

ARITHMETIC PROPERTIES OF FAMILIES OF PLANE POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS

YUGANG ZHANG

ABSTRACT. Given an algebraic family $f: \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ of plane polynomial automorphisms of Hénon type parameterized by a quasi-projective curve, defined over a number field \mathbb{K} , we investigate certain arithmetic properties of periodic points contained in a family of subvarieties $X \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda$.

First, consider X as a curve. We prove that the set of parameters $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, such that X_t is periodic, has bounded height. This generalizes a result of Patrick Ingram. Moreover, if X is non-periodic, then under some mild conditions — such as when the family is dissipative — we show that there are, in fact, only finitely many periodic parameters. This extends a result of Charles Favre and Romain Dujardin.

Second, let X be a family of curves. Assuming X is non-degenerate, we establish a uniform bound on the number of periodic points in each curve X_t , $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and show that the set of these periodic points have bounded height in $\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ as well. We then examine in more detail the non-degeneracy property in the case of dissipative families of quadratic Hénon maps.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Marked points and relative Manin-Mumford.

1.1.1. *Background and motivation.* Let $f_t: \mathbb{P}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a complex algebraic family of rational maps of degree $d \geq 2$, parameterized by a smooth complex quasi-projective variety Λ . By a *marked point*, we mean a morphism $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. If the pair (f_t, σ) is *non-isotrivial* (i.e., f_t and σ do not depend on t , up to conjugating by a family of Möbius transformations and up to a base change), then by Montel's theorem, there are infinitely many t such that $\sigma(t)$ is preperiodic for f_t (see e.g., [19, Lemma 2.3.]). In particular, for a non-isotrivial family of elliptic curves, the set of torsion points on the image of a section is infinite (see e.g., [12, 42]).

In the case of higher relative dimension, Gao and Habegger [25] recently proved the so-called relative Manin-Mumford conjecture, which can be stated as follows. Let $\pi: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Lambda$ be a family of abelian varieties of relative dimension $g \geq 1$, defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0. Let X be an irreducible subvariety of \mathcal{A} . Assume that $\mathbb{Z}X := \bigcup_{N \in \mathbb{Z}} [N]X$ is Zariski dense in \mathcal{A} . If the torsion points in X are Zariski dense, then $\dim X \geq g$. In particular, if $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is a family of simple abelian varieties and X has dimension 1, then, in contrast to the case of relative dimension 1, there exist only finitely many torsion points on X . Several earlier results on the relative Manin-Mumford conjecture can be found in e.g., [11, 15, 30, 38, 43, 49]. The conjecture was inspired by Zhang's ICM talk [58] and was proposed by Pink [46] and Zannier [56].

1.1.2. *Dynamical settings and main results.* Our first goal in this work is to provide an analogue of relative Manin-Mumford in the context of families of dynamical systems. Let $f: \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ be a family of plane polynomial automorphisms parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ , defined by $f(t, z) = (t, f_t(z))$. Assume both f and Λ are defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . A *marked point* is a morphism $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$. Since we are interested in iterating the marked point by f ,

it is convenient to extend the term “marked point” to also refer to the graph morphism $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$. When we say that a marked point has a periodic point, we mean that there exists $t \in \Lambda$ such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic for f_t . A marked point is said to be (*globally*) *periodic* if there exists two distinct positive integers n and m such that $f_t^n(\sigma(t)) = f_t^m(\sigma(t))$ for all t . In this work, we investigate the number of periodic points associated with a marked point.

A plane polynomial automorphism is called a *generalized Hénon map* if it is a finite composition of maps of the form $(x, y) \mapsto (y, p(y) - \delta x)$, where p is a non-invertible monic polynomial and δ is a non-zero constant. Such a map is said to be of *Hénon type* if it is conjugate, via a polynomial automorphism, to a generalized Hénon map. Friedland and Milnor [23] showed that the only dynamically interesting plane polynomial automorphisms are of Hénon type, in the sense that their first dynamical degree is greater than 1. Consequently, we will focus on this class of polynomial automorphisms. Furthermore, since our results are independent of the conjugacy class, we may restrict our attention to *regular plane polynomial automorphisms*, that is, those that are affine conjugate to generalized Hénon maps.

Recall that any polynomial automorphism has a constant Jacobian. Therefore, the Jacobian function $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \text{Jac}(f_t) \in \mathbb{C}$ is well-defined and regular. This function is either constant or surjective onto \mathbb{C} up to finitely many values. Our first result addresses the case where the Jacobian function is constant.

Theorem 1.1. *Let f_t be an algebraic family of polynomial automorphisms of Hénon type of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ , defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Let $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a non-globally periodic marked point defined over \mathbb{K} . Fix an archimedean place v of \mathbb{K} . Suppose the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(f_t)$ is constant and $|\text{Jac}(f_t)|_v \neq 1$. Then there exist only finitely many $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic for f_t .*

In Theorem 1.1, if $f_t = g$ for some fixed g , then the statement reduces to a result of Dujardin and Favre (see [20, Theorem A’]). In this case, they showed more generally that the set of algebraic points with small canonical heights is finite. On the other hand, if $|\text{Jac}(f_t)|_v = 1$, a marked point may have infinitely many periodic points. This phenomenon occurs when the polynomial automorphisms are reversible, a situation first noted in [20, Proposition 7.1]. See also [31, Theorem D].

Let $t \in \Lambda$ be a parameter such that $\sigma(t)$ is f_t -periodic of period k . Let $u(t)$ and $s(t)$ be the two eigenvalues of the differential of f_t^k at $\sigma(t)$. Then we say that $\sigma(t)$ (or just t) is

- *saddle* if $|u(t)| > 1 > |s(t)|$;
- *semi-repelling* if $|u(t)| > 1$ and $|s(t)| = 1$;
- *repelling* if $|u(t)| > 1$ and $|s(t)| > 1$;
- *neutral* if $|u(t)| = |s(t)| > 1$.

Theorem 1.2. *Let f_t be an algebraic family of polynomial automorphisms of Hénon type of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ , defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Let $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a non globally periodic marked point defined over \mathbb{K} . Suppose $\text{Jac}(f_t)$ is not persistently equal to a root of unity. If there exist infinitely many $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic, then all of them are neutral.*

As mentioned above, a marked point σ may have infinitely many parameters t such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic when $|\text{Jac}(f_t)| = 1$. Nevertheless, we are able to show that the set of such parameters has bounded height.

Theorem 1.3 (cf. Theorem 6.6). *Let f_t be an algebraic family of polynomial automorphisms of Hénon type of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ , defined over a*

number field \mathbb{K} . Let σ be a marked point. Then

$$\{t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \sigma(t) \text{ is periodic for } f_t\}$$

is a set of bounded height.

The special case of Theorem 1.3 where f_t is a Hénon map of the form $(y, x + f_t(y))$ (in particular it has constant jacobian -1) and non-isotrivial, was proved by Ingram [33, Theorem 1.3].

Hsia and Kawaguchi [31, Theorem G] investigated, on the other hand, “unlikely intersection problems” for families of Hénon maps with constant Jacobian ± 1 , parameterized by the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 . More precisely, given two marked points $\sigma_1, \sigma_2: \mathbb{A}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$, each containing infinitely many periodic points (a situation that does not occur when the Jacobian is not on the unit circle, as shown by Theorem 1.1), they studied the case when the set of t such that $\sigma_1(t)$ and $\sigma_2(t)$ are both periodic is infinite.

1.1.3. *Transfer from the parameter space to the phase space and equidistribution.* We will prove two renormalization lemmas in Sect. 2 — one for saddle periodic points and another for semi-repelling periodic points. These lemmas are analogues of Tan lei’s similarity theorem [51] for repelling preperiodic points. Roughly speaking, they allows us to transfer information from the parameter space Λ to the dynamical space A^2 , by rescaling with an appropriate factor and iterating. This technique has become widely used in the study of holomorphic dynamical systems (see e.g., [7, 20, 21, 35]). Unlike the case for repelling preperiodic points of rational maps, a map typically cannot be linearized at a saddle point, and the situation is even more intricate for semi-repelling periodic points. Our renormalization lemmas work for these latter cases within families. It seems natural to expect that Theorem 1.2 should reach the same conclusion as Theorem 1.1. However, our approach does not apply to neutral periodic points, as there is less known about their behavior in general.

Let us briefly outline the strategy for proving Theorems 1.1 and 1.2. Fix an archimedean place v of \mathbb{K} and let $G_f^\pm: \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ be the *fibered forward/backward Green functions* (associated with f_t), defined by

$$(1.1) \quad G_f^\pm(t, z) = G_{f_t}^\pm(z) = G_t^\pm(z) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|f_t^{\pm n}(z)\|,$$

which are non-negative, continuous, and plurisubharmonic (see [4, 29, 32, 48]). Hence, to a marked point $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$, we can associate the *forward/backward Green measure* (of f_t) on $\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, given by

$$(1.2) \quad \mu_{f, \sigma}^\pm := \sigma^* \text{dd}^c G_f^\pm.$$

To prove Theorem 1.1, we assume, for the sake of contradiction, that there exist infinitely many t such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic. This will imply, by the equidistribution Theorem 6.8 (see also Sect. 1.3 below), that the two Green measures $\sigma_{f, \sigma}^+$ and $\sigma_{f, \sigma}^-$ are proportional. Then, applying our renormalization Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, we will derive a contradiction. For Theorem 1.2, we additionally rely on a recent result¹ by Cantat and Dujardin concerning the rigidity of the Julia set of regular plane polynomial automorphisms, see Lemma 7.1.

1.2. **Families of curves and uniform dynamical Bogomolov.** A marked point $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ can be viewed as a horizontal subvariety (its image) in the total space $\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ of relative dimension zero. We now turn to the study of horizontal subvarieties of relative dimension one. We say that a subvariety $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ defined over a number field \mathbb{K} is a *family of curves parameterized by Λ* if the projection $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \Lambda$ is smooth and each geometric fiber $\mathcal{C}_t, t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, is a integral curve.

¹I would like to thank Dujardin for informing me of this result, see [9, Proposition 2.2]

Dujardin and Favre [20, Theorem A''] proved that, given a regular plane polynomial automorphism defined over a number field \mathbb{K} whose Jacobian does not lie on the unit cycle at some archimedean place, the number of points of small canonical height on an algebraic curve depends only on the degree of the curve. We extend this result by examining how this dependence varies with the map itself.

1.2.1. *From arithmetic properties to pluripotential theory.* We first translate this arithmetic uniformity property into the non-vanishing property of some Green measure associated with the given family of curves. Specifically, Fix an archimedean place ν of \mathbb{K} so that we have G_f^\pm (1.1), then define

$$(1.3) \quad G_f(t, z) = G_{f_t}(z) = G_t(z) := \max\{G_f^+(t, z), G_f^-(t, z)\}.$$

In the same spirit of the work [28] of Gauthier and Vigny on families of endomorphisms of projective spaces, we define the Green measure associated with \mathcal{C} as

$$(1.4) \quad \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} := (\text{dd}^c G_f)^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}].$$

Following Yuan and Zhang [55], we say that \mathcal{C} is *non-degenerate* if $\mu_{f, \mathcal{C}}$ is non-vanishing. We can show the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let f_t be an algebraic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Fix an archimedean place ν of \mathbb{K} , so that we have an embedding $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let \mathcal{C} be a non-degenerate family of curves.*

Then there exist a positive constant ε and a positive integer N such that for all but finitely many $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, the set $\{z \in \mathcal{C}_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \hat{h}_{f_t}(z) \leq \varepsilon\}$ has at most N points. In particular, for all but finitely many $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, there are at most N periodic points on $\mathcal{C}_t(\mathbb{C})$.

Note that ε and N depend on the families f and \mathcal{C} . We refer to Sect. 5 for the definition of the canonical height function \hat{h}_{f_t} of a regular plan polynomial automorphism. To prove Theorem 1.4, we again proceed by contradiction. We suppose the conclusion is not satisfied and then apply the equidistribution Theorem 6.9 to contradict Proposition 4.2.

The set of periodic points contained in a non-degenerate surface \mathcal{C} may still be infinite, but it is a set of bounded height as well. This is the following theorem.

Theorem 1.5. *Under the non-degeneracy assumption of Theorem 1.4,*

$$\{t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \exists z \in \mathcal{C}_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), z \text{ is periodic for } f_t\} \text{ and } \{z \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid z \text{ is periodic for } f\}$$

are sets of bounded height.

1.2.2. *Dissipative families of quadratic Hénon maps.* Let $\delta \in \mathbb{K}$ be such that $|\delta| < 1$. Consider the following family of quadratic Hénon maps

$$(1.5) \quad f: (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{K}^3 \mapsto (t, y, y^2 + t - \delta x) \in \mathbb{K}^3,$$

Denote by

$$(1.6) \quad y_{\delta, t}^\pm := \frac{(1 + \delta) \pm \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t}}{2}.$$

Note that the two points $(y_{\delta, t}^+, y_{\delta, t}^+)$ and $(y_{\delta, t}^-, y_{\delta, t}^-)$ are the fixed points of f_t . Let Σ_t be the set of the following 8 points

$$(1.7) \quad \Sigma_t := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (y_{\delta, t}^+, y_{\delta, t}^+), (y_{\delta, t}^+ - \delta, y_{\delta, t}^+), (y_{\delta, t}^+, y_{\delta, t}^+ - 1), (y_{\delta, t}^+ - \delta, y_{\delta, t}^+ - 1) \\ (y_{\delta, t}^-, y_{\delta, t}^-), (y_{\delta, t}^- - \delta, y_{\delta, t}^-), (y_{\delta, t}^-, y_{\delta, t}^- - 1), (y_{\delta, t}^- - \delta, y_{\delta, t}^- - 1) \end{array} \right\}$$

Remark that all these points lie on the lines

$$(1.8) \quad y = x, \quad y = x + 1, \quad y = x + \delta \quad \text{and} \quad y = x + \delta - 1,$$

Theorem 1.6. *Let f_t be the family (1.5). Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}^2$ be a family of curves parameterized by the affine line \mathbb{A}^1 . Suppose there exists some positive constant $r > 0$ such that for all $|t|$ large enough, the distance between \mathcal{C}_t and the eight points Σ_t is larger than r . Then there exist a positive constant $\varepsilon > 0$ and a positive integer $N > 0$ such that for all but finitely many $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, the set $\{z \in \mathcal{C}_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \hat{h}_{f_t}(z) \leq \varepsilon\}$ has at most N points.*

In particular, for all but finitely many $t \in \Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, there are at most N periodic points on $\mathcal{C}_t(\mathbb{C})$.

The condition on the distance in Theorem 1.6 is rather mild. For example, if \mathcal{C} is the constant family of a line $L \subset \mathbb{K}^2$, i.e. for any t , $\mathcal{C}_t = L$, which is not one of the lines in (1.8), then it verifies the assumption.

1.3. Equidistribution Theorems.

1.3.1. *Other related works and Yuan-Zhang's equidistribution theorem.* In the one dimensional case, consider a pair of two families of rational maps (f_t, g_t) parameterized by a quasi-projective curve defined over a number field. Then Mavraki and Schmidt [44] showed that there exists a positive integer B such that, for all but finitely many parameters t , the number of common preperiodic points of f_t is less than B . This result was further generalized by DeMarco and Mavraki [16] to families parameterized by bases of higher dimensions (see also [13, 14]). Now consider the diagonal $\Delta \subset \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ as the constant family of curves. In this case, all the common preperiodic points are precisely the preperiodic points of the map (f_t, g_t) within the family of curves Δ .

Let Poly_d^2 denote the space of regular polynomial endomorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ on the complex affine plane \mathbb{C}^2 (i.e., which can be extended to an endomorphism of the projective plane \mathbb{P}^2 of degree d). For any $f \in \text{Poly}_d^2$, let C_f be the closure in \mathbb{P}^2 of critical locus of f in \mathbb{C}^2 . Then Gauthier, Taffin and Vigny [27, Theorem D] established that there exist constants $B \geq 1$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and a non-empty Zariski open subset $U \subset \text{Poly}_d^2$ such that for any $f \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, the number of points of canonical height less than ε in C_f is bounded by B . A crucial step in their work is [27, Lemma 7.4], where they assumed the existence of an open subset within the support of the *bifurcation measure* (i.e., Green measure of the family of curves formed by the critical points). They subsequently the existence of such an open subset in [27, Lemma 7.6], which is a highly non-trivial result and follows from one of their main theorems. However, for families of plane regular polynomial automorphisms, we are able to bypass this assumption in Proposition 4.2.

These works can be viewed as analogues of various results in arithmetic geometry regarding uniform numbers of torsion points in families of abelian varieties. For example, the uniform Mordell-Lang conjecture for curves embedded into their Jacobians established by Dimitrov, Gao, Habegger and Kühne ([17, 39]), and generalized to higher-dimensional subvarieties of abelian varieties by Gao, Ge and Kühne [24].

A key component in the aforementioned works is the equidistribution theorem for non-degenerate subvarieties. This approach traces back to the works of Szpiro, Ullmo and Zhang on Bogomolov's conjecture [50, 52, 57]. More recently, Yuan and Zhang [55] developed a theory of adelic line bundles on quasi-projective varieties. As an application, they proved an equidistribution theorem on quasi-projective varieties, extending Kühne's equidistribution theorem on families of abelian varieties [39]. Additionally, Yuan [54] utilized their theory of adelic line bundles to provide an alternative proof of the uniform Mordell-Lang conjecture for curves and extended it to function fields of any characteristic.

1.3.2. *Height inequalities “à la Call-Silverman” and equidistribution theorems for families of regular plane polynomial automorphisms.* We also rely on the general equidistribution theorem of Yuan and Zhang [55], in a reformulated form given by Gauthier [26]. To utilize this theorem, We first construct some geometric canonical height functions and interpret them as the mass of certain Green measures. We then establish some height inequalities. The first (Lemma 5.1) — which is analogous to Call-Silverman type height inequalities [8] — is for families of plane regular polynomial automorphisms while the second (Lemma 5.2) holds specifically on non-degenerate subvarieties. We verify that the conditions of the general equidistribution theorem are met in our context, allowing us to prove two specific equidistribution theorems 6.8 (for marked points) and 6.9 (for families of curves) for families of plane regular polynomial automorphisms.

1.4. **Outline.** In Section 2, we prove our renormalization lemmas in a local setting. Section 3 focuses on the study of periodic points for marked points with proportional forward and backward Green measures, utilizing the renormalization results from Section 2. In Section 4, we construct geometric canonical heights for families of curves, demonstrate an important positivity property for non-degenerate curves, and estimate the degeneration of filled Julia sets for dissipative families of quadratic Hénon maps. Section 5 is dedicated to establishing the height inequalities mentioned earlier. In Section 6, after covering preliminaries on adelic line bundles, we prove Theorem 1.3 and our equidistribution theorems for both marked points and families of curves. Finally, Section 7 integrates all the previously obtained results and provides proofs of our main theorems.

Acknowledgement. I would like to thank my Ph.D. advisor Thomas Gauthier for introducing me to this field and for his constant support. I am also grateful to Romain Dujardin and Thomas Gauthier for advising me on this work. I would like to thank Charles Favre and Gabriel Vigny for answering my questions. I would like to thank Junyi Xie for a useful remark concerning Theorem 1.2.

2. RENORMALIZATION LEMMAS

In this section, we prove two renormalization lemmas: one for semi-repelling periodic points (Lemma 2.1) and another for saddle periodic points (Lemma 2.2). The proofs are technical and will subsequently be used to establish two renormalization results for the fibered forward/backward Green functions G_t^\pm in Section 3 (Lemmas 3.1 and 3.5).

Let us first introduce some notation. Let $\mathbb{D}(r)$ denote the open disk of radius r centered at the origin of the complex plane. Fix a small positive real number $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Denote by π the projection $\pi: \mathbb{D}(1)^2 \times \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$. Let a and b be two holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ defined by

$$a(t) := t^q + \text{h.o.t.} \quad \text{and} \quad b(t) := t^p + \text{h.o.t.}$$

with $p, q \geq 1$. Let $\sigma(t) = (a(t), b(t))$. If $\gamma(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{+\infty} r_i t^i$ is a power series, then we define

$$\text{mdeg}(\gamma) := \min \{i \in \mathbb{N} \mid r_i \neq 0\}.$$

2.1. **Semi-repelling renormalization.** Let $u, s: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic functions such that we have $|s(0)| = 1$, $\sup |s(t)| < \inf |u(t)|$ and $\inf |u(t)| > 1$. Define a holomorphic family of holomorphic maps $f_t: \mathbb{D}(1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(1)^2$ parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ by

$$(2.1) \quad f_t(x, y) := (u(t)x + y\tilde{u}_t(x, y), s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x, y)),$$

where $\tilde{u}_t(x, y)$ and $\tilde{s}_t(x, y)$ are power series in variables x, y with coefficients holomorphic functions on t , such that $\tilde{u}_t(0, 0) = \tilde{s}_t(0, 0) = 0$.

Lemma 2.1 (Semi-repelling renormalization). *There exists a positive integer D^+ such that the following is true. Let λ_u be a D^+ -th root of $u(0)$. For all positive integers $n \geq 1$, we define the rescaling factor $r_n: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $r_n(t) := t/\lambda_u^n$. For $0 \leq m \leq n$, let*

$$f_{r_n(t)}^m(\sigma(r_n(t))) = (a_m(t), b_m(t)).$$

Then up to replacing f_i by an iterate and up to shrinking ε , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t) = h(t), \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(t) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_{r_n(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n(t))) = (0, 0)$$

where h is a non constant holomorphic function on the disk $\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ and the convergence is uniform.

We now describe what the integer D^+ is. If x does not divide $\tilde{u}_t(x, y)$, we can write

$$y\tilde{u}_t(x, y) = \tilde{u}_t^1(y) + \tilde{u}_t^2(x, y)$$

with

$$(2.2) \quad \tilde{u}_t^1(y) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k(t)y^k,$$

and xy divides $\tilde{u}_t^2(x, y)$. Define $d_u := \min_{k \geq 2} (\text{mdeg}(c_k(t)t^{pk}))$ and

$$D^+ := \begin{cases} d_u, & \text{if } q > d_u \\ q, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

2.2. Saddle renormalization. In this subsection let $u, s: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic functions such that $\sup |s(t)| < 1 < \inf |u(t)|$. Define a family of holomorphic maps $f_t: \mathbb{D}(1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(1)^2$ parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ by

$$(2.3) \quad f_t(x, y) = (u(t)x + xy\tilde{u}_t(x, y), s(t)y + xy\tilde{s}_t(x, y)),$$

where $\tilde{u}_t(x, y)$ is a power series in variables x, y with coefficients holomorphic functions on t , the same for $\tilde{s}_t(x, y)$.

Lemma 2.2 (Saddle renormalization). *Denote by λ_u a q -th root of $u(0)$ and λ_s a p -th root of $s(0)$. For all integers $n \geq 0$, define $r_n: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by $r_n(t) = \frac{t}{\lambda_u^n}$. For $0 \leq m \leq n$, let*

$$f_{r_n(t)}^m(\sigma(r_n(t))) = (a_m(t), b_m(t)).$$

Then up to shrinking ε , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n(t) = t^q$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} b_n(t) = 0$, and the convergence is uniform.

Moreover, if $|\lambda_u| > |\lambda_s^{-1}|$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_{r_n(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n(t))) \rightarrow (0, 0)$, and the convergence is uniform.

The proof of Lemma 2.2 is much simpler than that of Lemma 2.1. This is essentially because of the fact that $|s(0)| < 1$, indicating the presence of a stable manifold in the dynamical setting, which simplifies the local form of f_t . In essence, the saddle case can be viewed as a special case of the semi-repelling scenario. Therefore, we will focus on proving Lemma 2.1 and omit the proof of Lemma 2.2.

2.3. Proof of Lemma 2.1. We prove the case where xy is not a common factor of $y\tilde{u}_t(x, y)$ and $q > d_u$. The other cases follow similarly. Note that in this proof, as we shrink ε , the shrinking is done in a manner independent of m and n , allowing us to consider only very large n .

Define $\hat{u}(t)$ and $\hat{s}(t)$ to be

$$(2.4) \quad u(t) = u(0) + \hat{u}(t) \quad \text{and} \quad s(t) = s(0) + \hat{s}(t).$$

Shrinking ε , we can assume that

$$(2.5) \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)} |\hat{u}(t)| \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sup_{t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)} |\hat{s}(t)| \leq 1.$$

Let $k_1 \leq \dots \leq k_l$ be all the integers such that $d_u = \text{mdeg}(c_{k_i}(t)t^{pk_i})$. Let w be a complex variable, so that the coefficient

$$(2.6) \quad c_u(w)$$

of t^{d_u} in $\sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(t)(wt)^{pk_i}$ is a polynomial of w of degree pk_l . Note that $c_u(w)$ is not identically zero by our assumption that x does not divide $\tilde{u}_t(x, y)$. For any $n \gg 1$, set

$$n(p, d_u) := \lfloor n(d_u - p)/d_u + 1 \rfloor + 1.$$

It will be used for the equations (2.15) and (2.29). Denote by λ_s a p -th root of $s(0)$.

2.3.1. Case A: Formulas of b_m and a_m for small m .

If $z \in \mathbb{C}$ is a complex number, then the notation $O_1(z)$ means that $|O_1(z)/z| \leq 1$. We will show by induction that, if $0 \leq m \leq n(p, d_u)$, then

$$(2.7) \quad \boxed{b_m(t) = s(0)^m r_n^p(t) + \frac{3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^p(t))}.$$

Setting $a_0(t) := a(r_n(t))$. If $1 \leq m \leq n(p, d_u) + 1$, then we will show that

$$(2.8) \quad \boxed{a_m(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - (m-1)d_u}} + O_1\left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - (m-1)d_u}}\right)},$$

where α_m is defined by induction as follows. Define $\alpha_1 := 2 + 2\lambda_u^{-n}$. Now if α_m is given and the formula (2.7) is true for $b_m(t)$, then we define α_{m+1} as follows. By the definition (2.2) of \tilde{u}_t^1 , we have

$$\tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_m(t)) = \sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(r_n(t)) (b_m(t))^{k_i} + \sum_{k \neq k_i} c_k(r_n(t)) (b_m(t))^k.$$

By the definition of λ_s and (2.7), we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_m(t)) &= \sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(r_n(t)) (\lambda_s^m r_n(t))^{pk_i} \left(1 + \frac{3(m+1)}{s(0)^m \lambda_u^n} O_1(1)\right)^{k_i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{k \neq k_i} c_k(r_n(t)) \left(r_n^p(t) O_1\left(s(0)^m + \frac{3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n}\right)\right)^k =: I_1(m, n) + I_2(m, n). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition (2.6) of c_u and the fact there are only finitely many k_i , there exists a positive constant $c_1 > 0$, independent of m and n , such that

$$I_1(m, n) = c_u(\lambda_s^m) r_n^{d_u}(t) + \frac{c_1 3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^{d_u}(t)).$$

Since $t^{d_u+1}\lambda_u^{-n(d_u+1)}$ divides every term of $I_2(m, n)$, shrinking ε , there exists a positive constant $c_2 > 0$, independent of m and n , such that

$$I_2(m, n) = \frac{c_2}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^{d_u}(t)).$$

Setting $c_3 := c_1 + c_2/(3(m+1))$, We have

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_m(t)) &= c_u(\lambda_s^m)r_n^{d_u}(t) + \frac{c_3 3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^{d_u}(t)) \\ &= \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^m)}{\lambda_u^{md_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u-md_u}} + \frac{c_3 3(m+1)\varepsilon^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{md_u}} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)-md_u}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (m+1)\lambda_u^{-md_u} = 0$, we can shrink ε so that, for all m and n large enough, we have

$$(2.11) \quad \varepsilon(n, m) := \varepsilon^{d_u} + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} + \frac{c_3 3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^{md_u}} \varepsilon^{d_u} + \varepsilon < \frac{|\lambda_u| - 1}{2}.$$

We define

$$(2.12) \quad \alpha_{m+1} := \alpha_m(1 + \varepsilon(n, m)).$$

Note that we have the following estimate

$$(2.13) \quad \left| \prod_{i=1}^{n(p, d_u)} \alpha_i \right| = |\alpha_1| \left(\frac{|\lambda_u| + 1}{2} \right)^{n(p, d_u)} \leq |\alpha_1| |\lambda_u|^{n(p, d_u)} = \frac{|\alpha_1|}{|\lambda_u|^{n-n(p, d_u)}} |\lambda_u|^n.$$

2.3.2. Proof of Case A.

By the definition of $b(t)$, there is a constant c_{b_0} such that

$$b_0(t) = b(r_n^p(t)) = r_n^p(t) + c_{b_0} \frac{t}{\lambda_u^n} (r_n^p(t) + \text{h.o.t.}),$$

shrinking ε , we can make sure that $|c_{b_0}\varepsilon(r_n^p(t) + \text{h.o.t.})/(3r_n^p(t))| \leq 1$, so that $b_0(t)$ has the wanted form (2.7)

$$\boxed{b_0(t) = r_n^p(t) + \frac{3}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^p(t))}.$$

Now we compute $a_1(t)$. By the definition (2.1) of f_t , we have

$$a_1(t) = u(r_n(t))a(r_n(t)) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b(r_n(t))) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a(r_n(t)), b(r_n(t))).$$

The first term is

$$u(r_n(t))a(r_n(t)) = \left(\lambda_u^{d_u} + O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^n}\right) \right) \left(\frac{t^q}{\lambda_u^{nq}} (1 + \text{h.o.t.}) \right).$$

We can reduce ε so that $|\lambda_u^{d_u}\varepsilon^q(1 + \text{h.o.t.})| \leq 1$. Since moreover $q > d_u$, we obtain that

$$u(r_n(t))a(r_n(t)) = O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right) + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right).$$

By (2.10), shrinking ε , the second term is

$$\tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(a(r_n(t)), b(r_n(t))) = c_u(1) \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} + O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right).$$

Since xy divides $\tilde{u}_t^2(x, y)$, shrinking ε , the third term is

$$\tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a(r_n(t)), b(r_n(t))) = O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(q+p)}}\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right).$$

Adding the three terms, we obtain finally that

$$\boxed{a_1(t) = c_u(1) \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} + \left(2 + \frac{2}{\lambda_u^n}\right) O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right)}.$$

Suppose $a_m(t)$ and $b_m(t)$ have the form (2.8) and (2.7) respectively. Let us first compute $b_{m+1}(t)$:

$$b_{m+1}(t) = s(r_n(t))b_m(r_n(t)) + b_m(t)\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_m(r_n(t)), b_m(r_n(t)))$$

Since $|r_n^p(\varepsilon)| + |3n\lambda_u^{-n}O_1(r_n^p(\varepsilon))|$ is uniformly bounded on n , and t divides $\hat{s}(t)$ (recall (2.4)), shrinking ε , we have

$$\hat{s}(r_n(t))\left(r_n^p(t) + \frac{3n}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t))\right) = \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t)).$$

Hence the first term $s(r_n(t))b_m(t)$ is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(s(0) + \hat{s}(r_n(t))\right)\left(s(0)^m r_n^p(t) + \frac{3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t))\right) \\ (2.14) \quad & = s(0)^{m+1}r_n^p(t) + \frac{3(m+1)}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t)) + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $np \leq nd_u - (m-1)d_u$, if $m \geq 1$, by the definition (2.8) of $a_m(t)$ and the estimate (2.13),

$$(2.15) \quad |a_m(t)| \leq \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \left| \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \right| \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{np}} + \frac{|\alpha_1|}{|\lambda_u|^{n-n(p,d_u)}} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{np}}\right) = O_1\left(\frac{\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,n}}{\lambda_u^{np}}\right)$$

where $\mathcal{E}_{\varepsilon,n} \rightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $n \rightarrow +\infty$. If $m = 0$, by our assumption $q > d_u \geq p$, we have

$$(2.16) \quad a_0(t) = a(r_n(t)) = O_1(2r_n^p(t)).$$

It is also clear by (2.7) that

$$(2.17) \quad b_m(t) = O_1(2r_n^p(t)).$$

Since $\tilde{s}_t(0, 0) = 0$, by (2.15), (2.16) and (2.17), we have (for $n \gg 1$ and $\varepsilon \ll 1$)

$$\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_m(t), b_m(t)) = O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{np}}\right).$$

Hence

$$(2.18) \quad b_m(t)\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_m(t), b_m(t)) = \frac{1}{\lambda_u^{np}}O_1(r_n^p(t)) = \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t)).$$

Adding (2.14) and (2.18), we obtain

$$\boxed{b_{m+1}(t) = s(0)^{m+1}r_n^p(t) + \frac{3(m+2)}{\lambda_u^n}O_1(r_n^p(t))}.$$

Let us compute now $a_{m+1}(t)$:

$$a_{m+1}(t) = u(r_n(t))a_m(t) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_m(t)) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a_m(t), b_m(t))$$

By (2.5), $\hat{u}(r_n(t)) = O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^n}\right)$. Thus the first term $u(r_n(t))a_m(t)$ is equal to

$$(2.19) \quad \begin{aligned} & (u(0) + \hat{u}(r_n(t))) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - (m-1)d_u}} + O_1\left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - (m-1)d_u}}\right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - md_u}} + O_1\left(\frac{\alpha_m}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - md_u}}\right) \\ &+ \varepsilon^{d_u} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - (m-1)d_u}}\right) + \frac{\alpha_m}{\lambda_u^n} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - md_u}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since xy divides $\tilde{u}_t^2(x, y)$, we can reduce ε so that

$$(2.20) \quad \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a_m(t), b_m(t)) = \varepsilon O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - md_u}}\right).$$

Summing up (2.19), (2.20) and (2.10), we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{m+1}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - md_u}} \\ &+ \left(\alpha_m + \varepsilon^{d_u} + \frac{\alpha_m}{\lambda_u^n} + \frac{c_3 3(m+1)\varepsilon^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{md_u}} + \varepsilon \right) O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - md_u}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

By the definition (2.12) of α_{m+1} , we obtain finally that

$$\boxed{a_{m+1} = \sum_{i=0}^m \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - md_u}} + \alpha_{m+1} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - md_u}}\right)}.$$

2.3.3. Case B: Formulas of b_m and a_m for large m .

The next step is to give formulas of $a_{m+1}(t)$ and $b_m(t)$ for $m > n(p, d_u)$.

For $m \in \mathbb{N}$, set $m' := n(p, d_u) + m$. Define a sequence $\beta_{m'}$ as follows. For $m = 0$, define $\beta_{0'} = 3(n(p, d_u) + 1)\lambda_u^{-n}$; then for $m \geq 1$, define

$$(2.21) \quad \beta_{m'} = \beta_{(m-1)'} + \frac{3}{\lambda_u^n} + \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-2)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} = \frac{3(m+1)'}{\lambda_u^n} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_u^{(i-2)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}}.$$

Now we define $\alpha_{m'}$ for $m \geq 1$. The same reason as for (2.10) implies that, up to shrinking ε , there exists a positive constant $c_4 > 0$, independent on m and n , such that

$$(2.22) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_{m'}(t)) &= c_u(\lambda_s^{m'}) \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} + c_4 \beta_{m'} O_1(r_n^{d_u}(t)) \\ &= \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^{m'})}{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}} \frac{t^{d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u - m'd_u}} + c_4 \beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} \varepsilon^{d_u} O_1\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1) - m'd_u}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$\beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} = \frac{3(m+1)'}{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u-1)} \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_u^{(m-i+2)d_u}}$$

is uniformly bounded on m and n , we can shrink ε so that

$$(2.23) \quad \varepsilon(n, m') := 2\varepsilon + \lambda_u^{-n} + c_4 \beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} \varepsilon^{d_u} \leq \frac{|\lambda_u| - 1}{2}.$$

For $m \geq 1$, define

$$(2.24) \quad \alpha_{m'+1} = \alpha_{m'}(1 + \varepsilon(n, m')).$$

Then by (2.12) and (2.24), we have

$$|\alpha_{m'}| \leq \alpha_{(n-n(p, d_u))'} = \alpha_n = \alpha_0 \prod_{m=1}^n (1 + \varepsilon(n, m)).$$

By (2.11) and (2.23), $\alpha_n \leq \alpha_0((|\lambda_u| + 1)/2)^n$. Thus

$$(2.25) \quad \left| \frac{\alpha_n}{\lambda_u^n} \right| \leq \alpha_0 \left(\frac{|\lambda_u| + 1}{2\lambda_u} \right)^n \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow +\infty$$

Let us show by induction that if $0 \leq m \leq n - n(p, d_u)$, then

$$(2.26) \quad \boxed{b_{m'}(t) = s(0)^{m'} r_n^p(t) + \beta_{m'} O_1(r_n^p(t))};$$

and if $1 \leq m \leq n - n(p, d_u)$, then

$$(2.27) \quad \boxed{a_{m'}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_u^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} t^{d_u} + \alpha_{m'} O_1\left(\frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}}\right)}.$$

2.3.4. Proof of Case B.

For $m = 0$, (2.26) is exactly (2.7). Now if (2.26) is true for $b_{m'}$, for some $0 \leq m \leq n - n(p, d_u) - 1$, we will show (2.26) for $b_{(m+1)'}$:

$$b_{m'+1}(t) = s(r_n(t))b_{m'}(t) + b_{m'}(t)\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_{m'}(t), b_{m'}(t)).$$

By construction (2.21), $\beta_{m'}$ is bounded. Hence shrinking ε , we have

$$\hat{s}(r_n(t))b_{m'}(t) = \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^p(t)).$$

Hence

$$(2.28) \quad \begin{aligned} s(r_n(t))b_{m'}(t) &= s(0) \left(s(0)^{m'} r_n^p(t) + \beta_{m'} O_1(r_n^p(t)) \right) + \tilde{s}(r_n(t))b_{m'}(t) \\ &= s(0)^{m'+1} r_n^p(t) + \beta_{m'} O_1(r_n^p(t)) + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} O_1(r_n^p(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$(2.29) \quad np - nd_u + (m' - 1)d_u \geq md > 1,$$

By (2.25) and the fact that $\tilde{s}_t(0, 0) = 0$, we have (for $n \gg 1$ and $\varepsilon \ll 1$),

$$\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_{m'}(t), b_{m'}(t)) = O_1\left(\frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}}\right).$$

Hence

$$(2.30) \quad b_{m'+1}(t)\tilde{s}_{r_n(t)}(a_{m'}(t), b_{m'}(t)) = \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} O_1(r_n^p(t)).$$

Summing up (2.28) and (2.30), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} b_{m+1}(t) &= s(0)^{m'+1} r_n^p(t) + \left(\beta_{m'} + \frac{1}{\lambda^n} + \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} \right) O_1(r_n^p(t)) \\ &= s(0)^{m'+1} r_n^p(t) + \left(\beta_{m'} + \left(\beta_{m'} + \frac{3}{\lambda^n} + \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} \right) O_1(r_n^p(t)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the definition (2.21) of $\beta_{m'+1}$, we get finally

$$\boxed{b_{m'+1}(t) = s(0)^{m'+1} r_n^p(t) + \beta_{m'+1} O_1(r_n^p(t))}.$$

For $m \geq 1$, suppose that $a_{m'}(t)$ and $b_{m'}(t)$ have the forms (2.27) and (2.26), let us compute $a_{(m+1)'}(t)$:

$$a_{(m+1)'}(t) = u(r_n(t))a_{m'}(t) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^1(b_{m'}(t)) + \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a_{m'}(t), b_{m'}(t)).$$

The first term $u(r_n(t))a_{m'}(t)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & (u(0) + \hat{u}(r_n(t))) \left(\sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} t^{d_u} + \alpha_{m'} O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right) \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{m'-1} \left(\frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{id_u}} \frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} \right) t^{d_u} + \alpha_{m'} O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right) + \varepsilon O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right) + \frac{\alpha_{m'}}{\lambda^n} O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{(m'-1)d_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By (2.27), (2.26) and the fact that $\tilde{u}_i^2(0,0) = 0$, we can reduce ε so that

$$\tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}^2(a_{m'}(t), b_{m'}(t)) = \varepsilon O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right),$$

Thus adding the above two terms and (2.22), we obtain that

$$a_{m'+1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m'} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{-id_u}} \frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} t^{d_u} + \left(\alpha_{m'} + 2\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha_{m'}}{\lambda_u^n} + c_4 \beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} \varepsilon^{d_u} \right) O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right).$$

Since $\alpha_{m'} > 1$, and by the definition (2.24) of $\alpha_{(m+1)'}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_{m'} + 2\varepsilon + \frac{\alpha_{m'}}{\lambda_u^n} + c_3 \beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} \varepsilon^{d_u} \\ &= \alpha_{m'} \left(1 + 2\varepsilon + \frac{1}{\lambda_u^n} + c_3 \beta_{m'} \lambda_u^{n-m'd_u} \varepsilon^{d_u} \right) \\ &= \alpha_{m'} (1 + \varepsilon(n, m')) \\ &= \alpha_{m'+1}, \end{aligned}$$

Thus we get finally

$$\boxed{a_{m'+1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{m'} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{-id_u}} \frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{nd_u}} t^{d_u} + \alpha_{m'+1} O_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_u^{m'd_u}}{\lambda_u^{n(d_u+1)}} \right)}.$$

2.3.5. *Convergence of a_m and b_m .* Let $m = n - n(p, d_u)$, by (2.27), we obtain that

$$a_n(t) = a_{m'}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{(i+1)d_u}} t^{d_u} + \frac{\alpha_n}{\lambda_u^n} O_1 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_u^{d_u}} \right).$$

It implies that

$$(2.31) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{(i+1)d_u}} t^{d_u}.$$

It's also straightforward to see that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n(t) = 0$. Finally we obtain that

$$\boxed{\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_{r_n}^n(\sigma(r_n(t))) = \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n(t), 0 \right)}.$$

2.3.6. *$\lim_n a_n(t)$ is non constant.*

Let us show that up to taking an iterate of f_t , the function (2.31) is not constant. By (2.6), we can write $c_u(w) = \sum_{j=2}^{d_u} e_j w^{pj}$, where e_j is a complex number. By the geometric sum formula,

$$(2.32) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{c_u(\lambda_s^i)}{\lambda_u^{(i+1)d_u}} &= \sum_{j=2}^{d_u} e_j \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{s(0)^{ji}}{\lambda_u^{(i+1)d}} = \sum_{j=2}^{d_u} \frac{e_j}{\lambda_u^{d_u} - s(0)^j} \\ &= \frac{(\sum_{j=2}^{d_u} e_j)(\lambda_u^{d_u})^{d_u-2} + \sum_{i=3}^{d_u} L_i((e_j)_j)(\lambda_u^{d_u})^{d_u-i}}{\prod_{j=2}^{d_u} (\lambda_u^{d_u} - s(0)^j)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_i((e_j)_j)$ is a linear form on $(e_j)_j$ with coefficients some powers of $s(0)$. It suffices to show that after taking a large iterate of f_t , the numerator of (2.32) is non vanishing.

Setting

$$\tilde{U}_t^2(x, y) := \tilde{u}_t^2(f_t(x, y)) = \tilde{u}_t^2(u(t)x + y\tilde{u}_t(x, y), s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x, y)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{U}_t^1(x, y) &:= \tilde{u}_t^1(s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x, y)) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} c_k(t)(s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x, y))^k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(t)s(0)^{k_i}y^{k_i} + \tilde{V}_t^1(y) + \tilde{V}_t^2(x, y), \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{mdeg}(\tilde{V}_t^1(t^p)) > d_u$ and x divides $\tilde{V}_t^2(x, y)$. We have

$$u(t)y\tilde{u}_t(x, y) = u(t)(\tilde{u}_t^1(y) + \tilde{u}_t^2(x, y)) = \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} u(0)c_k(t)y^k + \hat{u}(t)\tilde{u}_t^1(y) + u(t)\tilde{u}_t^2(x, y).$$

Thus the first coordinate of $f_t^2(x, y)$ is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} u(t)(u(t)x + y\tilde{u}_t(x, y)) + \tilde{U}_t^1(y) + \tilde{U}_t^2(x, y) \\ = u(t)^2x + \sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(t)(u(0) + s(0)^{k_i})y^{k_i} + V_t^{1,1}(y) + V_t^{2,1}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Here $\text{mdeg}(V_t^{1,1}(t^p)) > d_u$ and x divides $V_t^{2,1}(x,y)$. By induction, for any $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the first coordinate of $f_t^{2^l}(x,y)$ is equal to

$$u(t)^{2^k} x + \sum_{i=1}^l c_{k_i}(t) \prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (u(0)^{2^i} + s(0)^{2^i k_i}) y^{k_i} + V_t^{1,l}(y) + V_t^{2,l}(x,y),$$

where $\text{mdeg}(V_t^{1,l}(t^p)) > d_u$ and x divides $V_t^{2,l}(x,y)$.

We just showed that taking an iteration $f_t^{2^l}$ will change e_j to $\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (u(0)^{2^i} + s(0)^{2^i k_i}) e_j$, λ_u to $\lambda_u^{2^l}$ and $s(0)$ to $s(0)^{2^l}$, but the degree d_u stays invariant. Thus the numerator of the fraction (2.32) becomes

$$\prod_{i=0}^{l-1} (u(0)^{2^i} + s(0)^{2^i k_i}) \left(\left(\sum_{j=2}^{d_u} e_j \right) (\lambda_u^{2^l d_u})^{d_u-2} + \sum_{i=3}^{d_u} L_i((e_j)_j) (\lambda_u^{2^l d_u})^{d_u-i} \right)$$

Thus for l large enough, it does not vanish.

2.3.7. Vanishing of $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_{r_n(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n(t)))$.

Let us restate the question. Let $u, s: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be holomorphic functions such that,

$$|s(0)| = 1, \quad \sup |u(t)| < 1, \quad \text{and} \quad \sup |u(t)| < \inf |s(t)|.$$

Define a family of holomorphic maps $f_t: \mathbb{D}(1)^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}(1)^2$ parameterized by $t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ by

$$f_t(x,y) = (u(t)x + y\tilde{u}_t(x,y), s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x,y)),$$

where $\tilde{u}_t(x,y)$ is a power series in variables x,y coefficients holomorphic function on t , such that $\tilde{u}_t(0,0) = 0$, the same for $\tilde{s}_t(x,y)$. Let λ be a complex number such that $|\lambda| > 1$. Define $r_n(t) := \lambda^n t$. Fix $n \gg 1$, as above, we define $a_m(t)$ and $b_m(t)$ by

$$f_{r_n(t)}^m(\sigma(r_n(t))) = (a_m(t), b_m(t)).$$

For $1 \leq m \leq n$, define

$$S_m := \left(\frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} \right)^j \right) / |\lambda|^n.$$

To show $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} f_{r_n(t)}^n(\sigma(r_n(t)))$, it suffices to show that

$$(2.33) \quad |a_m(t)| \leq S_m |t|,$$

and

$$(2.34) \quad |b_m(t)| < |t| \left| \frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} \right|^m / |\lambda|^n.$$

Shrinking ε , since $|u(0)| < 1$, we can let $|u(t)a(t)| + |b(t)\tilde{u}_s(a(t), b(t))| < |t|$, so that (2.33) is true for $m = 1$. There exists $\varepsilon' \ll 1$ such that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon')} |s(t)| + \sup_{t,x,y \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon')} |\tilde{s}_t(x,y)| < \left| \frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} \right|.$$

Let $\varepsilon \ll \varepsilon'$. Then for $t,x,y \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon')$,

$$(2.35) \quad |s(t)y + y\tilde{s}_t(x,y)| < \left| \frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} y \right|,$$

so that (2.34) is true for $m = 1$

Suppose (2.33) and (2.34) are true for m . Shrink ε' such that we have $\sup_{x,y \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon')} |\tilde{u}_t(x,y)| < 1$. Shrink ε so that for all $1 \leq m \leq n$, we have $S_m \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon'$ and

$$|t| \left| \frac{|\lambda|+1}{2} \right|^m / |\lambda|^n \leq \varepsilon'.$$

Then

$$|u(r_n(t))(a_m(t)) + b_m(t) \tilde{u}_{r_n(t)}(a_m(t), b_m(t))| \leq a_m(t) + b_m(t) = S_{m+1} |t|.$$

Hence (2.33) is true for $m+1$. By (2.35), it is clear that (2.34) is true for $m+1$.

3. MARKED POINTS WITH PROPORTIONAL FORWARD AND BACKWARD MEASURES

Let Λ be a Riemann surface and $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ be a marked point. When no confusion can arise, we use the same notation σ to denote its graph function $\text{id} \times \sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$. Let $f: \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$ be a holomorphic (not necessarily algebraic) family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by Λ , defined by $f(t, z) = (t, f_t(z))$.

In this section, we assume that the two Green measures $\mu_{f,\sigma}^+$ and $\mu_{f,\sigma}^-$ (recall the definitions 1.2) are non-vanishing and proportional. Thus, there exists a positive constant $\gamma > 0$ and a harmonic function H on Λ such that

$$(3.1) \quad G_t^+ \circ \sigma(t) = \gamma G_t^- \circ \sigma(t) + H(t).$$

Let $t \in \Lambda$ be a parameter such that $\sigma(t)$ is f_t -periodic of period k . Let $u(t)$ and $s(t)$ be the two eigenvalues of the differential of f_t^k at $\sigma(t)$. We say that $\sigma(t)$ (or just t) is

- (1) *saddle* if $|u(t)| > 1 > |s(t)|$;
- (2) *semi-repelling* if $|u(t)| > 1$ and $|s(t)| = 1$;
- (3) *semi-attracting* if $|u(t)| = 1$ and $|s(t)| < 1$;
- (4) *repelling* if $|u(t)| > 1$ and $|s(t)| > 1$;
- (5) *attracting* if $|u(t)| < 1$ and $|s(t)| < 1$;
- (6) *neutral* otherwise.

We will study periodic parameters t based on the type of the multipliers, under the assumption (3.1).

3.1. Saddle parameters.

Proposition 3.1. *Let f_t be a holomorphic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a Riemann surface Λ and $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ a marked point. Suppose that $\mu_{f,\sigma}^+ \neq 0$ is proportional to $\mu_{f,\sigma}^- \neq 0$. If $\sigma(t_0)$ is a saddle periodic point, then the Jacobian $\text{Jac}(f_{t_0})$ is a root of unity.*

There are two key ingredients in the proof. The first is the renormalization lemma 3.2 for Green functions, which follows from Lemma 2.2. The second is the lower Hölder exponents of continuity of Green functions, an idea borrowed from Dujardin and Favre [20]. In fact, their computations in [20, Sect. 3] are local, except for [20, Lemma 3.3], which deals with algebraic curves. Nevertheless, we can replace [20, Lemma 3.3] with a local argument involving the construction of Pesin box (see, e.g., [3, Sect. 4]), up to removing a subset of arbitrary small measure (see Lemma 3.3). Another slight difference compared to [20, Sect. 3] is the possible higher-order tangency of the marked point, namely it can be the case that $p, q \geq 2$ in Lemma 3.2 and the equation (3.2).

Proof. Up to replacing f by an iterate, we can suppose $\sigma(t_0)$ is fixed by f_{t_0} .

Step 0: A renormalization lemma for Green functions. Denote by $\mathbb{D}(r)$ the disk of radius 0 centered at the origin in the complex plane. Let σ_0 be the local analytic continuation of the saddle

point $\sigma(t_0)$ over an analytic open subset $U \subset \Lambda$ given by the implicit function theorem. Let $V \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a small open neighborhood of $\sigma(t_0)$. Let Ψ be a biholomorphism such that, shrinking V and U if necessary, the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \times \mathbb{D}(1)^2 & \xrightarrow{\Psi} & U \times V \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) & \xrightarrow{\phi} & U \end{array}$$

The two vertical morphisms are the structural projections and $\varepsilon \ll 1$. Moreover, the following is true. In the new coordinates, $\mathbb{D}(1)^2$ is the product of the local unstable manifold and the local stable manifold above any parameter $t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$. By abuse of notation, still denote by $\sigma(t)$ its conjugate $\psi_t^{-1} \circ \sigma \circ \phi(t)$, by f_t its conjugate $\psi_t^{-1} \circ f_t \circ \psi_t$ and by G_t^\pm its conjugate $G_t^\pm \circ \psi_t$. Denote by $G_0^\pm = G_{t_0}^\pm$. We can write

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(t) &= (\alpha(t), \beta(t)) \\ f_t(x, y) &= (u(t)x + xyu'_t(x, y), s(t)y + xys'_t(x, y)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha(t) = t^q + \text{h.o.t.}$, $\beta(t) = t^p + \text{h.o.t.}$ with $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $u(t)$ (resp. $s(t)$) the unstable (resp. stable) multiplier of f_t at $\sigma(t)$. By Lemma 2.2 we have

Lemma 3.2 (Renormalization of Green functions: saddle case). *There exist a positive integer $q \geq 1$ and a parameterization $\rho_0^u: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow W_{\text{loc}}^u(0)$ of the local unstable manifold of $\sigma(t_0)$ such that the following is true. Let λ_u be a q -th root of $u(0)$ and $r_n^u(t) := \frac{t}{\lambda_u^n}$. Then*

$$G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_{r_n^u(t)}^+(f_{r_n^u(t)}^n(\sigma(r_n^u(t)))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^n G_{r_n^u(t)}^+(\sigma(r_n^u(t))),$$

and the convergence is uniform.

There exist positive integer $p \geq 1$ and a parameterization $\rho_0^s: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow W_{\text{loc}}^s(0)$ of the local stable manifold of $\sigma(0)$ such that the following is true. Let λ_s be a p -th root of $s(0)$ and $r_n^s(t) := \lambda_s^n t$. Then

$$G_0^- \circ \rho_0^s(t^p) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_{r_n^s(t)}^-(f_{r_n^s(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n^s(t)))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^n G_{r_n^s(t)}^-(\sigma(r_n^s(t))),$$

and the convergence is uniform.

If moreover $|\lambda_u| > |\lambda_s^{-1}|$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_{r_n^u(t)}^-(f_{r_n^u(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n^u(t)))) = 0$, and the convergence is uniform.

Step 1: Show $|\lambda_u| = |\lambda_s^{-1}|$. Suppose by contradiction that $|\lambda_u| > |\lambda_s^{-1}|$. Then by Lemma 3.2 and (3.1),

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^n G_{r_n^u(t)}^+(\sigma(r_n^u(t))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma d^n G_{r_n^u(t)}^-(\sigma(r_n^u(t))) + d^n H(r_n^u(t)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma G_{r_n^u(t)}^-(f_{r_n^u(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n^u(t)))) + d^n H(r_n^u(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^n H(r_n^u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q)$ is harmonic, whence the contradiction. By symmetry, $|\lambda_u| = |\lambda_s^{-1}|$, and thus there exists $\theta \in [0, 2)$ such that $\lambda_u = e^{i\theta\pi} \lambda_s^{-1}$.

Step 2: Show that θ is rational. Suppose by contradiction that θ is irrational. Let ξ be a complex number on the unit circle. There exists a subsequence n_j of \mathbb{N} such that $e^{i\theta\pi n_j} \rightarrow \xi^{-1/p}$.

Again by Lemma 3.2 and (3.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q) &= \lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma d^{n_j} G_{r_{n_j}^u}^-(\sigma(r_{n_j}^u(t))) + d^{n_j} H(r_{n_j}^u(t)) \\ &= \lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma d^{n_j} G_{r_{n_j}^s(e^{-i\theta\pi n_j t})}^-(\sigma(r_{n_j}^s(e^{-i\theta\pi n_j t}))) + d^{n_j} H(r_{n_j}^u(t)) \\ &= \gamma G_0^- \circ \rho_0^s(\xi t^p) + \lim_{n_j \rightarrow +\infty} d^{n_j} H(r_{n_j}^u(t)). \end{aligned}$$

The measure $\text{dd}^c G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q)$ is thus rotation-invariant in a neighborhood of the origin, which is impossible (see [20, p. 3450]).

Step 3: Applying [20, Proposition 3.1] of Dujardin and Favre. Write $\theta = k_1/k_2$, where $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $k_2 \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma d^{2k_2 n} G_{r_{2k_2 n}^u}^-(\sigma(r_{2k_2 n}^u(t))) + d^{2k_2 n} H(r_{2k_2 n}^u(t)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \gamma d^{2k_2 n} G_{r_{2k_2 n}^s}^-(\sigma(r_{2k_2 n}^s(t))) + d^{2k_2 n} H(r_{2k_2 n}^s(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Setting $\tilde{H}(t) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^{2k_2 n} H(r_{2k_2 n}^s(t))$, which is harmonic, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(t^q) = \gamma G_0^- \circ \rho_0^s(t^p) + \tilde{H}(t).$$

Let $\phi_q: t \mapsto t^q$ and $\phi_p: t \mapsto t^p$. Set $\mu_0^\pm := \text{dd}^c G_0^\pm \circ \rho_0^{u/s}$. Denote by $\chi_0^{u/s}$ the Lyapunov exponents of f_{t_0} relative to the measure $\mu_{f_{t_0}}$ of maximal entropy of f_{t_0} , i.e.

$$\chi_0^{u/s} := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\pm 1}{n} \int \log \|Df_x^{\pm n}\| d\mu_{f_{t_0}}(x).$$

Lemma 3.3. *There exist subsets $C^\pm \subset \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ with $(\phi_{q/p}^* \mu_0^\pm)(\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \setminus C^\pm) \ll 1$, such that at any point $z^\pm \in C^\pm$, we have*

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log r} \log \left(\sup_{d(z^\pm, z) \leq r, z \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)} G_0^\pm \circ \rho_0^{u/s}(z^{q/p}) \right) = \frac{\log d}{\pm \chi_0^{u/s}}.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.3. By symmetry, it suffices to the unstable case. Let E be the set of regular points of $\mu_{f_{t_0}}$ given by Pesin's theory (see, e.g., the beginning of [20, Section 3.2]). Let $A \subset E$ be of full $\mu_{f_{t_0}}$ -measure. Shrinking ε , there exists $\bar{A} \subset \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ such that $\mu_0^+(\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \setminus \bar{A}) \ll 1$ and for any $\bar{z} \in \bar{A}$, there exists $z \in A$ such that $\rho_0^u(\bar{z}) \in W_{\text{loc}}^s(z)$ and $W_{\text{loc}}^s(z)$ intersects $W_{\text{loc}}^u(\sigma_0(t_0))$ transversely at $\rho_0^u(\bar{z})$ (see [3, Sect. 4]). Now applying [20, Proposition 3.1] by replacing [20, Lemma 3.3] by the above property, we deduce the existence of $B \subset \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ with $\mu_0^+(\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \setminus B) \ll 1$, such that at any point $z \in B$, the lower Hölder exponent of continuity of $G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u$ at p are $\log d / \chi_0^u$. The lemma is then proved by remarking that $\phi_q: t \mapsto t^q$ is a local isomorphism except at the origin. \square

Step 4: Show that $|\text{Jac}(f_0)| = 1$. It will imply $|u(0)| = |s(0)|^{-1}$. Since $|\lambda_u| = |\lambda_s^{-1}|$, we have $p = q$, and finally that $\text{Jac}(f_0) = \lambda_u^p \lambda_s^p = e^{i\theta\pi p}$ is a root of unity.

We follow [20, Sect. 3.1] Recall [5, Sect. 3]) that, we have $|\text{Jac}(f_0)| = \exp(\chi^u + \chi^s)$. If $\chi_0^{u/s} = \pm \log d$, then $|\text{Jac}(f_0)| = 1$. Suppose $\chi_0^s < -\log d$. By (3.2), $C^+ \cap C^- \neq \emptyset$. Choose any point $z^0 \in C^+ \cap C^-$. Pick $z_n \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ such that $l_n := |z_n - z^0| \rightarrow 0$ and $\log(G^- \circ \rho_0^s(z_n^p)) / \log l_n \rightarrow \log d / (-\chi_0^s)$. Since $\tilde{H}(z^0) = 0$ and \tilde{H} is smooth, we have $\tilde{H}(z_n) = O(l_n)$. Let ε' be small enough so that $(1 + \varepsilon') \log d / (-\chi_0^s) < 1$. There exists a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\gamma G_0^- \circ \rho_0^s(z_n^p) + \tilde{H}(z_n) \geq \gamma l_n^{(1+\varepsilon') \log d / (-\chi_0^s)} + O(l_n) \geq c l_n^{(1+\varepsilon') \log d / (-\chi_0^s)}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(z_n^q)}{\log l_n} \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\log l_n} \log \left(\sup_{|z^0 - z| \leq l_n} G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(z^q) \right) \geq \log d / \chi_0^u.$$

Thus using (3.2) to combine the above two chains of inequalities and letting $\varepsilon' \rightarrow 0$, we obtain $\log d / (-\chi_0^s) \geq \log d / \chi_0^u$. Now since $\frac{\log d}{\chi_0^u} < 1$, the same argument in the stable direction gives the opposite inequality and finally $-\chi_0^s = \chi_0^u$, which implies that $|\text{Jac}(f_0)| = 1$. \square

3.2. Non-saddle parameters. In this subsection we show that except for the neutral ones, other types of multipliers are not possible.

3.2.1. Semi-repelling/semi-attracting parameters.

Proposition 3.4. *Let f_t be a holomorphic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a Riemann surface Λ and $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ a marked point. Suppose $\mu_{f,\sigma}^+ \neq 0$ is proportional to $\mu_{f,\sigma}^-$. Then there exists no parameter t_0 such that $\sigma(t_0)$ is semi-repelling or semi-attracting.*

Proof. Suppose that $\sigma(t_0)$ is a semi-repelling fixed point. If the central multiplier is 1, the implicit function theorem is no longer applicable for tracking this point. To address this, we can take an irreducible component Λ' (and normalize it) of $\{(t, z) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mid f_t(z) = z\}$ which contains $\sigma(t_0)$. We then pull back the family f_t via the base change $\Lambda' \rightarrow \Lambda$. Note that the base change preserves multipliers, allowing us to still assume that there is a local analytic continuation of the semi-repelling fixed point $\sigma(t_0)$. The following lemma holds, similarly to the saddle parameter case Lemma 3.2.

Lemma 3.5 (Renormalization of Green functions: semi-repelling case). *There exist a positive integer $D \geq 1$, a complex number λ outside the closed unit disk and a parameterization $\rho_0^u: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow W_{\text{loc}}^u(\sigma(t_0))$, such that, up to taking an iterate of f_t , the following is true. Define $r_n(t) := \frac{t}{\lambda^n}$, there exists a non constant holomorphic function $h: \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that*

$$G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(h(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_{r_n(t)}^+(f_{r_n(t)}^n(\sigma(r_n(t)))) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} d^n G_{r_n(t)}^+(\sigma(r_n(t))).$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_{r_n(t)}^-(f_{r_n(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n(t)))) = 0$, and the convergence is uniform.

By Lemma 3.5 and (3.1),

$$G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u(h(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\gamma G_{r_n(t)}^-(f_{r_n(t)}^{-n}(\sigma(r_n(t)))) + d^n H(r_n(t)) \right) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d^n H(r_n(t)).$$

is harmonic, which is a contradiction. By symmetry, the semi-attracting case is not possible either. \square

3.2.2. Repelling/attracting parameters.

Proposition 3.6. *Let f_t be a holomorphic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a Riemann surface Λ and $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ a marked point. Suppose $\mu_{f,\sigma}^+ \neq 0$ is proportional to $\mu_{f,\sigma}^-$. Then there exists no parameter t_0 such that $\sigma(t_0)$ is repelling or attracting.*

Proof. Suppose by contradiction $\sigma(t_0)$ is a repelling fixed point. By symmetry, it suffices to consider this case. We argue locally (see the proof of Lemma 3.2) and assume f_t can be expressed as:

$$f_t(x, y) = (u(t)x, \tilde{s}_t(x, y)).$$

where $u(t)$ is an eigenvalue of $D_{\sigma(t)}f_t$. Since $|u(0)| > 1$, the family $f_t^n(\sigma(t))$ can not be normal, implying that t_0 lies in the support of the forward Green measure. However, t_0 is an attracting fixed parameter for f_t^{-1} , thus locally uniformly $f_t^{-n}(\sigma(t))$ converge to $\sigma(t)$. Hence the family $f_t^{-n}(\sigma(t))$ is normal at t_0 , and t_0 does not belong to the support of the backward Green measure. Since these two measures are proportional, this leads to a contradiction. \square

4. NON-DEGENERATE FAMILIES OF CURVES

4.1. Notations. Let Λ be a smooth quasi-projective curve with a smooth compactification \mathfrak{B} . Let f_t be an algebraic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by Λ . Define $\mathcal{F}_n: \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2$ by $\mathcal{F}_n(t, z) = (t, f_t^n(z), f_t^{-n}(z))$. It can be extended to a regular morphism $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}^4$ that will still be denoted by \mathcal{F}_n , see [41, Lemma 6.1]. Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ be a family of curves parameterized by Λ . For any integer $n \geq 1$, denote by \mathcal{C}_n the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathcal{C})$ in $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4$. Denote by π (resp. π') the projection $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$). The two projections $\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ will be denoted by p and q . The line bundle \mathcal{L} (resp. \mathcal{L}') is defined to be the pullback of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ (resp. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$) by the projection $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$ (resp. $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$).

Recall that we use the additive notation for the tensor product of line bundles. If we have a morphism $X \rightarrow B$ and a subvariety $Y \subset X$, the subvariety $Y^{[2]}$ is defined to be the variety $Y \times_B Y$. If we have a line bundle L on X and we denote by $q_1, q_2: Y^{[2]} \rightarrow Y$ the two projections, then the line bundle $L^{[2]}$ is defined to be $q_1^*L + q_2^*L$. If $h: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any function, then $h^{[2]} := h \circ q_1 + h \circ q_2$.

4.2. Geometric canonical height functions. Recall that $G_f := \max\{G_f^+, G_f^-\}$ and the Green measure of \mathcal{C} is $\mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} := (\text{dd}^c G_f)^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}]$. We define the (geometric canonical) height $\tilde{h}_f(\mathcal{C})$ of \mathcal{C} (relative to f) to be the mass of the Green measure $\mu_{f, \mathcal{C}}$

$$(4.1) \quad \tilde{h}_f(\mathcal{C}) := \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2} \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}}.$$

We say that \mathcal{C} is a *non-degenerate* if its height is non-vanishing. We can reinterpret the canonical height as the limit of the following intersection numbers.

Proposition 4.1. *We have $\tilde{h}_f(\mathcal{C}) = \lim_n \frac{1}{d^{2n}} \mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2$.*

Proof. Let ω' be the pull back by the projection $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4$ of the Chern form of the canonical line bundle $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^4}(1)$ endowed with the standard continuous metric (Example 6.1). Define the function $G_n: \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2 \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ by $G_n(t, x, y) := \frac{1}{d^n} \log^+ \|(f_t^n(x, y), f_t^{-n}(x, y))\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ is the maximum of the modulus of the coordinates, so that $\text{dd}^c G_n = \frac{1}{d^n} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega'$ on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$. Choose a very ample divisor such that we have a closed immersion $\iota: \mathfrak{B} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^N$ for some integer $N \geq 1$ and $\Lambda = \iota^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^N)$. Denote by T_f the trivial extension of $\text{dd}^c G_f$ to $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. Let ϕ_n be the continuous function of $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$ such that $\phi_n = G_n - G_f$ on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$, so that $\frac{1}{d^n} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega' - T_f = \text{dd}^c \phi_n$. We claim that there exists a constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(4.2) \quad |\phi_n(t, z)| \leq \frac{C}{d^n} (\log^+ |t| + 1)$$

for all $(t, z) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. In fact, since $\mathfrak{B} \setminus \Lambda$ is finite, we can argue locally by centering the local coordinate around each point of $\mathfrak{B} \setminus \Lambda$ and suppose our family is holomorphic on the punctured disk. Then we apply [34, Proposition 3.2] (the result is stated for Hénon maps but the same proof also works for generalized Hénon maps).

Following [28], we define $\Psi_r(t) := \frac{1}{r} (\log \max(|t|, e^{2r}) - \log \max(|t|, e^r))$. Observe that this function takes values in $[0, 1]$ and equals to 1 if $|\lambda| \leq \exp(r)$ and 0 if $|\lambda| \geq \exp(2r)$. The positive closed

current $T_r := dd^c(\log \max(|t|, e^r))$ has finite mass independent of the radius $r > 0$. This function is in fact an example of DSH functions introduced by Dinh and Sibony (see e.g., [18]). Since we have $\mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2 = \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \frac{1}{d^{2n}} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega'^2$, it suffices to estimate the following

$$I_{n,r} := \left| \left\langle \left(\frac{1}{d^{2n}} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega'^2 - T_f^2 \right) \wedge [\mathcal{C}], \Psi_r \circ \pi \right\rangle \right| = \left| \left\langle \phi_n \left(\frac{1}{d^n} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega' + T_f \right) \wedge [\mathcal{C}], dd^c(\Psi_r \circ \pi) \right\rangle \right|$$

By Bézout (see, e.g., [22]),

$$I_{n,r} \leq \frac{C(2r+1)}{rd^n} \left(\left\| \frac{1}{d^n} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega' \right\|_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} + \|T_f\|_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \right) \|\mathcal{C}\|_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \int_{\Lambda \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}}(0, e^{2r})} T_{2r} + T_r.$$

By (4.2) and that the mass of $\frac{1}{d^n} (\mathcal{F}_n)^* \omega'$ is independent of n , there exists a constant $C' > 0$ such that $I_{n,r} \leq C'/d^n$. Letting $r \rightarrow +\infty$, $\left| \frac{1}{d^{2n}} \mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2 - \tilde{h}_f(\mathcal{C}) \right| \leq C'/d^n$. Now let $n \rightarrow +\infty$ to conclude. \square

4.3. Positivity of non-degenerate families of curves. Let us denote by p, q be the two projections $\Lambda \times (\mathbb{C}^2)^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2$. If $f: \Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is any function, then set $f^{[2]} := f \circ p + f \circ q$. If \mathcal{C} is any family of curves, then denote by $\mathcal{C}^{[2]} = \mathcal{C} \times_{\Lambda} \mathcal{C}$. The aim of this subsection is to prove Proposition 4.2, which plays a crucial role in establishing a contradiction to the equidistribution theorem 6.9.

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose \mathcal{C} is a non-degenerate family of curves. Then there exists an integer n such that*

$$I_{f, f^n(\mathcal{C})} := \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^4} G_{f^n}^{[2]} \left(dd^c G_{f^n}^{[2]} \right)^3 \wedge [f^n(\mathcal{C})^{[2]}] > 0.$$

$$\text{Set } G_{f, \mathcal{C}}(t) := \int_{\mathcal{C}_t} G_f dd^c G_f.$$

Lemma 4.3. *We have the equality of measures $\pi_* \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} = dd^c G_{f, \mathcal{C}}$.*

Proof. Recall that T_f is the trivial extension of $dd^c G_f$ on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$. It has continuous potential by construction and there exists a positive constant C_{T_f} (namely, the mass of T_f) such that, on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2$, we have $dd^c G_f + C_{T_f}[\Lambda \times L_{\infty}] = T_f$, where L_{∞} denotes the line at infinity. Let φ be a test function on Λ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_* \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}}, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2} \pi^* \varphi \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} = \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \pi^* \varphi T_f^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}] \\ &= \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \pi^* \varphi \left(dd^c G_f + C_{T_f}[\Lambda \times L_{\infty}] \right) \wedge T_f \wedge [\mathcal{C}]. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mathfrak{Y} \subset \Lambda \times L_{\infty}$ be a horizontal curve lying at infinity. Since L_{∞} is contracted to the same point at infinity by f_t , we have $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathfrak{Y}) = \mathcal{F}_1(\mathfrak{Y})$ for all $n \geq 1$. Denote by $\overline{\mathcal{F}_n(\mathfrak{Y})}$ the Zariski closure of the set $\mathcal{F}_n(\mathfrak{Y})$ in $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \overline{\mathcal{F}_n(\mathfrak{Y})} \cdot \mathcal{L}' = 0$. It implies $T_f \wedge [\mathfrak{Y}] = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_* \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}}, \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \pi^* \varphi dd^c G_f \wedge T_f \wedge [\mathcal{C}] = \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}}^2} \pi^* dd^c \varphi \wedge (G_f T \wedge [\mathcal{C}]) \\ &= \int_{\Lambda} dd^c \varphi G_{f, \mathcal{C}} = \int_{\Lambda} \varphi dd^c G_{f, \mathcal{C}} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Proof of Proposition 4.2. Since $G_f(dd^c G_f)^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}] = G_f dd^c G_f^+ \wedge dd^c G_f^- \wedge [\mathcal{C}] = 0$, we have

$$G_f^{[2]} \left(dd^c G_f^{[2]} \right)^3 \wedge [\mathcal{C}^{[2]}] = 3p^* \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} \wedge q^* (G_f dd^c G_f \wedge [\mathcal{C}]) + 3q^* \mu_{f, \mathcal{C}} \wedge p^* (G_f dd^c G_f \wedge [\mathcal{C}])$$

Since

$$\int_{\Lambda \times (\mathbb{C}^2)^2} p^* \mu_{\mathcal{C}} \wedge q^* (G_f \text{dd}^c G_f \wedge [\mathcal{C}]) = \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{C}^2} G_{f,\mathcal{C}} \circ \pi \mu_{f,\mathcal{C}}.$$

Lemma 4.3 implies

$$I_{f,\mathcal{C}} = 6 \int_{\Lambda} G_{f,\mathcal{C}} \text{dd}^c G_{f,\mathcal{C}}.$$

Since \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, Lemma 4.3 implies once again that there exists $t_0 \in \text{supp}(\text{dd}^c G_{f,\mathcal{C}})$. By [4], up to taking an iterate of \mathcal{C} , we may assume that \mathcal{C}_{t_0} intersects with L_∞ only at the point $[0 : 1 : 0]$. Let K_{t_0} be the zero locus of G_{t_0} and Ω a connected component of $\mathcal{C}_{t_0} \setminus K_{t_0}$. By the maximum principle, Ω is unbounded and $\Omega \cap L_\infty = [0 : 1 : 0]$. This implies that there are only finitely many connected components $\Omega_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, of $\mathcal{C}_{t_0} \setminus K_{t_0}$. Denote by $\alpha_i: \Omega_i \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ the continuous function $G_{t_0}^+ / G_{t_0}^-$.

Claim 4.4. *There exists an α_i such that $\inf_{z \in \Omega_i} \alpha_i(z) = 0$.*

Suppose α_i satisfies the claim. Denote by $C := \sup_{z \in \Omega_i} \alpha_i$. Take $N \in \mathbb{N}$ large enough so that $d^{2N} C \gg 1$. Then the component $f^N(\Omega_i)$ intersects properly the hypersurface $\{G_{t_0}^+ = G_{t_0}^- \neq 0\}$ which is a subset of $\text{supp}(\text{dd}^c G_{t_0}|_{f^N(\mathcal{C}_{t_0})})$. Hence $G_{f,f^N(\mathcal{C})}(t_0) > 0$. By continuity of $G_{f,f^N(\mathcal{C})}$, we know that $I_{f,f^N(\mathcal{C})} > 0$. \square

Proof of Claim 4.4. Since there are only finitely many components, if Claim 4.4 is false, we can assume there exist constants $0 < C_1 \leq C_2 \leq +\infty$ such that the range of α_i is contained in $[C_1, C_2]$ for all i . For any positive integer N , the range of $\alpha_i \circ f^N$ is then contained in $[d^{2N} C_1, d^{2N} C_2]$. Now, take N large enough so that $d^{2N} C_1 \gg 1$. Since G_f^\pm is continuous, there exists a small open neighborhood $U \subset \Lambda$ of t_0 , such that $\inf(G_t^+ / G_t^-) \gg 1$ for all $t \in U$, where the infimum is taken over $f^N(\mathcal{C}_t) \setminus K_t$. In particular, $G_{f,f^N(\mathcal{C})}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in U$, which implies $\text{dd}^c G_{f,f^N(\mathcal{C})} = 0$ on U . On the other hand, we have the following:

$$\int_{U \times \mathbb{C}^2} (\text{dd}^c G_f)^2 \wedge [f^N(\mathcal{C})] = \int_{U \times \mathbb{C}^2} f^*(\text{dd}^c G_f)^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}] = \int_{U \times \mathbb{C}^2} (\text{dd}^c G_f)^2 \wedge [\mathcal{C}].$$

Thus, by Lemma 4.3, $\text{dd}^c G_{f,\mathcal{C}} = 0$ on U , implying that $t_0 \notin \text{supp}(\text{dd}^c G_{f,\mathcal{C}})$. \square

4.4. Dissipative families of quadratic Hénon maps. In this subsection, we focus on families of dissipative quadratic Hénon maps. Namely, we consider the family

$$f: (t, x, y) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \mapsto (t, y, y^2 + t - \delta x) \in \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2,$$

where δ is a complex number in the open unit disk. We denote by

$$y_{\delta,t}^\pm := \frac{(1 + \delta) \pm \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t}}{2}$$

the two complex roots of the equation $y^2 + t = (1 + \delta)y$, so that $(y_{\delta,t}^+, y_{\delta,t}^+)$ and $(y_{\delta,t}^-, y_{\delta,t}^-)$ are the two fixed points of f_t , and $|y_{\delta,t}^\pm| \rightarrow \infty$ if $|t| \rightarrow \infty$.

Recall that $\mathbb{D}(z, r)$ denotes the open disk of center $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and radius r . If $z = (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$, then $\mathbb{D}(z, r)$ denotes the polydisk $\prod_i \mathbb{D}(z_i, r)$.

Lemma 4.5. *For $|t| \gg 1$ big enough, K_t is contained in the four bidisks $\mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^\pm, y_{\delta,t}^\pm), 2)$.*

Benedetto [6, Lemma 5.1. and Lemma 6.1] provided estimates for the size of the filled Julia set of one variable polynomial, while Ingram [33, Lemma 3.1] estimated the size of the filled Julia set for non archimedean Hénon maps of Jacobian -1. The proof of Lemma 4.5 follows the approach of Benedetto.

Proof. Denote by $K_{1,t}$ (resp. $K_{2,t}$) the image of the filled Julia set K_t by the first (resp. second) projection of $\mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. By the invariance of the filled Julia set, we have $K_{1,t} = K_{2,t}$ for all t . Let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(a, r)$ be the smallest closed disk containing $K_{1,t}$. Then $\overline{\mathbb{D}}((a, a), r)$ is the smallest closed polydisk containing K_t . Denote by b_1 and b_2 the two roots of the equation $y^2 + t = (1 + \delta)a$ and $s := |b_1 + b_2|/2$.

We first show that the filled Julia set K_t is contained in the four polydisks $\mathbb{D}((b_i, b_j), 2)$, where $i, j = 1, 2$. It suffices by the above to prove that $K_{1,t} \subset \mathbb{D}(b_1, 1) \cup \mathbb{D}(b_2, 1)$. For any $(x, y) \in K_t$, by the invariance of the filled Julia set, we have

$$(4.3) \quad r \geq |y^2 + t - \delta x - a| = |y^2 + t - (1 + \delta)a - \delta(x - a)| \geq |y - b_1||y - b_2| - |\delta|r.$$

By the minimality of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}(a, r)$, $K_{1,t} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}((b_1 + b_2)/2, r) \neq \emptyset$. Thus there exist $y \in K_{1,t}$ and some $i = 1$ or 2 , say $i = 1$, such that $|y - b_1| \geq |y - (b_1 + b_2)/2| \geq r$. By triangle inequality, $|y - b_2| \geq |y - b_1| - |b_1 - b_2| \geq r - s$. By (4.3), if we suppose $r \geq s$, then $r \geq r(r - s) - |\delta|r$. We deduce $s \geq r - |\delta| - 1 > r - 2$, which trivially remains true if $s \geq r$.

Suppose by contradiction that there exists $y \in K_{1,\delta} \setminus (\mathbb{D}(b_1, 1) \cup \mathbb{D}(b_2, 1))$. Since $|t| \gg 1$, we have $r \geq 2|y_{\delta,t}^\pm| \gg 1$ and $s \gg 1$. Thus the two disks $\mathbb{D}(b_1, 1)$ and $\mathbb{D}(b_2, 1)$ are disjoint and $\min_z |z - b_1||z - b_2| \geq 2s - 1$, where the minimum is taken from all $z \in K_{1,\delta} \setminus (\mathbb{D}(b_1, 1) \cup \mathbb{D}(b_2, 1))$. Still by (4.3), we have $r \geq 2s - 1 - |\delta|r \geq 2(r - 2) - 1 - |\delta|r$. Thus $r \leq 5/(1 - |\delta|)$ and r is bounded, which is a contradiction. Recall that, up to reordering $y_{\delta,t}^+$ and $y_{\delta,t}^-$, we have $y_{\delta,t}^{+/-} \in \mathbb{D}(b_{1/2}, 1)$. Hence replacing $\mathbb{D}(b_{1/2}, 1)$ by $\mathbb{D}(y_{\delta,t}^{+/-}, 2)$, the filled Julia set K_t is contained in the 4 disks $\mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^\pm, y_{\delta,t}^\pm), 2)$. \square

Proposition 4.6. *For $|t| \gg 1$ large enough, K_t is contained in the eight bidisks of centers a point of Σ_t (recall (1.7)) and of radius $r_t > 0$ with $\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} r_t = 0$.*

Proof. Fix $\xi_x^\pm, \xi_y^\pm \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $|\xi_x^\pm|, |\xi_y^\pm| < 2$. For all t with $|t| \gg 1$, let $x_t^\pm := y_{\delta,t}^\pm + \xi_x^\pm$ and $y_t^\pm := y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^\pm$.

Case 1: Analysis of $K_t \cap \mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^-, y_{\delta,t}^+), 2)$. The distance between $(y_t^+)^2 + t - \delta x_t^-$ and the center $y_{\delta,t}^+$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+)^2 + t - \delta (y_{\delta,t}^- + \xi_x^-) - y_{\delta,t}^+ \right| &= \left| (2\xi_y^+ + \delta)y_{\delta,t}^+ - \delta y_{\delta,t}^- + (\xi_y^+)^2 - \delta \xi_x^- \right| \\ &= \left| (\xi_y^+ + \delta) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The distance between $(y_t^+)^2 + t - \delta x_t^-$ and the center $y_{\delta,t}^-$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+)^2 + t - \delta (y_{\delta,t}^- + \xi_x^-) - y_{\delta,t}^- \right| &= \left| (1 + \delta)(y_{\delta,t}^+ - y_{\delta,t}^-) + 2\xi_y^+ y_{\delta,t}^+ + (\xi_y^+)^2 - \delta \xi_x^- \right| \\ &= \left| (1 + \delta + \xi_y^+) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right| \end{aligned}$$

The distance between $1/\delta((x_t^-)^2 + t - y_t^+)$ and $y_{\delta,t}^+$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1/\delta((x_t^-)^2 + t - y_t^+) - y_{\delta,t}^+ \right| &= \left| 1/\delta(1 + \delta + 2\xi_x^-)y_{\delta,t}^- - 1/\delta(1 + \delta)y_{\delta,t}^+ + 1/\delta(2\xi_x^- - \xi_y^+) \right| \\ &= \left| -1/\delta(1 + \delta + \xi_x^-) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The distance between $1/\delta((x_t^-)^2 + t - y_t^+)$ and $y_{\delta,t}^-$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1/\delta((x_t^-)^2 + t - y_t^+) - y_{\delta,t}^- \right| &= \left| 1/\delta(1 + 2\xi_x^-)y_{\delta,t}^- - 1/\delta y_{\delta,t}^+ + 1/\delta((\xi_x^-)^2 - \xi_y^+) \right| \\ &= \left| -1/\delta(1 + \xi_x^-) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By analysing the coefficient of $\sqrt{(1+\delta)^2-4t}$ we observe that for any (small) radius $r > 0$ there exists $T(r) \gg 1$ such that for any $|t| \geq T(r)$, if z is not contained in the union of the 4 polydisks of radius r and of center $(y_{\delta,t}^- + \alpha, y_{\delta,t}^+ + \beta)$, where $\alpha \in \{-1-\delta, -\delta\}$ and $\beta \in \{-1, -1-\delta\}$, Then $z \notin K_t$.

To go further, We can iterate one more time $f^2(x, y) = (p_1(x, y), p_2(x, y))$ with $p_1(x, y) = y^2 + t - \delta x$ and $p_2(x, y) = (y^2 + t - \delta x)^2 + t - \delta y$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x_t^-, y_t^+) &= \left((y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+)^2 + t - \delta(y_{\delta,t}^- + \xi_x^-) \right)^2 + t - \delta(y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+) \\ &= \left((1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+)y_{\delta,t}^+ - \delta y_{\delta,t}^- + (\xi_y^+)^2 - \delta \xi_x^- \right)^2 + t - \delta(y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+) \\ &= -\left((1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+)^2 + 2\delta(1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+) + \delta^2 - 1 \right)t + o(t). \end{aligned}$$

Recalling $y_{\delta,t}^+ y_{\delta,t}^- = t$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_2(x_t^-, y_t^+) &= (1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+)^2 ((1 + \delta)y_{\delta,t}^+ - t) + \delta^2 ((1 + \delta)y_{\delta,t}^- - t) - 2\delta(1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+)t + t + o(t) \\ &= -\left((1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+)^2 + 2\delta(1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+) + \delta^2 - 1 \right)t + o(t) \end{aligned}$$

The coefficient of t vanishes if and only if $1 + \delta + 2\xi_y^+ = -1 \pm \sqrt{2 - \delta^2}$, i.e.

$$\xi_y^+ = \frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{2 - \delta^2} - \delta}{2}.$$

If $\xi_y^+ = -1$ or $\xi_y^+ = -1 - \delta$, then $|\delta| = 1$, which is not possible by our hypothesis of dissipativity. Let $r_0 > 0$ be the minimum of the distance between $\frac{-2 \pm \sqrt{2 - \delta^2} - \delta}{2}$ and -1 or $-1 - \delta$. For any $|t| \geq T(r_0)$ and any z in the union of the 4 polydisks of radius r and of center $(y_{\delta,t}^- + \alpha, y_{\delta,t}^+ + \beta)$, where $\alpha \in \{-1 - \delta, -\delta\}$ and $\beta \in \{-1, -1 - \delta\}$, since the coefficient of t in $p_2(x_t^-, y_t^+)$ is non zero, possibly enlarging t , we have $z \notin K_t$.

In conclusion, for t large enough,

$$K_t \cap \mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^-, y_{\delta,t}^+), 2) = \emptyset.$$

Case 2: Analysis of $K_t \cap \mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^+, y_{\delta,t}^+), 2)$. Now we Analyse $K_t \cap \mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^+, y_{\delta,t}^+), 2)$. The distance between $(y_t^+)^2 + t - \delta x_t^+$ and the center $y_{\delta,t}^+$ is

$$\left| (y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+)^2 + t - \delta(y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_x^+) - y_{\delta,t}^+ \right| = \left| 2\xi_y^+ y_{\delta,t}^+ + (\xi_y^+)^2 - \delta \xi_x^+ \right|.$$

The distance between $(y_t^+)^2 + t - \delta x_t^+$ and the center $y_{\delta,t}^-$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| (y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^+)^2 + t - \delta(y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_x^+) - y_{\delta,t}^- \right| &= \left| y_{\delta,t}^+ - y_{\delta,t}^- + 2\xi_y^+ y_{\delta,t}^+ + \xi_y^2 - \delta \xi_x^+ \right| \\ &= \left| (1 + \xi_y^+) \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + (1 + \delta)\xi_y^+ + \xi_y^2 - \delta \xi_x^+ \right| \end{aligned}$$

The distance between $1/\delta((x_t^+)^2 + t - y_t^+)$ and $y_{\delta,t}^+$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1/\delta((x_t^+)^2 + t - y_t^+) - y_{\delta,t}^+ \right| &= \left| (2\xi_x^+/\delta)y_{\delta,t}^+ + 1/\delta((\xi_x^-)^2 - \xi_y^+) \right| \\ &= \left| \xi_x^+/\delta \sqrt{(1 + \delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

The distance between $1/\delta((x_t^+)^2 + t - y_t^+)$ and $y_{\delta,t}^-$ is

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1/\delta((x_t^+)^2 + t - y_t^+) - y_{\delta,t}^- \right| &= \left| (2\xi_x^+/\delta)y_{\delta,t}^+ - 1/\delta y_{\delta,t}^- + 1/\delta((\xi_x^-)^2 - \xi_y^+) \right| \\ &= \left| (\xi_x^+ + \delta)\sqrt{(1+\delta)^2 - 4t} + O(1) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

By analysing the coefficient of $\sqrt{(1+\delta)^2 - 4t}$ we observe that for any (small) radius $r > 0$ there exists $T(r) \gg 1$ such that for any $|t| \geq T(r)$, if z is not contained in the union of the 4 polydisks of radius r and of center $(y_{\delta,t}^+ + \alpha, y_{\delta,t}^+ + \beta)$, where $\alpha \in \{0, -\delta\}$ and $\beta \in \{0, -1\}$, then $z \notin K_t$.

The second iteration will not give more restrictions in this case and we will not compute it here.

Other cases. By symmetry, the other two cases are similar. More precisely, we have

$$K_t \cap \mathbb{D}((y_{\delta,t}^+, y_{\delta,t}^-), 2) = \emptyset$$

for any (small) radius $r > 0$ there exists $T(r) \gg 1$ such that for any $|t| \geq T(r)$, if z is not contained in the union of the 4 polydisks of radius r and of center $(y_{\delta,t}^- + \alpha, y_{\delta,t}^- + \beta)$, where $\alpha \in \{0, -\delta\}$ and $\beta \in \{0, -1\}$, then $z \notin K_t$. \square

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2$ be a family of curves parameterized by \mathbb{C} . Suppose there exists $r > 1$ such that*

- (1) *for all $t \in \mathbb{C}$ with $|t| \geq r$, $\mathcal{C}_t \cap K_t = \emptyset$;*
- (2) *there exists a sequence z_n of points in \mathcal{C} such that $|z_n| < r$ for all n and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} G_f(z_n) = 0$.*

Then \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate.

Proof. Define $\Omega := \mathbb{D}(r) \cap \mathcal{C}$, where $\mathbb{D}(r) \subset \mathbb{C}^3$ is the polydisk of radius r centered at the origin. Denote by $\partial\Omega$ the boundary of Ω with respect to the topology of \mathcal{C} . Since $\mathcal{C}_t \cap K_t = \emptyset$ for all $|t| \geq r$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $G \geq 2\varepsilon$ on $\partial\Omega$. Applying the singular version of comparison principle [2, Theorem 4.3] with $X = \Omega$, $u = G_f$ and $v = \varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r$. Note that by the second point (2), the subset $\{G_f < \varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r\} \neq \emptyset$. we obtain thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\{G_f < \varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r\}} (\text{dd}^c(G_f))^2 &\geq \int_{\{G < \varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r\}} (\text{dd}^c(\varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r))^2 \\ &> \int_{\{G < \varepsilon + \varepsilon\|z\|/2r\}} (\text{dd}^c(\varepsilon))^2 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

\square

On the other hand, for a conservative family of quadratic Hénon maps, we have examples of degenerate families of curves.

Example 4.8. Let $f: \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^2$ defined by $f_t(x, y) = (y, y^2 + t + x)$. Then its inverse is $f_t^{-1}(x, y) = (-x^2 - t + y, x)$ and we have $\tau \circ f_t^n \circ \tau = f_t^{-n}$. Consider the involution $\tau(x, y) = (-y, -x)$ and its curve of fixed points $C := \{x + y = 0\}$. Since $G_{f_t}^+ \circ \tau = G_{f_t}^-$, we have $G_{f_t, \mathbb{C} \times C}(t) = 0$. Hence by Lemma 4.3, the constant family of curves $\mathbb{C} \times C$ is degenerate.

5. GENERAL HEIGHT INEQUALITIES FOR FAMILIES OF REGULAR PLANE POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS

5.1. Call-Silverman type height inequalities. In this subsection only, the variety \mathfrak{B} can have any dimension. Recall that we have an algebraic family $f: \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^2 \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^2$ of regular plane polynomial automorphisms defined over a number field \mathbb{K} , where Λ is a Zariski open subset of \mathfrak{B} . The function f_t is defined by $f(t, z) = (t, f_t(x))$.

In [8], Call and Silverman proved results on variation of canonical heights for families of polarized endomorphisms. We establish similar results (Lemma 5.1) for families of regular plane polynomial automorphisms. A crucial part of the proof is the effectiveness of a divisor (5.3), as shown by Kawaguchi in [36]. Denote by h (resp. h') the standard Weil height function on $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^2$ (resp. $\mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^4$), see also Example 6.1. On each fiber $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{K}}^2$ over $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, the canonical height functions are defined to be $\hat{h}_{f_t}^{\pm}(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{d^n} h(f_t^{\pm n}(x))$, and $\hat{h}_{f_t} = \hat{h}_{f_t}^+ + \hat{h}_{f_t}^-$. These canonical height functions are well-defined and non-negative. Moreover, a point $z \in \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ is f_t -periodic if and only if $\hat{h}_{f_t}^{\pm}(z) = 0$. We refer to [37] for more details.

Lemma 5.1. *Let \mathcal{M} be a very ample divisor on \mathfrak{B} . Up to shrinking Λ , there exist positive constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ such that for all $(t, x) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have*

$$(5.1) \quad h(x) \leq \hat{h}_{f_t}(x) + C_1(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1)$$

and

$$(5.2) \quad \hat{h}_{f_t}(x) \leq 2h(x) + C_2(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1).$$

Proof. We first establish the height inequality (5.1). Viewing the family of maps as a single map over the function field $\mathbb{L} := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}(\mathfrak{B})$, Kawaguchi [36, Theorem 2.1] showed that there exist a morphism $\overline{\pi}_2: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^2$, obtained by successive blow ups of points at infinity, along with two other morphisms $\overline{\pi}_1$ and $\overline{\pi}_3$, such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & Y & & \\ & \swarrow \overline{\pi}_1 & \downarrow \overline{\pi}_2 & \searrow \overline{\pi}_3 & \\ \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^2 & \xleftarrow{f^{-1}} & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^2 & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{L}}^2 \end{array}$$

Moreover, denoting by H_{∞} the line at infinity, the divisor

$$(5.3) \quad D := \overline{\pi}_3^* H_{\infty} + \overline{\pi}_1^* H_{\infty} - \left(d + \frac{1}{d}\right) \overline{\pi}_2^* H_{\infty}$$

is effective. Hence, up to shrinking Λ , there exists a smooth projective model $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}: \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ of Y (i.e. \mathfrak{Y} is smooth projective, $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is surjective, and the generic fiber of $\pi_{\mathfrak{B}}$ is isomorphic to Y), with three rational map Π_i , regular over Λ , and extending π_i . Let \mathfrak{Y}' be the desingularization of the image of the map $(\Pi_1, \Pi_2, \Pi_3): \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \times (\mathbb{P}^2)^3$. Denote by Θ_i the i -th projection to $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and let $\pi_{\mathfrak{Y}'} := \pi \circ \Theta_2$. Consider the divisor

$$\mathcal{D} := \Theta_3^*(B \times H_{\infty}) + \Theta_1^*(B \times H_{\infty}) - \left(d + \frac{1}{d}\right) \Theta_2^*(B \times H_{\infty}).$$

Up to shrinking Λ and taking a large multiple of \mathcal{M} , $\mathcal{D} + \pi_{\mathfrak{Y}'}^* \mathcal{M}$ is an effective divisor supported outside $\Theta_2^{-1}(\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2)$. Up to taking a finite field extension of \mathbb{K} , we can assume all the varieties and divisors above are defined over \mathbb{K} . Since the Weil height associated to an effective divisor is bounded below outside of the base locus of its linear system, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{d^2}\right) h(x) \leq \frac{1}{d} h(f_t(x)) + \frac{1}{d} h(f_t^{-1}(x)) + \frac{C}{d} (h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1)$$

for all $(t, x) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. By induction, for all positive integers $n \geq 1$,

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{d^{2^n}}\right) h(x) \leq \frac{1}{d^{2^n}} h(f_t^{2^n}(x)) + \frac{1}{d^{2^n}} h(f_t^{-2^n}(x)) + a_n C (h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1),$$

where $a_1 = 1/d$ and $a_{n+1} = (1 + 2/d^{2^{n-1}} + 1/d^{2^n})a_n$. Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$, we obtain finally that $h(x) \leq \hat{h}_{f_t}^+(x) + \hat{h}_{f_t}^-(x) + C_1(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1)$, where $C_1 = C \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} a_n$.

We now prove the other height inequality (5.2). Let \mathfrak{X} be the desingularization of the graph of the rational map $\mathcal{F}_1: \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4$. We denote by Φ_1 the projection $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2$ and by \mathcal{G}_1 the projection $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4$. Denote by $\mathcal{L} := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2}(1)$ and $\mathcal{L}' := \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4}(1)$. There exists a vertical divisor \mathcal{V} such that $\frac{1}{d}\mathcal{G}_1^*\mathcal{L}' - \Phi_1^*\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\mathcal{V})$, so that

$$-m\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}^*\mathcal{M}) \leq \frac{1}{d}\mathcal{G}_1^*\mathcal{L}' - \Phi_1^*\mathcal{L} \leq m\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{X}}(\pi_{\mathfrak{X}}^*\mathcal{M})$$

for some large integer $m > 0$. Up to shrinking Λ , we can assume that $\text{supp}(\mathcal{M}) \subset \mathfrak{B} \setminus \Lambda$, so that for any $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ and $z \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $\pi(z) = t$, we have, by Weil's height machine,

$$\left| \frac{1}{d}h_{\mathcal{L}'}(\mathcal{F}_1(z)) - h_{\mathcal{L}}(z) \right| \leq mh_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + O(1).$$

In particular, there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that for all $(t, x) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\frac{1}{d}h(f_t(x)) \leq h(x) + mh_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + O(1).$$

By induction, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we have

$$(5.4) \quad \frac{h(f_t^{n+1}(x))}{d^{n+1}} \leq \frac{h(f_t^n(x))}{d^n} + \frac{mh_{\mathcal{M}}(t)}{d^n} + \frac{O(1)}{d^n} \leq h(x) + m\left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{d^i}\right)h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + \left(\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{1}{d^i}\right)O(1).$$

Passing to the limit $n \rightarrow +\infty$, there exists a positive constant $C^+ > 0$ such that

$$\hat{h}_{f_t}^+(x) \leq h(x) + C^+(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1).$$

Similarly, iterating backwards, there exists a positive constant $C^- > 0$ such that

$$\hat{h}_{f_t}^-(x) \leq h(x) + C^-(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1).$$

Hence denoting by $C := C^+ + C^-$, we get

$$\hat{h}_{f_t}(x) \leq 2h(x) + 2C(h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) + 1)$$

for all $(t, x) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. □

5.2. Height inequalities on non-degenerate families of curves.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ be a non-degenerate family of curves defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Let \mathcal{M} be any ample \mathbb{Q} -line bundle on \mathfrak{B} . Then there exist positive constants $C_3, C_4 > 0$, a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{C}^0 of \mathcal{C} such that for all $(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have*

$$h_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \leq C_3\hat{h}_{f_t}(x) + C_4.$$

See [55, Theorem 6.2.2] (or [27, Theorem 5.4]) for the case of families of polarized endomorphisms.

Proof. Since $\mu_{\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$, by Proposition 4.1, for a general parameter t'

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^{-2n}\mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2}{d^{-n}\mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L} \cdot \pi^*\mathcal{M}} = \frac{\tilde{h}(\mathcal{C})}{\deg_{\mathcal{L}'|_{\mathcal{C}_{t'}}}(\mathcal{C}_{t'}) \deg \mathcal{M}} =: C > 0.$$

Thus there exists a positive integer $N \geq 0$ such that for all $n \geq N$,

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_n \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2}{\mathcal{C} \cdot \mathcal{L}' \cdot \pi^*\mathcal{M}} > \frac{Cd^n}{2}.$$

Set $M := Cd^N/4$, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{C}_N \cdot \mathcal{L}'^2}{2\mathcal{C}_N \cdot \mathcal{L}' \cdot M\pi^*\mathcal{M}} > 1.$$

By Siu's numerical criterion for bigness [40, Theorem 2.2.15], $\mathcal{L}' - M\pi^*\mathcal{M}$ is big on \mathcal{C}_N . Thus there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{C}_N such that, for all $(t, z) \in \mathcal{U}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$h'(z) - Mh_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \geq O(1).$$

Hence there exists a non-empty Zariski open subset \mathcal{C}^0 of \mathcal{C} such that for all $(t, x) \in \mathcal{C}^0(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$,

$$(5.5) \quad Mh_{\mathcal{M}}(t) \leq h'(\mathcal{F}_N(x)) + O(1) \leq h(f^N(x)) + h(f^{-N}(x)).$$

Now, the height inequality (5.1) implies

$$(5.6) \quad h(f^N(x)) + h(f^{-N}(x)) \leq \left(d^N + \frac{1}{d^N}\right) \hat{h}_{f_i}(x) + C_1(h_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(x)) + 1).$$

Combining (5.5) and (5.6), there exists a positive constant $C' > 0$ such that

$$\left(\frac{C}{2} - \frac{C_1}{d^N}\right) h_{\mathcal{M}}(\pi(x)) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{d^{2N}}\right) \hat{h}_{f_i}(x) + C'.$$

Choose N large enough so that $\frac{C}{2} > \frac{C_1}{d^N}$, we have the desired inequality. \square

6. EQUIDISTRIBUTION IN FAMILIES OF PLANE REGULAR POLYNOMIAL AUTOMORPHISMS

6.1. Adelic line bundles on projective varieties. In this section we give a quick review of the theory of adelic line bundles on projective varieties of Zhang ([60, 59], see also [53, 10]). Other references are given in the text.

6.1.1. Definitions. Let \mathbb{K} be a number field. Let $M_{\mathbb{K}}$ be the set of its places, i.e. the set of absolute values on \mathbb{K} whose restriction to \mathbb{Q} are the usual absolute values on \mathbb{Q} . For any $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$, denote by \mathbb{K}_v the completion of \mathbb{K} w.r.t. v and by \mathbb{C}_v the completion of an algebraic closure of \mathbb{K}_v . If v extends p , i.e. for any $x \in \mathbb{Q}$, it holds $|x|_v = |x|_p$, then denote by $n_v := [\mathbb{K}_v : \mathbb{Q}_p]$. For any $x \in \mathbb{K}$, we have the product formula $\sum_{v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}} n_v \log |x|_v = 0$.

Let X be a smooth projective variety defined over \mathbb{K} . Let X_v^{an} be the Berkovich analytification of X over \mathbb{C}_v . Let L be a line bundle on X , and denote by L^{an} its analytification.

A *continuous metric* $\|\cdot\|_v$ on L_v^{an} is the data for any open subset $U \subset X_v^{\text{an}}$ and any section σ on U of a continuous function $\|\sigma\|_v : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ such that

- (1) $\|\sigma\|_v$ vanishes only at the zeros of σ ;
- (2) for any open subset $V \subset U$, $\|\sigma\|_v|_V = \|\sigma|_V\|_v$;
- (3) for any analytic function f on U , $\|f\sigma\|_v = |f|_v \|\sigma\|_v$.

An *arithmetic model* $(\mathfrak{X}, \mathcal{L}, e)$ is a triple where \mathfrak{X} is a proper flat scheme over the ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{K}}$ of \mathbb{K} such that $\mathfrak{X}_{\mathbb{K}} \simeq X$, $e \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{K}}^e \simeq L$. It induces naturally the *model metric* (see [60, (1.1)]) at each non-archimedean place. An *adelic metric* $\bar{L} := (L, \{\|\cdot\|_v\}_{v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}})$ on L is a collection of continuous metric at each place v , satisfying some coherent conditions, that is, there exists an arithmetic model \mathfrak{X} such that for all but finitely many non-archimedean places $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$, the metric $\|\cdot\|_v$ is the model metric induced by \mathfrak{X} .

To any adelic metric \bar{L} , we can associate a height function as follows. Let $x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, denote by $O_v(x)$ its Galois orbit in X_v^{an} , let σ be any rational section of L regular and non-vanishing at x ,

then

$$h_{\bar{L}}(x) := \frac{-1}{\deg(x)} \sum_{v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}} n_v \sum_{z \in \mathcal{O}_v(x)} \log \|\sigma(z)\|_v.$$

6.1.2. *Positivities of adelic line bundles.* Let $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ be a Hermitian metric on an arithmetic model \mathfrak{X} , we say that $\bar{\mathcal{L}}$ is *semipositive* if \mathcal{L} is relatively nef and the curvature form $c_1(\mathcal{L}_{\mathbb{C}})$ is semipositive. An adelic metric \bar{L} is said to be *semipositive* if it is a uniform limit of a sequence of semipositive hermitian metrics, *nef* if moreover $h_{\bar{L}} \geq 0$, and *integrable* if it is the difference of two nef adelic line bundles.

Example 6.1. Let $X = \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{K}}^n$ with coordinates z_i and $L = \mathcal{O}(1)$. Let $\sigma \in H^0(X, L)$ be a section, it can be represented by a linear form $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i$, where $a_i \in \mathbb{K}$. Then at each place $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$, we can define a metric by setting

$$\|\sigma(z_0, \dots, z_n)\|_v = \frac{|\sum_{i=1}^n a_i z_i|_v}{\max\{|z_0|_v, \dots, |z_n|_v\}}.$$

The adelic metric $\bar{L} = (L, \{\|\cdot\|_v\}_v)$ is semipositive, and we call it the *standard metric* on the line bundle L . In fact, its associated height function $h_{\bar{L}}$ is the *standard Weil height function*, i.e. for any $z \in X(K)$,

$$h_{\bar{L}}(z) = \frac{1}{[\mathbb{K} : \mathbb{Q}]} \sum_{v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}} n_v \log \max\{|z_0|_v, \dots, |z_n|_v\}.$$

Denote by $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\text{int}}$ the group of integrable adelic line bundles. For any positive integer $d \geq 0$, denote by $Z_d(X)$ the group of Chow cycles of dimension d . Then we have an intersection pairing $\widehat{\text{Pic}}(X)_{\text{int}}^{d+1} \times Z_d(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We write the product as $\bar{L}_0 \cdots \bar{L}_d \cdot Y$. If $Y = X$, then we can simply denote it by $\bar{L}_0 \cdots \bar{L}_d$.

Following ([59, 60]), we define the absolute minimum of \bar{L} by $e_{\text{abs}}(\bar{L}) := \inf_{x \in X(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} h_{\bar{L}}(x)$, and the essential minimum of \bar{L} by $e_{\text{ess}}(\bar{L}) := \sup_{Y \neq X} \inf_{x \in X \setminus Y(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})} h_{\bar{L}}(x)$, where the supremum is taken over all closed proper subvarieties Y . The following fundamental inequalities are due to S.-W. Zhang.

Theorem 6.2 ([60, Theorem (1.10)]). *If \bar{L} is semipositive and L is big and nef, then*

$$e_{\text{ess}}(\bar{L}) \geq \frac{\bar{L}^{\dim(X)+1}}{(\dim(X)+1)D^{\dim(X)}} \geq \frac{1}{\dim(X)+1} (e_{\text{ess}}(\bar{L}) + \dim(X)e_{\text{abs}}(\bar{L})).$$

In particular, if $e_{\text{abs}}(\bar{L}) \geq 0$, then $\bar{L}^{\dim(X)+1} \geq 0$.

Set $\hat{H}^0(X, \bar{L}) := \{\sigma \in H^0(X, L) \mid \|\sigma\|_v \leq 1, \forall v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}\}$ and $\hat{h}^0(X, \bar{L}) := \log \text{Card}(\hat{H}^0(X, \bar{L}))$. The *arithmetic volume* of \bar{L} is

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{L}) = \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\hat{h}^0(X, \bar{L})}{n^{\dim(X)+1}/(\dim(X)+1)!}.$$

We say that \bar{L} is *big* if $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{L}) > 0$ and *pseudo-effective* if for any big adelic metrized line bundle \bar{E} , $\bar{L} + \bar{E}$ is big.

The following theorem is proved by Ballayé ([1]) when L is big and \bar{L} is semipositive (in fact he proved the equivalence in this case), the general case is due to Qu and Yin ([47]).

Theorem 6.3. *We have the implication $e_{\text{ess}}(\bar{L}) \geq 0 \implies \bar{L}$ is pseudo-effective.*

The following positivity theorem is due to A. Moriawaki.

Proposition 6.4 ([45, Proposition 4.5.4]). *If $\bar{L}_1, \dots, \bar{L}_{\dim(X)}$ are nef and $\bar{L}_{\dim(X)+1}$ is pseudo-effective, then $\bar{L}_1 \cdots \bar{L}_{\dim(X)+1} \geq 0$.*

To finish these two preliminary subsections, we remark that the theory works also for \mathbb{Q} -line bundles and all the line bundles we consider in this text is rational unless explicitly stated to the contrary.

6.2. Equidistribution on quasi-projective varieties. Let V be a smooth quasi-projective variety over a number field \mathbb{K} of dimension k . Fix an archimedean place $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$. We define an v -adic equidistribution model $\text{EQ}_v(V, (V_n)_{n \geq 0}, (\Psi_n)_{n \geq 1}, (\bar{L}_n)_{n \geq 1})$ (or $\text{EQ}(V)$ if it is clear from the context) of V by the following data:

- V_n is a projective variety over \mathbb{K} .
- There exists an open immersion $\iota_n: V \rightarrow V_n$ such that $\Psi_n: V_n \rightarrow V_0$ is a birational morphism which is an isomorphism on V .
- L_n is a big and nef line bundle on V_n , endowed with a semipositive adelic metric \bar{L}_n .

We say that $\text{EQ}(V)$ is

- *non-degenerate* if we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{vol}(L_n) > 0$, and the sequence of probability measures $\text{vol}(L_n)^{-1} (\Psi_n)_* c_1(\bar{L}_n^k)$ converges weakly to some probability measure μ_v on V_v^{an} .
- *bounded* if either $k = 1$, or $k > 2$ and for any ample line bundle E on V_0 with a nef adelic metrization \bar{E} , there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$(\Psi_n^*(\bar{E}))^j \cdot (\bar{L}_n)^{k+1-j} \leq C,$$

for any $2 \leq j \leq k$ and any $n \geq 1$.

Given a sequence $x_m \in V(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$, it is called *generic* if for any subvariety W of V , there exists some integer $N \geq 1$ such that, for all $m \geq N$, $x_m \notin W(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$. For any generic sequence x_m , we say that it is $\text{EQ}(V)$ -small if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \left(\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} (h_{\bar{L}_n}(\Psi_n^{-1}(x_m))) - h_{\bar{L}_n}(V_n) \right) = 0.$$

The following is a reformulation by Gauthier [26, Theorem 2] of the equidistribution theorem of Yuan and Zhang [55, Theorem 5.4.3]:

Theorem 6.5. *Let V be a smooth quasi-projective variety over a number field \mathbb{K} . Let $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$ be an archimedean place. Let $\text{EQ}(V)$ be a non-degenerate and bounded equidistribution model of V . Let $(x_m)_{m \geq 1}$ be a generic and $\text{EQ}(V)$ -small sequence in $V(\bar{\mathbb{Q}})$.*

Then the sequence of probability measures μ_{x_m} that are uniformly supported on the Galois orbit $\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})x_m$ of x_m converges weakly to μ_v , i.e. for any continuous and compactly supported function φ on V_v^{an} , we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{\text{Card}(\text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})x_m)} \sum_{y \in \text{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})x_m} \varphi(y) = \int_{V_v^{\text{an}}} \varphi \mu_v.$$

6.3. Equidistribution for non-isotrivial marked points. Let f_t be an algebraic family of plane regular polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth complex quasi-projective curve Λ . Let $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^2$ be a marked point. We say that the family f_t is *isotrivial* if there exist a finite morphism $\alpha: \Lambda' \rightarrow \Lambda$ and a family of affine polynomial automorphisms ϕ_t parameterized by Λ' , then the conjugate family $\phi_t \circ f_{\alpha(t)} \circ \phi_t^{-1}$ is constant, and the pair (f_t, α) is *isotrivial* if moreover $\sigma \circ \alpha$ is constant.

Theorem 6.6. *Let f_t be an algebraic family of polynomial automorphisms of Hénon type of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ , defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Let σ be a marked point. Then*

$$\{t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \sigma(t) \text{ is periodic for } f_t\}$$

is a set of bounded height.

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ be the pull back of the canonical line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)}$ with the standard adelic metrization (see Example 6.1) by the projection $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^2}: \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$. For any positive integer $n \geq 1$, define an adelic metrization by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+ := 1/d^n (f^n \circ \sigma)^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}$. We may assume that there exist infinitely many periodic parameters t_m . By the height inequality (5.1), there is an Zariski open subset U of Λ such that, for all $t \in U(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have $h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(t_m) \leq \frac{C_1}{d^n} (h_M(t_m) + 1)$, and

$$(6.1) \quad \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(t_m) \leq \limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{C_1}{d^n} (h_M(t_m) + 1).$$

Let N be a positive integer such that $N\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n - M$ is ample for all n , there exists a constant $c = c(n)$ such that

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{h_M(t_m)}{N} + \frac{c}{N} \leq h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(t_m).$$

If $h_M(t_m)$ is not bounded, the inequalities (6.1) and (6.2) would imply $N \leq C_1/d^n$ for all n , leading to a contradiction. \square

Remark 6.7. *As mentioned in Introduction, this result generalizes Ingram's work [33, Theorem 1.3]. In his case, Ingram established [33, Theorem 1.1] that \hat{h}_{f_t} is in fact a Weil height associated with an ample line bundle. Since our primary interest lies in equidistribution results, we focused on proving height inequalities, as presented in Lemma 5.1. This approach is, in some respects, both more general and less general than [33, Theorem 1.1].*

Theorem 6.8. *Let f_t be an algebraic family of regular plane polynomial automorphisms of degree $d \geq 2$ parameterized by a smooth quasi-projective curve Λ defined over a number field \mathbb{K} and $\sigma: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ a marked point defined over \mathbb{K} . Fix an archimedean place v of \mathbb{K} , so that we have an embedding $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose the pair (f_t, σ) is non-isotrivial and non-periodic.*

Then the following is true. If there exists a non-eventually constant sequence of parameters $t_m \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{h}_{f_m}(\sigma(t_m)) = 0$, then the sequence of probability measures μ_{t_m} — that are uniformly supported on the Galois orbits $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})_{t_m}$ of t_m — converges weakly to both $\mu_{f, \sigma}^+$ and $\mu_{f, \sigma}^-$ on $\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$, up to some possibly different positive multiplicative constants.

Proof. Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}$ be the pull back of the canonical line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)}$ with the standard adelic metrization (see Example 6.1) by the projection $\pi_{\mathbb{P}^2}: \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$, and $\omega_{\mathcal{L}}$ its curvature form. For any positive integer $n \geq 1$, define an adelic metrization by $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+ := 1/d^n (f^n \circ \sigma)^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}$ and denote by ω_n^+ its curvature form. Our equidistribution model will be

$$\text{EQ}(\Lambda) := \text{EQ}_v(\Lambda, \mathfrak{B}, \text{id}_{\mathfrak{B}}, \overline{\mathcal{D}}_n).$$

Let us first show that $\text{EQ}(\Lambda)$ is non-degenerate. If f_t is non-isotrivial, then since σ is non-periodic, $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{vol}(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+) \neq 0$ by [29]. By the construction of the Green function G_f^+ , we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_n^+ = \mu_{f, \sigma}^+$, and again this is non-zero by [29]. If f_t is isotrivial, we can assume $f_t = g$ for some fixed g for all $t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Since (f_t, σ) is not-isotrivial, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{vol}(\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+) = \text{deg}(\sigma) \neq 0$. Let ω_{FS} be the curvature form of $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(1)}$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \omega_n^+ = \sigma^* \pi_{\mathbb{P}^2}^* \left(\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} g^{n*} \omega_{\text{FS}}/d^n \right) = \mu_{f, \sigma}^+ \neq 0.$$

To apply Theorem 6.5, it remains to show that the sequence t_m is $\text{EQ}(\Lambda)$ -small. Since $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n$ is nef, Zhang's inequality (Theorem 6.2) implies that $h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(\mathfrak{B}) \geq 0$. Hence, by Theorem 6.6 and inequality (6.1), there exists a positive constant $c' > 0$ such that

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(t_m) - h_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}_n^+}(\mathfrak{B}) \leq \frac{c'}{d^n},$$

which proves the smallness of t_m and, by Theorem 6.5, the convergence of the measure μ_{t_m} to some positive multiple of $\mu_{f,\sigma}^+$.

We can now repeat the argument above for $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_m^- := 1/d^m(f^{-m} \circ \sigma)^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}$, obtaining the convergence of μ_{t_m} to a positive multiple of $\mu_{f,\sigma}^-$. \square

6.4. Equidistribution for non-degenerate families of curves. Recall the good open subset \mathcal{C}^0 of \mathcal{C} given by Theorem 5.2. Recall also the notations in subsection 4.1

Theorem 6.9. *Let $\mathcal{C} \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2$ be a non-degenerate family of curves defined over a number field \mathbb{K} . Fix an archimedean place $v \in M_{\mathbb{K}}$, so that we have an inclusion of $\mathbb{K} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Suppose we have a generic sequence $x_m = (t_m, z_m) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \hat{h}_{f_m}^{[2]}(x_m) = 0$.*

Then the sequence of probability measures μ_{x_m} , which are uniformly supported on the Galois orbit $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{K})x_m$ of x_m , converges weakly on $\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}(\mathbb{C})$ to some positive multiple of

$$\mu_{f^{[2]}, \mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}} := \left(\text{dd}^c G_f^{[2]} \right)^3 \wedge [\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}]$$

Proof. Let us first construct an equidistribution model of the smooth irreducible variety $\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ be the Zariski closure of $\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}$ in $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4$. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n$ be the normalization of the graph of the birational map $\mathcal{F}_n^{[2]}: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]}$. Let $\tilde{\Psi}_n: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n: \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]}$ be the two projections and $\tilde{\pi}_n := \pi' \circ \mathcal{G}_n: \tilde{\Psi}_n \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ the projection to the base \mathfrak{B} .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n & \\ \tilde{\Psi}_n \swarrow & & \searrow \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n \\ \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0 \subset \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}_n^{[2]}} & \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]} \subset \mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \times \mathbb{P}^4 \\ \tilde{\pi}_n \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi'^{[2]} \\ & \mathfrak{B} & \end{array}$$

Let $\overline{\mathcal{M}}$ be a semipositive adelic metric on an ample divisor \mathcal{M} such that $h_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in \mathfrak{B}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}'$ be the pull back of the canonical line bundle $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)}$ with the standard adelic metrization (Example 6.1) by the projection $\mathfrak{B} \times \mathbb{P}^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^4$. Let $\overline{\mathcal{L}}'_n := \frac{1}{d^n} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}'^{[2]} + \tilde{\pi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{M}} \right)$. We thus have a model

$$\text{EQ}(\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}) = \text{EQ}_v(\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}, \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \tilde{\Psi}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}}'_n).$$

We first show that the model $\text{EQ}(\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]})$ is non-degenerate. The volume of \mathcal{L}'_n is

$$\text{vol}(\mathcal{L}'_n) = \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \left(\tilde{\mathcal{G}}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{L}}'^{[2]} + \tilde{\pi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{M}} \right)^3 \cdot \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]} = \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \left(\mathcal{L}'^{[2]} \right)^3 \cdot \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]} + \frac{1}{d^{3n}} O(1),$$

where $O(1)$ is independent of n . Since

$$\left(\text{dd}^c G_{f^{[2]}} \right)^3 \wedge [\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}] = p^* \mu_{f,\mathcal{C}^0} \wedge q^* \left(\text{dd}^c G \wedge [\mathcal{C}^0] \right)$$

and by assumption $\mu_{f,\mathcal{C}} \neq 0$, we infer that the measure $\mu_{f^{[2]},\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}}$ is non zero either. Therefore the limit of volumes $\text{vol}(\mathcal{L}'_n)$ is non zero. In fact, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \text{vol}(\mathcal{L}'_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{d^{3n}} \left(\mathcal{L}'^{[2]} \right)^3 \cdot \mathcal{C}_n^{[2]} \geq \int_{\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^2 \times \mathbb{A}^2(\mathbb{C})} (\text{dd}^c G_{f^{[2]}})^3 \wedge [\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}] > 0.$$

Let ω be the curvature form $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{L}'})$ on $\Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^4(\mathbb{C})$ and ω_b the curvature form $c_1(\overline{\mathcal{M}})$ on $\Lambda(\mathbb{C})$. By the construction of the Green function G_f , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{d^n} \left(\mathcal{F}_n^{[2]*}(\omega^{[2]}) + \pi'^*(\omega_b) \right) = \text{dd}^c G_f^{[2]}.$$

Thus the measure μ_ν of the equidistribution model is $\mu_{f^{[2]},\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}}$.

We next show that the sequence x_m is $\text{EQ}(\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]})$ -small. By the inequality (5.6), for all positive integers $n \geq 0$ and for all $x = (t, z) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{1}{d^n} \left(h^{[2]}((f_t^{[2]})^n(z)) + h^{[2]}((f_t^{[2]})^{-n}(z)) \right) \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{d^{2n}} \right) \hat{h}_{f_t^{[2]}}(z) + \frac{2C_1}{d^n} (h_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(t) + 1).$$

Since by construction $h_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}'}}(x) \geq 0$ for any $x \in \tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, Theorem 6.2 implies $h_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}'}}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n) \geq 0$. Thus for all $x = (t, z) \in \mathcal{C}^{0,[2]}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_n(x) &:= h_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}'}}(\tilde{\Psi}_n^{-1}(x)) - h_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}'}}(\mathcal{C}_0) \leq h_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_n, \overline{\mathcal{L}'}}(\tilde{\Psi}_n^{-1}(x)) = \frac{1}{d^n} \left((h')^{[2]}(\mathcal{F}_{n,t}^{[2]}(z)) + h_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(t) \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{d^n} \left(h^{[2]}((f_t^{[2]})^n(z)) + h^{[2]}((f_t^{[2]})^{-n}(z)) + h_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}}(t) \right) \end{aligned}$$

By (6.3) and Lemma 5.2, there exist constants $c_1, c_2 > 0$ such that $\varepsilon_n(x) \leq c_1 \hat{h}_{f_t^{[2]}}(x) + \frac{c_2}{d^n}$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \hat{h}_{f_m^{[2]}}(x_m) = 0$ by our assumption, we have $\limsup_{m \rightarrow +\infty} \varepsilon_n(x_m) \leq \frac{c_2}{d^n}$, which tends to zero when $n \rightarrow +\infty$.

Finally we need to verify that $\text{EQ}(\mathcal{C}^{0,[2]})$ is bounded. Let \mathcal{E} be any ample line bundle on $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}_0$ with a nef adelic metrization $\overline{\mathcal{E}}$. By the height inequality (5.4), there exists a nef adelic metrization $\overline{\mathcal{M}'}$ of \mathcal{M} and a positive integer $C_M > 0$ such that $e_{\text{ess}}(C_M \tilde{\pi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{M}'} + 2\tilde{\Psi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{L}'^{[2]}} - \overline{\mathcal{L}'}) \geq 0$. By Theorem 6.3, this metrized line bundle is pseudo-effective, and by Proposition 6.4,

$$(\tilde{\Psi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{E}})^2 \cdot \overline{\mathcal{L}'} \leq (\tilde{\Psi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{E}})^2 \cdot (C_M \tilde{\pi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{M}'} + 2\tilde{\Psi}_n^* \overline{\mathcal{L}'^{[2]}}) = \overline{\mathcal{E}}^2 \cdot (C_M \pi^{[2]*} \overline{\mathcal{M}'} + 2\overline{\mathcal{L}'^{[2]}}).$$

All the conditions of Theorem 6.5 are satisfied, leading to equidistribution. \square

7. PROOFS OF MAIN THEOREMS

7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. We may assume that the pair (f_t, σ) is non-isotrivial; otherwise we reduces to a single regular plane polynomial automorphism and a non-periodic point, implying the absence of any periodic parameters. Suppose there exist infinitely many periodic parameters t such that $\sigma(t)$ is periodic for f_t . In particular, this means $\hat{h}_{f_t}(\sigma(t)) = 0$. Then, by the equidistribution theorem 6.9, the two non-vanishing Green measures $\sigma_{f_t, \sigma}^\pm$ are proportional. Therefore, we can apply the results of Sect. 3. The assumption that $\text{Jac}(f_t)$ lies on the unit circle implies that $\sigma(t)$ can not be neutral periodic and moreover, can not be saddle either, as established by Proposition 3.1. Proposition 3.4 further shows that $\sigma(t)$ is neither semi-repelling nor semi-attracting. Finally $\sigma(t)$ can not be repelling or attracting by Proposition 3.6. Thus, we reach a contradiction, as none of the possible situations are viable.

7.2. Proof of Theorem 1.2. We can assume the pair (f_t, σ) is non-isotrivial. Suppose there exist infinitely many periodic parameters $t \in \Lambda$. By the proof of Theorem 1.1, no parameter can be (semi-)repelling or (semi-)attracting. Now, suppose there are infinitely many saddle parameters. Then by Proposition 3.1, we know that the Jacobians $\text{Jac}(f_t)$ must all be roots of unity. Denote by $C \subset \Lambda$ the real analytic curve formed by the parameters t for which f_t has Jacobian lying on the unit circle. Applying the equidistribution theorem 6.9 to these parameters, we obtain $\text{supp}(\mu_{f, \sigma}^+) \subset C$. Let t_0 be a parameter such that $\sigma(t_0)$ is a saddle fixed point and C is smooth at t_0 . We now work in the local coordinate as described in the step 0 of the proof of Proposition 3.1. Consider the support

$$Z_n := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon) \mid \frac{t}{\lambda_u^n} \in \text{supp}(\mu_{f, \sigma}^+) \right\}$$

of $dd^c G_{r_n^u}^+(\sigma(r_n^u(t)))$. Denote by Z^+ the support of $dd^c(G_0^+ \circ \rho_0^u)$. Let $\phi_q: t \mapsto t^q$, then $\phi_q^{-1}Z^+$ is contained in the limit points of Z_n , which is the set of points $t \in \mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$ such that there exists a sequence t_n of points in Z_n converging to t . Write $\lambda_u = |\lambda_u|e^{i\pi\theta}$ and let ξ^{-1} be the limit of a subsequence $e^{i\pi\theta n_j}$ of $e^{i\pi\theta n}$. Let L be the tangent line of C at the origin (in $\mathbb{D}(\varepsilon)$). The limit points of Z_n is contained ξL , hence $Z^+ \subset \phi_q(\xi L)$. This implies that $e^{i\pi\theta n}$ has only two limit points, ± 1 . Therefore, $e^{i\pi\theta} = \pm 1$, meaning λ_u is real and $Z^+ \subset \phi_q(L)$. We will need the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1 ([9, Proposition 2.2]). *Let f be a regular polynomial automorphism of complex affine plane. Denote by G_f^+ the forward Green function of f . Let p be a saddle periodic point. Let $W_{\text{loc}}^u(p)$ be its unstable local manifold and $\rho_p: \mathbb{D} \rightarrow W_{\text{loc}}^u(p)$ a local parametrization (thus $\rho_p(0) = p$), where $\mathbb{D} \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the unit disk. If $\text{supp}(dd^c G_f^+ \circ \rho_p)$ is contained in a line passing through the origin, then for any other saddle periodic point q , $\text{supp}(dd^c G_f^+ \circ \rho_q)$ is also contained in a line passing through the origin.*

In the local coordinate provided by a parametrization of a local unstable manifold, the action of f on the Julia set corresponds to multiplication by the unstable multiplier. If the Julia set is contained in a line, then the unstable multiplier has to be real. Let σ_0 denote the local analytic continuation of the saddle point $\sigma(t_0)$ over an analytic open subset $U \subset \Lambda$. Take any saddle parameter t close to t_0 and repeat the above argument. This implies that the Julia set in the local unstable manifold of $\sigma(t)$ is contained in a line through the origin. By Lemma 7.1, the same property holds at the saddle fixed point $\sigma_0(t)$. By symmetry, the Jacobian of f_t must therefore be real. Since $\text{Jac}(f_t)$ is a root of unity, it must be ± 1 . However, a holomorphic function of one variable has discrete preimages, leading to a contradiction.

7.3. Proof of Theorem 1.4. Since \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate, Proposition 4.2 implies the following. Up to replacing f by an iterate, there exists an analytic open subset $U \subset \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^4(\mathbb{C})$ such that, $G_{f^{[2]}}|_U > 0$ and $\mu_{f, \mathcal{C}^{[0,2]}}|_U > 0$. Let $\chi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a test function on U such that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$. By construction of the Green functions, for any $(t, x, y) \in \Lambda \times (\mathbb{A}^2)^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$, we have

$$(7.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \hat{h}_{f^{[2]}}(x, y) &= \hat{h}_{f_t}(x) + \hat{h}_{f_t}(y) \geq G_{f_t}^+(x) + G_{f_t}^-(x) + G_{f_t}^+(y) + G_{f_t}^-(y) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}(G_{f_t}(x) + G_{f_t}(y)) = \frac{1}{2}G_{f_t^{[2]}}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose by contradiction that for every $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exists a parameter $\lambda_n \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that the set $W_n := \{(\lambda_n, w) \in \mathcal{C}_{t_n}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \hat{h}_{f_n}(w) \leq 1/n\}$ contains at least n points. Order the set of points of the form (λ_m, w_1, w_2) with $w_1, w_2 \in W_m$ for some $m \geq 1$, and denote this sequence by $x_n = (t_n, z_n) \in \Lambda \times \mathbb{A}^4(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$. We claim that x_n is a generic sequence in $\mathcal{C}^{[2]}$, and thus in $\mathcal{C}^{[0,2]}$. In fact, otherwise the Zariski closure \mathcal{Z} of x_n in $\mathcal{C}^{[2]}$ would have relative dimension 1 with respect to the base Λ . The projection $\mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ would then be a finite morphism with degree d_1 . Since x_n is symmetric (i.e., if we

write $x_n = (t_n, w_1, w_2)$, then there exists n' such that $x_{n'} = (t_n, w_2, w_1)$, there are at most d_1^2 points in W_n for all but finitely many n , which leads to a contradiction.

Applying the equidistribution Theorem 6.9 to the test function $\chi G_{f^{[2]}}$, for all n large enough, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \frac{1}{\text{Card}(\mathcal{O}(x_n))} \sum_{x' \in \mathcal{O}(x_n)} \chi(x') G_{f^{[2]}}(x') \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda \times (\mathbb{A}^2)^2(\mathbb{C})} \chi G_f \mu_{f^{[2]}, \mathcal{C}^0, [2]} > 0,$$

where $\mathcal{O}(x_n)$ is the Galois orbit $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/K)x_n$ of x_n . Since height functions are invariant by the action of the Galois group, combining (7.1) and (7.2), we have

$$\hat{h}_{f_t^{[2]}}(x_n) \geq \sup_{x' \in \mathcal{O}(x_n)} \frac{1}{2} G_{f^{[2]}}(x') \geq \frac{1}{4} \int_{\Lambda \times (\mathbb{A}^2)^2(\mathbb{C})} \chi G_f \mu_{f^{[2]}, \mathcal{C}^0, [2]} > 0,$$

which is a contradiction.

7.4. Proof of Theorem 1.5. The assertion that $\{t \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \mid \exists z \in \mathcal{C}_t(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}), z \text{ is periodic for } f_t\}$ has bounded height follows directly from Lemma 5.2. It remains to show that there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that, for any f -periodic point $z = (t, x)$, we have $h(x) \leq C$. By the height inequality (5.1) of Lemma 5.1, this is true on a Zariski open subset \mathcal{C}^0 of \mathcal{C} . The subset $Z := \mathcal{C} \setminus \mathcal{C}^0$ is of dimension at most 1. Theorem 1.3, combined with height inequality (5.1), imply that the periodic points contained in Z is of bounded height as well, completing the proof.

7.5. Proof of Theorem 1.6. By Proposition 4.6, there exists $t_0 \gg 1$ such that for all $|t| \geq t_0$, we have $r_t < r$ and $\mathcal{C}_t \cap K_t = \emptyset$. Thus, condition (1) of Proposition 4.7 is satisfied. Now, suppose for the sake of contradiction that for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, there exists $t_n \in \Lambda(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ such that the set $\{z \in \mathcal{C}_{t_n} \mid \hat{h}_{f_{t_n}}(z) \leq 1/n\}$ contains at least n points. this assumption, combined with the already verified condition (1), implies the condition (2) of Proposition 4.7. Thus \mathcal{C} is non-degenerate and we can apply Theorem 1.4, leading to a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] François Ballaÿ. Successive minima and asymptotic slopes in Arakelov geometry. *Compos. Math.*, 157(6):1302–1339, 2021.
- [2] Eric Bedford. The operator $(\text{ddc})_n$ on complex spaces. In Pierre Lelong and Henri Skoda, editors, *Séminaire Pierre Lelong-Henri Skoda (Analyse) Années 1980/81*, pages 294–323, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1982. Springer Berlin Heidelberg.
- [3] Eric Bedford, Mikhail Lyubich, and John Smillie. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . IV. The measure of maximal entropy and laminar currents. *Invent. Math.*, 112(1):77–125, 1993.
- [4] Eric Bedford and John Smillie. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 : currents, equilibrium measure and hyperbolicity. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 103(1):69–100, 1991.
- [5] Eric Bedford and John Smillie. Polynomial diffeomorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 . III. Ergodicity, exponents and entropy of the equilibrium measure. *Math. Ann.*, 294(3):395–420, 1992.
- [6] Robert L. Benedetto. Preperiodic points of polynomials over global fields. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 608:123–153, 2007.
- [7] Xavier Buff and Adam Epstein. Bifurcation measure and postcritically finite rational maps. In *Complex dynamics*, pages 491–512. A K Peters, Wellesley, MA, 2009.
- [8] Gregory S. Call and Joseph H. Silverman. Canonical heights on varieties with morphisms. *Compositio Math.*, 89(2):163–205, 1993.
- [9] Serge Cantat and Romain Dujardin. Some rigidity results for polynomial automorphisms of \mathbb{C}^2 , 2024. Forthcoming.
- [10] Antoine Chambert-Loir. Heights and measures on analytic spaces. a survey of recent results, and some remarks, 2010. arXiv:1001.2517.
- [11] Pietro Corvaja, Jacob Tsimerman, and Umberto Zannier. Finite orbits in surfaces with a double elliptic fibration and torsion values of sections, 2023. arXiv:2302.00859.
- [12] Laura DeMarco. Bifurcations, intersections, and heights. *Algebra Number Theory*, 10(5):1031–1056, 2016.

- [13] Laura DeMarco, Holly Krieger, and Hexi Ye. Uniform Manin-Mumford for a family of genus 2 curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 191(3):949–1001, 2020.
- [14] Laura DeMarco, Holly Krieger, and Hexi Ye. Common preperiodic points for quadratic polynomials. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 18:363–413, 2022.
- [15] Laura DeMarco and Niki Myrto Mavraki. Variation of canonical height and equidistribution. *Amer. J. Math.*, 142(2):443–473, 2020.
- [16] Laura DeMarco and Niki Myrto Mavraki. Dynamics on \mathbb{P}^1 : preperiodic points and pairwise stability. *Compos. Math.*, 160(2):356–387, 2024.
- [17] Vesselin Dimitrov, Ziyang Gao, and Philipp Habegger. Uniformity in Mordell-Lang for curves. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 194(1):237–298, 2021.
- [18] Tien-Cuong Dinh and Nessim Sibony. Dynamics in several complex variables: endomorphisms of projective spaces and polynomial-like mappings. In *Holomorphic dynamical systems*, volume 1998 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 165–294. Springer, Berlin, 2010.
- [19] Romain Dujardin and Charles Favre. Distribution of rational maps with a preperiodic critical point. *Amer. J. Math.*, 130(4):979–1032, 2008.
- [20] Romain Dujardin and Charles Favre. The dynamical manin–mumford problem for plane polynomial automorphisms. *Journal of the European Mathematical Society*, 19(11):3421–3465, 2017.
- [21] Charles Favre and Thomas Gauthier. *The arithmetic of polynomial dynamical pairs*, volume 214 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, [2022] ©2022.
- [22] John Erik Fornæss and Nessim Sibony. Oka’s inequality for currents and applications. *Math. Ann.*, 301(3):399–419, 1995.
- [23] Shmuel Friedland and John Milnor. Dynamical properties of plane polynomial automorphisms. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 9(1):67–99, 1989.
- [24] Ziyang Gao, Tangli Ge, and Lars Kühne. The uniform Mordell-Lang conjecture, 2021. arXiv:2105.15085.
- [25] Ziyang Gao and Philipp Habegger. The relative manin-mumford conjecture, 2023. arXiv:2303.05045.
- [26] Thomas Gauthier. Good height functions on quasi-projective varieties: equidistribution and applications in dynamics, 2023. arXiv:2105.02479.
- [27] Thomas Gauthier, Johan Taffin, and Gabriel Vigny. Sparsity of postcritically finite maps of \mathbb{P}^k and beyond: A complex analytic approach, 2023. arXiv:2305.02246.
- [28] Thomas Gauthier and Gabriel Vigny. The geometric dynamical Northcott and Bogomolov properties, 2020. arXiv:1912.07907.
- [29] Thomas Gauthier and Gabriel Vigny. The geometric dynamical Northcott property for regular polynomial automorphisms of the affine plane. *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 150(4):677–698, 2022.
- [30] Philipp Habegger. Torsion points on elliptic curves in Weierstrass form. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci. (5)*, 12(3):687–715, 2013.
- [31] Liang-Chung Hsia and Shu Kawaguchi. Heights and periodic points for one-parameter families of Hénon maps, 2018. arXiv:1810.03841.
- [32] John H. Hubbard. The Hénon mapping in the complex domain. In *Chaotic dynamics and fractals (Atlanta, Ga., 1985)*, volume 2 of *Notes Rep. Math. Sci. Engrg.*, pages 101–111. Academic Press, Orlando, FL, 1986.
- [33] Patrick Ingram. Canonical heights for Hénon maps. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 108, 2011.
- [34] Reimi Irokawa. Hybrid dynamics of Hénon mappings, 2023. arXiv:2212.10851.
- [35] Zhuchao Ji and Junyi Xie. Dao for curves, 2023. arXiv:2302.02583.
- [36] Shu Kawaguchi. Canonical height functions for affine plane automorphisms. *Mathematische Annalen*, 335:285–310, 06 2006.
- [37] Shu Kawaguchi. Local and global canonical height functions for affine space regular automorphisms. *Algebra Number Theory*, 7(5):1225–1252, 2013.
- [38] Lars Kühne. The relative Bogomolov conjecture for fibered products of elliptic curves. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 795:243–270, 2023.
- [39] Lars Kühne. Equidistribution in families of abelian varieties and uniformity, 2021. arXiv:2101.10272.
- [40] Robert Lazarsfeld. *Positivity in algebraic geometry. I*, volume 48 of *Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 3. Folge. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas. 3rd Series. A Series of Modern Surveys in Mathematics]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Classical setting: line bundles and linear series.
- [41] Chong Gyu Lee. The equidistribution of small points for strongly regular pairs of polynomial maps. *Math. Z.*, 275(3-4):1047–1072, 2013.

- [42] D. Masser and U. Zannier. Torsion anomalous points and families of elliptic curves. *Amer. J. Math.*, 132(6):1677–1691, 2010.
- [43] David Masser and Umberto Zannier. Torsion points on families of simple abelian surfaces and Pell’s equation over polynomial rings. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 17(9):2379–2416, 2015. With an appendix by E. V. Flynn.
- [44] Niki Myrto Mavraki and Harry Schmidt. On the dynamical bogomolov conjecture for families of split rational maps, 2022. arXiv:2201.10455.
- [45] Atsushi Moriwaki. Adelic divisors on arithmetic varieties. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 242(1144):v+122, 2016.
- [46] Richard Pink. A common generalization of the conjectures of André-Oort, Manin-Mumford, and Mordell-Lang, 2005. Preprint.
- [47] Binggang Qu and Hang Yin. Arithmetic Demailly approximation theorem, 2023. arXiv:2208.13230.
- [48] Nessim Sibony. Dynamique des applications rationnelles de \mathbf{P}^k . In *Dynamique et géométrie complexes (Lyon, 1997)*, volume 8 of *Panor. Synthèses*, pages ix–x, xi–xii, 97–185. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1999.
- [49] Michael Stoll. Simultaneous torsion in the Legendre family. *Exp. Math.*, 26(4):446–459, 2017.
- [50] L. Szpiro, E. Ullmo, and S. Zhang. Équirépartition des petits points. *Invent. Math.*, 127(2):337–347, 1997.
- [51] Lei Tan. Similarity between the Mandelbrot set and Julia sets. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 134(3):587–617, 1990.
- [52] Emmanuel Ullmo. Positivité et discrétion des points algébriques des courbes. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(1):167–179, 1998.
- [53] Xinyi Yuan. Algebraic dynamics, canonical heights and Arakelov geometry. In *Fifth International Congress of Chinese Mathematicians. Part 1, 2*, volume 51, pt. 1, 2 of *AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math.*, pages 893–929. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2012.
- [54] Xinyi Yuan. Arithmetic bigness and a uniform bogomolov-type result, 2023. arXiv:2108.05625.
- [55] Xinyi Yuan and Shou-Wu Zhang. Adelic line bundles on quasi-projective varieties, 2024. arXiv:2105.13587.
- [56] Umberto Zannier. *Some problems of unlikely intersections in arithmetic and geometry*, volume 181 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. With appendixes by David Masser.
- [57] Shou-Wu Zhang. Equidistribution of small points on abelian varieties. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 147(1):159–165, 1998.
- [58] Shou-Wu Zhang. Small points and Arakelov theory. In *Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians, Vol. II (Berlin, 1998)*, pages 217–225, 1998.
- [59] Shouwu Zhang. Positive line bundles on arithmetic varieties. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8(1):187–221, 1995.
- [60] Shouwu Zhang. Small points and adelic metrics. *J. Algebraic Geom.*, 4(2):281–300, 1995.

LABORATOIRE DE MATHÉMATIQUES D’ORSAY, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY, 307 RUE MICHEL MAGAT, 91405 ORSAY, FRANCE

Email address: yugang.zhang@universite-paris-saclay.fr