Chiral Gapless Spin Liquid in Hyperbolic Space

Felix Dusel,¹ Tobias Hofmann,^{1, *} Atanu Maity,¹ Yasir Iqbal,² Martin Greiter,¹ and Ronny Thomale^{1, 2}

¹Institut für Theoretische Physik und Astrophysik and Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence ct.qmat,

²Department of Physics and Quantum Center for Diamond and Emergent Materials (QuCenDiEM),

Indian Institute of Technology Madras, Chennai 600036, India

(Dated: July 30, 2024)

We analyze the Kitaev model on the $\{9,3\}$ hyperbolic lattice. The $\{9,3\}$ is formed by a regular 3-coordinated tiling of nonagons, where the 3-color coding of bonds according to the inequivalent Kitaev Ising spin couplings yields the natural generalization of the original Kitaev model for Euclidean regular honeycomb tiling. Upon investigation of the bulk spectrum for large finite size droplets, we identify a gapless chiral \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid state featuring spontaneous time reversal symmetry breaking. Due to its non-commutative translation group structure, such type of hyperbolic spin liquid is conjectured to feature chiral quasiparticles with a potentially non-Abelian Bloch profile.

Introduction. Quantum spin liquids have been in the focus of contemporary research on strongly correlated electron systems for five decades [1]. In experiment, the unambiguous identification of such an intricate quantum fluid state of matter is notoriously difficult, and rarely, if ever, possible beyond reasonable doubt [2]. In theory, our understanding has progressed at various frontiers, yet at highly different rates. Due to the seminal work by Wen [3], an assumingly exhaustive classification of quantum spin liquids is given from their associated emergent gauge structure at low energies. There usually is, however, still a long way to go from quantum order classifications, and their related parton mean fields, to a refined microscopic realization and stabilization of such states. This is why, in particular for quantum spin liquids and quantum many-body phases in general, it is highly desirable to accomplish parent Hamiltonians whose ground state unambiguously matches such a state. Typically, a combination of optimization ansatz and educated guess is employed to scan a multi-dimensional Hamiltonian parameter space [4–6].

The Kitaev paradigm of spin liquid parent Hamiltonians has proven particularly successful [7]. Conceived as a bond-dependent anisotropic Ising Hamiltonian between neighboring sites on the honeycomb lattice, it has brought about various exactly solvable instances of quantum spin liquids with an emergent \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge structure. In terms of spin liquids featuring topological order, it includes the gapped Abelian toric code liquid and, upon consideration of an external magnetic field [7] or through spontaneous time-reversal (T) symmetry breaking via lattice graphs with odd loops [8, 9], a gapped non-Abelian chiral Majorana liquid with spinon quasiparticles which resemble Pfaffian liquid quasiparticles [10, 11]. The Kitaev model further harbors the gapless \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid centered around isotropic Ising couplings. Further progress has been reached by Hermanns and Trebst [12] who first employed that if a unique bond attribution to three subsets can be made for a semi-regular tricoordinated tiling, this still yields exact solvability of the Kitaev model. Upon transcending from two to three spatial dimensions, this enabled them to define Kitaev spin liquid states with a (potentially unstable [13]) spinon Fermi surface as well as chiral spin liquid states with crystalline gauge ordering of \mathbb{Z}_2 fluxes [14].

Since the nature of the lattice strongly affects the magnetic frustration profile, any parent Hamiltonian search for quantum spin liquids seeks to consider all variations of potentially interesting lattices. Conventionally, this implies to be constrained to tessellations of Euclidean flat space. Recent progress on hyperbolic matter, however, has broadened the view to also consider Hamiltonians operating on negatively curved spaces and lattice tessellations thereof [15]. While the field initially started from unconventional non-interacting states and their description in terms of symmetry protected topological phases [16-18] and hyperbolic band theory [19-18]22], it is now starting to reach the realms of quantum many-body physics through metal-insulator transitions, charge density wave states, and magnetism [23, 24], fractional Chern insulators [25], and lattice-regularized formulations of AdS-CFT correspondence [26, 27]. An immediate platform for tabletop experimental realizations of hyperbolic space presents itself to be quantum and classical circuit networks [28–30].

In this Letter, we introduce the notion of twodimensional (2D) hyperbolic spin liquids in the framework of a generalized Kitaev model. Applying the same logical thread as in Euclidean space, the focus of consideration lies on tricoordinated lattice tessellations in the hope of reaching an exactly solvable Hamiltonian. While in principle any of the vast hyperbolic space tessellations that fulfill this tricoordination deserves further investigation, we will particularize to a microscopic model for an exotic spin liquid state that features gapless excitations, and yet spontaneously breaks time reversal symmetry. It has no immediate analogue in Euclidean space, and hence expands the hitherto known landscape of spin liquids to uncharted territory.

Realizing gapless excitations for a Kitaev Hamilto-

Julius-Maximilians-Universität, 97074 Würzburg, Germany

 $^{\ ^*}$ Corresponding author: tobias.hofmann@uni-wuerzburg.de

2



FIG. 1. (a) Droplet of the $\{9,3\}$ hyperbolic lattice with Kitaev-colored bonds for each coupling J_x, J_y, J_z . The inset shows a schematic of the four Majorana fermions $\hat{b}_j^{\alpha}, \hat{c}_j$ introduced at site j. (b) Definition of the positive direction for each link \hat{u}_{jk} . If all corresponding eigenvalues u_{jk} are +1 (-1), the vortex-free (vortex-filled) sector is realized. (c) Schematic phase diagram of the $\{9,3\}$ Kitaev model in the $J_x + J_y + J_z = 1$ plane. If one of the coupling constants is larger than the sum of the other two, the Majorana Hamiltonian is gapped. Otherwise we find a gapless, chiral phase.

nian is intimately tied to regular tiling, i.e., tessellations with only one type of regular polygon, while spontaneous T symmetry breaking requires odd-sided loops. Such a tessellation of 2D Euclidean space by regular odd-sided tilings does not exist. Instead, one would have to resort to semi-regular tilings such as the Fisher star lattice [8, 31] or non-Archimedean realizations [9] such as the pentaheptite lattice both of which feature gapped chiral Kitaev spin liquids. This motivates us to transcend to 2D hyperbolic space to realize tricoordinated, regular odd-loop tilings. Among the vast tessellations that fulfill the constraint, the $\{9,3\}$ lattice Fig. 1(a) stands out, as it features the smallest loop size of hyperbolic tiling whose bond coloring appears highly canonical, and even suggests itself to be the most natural generalization of the Euclidean honeycomb Kitaev model. Our analysis of the $\{9,3\}$ hyperbolic Kitaev model finds a gapless state which, due to the presence of odd loops, features spontaneous time reversal symmetry breaking. We thus show the Kitaev model to harbor a gapless, chiral \mathbb{Z}_2 spin liquid state whose nature of excitations, however, is conjectured to be intimately dependent on the non-commutative translation group inherent to hyperbolic space.

Model. We consider the Kitaev Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H} = -J_x \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_x} \hat{\sigma}_i^x \hat{\sigma}_j^x - J_y \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_y} \hat{\sigma}_i^y \hat{\sigma}_j^y - J_z \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle_z} \hat{\sigma}_i^z \hat{\sigma}_j^z$$
(1)

on a three-colored $\{9,3\}$ lattice. There, $\hat{\sigma}_i^{\alpha}$ denote Pauli matrices acting on site *i*, and $J_{\alpha} > 0$ are the coupling constants along the respective link of type $\alpha = x, y, z$. The different link types are shown in Fig. 1(a) as red, green and blue lines, respectively. Although model (1) can be solved exactly for all tricoordinated three-colorable hyperbolic lattices, the $\{9,3\}$ appears to be the natural hyperbolic extension of the original Euclidean model due to the regular coloring scheme of repeated x-, y-, and zlinks upon going counterclockwise around each plaquette (Fig. 1). Invoking (p-2)(q-2) > 4 obeyed by all hyperbolic tilings, this canonical coloring scheme exists for all $\{p, 3\}$ lattices with $p \equiv 0 \mod 3$, p > 6, so that the $\{9, 3\}$ lattice is the one with smallest loop size.

The Hamiltonian (1) possesses a set of conserved quantities, one associated with each nonagon plaquette, given by the mutually commuting Wilson loop operators

$$\hat{W}_p = \hat{K}_{12} \hat{K}_{23} \hat{K}_{34} \hat{K}_{45} \hat{K}_{56} \hat{K}_{67} \hat{K}_{78} \hat{K}_{89} \hat{K}_{91}, \qquad (2)$$

where $\hat{K}_{ij} = \hat{\sigma}_i^{\alpha} \hat{\sigma}_j^{\alpha}$, and the sites *i* and *j* are labeled by indices $i, j = 1, \dots, 9$ counterclockwise around a plaquette and connected by a bond of type α . Since all plaquette operators commute, the Hamiltonian attains a block diagonal form according to the \hat{W}_p eigenvalues. In contrast to Kitaev models with even-loop plaquettes, these are given by $\pm i$ rather than ± 1 , implying fictitious magnetic flux of $\pm \pi/2$. Eq. (1) is invariant under T, which is an anti-unitary symmetry for spin-1/2. The eigenvalues of \hat{W}_p change sign under T, thus forming Kramer's pairs and rendering the overall spectrum two-fold degenerate. We henceforth denote the uniform $-\pi/2$ $(+\pi/2)$ sector as the vortex-free (-filled) sector.

Majorana fermion representation. Following Kitaev, we transform (1) into its Majorana representation. At each site, we express the spin operator by four Majorana operators \hat{c}_j , \hat{b}_j^{α} , according to $\hat{\sigma}_j^{\alpha} = i\hat{b}_j^{\alpha}\hat{c}_j$, which yields

$$\hat{H} = i \sum_{\langle j,k \rangle} J_{\alpha_{jk}} \hat{u}_{jk} \hat{c}_j \hat{c}_k, \qquad (3)$$

where we introduced the Majorana bilinears $\hat{u}_{jk} = i\hat{b}_j^{\alpha}\hat{b}_k^{\alpha}$. These link operators are anti-symmetric under link inversion $\hat{u}_{jk} = -\hat{u}_{kj}$ and under T, $\hat{u}_{jk} \xrightarrow{T} \hat{u}_{kj}$. The



FIG. 2. Energy cost of creating a vortex pair versus their distance in the hyperbolic plane on a droplet with 11878 sites. The energy cost increases with distance, i.e., indicating an attractive inter-vortex potential.

Majorana transformation effectively doubles the Hilbert space. Defining $\hat{D}_i = i\hat{b}_i^x \hat{b}_i^y \hat{b}_i^z \hat{c}_i$ and $\hat{D}_i |\psi\rangle = +1 |\psi\rangle \ \forall i$, the states $\{|\psi\rangle\}$ form the physical Majorana subspace.

Since each link couples only a one flavor of Majoranas, the operators \hat{u}_{jk} commute with each other and Eq. (3). Therefore, we can replace them by the corresponding eigenvalues $u_{jk} = \pm 1$ relating to the vortex-free (filled) plaquette interpreted as a \mathbb{Z}_2 gauge field. Once a configuration of these eigenvalues is specified, the Hamiltonian (3) takes a non-interacting single-particle form. In order to express the gauge invariant eigenvalues W_p of the Wilson loop operators by u_{jk} , we fix a direction for all links according to Fig. 1(b). Then, the Majorana transformation implies

$$W_p = (-\mathbf{i})^9 \prod_{\langle jk \rangle_p} u_{jk},\tag{4}$$

where the product runs in a counterclockwise cycle over all links of a given plaquette.

Ground state. The energy of an eigenstate of (1)is given by the sum over the absolute value of all eigenvalues of (3) for a fixed plaquette flux configuration. For bipartite (and hence even-loop) $\{p, q\}$ lattices one can apply Lieb's theorem [32] stating that the ground state lies in the vortex-free (vortex-filled) sector for $p \equiv 2 \mod 4$ ($p \equiv 0 \mod 4$). For the $\{9,3\}$ lattice, our numerical results indicate that the ground state(s) locate in the sectors with uniform vortex decoration. For the gapless domain parameter regime where $J_{\sigma(1)} < J_{\sigma(2)} + J_{\sigma(3)} \ \forall \sigma\{x, y, z\}$ with σ denoting a 3tuple permutation, we perform the following numerical experiments: (i) From the vortex-free sector, we insert a cluster of fluxes in a region of the hyperbolic lattice, and calculate the energy cost per vortex as well as the total energy difference to the vortex-free ground state (see Supplemental Material [33], Sec. A). The energy cost per new vortex is always positive, and the total energy cost increases with cluster size. (ii) We create a vortex pair and separate them from each other (Fig. 2), where we find an attractive inter-vortex potential. Based on these results, we conjecture that the vortex-free and vortex-filled sector ground state, which form a Kramers doublet, constitute the two-dimensional ground state manifold of (1).

We calculate the bulk density of states of the Majorana Hamiltonian using the continued fraction method [34] (see [33], Sec. B). Representative points of parameter space are depicted in Fig. 3(a). Similar to the original Euclidean honeycomb lattice realization, we find two distinct regimes: a gapped phase A and a gapless domain B as depicted in Fig. 3(a). Furthermore, we find the phase boundary along the lines where one coupling constant is equal to the sum of the other two. Note that this symmetry of the phase diagram is linked to the canonical colorability of the $\{9, 3\}$ lattice.

Dimerized phase A. The three regions A_x , A_y and A_z , i.e., the dimerized phase regimes dominated by either strong Ising coupling, are equivalent modulo Ising axis rotation. Although the continued fraction method only converges slowly approaching the phase boundary to the gapless B domain, the band gap can be clearly identified. In order to further analyze the A phases we consider the dimerized limit of strong coupling anisotropy $(J_x, J_y, J_z) = (0, 0, J)$ where the system reduces to decoupled Ising dimers. We contract the Ising dimers to a point, and regard them as effective spin-1/2 degrees of freedom on the $\{6, 4\}$ hyperbolic lattice. There are fermionic and \mathbb{Z}_2 vortex excitations: A fermion is created when we break the alignment of two spins on a strong bond, which costs energy $\sim 2J_z$. The low-energy physics of the \mathbb{Z}_2 vortices is governed by the effective Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{\text{eff}}^{(12)} = \text{const} - \frac{143J_x^4 J_y^4 (J_x^2 + J_y^2)}{2^{17} J_z^9} \hat{W}_p \hat{W}_{p'} \qquad (5)$$
$$- \frac{91J_x^6 J_y^6}{2^{20} J_z^{11}} \hat{W}_p \hat{W}_{p''},$$

where \hat{W}_p and $\hat{W}_{p'}$ ($\hat{W}_{p''}$) are Wilson loop operators on two neighboring nonagons, sharing a weak (strong) bond (see [33], Sec. C). Flipping a bond $u_{jk} \rightarrow -u_{kj}$ creates a pair of vortex in the two adjacent nonagons. The resulting model is a hyperbolic version of the Kitaev Toric Code [35]. We do not further elaborate on the region A, as it largely corresponds to its Euclidean analogue. A subtle issue arises for topologically ordered ground states on hyperbolic lattices regarding the topological ground state degeneracy. The compactified hyperbolic space suggests a higher Riemann manifold genus which grows with system size. This implies a challenge in the reconciliation with the assumed relation between topological ground state degeneracy and genus [36], a principal issue which will be addressed elsewhere [37].

Chiral gapless phase B. The top left panels of Fig. 3(b) show the DOS in the gapless regime for representative points in parameter space. In contrast to the



FIG. 3. (a) Calculation of the density of states (DOS) using the continued fraction (CF) method on a hyperbolic droplet with 4.2×10^6 sites for three representative points in the phase diagram (left: $J_x = J_y = \frac{5}{12}$, $J_z = \frac{1}{6}$ [phase B], middle: $J_x = J_y = J_z = \frac{1}{3}$ [phase B], right: $J_x = \frac{1}{5} = J_y$, $J_z = \frac{3}{5}$ [phase A_z]). The three lower panels show the corresponding CF coefficients b_n , which converge to a single value b_∞ in the B phase, but exhibit two attractors b_∞^{odd} and b_∞^{even} in the A phase, indicating a gap in spectrum. Due to finite size effects, the coefficients for n > 28 are discarded (red-shaded region). For the termination term we use an average over nine coefficients. (b) Phase diagram for the difference of the two CF attractors b_∞^{odd} and b_∞^{even} . Within phase B, the odd and even coefficients converge to the same value, as expected for a gapless phase, while in phase A the attractors are different. The points considered in (a) are marked in their respective colors.

gapless regime for the Euclidean Kitaev model, our bulk spectra analysis indicates a finite, non-vanishing DOS at zero energy. This suggests a connection to the generically deviating character of hyperbolic semimetals [17]. where the non-commutative geometry implies an effective Bloch band theory with higher-dimensional irreducible representations of translation. This deserves further investigation for the $\{9,3\}$ lattice in general. Moreover, the gapless phase we find here is intrinsically chiral since, due to the odd loops, it spontaneously breaks T symmetry in the thermodynamic limit. For small flakes up to 10^5 sites, the single-particle Majorana representation of (1)can be diagonalized numerically [38]. In order to support our conjecture about the chiral character of phase B we calculate the local Chern marker (LCM) proposed by Kitaev [7],

$$C = 12\pi i \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k \in B} \sum_{l \in C} (\hat{P}_{jk} \hat{P}_{kl} \hat{P}_{lj} - \hat{P}_{jl} \hat{P}_{lk} \hat{P}_{kj}), \quad (6)$$

where \hat{P} is the projector onto states with negative energy; A, B, and C are three sectors in counterclockwise order that evenly partition the hyperbolic flake and do not include boundary sites (see [33], Sec. E). We find the LCM to vanish everywhere in the dimer phase A, but to be finite-valued in the gapless phase for either one of the vortex-filled or vortex-free sectors (cf. Fig. 4(a)). We observe that the value of the Chern number is not quantized. This is not inconsistent with the expected phenomenology, since the Chern number of a topological band is only quantized if the Fermi level resides within a band gap. Furthermore, the local Chern marker calculation might be affected due to finite size or edge effects in the hyperbolic plane.

In order to investigate the chiral character of the gapless phase B from a different angle without reference to band theory, we conduct a numerical propagation experiment in the Majorana representation of (1). We apply the time evolution of (3) in the vortex-free configuration to an initial state with energy expectation value $\langle H \rangle = 0$, which represents an excitation localized at the edge of a droplet (Fig. 4(b), see [33], Sec. E). After 2000, 4000, and 6000 time steps we observe that the excitation has moved unidirectionally along the edge in counterclockwise direction. This indicates the chiral character of the eigenstates in the vortex-free sector. As expected, the direction of propagation is reversed for the vortex-filled sector.

Conclusion and outlook. As a future endeavor, it will be important to study the behavior of the spin-spin correlation function of the gapless chiral spin liquid and obtain the dynamic exponent. This would provide insights into the precise nature of this ground state. Furthermore, one should study its stability against different types of perturbations. From here, utilizing a projective symmetry group (PSG) classification of spin liquids towards characterizing the microscopic symmetries of the underlying quantum orders in hyperbolic space presents itself as a natural thread of investigation. This is because the PSG may enable us to identify the symmetries protecting the



FIG. 4. (a) Phase diagram of the local Chern marker (LCM, cf. Eq. (6)) in the plane $J_x + J_y + J_z = 1$ for a hyperbolic droplet with 1575 sites. The LCM is calculated from (3) including all states with negative energy. It is finite but not quantized in the gapless *B* phase, and vanishes in the *A* phases. (b) Time evolution under (3) of a wave packet initially localized at the edge in the gapless chiral phase and the uniform flux sector. The center of the packet propagates unidirectionally along the boundary. The area of each circle is proportional to the probability of the excitation to be located on the corresponding site.

gapless chiral excitations, and to study their intertwining with a potentially non-Abelian Bloch translation group profile.

Note added. Upon the finalization of this manuscript, we became aware of an independent simultaneous work addressing hyperbolic Kitaev spin liquids on the $\{8,3\}$ lattice with and without the presence of an external magnetic field [39].

Acknowledgement. We thank A. Stegmaier, I. Boettcher, P. M. Lenggenhager, S. Dey, T. Bzdušek, and J. Maciejko for discussions. The work is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) through Project-ID 258499086 - SFB 1170 and through the Würzburg-Dresden Cluster of Excellence on Complexity and Topology in Quantum Matter – ct.qmat Project-ID 390858490 - EXC 2147 as well as by the National Science Foundation through NSF-PHY-2210452.

- L. Savary and L. Balents, Quantum spin liquids: a review, Reports on Progress in Physics 80, 016502 (2016).
- [2] C. Broholm, R. J. Cava, S. A. Kivelson, D. G. Nocera, M. R. Norman, and T. Senthil, Quantum spin liquids, Science 367, eaay0668 (2020), https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/science.aay0668.
- [3] X.-G. Wen, Quantum orders and symmetric spin liquids, Phys. Rev. B 65, 165113 (2002).
- [4] X.-L. Qi and D. Ranard, Determining a local Hamiltonian from a single eigenstate, Quantum 3, 159 (2019).
- [5] E. Chertkov and B. K. Clark, Computational inverse method for constructing spaces of quantum models from wave functions, Phys. Rev. X 8, 031029 (2018).
- [6] M. Greiter, V. Schnells, and R. Thomale, Method to identify parent hamiltonians for trial states, Phys. Rev. B 98, 081113 (2018).
- [7] A. Kitaev, Anyons in an exactly solved model and beyond, Annals of Physics **321**, 2 (2006), january Special Issue.
- [8] H. Yao and S. A. Kivelson, Exact chiral spin liquid with non-abelian anyons, Phys. Rev. Lett. 99, 247203 (2007).
- [9] V. Peri, S. Ok, S. S. Tsirkin, T. Neupert, G. Baskaran, M. Greiter, R. Moessner, and R. Thomale, Non-abelian chiral spin liquid on a simple non-archimedean lattice, Phys. Rev. B 101, 041114 (2020).

- [10] G. Moore and N. Read, Nonabelions in the fractional quantum hall effect, Nuclear Physics B 360, 362 (1991).
- [11] M. Greiter and R. Thomale, Non-abelian statistics in a quantum antiferromagnet, Phys. Rev. Lett. 102, 207203 (2009).
- [12] M. Hermanns and S. Trebst, Quantum spin liquid with a majorana fermi surface on the three-dimensional hyperoctagon lattice, Phys. Rev. B 89, 235102 (2014).
- [13] M. Hermanns, S. Trebst, and A. Rosch, Spin-peierls instability of three-dimensional spin liquids with majorana fermi surfaces, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 177205 (2015).
- [14] P. A. Mishchenko, Y. Kato, K. O'Brien, T. A. Bojesen, T. Eschmann, M. Hermanns, S. Trebst, and Y. Motome, Chiral spin liquids with crystalline F₂ gauge order in a three-dimensional kitaev model, Phys. Rev. B 101, 045118 (2020).
- [15] I. Boettcher, A. V. Gorshkov, A. J. Kollár, J. Maciejko, S. Rayan, and R. Thomale, Crystallography of hyperbolic lattices, Phys. Rev. B 105, 125118 (2022).
- [16] D. M. Urwyler, P. M. Lenggenhager, I. Boettcher, R. Thomale, T. Neupert, and T. c. v. Bzdušek, Hyperbolic topological band insulators, Phys. Rev. Lett. 129, 246402 (2022).
- [17] T. Tummuru, A. Chen, P. M. Lenggenhager, T. Neupert, J. Maciejko, and T. c. v. Bzdušek, Hyperbolic non-

abelian semimetal, Phys. Rev. Lett. 132, 206601 (2024).

- [18] A. Stegmaier, L. K. Upreti, R. Thomale, and I. Boettcher, Universality of hofstadter butterflies on hyperbolic lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **128**, 166402 (2022).
- [19] J. Maciejko and S. Rayan, Hyperbolic band theory, Science Advances 7, eabe9170 (2021), https://www.science.org/doi/pdf/10.1126/sciadv.abe9170.
- [20] N. Cheng, F. Serafin, J. McInerney, Z. Rocklin, K. Sun, and X. Mao, Band theory and boundary modes of highdimensional representations of infinite hyperbolic lattices, Phys. Rev. Lett. **129**, 088002 (2022).
- [21] J. Maciejko and S. Rayan, Automorphic bloch theorems for hyperbolic lattices, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 119 (2022).
- [22] P. M. Lenggenhager, J. Maciejko, and T. c. v. Bzdušek, Non-abelian hyperbolic band theory from supercells, Phys. Rev. Lett. 131, 226401 (2023).
- [23] A. Götz, G. Rein, J. C. Inácio, and F. F. Assaad, Hubbard and heisenberg models on hyperbolic lattices – metal-insulator transitions, global antiferromagnetism and enhanced boundary fluctuations (2024), arXiv:2406.03416 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [24] N. Gluscevich, A. Samanta, S. Manna, and B. Roy, Dynamic mass generation on two-dimensional electronic hyperbolic lattices (2023), arXiv:2302.04864 [cond-mat.strel].
- [25] A.-L. He, L. Qi, Y. Liu, and Y.-F. Wang, Hyperbolic fractional chern insulators (2024), arXiv:2407.05706 [condmat.str-el].
- [26] P. Basteiro, F. Dusel, J. Erdmenger, D. Herdt, H. Hinrichsen, R. Meyer, and M. Schrauth, Breitenlohnerfreedman bound on hyperbolic tilings, Physical Review Letters 130, 091604 (2023).
- [27] S. Dey, A. Chen, P. Basteiro, A. Fritzsche, M. Greiter, M. Kaminski, P. M. Lenggenhager, R. Meyer, R. Sorbello, A. Stegmaier, R. Thomale, J. Erdmenger, and I. Boettcher, Simulating holographic conformal field theories on hyperbolic lattices (2024), arXiv:2404.03062 [cond-mat.mes-hall].
- [28] A. J. Kollár, M. Fitzpatrick, and A. A. Houck, Hyperbolic lattices in circuit quantum electrodynamics, Nature 571, 45 (2019).
- [29] P. M. Lenggenhager, A. Stegmaier, L. K. Upreti, T. Hofmann, T. Helbig, A. Vollhardt, M. Greiter, C. H. Lee, S. Imhof, H. Brand, T. Kießling, I. Boettcher, T. Neupert, R. Thomale, and T. Bzdušek, Simulating hyperbolic space on a circuit board, Nature Communications 13, 4373 (2022).
- [30] A. Chen, H. Brand, T. Helbig, T. Hofmann, S. Imhof, A. Fritzsche, T. Kießling, A. Stegmaier, L. K. Upreti, T. Neupert, T. Bzdušek, M. Greiter, R. Thomale, and I. Boettcher, Hyperbolic matter in electrical circuits with tunable complex phases, Nature Communications 14, 622 (2023).
- [31] S. Yang, D. L. Zhou, and C. P. Sun, Mosaic spin models with topological order, Phys. Rev. B 76, 180404 (2007).
- [32] E. H. Lieb, Flux phase of the half-filled band, Physical review letters 73, 2158 (1994).
- [33] See Supplemental Material, which includes Refs. [40-43].
- [34] R. Mosseri and J. Vidal, Density of states of tight-binding models in the hyperbolic plane, Physical Review B 108, 035154 (2023).
- [35] N. P. Breuckmann, C. Vuillot, E. Campbell, A. Krishna, and B. M. Terhal, Hyperbolic and semi-hyperbolic sur-

face codes for quantum storage, Quantum Science and Technology $\mathbf{2},$ 035007 (2017).

- [36] X. G. Wen and Q. Niu, Ground-state degeneracy of the fractional quantum hall states in the presence of a random potential and on high-genus riemann surfaces, Phys. Rev. B 41, 9377 (1990).
- [37] R. Thomale, in preparation. (2024).
- [38] M. Schrauth, Y. Thurn, F. Goth, J. S. Portela, D. Herdt, and F. Dusel, Hypertiling–a high performance python library for the generation and visualization of hyperbolic lattices, arXiv preprint arXiv:2309.10844 (2023).
- [39] P. M. Lenggenhager, S. Dey, T. Bzdušek, and J. Maciejko, Hyperbolic spin liquids (2024), arXiv:2407.09601 [cond-mat.str-el].
- [40] R. Haydock, V. Heine, and M. J. Kelly, Electronic structure based on the local atomic environment for tightbinding bands, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 5, 2845 (1972).
- [41] R. Haydock, V. Heine, and M. J. Kelly, Electronic structure based on the local atomic environment for tightbinding bands. ii, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 8, 2591 (1975).
- [42] P. Turchi, F. Ducastelle, and G. Treglia, Band gaps and asymptotic behaviour of continued fraction coefficients, Journal of Physics C: Solid State Physics 15, 2891 (1982).
- [43] O. Petrova, P. Mellado, and O. Tchernyshyov, Unpaired majorana modes on dislocations and string defects in kitaev's honeycomb model, Physical Review B 90, 134404 (2014).

SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL

Supplemental Material A: Ground state flux sector

The Kitaev Hamiltonian (Eq. (1) of the main text) commutes with the plaquette operators W_p . Therefore, the Hilbert space can be decomposed into the eigenspaces of \hat{W}_p , which we call *flux sectors*. They are labeled by the eigenvalues of each plaquette operator $W_p = \pm i$. The eigenvalues W_p can be expressed in terms of the eigenvalues of the link operators, $u_{jk} = \pm 1$ (see Eq. (4) of the main text). A given flux configuration on the plaquettes of a lattice can arise from multiple distinct link configurations. If all $u_{jk} = +1$, one finds $W_p = -i \forall p$, i.e., each plaquette carries a flux of $-\frac{\pi}{2}$. We call this factor the vortex-free sector. If all $u_{jk} = -1$, the plaquette eigenvalues are $W_P = +i \forall p$. Then each plaquette carries a flux of $\frac{\pi}{2}$. This is the vortex-filled sector. Both map onto each other under time reversal, and form a Kramers pair.

Now, the task is to identify the flux sector in which the ground state is located. For bipartite lattice Lieb's theorem [32] can be employed. Regarding lattices consisting of regular *p*-gons it states that if the graph is reflection symmetric with respect to an axis that does not intersect with any lattice site, the ground state always lies in either the sector where all plaquettes have flux 0 or flux π . If $p = 2 \mod 4$ (as for the Kitaev Honeycomb Model), the ground state lies in the zero-flux sector, while for $p = 0 \mod 4$, it is located in the π -flux sector. Lieb's theorem is not restricted to Euclidean lattices. However, it is not applicable to lattices with odd loops, since they do not possess the necessary reflection symmetry.

Therefore, we resort to numerical means in order to identify the ground state flux sector. As a first numerical experiment, we explicitly sampled every distinct flux configuration of a droplet with 40 sites at the isotropic point $J_x = J_y = J_z$ and found that the energy is minimized only by the vortex-free and vortex-filled configurations. These correspond to a flux of $\pm \frac{\pi}{2}$ through each plaquette. As a second step we recorded the energy cost of nucleating a pair of isolated vortices in adjacent plaquettes and pulling them apart (see Fig. 2 of the main text). The results indicate, that there is an attractive force between vortices, which suggests that the formation of clusters is energetically favored. Thus, we investigated this configuration further: In Fig. A.1 we show the energy cost of adding a single vortex to a cluster and the total energy of the cluster above the flux-free sector. We find that the energy cost per vortex is always larger than zero. While it decreases with cluster size, the total energy of the cluster increases. Thus, although these numerical studies are limited to finite-sized samples, all results indicate that the ground state is formed by the Kramers doublet of the flux $\pm \pi$ sectors.

Supplemental Material B: Calculation of the Bulk DOS using the Continued Fraction Method

1. Continued Fraction Method

The general idea of the continued fraction method [31, 40, 41] for calculating the bulk density of states (DOS) is to compute one element of the resolvent in the local basis, which is equivalent to compute the moments of the local



FIG. A.1. Energy cost of creating vortices and aggregating them in a cluster on a droplet with 7569 sites. While the energy cost per vertex decreases with the number of vortices (a), the total energy cost increases with each vortex (b). The energy cost per vertex is positive with respect to the ground state, which means that the configuration with vortices is energetically less favorable than the vortex-free state. We therefore conjecture that the ground state lies in the uniform flux sector.

normalized DOS. Let a physical system be described by a tight-binding Hamiltonian \hat{H} , and define its resolvent as

$$\hat{G}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \hat{H}} \tag{B.1}$$

for a complex number $z \in \mathbb{C}$ in the upper half of the complex plane $(\Im[z] > 0)$. Furthermore, let $\{|j\rangle\}$ represent the local basis of the single-particle Hilbert space. Then, the local DOS at site j is given by

$$\rho_j(E) = -\frac{1}{\pi} \lim_{\eta \to 0} \Im\left[\langle j | \hat{G}(E + i\eta) | j \rangle \right].$$
(B.2)

In order to estimate the bulk DOS of the Majorana Hamiltonian (3) in the hyperbolic plane, we consider the vertex centered $\{9,3\}$ lattice, and calculate the local DOS for the center vertex with label j = 0. Then, the resolvent can written in terms of the moments $\mu_{0,r}$ of the Hamiltonian [40]

$$G_{00}(z) = \frac{1}{z} \left(1 + \sum_{r} \frac{\mu_{0,r}}{z^3} \right) , \qquad (B.3)$$

where the *r*-th moment of the local DOS at site j = 0 is defined as

$$\mu_{0,r} = \langle 0 | \hat{H}^r | 0 \rangle = \sum_{j_1, \cdots, j_{r-1}} H_{0j_1} H_{j_1 j_2} \cdots H_{j_{r-1}, 0} .$$
(B.4)

We can interpret this representation of the resolvent as a sum over all loops through the lattice starting at site 0 and ending at site 0 with lengths $r = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$. Since the moments of the Hamiltonian $\mu_{0,r}$ at site 0 are also the moments of the local DOS at site 0, finding $\mu_{0,r}$ means finding the DOS. In principle, it is necessary to calculate all moments.

In practice, we use the recursion method of Ref. [40] to compute the element of the resolvent. In the following, we restate the procedure: We represent the Hamiltonian in a new basis $\{|\tilde{n}\rangle\}$, in which it is tridiagonal,

$$\langle \tilde{n} | \hat{H} | \tilde{n}' \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} a_0 & \sqrt{b_1} & & \\ \sqrt{b_1} & a_1 & \sqrt{b_2} & \\ & \sqrt{b_2} & a_2 & \ddots \\ & & \ddots & \ddots \end{pmatrix},$$
(B.5)

and for which $|\tilde{0}\rangle = |0\rangle$ holds. Then, the (0,0)-element of the resolvent is given by the *continued fraction*

$$G_{00}(z) = \frac{1}{z - a_0 - b_1 g_1(z)}$$
(B.6a)

$$g_1(z) = \frac{1}{z - a_1 - b_2 g_2(z)}$$
(B.6b)

$$\vdots
g_n(z) = \frac{1}{E - a_n - b_{n+1} g_{n+1}(z)}.$$
(B.6c)

The coefficients $a_n, b_n \in \mathbb{R}$ in the tridiagonal Hamiltonian representation are calculated using the following recursion: At the start set $|\tilde{0}\rangle = |0\rangle$, and $b_0 = 0$. Then we can recursively calculate the following sequence: Given a state $|\tilde{n}\rangle$ of the new basis, its predecessor $|\tilde{n}-1\rangle$ and the square off-diagonal matrix element b_n from the previous iteration, we first calculate the diagonal element in the Hamiltonian given by

$$a_n = \langle \widetilde{n} | \widetilde{H} | \widetilde{n} \rangle$$
 (B.7a)

Second, we compute the non-normalized auxiliary vector

$$|\phi_{n+1}\rangle = \hat{H} |\tilde{n}\rangle - b_n |\tilde{n-1}\rangle - a_n |\tilde{n}\rangle .$$
(B.7b)

Then, we find the corresponding coefficient b_{n+1} as the normalization factor for $|\phi_{n+1}\rangle$, i.e.,

$$b_{n+1} = || |\phi_{n+1} \} ||^2, \qquad (B.7c)$$

where $|| \cdot ||$ denotes the Euclidean vector norm, as well as the new normalized state

$$|\hat{n}+1\rangle = |\phi_{n+1}\rangle/\sqrt{b_{n+1}}$$
. (B.7d)

With the new state $|n+1\rangle$ being determined, the next step in the iteration (B.7) can be performed.

In principle, this iteration can be repeated indefinitely, and we would obtain all moments $\mu_{0,r}$ of the local DOS. In practice for a finite system, it is only possible to determine a part of the moments exactly. When the lengths of the loops described by equation (B.4) reach the edge of the sample, the moments will deviate from the moments of the bulk DOS. This is also the iteration order N, after which we terminate the continued fraction (B.6).

There are different approaches to terminate the continues fraction [42]. In the simplest case, we set $g_n(z) \approx g_\infty(z)$ for n > N, where g_∞ is determined by the equation

$$g_{\infty}(z) = \frac{1}{z - a_{\infty} - b_{\infty} g_{\infty}(z)} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{\infty}(z) = \frac{z - a_{\infty}}{2 b_{\infty}} \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4 b_{\infty}}{(z - a_{\infty})^2}} \right). \tag{B.8}$$

In principle, we can set $a_{\infty} = a_N$ and $b_{\infty} = b_N$. However, we obtain better results by averaging over a suitable set of coefficients before the cutoff at index N (see Fig. 3(a)). From the termination term $g_{\infty}(z)$, it becomes clear that the a_{∞} can be interpreted as the center of the local DOS, while b_{∞} corresponds to the bandwidth. Note that for our system we find $a_n \equiv 0$, as the spectrum is symmetric with respect to E = 0.

This termination procedure works reasonably well for systems without a band gap. If there is a band gap, the termination should be chosen differently. In this case, the coefficients b_n do not converge to a single value, but alternate between two attractors for large n (see Fig. 3(a) of the main text as well as Ref. [42]). Then, the results become more precise when this behavior is reflected in the termination. For $a_n = 0$, we distinguish $b_{\infty}^{\text{odd}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} b_{2k+1}$ and $b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} = \lim_{k \to \infty} b_{2k}$ and set $g_n(z) \approx g_{\infty}(z)$ for n > N with N even, such that

$$g_{\infty}(z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{b_{\infty}^{\text{odd}}}{z - b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} g_{\infty}(z)}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad g_{\infty}(z) = \frac{1}{2 b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} z} \left[z^2 + b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} - b_{\infty}^{\text{odd}} - \sqrt{\left(z^2 + b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} - b_{\infty}^{\text{odd}}\right)^2 - 4 b_{\infty}^{\text{even}} z^2} \right]. \quad (B.9)$$

The results for representative points in phase diagram can be found in Figure 3(a) of the main text. Finally, note that the difference $|b_{\infty}^{\text{odd}} - b_{\infty}^{\text{even}}|$ can be used as measure for the band gap. This enables to calculate the phase diagram shown in Fig. 3(b) of the main text.

2. Comparison with Exact Diagonalization and Hyperbolic Band Theory results

We compare the results obtained by the continued fraction (CF) method with results from Exact Diagonalization (ED) and Hyperbolic Band Theory (HBT) methods. The ED results are obtained by diagonalizing the Majorana Hamiltonian (3) for a droplet configuration with 33 388 sites and open boundary conditions (OBC). From the spectrum, we deduce the DOS

$$\rho_{\text{Bulk}} = \sum_{i \in \text{Bulk}} |\psi_{n,i}|^2 \,\delta(E - E_n) \tag{B.10}$$

by counting the number of eigenvalues in small energy bins. In order to find the DOS of the bulk, we weight each eigenstates by its weight in the inner 95% percent of the Poincaré disk.

In order to calculate the spectrum from Hyperbolic Band Theory, we employ the following trick: Since we are only interested in the eigenvalues, we can apply any similarity transform to the Hamiltonian as long as the flux of $\pm \pi/2$ through a plaquette is invariant. With such a mapping, the Majorana Hamiltonian can be transformed into a single-particle Hamiltonian with a constant magnetic field. This problem was already solved for periodic boundary conditions in Reference [18]. For these results we use a compactified hyperbolic graph with 108 sites. We calculate its hyperbolic Bloch form and sample the momenta randomly.

Figure B.1 compares the results of the three methods. We observe that the DOS computed by the three methods agree qualitatively. Regarding the pronounced local minima in the DOS, for example in the $J_x = J_y = J_z = 1/3$ case, all three methods predict their presence. But there are mismatches in the exact location and the depth of the minima. Most importantly, however, all three methods agree that there are no gaps in the spectrum within the chiral phase.

The deviations arise since all three methods have their drawbacks: The ED methods works only for sufficiently small droplet sizes. Moreover, the categorization of sites belonging to the bulk or to the edge by their position in the



FIG. B.1. Comparison of different methods (Continued fraction method (CF), Exact Diagonalization (ED), and Hyperbolic Band Theory (HBT) with random sampling of momenta) to compute the (bulk) DOS of the Majorana Hamiltonian for the vortex-free sector and different points in the phase diagram. The CF results are computed on a vertex-centered droplet configuration with 4.2×10^6 sites. The ED results are computed on a vertex-centered droplet configuration with $33\,388$ sites. For the DOS we include sites within 95% of the radius of the Poincaré disk. The HBT results are computed on a compactified graph with 108 sites.

Poincaré disk depends on the choice of the cutoff: If it is too small, important contributions to the bulk DOS may be missing, if the cutoff is too large, the DOS picks up edge effects. Furthermore, the results may depend on the binning procedure. The results using Hyperbolic Band Theory on a compactified graph can also suffer from finite size effects. Depending on the size of the unit cell, contributions to the DOS coming from higher-order representations of the translational group may be missing. The CF method is applicable to much larger droplet sizes, since the method requires only calculating matrix-vector products. Still, only a certain number of moments will be exact, before the continued fraction must be terminated. This can lead to convergence problems, especially close to sharp peaks or dips in the DOS.

We conclude that the combination of all three methods is suitable to predict qualitative features of the DOS of the Majorana Hamiltonian on the $\{9,3\}$ lattice. In the main text, we use these results to check whether the ground state Hamiltonian is gapped.

Supplemental Material C: Toric Code Limit and perturbation theory in the gapped phase

The phases A_x , A_y , and A_z are realized when one of the couplings constants J_α (with $\alpha = x, y, z$) is larger than the sum of the other two. These phases contain the point where one of the coupling dominates, i.e., is much larger than the others. Without loss of generality, we consider $J_z \gg J_x$, J_y , $J_x = J_y$ and $J_\alpha > 0 \forall \alpha$. In the limit $J_x = J_y = 0$, the



FIG. C.1. (a) The three lowest order non-self-retracing paths on the $\{9,3\}$ lattice in the limit $J_z \gg J_x, J_y$. The thickness of the links represents the strength of coupling. The two paths on the left contribute to the effective Hamiltonian at 10th order, the path on the right at 12th order. (b) In the limit of strong coupling anisotropy $J_z \gg J_x, J_y$, the $\{9,3\}$ Kitaev model lattice maps onto a spin-1/2 model on a $\{6,4\}$ hyperbolic lattice. Figuratively, this corresponds to collapsing the strong links on $\{9,3\}$ into effective sites (blue dots), connected via weak J_x and J_y links (green and blue).

ground state consists of decoupled Ising dimers. If we contract these dimers to a point, the effective lattice becomes a hyperbolic $\{6, 4\}$ lattice (Fig. C.1(b)). We can investigate the region around this limit using perturbation theory.

For this we use the Fermion representation of the problem and the Feynman diagram approach of Petrova, et al. [43]. Let us re-state the Feynman rules for this analysis in the following:

- (i) Starting from any given vertex, construct all possible paths that terminate at that vertex.
- (ii) Each link contained in the path gives a contribution (i) $2J_x u_{jk}$ if (j,k) is a weak link, (ii) $2J_z u_{jk}/(\omega^2 + 4J_z^2)$ if (j,k) is a strong link and (iii) $\omega/(\omega^2 + 4J_z^2)$ if (j,k) is a strong link that is attached to the path with only one site. The total amplitude of each path is then obtained by multiplying every contribution and integrating this product over the frequency range $\omega \in [0, \infty)$.
- (iii) The effective Hamiltonian is then given by the sum of the amplitudes of all possible paths, where the reverse of a path is treated as distinct.

Note that self-retracing paths give flux-independent contributions because each link (j, k) is traversed once in every direction, giving a constant $u_{jk}u_{kj} = -1$. Moreover, the contributions of a path of odd length cancels out with the same path traversed in opposite direction. Thus, only non-contractible even-length paths give non-trivial contributions.

At lowest order, there are three candidate paths of length 16, two paths along two nonagons sharing a weak J_x - or J_y -link, and one along the boundary of two nonagons sharing a strong link, respectively, shown in Fig. C.1(a). Their contributions are

$$I_{\text{weak}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (2J_x)^4 (2J_y)^4 ((2J_x)^2 + (2J_y)^2) \left(\frac{2J_z}{\omega^2 + 4J_z^2}\right)^6 \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega^2 + 4J_z^2}\right)^4 W_9 W_{9'}$$
$$= -\frac{143J_x^4 J_y^4 (J_x^2 + J_y^2)}{2^{17} J_z^9} W_9 W_{9'} \tag{C.1}$$

and

$$I_{\text{strong}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{d\omega}{2\pi} (2J_x)^6 (2J_y)^6 \left(\frac{2J_z}{\omega^2 + 4J_z^2}\right)^4 \left(\frac{\omega}{\omega^2 + 4J_z^2}\right)^8 W_9 W_{9''}$$
$$= -\frac{91J_x^6 J_y^6}{2^{20} J_z^{11}} W_9 W_{9''}. \tag{C.2}$$

The first contribution is at 10th order, the second at 12th order. The sum of these terms over the whole lattice yields the 12th order perturbative Hamiltonian (5) of the Main Text. This result is consistent with our observation that



FIG. D.1. (a) The three regions A, B, and C in counterclockwise order we used to compute the local Chern marker. (b) The dependence of the LCM on the radius up to which sites are taken into account and the energy cutoff μ at the isotropic point (top) and in the gapped phase (*bottom*). The LCM is symmetric with respect to the axis $\mu/\mu_{max} = 0.5$. The arrow indicates the parameters we used for computing the LCM phase diagram in Fig. 4.

the creation of a pair of vortices costs a finite amount of energy: Flipping any link in the vortex-free or vortex-filled sector increases total energy since $W_9W_{9'} = (\pm i)(\pm i) \rightarrow (\mp i)(\mp i) = -1$ yields a positive contribution when inserted into the effective Hamiltonian (5). The resulting model is a hyperbolic version of the Kitaev Toric Code [35].

Supplemental Material D: Calculation of the local Chern marker

In this section we provide further details on the local Chern marker (LCM) [7], which we used in order to study the chiral character of the gapless phase. Let $|\psi_n\rangle$ denote the eigenstates corresponding to energy E_n of the Majorana Hamiltonian in the vortex-free configuration. Furthermore, let \hat{P} be a projector onto the states with energy below an energy cutoff μ ,

$$\hat{P} = \sum_{E_n < \mu} |\psi_n\rangle \langle\psi_n| .$$
(D.1)

Then, the local Chern marker is defined as

$$C = 12\pi i \sum_{j \in A} \sum_{k \in B} \sum_{l \in C} (\hat{P}_{jk} \hat{P}_{kl} \hat{P}_{lj} - \hat{P}_{jl} \hat{P}_{lk} \hat{P}_{kj}),$$
(D.2)

where A, B, and C are three bulk regions of sites arranged in counterclockwise order as shown in Fig. D.1(a). The regions do not include the boundary layer. If the regions extended to the boundary, the LCM vanishes. In Fig. 4 of the main text, we calculated the phase diagram LCM for a cutoff energy of $\mu = \mu_{\text{max}}/2$, where $\mu_{\text{max}} = \max_n E_n$ is the largest eigenenergy. For two representative instances of parameters, $J_x = J_y = J_z = 1/3$ as well as $J_z = 3/5$, $J_x = J_y = 1/5$, we investigate how the LCM is influenced by the radial cutoff and energy cutoff. The results are presented in Fig. D.1(b).

In our calculation, the value of the Chern number is not quantized to integer values. While the Chern number is expected to be quantized if the Fermi level results in a band gap between two topological bands, the LCM can possibly take other values when there is no band gap as in the present case. Furthermore, the LCM calculation can be affected by finite size and edge effects in the hyperbolic plane.

Supplemental Material E: Time evolution of the Majorana model

In order to further investigate the chiral nature of the gapless phase, we consider the time evolution for the Majorana Hamiltonian in the vortex-free and vortex-filled configuration at the isotropic point $J_x = J_y = J_z = 1/3$. For this, we



FIG. E.1. (a) Initialized wave packet at t = 0 localized around the rightmost boundary point of the sample in the gapless chiral phase at the isotropic point in the vortex-free sector. (b)-(e) Propagation of a wave packet using the time evolution operator e^{-iHt} and time steps dt = 2000. The center of the packet clearly propagates counterclockwise along the boundary. (f) Same wave packet as in (a) in the vortex-filled sector. (g)-(j) The packet propagates in the opposite direction with the same angular velocity as in the flux-free case because both configurations are related via time-reversal symmetry.

create a Gaussian wave packet with $\langle H \rangle = 0$ centered at the intersection of the positive x-axis with the boundary of the sample,

$$\Psi_{\text{init}}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{\text{Re}(z_j) > 0.98} \exp\left[\frac{-|1 - z_j|^2}{(2\sigma)^2}\right] |j\rangle, \qquad (E.1)$$

where \mathcal{N} is a normalization constant, $\{|j\rangle\}$ represents the local basis of the Majorana Hilbert space, and $\sigma = 0.05$ is the width of the packet. z_j denotes the complex plane representation of the coordinate of site j.

The time evolution is given by the operator $\hat{U}(t) = \exp[-i\hat{H}t]$, where \hat{H} is the free Majorana Hamiltonian in the vortex-free or vortex-filled configuration. The time evolution of the state $|\Psi_{\text{init}}\rangle$ on a droplet with 21754 sites is shown in Fig. E.1(a)-(e) for the vortex-free sector. We observe that the center of the packet propagates along the boundary counterclockwise. Moreover, we see that the wave packet disperses and leaks into the bulk. Since the eigenspectrum of the Hamiltonian is gapless in the chiral phase, the wave packet excitation does not necessarily consist solely of states which are localized at the boundary. Thus, such behavior is expected. In the flux-filled sector the packet propagates in opposite direction (Fig. E.1(f)-(j)), as these configurations are related via time reversal.