the converse inclusion is easy.

Let $\mathcal{SP}_{I,J}^\vee$ denote $\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) \cap (\mathcal{P}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}))$, \mathcal{P}_I^\vee denote the coweight lattice of R_I , \mathcal{Q}_I^\vee denote the coroot lattice of R_I , A_I denote the fundamental alcove of R_I lying in $\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})$. One can observe that there are inclusions of W_I -invariant lattices $\mathcal{Q}_I^\vee \subset \mathcal{SP}_{I,J}^\vee \subset \mathcal{P}_I^\vee$, and hence $\mathcal{SP}_{I,J}^\vee \rtimes W_I$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{P}_I^\vee \rtimes W_I$ due to Lemma 3.5. From the relation 4, one gets the homeomorphism

$$\frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})}{\mathcal{SP}_{I,J}^\vee} / W_I \cong \frac{\bar{A}_I}{\mathcal{SP}_{I,J}^\vee / \mathcal{Q}_I^\vee}.$$

As \bar{A}_I is a polytope in $\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})$, one gets the following result due to Lemma 3.7:

Corollary 4.13. *The fiber of Φ is contractible and locally contractible.*

Lemma 4.7, 4.9 and Corollary 4.13 together with Theorem 4.6 complete the proof of Theorem 4.5.

5 Generalization to parabolic subgroups

We follow conditions in the beginning of Section 3.

Let K be a subset of $[n]$, then simple reflections s_k 's for $k \in K$ generate W_K , a parabolic subgroup of W . Let C_K be the fundamental chamber for the action of W_K on V , i.e.,

$$C_K = \{x \in V \mid \langle x, \alpha_k \rangle > 0, \forall k \in K\}.$$

One can follow the proof of Theorem 3.1 [Hum92, p. 22] to see this theorem also applies to the parabolic subgroup W_K , that is:

Lemma 5.1. *$\overline{C_K}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W_K on V . Consequently, $P \cap \overline{C_K}$ is a fundamental domain for the action of W_K on P .*

As a result, we can identify the quotient space P/W_K with $P \cap \overline{C_K}$, while the latter one is a polytope. Write σ_K for a cone in the normal fan Σ_{P/W_K} . For a point p in $P \cap \overline{C_K}$, the cone $\sigma_{K,p}$ corresponds to the face of $P \cap \overline{C_K}$ whose relative interior contains p . The polytope P/W_K is associated to a toric variety X_{P/W_K} .

Now we move to the face structure of $P \cap \overline{C_K}$. Note that there are two classes of facets of $P \cap \overline{C_K}$:

- for any $k \in K$, H_k supports a facet $H_k \cap P$;
- define $\Lambda_K := \{(\{i\}, r) \in \Lambda : r(F_i) \cap \overline{C_K} \neq \emptyset\}$, then $r(F_i)$ supports a facet $r(F_i) \cap \overline{C_K}$ for any $(\{i\}, r) \in \Lambda_K$.

The second class is based on Corollary 3.11, where $\Lambda = \bigcup_{I \subset [n]} \{I\} \times \frac{W}{W_{[n] \setminus I}}$ gives out all faces of P .

Lemma 5.2. *The quotient polytope P/W_K , identified with $P \cap \overline{C_K}$, is simple. Moreover, each vertex is given by $s(H_I F_{[n] \setminus I})$ for some $s \in W$ and some unique $I \subset [n]$ such that $\{s(H_i)\}_{i \in I} \subset \{H_k\}_{k \in K}$. Consequently, X_{P/W_K} is a toric orbifold.*


Proof. Suppose that $x \in V$ is a vertex of $P \cap \overline{C_K}$. Choose $K' \subset K$, $\Lambda'_K \subset \Lambda_K$ to be maximal in the sense that $x \in H_k \cap r(F_i)$ for all $k \in K'$, $(\{i\}, r) \in \Lambda'_K$. Since $P \cap \overline{C_K}$ is a polytope of dimension n , one gets that $|K'| + |\Lambda'_K| \geq n$. By Theorem 3.1, x is W -conjugate to a unique point y of $P \cap \bar{C}$. Suppose that $x = s(y)$ for some $s \in W$. By Corollary 3.13, the point y is only supported by $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ and $\{F_j\}_{j \in J}$ for some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$. Then the two bijections from Corollary 3.11

$$\{H_k\}_{k \in K'} \xrightarrow[\cong]{s^{-1}} \{H_i\}_{i \in I} \quad \{r(F_i)\}_{(i,r) \in \Lambda'_K} \xrightarrow[\cong]{s^{-1}} \{F_j\}_{j \in J}$$

implies that $I \cup J = [n]$, $|K'| + |\Lambda'_K| = n$ and $x \in s(H_I F_{[n] \setminus I}) \cap \overline{C_K}$. □

Similarly one can show that a point p in $P \cap \overline{C_K}$ must lie in the relative interior of $s(H_I F_J) \cap \overline{C_K}$ for some $s \in W$ and some unique disjoint subsets I, J of $[n]$. Then the cone σ_p is equal to $\text{Cone}(\{s(-\omega_j^\vee)\}_{j \in J})$ while the cone $\sigma_{K,p}$ is equal to $\text{Cone}(\{s(\alpha_i^\vee)\}_{i \in I} \cup \{s(-\omega_j^\vee)\}_{j \in J})$, invariant under the action of $sW_I s^{-1}$. Note that $\{s(H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ is a subset of $\{H_k\}_{k \in K}$, and hence $\{s(\alpha_i^\vee)\}_{i \in I}$ is a subset of $\{\alpha_k^\vee\}_{k \in K}$, $sW_I s^{-1}$ is a subgroup of W_K .

Example 5.3. In Example 3.14, Let K be $\{1\} \subset [2]$. Identify P/W with $P \cap \overline{C}$, then P/W , $s_2(P/W)$ and $s_2 s_1(P/W)$ constitute $P \cap \overline{C_K}$. See the picture below, where the gray area indicates C_K .



In this picture, we pick out three red points p_1, p_2 and p_3 in P/W_K , while p_1 and p_3 are also points of P and P/W . When p ranges among $\{p_1, p_2, p_3\}$, the ray generators of its associated cones σ_p , $\sigma_{[n],p}$ and $\sigma_{K,p}$ are listed in the following table:

Point	Ray generators of σ_p	Ray generators of $\sigma_{[n],p}$	Ray generators of $\sigma_{K,p}$
$p = p_1$	$-\omega_1^\vee$	$-\omega_1^\vee, \alpha_2^\vee$	$-\omega_1^\vee$
$p = p_2$	$s_2 s_1(-\omega_1^\vee) = \omega_2^\vee$	Undefined	$s_2 s_1(-\omega_1^\vee) = \omega_2^\vee$ $s_2 s_1(\alpha_2^\vee) = \alpha_1^\vee$
$p = p_3$	0	α_1^\vee	α_1^\vee

We can get similar results with those in Section 3, listed in the following lemma:

Lemma 5.4. (a) *There is a homeomorphism*

$$X_{P/W_K} \cong \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \overline{C_K})}{\sim_{ed}},$$

where $(t_1, p_1) \sim_{ed} (t_2, p_2)$ if and only if $p_1 = p_2$ lying in the minimal face $s(H_I F_J) \cap \overline{C_K}$ of $P \cap \overline{C_K}$ for some $s \in W$ and some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$, and t_1, t_2 represent the same element of $\frac{S_N}{S_N(\sigma_{p_1})} / sW_I s^{-1}$.

(b) $X_{P/W_K}, X_{P/W_K}$ are both finite simplicial complexes, and hence connected, Hausdorff, compact, locally contractible, separable metric spaces.

(c) The quotient map $S_N \times (P \cap \overline{C_K}) \rightarrow \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \overline{C_K})}{\sim_{ed}}$ factors through a proper surjection

$$\Phi_K : \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \overline{C_K})}{\sim_{ed}} \rightarrow \frac{S_N \times (P \cap \overline{C_K})}{\sim} = X_{P/W_K}.$$

(d) The fiber of Φ_K is contractible and locally contractible. More precisely, when $[t, p]$ is a point of X_{P/W_K} , and p lies in the relative interior of some face $s(H_I F_J) \cap \overline{C_K}$, one can get that $\Phi_K^{-1}([t, p])$ is homeomorphic to

$$\frac{S_N(\sigma_{K,p})}{S_N(\sigma_p)} \Big/ sW_I s^{-1} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})}{S\mathcal{P}_{I,J}^\vee} \Big/ W_I,$$

while the latter space is (locally) contractible.

Proof. For (a) see Lemma 4.4. For (b) see Lemma 4.3, 4.7. For (c) see Diagram 5 and Lemma 4.9. For (d) see Lemma 4.11 and Corollary 4.13. \square

Then apply Theorem 4.6, we get

Theorem 5.5. *The map $\Phi_K : X_P/W_K \rightarrow X_{P/W_K}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

6 Results on alternative Weyl polytopes

Suppose that in a real Euclidean space V of dimension n , R is a reduced crystallographic root system of rank n , with a simple system Δ . Let the lattice N be the coroot lattice \mathcal{Q}^\vee , its dual M be the weight lattice \mathcal{P} . We consider the **alternative Weyl polytope** $Q := \text{Conv}(W(a))$ in $\mathcal{P} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$ with $a \in \bar{C} \cap \mathcal{P}$, and its normal vectors in $\mathcal{Q}^\vee \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = V$.

The quotient space Q/W can be identified with a polytope $Q \cap \bar{C}$. We write τ for a cone of Σ_Q , and $\tau_{[n]}$ for a cone of $\Sigma_{Q/W}$. Then for a point q in Q (resp. $Q \cap \bar{C}$), the cone τ_q (resp. $\tau_{[n],q}$) corresponds to the face of Q (resp. $Q \cap \bar{C}$) whose relative interior contains q .

Remark 6.1. The dual results (Theorem 6.5, 6.6) are very similar with the original ones (Theorem 4.5, 5.5). Actually, the face structure of Q and its quotient polytopes are the same as those of P and its quotient polytopes, given in Theorem 3.9, Corollary 3.11, 3.13 and Lemma 5.2. The key difference is that X_P is smooth while X_Q is not, see Example 6.2 below.

Example 6.2. Consider the alternative Weyl polytope Q of Lie type A_3 , there are relations written in matrices:

$$4 \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \omega_1^\vee \\ \omega_2^\vee \\ \omega_3^\vee \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 2 & 1 \\ 2 & 4 & 2 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1^\vee \\ \alpha_2^\vee \\ \alpha_3^\vee \end{bmatrix}$$

This gives out primitive ray generators $-4\omega_1^\vee, -2\omega_2^\vee, -4\omega_3^\vee$ of the cone τ_a in Σ_Q , which doesn't form a basis of \mathcal{Q}^\vee , and hence X_Q is an orbifold.

Lemma 6.3. *X_Q/W and $X_{Q/W}$ are finite simplicial complexes.*

Proof. Due to [PS98, Theorem 1.1], the toric orbifold X_Q is (homeomorphic to) a W -equivariant simplicial complex, then X_Q/W is a simplicial complex. See Lemma 4.3 for triangulability of $X_{Q/W}$. \square

We can get similar results with Lemma 5.4 in the following lemma:

Lemma 6.4. (a) *There is a homeomorphism*

$$X_Q/W \cong \frac{S_N \times (Q \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}},$$

where $(t_1, q_1) \sim_{ed} (t_2, q_2)$ if and only if $q_1 = q_2$ lying in the minimal face $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$ of $Q \cap \bar{C}$ for some disjoint subsets $I, J \subset [n]$, and t_1, t_2 represnet the same element of $\frac{S_N}{S_{N(\tau_{q_1})}}/W_I$.

(b) *$X_Q/W, X_{Q/W}$ are connected, Hausdorff, compact, locally contractible, separable metric spaces.*

(c) *The canonical quotient map $S_N \times (Q \cap \bar{C}) \rightarrow \frac{S_N \times (Q \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}}$ factors through a proper surjection*

$$\Psi : \frac{S_N \times (Q \cap \bar{C})}{\sim_{ed}} \rightarrow \frac{S_N \times (Q \cap \bar{C})}{\sim}.$$

(d) *The fiber of Ψ is contractible and locally contractible. More precisely, when $[t, q]$ is a point of $X_{Q/W}$, and q lies in the relative interior of some face $H_I F_J \cap \bar{C}$, one can get that $\Psi^{-1}([t, q])$ is homeomorphic to*

$$\frac{S_{N(\tau_{[n],q})}}{S_{N(\tau_q)}}/W_I \cong \frac{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I})}{\text{Span}(\{\alpha_i^\vee\}_{i \in I}) \cap (\mathcal{Q}^\vee + \text{Span}(\{\omega_j^\vee\}_{j \in J}))}/W_I,$$

while the latter space is (locally) contractible.

Then apply Theorem 4.6, we get a similar result with Theorem 4.5:

Theorem 6.5. *The map $\Psi : X_Q/W \rightarrow X_{Q/W}$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

One can also get a general result that is similar with Theorem 5.5. Let K be a subset of $[n]$, then the following result holds:

Theorem 6.6. *There is a homotopy equivalence $\Psi_K : X_Q/W_K \rightarrow X_{Q/W_K}$ for any parabolic subgroup W_K of W .*

References

- [Blu15] Mark Blume. Toric orbifolds associated to Cartan matrices. In *Annales de l'Institut Fourier*, volume 65, pages 863–901, 2015.
- [Bou05] Nicolas Bourbaki. Lie groups and lie algebras. chapters 7–9. *Elements of Mathematics (Berlin)*, 2005.
- [Bou08] N. Bourbaki. *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras: Chapters 4-6*. Elements de mathematique [series]. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
- [Bre72] Glen E Bredon. *Introduction to compact transformation groups*. Academic press, 1972.
- [CLS11] David A Cox, John B Little, and Henry K Schenck. *Toric varieties*, volume 124. American Mathematical Soc., 2011.
- [Ful93] William Fulton. *Introduction to toric varieties*. Number 131. Princeton university press, 1993.
- [Hat02] Allen Hatcher. *Algebraic Topology*. Cambridge University Press, 2002.
- [Hir75] Heisuke Hironaka. Triangulations of algebraic sets. In *Algebraic geometry (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. 29, Humboldt State Univ., Arcata, Calif., 1974)*, volume 29, pages 165–185, 1975.
- [HMSS21] Tatsuya Horiguchi, Mikiya Masuda, John Shareshian, and Jongbaek Song. Toric orbifolds associated with partitioned weight polytopes in classical types. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2105.05453*, 2021.
- [Hof09] Kyle Roger Hofmann. *Triangulation of Locally Semi-Algebraic Spaces*. PhD thesis, The University of Michigan, 2009.
- [Hum92] James E Humphreys. *Reflection groups and Coxeter groups*. Number 29. Cambridge university press, 1992.
- [Ill78] Sören Illman. Smooth equivariant triangulations of G -manifolds for G a finite group. *Mathematische Annalen*, 233:199–220, 1978.
- [PS98] Dae Heui Park and Dong Youp Suh. Semialgebraic G CW complex structure of semialgebraic G spaces. *Journal of the Korean Mathematical Society*, 35(2):371–386, 1998.
- [Sma57] Stephen Smale. A Vietoris mapping theorem for homotopy. *Proceedings of the American mathematical society*, 8(3):604–610, 1957.
- [Vin91] È B Vinberg. On certain commutative subalgebras of a universal enveloping algebra. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya*, 36(1):1, 1991.