

CONSTRUCTIONS OF WALDHAUSEN CATEGORIES VIA GROTHENDIECK OPFIBRATIONS

ZHENXING DI, LIPING LI, AND LI LIANG

ABSTRACT. Given a Grothendieck opfibration $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$, we describe a method to construct a Waldhausen category structure on the total category \mathcal{T} via combining Waldhausen category structures on the fibers \mathcal{T}_A for $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$ and the basis category \mathcal{B} . As an application, we show that if \mathbf{E} is a Waldhausen category with small coproducts such that the class of cofibrations is the left part of a weak factorization system in \mathbf{E} , then the representation category $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ of a left rooted quiver Q is a Waldhausen category, where coE is the subcategory of \mathbf{E} whose morphisms are cofibrations.

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a pseudo functor \mathcal{F} from a category \mathcal{B} to the (meta) 2-category Cat of categories, the lax colimit of \mathcal{F} is represented by its *Grothendieck construction* $\int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}$. The association of \mathcal{F} to the canonical projection $p : \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ exhibits one of the most fundamental relations in category theory, namely, the following equivalence between pseudo functors from \mathcal{B} to Cat and Grothendieck opfibrations over \mathcal{B} :

$$(1.1) \quad \int : \text{Fun}^{\text{Ps}}(\mathcal{B}, \text{Cat}) \rightarrow \text{opFib}(\mathcal{B}),$$

which is now known as *Grothendieck correspondence* [7].

A natural question one may consider is to establish similar correspondences for categories with extra structure. This question has been extensively studied in the literature, see for instances [16, 1, 15] for monoidal categories, enriched categories and tangent categories. For model categories, Harpaz and Prasma [8] showed that a covariant pseudo functor satisfying certain conditions from a model category \mathcal{M} to ModCat , the category of model categories and Quillen adjunctions, induces the integral model structure on its Grothendieck construction, and established an equivalence between these pseudo functors and model bifibrations over \mathcal{M} . Inspired by [8] as well as Roig [19] and Stanculescu [21], Cagne and Melliès identified in [3] necessary and sufficient conditions on a Grothendieck bifibration $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ to ensure that model structures on the fibers \mathcal{T}_A for $A \in \text{Ob}(\mathcal{B})$ and the basis category \mathcal{B} combine into a model structure on the total category \mathcal{T} . Furthermore, via this Grothendieck construction, they revisited the traditional definition of Reedy model structures and exhibited their bifibrational nature.

Waldhausen categories were introduced in [22] to extend higher algebraic K -theory brought by Quillen [17]. Note that Waldhausen categories only involve cofibrations and weak equivalences, and hence in general are different from model categories. Thus one may ask the following question:

Date: July 23, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 18G25; 18A25; 18A40.

Key words and phrases. Waldhausen category (structure); cofibration; weak equivalence; Grothendieck opfibration; left rooted quiver.

Z.X. Di was partly supported by NSF of China (Grant No. 11971388), the Scientific Research Funds of Huaqiao University (Grant No. 605-50Y22050) and the Fujian Alliance of Mathematics (Grant No. 2024SXMLMS04); L.P. Li was partly supported by NSF of China (Grant No. 12171146); L. Liang was partly supported by NSF of China (Grant No. 12271230).

1.1 Question. Given a pseudo functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \text{WaldCat}$, where \mathcal{B} is a Waldhausen category and WaldCat is the category of Waldhausen categories and exact functors, how to endow a Waldhausen category structure on its Grothendieck construction $\int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}$?

Let $\text{Wald.opFib}(\mathcal{B})$ be the subcategory of $\text{opFib}(\mathcal{B})$ consisting of Grothendieck opfibrations $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ satisfying the following conditions:

- the fiber \mathcal{T}_A is a Waldhausen category for each object A in \mathcal{B} ;
- the reindexing functor $u_! : \mathcal{T}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_B$ is exact for each morphism u in \mathcal{B} .

One may refer to objects in $\text{Wald.opFib}(\mathcal{B})$ as *Waldhausen opfibrations*. It is clear that (1.1) restricts to an equivalence

$$\int_{\mathcal{B}} : \text{Fun}^{\text{ps}}(\mathcal{B}, \text{WaldCat}) \rightarrow \text{Wald.opFib}(\mathcal{B}),$$

where $\text{Fun}^{\text{ps}}(\mathcal{B}, \text{WaldCat})$ is the subcategory of $\text{Fun}^{\text{ps}}(\mathcal{B}, \text{Cat})$ spanned by pseudo functors whose domain lies in WaldCat . This equivalence allows us to answer the above question from the point of view of Waldhausen opfibrations, which is also beneficial to giving further applications in representation categories of left rooted quivers.

We would like to show that for a Waldhausen opfibration $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ with \mathcal{B} a Waldhausen category, the total category \mathcal{T} inherits a Waldhausen category structure from the ones assigned to the basis category \mathcal{B} and to the fibers \mathcal{T}_A for each object A in \mathcal{B} . Note that any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{T} that is above a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} can be factored uniquely as a cocartesian lifting of X along u followed by a morphism f_{\triangleright} in the fiber \mathcal{T}_B by the cocartesian universal property of the lifting (see 2.11.1). One can always equip \mathcal{T} with two classes of total cofibrations and total weak equivalences described as:

- A *total cofibration* (resp., *total weak equivalence*) is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{T} that is above a cofibration (resp., *weak equivalence*) $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} such that f_{\triangleright} is a cofibration (resp., *weak equivalence*) in the fiber \mathcal{T}_B .

The following is the first main result of the paper.

Theorem A. *Let $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Waldhausen opfibration. If the basis category \mathcal{B} is a Waldhausen category, then the classes of total cofibrations and total weak equivalences given above endow the total category \mathcal{T} with a Waldhausen category structure.*

Since the canonical projection $p : \int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ associated to the pseudo functor \mathcal{F} in Question 1.1 is clearly a Waldhausen opfibration, Theorem A implies that the Grothendieck construction $\int_{\mathcal{B}} \mathcal{F}$ considered in Question 1.1 forms a Waldhausen category, where a morphism $(u, \phi) : (A, X) \rightarrow (B, Y)$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if $u : A \rightarrow B$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathcal{B} and $\phi : \mathcal{F}_u(X) \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathcal{F}_B .

Let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category and coE its subcategory with the same objects whose morphisms are cofibrations. Waldhausen showed in [22] that both the morphism category $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ and its full subcategory $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ consisting of cofibrations form also Waldhausen categories; see Example 2.2. As illustrations of Theorem A, we reobtain the Waldhausen category structures on $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ and $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ via the codomain and domain functors, respectively; see Examples 3.5 and 3.6. Since $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ (resp., $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$) can be identified with the functor category $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E})$ (resp., $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \text{coE})$), where \mathcal{J} is the free category associated to the quiver with two vertexes and one arrow, one may ask the following question:

1.2 Question. *Let \mathcal{J} be a small index category and \mathbf{E} a Waldhausen category. Is there a canonical Waldhausen structure on $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E})$ or $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \text{coE})$ generalizing the above two examples?*

The answer for the first functor category is transparent. Indeed, define a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E})$ to be a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E} for each object i in \mathcal{J} . Since isomorphisms and pushouts in $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E})$ are

determined componentwise, it is easy to check that $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \mathbf{E})$ forms a Waldhausen category in this way. However, the situation for the second functor category becomes much more complicated, and at this moment we cannot figure out a completely satisfactory solution. Instead, in this paper we will focus on the special case that \mathcal{J} is the free category associated to a left rooted quiver Q (see Section 4 for the definition of left rooted quivers). Using Theorem A and the approach of Cagne and Melliès [3] for Reedy model structures, we show that if \mathbf{E} has small coproducts and the class of cofibrations is the left part of a weak factorization system in \mathbf{E} , then $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE}) = \text{Fun}(\mathcal{J}, \text{coE})$ admits a Waldhausen category structure.

Before displaying the explicit statement of the result, let us describe the key steps and necessary notation. As one might expect, the proof of the result goes through a transfinite induction and the most technical part of the proof is the induction step for successor ordinals. Let $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \leq \zeta}$ be the transfinite sequence of subsets of vertexes in Q , and let $Q_\mu = (V_\mu, \Gamma_\mu)$ denote the subquiver of Q spanned by V_μ for each ordinal $\mu \leq \zeta$. It is shown that the restriction functor $\iota_\mu^* : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ with respect to the inclusion $\iota_\mu : Q_\mu \rightarrow Q_{\mu+1}$ is a Waldhausen opfibration (see Proposition 4.10) under which the induction step for a successor ordinal can be completed by Theorem A; see 4.11 for details. The key role playing in the proof of the above result is that the fiber $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$ of a representation $A \in \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ is isomorphic to the product category $\prod_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu} \overline{L_i(A)/\mathbf{E}}$ (see Proposition 4.7), where $L_i(A) = \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)}$ is indeed the latching object of A at i and $\overline{L_i(A)/\mathbf{E}}$ is the full subcategory of the undercategory $L_i(A)/\mathbf{E}$ consisting of all cofibrations $(L_i(A) \rightarrow \bullet)$ in \mathbf{E} , which is a Waldhausen category via a natural way (see Example 2.3(2)). For any representation X in $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ and any vertex i in Q , by the universal property of the coproduct, we obtain a morphism $L_i(X) \rightarrow X_i$, which is a cofibration in \mathbf{E} ; one refers to 4.4 for a similar proof. Hence, for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$, by the axiom (C3) in Definition 2.1, we get a pushout appearing as the inner square of the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 L_i(X) & \xrightarrow{L_i(f)} & L_i(Y) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 X_i & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \\
 & \searrow f_i & \downarrow \\
 & & Y_i
 \end{array}$$

of solid arrows in \mathbf{E} . By the universal property of the pushout, there is a natural morphism $L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \rightarrow Y_i$. Now, we can state the second main result of the paper.

Theorem B. *Let Q be a left rooted quiver and \mathbf{E} a Waldhausen category with small coproducts. Denote by \mathbf{C} (resp., \mathbf{W}) be the class of all cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} . If $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^\square)$ forms a weak factorization system in \mathbf{E} , then $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ forms a Waldhausen category, where a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if the induced morphism*

$$X_i \sqcup_{L_i(X)} L_i(Y) \rightarrow Y_i$$

lies in \mathbf{C} (resp., \mathbf{W}) for each vertex i in Q .

1.3 Remark. If further \mathbf{W} is closed under small coproducts and pushouts along morphisms in \mathbf{C} , and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property for composable morphisms in \mathbf{E} , then a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a weak equivalence in $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ if and only if $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ lies in \mathbf{W} for each vertex i in Q (see Remark 4.12).

Given a Quillen model category \mathbf{M} with small coproducts and $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{F})$ its model structure, we know that $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$ forms also a Quillen model category; see for instance Hirschhorn [9].

By Example 2.7 and Theorem B, both the full subcategories Cof_R and $\text{Rep}(Q, \overline{\text{Cof}})$ of $\text{Rep}(Q, M)$ form Waldhausen categories, where Cof_R denotes the full subcategory of all cofibrant objects in $\text{Rep}(Q, M)$, and $\overline{\text{Cof}}$ is the subcategory of all cofibrant objects in M whose morphisms are in C . As an illustration of Theorem B, we show in Example 4.13 that the above two Waldhausen categories are actually coincident.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce necessary notions, examples and facts on Waldhausen categories and Grothendieck opfibrations. Section 3 is devoted to proving Theorem A and revisiting two classical examples due to Waldhausen [22]. The main content of Section 4 is a proof of Theorem B.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we give necessary preliminaries on Waldhausen categories and Grothendieck opfibrations.

2.1. Waldhausen categories. In the original definition of a Waldhausen category [22], the underlying category is required to be *pointed*. However, some categories we discuss in the paper admit although both initial and terminal objects, they are not necessary isomorphic; see for instance Example 2.3. But they still admit classes of cofibrations and weak equivalences satisfying the required axioms. Hence, in this paper, we consider the following slight generalisation of the usual notion of a Waldhausen category, where only an initial object is required.

2.1 Definition. A *Waldhausen category* consists of a category E with an initial object “0” and a Waldhausen structure, that is, a class C of morphisms called *cofibrations* (denoted by “ \rightarrow ”) and a class W of morphisms called *weak equivalences* (denoted by “ $\xrightarrow{\sim}$ ”), such that both C and W are closed under compositions and the following axioms are satisfied:

- (C1) All isomorphisms in E are cofibrations.
- (C2) For every object A in E , the morphism $0 \rightarrow A$ is a cofibration.
- (C3) If $A \rightarrow B$ is a cofibration, then for any morphism $A \rightarrow C$, the pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C & \longrightarrow & B \sqcup_A C \end{array}$$

exists in E and the morphism $C \rightarrow B \sqcup_A C$ is a cofibration.

- (W1) All isomorphisms in E are weak equivalences.
- (W2) “Gluing Lemma”: Given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} C & \longleftarrow & A & \longrightarrow & B \\ \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim & & \downarrow \sim \\ C' & \longleftarrow & A' & \longrightarrow & B' \end{array}$$

in E , where the horizontal morphisms on the right are cofibrations and all vertical morphisms are weak equivalences, the induced morphism

$$B \sqcup_A C \rightarrow B' \sqcup_{A'} C'$$


is also a weak equivalence.

A functor between Waldhausen categories is said to be *exact* if it preserves the initial objects, cofibrations, weak equivalences, and the pushout diagrams of axiom (C3).

There are many examples of Waldhausen categories. Furthermore, given a Waldhausen category \mathbf{E} , one can equip Waldhausen structures on a few categories associated to \mathbf{E} . The following examples are taken from [22].

2.2 Example. Let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category.

- (1) The morphism category $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ admits an initial object, and is a Waldhausen category: a morphism $(u, a) : (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \rightarrow (g : B \twoheadrightarrow Y)$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ if both u and a are cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} .
- (2) Let $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ be the full subcategory of $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ whose objects are cofibrations in \mathbf{E} . For any morphism $(u, a) : (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \rightarrow (g : B \twoheadrightarrow Y)$ in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$, by considering the following commutative diagram, where the inner square is a pushout that exists by the axiom (C3), we obtain a natural morphism h :




The category $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ admits an initial object, and is a Waldhausen category, where (u, a) is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if both u and the induced morphism h are cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} .

2.3 Example. Let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category with 0 the initial object and A an object in \mathbf{E} .

- (1) The overcategory \mathbf{E}/A admits an initial object $(0 \rightarrow A)$ as well as a terminal object $\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A$. Thus \mathbf{E}/A is not pointed unless $A = 0$. We define a morphism in \mathbf{E}/A to be a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if it as a morphism in \mathbf{E} is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence). It is routine to check that \mathbf{E}/A forms a Waldhausen category with this construction.
- (2) The undercategory A/\mathbf{E} admits an initial object $(\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A)$. However, the construction for overcategories in general does not work for undercategories. Indeed, the unique morphism in A/\mathbf{E} from the initial object $(\text{id}_A : A \rightarrow A)$ to any object $(f : A \rightarrow X)$ is f , which might not be a cofibration in \mathbf{E} , so in this case the axiom (C2) fails. However, if we consider the full subcategory $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ consisting of cofibrations $(A \twoheadrightarrow X)$ in \mathbf{E} , then this problem is overcome: one can define a morphism in $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ to be a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if it as a morphism in \mathbf{E} is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence). With this construction $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ forms a Waldhausen category.

2.4 Weak factorization systems. Let $l : A \rightarrow B$ and $r : C \rightarrow D$ be morphisms in a category \mathbf{E} . We say that l has the *left lifting property* with respect to r (or r has the *right lifting property* with respect to l) if for every pair of morphisms $f : A \rightarrow C$ and $g : B \rightarrow D$ with $r \circ f = g \circ l$, there exists a morphism $t : B \rightarrow C$ such that the diagram



commutes. For a class C of morphisms in \mathbf{E} , let C^\square denote the class of morphisms in \mathbf{E} having the right lifting property with respect to all morphisms in C . The class ${}^\square C$ is defined dually. Recall

from Bousfield [2] that a pair (C, F) of classes of morphisms in E is called a *weak factorization system* if $C^\square = F$, ${}^\square F = C$ and every morphism α in E can be factored as $\alpha = f \circ c$ with c in C and f in F .

2.5 Remark. Let (C, F) be a weak factorization system in E . Then

- (a) both C and F are closed under compositions, and contain all isomorphisms in E ;
- (b) if E has pushouts along morphisms in C , then C is closed under pushouts;
- (c) if E has small coproducts, then C is closed under small coproducts.

For details, see [13, Propositions D.1.2 and D.1.3].

The following result describes a method to construct a Waldhausen structure from a weak factorization system satisfying certain conditions.

2.6 Proposition. *Let E be a category with finite coproducts and an initial object 0 , and let (C, W) be a pair of classes of morphisms in E satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) (C, C^\square) forms a weak factorization system in E such that $C^\square \subseteq W$;
- (2) W contains all isomorphisms in E and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property, that is, if two of the three morphisms f , g and gf are in W , then so is the third one;
- (3) $C \cap W$ is closed under pushouts;
- (4) $0 \rightarrow X \in C$ for all objects X in E .

Then (C, W) forms a Waldhausen structure on E .

Proof. By Remark 2.5, C contains all isomorphisms in E and is closed under pushouts. It remains to check the Gluing Lemma. This could be done by showing that E is a category of cofibrant objects in the sense of Kamps and Porter [14, page. 80], as any category of cofibrant objects satisfies the Gluing Lemma by [14, Theorem II 2.27]. However, according to the axioms for E being a category of cofibrant objects, we only need to show that any object $X \in E$ admits a cylinder object. Indeed, since (C, C^\square) forms a weak factorization system in E , we can factor the fold map $\text{id}_X \amalg \text{id}_X : X \amalg X \rightarrow X$ as

$$X \amalg X \xrightarrow{e_0 \amalg e_1} X \times I \xrightarrow{\delta} X$$

for some object $X \times I$ in E with $e_0 \amalg e_1 \in C$ and $\delta \in C^\square$. But $C^\square \subseteq W$, so $\delta \in W$, and hence $(X \times I, e_0, e_1, \delta)$ is the desired cylinder object for X . \square

We illustrate the application of this proposition in the following examples.

2.7 Example. Let M be a *Quillen model category*; that is, it has finite limits and colimits, and there is a triple (C, W, F) of classes of morphisms in M such that both $(C, W \cap F)$ and $(C \cap W, F)$ are weak factorization systems, and W satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property. Recall that an object M in M is called *cofibrant* if the morphism $0 \rightarrow M$ belongs to C , where 0 is the initial object of M . By Proposition 2.6, the full subcategory Cof of M consisting of all cofibrant objects forms a Waldhausen category with $(\text{Mor}(\text{Cof}) \cap C, \text{Mor}(\text{Cof}) \cap W)$ its Waldhausen structure.

The following example, which is essentially due to Sarazola [20], shows that a complete cotriple pair (see [5] for a definition) in an abelian category A might induce a Waldhausen structure on A . For a full subcategory X of A , set

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Mon}(X) &= \{\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is a monomorphism with } \text{coker}(\alpha) \in X\}, \text{ and} \\ \text{Epi}(X) &= \{\alpha \mid \alpha \text{ is an epimorphism with } \text{ker}(\alpha) \in X\}. \end{aligned}$$

2.8 Example. Let A be an abelian category with enough injectives, and denote by Inj (resp., $\text{Inj}^{<\infty}$) the full subcategory of A consisting of all injectives (resp., objects with finite injective dimension).

We use Proposition 2.6 to show that the pair $(\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{W})$ gives a Waldhausen structure on \mathbf{A} , where \mathbf{W} is the class of morphisms f that can be factored as $f = g \circ h$ with $h \in \text{Mon}(\text{Inj}^{<\infty})$ and $g \in \text{Epi}(\text{Inj})$.

We check that $(\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{W})$ satisfies conditions (1)-(4) in Proposition 2.6. For (1), since (\mathbf{A}, Inj) is a complete cotorsion pair in \mathbf{A} , it follows from [12, Theorem 2.4] that $(\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}), \text{Epi}(\text{Inj}))$ forms a weak factorization system in \mathbf{A} . For (2), it is obvious that \mathbf{W} contains all isomorphisms in \mathbf{A} . Since $\text{Inj}^{<\infty}$ satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property for short exact sequences in \mathbf{A} , we conclude by [20, Proposition 5.6] that \mathbf{W} satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property for composable morphisms in \mathbf{A} . For (3), it is easy to see that $\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \mathbf{W} = \text{Mon}(\text{Inj}^{<\infty})$. Thus, $\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}) \cap \mathbf{W}$ is closed under pushouts as so is $\text{Mon}(\text{Inj}^{<\infty})$. Finally, $(\text{Mon}(\mathbf{A}), \mathbf{W})$ clearly satisfies (4).

2.2. Grothendieck opfibrations. Let $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a functor. We say that an object X in \mathcal{T} is *above* an object A in \mathcal{B} if $p(X) = A$ and, similarly, that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{T} is *above* a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} if $p(f) = u$. Given an object A in \mathcal{B} , the *fiber* of A with respect to p is the full subcategory of \mathcal{T} whose objects are those X in \mathcal{T} such that $p(X) = A$ and morphisms are those f in \mathcal{T} such that $p(f) = \text{id}_A$. We denote the fiber of A with respect to p by \mathcal{T}_A .

Recall that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{T} that is above a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} is called *cocartesian* with respect to p if for every pair of morphisms $v : B \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{B} and $g : X \rightarrow Z$ in \mathcal{T} that is above $v \circ u : A \rightarrow C$, there exists a unique morphism $h : Y \rightarrow Z$ such that it is above v and $h \circ f = g$. Diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 & & g & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{h} & Z \\
 & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & v \circ u & & \\
 & & \curvearrowright & & \\
 A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C
 \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that the class of all cocartesian morphisms is closed under compositions.

As an immediate consequence of the universal property of cocartesian morphisms, we have:

2.9 Lemma. *Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a cocartesian morphism and $g, g' : Y \rightarrow Z$ morphisms with $g \circ f = g' \circ f$. If $p(g) = p(g')$, then one has $g = g'$.*

2.10 Definition. A *cloven Grothendieck opfibration* is a functor $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ together with for any object X in \mathcal{T} that is above an object A in \mathcal{B} and any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} ,

- an object $u_!(X)$ in \mathcal{T} and a cocartesian morphism $\lambda_{u,X}^p : X \rightarrow u_!(X)$ in \mathcal{T} that is above u ; diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X}^p} & u_!(X) \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 A & \xrightarrow{u} & B
 \end{array}$$

When the functor p is clear from the context, we write $\lambda_{u,X}$ for $\lambda_{u,X}^p$, and call it the *cocartesian lifting* of X along u .

In this paper, we always consider cloven Grothendieck opfibrations, and call them simply Grothendieck opfibrations. Indeed, if \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{B} are small relatively to a universe \mathbf{U} in which the axiom of choice is assumed, then a cloven Grothendieck opfibration is exactly the same as the original notion of a Grothendieck opfibration; see for instance [16].

The following result will be used frequently in the paper.

2.11 Lemma. *Let $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Grothendieck opfibration, and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ a morphism in \mathcal{T} that is above a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} . Then f can be factored uniquely as the cocartesian morphism $\lambda_{u,X} : X \rightarrow u_!(X)$ followed by a morphism $f_{\triangleright} : u_!(X) \rightarrow Y$ in the fiber \mathcal{T}_B . If furthermore f is an isomorphism, then f_{\triangleright} is an isomorphism in \mathcal{T}_B .*

Proof. Consider the following diagram of solid arrows:

$$(2.11.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & & Y \\ & \nearrow f & \vdots \\ X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X}} & u_!(X) \xrightarrow{f_{\triangleright}} Y \\ & \vdots & \vdots \\ & \nearrow u & B \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array}$$

By the cocartesian universal property of $\lambda_{u,X}$, there is a unique morphism f_{\triangleright} , which is clearly in the fiber \mathcal{T}_B , such that $f_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{u,X} = f$.

If f is an isomorphism, let $g : Y \rightarrow X$ be the inverse of f . We would like to find the inverse of f_{\triangleright} . To this end, set $v = p(g) : B \rightarrow A$. By the above proof, g can be factored uniquely as the cocartesian morphism $\lambda_{v,Y} : Y \rightarrow v_!(Y)$ followed by a morphism $g_{\triangleright} : v_!(Y) \rightarrow X$ in the fiber \mathcal{T}_A . Note that

$$(\lambda_{u,X} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,Y} \circ f_{\triangleright}) \circ \lambda_{u,X} = \lambda_{u,X} \circ g \circ f = \lambda_{u,X} = \text{id}_{u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X};$$

diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y & \xrightarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \lambda_{u,X} \searrow & & \nearrow f_{\triangleright} & \lambda_{v,Y} \searrow & & \nearrow f_{\triangleright} & \\ & u_!(X) & & v_!(Y) & & u_!(X) & \\ & \nearrow & & \nearrow g_{\triangleright} & & \nearrow & \end{array}$$

Moreover, one has

$$p(\lambda_{u,X} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,Y} \circ f_{\triangleright}) = u \circ v \circ \text{id}_B = p(fg) = p(\text{id}_Y) = \text{id}_B = p(\text{id}_{u_!(X)}).$$

Since $\lambda_{u,X}$ is cocartesian, it follows from Lemma 2.9 that

$$(\lambda_{u,X} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,Y}) \circ f_{\triangleright} = \text{id}_{u_!(X)}.$$

It is also clear that $f_{\triangleright} \circ (\lambda_{u,X} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,Y}) = f \circ g = \text{id}_Y$. Thus, $\lambda_{u,X} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,Y}$ is the inverse of f_{\triangleright} . \square

2.12 Remark. By this lemma, the $u_!$ appearing in Definition 2.10 is a functor from \mathcal{T}_A to \mathcal{T}_B , called the *reindexing functor* of u . To specify its action on morphisms, let $k : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism in \mathcal{T}_A , and consider the morphism $\lambda_{u,X'} \circ k : X \rightarrow u_!(X')$, where $\lambda_{u,X'} : X' \rightarrow u_!(X')$ is the cocartesian lifting of X' along u . Then by Lemma 2.11, one has $\lambda_{u,X'} \circ k = (\lambda_{u,X'} \circ k)_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{u,X}$, so the following diagram commutes:

$$(2.12.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X}} & u_!(X) \\ \downarrow k & & \downarrow (\lambda_{u,X'} \circ k)_{\triangleright} \\ X' & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X'}} & u_!(X') \end{array}$$

Thus we can set $u_!(k) = (\lambda_{u,X'} \circ k)_{\triangleright}$. It is easy to check that this construction is functorial.

2.13 Remark. The rule assigning an object A in \mathcal{B} to the fiber \mathcal{T}_A and a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} to the reindexing functor $u_! : \mathcal{T}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_B$ gives a pseudo functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{Cat}$. For two morphisms $u : A \rightarrow B$ and $v : B \rightarrow C$ in \mathcal{B} , there is a natural isomorphism $\phi : (v \circ u)_! \rightarrow v_! \circ u_!$. Thus, for any object X in \mathcal{T}_A , there is an isomorphism $\phi_X : (v \circ u)_!(X) \rightarrow v_!(u_!(X))$ in \mathcal{T}_C such that $\lambda_{v, u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u, X} = \phi_X \circ \lambda_{v \circ u, X}$. To simplify subsequent proofs, **from now on**, we identify $(v \circ u)_!$ with $v_! \circ u_!$ via the isomorphism ϕ_X . Accordingly, the above identity can be simplified to

$$(2.13.1) \quad \lambda_{v, u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u, X} = \lambda_{v \circ u, X}.$$

The following result shows, under certain mild conditions, that the total category \mathcal{T} and the fiber \mathcal{T}_0 of the initial object 0 in the basis category \mathcal{B} share the common initial object, and that \mathcal{T} is pointed if so are \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{T}_0 .

2.14 Lemma. *Let $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be a Grothendieck opfibration. Suppose that the basis category \mathcal{B} admits an initial object 0 and the fiber \mathcal{T}_0 admits an initial object $\bar{0}$. If the reindexing functor $u_!$ preserves the initial objects for each morphism u in \mathcal{B} , then $\bar{0}$ is the initial object of the total category \mathcal{T} . Furthermore, if both \mathcal{B} and \mathcal{T}_0 are pointed categories, then so is \mathcal{T} .*

Proof. Let X be an object in \mathcal{T} . Consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \bar{0} & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{*, \bar{0}}} & *_!(\bar{0}) & \xrightarrow{\exists! \bar{*}} & X \\ \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \xrightarrow{\exists! *} & p(X) & \xlongequal{\quad} & p(X) \end{array}$$

in which $\lambda_{*, \bar{0}}$ is the cocartesian lifting of $\bar{0}$ along $*$, and $*_!(\bar{0})$ is the initial object in $\mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$ as $*_! : \mathcal{T}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$ preserves the initial objects by assumption. Then we obtain a morphism $\bar{*} \circ \lambda_{*, \bar{0}} : \bar{0} \rightarrow X$; we show next that it is the unique morphism from $\bar{0}$ to X . Let $f : \bar{0} \rightarrow X$ be another morphism in \mathcal{T} . Then f is clearly above $*$, and so by Lemma 2.11, one has $f = f_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{*, \bar{0}}$ with $f_{\triangleright} : *_!(\bar{0}) \rightarrow X \in \mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$. We mention that $*_!(\bar{0})$ is the initial object in $\mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$. It follows that $f_{\triangleright} = \bar{*}$, and so $f = f_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{*, \bar{0}} = \bar{*} \circ \lambda_{*, \bar{0}}$, as desired. Thus, $\bar{0}$ is the initial object in \mathcal{T} .

If 0 is a terminal object in \mathcal{B} and $\bar{0}$ is a terminal object in \mathcal{T}_0 , then using a similar proof as above, one gets that $\bar{0}$ is a terminal object in \mathcal{T} as well. \square

3. PROOF OF THEOREM A AND TWO CLASSICAL EXAMPLES

This section is devoted to giving a proof of Theorem A and revisiting two classical examples due to Waldhausen [22] as illustrations of Theorem A, where some details will be applied further in the proof of Theorem B.

3.1. Proof of Theorem A. Recall that a Grothendieck opfibration $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is called a *Waldhausen opfibration* if the following conditions are satisfied:

- for each object A in \mathcal{B} , the fiber \mathcal{T}_A is a Waldhausen category;
- for each morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} , the reindexing functor $u_! : \mathcal{T}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_B$ is exact, that is, $u_!$ preserves the initial objects, cofibrations, weak equivalences, and the pushout diagrams of axiom (C3).

Throughout this subsection, we always assume that $p : \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ is a Waldhausen opfibration with the basis category \mathcal{B} a Waldhausen category. Recall that a *total cofibration* (resp., *total weak equivalence*) is a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in \mathcal{T} that is above a cofibration (resp., *weak equivalence*) $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{B} such that f_{\triangleright} is a cofibration (resp., *weak equivalence*) in the fiber \mathcal{T}_B . We aim to prove that the classes of total cofibrations and total weak equivalences endow the category \mathcal{T} with a Waldhausen structure.

3.1 Lemma. *Both the class of total cofibrations and the class of total weak equivalences are closed under compositions.*

Proof. We only prove the conclusion for total cofibrations. A similar proof works for total weak equivalences.

Let $X \xrightarrow{f} Y \xrightarrow{g} Z$ be a pair of total cofibrations in \mathcal{T} . Consider the picture

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & & Z \\
 & & \uparrow g_{\triangleright} \\
 & & Y \xrightarrow{\lambda_{v,Y}} v_!(Y) \\
 & \nearrow f & \uparrow v_!(f_{\triangleright}) \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X}} u_!(X) & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{v,u_!(X)}} v_!(u_!(X)) \\
 & \uparrow f_{\triangleright} & \\
 & & B
 \end{array}
 \xrightarrow{p}
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 & & C \\
 & & \uparrow v \\
 & & B \xrightarrow{v} C \\
 & \nearrow u & \uparrow \\
 A & \xrightarrow{u} B & \xrightarrow{v} C
 \end{array}$$

where the left commutative diagram in \mathcal{T} is above the right commutative diagram in \mathcal{B} , and the inner square in the left diagram is from (2.12.1).

To show that $g \circ f$ is also a total cofibration, we need to prove that $v \circ u$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{B} and $(g \circ f)_{\triangleright}$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_C . Since f and g are total cofibrations, it follows that u and v are cofibrations in \mathcal{B} , f_{\triangleright} is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_B , and g_{\triangleright} is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_C . Thus $v \circ u$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{B} . Furthermore, we have $(g \circ f)_{\triangleright} = g_{\triangleright} \circ v_!(f_{\triangleright})$ by equality (2.13.1). Since $v_!$ is exact, it preserves cofibrations. Hence, $v_!(f_{\triangleright})$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_C . Consequently, $(g \circ f)_{\triangleright}$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_C . \square

By Lemma 2.14, the total category \mathcal{T} admits an initial object, and it follows from Lemma 2.11 that \mathcal{T} satisfies the axioms (C1) and (W1). In the rest of this subsection we prove that \mathcal{T} satisfies the axioms (C2), (C3) and (W2).

3.2 For the axiom (C2). By Lemma 2.14, the initial object in \mathcal{T} is $\bar{0}$, the initial object in the fiber \mathcal{T}_0 , where 0 is the initial object in \mathcal{B} . Moreover, for any object X in \mathcal{T} , the unique morphism $f : \bar{0} \rightarrow X$ that is above the morphism $* : 0 \rightarrow p(X)$ in \mathcal{B} factors uniquely as

$$\bar{0} \xrightarrow{\lambda_{*,\bar{0}}} *_!(\bar{0}) \xrightarrow{\exists! \bar{f}} X,$$

where $*_!(\bar{0})$ is the initial object in $\mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$ and $\bar{f} = f_{\triangleright}$. Since both \mathcal{B} and $\mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$ are Waldhausen categories, it follows that $*$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{B} and f_{\triangleright} is a cofibration in $\mathcal{T}_{p(X)}$. Thus, f is a total cofibration in \mathcal{T} . \square

3.3 For the axiom (C3). Let $Z \xleftarrow{g} X \xrightarrow{f} Y$ be a pair of morphisms in \mathcal{T} with f a total cofibration. It is sufficient to construct the pushout of f along g and show that it preserves total cofibrations. Suppose that the above pair of morphisms is above the one $C \xleftarrow{v} A \xrightarrow{u} B$ in \mathcal{B} . Then u is a cofibration as f is a total cofibration. Since \mathcal{B} satisfies the axiom (C3), there exists a pushout

$$(3.3.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ \downarrow v & & \downarrow \bar{v} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A C \end{array}$$

with \bar{u} a cofibration in \mathcal{B} . We mention that $f_{\triangleright} : u_!(X) \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration in \mathcal{T}_B . It follows that $\bar{v}_!(f_{\triangleright})$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{T}_{B \sqcup_A C}$, as $\bar{v}_!$ is exact by the assumption. Since $\mathcal{T}_{B \sqcup_A C}$ satisfies the axiom

(C3) as well, there exists the pushout

$$(3.3.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bar{u}_!(v_!(X)) = \bar{v}_!(u_!(X)) & \xrightarrow{\bar{v}_!(f_\triangleright)} & \bar{v}_!(Y) \\ \bar{u}_!(g_\triangleright) \downarrow & & \downarrow a \\ \bar{u}_!(Z) & \xrightarrow{b} & W \end{array}$$

with b a cofibration in $\mathcal{T}_{B \sqcup_A C}$. This yields the fourth equality in the following computation:

$$\begin{aligned} a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y} \circ f &= a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y} \circ f_\triangleright \circ \lambda_{u, X} && \text{by (2.11.1)} \\ &= a \circ \bar{v}_!(f_\triangleright) \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u, X} && \text{by (2.12.1) for } f_\triangleright \\ &= a \circ \bar{v}_!(f_\triangleright) \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, v_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{v, X} && \text{by (2.13.1) as } \bar{u} \circ v = \bar{v} \circ u \\ &= b \circ \bar{u}_!(g_\triangleright) \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, v_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{v, X} \\ &= b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z} \circ g_\triangleright \circ \lambda_{v, X} && \text{by (2.12.1) for } g_\triangleright \\ &= b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z} \circ g && \text{by (2.11.1)} \end{aligned}$$

Thus the square

$$(3.3.3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}} & W \end{array}$$

in \mathcal{T} commutes. Since $b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}$ is above \bar{u} and $(b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z})_\triangleright = b$, according to what we established above, $b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}$ is a total cofibration.

It remains to prove that (3.3.3) is a pushout. As depicted below, the commutative diagram of solid arrows in \mathcal{T} is above the commutative diagram of solid arrows in \mathcal{B} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ g \downarrow & & \downarrow a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}} & W \end{array} & \xrightarrow{p} & \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ v \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{v} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A C \end{array} \\ \downarrow d & & \downarrow p(d) \\ & & p(V) \end{array}$$

We need to find a unique morphism e such that $e \circ (b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}) = d$ and $e \circ (a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y}) = c$.

Since (3.3.1) is a pushout, there exists a unique morphism t such that $t \circ \bar{u} = p(d)$ and $t \circ \bar{v} = p(c)$. Since (3.3.2) is a pushout in $\mathcal{T}_{B \sqcup_A C}$ and $t_!$ preserves the pushout of axiom (C3), the square in the following diagram is a pushout in $\mathcal{T}_{p(V)}$:

$$(3.3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} t_!(\bar{u}_!(v_!(X))) = t_!(\bar{v}_!(u_!(X))) & \xrightarrow{t_!(\bar{v}_!(f_\triangleright))} & t_!(\bar{v}_!(Y)) = p(c)_!(Y) \\ t_!(\bar{u}_!(g_\triangleright)) \downarrow & & \downarrow t_!(a) \\ p(d)_!(Z) = t_!(\bar{u}_!(Z)) & \xrightarrow{t_!(b)} & t_!(W) \end{array}$$

However, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& c_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{v}_!(f_{\triangleright})) \circ \lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X} \\
&= c_{\triangleright} \circ p(c)!(f_{\triangleright}) \circ \lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X} && \text{as } t \circ \bar{v} = p(c) \\
&= c_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{p(c),Y} \circ f_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{u,X} && \text{by (2.12.1) for } f_{\triangleright} \\
&= c \circ f && \text{by (2.11.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{u}_!(g_{\triangleright})) \circ \lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X} \\
&= d_{\triangleright} \circ p(d)!(g_{\triangleright}) \circ \lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X} && \text{as } t \circ \bar{u} = p(d) \\
&= d_{\triangleright} \circ p(d)!(g_{\triangleright}) \circ \lambda_{p(d),v_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{v,X} && \text{by (2.13.1) as } p(c) \circ u = p(d) \circ v \\
&= d_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{p(d),Z} \circ g_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{v,X} && \text{by (2.12.1) for } g_{\triangleright} \\
&= d \circ g && \text{by (2.11.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we have

$$(c_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{v}_!(f_{\triangleright}))) \circ (\lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X}) = (d_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{u}_!(g_{\triangleright}))) \circ (\lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X}).$$

Since $\lambda_{p(c),u_!(X)} \circ \lambda_{u,X}$ is cocartesian and

$$p(c_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{v}_!(f_{\triangleright}))) = p(c_{\triangleright} \circ p(c)!(f_{\triangleright})) = \text{id}_{p(V)} \circ \text{id}_{p(V)} = p(d_{\triangleright} \circ p(d)!(g_{\triangleright})) = p(d_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{u}_!(g_{\triangleright}))),$$

it follows from Lemma 2.9 that $c_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{v}_!(f_{\triangleright})) = d_{\triangleright} \circ t_!(\bar{u}_!(g_{\triangleright}))$, so the outermost square in (3.3.4) is commutative, and hence there exists a unique morphism h such that $h \circ t_!(b) = d_{\triangleright}$ and $h \circ t_!(a) = c_{\triangleright}$.

Set $e = h \circ \lambda_{t,W}$. We check that $e \circ (b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u},Z}) = d$ and $e \circ (a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v},Y}) = c$. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& e \circ (b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u},Z}) \\
&= h \circ \lambda_{t,W} \circ b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u},Z} \\
&= h \circ t_!(b) \circ \lambda_{t,\bar{u}_!(Z)} \circ \lambda_{\bar{u},Z} && \text{by (2.12.1) for } b \\
&= d_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{t,\bar{u}_!(Z)} \circ \lambda_{\bar{u},Z} \\
&= d_{\triangleright} \circ \lambda_{p(d),Z} && \text{by (2.13.1) as } t \circ \bar{u} = p(d) \\
&= d && \text{by (2.11.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $e \circ (a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v},Y}) = c$. This completes the proof. \square

3.4 For the axiom (W2). Let

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Z & \xleftarrow{g} & X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\
\sim \downarrow i & & \sim \downarrow j & & \sim \downarrow k \\
Z' & \xleftarrow{g'} & X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y'
\end{array}$$

be a commutative diagram in \mathcal{T} such that f and f' are total cofibrations and i, j and k are total weak equivalences. By 3.3, we obtain pushouts in \mathcal{T} appearing as the top and bottom squares in the following commutative diagram in \mathcal{T} on the left side (we still adopt the notation used in 3.3,

although there will be some adjustments that the readers are well aware of).

$$(3.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \\ \swarrow g & \downarrow \sim j & \swarrow a \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}, Y} \\ Z & \xrightarrow{b \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}, Z}} & W \\ \downarrow i \sim & & \downarrow e \\ X' & \xrightarrow{f'} & Y' \\ \swarrow g' & & \swarrow a' \circ \lambda_{\bar{v}', Y'} \\ Z' & \xrightarrow{b' \circ \lambda_{\bar{u}', Z'}} & W' \end{array} \quad \xrightarrow{p} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ \swarrow v & \downarrow \sim p(j) & \swarrow \bar{v} \\ C & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A C \\ \downarrow p(i) \sim & & \downarrow t \\ A' & \xrightarrow{u'} & B' \\ \swarrow v' & & \swarrow \bar{v}' \\ C' & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}'} & B' \sqcup_{A'} C' \end{array}$$

We would like to show that the induced unique morphism e is also a total weak equivalence. According to what we have showed in 3.3, $e = h \circ \lambda_{t, W}$ with $e_{\triangleright} = h$, where h is the unique morphism such that the following diagram in $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$ commutes:

$$(3.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} & & \bar{u}'_!(v'_!(p(j)_!(X))) & & \\ & & \parallel & & \\ & & t_!(\bar{u}'_!(v'_!(X))) = t_!(\bar{v}'_!(u'_!(X))) & \xrightarrow{t_!(\bar{v}'_!(f_{\triangleright}))} & t_!(\bar{v}'_!(Y)) = \bar{v}'_!(p(k)_!(Y)) \\ & \swarrow t_!(\bar{u}'_!(g_{\triangleright})) & \downarrow \sim & \swarrow t_!(a) & \downarrow \sim \bar{v}'_!(k_{\triangleright}) \\ \bar{u}'_!(p(i)_!(Z)) = t_!(\bar{u}'_!(Z)) & \xrightarrow{t_!(b)} & t_!(W) & & \\ \downarrow \bar{u}'_!(i_{\triangleright}) \sim & & \downarrow h & \xrightarrow{\bar{v}'_!(f'_{\triangleright})} & \bar{v}'_!(Y') \\ & & \bar{u}'_!(v'_!(X')) = \bar{v}'_!(u'_!(X')) & \xrightarrow{a'} & \\ & \swarrow \bar{u}'_!(g'_{\triangleright}) & & \swarrow & \\ \bar{u}'_!(Z') & \xrightarrow{b'} & W' & & \end{array}$$

Thus it suffices to prove that t is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{B} and h is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$.

Consider firstly the right commutative diagram in (3.4.1) in which both u and u' are cofibrations in \mathcal{B} and both the top and bottom squares are pushouts in \mathcal{B} . Since i , j and k are total weak equivalences in \mathcal{T} , it follows that $p(i)$, $p(j)$ and $p(k)$ are weak equivalences in \mathcal{B} . But \mathcal{B} satisfies the axiom (W2), so t is a weak equivalence in \mathcal{B} , as desired.

Next, consider the commutative diagram (3.4.2), where both the top and bottom squares are pushouts in $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$. Again, since i , j and k are total weak equivalences in \mathcal{T} , it follows that i_{\triangleright} is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{T}_{Z'}$, j_{\triangleright} is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{T}_{X'}$, and k_{\triangleright} is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{T}_{Y'}$. Thus $\bar{u}'_!(i_{\triangleright})$, $\bar{u}'_!(v'_!(j_{\triangleright}))$ and $\bar{v}'_!(k_{\triangleright})$ are weak equivalences in $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$, as the reindexing functors $\bar{u}'_!$, $v'_!$ and $\bar{v}'_!$ are exact. Since $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$ satisfies the axiom (W2) as well, we conclude that h is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{T}_{B' \sqcup_{A'} C'}$, as desired. \square

3.2. Two classical examples. In this subsection we revisit two classical examples given in [22] (see Example 2.2), where the second one will be applied further in the proof of Theorem B.

For a Waldhausen category \mathbf{E} , recall that the morphism category $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ is also a Waldhausen category via a natural way; see Example 2.2(1). Applying Theorem A we can reobtain this Waldhausen structure via the codomain functor.

3.5 Example. Let \mathbf{E} be a category. Consider the codomain functor

$$p : \mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}, \quad \text{via } (f : X \rightarrow A) \longmapsto A.$$

The following facts can be easily deduced:

- For each object A in \mathbf{E} , the fiber $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})_A$ of A is the overcategory \mathbf{E}/A .
- The codomain functor p is a Grothendieck opfibration. Indeed, for any object $(f : X \rightarrow A)$ in $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ and any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{E} , set $u_!((f : X \rightarrow A)) = (u \circ f : X \rightarrow B)$ and $\lambda_{u,f} = (\text{id}_X, u)$; diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow u \circ f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & B \end{array}$$

It is easy to check that (id_X, u) is a cocartesian morphism in $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ that is above u .

- For any morphism $(a, u) : (f : X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (g : Y \rightarrow B)$ in $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ that is above u , one has $(a, u)_{\triangleright} = (a, \text{id}_B)$; diagrammatically:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xlongequal{\quad} & X \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow u \circ f \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & B \end{array} & \xrightarrow{p} & \begin{array}{ccc} & & Y \\ & \nearrow a & \downarrow g \\ & & B \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\quad a \quad} & Y \\ & \searrow & \downarrow g \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & B \end{array} & & \begin{array}{ccc} & & B \\ & \nearrow u & \downarrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{\quad u \quad} & B \end{array} \end{array}$$

- For any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{E} and any morphism $(a, \text{id}_A) : (f : X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (f' : X' \rightarrow A)$ in \mathbf{E}/A , we have $u_!((a, \text{id}_A)) = (a, \text{id}_B)$ in \mathbf{E}/B .

Suppose now that \mathbf{E} is a Waldhausen category with initial object 0 . Then from Example 2.3(1), we see that for each object A in \mathbf{E} , the overcategory \mathbf{E}/A also forms a Waldhausen category with initial object $(0 \rightarrow A)$, where a morphism (a, id_A) in \mathbf{E}/A is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if a is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E} . For any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{E} , it is clear that $u_!$ preserves the initial objects, cofibrations, weak equivalences, and the pushout diagrams of axiom (C3). This implies that $u_!$ is exact from \mathbf{E}/A to \mathbf{E}/B . Thus, p is a Waldhausen opfibration.

The above facts ensure that we can apply Theorem A to guarantee that $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ forms a Waldhausen category, where a morphism $(a, u) : (f : X \rightarrow A) \rightarrow (g : Y \rightarrow B)$ in $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ is a total cofibration (resp., total weak equivalence) if u is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E} and $(a, u)_{\triangleright} = (a, \text{id}_B)$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E}/B , that is, both u and a are cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} .

We mention that the above Waldhausen structure on $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ is identical with the classical one; see Example 2.2(1). \square

Let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category. Recall that $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ is the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{E})$ whose objects are cofibrations in \mathbf{E} . By [22], $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ is a Waldhausen category; see Example 2.2(2) for details. In the following example, applying Theorem A again, we reobtain this Waldhausen structure on $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ via the domain functor. Recall from Example 2.3(2) that for any object A in \mathbf{E} , $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ denotes the full subcategory of the undercategory A/\mathbf{E} consisting of cofibrations $(A \twoheadrightarrow X)$ in \mathbf{E} .

3.6 Example. Let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category with initial object 0 . Consider the domain functor

$$p : \text{coMor}(\mathbf{E}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{E}, \quad \text{via } (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \longmapsto A.$$

Note that p is surjective on objects as $\text{id}_A \in \text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ for each object A in \mathbf{E} . We can deduce the following facts:

- For each object A in \mathbf{E} , the fiber $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})_A$ of A is the category $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$.
- The domain functor p is a Grothendieck opfibration. Indeed, for any object $(f : A \twoheadrightarrow X)$ in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ and any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{E} , by the axiom (C3), there exists a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A X \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{E} with \bar{f} a cofibration, that is, $(\bar{f} : B \twoheadrightarrow B \sqcup_A X)$ is also an object in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$. Set $u_!((f : A \twoheadrightarrow X)) = (\bar{f} : B \twoheadrightarrow B \sqcup_A X)$ and $\lambda_{u,f} = (u, \bar{u})$. Then for each morphism $v : B \rightarrow C$ in \mathbf{E} and each morphism $(v \circ u, c) : (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \rightarrow (h : C \twoheadrightarrow Z)$ in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$, by the universal property of the pushout, there exists a unique morphism $B \sqcup_A X \rightarrow Z$ such that the left diagram in \mathbf{E} commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \begin{array}{ccccc} & & & & C \\ & & \text{v} \circ \text{u} & & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow h \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A X & \xrightarrow{c} & Z \end{array} & \xrightarrow{p} & \begin{array}{ccc} & & C \\ & \text{v} \circ \text{u} & \uparrow \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & \xrightarrow{v} & C \end{array} \end{array}$$

Thus, (u, \bar{u}) is a cocartesian morphism in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ that is above u .

- For any morphism $(u, a) : (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \rightarrow (g : B \twoheadrightarrow Y)$ in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ that is above u , the fiber morphism $(u, a)_\triangleright = (\text{id}_B, h)$, where h is the unique morphism such that the diagram

$$(3.6.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B & & \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \bar{f} & & \downarrow g \\ & & B \sqcup_A X & \xrightarrow{h} & Y \\ \bar{u} \nearrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X & \xrightarrow{a} & Y & & \end{array}$$

commutes.

By Example 2.3(2), for any object A in \mathbf{E} , the category $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ forms a Waldhausen category with initial object $(\text{id}_A : A \twoheadrightarrow A)$, where a morphism (id_A, a) in $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if a is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E} . For any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathbf{E} , we conclude that $u_!$ preserves the initial objects. For any morphism $(\text{id}_A, g) : (f : A \twoheadrightarrow X) \rightarrow (f' : A \twoheadrightarrow X')$ in $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$, consider the following left commutative diagram of solid arrows in \mathbf{E} , where

both the front and back squares are pushouts:

$$(3.6.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \xrightarrow{u} B \\ & \parallel & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow \bar{f}' \\ X & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}} & B \sqcup_A X' \\ \uparrow g & & \uparrow g' \\ & X' & \xrightarrow{\bar{u}'} B \sqcup_A X' \end{array} \xrightarrow{p} \begin{array}{ccc} & A & \xrightarrow{u} B \\ & \parallel & \parallel \\ A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \end{array}$$

By the universal property of the front pushout, there exists a unique morphism $g' : B \sqcup_A X \rightarrow B \sqcup_A X'$ such that the left diagram commutes, so we have $u_!(\text{id}_A, g) = (\text{id}_B, g')$. By the pasting lemma, the bottom square in (3.6.2) is also a pushout. Thus one can easily check that $u_!$ preserves the pushout diagrams of axiom (C3). Moreover, since \mathbf{E} satisfies axiom (C3), it follows that if (id_A, g) is a cofibration in $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ (that is, g is a cofibration in \mathbf{E}), then so is g' , and hence (id_B, g') is a cofibration in $\overline{B/\mathbf{E}}$, which implies that $u_!$ preserves cofibrations. If (id_A, g) is a weak equivalence in $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ (that is, g is a weak equivalence in \mathbf{E}), then by the axiom (W2), so is g' , and hence (id_B, g') is a weak equivalence in $\overline{B/\mathbf{E}}$. This implies that $u_!$ preserves weak equivalences as well. Thus, $u_!$ is exact from $\overline{A/\mathbf{E}}$ to $\overline{B/\mathbf{E}}$. According to what we showed above, we see that p is Waldhausen opfibration.

The above facts allow us to apply Theorem A to guarantee that $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ forms a Waldhausen category, where a morphism $(u, a) : (f : A \rightarrow X) \rightarrow (g : B \rightarrow Y)$ in $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ is a total cofibration (resp., total weak equivalence) if u is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in \mathbf{E} and $(u, a)_\triangleright = (\text{id}_B, h)$ appearing in (3.6.1) is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\overline{B/\mathbf{E}}$, that is, both u and h are cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} .

We mention that the above Waldhausen structure on $\text{coMor}(\mathbf{E})$ is identical with the classical one; see Example 2.2(2). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM B

We give the proof of Theorem B in this section as well as an example in the context of model categories to illustrate the application of this theorem. We begin by recalling the definition of left rooted quivers.

Left rooted quivers. Let Q be a quiver with vertex set V and arrow set Γ . For an arrow α , write $s(\alpha)$ for its source and $t(\alpha)$ for its target. By Enochs, Oyonarte and Torrecillas [6], there exists a transfinite sequence $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \text{ ordinal}}$ of subsets of V as follows:

- for the first ordinal $\mu = 0$, set $V_0 = \emptyset$;
- for a successor ordinal $\mu + 1$, set

$$V_{\mu+1} = \{i \in V \mid i \text{ is not the target of any arrow } \alpha \text{ with } s(\alpha) \notin \cup_{\gamma \leq \mu} V_\gamma\};$$

- for a limit ordinal μ , set $V_\mu = \cup_{\gamma < \mu} V_\gamma$.

4.1 Remark. It is clear that V_1 consists of all vertexes i such that there is no arrow α with $t(\alpha) = i$. By [10, Lemma 2.7] and [10, Corollary 2.8], there is a chain

$$V_0 \subseteq V_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V_\mu \subseteq V_{\mu+1} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V,$$

and if $\alpha : i \rightarrow j$ is an arrow with $j \in V_{\mu+1}$ for some ordinal μ , then i must be in V_μ .

4.2 Definition. Let $Q = (V, \Gamma)$ be a quiver and $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \text{ ordinal}}$ the transfinite sequence of subsets of V . Then Q is said to be *left rooted* [6] if there exists an ordinal ζ such that $V_\zeta = V$.

4.3 Remark. By [6, Proposition 3.6], a quiver is left rooted if and only if it has no infinite sequence of arrows of the form

$$\cdots \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet \rightarrow \bullet$$

where vertices are not necessarily different. It is easy to see that a left rooted quiver has no loops or oriented cycles. Moreover, by [4, Remark 3.5 and Proposition 3.7], the free category associated to a left rooted quiver is a direct category; see for instance Hovey [11].

Setup. Throughout this section,

- let $Q = (V, \Gamma)$ be a left rooted quiver and $\{V_\mu\}_{\mu \leq \zeta}$ the transfinite sequence of subsets of V .
- let $Q_\mu = (V_\mu, \Gamma_\mu)$ denote the subquiver of Q spanned by V_μ . In particular, $Q = Q_\zeta$. Note that every Q_μ inherits a left rooted structure from Q .
- let \mathbf{E} be a Waldhausen category with small coproducts, \mathbf{C} (resp., \mathbf{W}) the class of all cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) in \mathbf{E} , and suppose that $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^\square)$ forms a weak factorization system in \mathbf{E} .
- let coE be the subcategory of \mathbf{E} sharing the same objects whose morphisms are in \mathbf{C} , and

$$\iota_\mu^* : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$$

be the restriction functor induced by the inclusion $\iota_\mu : Q_\mu \rightarrow Q_{\mu+1}$.

The proof of Theorem B goes through a transfinite induction and the most technical part of the proof is the induction step for successor ordinals. We will show that ι_μ^* is a Waldhausen opfibration, and further, use Theorem A to prove that if $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ is a Waldhausen category via the construction given in Theorem B, then $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ also forms a Waldhausen category via the same construction.

In order to prove that ι_μ^* is a Waldhausen opfibration, we describe firstly the fiber $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$ of a representation A in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$.

4.4. Fix a representation A in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ and let X be a representation in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$. For any vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$ and any arrow $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)$, one has $X_{s(\alpha)} = \iota_\mu^*(X)_{s(\alpha)} = A_{s(\alpha)}$ as $s(\alpha) \in V_\mu$ by Remark 4.1. By the universal property of the coproduct, there exists a unique morphism φ_i^X such that the diagram

$$(4.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X_{s(\alpha)} = A_{s(\alpha)} & & \\ \downarrow \iota_\alpha & \searrow X_\alpha & \\ \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_i^X} & X_i \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{E} commutes, where ι_α is the canonical injection. Hence, one gets a family of morphisms

$$\{\varphi_i^X : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} \longrightarrow X_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$$

in \mathbf{E} .

We show next that $\varphi_i^X \in \mathbf{C}$ for each $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$. It suffices to show that φ_i^X has the left lifting property with respect to morphisms in \mathbf{C}^\square as $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}^\square)$ is a weak factorization system by assumption. We explicitly construct a lift in the commutative diagram

$$(4.4.2) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & M \\ \varphi_i^X \downarrow & & \downarrow \varepsilon \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\omega} & N \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{E} with $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{C}^\square$. For any arrow $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)$, combining with (4.4.1), one has the following commutative diagram of solid arrows:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & A_{s(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\sigma \circ \iota_\alpha} & M \\
 & \downarrow \iota_\alpha & & \parallel \\
 X_\alpha & \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & M \\
 & \downarrow \varphi_i^X & \nearrow \delta & \downarrow \varepsilon \\
 & X_i & \xrightarrow{\omega} & N
 \end{array}$$

Since $X_\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$, there exists a lift δ in the outermost square, so $\varepsilon \circ \delta = \omega$ and $\delta \circ \varphi_i^X \circ \iota_\alpha = \sigma \circ \iota_\alpha$. By the universal property of the coproduct, one has $\delta \circ \varphi_i^X = \sigma$. Thus, δ is the desired lift for (4.4.2).

Conversely, given a family $\{X_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$ of objects in \mathbf{E} , and a family

$$\{\varphi_i : \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$$

of morphisms in \mathbf{C} , for any vertex $j \in V_{\mu+1}$ and any arrow $\beta \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}$, one can define a representation X in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \mathbf{E})$ as follows:

$$(4.4.3) \quad X_j = \begin{cases} A_j & \text{if } j \in V_\mu, \\ X_j & \text{if } j \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu; \end{cases} \quad X_\beta = \begin{cases} A_\beta & \text{if } t(\beta) \in V_\mu, \\ \varphi_{t(\beta)} \circ \iota_\beta & \text{if } t(\beta) \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu, \end{cases}$$

where $\iota_\beta : A_{s(\beta)} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, t(\beta))} A_{s(\gamma)}$ is the canonical injection. If $t(\beta) \in V_\mu$, then by Remark 4.1 one has $s(\beta) \in V_\mu$, so $\beta \in \Gamma_\mu$. In this case $X_\beta = A_\beta \in \mathbf{C}$. We claim that if $t(\beta) \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, then $X_\beta = \varphi_{t(\beta)} \circ \iota_\beta \in \mathbf{C}$, which implies that $X \in \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$.

To prove the claim, it suffices to show that $\iota_\beta \in \mathbf{C}$ as \mathbf{C} is closed under compositions. This is clear. Indeed, note that

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & A_{s(\beta)} \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \iota_\beta \\
 \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, t(\beta)) \setminus \{\beta\}} A_{s(\gamma)} & \xrightarrow{\iota} & \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, t(\beta))} A_{s(\gamma)}
 \end{array}$$

is a pushout in \mathbf{E} , where 0 is the initial object in \mathbf{E} and ι is the canonical injection. By axiom (C2), $0 \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, t(\beta)) \setminus \{\beta\}} A_{s(\gamma)}$ is contained in \mathbf{C} , so ι_β is contained in \mathbf{C} as well by axiom (C3).

Moreover, it is clear that X restricts to A . Thus, $X \in \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$.

The above argument implies the following result:

4.5 Lemma. *Let A be a representation in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$. Then there exists a bijective correspondence between representations in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$ and families*

$$\{\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow \bullet\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$$

of morphisms in \mathbf{C} .

4.6 Remark. In a direct category, there is an induction procedure controlled by latching objects and functors; see for instance [11]. Note that the free category associated to Q_μ is a direct category. For any representation A in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ and any vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, we emphasize that the object $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)}$ is nothing but the latching object of A at i .

Notation. Let i be a vertex in $V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$. To simplify the notation, from now on,

- denote $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} A_{s(\alpha)}$ by $L_i(A)$ for a representation $A \in \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$;

- denote $\bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)} u_{s(\alpha)}$ by $L_i(u)$ for a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B \in \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$.

For each representation A in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ and each vertex i in $V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, recall that $\overline{L_i(A)/\text{E}}$ is the full subcategory of $L_i(A)/\text{E}$ consisting of cofibrations $(L_i(A) \twoheadrightarrow \bullet)$ in E ; it is a Waldhausen category via the construction described in Example 2.3(2). Define a rule

$$\mathcal{R} : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A \longrightarrow \prod_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu} \overline{L_i(A)/\text{E}}$$

as follows:

- For a representation X in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$, set $\mathcal{R}(X)$ to be the family

$$\{\varphi_i^X : L_i(A) \twoheadrightarrow X_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$$

of morphisms in \mathbb{C} , where φ_i^X is given in (4.4.1).

- Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be a morphism in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$. Then for any vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$ and any arrow $\alpha \in \Gamma_{\mu+1}(\bullet, i)$, one has

$$f_i \circ \varphi_i^X \circ \iota_\alpha = f_i \circ X_\alpha = X'_\alpha = \varphi_i^{X'} \circ \iota_\alpha,$$

where the first and last equalities hold by (4.4.1), and the second equality holds as $f_{s(\alpha)} = \iota_\mu^*(f)_{s(\alpha)}$ is the identity. By the universal property of the coproduct, one has $f_i \circ \varphi_i^X = \varphi_i^{X'}$, that is, the bottom triangle in the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} X_{s(\alpha)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & A_{s(\alpha)} & \xlongequal{\quad} & X'_{s(\alpha)} \\ \downarrow X_\alpha & & \downarrow \iota_\alpha & & \downarrow X'_\alpha \\ & \nearrow \varphi_i^X & L_i(A) & \searrow \varphi_i^{X'} & \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\quad f_i \quad} & & & X'_i \end{array}$$

Consequently, f_i is a morphism in $\overline{L_i(A)/\text{E}}$, and we set $\mathcal{R}(f)$ to be the family $\{f_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$.

It is routine to check that \mathcal{R} is a functor. Furthermore, one can easily deduce the following result from Lemma 4.5.

4.7 Proposition. *The functor $\mathcal{R} : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A \rightarrow \prod_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu} \overline{L_i(A)/\text{E}}$ given above is an isomorphism.*

With help of Lemma 4.5, we get the next result, which exhibits the opfibrational nature of ι_μ^* .

4.8 Lemma. *The restriction functor $\iota_\mu^* : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ is a Grothendieck opfibration.*

Proof. Let $u : A \rightarrow B$ be a morphism in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ and X a representation in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$. We construct next a representation $u_!(X)$ in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_B$ and a cocartesian morphism $\lambda_{u, X} : X \rightarrow u_!(X)$ in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ which is above u . Indeed, from 4.4, we see that X induces a family $\{\varphi_i^X : L_i(A) \twoheadrightarrow X_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$ of morphisms in \mathbb{C} . For each vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, since E satisfies the axiom (C3), it follows that there exists a pushout

$$(4.8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_i(A) & \xrightarrow{L_i(u)} & L_i(B) \\ \downarrow \varphi_i^X & & \downarrow \varphi_i \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & L_i(B) \sqcup_{L_i(A)} X_i \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{E} with $\varphi_i \in \mathbf{C}$, from which one obtains a family $\{\varphi_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$ of morphisms in \mathbf{C} . By 4.4 again, one gets a representation $u_!(X) \in \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_B$ (see (4.4.3) for its definition). For any vertex $j \in V_{\mu+1}$, set

$$(\lambda_{u,X})_j = \begin{cases} u_j & \text{if } j \in V_\mu; \\ \theta_j & \text{if } j \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to check that $\lambda_{u,X}$ is a natural transformation from X to $u_!(X)$, or equivalently, a morphism in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$. Note that for any $j \in V_\mu$, one has $(l_\mu^*(\lambda_{u,X}))_j = (\lambda_{u,X})_j = u_j$, so $\lambda_{u,X}$ is above u .

Next, we prove that $\lambda_{u,X}$ is cocartesian. Consider the following diagram of solid arrows

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\lambda_{u,X}} & u_!(X) \\ & \searrow g & \nearrow h \\ & & Z \end{array} \xrightarrow{l_\mu^*} \begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{u} & B \\ & \searrow v \circ u & \nearrow v \\ & & C \end{array}$$

in which g is above $v \circ u$. We need to construct a unique morphism h above v such that the left triangle in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ commutes. Since (4.8.1) is a pushout for each vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, it follows that there exists a unique morphism δ_i such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L_i(v \circ u) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_i(C) \\ & L_i(A) & \xrightarrow{L_i(u)} & L_i(B) & \xrightarrow{L_i(v)} \\ & \downarrow \varphi_i^X & & \downarrow \varphi_i & \downarrow \varphi_i^Z \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & L_i(B) \sqcup_{L_i(A)} X_i & \xrightarrow{g_i} & Z_i \\ & & \nearrow \delta_i & & \end{array}$$

in \mathbf{E} commutes. For any vertex $j \in V_{\mu+1}$, set

$$h_j = \begin{cases} v_j & \text{if } j \in V_\mu; \\ \delta_j & \text{if } j \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that h is above v and $h \circ \lambda_{u,X} = g$. \square

4.9 Remark. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a morphism in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ that is above a morphism $u : A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$. Under the identification through \mathcal{R} , one may view Y as the family

$$\{\varphi_i^Y : L_i(B) \rightarrow Y_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu}$$

of morphisms in \mathbf{C} by Lemma 4.5. For any vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$, by the universal property of the pushout, there is a unique morphism ρ_i such that the following diagram in \mathbf{E} commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & L_i(u) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & L_i(B) \\ & L_i(A) & \xrightarrow{L_i(u)} & L_i(B) & \xrightarrow{\quad} \\ & \downarrow \varphi_i^X & & \downarrow \varphi_i & \downarrow \varphi_i^Y \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\theta_i} & L_i(B) \sqcup_{L_i(A)} X_i & \xrightarrow{f_i} & Y_i \\ & & \nearrow \rho_i & & \end{array}$$

Hence, the fiber morphism f_{\triangleright} , up to the identification through \mathcal{R} , is the family

$$\{\rho_i : L_i(B) \sqcup_{L_i(A)} X_i \longrightarrow Y_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_{\mu}}.$$

Now we can show that ι_{μ}^* is a Waldhausen opfibration.

4.10 Proposition. *The restriction functor $\iota_{\mu}^* : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ is a Waldhausen opfibration.*

Proof. The functor ι_{μ}^* is a Grothendieck opfibration by Lemma 4.8. For each representation $A \in \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ and each vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_{\mu}$, note that $\overline{L_i(A)/E}$ is a Waldhausen category by Example 2.3(2). It follows from Proposition 4.7 that the fiber $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A$ is also a Waldhausen category.

For any morphism $u : A \rightarrow B \in \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$, note that the associated reindexing functor $u_! : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_A \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})_B$, up to identification through \mathcal{R} again, is the family $\prod_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_{\mu}} L_i(u)_!$, where $L_i(u)_! : \overline{L_i(A)/E} \rightarrow \overline{L_i(B)/E}$ is the reindexing functor of $L_i(u)$ as described in Example 3.6. As in Example 3.6, one can show that each $L_i(u)_!$ is exact, so are $\prod_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_{\mu}} L_i(u)_!$ and $u_!$. \square

Now we give a proof of Theorem B. Recall from the paragraph before Theorem B that for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ with $\mu \leq \zeta$ and any vertex i in V_{μ} , by considering the following commutative diagram in E , where the inner square is a pushout, we obtain a natural morphism ρ_i :

$$(*) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} L_i(X) & \xrightarrow{L_i(f)} & L_i(Y) \\ \varphi_i^X \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ X_i & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}_i} & L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \\ & \searrow f_i & \downarrow \rho_i \\ & & Y_i \end{array}$$

4.11 Proof of Theorem B. It is clear that $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ admits an initial object, the zero functor. We proceed by a transfinite induction. Let μ be an ordinal with $\mu \leq \zeta$. If $\mu = 1$, then for any morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \text{Rep}(Q_1, \text{coE})$, one has $L_i(X) = 0 = L_i(Y)$ by Remark 4.1. Thus the induced morphism

$$\rho_i : L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \rightarrow Y_i$$

in (*) is precisely $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$. In this case, one defines f as a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\text{Rep}(Q_1, \text{coE})$ if f_i is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in E for each vertex $i \in V_1$. It is clear that $\text{Rep}(Q_1, \text{coE})$ forms a Waldhausen category.

Now we carry our the induction procedure. There are two cases. If μ is limit ordinal, then each vertex $i \in V_{\mu}$ lies in some V_{λ} with $\lambda < \mu$. By the induction hypothesis, one checks routinely that $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ forms a Waldhausen category via the construction described in the statement of Theorem B.

For the case of non-limit ordinals, suppose that $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ is a Waldhausen category by the construction given in the statement of Theorem B. Since $\iota_{\mu}^* : \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE}) \rightarrow \text{Rep}(Q_{\mu}, \text{coE})$ is a Waldhausen opfibration by Proposition 4.10, it follows from Theorem A that $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ is a Waldhausen category. Moreover, because the fiber morphism f_{\triangleright} , up to identification through \mathcal{R} , is the family

$$\{\rho_i : L_i(B) \sqcup_{L_i(A)} X_i \longrightarrow Y_i\}_{i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_{\mu}}$$

(see Remark 4.9), $L_i(A) = L_i(X)$ and $L_i(B) = L_i(Y)$, it follows that a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that is above $u : A \rightarrow B$ in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if and only if u is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ and the induced morphism $\rho_i : L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ lies in \mathbf{C} (resp. \mathbf{W}) for each vertex $i \in V_{\mu+1} \setminus V_\mu$. However, by the induction hypothesis, u is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\text{Rep}(Q_\mu, \text{coE})$ if and only if ρ_i lies in \mathbf{C} (resp. \mathbf{W}) for each vertex $i \in V_\mu$. Consequently, f is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) in $\text{Rep}(Q_{\mu+1}, \text{coE})$ if and only if ρ_i lies in \mathbf{C} (resp. \mathbf{W}) for all vertexes $i \in V_{\mu+1}$. This completes the proof. \square

4.12 Remark. If \mathbf{W} is closed under small coproducts and pushouts along morphisms in \mathbf{C} , and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property, then a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ in $\text{Rep}(Q, \text{coE})$ is a weak equivalence (that is, $\rho_i \in \mathbf{W}$ for each vertex $i \in V$) if and only if $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i \in \mathbf{W}$ for each vertex $i \in V$. To show this assertion, consider the commutative diagram (*). For each vertex $i \in V$, since $\varphi_i^X : L_i(X) \rightarrow X$ is contained in \mathbf{C} (see 4.4) and \mathbf{W} is closed under small coproducts, we deduce that $L_i(f)$ is contained in \mathbf{W} , so is \bar{f}_i . Thus ρ_i is contained in \mathbf{W} if and only if so is f_i , as \mathbf{W} satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property.

Let \mathbf{M} be a Quillen model category with small coproducts and $(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{F})$ its model structure. Since the free category associated to Q is a direct category, it follows that $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$ is a Quillen model category (see Hirschhorn [9]), whose model structure $(\mathbf{C}_R, \mathbf{W}_R, \mathbf{F}_R)$ are described as follows:

- a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathbf{C}_R$ if the induced morphism $L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ in (*) is in \mathbf{C} for each vertex $i \in V$;
- a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathbf{W}_R$ if $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i \in \mathbf{W}$ for each vertex $i \in V$;
- a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y \in \mathbf{F}_R$ if $f_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i \in \mathbf{F}$ for each vertex $i \in V$.

In what follows, denote by Cof (resp., Cof_R) the full subcategory of \mathbf{M} (resp., $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$) consisting of cofibrant objects.

By Example 2.7 and Theorem B, the full subcategories Cof_R and $\text{Rep}(Q, \overline{\text{Cof}})$ of $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$ form Waldhausen categories, where $\overline{\text{Cof}}$ is the subcategory of \mathbf{M} consisting of cofibrant objects and cofibrations between them. As an illustration of Theorem B, we show in the following example that these two Waldhausen categories are actually coincident.


4.13 Example. By Example 2.7, Cof_R is a Waldhausen category whose cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) are cofibrations (resp., weak equivalences) between cofibrant objects in $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$. On the other hand, by Example 2.7 and Theorem B, $\text{Rep}(Q, \overline{\text{Cof}})$ is also a Waldhausen category, where a morphism $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) if the induced morphism $\rho_i : L_i(Y) \sqcup_{L_i(X)} X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ in (*) is a cofibration (resp., weak equivalence) between cofibrant objects in \mathbf{M} for each vertex $i \in V$.

We show that the above two Waldhausen categories coincide. A key observation is the following fact. Let X be a representation in $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$. For each vertex $i \in V$ and each arrow $\alpha \in \Gamma(\bullet, i)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) the morphism $\varphi_i^X : L_i(X) \rightarrow X_i$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} ;
- (2) the morphism $X_\alpha : X_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_i$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} ;
- (3) X is a cofibrant object in $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$.

The equivalence between (1) and (2) can be proved by an argument similar to that in 4.4. To see the equivalence between (1) and (3), note that X is a cofibrant object in $\text{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$ if and only if $0_R \rightarrow X$ is a cofibration, and if and only if the morphism $\varphi_i^X : L_i(X) \rightarrow X_i$ in the commutative

diagram



is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} .

Now we check the following facts:

- $\mathbf{Cof}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \overline{\mathbf{Cof}})$ have the same objects. If X is a representation in $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \overline{\mathbf{Cof}})$, then each $X_{\alpha} : X_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_i$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} , so X is contained in $\mathbf{Cof}_{\mathbb{R}}$ by the above equivalence. Conversely, given X contained in $\mathbf{Cof}_{\mathbb{R}}$, then by the above equivalence, each $X_{\alpha} : X_{s(\alpha)} \rightarrow X_i$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} . Furthermore, since the functor L_i sends cofibrations in $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \mathbf{M})$ to cofibrations in \mathbf{M} by [11, Corollary 5.1.5], it follows that $0 \rightarrow L_i(X)$ is a cofibration in \mathbf{M} , so is $0 \rightarrow X_i$, as \mathbf{C} is closed under compositions. Thus each X_i is a cofibrant object in \mathbf{M} . Consequently, X is contained in $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \overline{\mathbf{Cof}})$.
- It easily follows from the definitions that cofibrations in $\mathbf{Cof}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \overline{\mathbf{Cof}})$ coincide.
- Weak equivalences in $\mathbf{Cof}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\mathbf{Rep}(Q, \overline{\mathbf{Cof}})$ are the same. By Remark 4.12, it suffices to show that the class $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{Cof}) \cap \mathbf{W}$ is closed under small coproducts and pushouts along morphisms in \mathbf{C} , and satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property. Firstly, since $(\mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{W}, \mathbf{F})$ is a weak factorization system in \mathbf{M} , it follows that $\mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{W}$ is closed under small coproducts by Remark 2.5(c). Thus the class of $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{Cof}) \cap \mathbf{C} \cap \mathbf{W}$ also has this property. By [9, Corollary 7.7.2], we conclude that $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{Cof}) \cap \mathbf{W}$ is also closed under small coproducts. Secondly, by [14, Proposition 2.29], $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{Cof}) \cap \mathbf{W}$ is also closed under pushouts along cofibrations. Finally, it is clear that $\mathbf{Mor}(\mathbf{Cof}) \cap \mathbf{W}$ satisfies the 2-out-of-3 property.

REFERENCES

- [1] Jonathan Beardsley and Liang Ze Wong, *The enriched Grothendieck construction*, Adv. Math. **344** (2019), 234–261. MR3895649
- [2] A. K. Bousfield, *Constructions of factorization systems in categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **9** (1976/77), no. 2, 207–220. MR478159
- [3] Pierre Cagne and Paul-André Mellès, *On bifibrations of model categories*, Adv. Math. **370** (2020), 107205, 43. MR4099827
- [4] Zhenxing Di, Liping Li, Li Liang, and Nina Yu, *Representations over diagrams of abelian categories i: Global structure and homological objects*, preprint arXiv:2210.08558 [math.RT].
- [5] Edgar E. Enochs and Overtoun M. G. Jenda, *Relative homological algebra*, de Gruyter Expositions in Mathematics, vol. 30, Walter de Gruyter & Co., Berlin, 2000. MR1753146
- [6] Edgar E. Enochs, Luis Oyonarte, and Blas Torrecillas, *Flat covers and flat representations of quivers*, Comm. Algebra **32** (2004), no. 4, 1319–1338. MR2100360
- [7] Alexandre Grothendieck, *Catégories fibrées et descente*, 1961, Seminaire de géométrie algébrique de l’Institut des Hautes Études Scientifiques (SGA 1), Paris (Referred to on page 9).
- [8] Yonatan Harpaz and Matan Prasma, *The Grothendieck construction for model categories*, Adv. Math. **281** (2015), 1306–1363. MR3366868
- [9] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003. MR1944041
- [10] Henrik Holm and Peter Jørgensen, *Cotorsion pairs in categories of quiver representations*, Kyoto J. Math. **59** (2019), no. 3, 575–606. MR3990178
- [11] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999. MR1650134
- [12] ———, *Cotorsion pairs, model category structures, and representation theory*, Math. Z. **241** (2002), no. 3, 553–592. MR1938704

- [13] André Joyal, *The theory of quasi-categories and its applications*, Quaderns, vol. 45, Centre de Recerca Matemàtica, 2008.
- [14] K. H. Kamps and T. Porter, *Abstract homotopy and simple homotopy theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co., Inc., River Edge, NJ, 1997. MR1464944
- [15] Marcello Lanfranchi, *The grothendieck construction in the context of tangent categories*, arXiv:2311.14643v2 [math.RT].
- [16] Joe Moeller and Christina Vasilakopoulou, *Monoidal Grothendieck construction*, Theory Appl. Categ. **35** (2020), Paper No. 31, 1159–1207. MR4127726
- [17] Daniel Quillen, *Higher algebraic K-theory. I*, Algebraic K-theory, I: Higher K-theories (Proc. Conf., Battelle Memorial Inst., Seattle, Wash., 1972), Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 341, Springer, Berlin-New York, 1973, pp. 85–147. MR338129
- [18] Daniel G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, No. 43, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1967. MR0223432
- [19] Agustí Roig, *Model category structures in bifibred categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **95** (1994), no. 2, 203–223. MR1293054
- [20] Maru Sarazola, *Cotorsion pairs and a K-theory localization theorem*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **224** (2020), no. 11, 106399, 29. MR4104486
- [21] Alexandru Emil Stanculescu, *Bifibrations and weak factorisation systems*, Appl. Categ. Structures **20** (2012), no. 1, 19–30. MR2886231
- [22] Friedhelm Waldhausen, *Algebraic K-theory of spaces*, Algebraic and geometric topology (New Brunswick, N.J., 1983), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1126, Springer, Berlin, 1985, pp. 318–419. MR802796

Z.X. DI SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, HUAQIAO UNIVERSITY, QUANZHOU 362021, CHINA
Email address: dizhenxing@163.com

L.P. LI LCSM (MINISTRY OF EDUCATION), DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, HUNAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, CHANGSHA 410081, CHINA.
Email address: lipingli@hunnu.edu.cn

L. LIANG DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, LANZHOU JIAOTONG UNIVERSITY, LANZHOU 730070, CHINA
Email address: lliangnju@gmail.com
URL: <https://sites.google.com/site/lliangnju>