

CORRESPONDENCES ON RIEMANN SURFACES AND NON-UNIFORM HYPERBOLICITY

LAURENT BARTHOLDI, DZMITRY DUDKO, AND KEVIN M. PILGRIM

ABSTRACT. We consider certain analytic correspondences on a Riemann surface, and show that they admit a weak form of expansion. In terms of their algebraic encoding by bisets, this translates to contraction of group elements along sequences arising from iterated lifting.

As an application, we show that for every non-exceptional rational map on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with 4 post-critical points, there is a finite collection of isotopy classes of curves into which every curve eventually lands under iterated lifting.

CONTENTS

1.	Introduction	1
2.	Correspondences	4
3.	Thurston maps and correspondences on moduli space	7
4.	Examples with four postcritical points	8
5.	Hyperbolic geometry	10
6.	X-rays from correspondences	14
7.	Proof of Theorem C	17
8.	Modeling graph pullbacks via X-rays	29
	Appendix A. Algebraic formulations	33
	References	35

1. INTRODUCTION

An *analytic covering self-correspondence* is a pair of maps

$$F = (\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}), \quad \text{simply written} \quad F = \phi \circ \rho^{-1}: \mathcal{S} \multimap \mathcal{S}$$

between finite area hyperbolic Riemann surfaces, where $\phi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a covering map, $\rho: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is any analytic map, and throughout this text ‘ \multimap ’ denotes a multi-valued map; see §2. The dynamics of such correspondences is the subject of much recent attention; see e.g. [6–8, 11, 20]. Of particular interest for us are examples arising as correspondences on moduli space induced by so-called Thurston maps with four postcritical points ; see §3 and §4.

If we endow \mathcal{S} with its hyperbolic metric, and pull it back via ϕ to \mathcal{T} , then the map ρ becomes distance non-increasing, by the Schwarz-Pick Lemma. In many cases, ρ strictly decreases distances — that is, it is a weak contraction; this occurs if

Date: July 23, 2024.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37F20 (20E08, 37B15, 37C50).

Key words and phrases. Analytic correspondence, expansion, finite curve attractor, bisets.

and only if ρ is not a covering. In this case, we call the correspondence *admissible*; see §2.2.

It is natural to analyze a dynamical system through its effect on the fundamental group. To this end, we suppose $* \in \mathcal{S}$ is a fixed point of F —that is, for some point $\tilde{*} \in \mathcal{T}$, we have

$$\phi(\tilde{*}) = \rho(\tilde{*}) = *.$$

Fixing a bound $M > 0$, we consider orbits $g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots$ under iteration of the following multivalued correspondence on the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$, called an *M-bounded X-ray*,

$$(1) \quad g^{(n)} \dashrightarrow \rho \circ \phi^*(g^{(n)}) \cdot x_n =: g^{(n+1)}.$$

Here and below, ‘ \dashrightarrow ’ denotes a multi-valued map, and

- $\phi^*(g^{(n)}): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{T}$ is the unique lift of $g^{(n)}$ under ϕ starting at the lift of the basepoint, $\tilde{*}$;
- $\rho \circ \phi^*(g^{(n)}): [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is its image under ρ in \mathcal{S} ;
- x_n is any path in \mathcal{S} of length at most M joining the endpoint of $\rho \circ \phi^*(g^{(n)})$ to the basepoint $*$;
- \mathbf{X} denotes the collection of all such possible paths x_n ;
- ‘ \cdot ’ denotes concatenation of paths.

If ρ is not a covering, we have

$$|g^{(n+1)}| \leq |\rho \circ \phi^*(g^{(n)}) \cdot x_n| < |g^{(n)}| + M.$$

Thus, the non-uniform contraction induced by pulling back via F is opposed by an additive correction of length at most M coming from concatenation with $x \in \mathbf{X}$. Our main result is that the non-uniform contraction eventually dominates:

Theorem A (Finite Attractor for X-rays). *Assume that $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is an admissible correspondence, and $* \in \mathcal{S}$ is a fixed point of F . Then for every $M > 0$ there is a finite attractor $A(M) \subset \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ such that for every M-bounded X-ray $g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots$, the elements $g^{(n)}$ belong to $A(M)$ for all n sufficiently large.*

Here is an application; see Theorem 8.3 for a detailed formulation. Let $f: (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, P) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, P)$ be a post-critically finite rational map with postcritical set contained in P . Via taking preimages, the map f induces a pullback operation on the set of isotopy classes of multicurves in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} - P$. Somewhat similarly, f induces a multivalued map on certain sets of trees whose vertices contain P , and on the set of graphs arising as spines for $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} - P$. Under iteration:

- if T_n is a tree on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $T_n \supset P$, then T_{n+1} can be chosen to be any subtree of $f^{-1}(T_n)$, pruned so that the *complexity*—defined as the sum of the number of edges and vertices—remains bounded;
- if $\Gamma_n \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - P$ is a spine, then Γ_{n+1} can be chosen to be any subspine of $f^{-1}(\Gamma_n)$.

If $|P| = 4$, and is not a so-called Lattès example, the correspondence $F = \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ on moduli space $\mathcal{S} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ induced by f is admissible. This allows us to deduce:

Corollary B. *Assume that $|P| = 4$ and f is a non-Lattès rational map. Then there is a finite attractor for the pullback iteration on multicurves, on trees, and on spines.*

Remarks.

- (1) This has been established in some cases of curves, see [24] for a survey.
- (2) For the case of trees, the pullback relation we consider is quite similar in spirit to the “ivy iteration” method of [25]; their implementation finds trees invariant-up-to-isotopy under a quadratic rational map f .
- (3) The exclusion of Lattès examples is necessary — for example, the flexible Lattès examples fix every curve.
- (4) See [10] for a survey of recent results on finding invariant graphs; its main result asserts that given any critically finite rational map f , there is some iterate n for which f^n preserves exactly some finite graph containing the postcritical set of f . The authors note there that one cannot always specify the precise form of this graph; e.g. their [10, Remark 3.3(2)] there shows that for $f(z) = z^2 + i$, there is no Jordan curve C in the sphere containing the postcritical set of f such that for some iterate n , one has $f^n(C) \subset C$.
- (5) We note the following subtlety in Corollary B, namely, that for trees, the size of the attractor necessarily grows upon passing to iterates. For example, suppose f is a rational map with finite post-critical set P and Julia set the whole sphere, and $T \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is any tree with vertex set containing P . Taking preimages, one obtains for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ a cell structure on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Since f is uniformly expanding with respect to a suitable orbifold length metric, the diameters of the two-cells tend to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that for some sufficiently large iterate n depending on the choice of T , the tree T is invariant up to isotopy under such pullback via f^n ; compare [4, §15]. Corollary B implies that at least in the case $\#P = 4$, only finitely many such trees T are contained in periodic orbits under pullback by f itself. Similar results apply to a rational maps f with Sierpinski carpet Julia set; one first collapses its Fatou components to points to obtain a map which is expanding on the whole sphere; compare [15].
- (6) We conjecture that Theorem A and Corollary B hold for all post-critically finite non-Lattès maps.

For general cardinality of P , the connection to group theory arises as follows; cf [23, §7]. Suppose f is an arbitrary Thurston map with postcritical set P . Since the set of isotopy classes of curves has finitely many orbits under the action of the pure mapping class group $G := \text{PMod}(S^2, P)$, a sequence C_0, C_1, C_2, \dots of curves arising as an orbit under pullbacks of f can be encoded by a sequence of pairs $(C^{(0)}, g^{(0)}), (C^{(1)}, g^{(1)}), (C^{(2)}, g^{(2)}), \dots$ where the $C^{(n)}$'s are drawn from a finite set of models comprising a transversal to the action of G , and the $g^{(n)}$'s lie in G . Thus control of the orbit of C_0 under taking iterated pullbacks boils down to controlling the sequence $g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots$

In the setting of Corollary B, the map f induces an admissible correspondence on the moduli space $\mathcal{S} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ with a fixed point $*$ determined by f . The elements $g^{(n)}$ comprising the terms of an X-ray are then loops in \mathcal{S} based at $*$. We derive Theorem A as a consequence of the following:

Theorem C. *Suppose $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is an admissible correspondence, and $*$ $\in \mathcal{S}$ is a fixed point of F . Let $*$ also denote the constant path at $*$. Fix a finite collection X of curves as in the definition of X-ray in the direction of $*$.*

Then there are constants $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $g \in \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ and every X-ray of loops $(g^{(n)})$ in the direction of $*$ above g , we have

- (1) $|g^{(n+1)}| \leq |g^{(n)}| + \xi$ for all n ;
- (2) if $|g| > \kappa$ then $|g^{(n)}| \leq |g| - \epsilon$ for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

Here, the norm $|\cdot|$ on the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ is that induced by the hyperbolic metric.

From this it will follow (Corollary 6.2) that there is a finite attractor A , depending on $*$ and X but not on g , such that the terms of any X-ray in the direction of f eventually lie in A .

1.1. Table of contents.

- §2 gives background on correspondences.
- §3 describes how Thurston maps induce correspondences on moduli space.
- §4 gives examples.
- §5 develops needed background in hyperbolic geometry and applies this to correspondences on Riemann surfaces.
- §6 introduces X-rays.
- §7 proves Theorem C.
- §8 shows how certain pullback orbits of graphs may be modeled by X-rays; it concludes with the proof of Corollary B.
- §A is an Appendix, giving relevant background on bisets, which allows for a more abstract formulation of the notion of X-ray.

1.2. Conventions and notation. Composition of functions $f \circ g$ means g is applied first. If G is a group acting on a set, and $f, g \in G$, the notation $f \cdot g$ means f is applied first. Concatenation of paths is denoted $\alpha.\beta$, with α traversed first.

1.3. Acknowledgments. Dzmitry Dudko is supported by NSF grant DMS-2055532.

Laurent Bartholdi is supported by ERC AdG grant 101097307.

Kevin M. Pilgrim is supported by Simons Collaboration Grant 615022 and NSF grant DMS-2302907; he also acknowledges support from the Institute for Mathematical Sciences at SUNY Stony Brook and the Max-Planck Institut für Mathematik-Bonn.

All authors acknowledge support from the ERC and Saarland University, which supported scientific visits during much of this article was developed.

2. CORRESPONDENCES

2.1. Terminology.

- (1) A *topological self-correspondence* is a pair of continuous maps $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ of topological spaces. We think of it as a multivalued map $F: \mathcal{S} \multimap \mathcal{S}$ given by $F = \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$. The n th iterate of F in this direction will be denoted by $F^{(n)}$.
- (2) A *covering correspondence* is a topological self-correspondence for which ϕ is a finite covering map.

A remark about directionality is in order. For a covering correspondence, local branches of F^{-1} exist, so it might seem more natural to define F going in the other direction. Indeed, there are interesting examples where ρ is constant, making ρ^{-1} rather pathological. However, the simplest examples are when ρ is injective, such

as the case when F is a function as in the Rabbit Example in §4 below. Also, with this convention of direction, F has the path-lifting property.

- (3) If ϕ and ρ are both continuous, both analytic, etc. we use the adjective *topological*, *analytic*, etc. to describe the correspondence.
- (4) A *backward orbit* of $s \in \mathcal{S}$ is a sequence $t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathcal{T}$ with $\phi(t_0) = s$ and $\rho(t_i) = \phi(t_{i+1})$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$. Abusing notation, suppressing the fact that the t_n 's are part of the definition, it is a sequence $s_0, s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathcal{S}$ with $F(s_{i+1}) = s_i$ for all $i = 0, \dots, n-1$.
- (5) The *backward orbit* $F^{-\mathbb{N}}(s_0)$ of $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ is the set of all backward orbits of s_0 .
- (6) A *fixed point* of a self-correspondence is a point $\tilde{*} \in \mathcal{T}$ such that $\phi(\tilde{*}) = \rho(\tilde{*})$. Equivalently, a fixed point is a point $* \in \mathcal{S}$ together with a choice of $\tilde{*} \in \phi^{-1}(*)$ satisfying $\rho(\tilde{*}) = *$. We again abuse notation and refer to $*$ as a fixed point of the self-correspondence if such a $\tilde{*}$ exists.

If $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is a covering correspondence, then any path γ in \mathcal{S} can be lifted under ϕ , uniquely once a preimage of $\gamma(0)$ has been chosen; and then mapped by ρ to obtain another path in \mathcal{S} . If a choice of preimage of $\gamma(0)$ is available from the context, we refer to this new path as $F^*(\gamma)$.

2.2. Admissible complex correspondences. Our main results concern analytic correspondences on Riemann surfaces with certain desirable properties. Suppose that

- (1) $\hat{\mathcal{T}}, \hat{\mathcal{S}}$ are compact Riemann surfaces (and in particular are connected);
- (2) $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T}), \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ are finite subsets of $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ and $\hat{\mathcal{S}}$, respectively;
- (3) $\mathcal{T} := \hat{\mathcal{T}} \setminus \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{S} := \hat{\mathcal{S}} \setminus \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ (so that now the sets $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T}), \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ become the ends of \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} , respectively);
- (4) \mathcal{T} and \mathcal{S} are hyperbolic Riemann surfaces;
- (5) $\phi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is an analytic covering map of finite degree D larger than one;
- (6) $\rho: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is analytic and is not a covering map.

We refer to a correspondence $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ satisfying (1)-(6) above as an *admissible complex correspondence*.

2.3. Properties. An admissible complex correspondence satisfies several properties.

- (1) The maps ϕ, ρ extend over their sets of ends to analytic maps (which we denote by the same symbols) $\phi, \rho: \hat{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{S}}$, so that $\phi(\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T})) \subset \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, and $\rho^{-1}(\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})) \subset \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T})$.
- (2) The multivalued map $F := \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ has the property that its *forward post-critical set*

$$\bigcup_{n \geq 0} F^{(n)}(\{\text{branch values of } \phi\})$$

is contained in the (sometimes larger) finite set $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$.

- (3) the map $\rho: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is a weak contraction: if we give \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S} the hyperbolic metric with constant curvature -1 , then $\|d\rho(t)\|_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}} < 1$ at all points $t \in \mathcal{T}$; in particular, every periodic point of the multivalued map $F: \mathcal{S} \dashrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ given by $F = \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ is repelling. Thus, each admissible complex correspondence is what we here call *weakly hyperbolic*, which by definition means $\|d\rho(t)\|_{\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}} < 1$ at all points $t \in \mathcal{T}$.

- (4) We have $\deg(\rho) < \deg(\phi)$, by the following argument due to Walter Parry. By removing a set of area zero from \mathcal{S} , we may find a simply-connected subset $U \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ above which ρ is a trivial covering with preimages U_i for $i = 1, \dots, \deg(\rho)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(\phi)\text{area}(\mathcal{S}) &= \text{area}(\mathcal{T}) = \text{area}(U_1) + \dots + \text{area}(U_{\deg(\rho)}) \\ &> \deg(\rho)\text{area}(U) = \deg(\rho)\text{area}(\mathcal{S}), \text{ since } \rho \text{ is a strict contraction.} \end{aligned}$$

- (5) Given $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$, the accumulation set $\text{acc}(F^{-\mathbb{N}}(s_0)) \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ of its backward orbit (defined as the set of all points $s \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}$ such that there exists a backward orbit of s_0 accumulating at s) is nonempty, by compactness of $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$. It is also independent of s_0 .

To see this, let s_0, s_1, \dots be a backward orbit of s . Suppose first $s \in \text{acc}(F^{-\mathbb{N}}(s_0)) \cap \mathcal{S}$. Pick some other $s'_0 \in \mathcal{S}$. Join s_0 to s'_0 by a path of \mathcal{S} -hyperbolic length say L . By weak hyperbolicity and path-lifting, there is a backward orbit s'_0, s'_1, s'_2, \dots such that the hyperbolic distances between s_n, s'_n satisfy $|s_n - s'_n| < L$ for each n . If some subsequence $s_{n_k} \rightarrow s \in \mathcal{S}$ then since $\|dF^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} < \lambda < 1$ in e.g. a $2L$ -neighborhood of s we have $|s_{n_k} - s'_{n_k}| \rightarrow 0$. If some subsequence $s_{n_k} \rightarrow s \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ then we also have $s'_{n_k} \rightarrow s$ since hyperbolic balls about s_{n_k} of fixed radius $2L$ become smaller and smaller in any compatible metric on $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ as $s_{n_k} \rightarrow s$. A similar argument treats the case when $s \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$.

Thus the *limit set* $\Lambda(\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}) \subset \overline{\mathcal{S}}$, defined as $\text{acc}(F^{-\mathbb{N}}(s_0))$ for some (equivalently, any) $s_0 \in \mathcal{S}$ is well-defined, and backward-invariant in the sense that $F^{-1}(\Lambda) = \Lambda$.

- (6) Measure-theoretically, the dynamics of an admissible complex correspondence is similar to that of a rational function.¹ It is known [11] that for each choice of s_0 outside a polar (“small”, in particular, of Lebesgue measure zero) set of exceptional points, the sequence of atomic measures $\mu_n := D^{-n} \sum_{a \in F^{(-n)}(s_0)} \delta_a$ converges to a measure μ . The measure μ is independent of the choice of s_0 , is supported on the closure of the limit set as defined above, and assigns mass zero to any polar subset of \mathcal{S} .

In particular, the limit set is a nonempty, uncountable subset of \mathcal{S} .

- (7) An analytic correspondence can naturally be iterated: via functorial pull-backs, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a Riemann surface $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$, a finite cover $\phi^{(n)}: \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and an analytic map $\rho^{(n)}: \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. We obtain in this manner a multi-valued map $F^{(n)}: \mathcal{S} \multimap \mathcal{S}$ given locally by $\phi^{(n)} \circ (\rho^{(n)})^{-1}$. Every fixed point for F yields a fixed point for $F^{(n)}$.
- (8) Given bounds on the genus and number of cusps of \mathcal{S} , and on the degree of ϕ , it seems likely that there are at most finitely many admissible complex correspondences $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$, up to analytic conjugation defined in the obvious way, once some natural exceptional families are excluded.

2.4. Dynamical regularity. Suppose $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is an admissible complex correspondence. By definition, it satisfies the weak hyperbolicity property. If the limit set Λ is a nonempty compact subset of \mathcal{S} , we say that $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is *uniformly hyperbolic*. Equivalently: there is a nonempty compact subset $K \subset \mathcal{S}$ for which

¹We thank M. Londhe for useful conversations on this topic.

$F^{-1}(K) \subset K$. Between the weak and uniform hyperbolic correspondences, we single out the *strongly subhyperbolic* ones: those for which there exists a complete length metric on the compact space $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$ such that F^{-1} uniformly contracts lengths of curves.

2.5. Examples: post-critically finite rational maps. Post-critically finite rational maps provide the simplest examples of admissible complex correspondences, and our main result concerns their dynamics. However, our proof of our main result will not take place in the dynamical plane of such a correspondence. Rather, it will take place in a correspondence on moduli space associated with a post-critically finite rational map. We explain this in §3.

3. THURSTON MAPS AND CORRESPONDENCES ON MODULI SPACE

Fix a subset $P \subset S^2$ with $\#P \geq 4$, and identify S^2 with the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Here, the restriction on the cardinality is to eliminate mention of uninteresting special cases. Suppose $f: S^2 \rightarrow S^2$ is a non-exceptional Thurston map with postcritical set contained in P where $f(P) \subset P$. The moduli space $\text{Moduli}(S^2, P)$ is defined to be the set of injections $\iota: P \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ modulo the action of $\text{Aut}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ by post-composition; it comes with a basepoint $*$ represented by the inclusion $P \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The Teichmüller space is defined to be the universal cover of moduli space; it too comes with a basepoint $*$ represented by the identity map $S^2 \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Equivalently, it is the space of marked conformal structures on (S^2, P) up to isotopy relative to P . The pure mapping class group $\text{PMod}(S^2, P)$ gives the deck group of π and is canonically identified with the fundamental group $\pi_1(\text{Moduli}(S^2, P), *)$. We set $G := \text{PMod}(S^2, P) = \pi_1(\text{Moduli}(S^2, P), *)$.

Via pullback of complex structures, it is known that f induces a self-map of the Teichmüller space $\sigma_f: \text{Teich}(S^2, P) \rightarrow \text{Teich}(S^2, P)$ which lies above an algebraic covering self-correspondence on moduli space $\text{Moduli}(S^2, P)$ in the sense that we have the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Teich}(S^2, P) & \xrightarrow{\sigma_f} & \text{Teich}(S^2, P) \\
 \downarrow \pi & \searrow \omega & \downarrow \pi \\
 & \mathcal{T} & \\
 \downarrow \pi & \swarrow \phi & \searrow \rho \\
 \text{Moduli}(S^2, P) = \mathcal{S} & & \mathcal{S} = \text{Moduli}(S^2, P)
 \end{array}$$

In the diagram above, π is the natural projection. The definitions of the pullback map imply that the correspondence $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ depends only on the weaker *pure modular Hurwitz class* of f , here defined as

$$B^{\text{maps}} := \{g_0 \circ f \circ g_1 \mid g_0, g_1 \in G\} / \text{isotopy relative to } P.$$

There is a finite-index subgroup $H_f < G$, defined by the property

$$H_f = \{h \mid \exists \tilde{h} \in G, h \circ f \simeq f \circ \tilde{h}\}$$

and having the property $[G : H_f] = \text{deg}(\phi)$. See [18].

There are two canonically identified bisets, given this setup. For more on bisets, see §A.

First, the set B^{maps} is naturally a biset over G , with actions induced by pre- and post-composition, denoted by \circ , so that in the expression “ $g_0 \circ f \circ g_1$ ”, the map g_1 is applied first. The action by pre-composition is free, with $\deg(\phi)$ orbits.

Second, and equally naturally, applying the construction in §A, we obtain a biset B^{paths} where the elements are homotopy classes of paths b in \mathcal{S} from $* \in \mathcal{S}$ to elements of $F^{-1}(*) \subset \mathcal{S}$. That discussion yields: if $g \in G$ is represented by a loop and $f \in B$ is represented by a path f from $*$ to an element $\tilde{*} \in F^{-1}(*)$, then $f \cdot g := g \cdot f$ and $f \cdot g := f \cdot \tilde{g}[\tilde{*}]$. Here \cdot denotes concatenation of paths, and $b_1 \cdot b_2$ means we traverse b_1 first and then b_2 . In the second formula, the symbol $\tilde{g}[\tilde{*}]$ denotes the lift of the loop representing g based at $\tilde{*}$. Thus under the operation “ \cdot ”, the left action by pre-concatenation—corresponding to pre-composition of maps—is free.

The following fact—a generalization of the canonical identification of $\text{PMod}(S^2, P) = G = \pi_1(\text{Moduli}(S^2, P))$ —is essential to our development and will be used implicitly throughout this work; see [2, Theorem 9.1]

Proposition 3.1. *The G, G -bisets B^{maps} and B^{paths} are canonically identified.*

For dyslexics, we point out that in particular if $f \in B^{\text{paths}} = B^{\text{maps}}$ then

$$g_0 \circ f \circ g_1 = g_1 \cdot f \cdot g_0.$$

We emphasize that in the right-hand expression above, the left action by pre-composition is free. Note that then for Thurston maps, conjugacy up to isotopy relative to P is identified with conjugacy in the biset: the Thurston map represented by $g \cdot f \cdot g^{-1}$ is conjugate up to isotopy to f via g .

The map f is conjugate up to isotopy to a rational function if and only if σ_f has a fixed point in $\text{Teich}(S^2, P)$ — equivalently, if the correspondence above has a fixed point $*$ in moduli space.

4. EXAMPLES WITH FOUR POSTCRITICAL POINTS

Our main case of interest is when $\#P = 4$. In this setting, we may identify $\text{Teich}(S^2, P)$ with the upper-half plane \mathbb{H} , the moduli space with a triply-punctured sphere, e.g. $\mathcal{S} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, and π with the well-known modular function so that its deck group becomes the principal congruence subgroup $\Gamma(2) := \{A \in \text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{R}) : A \equiv I \pmod{2}\}$.

Rabbit polynomial. When f is the so-called *rabbit* complex polynomial $f(z) = z^2 + c$, with the critical point $z = 0$ periodic of period 3 and $\Im(z) > 0$, after suitable normalizations we have $F(x) = 1 - \frac{1}{x^2}$ is a single-valued post-critically finite hyperbolic rational function with three postcritical points lying in a common superattracting three-cycle $0 \mapsto \infty \mapsto 1 \mapsto 0$. The correspondence on moduli space is therefore uniformly hyperbolic. This example is studied in detail in [3].

Dendrite polynomial. When f is the so-called *dendrite complex polynomial* $f(z) = z^2 + i$, with the critical point $z = 0$ preperiodic with preperiod 1 and period 2, after suitable normalizations we have $F(x) = (-1 + 2/x)^2$ is a post-critically finite rational function with Julia set equal to the whole sphere. The orbifold of this map in the sense of Douady-Hubbard [12] turns out to be Euclidean with signature $(2, 4, 4)$. The corresponding Euclidean length orbifold metric on \mathcal{S} yields that this

correspondence on moduli space is strongly subhyperbolic, thus uniformly expanding on the entire completion of moduli space with respect to this metric. This is also studied in detail in [3].

Lodge's example. When $f(z) = \frac{3z^2}{2z^3+1}$ is the example featured in the thesis of R. Lodge [5, 19], the correspondence on moduli space can be described as follows. Denote by $\omega := \exp(2\pi i/3)$. We have $\mathcal{S} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{1, \omega, \bar{\omega}\}$, $\mathcal{T} := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\pm 1, \pm\omega, \pm\bar{\omega}\}$, $\phi(t) = t(t^3 + 2)/(2t^3 + 1)$, and $\rho(t) = t^2$.

This example has several interesting features. The set of ends $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ is totally invariant: $F^{-1}(\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})) = \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$. The maps ρ and σ_f are surjective and are Galois branched covers; $s = 0$ is a super-attracting fixed point of F^{-1} . And direct computation shows that there are two branches of F at the fixed-cusp $s = 1$: one attracting, and one repelling. Thus this correspondence is likely not subhyperbolic with respect to any straightforward generalization of such a definition from single-valued maps to correspondences.

Nearly Euclidean Thurston maps. This family of maps provides a wealth of examples, though with very few such explicit formulas. When $\deg(f) = 5$ there are examples where the genus of \mathcal{T} is equal to 1; when $\deg(f) = 7$ one finds examples of genus 4, etc.; the genera are observed able to grow as the degree increases. See [14] for a survey.

Critically fixed maps. Thurston maps each of whose critical points are fixed have been completely classified [9, 16, 17]. The correspondences on moduli space depend only on the set of local degrees at the critical points. When they have four postcritical points, their admissible complex correspondences on moduli space are particularly tractable. We present two examples below.

Critically fixed quintic with local degrees 2, 3, 3, 4. Up to planar isomorphism any planar graph with four vertices of valences 1, 2, 2, 3 is connected. There are two possibilities: (a) a triangle together with one additional edge joining a vertex of the triangle to a vertex of valence one, and (b) a segment of length three, with a non-middle edge doubled. Up to analytic conjugacy, there is a unique degree five critically fixed rational map f obtained by “blowing up” the edges of this former graph. Simple algebra (aided, obviously, by machine) yields the following correspondence on moduli space as the one induced by f . Set $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, and let

$$\phi(t) = \frac{(t-3)^2(5t+3)^4(5t^2+18t-3)}{331776t^3},$$

$$\rho(t) = \frac{-5t^2+12t+9}{24t},$$

let $\mathcal{S} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, and let $\mathcal{T} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, \infty, \pm 3/5, \pm 3, \frac{-9+\sqrt{6}}{5}, \frac{9+4\sqrt{6}}{5}\}$. The quadratic map X has critical points at $\pm 3i/\sqrt{5}$, so is not a cover, and so this correspondence is admissible. The multivalued map $F = \rho \circ \phi^{-1}$ has the property that $F^{-1}(y) = y$ for each $y \in \{0, 1, \infty\}$. Moreover, each branch of F at such y is super-attracting: for example, in the forward direction of F , we have $\rho^{-1}(\infty) = 0, \infty$ with local degrees both equal to one, and $\deg(\phi, 0) = 3, \deg(\phi, \infty) = 5$; in the case of the points 0 and 1, the branches of F in the forward direction have local degrees 2 and 4.

By Böttcher's theorem, the forward dynamics of F near each point $0, 1, \infty$ is analytically conjugate to $w \mapsto w^k$ near the origin for some $k \geq 2$. It follows that the complement K of sufficiently small open disks centered around $0, 1, \infty$, round in Böttcher coordinates, has the property that $F^{-1}(K) \subset K$.

This implies that this correspondence is *uniformly hyperbolic*: its limit set is contained in K .

Critically fixed cubic with local degrees 2, 2, 2, 2. Let f be the cubic critically fixed Thurston map obtained by blowing up the edges of a graph which is the disjoint union of two edges. Any twist $g_0 \circ f \circ g_1$ of f cannot be rational, by the analytic Fixed Point Index Formula [22]. This observation suggests that the admissible complex correspondence associated to f need not have a fixed point. We now verify this by explicit calculation.

Define a correspondence with $\widehat{\mathcal{T}} = \widehat{\mathcal{S}} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows. Set

$$\rho(t) = \frac{-1 + 2t + 3t^2}{4t},$$

$$\phi(t) = \frac{(1+t)(-1+3t)^3}{16t},$$

let $\mathcal{S} = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty\}$, and let $\mathcal{T} = \phi^{-1}(\mathcal{S}) = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{0, 1, \infty, \pm 1/3, \pm 1\}$. The quadratic map ρ has critical points at $\pm i/\sqrt{3}$, so is not a cover, and so this correspondence is admissible. The multivalued map $F = \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ has a single-valued branch near the fixed point at infinity whose dynamical germ is, by Böttcher's theorem, analytically conjugate to $w \mapsto w^3$ near the origin. However, direct calculation shows the only fixed points are at the ideal points 0 and 1 , which do not lie in \mathcal{S} .

There is a repelling 2-cycle of F at $\pm\sqrt{5}/3$, with multiplier $9/4$.

5. HYPERBOLIC GEOMETRY

In this section, we assume \mathcal{S} is a hyperbolic Riemann surface which is conformally isomorphic to the complement of a finite nonempty subset $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ contained in a compact surface $\widehat{\mathcal{S}}$, and \mathcal{S} is equipped with its hyperbolic metric of curvature -1 . Suppose $* \in \mathcal{S}$ is a basepoint; we denote by $G := \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$. Fix a universal cover $\pi: (\mathbb{H}, \tilde{*}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S}, *)$. For a rectifiable path γ in \mathcal{S} , we denote by $|\gamma|$ its hyperbolic length.

5.1. Hyperbolic metric on G . Suppose $g \in G$. The geodesic joining $\tilde{*}$ to $g \cdot \tilde{*}$ projects to a closed curve on \mathcal{S} which, upon removing $*$, is an open geodesic segment. While a closed curve, we emphasize that this is typically not a closed geodesic; there is typically an angle formed at $*$. Abusing notation, we will often denote this geodesic representative by the same symbol, g . We denote by $|g|$ the hyperbolic length of this segment. This defines the *hyperbolic norm* on G . Since the covering group acts properly discontinuously, the hyperbolic norm on G is proper: for any constant $L > 0$, the set of $g \in G$ with $|g| < L$ is finite.

Caution is in order: though we have used the term “norm”, the hyperbolic norm on G is not bi-Lipschitz equivalent to a word metric norm induced by a finite generating set. For example, suppose g is a primitive peripheral loop about some cusp of \mathcal{S} , and consider the sequence of powers, g^n . The word norms of g^n grow linearly, while the hyperbolic norms of g^n grow logarithmically.

5.2. Cusp neighborhoods. Suppose x is a cusp of \mathcal{S} . For a cusp circumference parameter $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small, there is a neighborhood $B(x, \delta)$ of x isometric to $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \Im(z) > 1/\delta\}/\langle z \mapsto z + 1 \rangle$. Note that the length of the bounding horocyclic curve $\partial B(x, \delta)$ is the parameter δ .

5.3. δ -thick-thin decompositions. We next formulate a version of a thick-thin decomposition of a Riemann surface, adapted to our setting. We are not interested in short simple closed geodesics, so our formulation focuses on cusps.

Proposition 5.1 ((δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition for pointed surfaces). *There exists a cusp circumference parameter $0 < \delta < 1$ small, and a separation parameter $\zeta \gg 1$ large, with the following properties.*

- (1) for each $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, there is a cusp neighbourhood $B_x := B(x, \delta)$ of x isometric to $\{z \in \mathbb{H} : \Im(z) > 1/\delta\}/\langle z \mapsto z + 1 \rangle$, and the collection $\{B_x : x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})\}$ have pairwise disjoint closures;
- (2) for each $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, the ζ -neighborhood of B_x is again a cusp neighborhood;
- (3) for any two distinct $x_1, x_2 \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, the closed ζ -neighborhoods of B_{x_1}, B_{x_2} are disjoint;
- (4) for each $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, the distance from B_x to the basepoint $*$ is at least ζ ;
- (5) δ is much shorter than the length of the smallest closed geodesic on \mathcal{S} (this isn't really necessary, but it helps for fixing intuition and justifying the terminology).

The proof is elementary, and we omit it.

We continue discussion assuming the setup of Proposition 5.1. Suppose $g \in G$.

Proposition 5.2 ((δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition for pointed loops). *Given the setup of Proposition 5.1, for each $g \in G$, there exist a possibly empty sequence of cusps x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k , such that the geodesic representative of g decomposes uniquely into a concatenation of geodesic segments*

$$g = \ell_0.r_1.\ell_1.\dots.r_k.\ell_k,$$

with the r_i maximal segments of g entirely contained in the cusp neighborhoods $B(x_i, \delta)$, and the ℓ_i in their complement. In particular, $|\ell_i| \geq \zeta$ for $0 \leq i \leq k$.

The last conclusion holds because for each $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$, the cusp neighborhood $B(x, \delta)$ is a convex subset of its ζ -neighborhood.

We call the ℓ_i 's *thick segments* since they lie in the thick part. We call the r_i 's *roundabouts* since when $|r_i|$ is large (the case in which we will be most interested, later), they wind around the corresponding cusp.

5.4. Winding numbers. We continue, assuming we are in the setup of §5.3. Suppose $g \in G$; we consider here its thick-thin decomposition $g = \ell_0.r_1.\ell_1.\dots.r_k.\ell_k$.

A cusp neighborhood $B(x, \delta)$ is convex; a geodesic r joining two points on its boundary winds around the cusp some number of times. To define this winding number formally, consider a universal cover $\pi: \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, and let $H_\delta := \{\Im(\tau) > 1/\delta\}$ be the corresponding horoball. A lift of r enters H_δ at a point $a + \sqrt{-1}/\delta$, $a \in \mathbb{R}$, and then exits H_δ at some other point $a + \triangleleft(r) + \sqrt{-1}/\delta$. We call $\triangleleft(r)$ the *winding number* of r . Defined in this way, the winding number coincides with the classical winding number of r about x from elementary complex analysis.

Turning to the thick-thin decomposition, each roundabout r_i enters and exits once the cusp neighborhood $B(x_i, \delta)$, and so has an associated winding number $\triangleleft(r_i)$.

Lemma 5.3 (Winding numbers). *(1) For any geodesic segment r contained in a cusp neighborhood $B(x, \delta)$ and with endpoints on $\partial B(x, \delta)$,*

$$|r| = 2 \log^+ |\triangleleft r| + O(1)$$

where the implicit constant depends only on δ .

(2) For any $g \in G$ with (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition $g = \ell_0.r_1.\ell_1.\cdots.r_k.\ell_k$, the length of its geodesic representative may be estimated as

$$|g| = \sum_{i=0}^k |\ell_i| + 2 \sum_{i=1}^k \log^+ |\triangleleft(r_i)| + O(k)$$

where the implicit constant depends only on δ .

Proof. It suffices to note that the length of r_i is given, by hyperbolic geometry, by the formula $|r_i| = 2 \operatorname{arcsinh}(\delta \cdot |\triangleleft(r_i)|/2)$. For $x \geq 1$ we may approximate $\operatorname{arcsinh}(x)$ by $\log(x) + O(1)$. Thus for $|\triangleleft r_i| \geq 2/\delta$ we may approximate $|r_i|$ as $\log \triangleleft(r_i)$. If $|\triangleleft r_i| < 2/\delta$ then $|r_i|$ is bounded between zero and a constant depending on δ . The conclusion follows. \square

5.5. Almost geodesics. We will later need to compare a loop based at $*$ whose length is close to that of its geodesic representative (based at $*$) with this geodesic representative. The proposition below makes this precise.

Proposition 5.4 (Small slippage implies nearby quasigeodesic). *Suppose*

- L, C are positive constants satisfying $L > 100 + 100C$
- g is a hyperbolic geodesic segment in \mathbb{H} joining points P and Q , and $|g| = L$.
- \tilde{g} is a rectifiable curve joining P and Q of length $\tilde{L} \leq L + C$.

Then

- (1) when parameterized by arc length starting from P , the orthogonal projection $\pi: \tilde{g} \rightarrow g$ defines a $(1, C)$ -quasi-isometry;
- (2) there exist constants $C' > C$ and $a > 1$ such that for all $C_0 \leq C$, the proportion p of the curve \tilde{g} that is at least distance C_0 away from g satisfies

$$p < \frac{C}{L} a^{-C_0}$$

where $a > 1$ is a universal constant.

Proof. (1) If $\pi(\tilde{g}(s)) = g(t)$ then $t \leq s$ and $\tilde{L} - s \geq L - t$, implying $t \leq s \leq t + C$. Denote by $S := \tilde{g}(s)$ and $T := g(t)$ and consider the triangles $\triangle PTS$ and $\triangle QTS$. Set $x := \overline{PT} = t$, $x' := \overline{PS}$, $y := \overline{TQ} = L - t$, $y' := \overline{QS}$, $z := \overline{ST}$. From laws of triangles we have

$$\cosh x' = \cosh x \cosh z$$

$$\cosh y' = \cosh y \cosh z.$$

Taking ratios and applying $x' + y' \leq x + y + C$ yields $\cosh z \leq 2e^{C/2}$. In turn this gives $z \leq \log 4 + C/2$. Thus $|\tilde{g}(s) - g(s)| \leq |\tilde{g}(s) - \pi(\tilde{g}(s))| + |\pi(\tilde{g}(s)) - g(s)| \leq z + |s - t| \leq \log 4 + C/2 + C \lesssim 3C =: C'$ if $C \geq 2$.

(2) Suppose $0 < p < 1$. Consider the portion of \tilde{g} lying at distance at least C_0 from g , and suppose its projection to g has length pL . Since geodesics diverge exponentially, there is a universal constant $a > 1$ such that this portion has length at least $a^{C_0} \cdot pL$. The remainder of \tilde{g} has length at least $(1-p)L$. We conclude $a^{C_0}pL + (1-p)L \leq L + C$ and so $p \leq (C/L)a^{-C_0}$ as required. \square

5.6. The behavior of complex correspondences near cusps. In this section, we collect elementary facts about the behavior of complex correspondences near the cusps.

We begin with introducing some notation. Suppose $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$. We denote by $\beta_x: \mathbb{D} - \{0\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ the infinite covering map induced by the subgroup of $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ generated by a peripheral loop about x . Abusing notation, we let β_x also denote the extension of β_x to an analytic map of pointed Riemann surfaces $\beta_x: (\mathbb{D}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathcal{S} \cup \{x\}, x)$. With these conventions, the restriction $\beta_x|_{\{|z| < \exp(2\pi/\delta)\}} \rightarrow B(x, \delta)$ is an isomorphism if δ is sufficiently small. We call the coordinate $z \in \mathbb{D}$ on $B(x, \delta)$ induced by β_x a *natural cusp coordinate*.

We now suppose we are in the dynamical setting of an admissible complex correspondence $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$. We fix the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition of \mathcal{S} . We fix an iterate $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose $x, y \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ with $F^{(-n)}(x) = y$. By definition, this means there is an associated cusp $\tilde{x} \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T}^{(n)})$ with $\phi^{(n)}(\tilde{x}) = x$ and $\rho^{(n)}(\tilde{x}) = y$. We denote by $d := \deg(\phi^{(n)}, \tilde{x})$ and $c := \deg(\rho^{(n)}, \tilde{x})$ the local degrees of $\phi^{(n)}$ and $\rho^{(n)}$ at \tilde{x} , respectively.

By finiteness of the set of cusps of $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$, and continuity, there exist sufficiently small cusp circumferences $0 < \delta'' < \delta' < \delta$ such that the following hold:

- (1) the restriction $\rho^{(n)}|_{B(\tilde{x}, d \cdot \delta')}$ is unramified outside of \tilde{x} ;
- (2) the image $\rho^{(n)}(B(\tilde{x}, d \cdot \delta'))$ satisfies

$$B(y, \delta'') \subset \rho^{(n)}(B(\tilde{x}, d \cdot \delta')) \subset B(y, \delta);$$

- (3) upon setting $s' := \exp(-2\pi/\delta')$, there is a local model $h := h_y^x$ for this branch of $F^{(-n)}$, defined, single-valued, and analytic on the disk $|z| < (s')^{1/d}$ so that the cusps x and y correspond to the origin, and satisfy

$$(2) \quad w := h(z) = az(1 - zg(z)), \quad |z| < s'$$

where $0 \neq a \in \mathbb{C}$, and $|z \cdot g(z)| < 1/2$ on $|z| < s'$.

- (4) For $\hat{\delta} \leq \delta'$, setting $\hat{s} := s(\hat{\delta}) := \exp(-2\pi/\hat{\delta})^{1/d}$, there exist positive monotone functions $A_-, A_+ : [0, \delta'] \rightarrow [0, \delta)$ with the property that as $\hat{\delta} \rightarrow 0^+$, the outputs satisfy $A_{\pm}(\hat{\delta}) \rightarrow 0^+$, and

$$\{|w| < (1 - A_-(\hat{\delta})) \cdot \hat{s}\} \subset h(\{|z| < \hat{s}\}) \subset \{|w| < (1 + A_+(\hat{\delta})) \cdot \hat{s}\}.$$

Figure 1 explains the construction of h .

We emphasize that δ is independent of n , while δ', δ'' do depend on n .

In cusp coordinates, multiplication by a constant (such as a , or $1 \pm A_{\pm}(\cdot)$) corresponds in the hyperbolic metric to a translation (such as $\tau \mapsto \tau + \log a$, etc.) whose displacement (such as e.g. $d_{\mathbb{H}}(\tau, \tau + \log a)$) tends to zero as $\Im(\tau) \rightarrow \infty$. The above discussion yields

Lemma 5.5. *If x, y are cusps of \mathcal{S} such that, $F^{(-n)}(x) = y$, and such that in the above natural cusp coordinates β_x, β_y we have that the corresponding branch of*




FIGURE 1. Modeling the behavior of $F^{(-n)}$ near the cusp x , with image y . Arrows labeled \cong are isomorphisms and those whose tails are curved are inclusions. Following the arrows along the left-hand side from bottom-right to top yields a univalent, single-valued map $h := h_y^x$ locally of the form $z \mapsto az(1 + zg(z))$ where $|g| < 1/2$ if δ' is chosen sufficiently small.

$F^{(-n)}$ has the Puiseux series $z \mapsto az^t + \dots$ with $t = c/d$, then in the Hausdorff metric on closed subsets of \mathcal{S} equipped with the hyperbolic metric, we have

$$F^{(-n)}(\overline{B(x, \hat{\delta})}) \approx \overline{B(y, \hat{\delta}/t)}$$

with additive error tending to zero as $\hat{\delta} \rightarrow 0^+$.

Note that the appearance of t in the denominator makes sense: if e.g. $t = 1/2$, then the branch of $F^{(-n)}$ is strongly repelling in the Euclidean metrics on the natural cusp coordinates, so it has the property that the circumference of the image horoball should be larger.

6. X-RAYS FROM CORRESPONDENCES

In this section, we assume throughout that $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is a covering correspondence of path-connected spaces. As usual, $F := \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ denotes the associated multivalued map.

Definition 6.1 (*X-rays*). Suppose $* \in \mathcal{S}$ is a base point (not necessarily fixed under F), $G := \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$, and f is a path in \mathcal{S} joining $*$ to an element of $F^{-1}(*)$. Suppose X is a finite set of paths in \mathcal{S} , each connecting $*$ to an element of $F^{-1}(*)$, with the property that each such element is the endpoint of a path of some $x \in X$. An *X-ray of loops in the direction of f above $g \in G$* is a sequence $g = g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, \dots$

in G such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $x_n \in X$ such that as elements of the biset B^{paths} ,

$$f \cdot g^{(n)} = g^{(n+1)} \cdot x_{n+1} \quad \text{up to homotopy, } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Equivalently: as a concatenation of paths beginning with f , we have $f \cdot \tilde{g}^{(n)} \cdot \overline{x_n} = g^{(n+1)}$ up to homotopy, where the symbol $\overline{x_n}$ denotes the reverse of the path x_n .

In Appendix A we will cast this definition in the natural algebraic language of bisets.

The definition of X -ray depends only on the homotopy classes, relative to endpoints, of the paths f and $x \in X$.

We pause for a few clarifying comments about notation and terminology. Here and elsewhere “ \cdot ” denotes concatenation of paths. X -rays only depend on the homotopy classes relative to endpoints of the curves f and $x \in X$. Abusing terminology, we also say that $g^{(n+1)}$ is a “lift” of $g^{(n)}$, instead of a lift-followed-by-concatenation, and that the sequence $g^{(n)}$, $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ is a “sequence of lifts of g ”. With this terminology, the relation on $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ given by “being a lift under the multivalued map F ” is a one-to-finite relation, and an X -ray is an orbit under iteration of this relation. It is also useful to think of f and the collection X as together inducing a collection of self-maps of G —akin to an iterated function system—given by $g = g^{(0)} \mapsto g^{(1)}$ where $g^{(1)}$ is the unique solution to the equation $f \cdot g^{(0)} = g^{(1)} \cdot x$, $x \in X$.

Definition 6.2 (Contraction along X -rays at f). We say the correspondence F is *contracting along X -rays in the direction of f* if, for every choice of curves X as in the definition of X -ray, there is a finite attractor $A \subset G$ such that for any $g \in G$, and any X -ray $(g^{(n)})$ in the direction of f above g , there is an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^{(n)} \in A$ for all $n > N$.

Note that in this definition, the finite set A depends on X , but not on g and not on the choice of an X -ray over g .

Here is an example. More general notions could be formulated; the one below is simple enough to illustrate our themes.

Definition 6.3. A covering correspondence from $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is *uniformly hyperbolic* if

- (1) there exists a length metric on \mathcal{S} (yielding, via lifting by ϕ , a locally isometric length metric on \mathcal{T});
- (2) there exists a compact rectifiably connected subset $K \subset \mathcal{S}$ such that $\rho \circ \phi^{-1}(K) \subset K$;
- (3) the inclusion $K \hookrightarrow \mathcal{S}$ induces a surjection on fundamental groups;
- (4) the norm on $\pi_1(\mathcal{S})$ induced by the length metric on K is proper;
- (5) ρ is a weak contraction everywhere;
- (6) the restriction $\rho: \phi^{-1}(K) \rightarrow K$ is a uniform contraction, with factor $\lambda < 1$.

For example, an admissible complex correspondence that is uniformly hyperbolic according to its analytic definition, is also uniformly hyperbolic with this definition, when the hyperbolic metric is used; we may take K to be any ϵ -neighborhood of its compact limit set.

We give the proof of the elementary result below in order to illustrate the themes in our development.

Proposition 6.1. *If F is uniformly hyperbolic, then for any path f joining any basepoint $*$ to any element of $F^{-1}(*)$, the correspondence F is contracting along X -rays in the direction of f .*

Proof. Suppose f, X are as in the definition of X -ray. We may assume $f \subset K$ and $X \subset K$. For $g \in G$ arbitrary we denote by $|g|$ the infimum of the lengths of paths in K representing g ; similarly for a rectifiable path $x \subset K$ we denote by $|x|$ its length. Put $\xi := \max\{|x| : x \in X\}$. Suppose $g = g^{(0)} \in G$; we may represent it by a loop in K based at $*$. From the definition of X -ray in the direction of f above g , and the backward-invariance of K , we have that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the loop representing $g^{(n)}$ lies in K and has length at most $(|f| + \xi)/(1 - \lambda) + \lambda^n |g|$. Thus when n is sufficiently large, the length $|g^{(n)}|$ is bounded by a constant, C , independent of g . By properness of the norm, the set of elements $A := \{h \in G : |h| < C\}$ is finite. \square

Corollary 6.2 below, applied to e.g. the correspondence on moduli space induced by Lodge's example of §4, shows that the converse of Proposition 6.1 need not hold. Thus being contracting along X -rays in the direction of f is a form of non-uniform hyperbolicity.

We next recall the statement of our main result:

Theorem C. *Suppose $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is an admissible correspondence, and $*$ $\in \mathcal{S}$ is a fixed point of F . Let $f := *$, the constant path at $*$. Fix a finite collection X of curves as in the definition of X -ray in the direction of $*$.*

*Then there are constants $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0, \xi \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for every $g \in \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ and every X -ray of loops $(g^{(n)})$ in the direction of $*$ above g , we have*

- (1) $|g^{(n+1)}| \leq |g^{(n)}| + \xi$ for all n ;
- (2) if $|g| > \kappa$ then $|g^{(n)}| \leq |g| - \epsilon$ for some $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

Here, the norm $|\cdot|$ on the fundamental group $\pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ is that induced by the hyperbolic metric.

Estimate (1) is an immediate consequence of the definitions and the fact that admissible analytic correspondences are weakly hyperbolic. Proving estimate (2) requires much more work.

We next show that the additive contraction estimates (1) and (2) in Theorem C imply contraction along X -rays at the fixed point $f = *$.

Corollary 6.2 (Contraction along X -rays at $*$). *In the setting of Theorem C, the correspondence F is contracting along X -rays in the direction of the fixed point $*$.*

More precisely: for all n ,

$$(3) \quad \left|g^{(n)}\right| \leq \max \left\{ \left|g\right| - \epsilon \left\lfloor \frac{n}{N} \right\rfloor, \kappa \right\} + N\xi,$$

where $\lfloor \frac{n}{N} \rfloor$ is the integer part of $\frac{n}{N}$. Thus for all $n \gg 1$,

$$(4) \quad g_n \in \{g : |g| \leq \kappa + N\xi\}.$$

Thus the set $\{g : |g| \leq \kappa + N\xi\}$ is a finite global attractor for X -rays in the direction of $*$.

Proof. Write $n_0 := 0$ and define inductively the indices

$$I = \{n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots < n_s < \dots\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}$$

using the following rules:

- if $|g^{n_{s-1}}| > \kappa$, then $n_s \leq n_{s-1} + N$ is the first index such that $|g^{n_s}| \leq |g^{n_{s-1}}| - \epsilon$;
- if $|g^{n_{s-1}}| \leq \kappa$, then set $n_s := n_{s-1} + 1$ and observe that $|g^{n_s}| \leq \kappa + \xi$, by Conclusion (2) of Theorem A.

For each s , we have

$$|g^{(n_s)}| \leq \max\{|g| - \epsilon s, \kappa + \xi\}.$$

Since for each s we have $n_{s+1} - n_s \leq N$, we obtain from Conclusion (2) of Theorem A that

$$|g^{(n)}| \leq \max\{|g| - \epsilon s, \kappa + \xi\} + (N - 1)\xi$$

for each s and for every n with $n_s < n < n_{s+1}$. Since $s \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{N} \rfloor$, Equation (3) holds, and the Corollary follows. \square

7. PROOF OF THEOREM C

Let $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ be an admissible complex correspondence having a fixed point $* \in \mathcal{S}$; as usual we set $F := \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$. We set $G := \pi_1(\mathcal{S}, *)$ and equip G with its hyperbolic norm, $|g|$. Fix the thick-thin decomposition of \mathcal{S} with constants δ, ζ as in Proposition 5.1. By compactness, local inverse branches of F are uniformly contracting on the thick part of \mathcal{S} ; we put $c := \max\{\|dF^{-1}(z)\|_{\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}} : z \in \mathcal{S}^{\text{thick}}\}$, so that $c < 1$.

Let X be any finite collection of rectifiable paths from $*$ to $F^{-1}(*)$ as in the definition of X-ray in the direction of the constant path f at $*$ representing f , and denote by $\xi := \max\{|x| : x \in X\}$.

7.1. Basic contraction estimate. In this subsection, we study the relationship between the lengths of consecutive terms in an initial X-ray.

Let $g \in G$ be given, and consider $g = g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, \dots$ an X-ray of loops above g , represented by geodesic loops based at $*$.

We consider now the associated thick-thin decomposition of g , as in Proposition 5.2:

$$g = \ell_0.r_1 \dots r_k.\ell_k.$$

We denote by $L := \max\{|\ell_i| : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$.

Proposition 7.1 (Basic contraction). *We have*

- (1) $|g^{(1)}| < |g| + \xi$; more generally $|g^{(n+1)}| < |g^{(n)}| + \xi$ and $|g^{(n)}| \leq |g| + n\xi$;
- (2) $|g^{(1)}| < |g| - (k + 1)(1 - c)\zeta + \xi$;
- (3) $|g^{(1)}| < |g| - (1 - c)L + \xi$.

Proof. (1) follows immediately from the definition of $g^{(1)}$ and weak contraction of F^{-1} . (2) follows from (1) after applying uniform contraction of F^{-1} on each of the ℓ_i 's. (3) follows from (1) and applying uniform contraction of F^{-1} on one of the ℓ_i 's realizing the maximum length L . \square

7.2. Tight sequences. Conclusion (1) of Theorem C follows immediately from Proposition 7.1(1). The proof of Conclusion (2) of Theorem C is fundamentally by contradiction. We assume Conclusion (2) does not hold. Then, flipping all quantifiers, for every $\kappa \in \mathbb{R}, N \in \mathbb{N}, \epsilon > 0$ there are $g \in G$ with $|g| > \kappa$ and $|g^{(n)}| > |g| - \epsilon$ for all $n \in \{0, \dots, N\}$.

Definition 7.1. A *tight sequence* is a sequence (g_m) in G such that $|g_m| > m$ and $|g_m^{(n)}| > |g_m| - 1/m$ for all $n \in \{0, \dots, m\}$.

Thus we assume that there exists a tight sequence, and we will derive a contradiction.

Proposition 7.2 (Basic facts about tight sequences). *Suppose $(g_m)_m$ is a tight sequence, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, and*

$$g_m = \ell_{0,m} \cdot r_{1,m} \cdot \dots \cdot r_{k_m,m} \cdot \ell_{k_m,m}$$

is the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition of g_m as in Proposition 5.2. Let $L_m = \max\{|\ell_{i,m}| : 1 \leq i \leq k_m\}$ be the length of the longest segment in the thick part of the decomposition of g_m . Then L_m and k_m are uniformly bounded independent of m : for all m , we have

- (1) $k_m \leq 1 + \frac{\xi}{(1-c)\zeta} =: K'$;
- (2) $L_m \leq 1 + \frac{\xi}{(1-c)} =: L'$.
- (3) As $m \rightarrow \infty$,

$$|g_m| = \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} |r_i| + O(1) = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{k_m} \log^+ |\triangleleft(r_i)| + O(1)$$

where the implicit constant is independent of the given tight sequence.

- (4) Moreover,
- (5) $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \max_{1 \leq i \leq k_m} |r_i| = +\infty$.

Proof. The condition $|g_m^{(1)}| > |g_m| - \frac{1}{m}$ in the definition of a tight sequence and Proposition 7.1 immediately imply (1) and (2). The estimate (3) follows from Lemma 5.3 and the estimate $k_m \leq K'$. The condition $|g_m| > m$ and the uniform bound on L_m from the second above inequality yield the last conclusion. \square

For a tight sequence $(g_m)_m$, a picture thus emerges of the terms g_m as $m \rightarrow \infty$. In the complement of the cusp neighborhoods, the thick parts $\ell_{i,m}$'s range over finitely many possible free boundary homotopy classes (in which the endpoints are allowed to slide along the boundaries of the cusp neighborhoods). Additionally, there must also be some roundabouts $r_{i,m}$ that spend larger and larger amounts of time (length) in some fixed cusp neighborhood. An index $i \in \{1, \dots, K'\}$ for which $\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} |r_{i,m}| = \infty$ will be called an *unbounded roundabout*. Thus every tight sequence has a nonempty set of unbounded roundabouts.

The property of being a tight sequence is not *a priori* preserved under lifting under F . However, given a sequence $(g_m^{(n)})_m$ of X-rays in the direction of f , from the two-dimensionally-indexed array $(g_m^{(n)})$, $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$, it is always possible to extract a tight sequence — and one may in fact prescribe a lower bound on the levels of lifts involved. In the statement below, the sequences referred to are sequences of natural numbers. The precise form of the lower bound on $m(t)$ in the statement is not important here; we include it merely for concreteness.

Lemma 7.3 (Robust extraction). *Suppose $(g_m)_m$ is a tight sequence. Given:*

- (1) an increasing sequence $N(t)$, $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $N(t) > t$, and
- (2) an increasing sequence $m(t)$, $t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $m(t) \gg N(t)$ sufficiently large (specifically, $m(t) > M(t) := N(t) + t^2(N(t)\xi + 1) + t$),

then there exists a sequence $n(t), t = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ with $n(t) \in [N(t), M(t)]$ such that the sequence

$$h_t := g_{m(t)}^{(n(t))} \in G$$

is tight.

Proof. We first verify that the lengths of the h_t 's grow as required. Since the sequence $(g_m)_m$ is tight, applying both conditions in the definition of tight, we find

$$|h_t| = |g_{m(t)}^{(n(t))}| > |g_{m(t)}| - \frac{1}{m(t)} > m(t) - \frac{1}{m(t)} > N(t) > t.$$

We next verify that the lengths of the lifts of the h_t 's satisfy the required lower bound. Fix $t \in \mathbb{N}$. To ease notation, put $h := h_{m(t)}^{(N(t))}$. Consider the orbit segment of length t under lifting given by

$$h, h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, \dots, h^{(t)}.$$

If for each $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ we have

$$|h^{(j)}| > |h| - \frac{1}{t},$$

then we may set $n(t) := N(t)$. Otherwise, there exists $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ with

$$|h^{(j_1)}| \leq |h| - \frac{1}{t}.$$

We now repeat this argument, starting with $h^{(j_1)}$. That is, we consider the orbit segment of length t under lifting given by

$$h^{(j_1)}, h^{(j_1+1)}, h^{(j_1+2)}, \dots, h^{(j_1+t)}.$$

If for each $j \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ we have

$$|h^{(j_1+j)}| > |h| - \frac{1}{t},$$

then we may set $n(t) := N(t) + j_1$. Otherwise, we find an integer $j_2 \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ for which

$$|h^{(j_1+j_2)}| \leq |h^{(j_1)}| - \frac{1}{t} \leq |h| - \frac{2}{t}.$$

Continuing in this manner, if we fail to find the desired bounds some number of times, say s , then we have the upper length bound

$$|h^{(j_1+j_2+\dots+j_s)}| \leq |h| - \frac{s}{t}.$$

In this paragraph, we obtain a bound on s . Unwinding the definition of h , the previous inequality yields

$$\left| g_{m(t)}^{(N(t)+j_1+\dots+j_s)} \right| \leq \left| g_{m(t)}^{(N(t))} \right| - \frac{s}{t}.$$

The basic upper length bound (Proposition 7.1) and the fact that $(g_m)_m$ is a tight sequence then imply

$$\left| g_{m(t)} \right| - \frac{1}{m(t)} < \left| g_{m(t)}^{(N(t)+j_1+\dots+j_s)} \right| \leq |g_{m(t)}| + N(t)\xi - \frac{s}{t}.$$

This implies

$$s \leq t(N(t)\xi + 1).$$

This bound on s yields that among the sequence of lifts

$$h^{(1)}, h^{(2)}, \dots, h^{(t^2(N(t)\xi+1)+t)}$$

there must be an index $i = i(t) \in \{1, 2, \dots, t^2(N(t)\xi + 1) + t\}$ such that the subsequence of consecutive lifts

$$h^{(i)}, h^{(i+1)}, h^{(i+2)}, \dots, h^{(i+t)}$$

has the property that the desired lower bounds

$$|h^{(i+j)}| > |h^{(i)}| - \frac{1}{t}, j = 1 \dots t$$

hold. Putting $n(t) := N(t) + i(t)$ and $h_t := g_{m(t)}^{(n(t))}$, the Lemma is proved. \square

7.3. m -roundabouts. For a tight sequence (g_m) , Proposition 7.2 implies that as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the number of roundabouts is universally bounded independent of the chosen sequence by the constant K' , and that the lengths of some—but perhaps not all—of these roundabouts must become unbounded, and so (by Lemma 5.3) spiral more and more around some cusps. We wish our decompositions to focus only on these cusps, and not on cusps for which the spiraling is bounded.

Choose and fix a large *roundabout parameter* $\mu \gg \zeta$.

Definition 7.2 (μ -roundabout). Suppose $g \in G$ is arbitrary. The (δ, ζ) -*thick-thin decomposition with roundabout parameter* μ is given by

$$g = \ell_0.r_1 \dots .r_k.\ell_k$$

where

- (1) each r_i is a roundabout in the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition;
- (2) $|r_i| \geq \mu$ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$;
- (3) the r_i are maximal with respect to 1. and 2.

We call the r_i 's the μ -*roundabouts* or μ -*thin* parts, and the ℓ_i 's the μ -*thick* parts. In this notation, we say that g has k of the μ -*roundabouts* r_1, \dots, r_k . The estimate used in the proof of Lemma 5.3 then implies that if $|r_i| \geq \mu$, then r_i winds at least $\triangleleft(r_i) \approx \exp(\mu)$ times around the corresponding puncture.

Now suppose (g_m) is a tight sequence and $\mu \gg 1$ is a roundabout parameter. Applying Proposition 7.2, we find the following. Since every μ -roundabout is an ordinary roundabout, and the number of ordinary roundabouts is uniformly bounded by the constant K' of that Proposition, we have

$$\limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \#\{\mu\text{-roundabouts in } g_m\} < \infty.$$

Obviously this limit decreases as μ increases, and it cannot decrease to zero, since every tight sequence has at least one unbounded roundabout. Thus

$$k((g_m)) := \lim_{\mu \rightarrow \infty} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \#\{\mu\text{-roundabouts in } g_m\} \in [1, K'].$$

In other words, $k = k((g_m))$ is the smallest integer such that the g_m 's eventually have at most k unbounded roundabouts. From Proposition 7.2, we know $k \leq K'$.

7.4. Very tight sequences. Proposition 7.2 provides a universal upper bound on the number of unbounded roundabouts in a tight sequence. To capture the extremal case, we set

$$K := \sup\{k((g_m)) : (g_m) \text{ is tight}\} \leq K' < \infty.$$

The definition below captures the extremal tight sequences with respect to this bound.

Definition 7.3. A *very tight sequence* is a tight sequence (g_m) in G such that, additionally, the number of m -roundabouts in g_m is precisely the stable extremal number, K , of unbounded roundabouts whenever m is sufficiently large.

Note that if $(g_m)_m$ is any tight sequence for which $k((g_m)) = K$ realizes the extremal number of unbounded roundabouts, then by passing to subsequences we may assume that in fact the sequence $(g_m)_m$ is very tight. Summarizing: if Theorem C fails, then there is a very tight sequence.

We will very often make claims on terms g_m that hold whenever m is sufficiently large; we abbreviate this as “something holds eventually for g_m ”. Thus in a very tight sequence eventually g_m has precisely K of the m -roundabouts.

Lemma 7.4. *There is a constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, for every very tight sequence $(g_m)_m$, eventually all the thick segments $\ell_{m,i}$, for $i \in \{0, \dots, K\}$, of the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition of g_m with roundabout parameter m have length at most λ .*

Proof. Assume not. Let K be the stable bound on the number of roundabouts as in the definition of very tight sequence. Then for every lower length bound $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a very tight sequence $(g_{m,n})_m$ satisfying the condition: *for all sufficiently large m , in the thick-thin decomposition of $g_{m,n}$ with roundabout parameter m ,*

$$g_{m,n} = \ell_{m,n,0} \cdot r_{m,n,1} \cdots r_{m,n,i} \cdot \ell_{m,n,i} \cdots \ell_{m,n,K},$$

some m -thick segment $\ell_{m,n,i}$ has length at least n . A word about notation here: n does not denote a level of iteration.

Fix now n large, and consider the ordinary 0-thick decomposition of Proposition 5.2, applied to $g_{m,n}$. We find that the long subsegment $\ell_{m,n,i}$ must be further decomposed, say as

$$\ell_{m,n,i} = L_0 \cdot R_0 \cdots L_q \cdot R_q.$$

By Proposition 7.2, we have $q \leq K'$, a universal constant, and $|L_j| \leq L'$ for each $j = 0, \dots, q$. Since $|\ell_{m,n,i}| > n$ we have then that $\sum_{j=0}^q |R_j| > n - K'L'$ and so some R_j satisfies $|R_j| > \frac{n - K'L'}{K'} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we extract a diagonal subsequence $(g_p)_p, p \in \mathbb{N}$ from the $(g_{m,n})$. Given $p \in \mathbb{N}$, choose first $n = n(p)$ so large that $\frac{n - K'L'}{K'} > p$, and then from the very tight sequence $(g_{m,n})_m$, choose $m = m(p)$ so large that in the p -thick-thin decomposition of the m th term $g_{m,n}$, we have some p -thick-subsegment $\ell_{m,n,i}$ (as in the previous paragraph) with length at least p . But then $(g_p)_p$ is a tight sequence with at least $K + 1$ unbounded roundabouts: there are K unbounded roundabouts in the original decomposition, and one more developing in the $\ell_{m(p),n(p),i}$'s. This contradicts the assumption that the sequence (g_m) was assumed to be very tight, thus realizing the maximal number of unbounded roundabouts. \square

Summarizing:

Lemma 7.5 (Lengths in decomposition of very tight sequences). *There are constants λ and K such that the following hold. Suppose $(g_m)_m$ is very tight, and*

$$g_m = \ell_{0,m} \cdot r_{1,m} \cdot \ell_{1,m} \cdots r_{K,m} \cdot \ell_{K,m}$$

is the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition with roundabout parameter m of its m th term. Then

$$|\ell_{i,m}| < \lambda, \quad i = 0 \dots K$$

and

$$|r_{i,m}| > m \rightarrow \infty \quad i = 1 \dots K, \quad \text{as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

That is, in a very tight sequence, eventually the terms consist of $K + 1$ “short” segments in the thick part (each of length at most λ), separated by roundabouts that are becoming unbounded at rate with a particularly described lower bound. We next show that, to some extent, this structure persists along the terms $g_m^{(n)}$ of the X-ray above each g_m , at least when $m \gg n$.

7.5. Roundabouts lift to roundabouts. Let (g_m) be a very tight sequence; it has precisely K unbounded roundabouts, by definition. In this subsection, we show that for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, the sequence $(g_m^{(n)})_m$ also has precisely K unbounded roundabouts.

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\phi^{(n)}, \rho^{(n)}: \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ be the n th iterate of the correspondence. Let $m \gg n$. In the remainder of this paragraph, to ease notation, when denoting paths, we temporarily drop sub- and super-scripts indicating the dependence on m and n . Suppose $g := g_m$ has (δ, ζ) -thick-thin-decomposition with roundabout parameter m given by a concatenation of geodesic segments

$$g = \ell_0 \cdot r_1 \cdots r_K \cdot \ell_K.$$

When we lift g as a path under $\phi^{(n)}$, since $\phi^{(n)}$ is a cover, it is a local isometry, so we get a lifted path which is also given by a concatenation of geodesic segments

$$\tilde{g} = \tilde{\ell}_0 \cdot \tilde{r}_1 \cdots \tilde{r}_K \cdot \tilde{\ell}_K.$$

Since $\phi^{(n)}$ is a local isometry, and (g_m) is very tight, we have

$$\lambda \geq |\ell_i| = |\tilde{\ell}_i|, \quad i = 0 \dots K$$

and

$$m \leq |r_i| = |\tilde{r}_i|, \quad i = 1 \dots K$$

where λ is the constant of Proposition 7.4 and the indicated inequalities hold for all $m \geq n$. The above decomposition of the path \tilde{g} will typically not be a (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition in $\mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ — indeed, \tilde{g} need not be a closed path. We delay until the next subsection the analysis of these effects, in favor of giving first some preliminary, simple observations.

For this paragraph, we re-introduce the notation giving the dependence on m . Recalling that we have fixed the number of iterates n , we use super- and sub-tilde’s to indicate the dependence on this fixed level. When we map $\tilde{g}_m \subset \mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ to \mathcal{S} under $\rho^{(n)}$, we get an expression as a concatenation of segments — now not necessarily geodesics:

$$\rho^{(n)}(\tilde{g}_m) =: g_m = \mathcal{L}_{m,0} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{m,1} \cdots \mathcal{L}_{m,K} \cdot \mathcal{L}_{m,K}$$

In the next subsection, we will explore the difference. By definition, the element $g_m^{(n)} \in G$ in the definition of \mathcal{X} -ray is represented by the loop

$$g_m \cdot \mathcal{X}_m \sim g_m^{(n)} \in G$$

where \mathcal{X}_m is a concatenation of lifts of elements of \mathcal{X} under iterates F^j , $j = 1 \dots n$. Since the maps $\rho^{(j)}: \mathcal{T}^{(j)} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$, $j = 1 \dots n$ are weak contractions, the length of the path \mathcal{X}_m has length at most $n\xi$. Since (g_m) is very tight, it is tight, so we conclude

$$(6) \quad m - \frac{1}{m} \leq |g_m| - \frac{1}{m} \leq |g_m^{(n)}| \leq |g_m \cdot \mathcal{X}_m| \leq |g_m| + n\xi.$$

Summarizing: for fixed n , as $m \rightarrow \infty$, the loops $g_m \cdot \mathcal{X}_m \subset \mathcal{S}$ join $*$ to itself, are getting longer and longer, and when pulled tight to a geodesic joining $*$ to itself, yield a curve that is at most an additive constant (say $C := n\xi + 1$), independent of m , shorter. Proposition 5.4 then implies that when both $g_m \cdot \mathcal{X}_m$ and its geodesic representative $g_m^{(1)}$ are parameterized by arc length in \mathcal{S} , the image points under these parametrizations are at most distance C apart, and in fact most of the time they are actually much closer.

In this paragraph, we set up some notation that we will need later. Let x_1, \dots, x_K be the sequence of cusps surrounded by the m -very-long-roundabouts r_1, \dots, r_K of g_m , in the order in which they occur. Note that some cusps may be visited more than once. Lifting under $\phi^{(n)}$, we obtain a sequence of punctures $\tilde{x}_1, \dots, \tilde{x}_K$ in $\text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{T}^{(n)})$. Mapping under $\rho^{(n)}$, we obtain a sequence of points $\mathcal{X}_1, \dots, \mathcal{X}_K$ in $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$.

Our next goal is to show that each point \mathcal{X}_i is in fact a cusp of \mathcal{S} . Along the way, we introduce further notation.

Let $d_i^{(n)} = \text{deg}(\phi^{(n)}, \tilde{x}_i)$, $c_i^{(n)} = \text{deg}(\rho^{(n)}, \tilde{x}_i)$, and put $t_i^{(n)} := c_i^{(n)}/d_i^{(n)}$. Let $B_i := B(x_i, \delta) \subset \mathcal{S}$ be the cusp neighborhood of x_i ; by the definition of (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition, its bounding horocycle curve has length δ . Let $\tilde{B}_i \subset \mathcal{T}^{(n)}$ be the component of the preimage of B_i under $\phi^{(n)}$ surrounding \tilde{x}_i , and $\mathcal{B}_i \subset \mathcal{S}$ its image under $\rho^{(n)}$. We now claim that in fact each point \mathcal{X}_i is a cusp of \mathcal{S} . For suppose for some i that \mathcal{X}_i were a regular point of \mathcal{S} , instead of a cusp. Then we can factor $\rho^{(n)}$ as a composition of maps of hyperbolic Riemann surfaces:

$$\rho^{(n)}: \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{T}^{(n)} \cup \{\tilde{x}_i\} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}.$$

The second map is a weak contraction; let us show that near \tilde{x}_i , the inclusion is very strongly contracting on \tilde{B}_i . It is a general fact that when including one Riemann surface into another, the amount of contraction incurred at a point z is a continuous function bounded above away from 1 by the hyperbolic distance from z to a point in the complement (compare [21, Theorem 2.25]). In the surface $\mathcal{T}^{(n)} \cup \{\tilde{x}_i\}$, the Jordan region $\tilde{B}_i \cup \{\tilde{x}_i\}$ has boundary of length at most $d_i^{(n)}\delta$, and hence it has diameter at most $d_i^{(n)}\delta$ as well. It follows that there is a constant $0 < u < 1$ such that $|\mathcal{X}_i| < u|\tilde{r}_i| = u|r_i|$. Equivalently, $|\mathcal{X}_i| < |r_i| - (1-u)|r_i|$. Summing over indices i , we have by Equation 6 that up to additive constants depending on n but not m , we have the estimate

$$|g_m| = |r_1| + \dots + |r_k| + O(1) = |g_m^{(n)}| = |\mathcal{X}_1| + \dots + |\mathcal{X}_k| + O(1)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. But this is impossible if for some i we have $|\mathcal{X}_i| < |r_i| - (1-u)|r_i|$, since very tight implies $|r_i| \rightarrow \infty$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$.

In the next section, we analyze the lengths of the thick and thin parts in $g_m^{(n)}$.

7.6. How thick and thin lengths change under lifting. We continue the discussion and notation of the previous subsection. The estimate (6) implies that $|g_m \cdot \underline{x}_m| = |g_m^{(n)}| + O(1)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where the implicit constant depends on n but not m . Proposition 5.4 then implies that the loop $g_m \cdot \underline{x}_m$ is uniformly C -close, independent of m (but depending on n) to the geodesic $g_m^{(n)}$.

Lemma 7.6 (Lifting tight sequences). *Suppose $(g_m)_m$ is a very tight sequence, and fix an iterate $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose the geodesic loop g_m has the (δ, ζ) -thin-thick decomposition with roundabout parameter m given by*

$$g_m = \ell_0 \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_K \cdot \ell_K$$

and the geodesic loop $g_m^{(n)}$ has the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition given by

$$g_m^{(n)} = \ell_0^{(n)} \cdot r_1^{(n)} \cdot \dots \cdot r_K^{(n)} \cdot \ell_K^{(n)}.$$

Then

- (1) the lengths of the roundabouts $r_i^{(n)}$ at level n satisfy

$$|r_i^{(n)}| = |r_i| + 2 \log t_i^{(n)} + o(1)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, with implicit constant depending on n ;

- (2) after passing to subsequences (specifically, by choosing any increasing sequence $m(t)$ satisfying $m(t) > t + C_n$ where $C_n = \max_i 2 \log t_i^{(n)}$), for each i ,

$$|r_{i,m}^{(n)}| > m;$$

- (3) the lengths of the thick parts $\ell_i^{(n)}$ at level n satisfy

$$|\ell_i^{(n)}| < |\ell_i| + \nu_n + o(1) < \lambda + \nu_n + o(1),$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, where $\nu_n := 2 \log(\delta/\delta') + n\xi$.

Proof. We begin by proving (1). We first prove a distortion estimate for classical winding numbers under application of such an h as in Equation (2). Suppose r is a rectifiable path in $|z| < s'$ joining two points A and B . We have

$$2\pi \triangleleft (h \circ r) = \int_{h \circ r} d(\arg(z)) = \Im \int_r d(\log(h(z))) = \Im \left(\int_r dz/z + d \log(1 + zg(z)) \right).$$

The second term of the last integral is exact, so we obtain

$$(7) \quad 2\pi \triangleleft (h \circ r) = 2\pi \triangleleft (r) + \Im \log \left(\frac{1 + Bg(B)}{1 + Ag(A)} \right) = 2\pi \triangleleft (r) + O(1),$$

where the implicit constant may be taken to be at most $\log(3)$ in magnitude and is thus universal.

In this paragraph, we introduce a refined decomposition of the geodesic g_m , focusing on the behavior near the cusps. We again suppress dependence on m , to ease notation. Consider the (δ, ζ) -thick-thin decomposition of the geodesic g_m with roundabout parameter m given by

$$g_m = \ell_0 \cdot r_1 \cdot \dots \cdot r_K \cdot \ell_K.$$

Let $\delta' < \delta$ be the constant defined in §5.6; it depends on n . For each $i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$, we further decompose

$$r_i = r'_i \cdot r''_i \cdot r'''_i$$




FIGURE 2.

where $r_i^{\prime\prime}$ is the intersection of r_i with the cusp neighborhood $B(x_i, \delta')$ and the $r_i^{\prime}, r_i^{\prime\prime\prime}$ are the two complementary segments. For large m , the segments $r_i^{\prime}, r_i^{\prime\prime\prime}$ in the annular cusp region $B(x_i, \delta) \setminus \overline{B}(x_i, \delta')$, viewed in the natural coordinates in the disk \mathbb{D} given by β_{x_i} , are nearly radial; in the upper-half-plane model, these subsegments are nearly vertical. Thus as $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(8) \quad |r_i^{\prime}| = |r_i^{\prime\prime\prime}| = \log(\delta/\delta') + o(1) \text{ and } |r_i^{\prime\prime}| = |r_i| - 2 \log(\delta/\delta') + o(1).$$

We now lift this decomposition under $F^{(n)}$. Applying the definition of X-ray, and suppressing the superscript n , we get

$$g_m^{(n)} \sim \tilde{g}_m \cdot \tilde{\mathcal{X}}_m = (\ell_0 \cdot r'_1) \cdot r''_1 \cdot (\mathcal{L}'_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}''_1 \cdot \mathcal{L}'_2) \cdots \cdot \mathcal{L}''_K \cdot (\mathcal{L}''_K \cdot \ell_K \cdot \mathcal{X}).$$

In this paragraph, we estimate the winding numbers of $r_i^{(n)}$ in terms of the winding number of $r_i^{\prime\prime}$. See Figure 2.

Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$. Set $x := x_i$ and $y := x_i^{(n)}$. The implicit constants below are as $m \rightarrow \infty$, though they do depend on n . Recall that for large m , the segments $r_i^{\prime}, r_i^{\prime\prime\prime}$ in the annular cusp region $B(x, \delta) \setminus \overline{B}(x, \delta')$, viewed in the natural coordinates in the disk \mathbb{D} given by β_x , are nearly radial; in the upper-half-space model, these subsegments are nearly vertical. Thus as $m \rightarrow \infty$ their classical winding numbers, which coincide with those as defined in §5.4, satisfy

$$\angle r_i = \angle r_i^{\prime\prime} + o(1)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$. The distortion estimate (7) implies that the classical winding number of the lift $r_i^{\prime\prime}$ satisfies

$$\angle \tilde{r}_i^{\prime\prime} = t_i^{(n)} \angle r_i^{\prime\prime} + O(1)$$

as $m \rightarrow \infty$, with the implicit constant now being universal (at most $\log(3)$); here $t_i^{(n)}$ is the exponent of the leading term in the series expansion of the branch of $F^{(-n)}$ sending x to y . By Proposition 5.4, the curve r_i'' lies within a C -neighborhood of a subsegment of the geodesic $g_m^{(n)}$, where C depends on n but not m . Also, the endpoints of r_i'' lie within the annular region between cusp neighborhoods $B(y, \delta) \setminus \overline{B}(y, \delta'')$. When m is large, the portion of $r_i^{(n)} \subset g_m^{(n)}$ that lies within the annular cusp region $B(y, \delta) \setminus \overline{B}(y, \delta'')$ consists of two subsegments that, in the natural coordinates in the disk \mathbb{D} given by β_y , are nearly radial; in the upper-half-plane model, the subsegments are nearly vertical. It follows that the winding number $\langle r_i^{(n)} \rangle$, both classically and as defined in §5.4, satisfies

$$\langle r_i^{(n)} \rangle = t_i^{(n)} \langle r_i \rangle + O(1)$$

with implicit constant depending on n but not m . Since the winding numbers tend to infinity as m tends to infinity, we conclude upon applying the estimate in Lemma 5.3 that

$$|r_i^{(n)}| = |r_i| + 2 \log t_i^{(n)} + o(1).$$

Conclusion (2) is straightforward to verify.

We now prove conclusion (3). For each $i \in \{1, \dots, K-1\}$, the geodesic $\ell_i^{(n)}$ lies in a C -neighborhood of the curve $r_i''' \cdot \ell_i \cdot r_{i+1}'$, which has length at most $\lambda + 2 \log(\delta/\delta') + o(1)$. Thus $|\ell_i^{(n)}| < \lambda + 2 \log(\delta/\delta') + C + o(1)$. When $i = 0$ we have $\ell_0^{(n)}$ lying in the C -neighborhood of $\ell_0 \cdot r_1'$, so has length at most $\lambda + \log(\delta/\delta') + C + o(1)$. When $i = K$, the curve $\ell_K^{(n)}$ lies in the C -neighborhood of the curve $r_K''' \cdot x$, and so has length at most $\log(\delta/\delta') + C + n\xi + o(1)$. \square

We are still considering a very tight sequence (g_m) . Given a fixed iterate n , we now know that eventually the thick-thin decompositions of g_m and $g_m^{(n)}$ approximately match: that is, they are both made of $K+1$ “short” (now, however, with an upper bound depending on n) paths in the thick part, separated by K very long roundabouts. Moreover, we have bounds relating the length of each thick and thin part of g_m to the length of the corresponding thick and thin part of $g_m^{(n)}$. In the remainder of this section, we show that as the number of iterates n increases, contraction on the thick parts must be offset by expansion on the thin part, yielding bounds on the exponents $t_i^{(n)}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

For $m \gg 0$ let $x_{1,m}, \dots, x_{K,m}$ denote the cusps that the roundabouts in g_m surround, and for $m \gg n$ let $x_{1,m}^{(n)}, \dots, x_{K,m}^{(n)}$ denote the corresponding cusps surrounded by the roundabouts in $g_m^{(n)}$. By our assumptions, there are branches of $F^{(-n)}$ that map $x_{m,i}$ to $x_{m,i}^{(n)}$, and have local behaviour, in natural cusp coordinates, modeled by a Puiseux series $z \mapsto a_{i,m}^{(n)} z^{t_{i,m}^{(n)}} + \dots$ for some $a_{i,m}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and $t_{i,m}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{Q}$.

Lemma 7.7. *For any very tight sequence $(g_m)_m$, there is a sequence of exponent bounds $d^{(n)} = \mathcal{O}(\exp(-\eta n)) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that for any sufficiently large iterate $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and index m , we eventually have*

$$t_{1,m}^{(n)} \leq d^{(n)} \text{ and } t_{i,m}^{(n)} t_{i+1,m}^{(n)} \leq d^{(n)} \text{ for all } i \in \{1, \dots, K-1\}.$$

In particular,

$$(9) \quad t_{1,m}^{(n)} t_{2,m}^{(n)} \dots t_{K,m}^{(n)} \leq d^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Proof. Fix an iterate $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\delta' < \delta$ be as in §7.6. By Equation (3) we choose also $\hat{\delta} \ll \delta'$, so that for each branch of $F^{(-n)}$ sending a cusp $x \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ to a cusp $y \in \text{CUSPS}(\mathcal{S})$ given in local natural cusp coordinates by $z \mapsto az^t + \dots$, the image of the cusp neighborhood $B(x, \hat{\delta})$ is approximately the cusp neighborhood $B(y, \hat{\delta}/t)$ to within an additive error in the cusp circumference parameter that tends to zero as $\hat{\delta} \rightarrow 0$. In the analysis below, we will obtain estimates in which the dependence on $\hat{\delta}$ cancels out.

Suppose $(g_m)_m$ is now a tight sequence. Fix $i \in \{1, \dots, K-1\}$.

Given a thick part $\ell_{i,m}$ of the geodesic g_m connecting a point $Q_{i,m} \in \partial B(x_{i,m}, \delta)$ with a point $Q_{i+1,m} \in \partial B(x_{i+1,m}, \delta)$, consider the unique bi-infinite geodesic in \mathcal{S} that is homotopic to the curve $[x_{i,m}Q_{i,m}] \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot [Q_{i+1,m}x_{i+1,m}]$, where the subcurves in brackets are segments joining the indicated points that are radial in the natural cusp coordinates. (Formally, one lifts to the universal cover \mathbb{H} . The endpoints of the lift of the curve $[x_{i,m}Q_{i,m}] \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot [Q_{i+1,m}x_{i+1,m}]$ to \mathbb{H} must join two cusps corresponding to $x_{i,m}$ and $x_{i+1,m}$. The geodesic we seek is the image of the geodesic in \mathbb{H} joining these two cusps.) This geodesic is a concatenation of an infinite-length segment in $B(x_{i,m}, \hat{\delta})$ that is radial in natural cusp coordinates, a finite-length segment p lying outside the union of each of the $\hat{\delta}$ -cusp neighborhoods of \mathcal{S} (i.e., in the $\hat{\delta}$ -thick-part), followed by another radial infinite-length segment in $B(x_{i+1,m}, \hat{\delta})$. The length of p is thus at most $\lambda + 2 \log(\delta/\hat{\delta})$, since (i) the length of the δ' -thick part of p is at most the length of $\ell_{i,m}$ which is bounded by λ , and (ii) the distance between the boundaries of the δ -horoball and the $\hat{\delta}$ -horoball is $\log(\delta/\hat{\delta})$; here, we have used the fact that p is a subsegment of a geodesic joining two cusps.

We now look at a distinguished lift \tilde{p} of p under $F^{(-n)}$. See Figure 3.

By construction, p contains a subsegment homotopic relative to the union of the δ' -cusp neighborhoods to the curve $r_i'' \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot r_{i+1,m}'$. Lifting $r_i'' \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot r_{i+1,m}'$, we get $\tilde{r}_i''' \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot \tilde{r}_{i+1,m}'$. The curve $\tilde{r}_i''' \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot \tilde{r}_{i+1,m}'$ joins $B(x_i^{(n)}, \delta)$ to $B(x_{i+1}^{(n)}, \delta)$. By lifting this homotopy, we obtain a unique lift \tilde{p} of p that also joins $B(x_i^{(n)}, \delta)$ to $B(x_{i+1}^{(n)}, \delta)$. From this observation it follows that the endpoints of p are, to within an error tending to zero in $\hat{\delta}$, actually in the smaller neighborhoods $B(x_i^{(n)}, \hat{\delta}/t_{i,n,m})$ and $B(x_{i+1}^{(n)}, \hat{\delta}/t_{i+1,m}^{(n)})$. The lift $\tilde{r}_i''' \cdot \ell_{i,m} \cdot \tilde{r}_{i+1,m}'$ contains a subcurve homotopic relative to the δ -cusp neighborhoods to $\ell_{i,m}^{(n)}$, and so the same is true of the lift \tilde{p} .

We will now estimate the length of \tilde{p} in two different ways.

Focusing on the long parts of \tilde{p} in the thin parts near the cusps, we note that by Lemma 5.5, the first end has a portion joining a horocycle of width δ to one of width $\hat{\delta}/t_{i,m}^{(n)}$ and similarly the second end has a portion joining a horocycle of width δ to one of width $\hat{\delta}/t_{i+1,m}^{(n)}$ (these estimates up to additive error tending to zero in $\hat{\delta}$). Hence

$$|\tilde{p}| \geq \log(t_{i,m}^{(n)} \delta / \hat{\delta}) + \log(t_{i+1,m}^{(n)} \delta / \hat{\delta}).$$

Focusing now on the thick part of \tilde{p} , we note that p and each of its $F^{(j)}$ -lifts, $j = 1, \dots, n-1$, contains a subcurve of length at least ζ in the thick part of \mathcal{S} — one which is homotopic to $\ell_{i,m}^{(j)}$. Each time we lift under the single iterate F^{-1} , the length of such a subcurve is shortened by at least a definite additive constant




FIGURE 3. Top: the geodesic p joins the cusps x_i and x_{i+1} . The symbols involving δ are circumferences of cusp neighborhoods. Some traces of a homotopy between a subsegment of p and ℓ_i are indicated in thick gray. Bottom: the lifts under $F^{(n)}$ are indicated with under-tilde's.

$\eta := (1 - c)\lambda$. So

$$|\tilde{p}| \leq |p| - \eta n \leq \lambda + 2 \log(\delta/\hat{\delta}) - \eta n.$$

Combining these upper and lower estimates, the dependence on $\hat{\delta}$ vanishes by cancellation, and we conclude $\lambda - \eta n \geq \log(t_{i-1,m}^{(n)} t_{i,m}^{(n)})$ and so we obtain the sharper estimate

$$t_{i-1,m}^{(n)} \cdot t_{i,m}^{(n)} \leq \exp(\lambda - \eta n) =: d^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The same argument gives the same estimate on $t_{0,m}^{(n)}$, by considering the geodesic connecting the basepoint $*$ to $x_{1,m}$. Thus for n sufficiently large we have that each $t_{i,m}^{(n)} < 1$ and so in particular

$$t_{1,m}^{(n)} \cdot t_{2,m}^{(n)} \cdots t_{K,m}^{(n)} < d^{(n)} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. □

7.7. Conclusion of proof of Theorem C.

Proof of Theorem C. Consider a very tight sequence (g_m) , a corresponding sequence $(g_m^{(n)})$ of \mathbf{X} -rays, and for each term $g_m^{(n)}$, its m -thick-thin decomposition. Out of this doubly-indexed sequence $(g_m^{(n)}), m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, we will extract another very tight sequence $h_t := g_{m(t)}^{(n(t))}$ in which the lengths of thick parts become unbounded. This will contradict Lemma 7.5, completing the proof.

From the asymptotics for the lengths of g_m and $g_m^{(n)}$:

$$\begin{aligned} |g_m| &= |r_{1,m}| + \cdots + |r_{K,m}| + \mathcal{O}(1), \text{ by Proposition 7.2} \\ |g_m^{(n)}| &= |r_{1,m}^{(n)}| + \cdots + |r_{K,m}^{(n)}| + |\ell_{0,m}^{(n)}| + \cdots + |\ell_{K,m}^{(n)}|, \text{ by definition of decomp.} \\ &= |r_{1,m}| + \cdots + |r_{K,m}| + 2 \log(t_{1,m}^{(n)} \cdot t_{2,m}^{(n)} \cdots t_{K,m}^{(n)}) \\ &\quad + |\ell_{0,m}^{(n)}| + \cdots + |\ell_{K,m}^{(n)}| + \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ by Lemma 7.6} \\ &= |r_{1,m}| + \cdots + |r_{K,m}| - \eta n + |\ell_{0,m}^{(n)}| + \cdots + |\ell_{K,m}^{(n)}| + \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ by Lemma 7.7(9).} \end{aligned}$$

Since (g_m) is tight, we have $|g_m^{(n)}| > |g_m| - 1/m = |r_{1,m}| + \cdots + |r_{K,m}| + \mathcal{O}(1)$. Canceling the sum of terms $|r_{i,m}|$, we deduce $|\ell_0^{(n)}| + \cdots + |\ell_K^{(n)}| \geq \eta n - \mathcal{O}(1)$, and therefore *for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a bound $N(t) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that, whenever $n \geq N(t)$, and whenever m is sufficiently large, say $m \geq M(t)$, there exists some $i \in \{1, \dots, K\}$ and a thick part $\ell_{i,m}^{(n)}$ for which $|\ell_{i,m}^{(n)}| > t$.*

Applying Lemma 7.3 to the very tight (in particular, tight) sequence $(g_m)_m$, with the functions $N(t), M(t)$ from the previous paragraph as in the hypothesis of that Lemma, we conclude that the sequence $(h_t)_t$ is tight. By Lemma 7.6, passing to further subsequences, the roundabout parameters $|r_{i,t}|$ of h_t satisfy $|r_{i,t}| > t$ for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$. The discussion in §7.5 shows that each h_t has the maximum number, k , of unbounded roundabouts. Thus $(h_t)_t$ is very tight, with thick parts having unbounded lengths, which is impossible, and the proof is complete. \square

8. MODELING GRAPH PULLBACKS VIA \mathbf{X} -RAYS

We consider in this section a nonexceptional Thurston map $f: (S^2, P) \rightarrow (S^2, P)$. We denote by $G := \text{PMod}(S^2, P)$ the pure mapping class group.

8.1. Planar graphs.

Definition 8.1. A *planar graph* is a finite graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ embedded in S^2 , with V nonempty, such that $\Gamma \cap P \subset V$. Its underlying subset of S^2 is denoted $|\Gamma|$. Its *complexity* $\#\Gamma$ is defined as its number of vertices plus its number of edges: $\#\Gamma := \#V + \#E$. Two planar graphs are *equivalent* if there is an isotopy of S^2 fixing P sending one to the other which induces a bijection between vertices and edges.

The definition of equivalence does not allow for any of the following: a collapse of inessential loops, coalescence of two isotopic components into a single component, or addition or deletion of vertices of valence two. We put no other restrictions on planar graphs.

Here is an example. Suppose C is simple closed curve in $S^2 \setminus P$. Choose any point of C , and decree it to be a vertex. This gives a planar graph Γ with one vertex and one edge, which is a loop.

We denote by $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$ the set of such equivalence classes of graphs, of complexity at most M . Note this is typically an infinite set.

Proposition 8.1. *For each $M \in \mathbb{N}$, the group G acts on the set $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$ with finitely many orbits.*

Proof. We use induction on the complexity. The conclusion is clear for the base case of a graph consisting of a single vertex. The inductive step breaks down into cases according to whether we add an additional vertex or edge. The only case of substance is that of adding an edge between two existing vertices. Standard applications of the Alexander Method [13] yield the result. \square

8.2. Subgraphs.

Definition 8.2. A *subgraph* of a graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$ is a graph $\Gamma' = (V', E')$ for which $|\Gamma'| \subset |\Gamma|$. We denote this by $\Gamma' \subset \Gamma$.

8.3. Sublifts. The full f -preimage $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ of a planar graph Γ is again a planar graph, and its complexity satisfies $\#f^{-1}(\Gamma) \leq \deg(f) \cdot \#\Gamma$. The isotopy class of $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ depends only on that of Γ . A *sublift* of the planar graph $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ is a subgraph $\Delta \subset f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. If Δ is any sublift of Γ then its complexity satisfies $\#\Delta \leq \deg(f) \cdot \#\Gamma$.

8.4. Bounded orbits under pullback. Fix an integer $M \geq 1$. An M -bounded f -orbit of graphs is a sequence $(\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots)$ of planar graphs such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_n \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$, and Γ_{n+1} is isotopic to a subgraph of $f^{-1}(\Gamma_n)$. We call Γ_{n+1} a *sublift* of Γ_n .

For example, if C_0, C_1, \dots is a sequence of iterated preimages of the curve C_0 under pullback by f , then by decorating each C_i with a single vertex, we obtain an associated 2-bounded-orbit of graphs $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots$

We next relate M -bounded f -orbits of graphs to X -rays in the direction of f .

Let $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ be the covering on moduli space determined by f ; we denote also by f the path in moduli space determined by f ; it joins the basepoint $* = [P \hookrightarrow \widehat{\mathcal{C}}]$ to the element of $F^{-1}(*)$ determined by f .

Proposition 8.2. *Given a complexity bound M , let $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G \subset \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$ be a finite set of graphs comprising a right orbit transversal for the action of G on $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$. Then given a Thurston map f , there is a finite set X of curves (Thurston maps) as in the definition of X -ray in the direction of f , with the following property.*

For any M -bounded f -orbit of graphs $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots$, there exists $g = g^{(0)} \in G$ with $\Gamma^{(0)} := g(\Gamma_0) \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$, and an X -ray $(g^{(n)})$ in the direction of f above g , such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\Gamma^{(n)} := g^{(n)}(\Gamma_n) \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$.

Proof. There is a finite-index subgroup $H_f \leq G$ of “liftable elements” under f , namely with the property that for every $h \in H_f$ there exists a $g \in G$ with $g \circ f = f \circ h$. Furthermore, this g is unique, and is denoted by \tilde{h} . Note that in the biset B this equation becomes $f \cdot g = \tilde{h} \cdot f$. Choose a right transversal T to H_f in G .

By Proposition 8.1, there exists a finite orbit transversal $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$ to the action of G on graphs of complexity at most M . For each pair $(t, \Gamma) \in T \times \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$, consider the graph $t^{-1}\Gamma$. Suppose Δ is a sublift of $t^{-1}\Gamma$ under f whose complexity satisfies $\#\Delta \leq M$. Then there exists $s = s(t, \Gamma, \Delta) \in G$ such that $s\Delta \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$. We fix a choice of such s , for each triple (t, Γ, Δ) .

The set of triples (t, Γ, Δ) is finite, so the set of such $s(t, \Gamma, \Delta)$ is finite as well; we denote it by S .

We set

$$\mathsf{X} := \{s^{-1} \cdot f \cdot t \mid t \in T, s \in S\} \subset B$$

and we denote its elements as usual by symbols x .

Consider now an M -bounded r -orbit of graphs $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots$. We will construct an X -ray of loops from this data. Using Proposition 8.1 we first choose $g^{(0)} \in G$ with $\Gamma^{(0)} := g^{(0)}\Gamma_0 \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$. Once this choice is made, we will show that the rest of the sequence $g^{(n)}, n \geq 1$ is determined, having in hand also (i) the sequence of graphs $\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots$ comprising the M -bounded orbit of r that we are given, (ii) the choice of T , and (iii) the choice of S .

For the base case, we factor $g^{(0)} = t_0 \circ h_0$ where $h_0 \in H_f$ is liftable under f and $t_0 \in T$. We then lift inductively under iterates of f . At the n th stage, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & g^{(n+1)} & & \\ & \nearrow & & \searrow & \\ (S^2, \Gamma_{n+1}) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{h}_n} & (S^2, \Delta) & \xrightarrow{s_n} & (S^2, \Gamma^{(n+1)}) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \mathsf{x}_n \\ (S^2, \Gamma_n) & \xrightarrow{h_n} & (S^2, t_n^{-1}\Gamma^{(n)}) & \xrightarrow{t_n} & (S^2, \Gamma^{(n)}) \\ & \searrow & & \nearrow & \\ & & g^{(n)} & & \end{array}$$

In the above diagram, we assume $g^{(n)}$ is given, with the property that $\Gamma^{(n)} := g^{(n)}\Gamma_n \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$. We factor $g^{(n)} = t_n \circ h_n$ uniquely, where $h_n \in H_r$ is liftable and $t_n \in T$. Thus the left square commutes, where $\tilde{h}_n \in G$ is the lift of h_n under f . By assumption, $\#\Gamma_{n+1} \leq M$ and so $\tilde{h}_n\Gamma_{n+1}$ is one of the graphs Δ arising in the definition of the set S . Thus there exists a unique $s_n \in S$ with $s_n\Delta \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$. By definition, the composition $s_n^{-1} \cdot f \cdot t_n$ defines an element of X which we denote by x_n . Thus the right-hand square commutes by definition. Finally, we put $g^{(n+1)} := s_n \circ \tilde{h}_n$. Then by construction $f \cdot g^{(n)} = g^{(n+1)} \cdot \mathsf{x}_n$ as elements of B . Inductively, this holds for each n , so the sequence $g^{(0)}, g^{(1)}, \dots$ is an X -ray above $g^{(0)}$. □

8.5. Finite graph attractor. We are now ready to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 8.3 (Graph attractors). *Suppose $\#P = 4$ and $f: (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, P) \rightarrow (\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, P)$ is a nonexceptional critically finite rational map whose postcritical set lies in P . Then for every $M \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists a finite collection $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M, f) \subset \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$ of planar graphs, each of complexity at most M , closed under sublifting by f , such that, for every M -bounded orbit $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2, \dots)$ of graphs, we have $\Gamma_n \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M, f)$ for all n large enough.*

In particular, $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M, f)$ naturally forms the stateset of a finite automaton, with states given by $G := P\text{Mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}}, P)$ -orbits, and transitions given by taking all possible sublifts.

Proof. We denote by $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ the correspondence on moduli space associated to f and by $*$ the fixed point corresponding to f . By Proposition 8.2, there

is a finite set $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$ and a collection of curves (Thurston maps) X such that for any M -bounded f -orbit of graphs $\Gamma_0, \Gamma_1, \dots$ there is an X -ray $(g^{(n)})$ with $g^{(n)}(\Gamma_n) \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$ for each n .

By Corollary 6.2, there is a finite set $A \subset G$ independent of g and of Γ_0 such that $g^{(n)} \in A$ for all n sufficiently large. We put $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M, f) := A^{-1}\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)/G$. The definitions imply that $\Gamma_n \in \text{GRAPHS}(P, M, f)$ for all n sufficiently large. \square

8.6. Proof of Corollary B. We recall the statement:

Corollary B. *Assume that $|P| = 4$ and f is a non-Lattès rational map. Then there is a finite attractor for the pullback iteration on multicurves, on trees, and on spines.*

For general $\#P$, we will show that in each of the settings of multicurves, trees, and spines, we can associate a G -invariant collection of graphs and a notion of pullback such that the graph complexity remains bounded under iteration. Upon restricting to the case $\#P = 4$, the Corollary then follows from Theorem 8.3.

Multicurves. By adding a vertex to each component, the set of isotopy classes of multicurves in $S^2 - P$ embeds into the family $\text{GRAPHS}(P, M)$ where $M = 2(\#P - 3)$. The set of multicurves is G -invariant; with finitely many orbits. We define pullback of such graphs as follows. Given a multicurve Γ , we consider $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$, and modify it as follows: (i) delete all inessential and peripheral elements; (ii) consolidate essential components of the preimage which are isotopic in $S^2 - P$ into a single component; (iii) lift the graph structure from Γ ; and finally (iv) delete all but one vertex. Defined in this way, the terms of pullback orbits have complexity at most M .

Spines. A *spine* for $S^2 - P$ is a finite 3-valent graph in $S^2 - P$ which, as a subset of the sphere, arises as the image of a deformation retract of $S^2 - P$; thus each complementary region in S^2 is topologically a disk containing exactly one element of a P . From Euler's Formula and being 3-valent, it is easy to see that the complexity of a spine is $M := 5\#P - 10$. The set of spines is G -invariant; thus by Proposition 8.1, there are finitely many G -orbits of spines.

- Lemma 8.4.** (1) *Suppose $Q \subset S^2$ is finite, with $\#Q \geq 3$, and $q \in Q$. Then any spine Γ for $S^2 - Q$ contains a spine for $S^2 - (Q - \{q\})$.*
 (2) *Suppose $P \subset Q$ are finite, with $\#P \geq 2$. Then any spine Γ for $S^2 - Q$ contains a spine for $S^2 - P$.*
 (3) *If $f: (S^2, P) \rightarrow (S^2, P)$ is a Thurston map and Γ is a spine for $S^2 - P$, then $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ contains a spine for $S^2 - P$.*

Proof. For (1), let U_q be the unique face of Γ containing q . Since Γ is a spine, there exist $p \in Q - \{q\}$ such that the face U_p containing p has the property that $\overline{U_p} \cap \overline{U_q}$ contains an edge, $e \subset \Gamma$. Since $p \neq q$, the set $\Gamma' := \Gamma - \{\text{interior}(e)\}$ is connected. If e is not a loop, we declare the common endpoint of its ends to be an ordinary point, and not a vertex; we obtain a new spine Γ' . If e is a loop at v , we further prune Γ' by also deleting the dangling edge e' joining v (which is now valence 1 in Γ') to say w , and finally declaring w to be an ordinary point, and not a vertex; we obtain a new spine Γ'' . Statement (2) then follows by (1) and induction. Statement (3) follows from (2) upon setting $Q := f^{-1}(P)$. \square

Thus for a spines Γ , we define pullback by applying Lemma 8.4, choosing any subgraph of $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ which is again a spine.

Trees. Given $P \subset S^2$ finite with $\#P \geq 2$, an *admissible tree containing P* is a tree containing P such that any vertex of valence 1 or 2 lies in P . The complexity of such a tree is at most $M := 4\#P - 1$. To see this: for a tree T , we denote by $C(T)$ its complexity, and by $V_k(T)$ the set of vertices of valence k . Let T' be the tree obtained from T by deleting vertices of valence 2. Thus $C(T) \leq C(T') + 2\#V_2(T) \leq C(T') + 2\#P$. An easy induction argument shows that the number of interior vertices of T' is at most the number of leaves of T' , yielding $\sum_{k \geq 3} V_k(T') \leq \#P$. Thus $\#V(T') = \sum_{k \geq 1} V_k(T') \leq 2\#P$. Euler's Formula gives $\#E(T') = \#V(T') - 1$ and so $C(T') \leq 2\#P - 1$. Combined with the first estimate, this yields the complexity bound of M above. In particular the set of such trees is G -invariant, with finitely many orbits.

- Lemma 8.5.** (1) *Suppose $Q \subset S^2$ is finite, with $\#Q \geq 3$, and $q \in Q$. Then any admissible tree Γ containing Q contains an admissible tree containing $Q - \{q\}$.*
(2) *Suppose $P \subset Q$ are finite, with $\#P \geq 2$. Then any admissible tree Γ containing Q contains an admissible tree containing P .*
(3) *If $f: (S^2, P) \rightarrow (S^2, P)$ is a Thurston map and Γ is an admissible tree containing P , then $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$ contains an admissible tree containing P .*

Proof. (1) If $\text{val}(q) \geq 3$ we set $\Gamma' := \Gamma$ as graphs, and we are done. If $\text{val}(q) = 2$ we set $\Gamma' := \Gamma$ as graphs but decree q to be an ordinary point and not a vertex. If $\text{val}(q) = 1$ we delete q and the unique edge e incident to it to obtain Γ' as a set. Denoting p the other vertex of e , consider the valence v of p in the original graph, Γ . We have $v \geq 2$ since $\#Q \geq 3$. If $v = 2$ then $p \in Q$ and we stop with Γ' . If $v = 3$ and $p \in Q$ then we stop with Γ' . If $v = 3$ and $p \notin Q$ then we decree p an ordinary point of Γ' , and stop. If $v \geq 4$ then we stop with Γ' . Statement (2) follows from (1) and induction. For statement (3), we apply (2) to any spanning tree containing $Q := f^{-1}(P)$ in the connected graph $f^{-1}(\Gamma)$. □

Thus for an admissible tree T containing P , we define pullback by applying Lemma 8.5, choosing any subtree of $f^{-1}(T)$ which is again an admissible tree.

APPENDIX A. ALGEBRAIC FORMULATIONS

In this section, we recast the notion of X-ray using the natural, but perhaps less familiar to dynamicists, algebraic language of bisets associated to correspondences.

A.1. Bisets. We recall from [1] the algebraic counterpart to correspondences. Firstly, for groups G, H , an H - G -biset is a set equipped with a left H -action and a right G -action that commute. Bisets generalize the homomorphism on fundamental group induced by a continuous map. To this end, let there be pointed path-connected topological spaces $(\mathcal{T}, *')$ and $(\mathcal{S}, *)$; we do not require any relation between $*$ and $*'$. Consider $H = \pi_1(\mathcal{T}, *')$; then every continuous map $\psi: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ gives rise to the H - G -biset

$$B(\psi) = \{\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S} : \gamma(0) = \psi(*'), \gamma(1) = *\}/\sim,$$

where ‘ \sim ’ denotes homotopy with respect to the endpoints; the actions are $\beta \cdot \gamma \cdot \alpha = (\psi \circ \beta) \cdot \gamma \cdot \alpha$. Given an H - G -biset B and a K - H -biset C , their *composition* is the biset

$$C \otimes_H B = \frac{C \times B}{(ch, b) = (c, hb) \forall c \in C, h \in H, b \in B},$$

and the *contragredient* of B is the G - H -biset

$$B^\vee = \{b^\vee : b \in B\} \text{ with actions } g \cdot b^\vee \cdot h = (h^{-1}bg^{-1})^\vee.$$

We define the biset of a topological correspondence $\phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ with multivalued map $F := \phi \circ \rho^{-1}$ to be

$$B(F) = B(\rho)^\vee \otimes_H B(\phi).$$

Assuming that ϕ is a covering, it may be expressed (see [1, Lemma 4.4]) as

$$B(F) = \{(\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, p' \in T) : \gamma(0) = \phi(p') = *, \gamma(1) = \rho(p')\} / \sim,$$

again with ‘ \sim ’ denoting homotopy that preserves the relations indicated between γ and p' . In particular, $B(F)$ is *left-free*: there is a subset X of $B(F)$ such that, when only considering the left action, $B(F) \cong G \times X$. We call such X a *basis* for the biset; it is of course not unique. We have $|X| = \deg(\phi)$, and X will be of the form $X = \{(x_{p'}, p') : p' \in \phi^{-1}(*)\}$ for some choice of paths $x_{p'}$ from $*$ to $i(p')$ for every possible $p' \in \phi^{-1}(*)$.

The assignment of bisets to topological correspondences is functorial under composition, hence iteration. If B is a G - G biset, so are the products $B^{\otimes n}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The element $\mathbf{b}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{b}_n \in B^{\otimes n}$ is represented by a concatenation of paths $\underline{b}_1 \cdot \underline{b}_2 \cdot \dots \cdot \underline{b}_n$ of paths, with \underline{b}_1 based at $*$, where $F^{(i-1)}(\underline{b}_i) = \mathbf{b}_i \in B$, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and where each \underline{b}_i starts at the endpoint of \underline{b}_{i-1} . The actions of G are again by pre-concatenation (which is free), and by lifting under $F^{(n)}$ and post-concatenation. If X is a basis of B then X^n , the set of words of length n in the alphabet X , is a basis for $B^{\otimes n}$.

A.2. X-rays via bisets. Suppose $F = \phi, \rho: \mathcal{T} \rightrightarrows \mathcal{S}$ is a covering correspondence of path-connected spaces, and choose a finite set of curves X for B containing a basis. Choose $b \in B$ arbitrarily.

Definition A.1 (X-ray, biset version). An X-ray in the direction of \mathbf{b} above $g = g^{(0)} \in G$ is a sequence $g^{(n)}$ such that for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there exist $x_n \in X$ with

$$\mathbf{b}^{\otimes n} \cdot g = g^{(n)} \cdot x_n x_{n-1} \dots x_1.$$

Equivalently, since the biset B is left-free,

$$(10) \quad \mathbf{b} \cdot g^{(n)} = g^{(n+1)} \cdot x_{n+1}$$

Equivalently, it is an orbit under iteration of the multivalued operator (determined by \mathbf{b} and X) given by $g \mapsto g^{(1)}$.

Definition A.2 (Contracting along X-rays at b , biset version). We say the biset B is *contracting along X-rays in the direction of \mathbf{b}* if, for any choice of finite set $X \subset B$ containing a basis, as in the definition of X-ray, there is a finite attractor $A \subset G$ such that for any $g \in G$, and any X-ray $(g^{(n)})$ in the direction of b above g , there is an integer $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $g^{(n)} \in A$ for all $n > N$.

Equivalently, the above multivalued operator $g \mapsto g^{(1)}$ has a finite global attractor.

The attractor A depends on X and \mathbf{b} . Contraction is clearly invariant under isomorphisms $(B, b) \rightarrow (B', b')$. It is also invariant under conjugation of b . To see this, suppose $\mathbf{a} = h \cdot \mathbf{b} \cdot h^{-1}$ for some $h \in G$. Then $\mathbf{a}^{\otimes n} = h \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\otimes n} \cdot h^{-1}$, and so $\mathbf{a}^{\otimes n} \cdot g = h \cdot \mathbf{b}^{\otimes n} \cdot (h^{-1}g)$. For n large enough we have $\mathbf{b}^{\otimes n} \cdot h^{-1}g \in A$ and so we see that B is also contracting along X -rays in the direction of a , with attractor hA .

Formulated in this way, this contracting property is an invariant of the pair (B, \mathbf{b}^G) , where \mathbf{b}^G denotes the conjugacy class of \mathbf{b} in B .

REFERENCES

- [1] Laurent Bartholdi and Dzmitry Dudko, *Algorithmic aspects of branched coverings I/V. Van Kampen's theorem for bisets*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **12** (2018), no. 1, 121–172. MR3781419
- [2] ———, *Algorithmic aspects of branched coverings II/V: sphere bisets and decidability of Thurston equivalence*, Invent. Math. **223** (2021), no. 3, 895–994. MR4213769
- [3] Laurent Bartholdi and Volodymyr Nekrashevych, *Thurston equivalence of topological polynomials*, Acta Math. **197** (2006), no. 1, 1–51. MR2285317
- [4] Mario Bonk and Daniel Meyer, *Expanding Thurston maps*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 225, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017. MR3727134
- [5] Xavier Buff, Adam Epstein, Sarah Koch, and Kevin Pilgrim, *On Thurston's pullback map*, Complex dynamics, 2009, pp. 561–583. MR2508269 (2010g:37071)
- [6] Shaun Bullett and Luna Lomonaco, *Mating quadratic maps with the modular group II*, Invent. Math. **220** (2020), no. 1, 185–210. MR4071411
- [7] ———, *Dynamics of modular matings*, Adv. Math. **410** (2022), no. part B, Paper No. 108758, 43. MR4505392
- [8] Shaun Bullett and Christopher Penrose, *Dynamics of holomorphic correspondences*, XIth International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris, 1994), 1995, pp. 261–272. MR1370680
- [9] Kristin Cordwell, Selina Gilbertson, Nicholas Nuechterlein, Kevin M. Pilgrim, and Samantha Pinella, *On the classification of critically fixed rational maps*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **19** (2015), 51–94. MR3323420
- [10] Guizhen Cui, Yan Gao, and Jinsong Zeng, *Invariant graphs of rational maps*, Adv. Math. **404** (2022), no. part B, Paper No. 108454, 50. MR4423808
- [11] Tien-Cuong Dinh, Lucas Kaufmann, and Hao Wu, *Dynamics of holomorphic correspondences on Riemann surfaces*, Internat. J. Math. **31** (2020), no. 5, 2050036, 21. MR4104599
- [12] Adrien Douady and John H. Hubbard, *A proof of Thurston's topological characterization of rational functions*, Acta Math. **171** (1993), no. 2, 263–297. MR1251582
- [13] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit, *A primer on mapping class groups*, Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 49, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2012. MR2850125 (2012h:57032)
- [14] William Floyd, Gregory Kelsey, Sarah Koch, Russell Lodge, Walter Parry, Kevin M. Pilgrim, and Edgar Saenz, *Origami, affine maps, and complex dynamics*, Arnold Mathematical Journal **3** (2016), no. 3, 365–395, available at 1612.06449.
- [15] Yan Gao, Peter Haïssinsky, Daniel Meyer, and Jinsong Zeng, *Invariant Jordan curves of Sierpiński carpet rational maps*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **38** (2018), no. 2, 583–600. MR3774834
- [16] Mikhail Hlushchanka, *Tischler graphs of critically fixed rational maps and their applications* (201904), available at 1904.04759.
- [17] Mikhail Hlushchanka and Nikolai Prochorov, *Critically fixed thurston maps: classification, recognition, and twisting* (202212), available at 2212.14759.
- [18] Sarah Koch, Kevin M. Pilgrim, and Nikita Selinger, *Pullback invariants of Thurston maps*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **368** (2016), no. 7, 4621–4655. MR3456156
- [19] Russell Lodge, *Boundary values of the Thurston pullback map*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **17** (2013), 77–118. MR3063048
- [20] Russell Lodge, Mikhail Lyubich, Sergei Merenkov, and Sabyasachi Mukherjee, *On dynamical gaskets generated by rational maps, Kleinian groups, and Schwarz reflections*, Conform. Geom. Dyn. **27** (2023), 1–54. MR4543152

- [21] Curtis T. McMullen, *Complex dynamics and renormalization*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1994. MR96b:58097
- [22] John Milnor, *Dynamics in one complex variable*, Third, Annals of Mathematics Studies, vol. 160, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2006. MR2193309 (2006g:37070)
- [23] Kevin M. Pilgrim, *An algebraic formulation of Thurston's characterization of rational functions*, Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. (6) **21** (2012), no. 5, 1033–1068. MR3088266
- [24] ———, *On the pullback relation on curves induced by a Thurston map*, In the tradition of Thurston II. Geometry and groups, [2022] ©2022, pp. 385–399. MR4472056
- [25] Anastasia Shepelevtseva and Vladlen Timorin, *Invariant spanning trees for quadratic rational maps*, Arnold Math. J. **5** (2019), no. 4, 435–481. MR4068871

Email address: laurent.bartholdi@gmail.com

Email address: dzmitry.dudko@gmail.com

Email address: pilgrim@iu.edu