

ON RIGIDITY OF CONFORMAL SUBMERSIONS

ATREYEE BHATTACHARYA AND SAYOOJYA PRAKASH

ABSTRACT. Conformal submersions are generalizations of Riemannian submersions where the differential of the submersion map is a conformal isometry when restricted to the horizontal distribution. Riemannian submersions have been instrumental in the construction of new Riemannian metrics from existing ones. Therefore, being generalizations of Riemannian submersions, conformal submersions are potential tools for constructing new examples of (special) Riemannian manifolds. A conformal submersion is said to be *rigid* if it reduces to a Riemannian submersion up to homothety. In this paper, we study curvature conditions that force conformal submersions to be rigid. Moreover, under suitable circumstances, using these rigidity criteria for conformal submersions, we conclude the rigidity of certain quasi-Einstein metrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Based on curvature properties, Riemannian manifolds are broadly classified into following three categories: the space forms (manifolds with constant sectional curvature), Einstein manifolds (manifolds with constant Ricci curvature), and locally conformally flat manifolds (manifolds with vanishing Weyl curvature). While the topology of a space form is completely well known via its curvature properties, conditions under which a smooth manifold admits an Einstein or a locally conformally flat metric, are yet to be fully understood. Creating more examples of Einstein and locally conformally flat manifolds is thus a necessary step to understand more about these spaces. To this end, Riemannian submersions have been used as standard tools to construct special classes of Einstein manifolds and classify them ([2, 21, 11, 22, 3]). Conformal submersions are generalizations of Riemannian submersions where the differential of the submersion map is a conformal isometry when restricted to its horizontal distribution (see Definition 2.9 for details). The structural equations (connecting the geometry of the total space, fibres and the base) of a conformal submersion are immediate from the corresponding equations of Riemannian submersions. Despite this, conformal submersions are far from being well studied as compared to Riemannian submersions, apart from making sporadic appearances in Riemannian geometry and theoretical physics ([20, 13, 14]). On the other hand, as generalizations of Riemannian submersions, conformal submersions are potential tools for the construction of more examples of Riemannian manifolds. In view of this, one would like to understand geometric conditions that force a conformal submersion to become a Riemannian submersion up to homothety, and in that case, the conformal

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C24, 53C15, 53C21, 53C25.

Key words and phrases. conformal submersions, quasi-Einstein, rigidity.

submersion under consideration is called *rigid*. Recently, the rigidity of conformal submersions was proved for a special class of *contact metric manifolds* ([1]) using standard contact Riemannian geometry techniques. However, in general, not much is known about the rigidity of conformal submersions that the authors are aware of.

It is to be noted that in Riemannian geometry and geometric analysis, analysing *rigidity* of standard geometric properties (such as curvature properties, existence of special maps, etc.) of Riemannian manifolds has been a key technique in identifying when a given manifold can admit a special Riemannian metric. Although there are several interpretations of rigidity of a geometric property, in this paper, we will restrict to the following. A (geometric) property \mathcal{P} on a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be *rigid* if it has one of the following features:

- (1) Certain constraints are imposed on (the underlying topology of) M due to the existence of \mathcal{P} . In other words, (M, g) fails to possess \mathcal{P} unless it has the desired underlying structure.
- (2) \mathcal{P} generalises a property \mathcal{P}' , but under some conditions, the existence of \mathcal{P} and \mathcal{P}' are equivalent.

The above notions of rigidity will be referred to as Type (1) and Type (2), respectively. While Type (1) is the strongest form of rigidity (e.g., constant sectional curvature, Ricci curvature bounded below by a positive constant, etc.) as it restricts the underlying topology of a manifold, rigidity of a conformal submersion is of Type (2). In fact, in this paper, we discuss two rigidity problems of Type (2), as elaborated below.

We first study curvature conditions that impose rigidity on conformal submersions where the total space, fibres, and base are all closed orientable Riemannian manifolds, and the total space has at least dimension four. Our analysis mostly uses classical techniques from Riemannian geometry, such as the weak maximal principle and the divergence theorem. Recall that in a Riemannian submersion, the fundamental tensors T and A represent obstructions to the fibres being totally geodesic and the integrability of the horizontal distribution, respectively, and N denotes the trace of T . Analogously, there are fundamental tensors T and A for a conformal submersion (see Section 2.2 for details). In particular, we prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\pi: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with fibre F , where the total space (M, g) is the product of closed Einstein manifolds. Let λ_i denote the Einstein constant of the i^{th} component of (M, g) , and m_i and k_i denote the respective dimensions of horizontal and vertical distributions restricted to the same component. Then π is rigid if it satisfies one of the following three conditions.*

- (1) *The horizontal distribution is integrable, and N is parallel, together with either of the following properties:*
 - (a) *The fibres are Einstein (with the same Einstein constant) and $\dim(B) \geq 2$,*

- (b) The base (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat and $\sum_i \lambda_i m_i = -|T|^2 - \frac{|N|^2}{\dim(F)}(\dim(M) + \dim(B) - 4)$.
- (2) The tensor N vanishes together with either of the following conditions:
- (a) The fibres are Einstein (with Einstein constant λ_F), $\dim(B) \geq 2$, and $\sum_i \lambda_i k_i = \lambda_F \dim(F) + |A|^2$,
- (b) (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat and $\sum_i \lambda_i m_i + 2|A|^2 + |T|^2 = 0$,
- (3) The fibres are totally geodesic, (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat with $\dim(B) \geq 2$, and $\sum_i \lambda_i m_i + 2|A|^2 = 0$.

Using Theorem 1.1, we make the following interesting observation.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (M, g) be a closed Einstein manifold of dimension at least 4, which is the total space of a conformal submersion $\pi: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ with integrable horizontal distribution and vanishing T . Then up to homothety, g is the unique Einstein metric in its conformal class whenever the fibres are one dimensional or the base is Ricci-flat.*

Remark 1.3. Theorem 1.2 says in particular that a closed Ricci-flat Riemannian manifold (M, g) that is locally a Riemannian product, admits a unique Einstein metric in the conformal class of g , up to homothety.

The next result deals with the rigidity of conformal submersions with locally conformally flat total space.

Theorem 1.4. *Let $\pi: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with fibre (F, g_F) such that (M, g) is locally conformally flat and $\dim(B) = \dim(F)$. If the scalar curvatures of the total space and fibres have particular signs (not necessarily, the same sign) and (F, g_F, h, m, λ) is quasi-Einstein, then π is rigid if either of the following conditions hold.*

- (1) $T = 0$, the scalar curvature of the base is non-positive, and $\lambda \leq 0$.
- (2) $A = 0$, parallel T , the scalar curvature of the base is non-negative, and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Remark 1.5. We also provide criteria for rigidity of conformal submersions similar to Theorem 1.4 by assuming either the total space or the base to be *quasi-Einstein* (see Theorems 4.5 and 4.8). In the following paragraph, *quasi-Einstein manifolds* are briefly discussed; for more details on the same, we refer to Section 2.3.

Remark 1.6. The condition $\dim(F) = \dim(B)$ in Theorem 1.4 is necessary, and can be verified using Example 4.10.

It turns out that under suitable circumstances, the rigidity of conformal submersions, is naturally related to the rigidity of a special class of Riemannian manifolds known as *quasi-Einstein manifolds*, a generalization of Einstein manifolds. More precisely, a Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be quasi-Einstein if its Ricci curvature Ric_g satisfies the identity:

$Ric_g - \lambda g = Hess(f) - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df$, for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$, and constants $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ and $0 < m \leq \infty$. A quasi-Einstein manifold is called rigid if it reduces to being

Einstein. Quasi-Einstein manifolds and their rigidity are topics of interest both in Riemannian geometry and theoretical physics (cf. [9, 15, 8, 19, 18, 17, 23, 5, 4]). In this paper, we obtain rigidity criteria for a closed quasi-Einstein manifold by realizing it as the base or fibre of a suitable conformal submersion and then using the aforementioned rigidity criteria for conformal submersions. This seems to be a natural approach as Riemannian submersions have been used to answer analogous questions in the context of Einstein metrics (cf. [22, 3]). Since all closed quasi-Einstein manifolds of dimension two are known to be rigid ([4, 9]), we consider quasi-Einstein manifolds of dimensions at least 3 and prove the following result.

Theorem 1.7. *A closed orientable quasi-Einstein manifold (M, g, f, m, λ) is rigid if it satisfies one of the following criteria.*

- (1) $m \geq 1$, $\lambda \geq 0$ and (M, g) is the fibre (respectively, the base) of a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution, parallel T , locally conformally flat total space having twice the dimension of M , and where the base (respectively, the fibre) has non-negative scalar curvature.
- (2) (M, g) is the fibre of a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution, vanishing T and homogeneous Einstein total space, and where the base is Einstein with a non-positive Einstein constant.

Remark 1.8. We obtain rigidity results for a quasi-Einstein manifold similar to Theorem 1.7 by realizing it as the total space of a conformal submersion (see Theorem 5.2) and conclude the following result (see Example 5.3 for details).

Corollary 1.9. *Let (M, g, h, m, λ) be a closed locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold with $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$ and of dimension ≥ 4 . If the universal cover of (M, g) is the Riemannian product of two manifolds of equal dimensions, then (M, g) is a space form.*

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	5
2.1. Riemannian submersions	5
2.2. Conformal submersions.	7
2.3. Quasi-Einstein manifolds.	9
3. Conformal submersions with Einstein total space	10
3.1. When the horizontal distribution is integrable and N is parallel.	10
3.2. When N vanishes identically.	12
3.3. When the fibres are totally geodesic.	14
4. Conformal submersions with locally conformally flat total space	15
4.1. The total space is the product of locally conformally flat and Einstein manifolds.	15
4.2. When the total space is quasi-Einstein	18
4.3. When either the base or the fibre is quasi-Einstein.	19
5. An Application: Rigidity of quasi-Einstein manifolds	21
References	22

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recollect some basic concepts from Riemannian geometry that are relevant to this paper (see [2, 6, 16] for details) and set up the notations to be followed. Let (M, g) denote a Riemannian manifold and ∇ the associated Levi-Civita connection. We will restrict to closed, connected and orientable Riemannian manifolds.

Definition 2.1. Given a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , its **Riemann curvature** R is the $(0, 4)$ tensor defined by

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = g(\nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z, W),$$

where X, Y, Z and W are arbitrary vector fields defined on an open subset of M .

Remark 2.2. The Riemann curvature tensor of (M, g) satisfies the following orthogonal decomposition (cf. [6])

$$(2.1) \quad R = \frac{s}{2n(n-1)} g \circ g + \frac{1}{n-2} (Ric - \frac{s}{n} g) \circ g + W$$

where Ric , W and s denote the Ricci, Weyl and scalar curvatures of (M, g) respectively and \circ denotes the *Kulkarni-Nomizu product* for symmetric $(0, 2)$ tensors of (M, g) defined by,

$$(h \circ k)(X, Y, Z, W) = h(X, Z)k(Y, W) + h(Y, W)k(X, Z) - h(X, W)k(Y, Z) - h(Y, Z)k(X, W), \quad \forall h, k \in S^2(M).$$

Definition 2.3. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) is said to be

- (1) a **Space form** if it has a constant sectional curvature.
- (2) **Einstein** if its Ricci curvature is a scalar multiple of the Riemannian metric, i.e., $Ric = \lambda g$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (3) **locally conformally flat** if given any point $p \in M$, there exists an open set \mathcal{U} containing p and $f \in C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ such that the metric $e^{2f} g|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is flat.

Remark 2.4. A Riemannian manifold (M, g) of dimension at least 4 is locally conformally flat if and only if its Weyl tensor vanishes (cf. [6])

2.1. Riemannian submersions.

Let (M, g) and (B, g_B) be Riemannian manifolds and $\pi : M \rightarrow B$ a smooth submersion. Given $p \in M$ and $\pi(p) = b$, let $F_b = \pi^{-1}(b)$ denote the *fibre at b*, $\mathcal{V}_p := Ker(d\pi_p) = T_p F_b$, and let \mathcal{H}_p be the orthogonal complement of \mathcal{V}_p in $T_p M$. The distributions $\mathcal{V} : p \mapsto \mathcal{V}_p$, $p \in M$, and $\mathcal{H} : p \mapsto \mathcal{H}_p$, $p \in M$ are called the *vertical* and *horizontal distributions* of π respectively.

Definition 2.5. A (smooth) submersion $\pi : (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ as above is called a **Riemannian submersion** if

$$g|_{\mathcal{H}} = \pi^* g_B.$$

Remark 2.6. A vector field ξ on M is called *vertical* (respectively, *horizontal*) if $\xi_p \in \mathcal{V}_p$ (respectively, \mathcal{H}_p), $\forall p \in M$.

Definition 2.7. Given a Riemannian submersion $\pi: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$, the **fundamental tensors** T and A are defined as follows,

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} T_E F &= \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{V}E}\mathcal{H}F \\ A_E F &= \mathcal{H}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{V}F + \mathcal{V}\nabla_{\mathcal{H}E}\mathcal{H}F \end{aligned}$$

where $E, F \in \chi(M)$, ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of (M, g) and $\mathcal{H}E$ and $\mathcal{V}E$ respectively denote the horizontal and vertical components of E .

Remark 2.8. It follows that in a Riemannian submersion, the vanishing of tensors T and A are respectively equivalent to the fibres being totally geodesic and the horizontal distribution being integrable (cf. [2]).

2.1.1. Curvature formulae for Riemannian submersions.

Let $p \in M$ and \mathcal{U} a neighborhood of p in M . Let U, V be vertical vector fields and X, Y horizontal vector fields defined in \mathcal{U} . Without loss of generality, one may assume that $|U| = |V| = |X| = |Y| = 1, |U \wedge V| = |X \wedge Y| = 1$. Let K_p and \check{K}_p denote the sectional curvatures of (M, g) and $(F_{\pi(p)}, g_{F_{\pi(p)}})$ at p respectively, and $\hat{K}_{\pi(p)}$ the sectional curvature of (B, g_B) at $\pi(p)$. Then the following relations hold:

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} K_p(U, V) &= \check{K}_p(U, V) - g_p(T_V V, T_U U) + g_p(T_U V, T_U V) \\ K_p(X, U) &= g_p((\nabla_X T)_U U, X) + |A_X U|_p^2 - |T_U X|_p^2 \\ K_p(X, Y) &= \hat{K}_{\pi(p)}(X, Y) - 3|A_X Y|_p^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let r, \check{r}, \hat{r} denote the Ricci curvatures of (M, g) , (F, g_F) , and (B, g_B) , respectively. Let $p \in M$ and $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}, \{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ respectively denote local orthonormal frames of the horizontal and vertical distributions at p . The Ricci curvatures r_p, \check{r}_p , and $\hat{r}_{\pi(p)}$ are related as follows:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} r_p(U, V) &= \check{r}_p(U, V) - g_p(N, T_U V) + (\delta T)(U, V)(p) + g_p(AU, AV) \\ r_p(X, U) &= g_p((\check{\delta} T)(U), X) + g_p(\nabla_U N, X) - g_p((\hat{\delta} A)(X), U) \\ &\quad - 2g_p(T_U, A_X) \\ r_p(X, Y) &= \pi^*(\hat{r}_{\pi(p)})(X, Y) - 2g_p(A_X, A_Y) - g_p(TX, TY) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2}(g_p(\nabla_X N, Y) + g_p(\nabla_Y N, X)) \end{aligned}$$

where N is the trace of T , i.e., $N = \sum_j T_{U_j} U_j$,

$(\delta T)(U, V) = \sum_i g((\nabla_{X_i} T)_U V, X_i)$, and for any $(2, 1)$ tensor E on M

$\check{\delta} E = -\sum_j (\nabla_{U_j} E)_{U_j}$, and $\hat{\delta} E = -\sum_i (\nabla_{X_i} E)_{X_i}$.

Finally, it follows that the scalar curvatures of g, g_F , and g_B denoted respectively by s, \check{s}, \hat{s} , are related as follows.

$$(2.5) \quad s = \hat{s} \circ \pi + \check{s} - |A|^2 - |T|^2 - |N|^2 - 2\hat{\delta} N$$

Here $\hat{\delta} N = -\sum_j (\delta T)(U_j, U_j)$. We refer to [2] for further details.

2.2. Conformal submersions.

Definition 2.9. Let (M, g) and (B, g_B) be Riemannian manifolds. A smooth submersion $\pi: M \rightarrow B$ is called a **conformal submersion** if $g|_{\mathcal{H}} = e^{2f}\pi^*g_B$ for some $f \in C^\infty(M)$ where \mathcal{H} denotes the horizontal distribution of the submersion. In such a case, we will denote the submersion map π by π_f .

Remark 2.10. (1) Given a conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ as in Definition 2.9, consider the metric g_π on M given by $g_\pi = e^{-2f}g$. Then $\pi_f: (M, g_\pi) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ defines a Riemannian submersion.
 (2) Conformal submersions generalize Riemannian submersions, and when f is constant, a conformal submersion reduces to a Riemannian submersion up to homothety.

This leads to the following definition.

Definition 2.11. A conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is said to be **rigid** if f is a constant function.

2.2.1. Structural equations and curvature formulas for conformal submersions.

Given a conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ as in Definition 2.9, and the metric $g_\pi = e^{-2f}g$ on M , let $\nabla, \tilde{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connections of (M, g) and (M, g_π) respectively. Let T and A denote the fundamental tensors of the Riemannian submersion $\pi_f: (M, g_\pi) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$. Let U, V denote vertical vector fields and X, Y denote horizontal vector fields defined in a neighborhood of an arbitrary point $p \in M$. Then, the following structural equations hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \mathcal{H}\nabla_U V &= T_U V - \mathcal{H}(\nabla f)g(U, V) \\
 \mathcal{V}\nabla_U V &= \mathcal{V}\tilde{\nabla}_U V + U(f)V + V(f)U - \mathcal{V}(\nabla f)g(U, V) \\
 \mathcal{H}\nabla_X U &= A_X U + U(f)X \\
 \mathcal{V}\nabla_X U &= \mathcal{V}\tilde{\nabla}_X U + X(f)U \\
 \mathcal{H}\nabla_U X &= \mathcal{H}\tilde{\nabla}_U X + U(f)X \\
 \mathcal{V}\nabla_U X &= T_U X + X(f)U \\
 \mathcal{H}\nabla_X Y &= \mathcal{H}\tilde{\nabla}_X Y + X(f)Y + Y(f)X - \mathcal{H}(\nabla f)g(X, Y) \\
 \mathcal{V}\nabla_X Y &= A_X Y - \mathcal{V}(\nabla f)g(X, Y).
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.6}$$

Let $p \in M$ and \mathcal{U} a neighborhood of p in M . Let U, V, W, W' be vertical vector fields and X, Y, Z, Z' horizontal vector fields defined in \mathcal{U} . Let R, \check{R} , and \hat{R} denote the Riemannian curvature tensors of (M, g) , $(F_{\pi_f(p)}, g_{F_{\pi_f(p)}})$, and (B, g_B) respectively. Then the curvature tensors are given below

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_p(U, V, W, W') &= g_p(\check{R}(U, V)W, W') - g_p(T_V W', T_U W) + g_p(T_V W, T_U W') \\
 &\quad + (T_U W)(f)(p)g_p(V, W') - (T_V W)(f)(p)g_p(U, W') \\
 &\quad + g_p(U, W)T_V W'(f)(p) - g_p(V, W)T_U W'(f)(p) \\
 &\quad + (g_p(U, W')g_p(V, W) - g_p(U, W)g_p(V, W'))|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|_p^2,
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.8) \quad R_p(X, Y, Z, Z') &= e^{2f(p)} \pi_f^*(\hat{R}_{\pi_f(p)})(X, Y, Z, Z') - 2g_p(A_X Y, A_Z Z') \\
&\quad + g_p(A_Y Z, A_X Z') - g_p(A_X Z, A_Y Z') \\
&\quad - Hessf(X, Z)(p)g_p(Y, Z') - (X(f)Z(f))(p)g_p(Y, Z') \\
&\quad + Hessf(Y, Z)(p)g_p(X, Z') + (Y(f)Z(f))(p)g_p(X, Z') \\
&\quad - Hessf(Y, Z')(p)g_p(X, Z) - (Y(f)Z'(f))(p)g_p(X, Z) \\
&\quad + Hessf(X, Z')(p)g_p(Y, Z) + (X(f)Z'(f))(p)g_p(Y, Z) \\
&\quad + (g_p(X, Z)g_p(Y, Z') - g_p(X, Z')g_p(Y, Z))|\nabla f|_p^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, one can obtain the expression for curvature terms, where there is a mix of vertical and horizontal vector fields. We skip the details here.

Let $K_p, \check{K}_p, \hat{K}_{\pi_f(p)}$ respectively denote the sectional curvatures of (M, g) and $(F_{\pi_f(p)}, g_{F_{\pi_f(p)}})$ at p and (B, g_B) at $\pi_f(p)$. The following relations hold.

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.9) \quad K_p(U, V) &= \check{K}_p(U, V) - g_p(T_V V, T_U U) + g_p(T_U V, T_U V) \\
&\quad + T_U U(f)(p) + T_V V(f)(p) - |\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|_p^2
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.10) \quad K_p(X, U) &= 2X(f)(p)g_p(T_U U, X) + g_p((\nabla_X T)_U U, X) + |A_X U|_p^2 \\
&\quad - |T_U X|_p^2 + (\mathcal{H}\nabla_X X)(f)(p) - (X(f))^2(p) - X^2(f)(p) \\
&\quad + (\mathcal{V}\nabla_U U)(f)(p) - (U(f))^2(p) - U^2(f)(p)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.11) \quad K_p(X, Y) &= e^{-2f(p)} \hat{K}_{\pi_f(p)}(X, Y) - 3|A_X Y|_p^2 + |\nabla f|_p^2 - Hessf(X, X)(p) \\
&\quad - (X(f))^2(p) - Hessf(Y, Y)(p) - (Y(f))^2(p)
\end{aligned}$$

Denoting the Ricci curvatures of (M, g) and $(F_{\pi_f(p)}, g_{F_{\pi_f(p)}})$ at p by r_p and \check{r}_p respectively, and the Ricci curvature of (B, g_B) at $\pi_f(p)$ by $\hat{r}_{\pi_f(p)}$, we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.12) \quad r_p(U, V) &= \check{r}_p(U, V) - g_p(N, T_U V) + (\delta T)(U, V)(p) + g_p(AU, AV) + dim(F)T_U V(f)(p) \\
&\quad + g_p(U, V)N(f)(p) - dim(F)g_p(U, V)|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|_p^2 - g_p(U, V)\mathcal{H}(\Delta f)(p) \\
&\quad + g_p(U, V)dim(B)|\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|_p^2 + dim(B)((\mathcal{V}\nabla_U V)(f) \\
&\quad - UV(f) - U(f)V(f))(p);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.13) \quad r_p(X, U) &= g_p((\check{\delta}T)(U), X) + g_p(\nabla_U N, X) - g_p((\hat{\delta}A)(X), U) - 2g_p(T_U, A_X) \\
&\quad - (dim(B) - 3)A_X U(f)(p) - (dim(F) - 1)T_U X(f)(p) \\
&\quad - (dim(M) - 2)(Hessf(X, U) + X(f)U(f))(p);
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.14) \quad r_p(X, Y) &= \pi_f^*(\hat{r}_{\pi_f(p)})(X, Y) - 2g_p(A_X, A_Y) - g_p(TX, TY) + g_p(\nabla_X N, Y) \\
&\quad + \sum_j g_p(\nabla_{U_j} A_X Y, U_j) - (dim(M) - 2)(Hessf(X, Y) + X(f)Y(f))(p) \\
&\quad - dim(F)A_X Y(f)(p) + (dim(M) - 2)g_p(X, Y)|\nabla f|_p^2 \\
&\quad + X(f)(p)g_p(N, Y) + Y(f)(p)g_p(N, X) - g_p(X, Y)(N(f) + \Delta f)(p).
\end{aligned}$$

The scalar curvatures of (M, g) , $(F_{\pi_f(p)}, g_{F_{\pi_f(p)}})$, and (B, g_B) denoted by s, \check{s}, \hat{s} respectively, are related as follows

$$(2.15) \quad \begin{aligned} s &= e^{-2f} \hat{s} \circ \pi_f + \check{s} - |A|^2 - |T|^2 + \operatorname{div}(N) - \hat{\delta}N \\ &+ \dim B(\dim M + \dim F - 3)|\nabla f|^2 + (2 - 2\dim B + \dim F)N(f) \\ &- (2\dim F - 2)\mathcal{H}(\Delta f) - 2\dim B\Delta f - (\dim F - 2 + \dim(F)^2)|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.12. In this paper, the following conventions will be followed.

- (1) Given a vector field X in a Riemannian manifold (M, g) , the divergence $\operatorname{div}(X)$ of X is defined point-wise as $\operatorname{div}(X) = \sum_i g(\nabla_{e_i} X, e_i)$, where $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis at that point.
- (2) Given $h \in C^\infty(M)$, $\Delta h := \operatorname{div}(\nabla h)$.
- (3) Given a conformal submersion $\pi_f : (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ and $h \in C^\infty(M)$, $\mathcal{H}(\Delta h) := \sum_i g(\nabla_{X_i} \nabla h, X_i)$ and $\mathcal{V}(\Delta h) := \sum_j g(\nabla_{U_j} \nabla h, U_j)$, where $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ respectively denote local orthonormal frames of the horizontal and vertical distributions.

Remark 2.13. In a conformal submersion $\pi_f : (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$, the tensor field A corresponds to the obstruction of integrability of horizontal distribution. However, the fibres of π_f are totally geodesic if and only if $\mathcal{H}\nabla_U V$ vanishes for all vertical vector fields U, V . Unlike Riemannian submersions, the vanishing of T does not imply the totally geodesic nature of fibres in conformal submersions. In contrast, it leads to another property of fibres, defined below.

Definition 2.14. Let $\pi_f : (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion. Then fibres of π_f are said to be **totally umbilical** (that is, all fibres are totally umbilical submanifolds of (M, g)) if there exists a horizontal vector field X such that $\mathcal{H}\nabla_U V = g(U, V)X$ for all vertical vector fields U, V (cf. [24]).

Remark 2.15. Let $\pi_f : (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion. It follows that if T vanishes, then fibres of π_f are totally umbilical.

2.3. Quasi-Einstein manifolds. In this section, we briefly discuss a special class of Riemannian manifolds known as quasi-Einstein manifolds, which are certain generalizations of Einstein manifolds. We refer to [4, 5, 8, 23] for detailed discussions on quasi-Einstein manifolds.

Definition 2.16. A **smooth metric measure space** is a triple (M, g, f) , where (M, g) is a complete Riemannian manifold and $f \in C^\infty(M)$.

Given a smooth metric measure space (M, g, f) , its **m -Bakry-Emery Ricci tensor** denoted as Ric_f^m is defined by

$$\operatorname{Ric}_f^m = \operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess}(f) - \frac{1}{m} df \otimes df,$$

where $\operatorname{Hess}(f)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \nabla f, Y)$ and $0 < m \leq \infty$.

Definition 2.17. A smooth metric measure space (M, g, f, m) is called a **quasi-Einstein manifold** if $\operatorname{Ric}_f^m = \lambda g$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Remark 2.18. It is easy to see that a quasi-Einstein manifold (M, g, f, m) becomes Einstein if f is constant. This motivates the following definition.

Definition 2.19. A quasi-Einstein manifold (M, g, f, m, λ) is said to be **rigid** if f is a constant function.

Remark 2.20. From now on, we will restrict ourselves to closed-orientable Riemannian manifolds and conformal submersions with total space of dimension at least 4.

3. CONFORMAL SUBMERSIONS WITH EINSTEIN TOTAL SPACE

In this section, we analyse the rigidity of conformal submersions where either the total space or its universal cover is the Riemannian product of closed-orientable Einstein manifolds. In both cases, the Ricci tensor turns out to be parallel. This section is divided into three subsections. The first subsection discusses the rigidity when the horizontal distribution is integrable and N is parallel which is a more general assumption than that of T being parallel (cf. Lemma 4.6 of [12]). In the second subsection, we discuss the rigidity when N vanishes (a weaker assumption than $T = 0$, particularly when the dimension of fibres is at least 2) and the horizontal distribution is not necessarily integrable. The last subsection deals with the rigidity when fibres are totally geodesic. Throughout this section, we will have the following two assumptions (even when it is not explicitly mentioned): (a). the total space or its universal cover is the Riemannian product of closed Einstein manifolds and (b). whenever fibres are assumed to be Einstein, all the fibres have the same Einstein constant. For the sake of brevity, proofs of all the results in this section are only showcased when the total space is Einstein. However, the same idea also works when either the total space or its universal cover is the Riemannian product of closed-orientable Einstein manifolds.

3.1. When the horizontal distribution is integrable and N is parallel.

The following theorem addresses the rigidity of conformal submersions with Einstein fibres.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N and $\dim(B) \geq 2$. Then π_f is rigid if the fibres are Einstein.*

Proof. Assume without loss of generality, that (M, g) is Einstein with Einstein constant λ , and λ_F is the Einstein constant of the fibres. First, we show that $\lambda = \lambda_F$. The following equation can be derived by using Equation 2.12.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \lambda \dim(F) &= \lambda_F \dim(F) - |N|^2 + (2\dim(F) - \dim(B))N(f) \\
 (3.1) \quad &+ \dim(F)(\dim(B) - \dim(F))|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 - \dim(F)\mathcal{H}(\Delta f) \\
 &+ \dim(B)(\dim(F) - 1)|\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2 - \dim(B)\mathcal{V}(\Delta f)
 \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, we observe that $\lambda \leq \lambda_F$ as $Hess(f)$ is non-negative definite and ∇f vanishes at the point where f attains its global minimum. The equation

below can then be derived from Equation 3.1 by using the fact that N is parallel.

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \dim(F) &= \lambda_F \dim(F) - \dim(F) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)) \\ &\quad - \dim(B) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)) + \dim(B)(\dim(B) - 1) |\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Further, using the divergence theorem in Equation 3.2, it can be seen that $\lambda \geq \lambda_F$. Thus, $\lambda = \lambda_F$. Therefore, $\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)$ vanishes by employing the divergence theorem in Equation 3.2. By substituting $\mathcal{V}(\nabla f) = 0$ in Equation 3.2, and applying the weak maximal principle, the function f turns out to be constant. This completes the proof. \square

Remark 3.2. In particular, Theorem 3.1 implies that in a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N , if the total space and fibres are both Einstein, then their Einstein constants must coincide.

The following rigidity result assumes the base of the given conformal submersion to be Ricci-flat.

Theorem 3.3. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N , where (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then π_f is rigid if and only if*

$$\sum_i \lambda_i m_i = -|T|^2 - \frac{|N|^2}{\dim(F)} (\dim(M) + \dim(B) - 4),$$

where λ_i and m_i are the Einstein constants and dimensions of the horizontal distribution of the i^{th} component of (M, g) or its universal cover, respectively.

Proof. Assuming that (M, g) is Einstein with Einstein constant λ , it follows from Equation 2.14 that

$$(3.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \dim(B) &= -|T|^2 - \frac{|N|^2}{\dim(F)} (\dim(M) + \dim(B) - 4) - (\dim(M) - 2) \\ &\quad \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)) + (\dim(M) - 2)(\dim(M) - 1) |\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 - \dim(B) \Delta f. \end{aligned}$$

If $\lambda \dim(B) = -|T|^2 - \frac{|N|^2}{\dim(F)} (\dim(M) + \dim(B) - 4)$, then by the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle, we conclude that π_f is rigid. \square

Remark 3.4. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N . If both (M, g) and (B, g_B) are Einstein with Einstein constants λ and λ_B , respectively, then by Equation 2.14, $\lambda \leq c \lambda_B$ where $c > 0$. In particular, if (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then λ is non-positive. Additionally, if (M, g) is a homogeneous Einstein manifold, then (M, g) is flat (See Theorem 7.4 of [2]).

Since the Einstein constant of a compact homogeneous Einstein manifold, is always non-negative, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.5. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N , where either (M, g) or its universal cover is the Riemannian product of homogeneous Einstein manifolds and (B, g_B)*

is Ricci flat, then π_f is rigid if and only if T vanishes. In particular, this result holds true when the universal cover of (M, g) is a symmetric space.

3.2. When N vanishes identically.

The following result is about the rigidity of conformal submersions with Einstein fibres.

Theorem 3.6. *A conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ ($\dim(B) \geq 2$) with Einstein fibres (with Einstein constant λ_F) and vanishing N , is rigid if and only if*

$\sum_i \lambda_i m_i = \lambda_F \dim(F) + |A|^2$, where λ_i and m_i are the Einstein constants and dimensions of the vertical distribution of the i^{th} component of (M, g) or its universal cover, respectively.

Proof. By using Equation 2.12,

$$(3.4) \quad (\lambda - \lambda_F) \dim(F) = |A|^2 - \dim(F) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)) - \dim(B) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)) + \dim(B)(\dim(B) - 1) |\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2$$

We conclude the result by applying the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle in Equation 3.4. \square

Remark 3.7. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing N . If both the total space and the fibres are Einstein, then the Einstein constant of the total space is greater than the Einstein constant of the fibres (follows from Equation 3.4).

Employing the divergence theorem together with Equations 3.4 and 2.12, and Theorem 3.6, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with vanishing N , where (M, g) and the fibres are Einstein, then it is equivalent to have either $\lambda = \lambda_F$ or $A = 0$, where λ and λ_F are the Einstein constants of (M, g) and the fibres, respectively. Moreover, either of these conditions implies rigidity of π_f if $\dim(B) \geq 2$.*

Combining Remark 3.7 and Corollary 3.8, we conclude the following.

Corollary 3.9. *A conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ with vanishing N , where $\dim(B) \geq 2$, (M, g) is Ricci-flat, and the fibres are homogeneous Einstein manifolds, is rigid.*

Equation 3.4 together with Bochner's result (cf. Theorem 6.56 of [2]) involving the first cohomology group $H^1(M; \mathbb{R})$ of a Riemannian manifold, leads to the following interesting observation.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing N where (M, g) and the fibres are both Einstein. If fibres have a non-negative Einstein constant, and the horizontal distribution is non-integrable, then $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$.*

The following corollary is about the special case when the conformal submersion has vanishing T and Ricci flat fibres.

Corollary 3.11. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing T , where (M, g) is Einstein and the fibres are Ricci flat. If (B, g_B) is scalar flat, then the total space and the base are also Ricci-flat. In that case, π_f is rigid whenever $\dim(B) \geq 2$.*

Proof. (M, g) is Ricci-flat by Equation 2.15 and Remark 3.7. Now, the result follows from Corollary 3.8 and Equation 2.14. \square

The following theorem addresses the rigidity conditions when the base is Ricci-flat.

Theorem 3.12. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing N , where (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat. Then π_f is rigid if and only if $\sum_i \lambda_i m_i + 2|A|^2 + |T|^2 = 0$, where λ_i and m_i are the Einstein constants and dimensions of the horizontal distribution of the i^{th} component of (M, g) or its universal cover, respectively.*

Proof. Using Equation 2.14, we have

$$(3.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \dim(B) = & -2|A|^2 - |T|^2 - (\dim(M) - 2)\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)) \\ & + (\dim(M) - 2)(\dim(M) - 1)|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 - \dim(B)\Delta f \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if $\lambda \dim(B) + 2|A|^2 + |T|^2 = 0$, then π_f is rigid by the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle. \square

Remark 3.13. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing N . If both (M, g) and (B, g_B) are Einstein with Einstein constants λ and λ_B , respectively, then $\lambda \leq c\lambda_B$ for some $c > 0$ (by Equation 2.14). In particular, if (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then λ is non-positive. Additionally, if (M, g) is homogeneous, then it is flat.

The following rigidity result considers conformal submersion with a Ricci-flat base.

Corollary 3.14. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with vanishing N , such that (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat and either (M, g) or its universal cover is the Riemannian product of compact homogeneous Einstein manifolds. Then π_f is rigid if and only if its horizontal distribution is integrable and T vanishes. In particular, this result is true when the universal cover of (M, g) is a symmetric space.*

As a consequence of Theorem 3.12, together with Equations 2.12 and 2.14, we obtain the following result.

Corollary 3.15. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and vanishing T , where (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then π_f is rigid. In particular, if (M, g) is Einstein, then (M, g) and the fibres are both Ricci-flat.*

Remark 3.16. It is well known that a Kähler manifold (M, g) of dimension at least 4 (see [2] for the definition of Kähler manifolds) with harmonic Weyl tensor has parallel Ricci tensor (Proposition 16.30 of [2]). According to the de Rham

decomposition theorem (Theorem 56 of [16]), the universal cover of (M, g) splits into the Riemannian product of Einstein manifolds. Additionally, by Corollary 3.15, a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution, vanishing T , Ricci-flat base, and total space (M, g) is rigid if (M, g) has a closed universal cover.

Remark 3.17. The rigidity of warped product Einstein metrics is a well-explored problem ([10, 7]). By our rigidity results (Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.15), we retrieve the following result.

Let (M, g) be Einstein and $f \in C^\infty(M)$. If $M \times_{e^{2f}} N$ is Einstein, then f is constant, and N is Einstein.

Using Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 3.15, we conclude the following.

Theorem 3.18. *If (M, g) is an Einstein manifold of dimension at least 4, then up to homothety, g is the unique Einstein metric in its conformal class if (M, g) is the total space of a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and vanishing T , together with either one-dimensional fibres or a Ricci-flat base.*

Remark 3.19. In particular, Theorem 3.18 implies that if (M, g) is Ricci-flat, which is also locally a Riemannian product, then up to homothety, g is the only Einstein metric in its conformal class.

3.3. When the fibres are totally geodesic.

The following theorem discusses rigidity conditions of a conformal submersion with a Ricci-flat base in this case.

Theorem 3.20. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with totally geodesic fibres and $\dim(B) \geq 2$, where (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat. Then π_f is rigid if and only if $\sum_i \lambda_i m_i + 2|A|^2 = 0$, where λ_i and m_i are the Einstein constants and dimensions of the horizontal distribution of the i^{th} component of (M, g) or its universal cover, respectively.*

Proof. WE use Equation 2.14 to see that

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda \dim(B) &= -2|A|^2 - (\dim(B) - 2)\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)) - \dim(B)\Delta f \\ &\quad + (\dim(B) - 2)(\dim(B) - 1)|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 \end{aligned}$$

Let $\lambda \dim(B) + 2|A|^2 = 0$. If $\dim(B) = 2$, then by the weak maximal principle, f is constant.

When $\dim(B) > 2$, using the divergence theorem in Equation 3.6, it follows that $\mathcal{H}(\nabla f) = 0$. Combining this with Equation 3.6 and applying the weak maximal principle, f becomes constant. \square

Remark 3.21. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with totally geodesic fibres. If both (M, g) and (B, g_B) are Einstein with respective Einstein constants λ and λ_B , then $\lambda \leq c\lambda_B$ where $c > 0$ (by Equation 2.14). In particular, if (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then λ is non-positive. Additionally, if (M, g) is homogeneous, then it is flat.

Using Equation 2.14 and Theorem 3.20, we conclude the following result.

Corollary 3.22. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution and totally geodesic fibres, where (B, g_B) is Ricci-flat, then π_f is rigid if $\dim(B) \geq 2$. In particular, if (M, g) is Einstein, then (M, g) is Ricci-flat.*

Remark 3.23. It should be noted that unlike Riemannian submersions, having totally geodesic fibres and integrable horizontal distribution, does not necessarily mean that the total space of a conformal submersion is locally a Riemannian product.

Remark 3.24. If (M, g) is a Kähler manifold of dimension at least 4 with harmonic Weyl tensor and a closed universal cover, then by Corollary 3.22, a conformal submersion with integrable horizontal distribution, totally geodesic fibres, Ricci-flat base, and (M, g) as the total space, is rigid.

Remark 3.25. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with totally geodesic Einstein fibres. If in addition, (M, g) is Einstein, then its Einstein constant is greater than the Einstein constant of fibres (follows from Equation 2.12).

Bochner's result (cf. Theorem 6.56 of [2]) and Equation 2.12 together lead to the following remark.

Remark 3.26. Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion with totally geodesic Einstein fibres. If (M, g) is Einstein, the Einstein constant of fibres is non-negative and horizontal distribution is non-integrable, then $H^1(M; \mathbb{R}) = \{0\}$.

4. CONFORMAL SUBMERSIONS WITH LOCALLY CONFORMALLY FLAT TOTAL SPACE

In this section, we consider conformal submersions whose total spaces are Riemannian products of locally conformally flat and Einstein manifolds (where the Einstein components are possibly trivial), and study their rigidity. We further assume that the base and the fibres have the same dimension. In such a case, we provide suitable curvature conditions imposing rigidity.

4.1. The total space is the product of locally conformally flat and Einstein manifolds. In conformal submersions whose total spaces are Riemannian products of locally conformally flat and Einstein manifolds (where the Einstein components are possibly trivial), we make the following observations about the scalar curvature of the components of the total space.

Proposition 4.1. (1) *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion such that (M, g) is locally conformally flat and $\dim(B) = \dim(F)$ where F_p denotes the fibre at $p \in B$. Then the scalar curvature of (M, g) satisfies the following equation.*

$$(4.1) \quad \frac{ns}{2(n-1)} = 2(|A|^2 - |T|^2 - \hat{\delta}N) - (n-4)N(f) - n\Delta f + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}|\nabla f|^2,$$

where $n = \dim(M)$.

- (2) *More generally, consider a conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ where (M, g) is the Riemannian product of locally conformally flat manifolds each of dimension at least 4 such that the horizontal and the vertical distributions restricted to each component of (M, g) have the same dimension. Then,*

$$(4.2) \quad \sum_i \frac{n_i s_i}{2(n_i - 1)} = 2(|A|^2 - |T|^2 - \hat{\delta}N) - (n - 4)N(f) - n\Delta f + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}|\nabla f|^2,$$

where n_i and s_i respectively denote the dimension and the scalar curvature of the i^{th} component of (M, g) , and $n = \dim(M)$.

- (3) *Let $\pi_f: (M \times N, g_M + g_N) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion where (M, g_M) is as in (2). Let (N, g_N) be the Riemannian product of Einstein manifolds where the tangent bundle of each component of (N, g_N) is completely contained either in the vertical or the horizontal distribution of π_f , and $\dim(F) = \dim(B)$ where F_p denotes the fibre at $p \in B$. Then,*

$$(4.3) \quad \sum_i \frac{n_i s_i}{2(n_i - 1)} = 2(|A|^2 - |T|^2 - \hat{\delta}N) - (n - 4)N(f) - \left(\frac{n+l}{2}\right)(\Delta f - \frac{n-2}{2}|\nabla f|^2) \\ - \frac{e}{2}(\text{div}(\mathcal{H}\nabla f) - \frac{n-2}{2}|\mathcal{H}\nabla f|^2),$$

where n_i and s_i are the dimensions and the scalar curvatures of the i^{th} component of (M, g) , respectively and $l = \dim(M)$, $e = \dim(N)$ and $l + e = n$.

Proof. (1) Let $p \in M$. Let $\{X_i\}_{i \in I}$, $\{U_j\}_{j \in J}$ respectively denote local orthonormal frames of the horizontal and vertical distributions at p . Since the Weyl curvature $W = 0$, using the orthogonal decomposition of the Riemann curvature tensor given in Equation 2.1, it follows that

$$(4.4) \quad \sum_{j \neq k} R(U_k, U_j, U_k, U_j) = \frac{m-2}{n-2}r(U_k, U_k) + \sum_j \frac{r(U_j, U_j)}{n-2} - \frac{s(m-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)},$$

where $m = n/2$.

Equations 2.7, 2.12 and 4.4 gives,

$$(4.5) \quad \sum_j \frac{r(U_j, U_j)}{n-2} = \frac{m}{n-2}r(U_k, U_k) + \frac{s(m-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} + g(T_{U_k}, T_{U_k}) \\ + (m-2)T_{U_k}U_k(f) + |\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 + \mathcal{H}(\Delta f) - \delta T(U_k, U_k) \\ - g(AU_k, AU_k) + m(\text{Hess}f(U_k, U_k) + U_k(f)^2 - |\nabla f|^2).$$

Similarly, Equations 2.1, 2.8 and 2.14 imply

$$(4.6) \quad \sum_i \frac{r(X_i, X_i)}{n-2} = \frac{m}{n-2}r(X_l, X_l) + \frac{s(m-1)}{(n-1)(n-2)} + g(TX_l, TX_l) - g(A_{X_l}, A_{X_l}) \\ - |\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 - \mathcal{H}(\Delta f) + m(\text{Hess}f(X_l, X_l) + X_l(f)^2) \\ - (m-1)|\nabla f|^2 - g(\nabla_{X_l}N, X_l) - 2X_l(f)g(N, X_l) + N(f) + \Delta f.$$

Adding 4.5 and 4.6 gives,

$$(4.7) \quad \frac{s}{(n-1)(n-2)} = \frac{mr(U_k, U_k)}{n-2} + \frac{mr(X_l, X_l)}{n-2} + g(TX_l, TX_l) + g(TU_k, TU_k) \\ + (m-2)T_{U_k}U_k(f) - g(A_{X_l}, A_{X_l}) - g(AU_k, AU_k) + m(\text{Hess}f(X_l, X_l) \\ + X_l(f)^2) + m(\text{Hess}f(U_k, U_k) + U_k(f)^2) - (n-1)|\nabla f|^2 \\ - \delta T(U_k, U_k) - g(\nabla_{X_l}N, X_l) - 2X_l(f)g(N, X_l) + N(f) + \Delta f.$$

Taking summation over $\{U_k\}$'s and $\{X_l\}$'s,

$$(4.8) \quad \frac{ns}{2(n-1)} = 2(|A|^2 - |T|^2 - \hat{\delta}N) - (n-4)N(f) - n\Delta f + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}|\nabla f|^2.$$

Proof of (2) and (3) are similar to (1). \square

Employing the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle in Proposition 4.1 culminates in to the following result.

Theorem 4.2. *A conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ as described in Proposition 4.1(2) is rigid if either of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) *T vanishes and $s \leq \sum_i \frac{s_i}{1-n_i}$ where s_i and n_i are as mentioned in Proposition 4.1(2).*
- (2) *N is parallel, the horizontal distribution is integrable and $s \geq \sum_i \frac{s_i}{1-n_i}$.*

Additionally, if (M, g) is locally conformally flat, then (M, g) is scalar flat and locally a Riemannian product.

We further observe the following scalar curvature properties for the total space of a conformal submersion given in Theorem 4.2.

Proposition 4.3. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion such that (M, g) is as defined in Theorem 4.2. Moreover, if the scalar curvature of each component of (M, g) have the same sign, then:*

- (1) *The scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative if T vanishes.*
- (2) *The scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-positive if N is parallel and the horizontal distribution is integrable.*
- (3) *(M, g) is scalar flat, and π_f is rigid if the horizontal distribution is integrable and T vanishes.*

Proof. The following is a proof for locally conformally flat total space. The general case follows analogously.

(1) If T vanishes,

$$(4.9) \quad \frac{ns}{2(n-1)} = 2|A|^2 - n\Delta f + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}|\nabla f|^2.$$

The scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative by the divergence theorem.

(2) If N is parallel and the horizontal distribution is integrable,

$$(4.10) \quad \frac{ns}{2(n-1)} = -2|T|^2 - \frac{2(n-4)}{n}|N|^2 - n\Delta f + \frac{n(n-2)}{2}|\nabla f|^2.$$

Multiply Equation 4.10 by $e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f}$,
(4.11)

$$\frac{ns}{2(n-1)}e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f} = -2e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f}|T|^2 - \frac{2(n-4)}{n}e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f}|N|^2 - n\operatorname{div}(e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f}\nabla f).$$

The scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-positive by the divergence theorem.

(3) If the horizontal distribution is integrable and $T = 0$,

(4.12)
$$\frac{ns}{2(n-1)}e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f} = -n\operatorname{div}(e^{-\frac{n-2}{2}f}\nabla f).$$

(M, g) is scalar flat by the divergence theorem, and π_f is rigid by the weak maximal principle. \square

More generally, if the total space of a conformal submersion is as in Proposition 4.1(3), we obtain the following rigidity result similar to Theorem 4.2.

Theorem 4.4. *A conformal submersion $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ as described in Proposition 4.1(3) is rigid if either of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) T vanishes and $s_M \leq \sum_i \frac{s_i}{1-n_i}$.
- (2) N is parallel, the horizontal distribution is integrable and $s_M \geq \sum_i \frac{s_i}{1-n_i}$.

where s_i is the scalar curvature of the i^{th} component of (M, g_M) and s_M is the scalar curvature of (M, g_M) .

In the next two subsections, we prove more rigidity results by putting further conditions on the total space or the base of a conformal submersion as described in this part.

4.2. When the total space is quasi-Einstein.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion where (M, g) is as described in Theorem 4.2 with the scalar curvatures of each component of (M, g) having the same sign. If (M, g, h, m, λ) is also quasi-Einstein, then it is Ricci-flat and π_f is rigid if one of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) λ is non-negative, N is parallel, and the horizontal distribution is integrable.
- (2) λ is non-positive, and $T = 0$.
- (3) The horizontal distribution is integrable, and $T = 0$.

Furthermore, if (M, g) is locally conformally flat, then (M, g) is locally a Riemannian product.

Proof. The following is proof for locally conformally flat total space. The general case follows analogously.

We have,

(4.13)
$$\operatorname{Ric} + \operatorname{Hess}h - \frac{1}{m}dh \otimes dh = \lambda g.$$

By taking trace,

(4.14)
$$\lambda n - s = \Delta h - \frac{1}{m}|\nabla h|^2$$

$$(4.15) \quad e^{-h/m}(\lambda n - s) = \operatorname{div}(e^{-h/m}\nabla h).$$

Using the divergence theorem and Proposition 4.3, $\lambda = s = 0$. Applying the weak maximal principle in Equation 4.14, h turns out to be constant, and hence (M, g) is Ricci-flat. By Theorem 4.2, we can conclude that (M, g) is locally a Riemannian product, and π_f is rigid. \square

4.3. When either the base or the fibre is quasi-Einstein.

The following lemma can be derived by using the same method employed to prove Proposition 4.1 and hence the proof is skipped..

Lemma 4.6. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion such that (M, g) is locally conformally flat and $\dim(B) = \dim(F)$ where F_p denotes the fibre at $p \in B$. Then,*

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-2f}\hat{s} \circ \pi_f + \check{s} &= \frac{5n-4}{n}|A|^2 - \frac{3n-4}{n}(|T|^2 + \check{\delta}N) - \operatorname{div}(N) \\ &- \frac{n^2 - 12n + 16}{2n}N(f) - (n-2)\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)) \\ &+ \frac{(n-2)(3n-4)}{4}|\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

We further observe some properties of the scalar curvatures of the base and fibres of a conformal submersion as given in Lemma 4.6.

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion as in Lemma 4.6. If the scalar curvatures of the fibres (respectively, the base) have a particular sign and*

- (1) $T = 0$, and the scalar curvature of the base (respectively, the fibres) is non-positive, then the scalar curvatures of the fibres (respectively, the base) are non-negative.
- (2) $A = 0$, parallel T , and the scalar curvature of the base (respectively, the fibres) is non-negative, then the scalar curvatures of the fibres (respectively, the base) are non-positive.

Proof. (1). By substituting $T = 0$ in Equation 4.16,

$$(4.17) \quad e^{-2f}\hat{s} \circ \pi_f + \check{s} = \frac{5n-4}{n}|A|^2 - (n-2)\operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)) + \frac{(n-2)(3n-4)}{4}|\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2.$$

Applying the divergence theorem in Equation 4.17 gives the result.

(2). By substituting $A = 0$ and $\nabla T = 0$ ($\nabla T = 0$ implies $|T|^2 = N(f)$) and multiplying $e^{-\frac{3n-4}{4}f}$ in Equation 4.16,

$$(4.18) \quad e^{-\frac{3n-4}{4}f}\hat{s} \circ \pi_f + e^{-\frac{3n-4}{4}f}\check{s} = -\frac{(n-2)(n-4)}{2n}|T|^2 e^{-\frac{3n-4}{4}f} - (n-2)(\operatorname{div}(e^{-\frac{3n-4}{4}f}\mathcal{V}(\nabla f))).$$

The result follows by applying the divergence theorem in Equation 4.18. \square

Employing the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle in Lemma 4.6, Proposition 4.1 and then using Proposition 4.7 we conclude the following result.

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ be a conformal submersion as in Lemma 4.6. If the scalar curvatures of the fibres (respectively, the base) have a particular sign and (F, g_F, h, m, λ) (respectively, (B, g_B, h, m, λ)) is quasi-Einstein, then (F, g_F, h) (respectively, (B, g_B, h)) is Ricci-flat, (B, g_B) (respectively, (F, g_F)) is scalar flat, and also f is constant along fibres whenever either of the following conditions holds.*

- (1) $T = 0$, the scalar curvature of the base (respectively, the fibres) is non-positive, and $\lambda \leq 0$.
- (2) $A = 0$, parallel T , the scalar curvature of the base (respectively, the fibres) is non-negative, and $\lambda \geq 0$.

In both cases, A and T vanish. Additionally, if the scalar curvature of (M, g) has a particular sign, then π_f is rigid.

Remark 4.9. Assuming the scalar curvature of the total space has a particular sign is not a strong condition since any closed Riemannian manifold admits a metric in its conformal class that has constant scalar curvature due to Yamabe theorem (see [2]).

The following example shows that $\dim(F) = \dim(B)$ is necessary in Theorem 4.8.

Example 4.10. Let $M = S^1 \times S^3$ with metric $g = g_{S^1} + e^{2f}g_{S^3}$ where f is a non-constant function on S^1 . Consider the map $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (S^3, g_3)$ defined as $\pi_f(x, y) = y$. One can verify by direct computation that (M, g) is locally conformally flat, and all the conditions of Theorem 4.8 are satisfied by π_f (note that here, the scalar curvature of (M, g) is constant only up to conformality) except the dimension condition, but π_f is not rigid.

Next, we discuss the rigidity of conformal submersions with some conditions on *mixed scalar curvature* (see [24] for a details on mixed scalar curvatures).

Lemma 4.11. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with vanishing T , then π_f is rigid if and only if $R_{mix} = \sum_{i,j} K(X_i, U_j) = |A|^2$ where $\{X_i\}$ and $\{U_j\}$ form an orthonormal basis of horizontal and vertical distributions, respectively.*

Proof. By Equation 2.10,
(4.19)

$$\begin{aligned} R_{mix} = & |A|^2 - \dim(F) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{H}\nabla f) - \dim(B) \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{V}\nabla f) \\ & + \dim(F)(\dim(F) - 1)|\mathcal{H}(\nabla f)|^2 + \dim(B)(\dim(B) - 1)|\mathcal{V}(\nabla f)|^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof follows by employing the divergence theorem and the weak maximal principle in Equation 4.19. \square

The following result is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4.11.

Theorem 4.12. *If $\pi_f: (M, g) \rightarrow (B, g_B)$ is a conformal submersion with vanishing T and $R_{mix} \leq 0$, then $R_{mix} = 0$, (M, g) is locally a Riemannian product, and π_f is rigid.*

5. AN APPLICATION: RIGIDITY OF QUASI-EINSTEIN MANIFOLDS

In this section, we conclude rigidity results for certain quasi-Einstein manifolds that can be realized as the total space, the fibre or the base of suitable conformal submersions. All the quasi-Einstein manifolds mentioned here are closed and orientable. The first result is about a quasi-Einstein manifold realized as the fibre or the base of a conformal submersion.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (M, g, f, m, λ) be a quasi-Einstein manifold with $\lambda \geq 0$ and $m \geq 1$. Then (M, g, f) is rigid if (M, g) is the fibre (respectively, the base) of a conformal submersion with an integrable horizontal distribution and parallel T satisfying the following conditions.*

- (1) *The total space of the conformal submersion is locally conformally flat and its dimension is twice the dimension of M .*
- (2) *The base (respectively, the fibre) has non-negative scalar curvature.*

Proof. Proposition 3.6 of [4] says that 'If (M, g, f, m, λ) is quasi-Einstein with $m \geq 1$, $\lambda > 0$ and M is compact, then the scalar curvature of (M, g) is bounded below by $\frac{n(n-1)\lambda}{m+n-1}$ '. It readily follows from the above result that the scalar curvature of (M, g) (as in hypothesis) is non-negative if $\lambda > 0$. Using the same idea of Proposition 3.6 of [4], it can further be checked that the scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative whenever $\lambda = 0$. Using Theorem 4.8, we conclude the result. \square

The next result is about a locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold realized as the total space of a conformal submersion.

Theorem 5.2. *If (M, g, f, m, λ) is a locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifold of dimension at least 4 with $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$, then (M, g, f) is a flat space form if it is the total space of a conformal submersion with an integrable horizontal distribution and parallel N whose base and fibre have the same dimension.*

Proof. Again, by using Proposition 3.6 of [4], the scalar curvature of (M, g) is non-negative. Finally, Theorem 4.5 concludes the result. \square

Example 5.3. Let $(M_1 \times M_2, g)$ be a locally conformally flat manifold with $\dim(M_1) = \dim(M_2) \geq 2$, and g be the product metric. Then $(M_1 \times M_2, g)$ is a flat space form if there exist functions $f, h \in C^\infty(M_1 \times M_2)$ such that $(M_1 \times M_2, e^{2f}g, h, m, \lambda)$ is quasi-Einstein with $m \geq 1$ and $\lambda \geq 0$.

Finally, we obtain the following rigidity result as a consequence of Theorem 3.12, Remark 3.13 and Equation 2.12.

Theorem 5.4. *A quasi-Einstein manifold (M, g, f, m, λ) is rigid if it can be realized as the fibre of a conformal submersion with an integrable horizontal distribution and vanishing T , where*

- (1) *the total space is a homogeneous Einstein manifold and*
- (2) *the base is Einstein with a non-positive Einstein constant.*

REFERENCES

1. Sannidhi Alape, Atreyee Bhattacharya, and Dheeraj Kulkarni, *On certain rigidity results of compact regular (κ, μ) -manifolds*, arXiv:2308.01576 (2023).
2. Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Classics in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008, Reprint of the 1987 edition. MR 2371700
3. Christoph Böhm, *Unstable Einstein metrics*, Math. Z. **250** (2005), no. 2, 279–286. MR 2178786
4. Jeffrey Case, Yu-Jen Shu, and Guofang Wei, *Rigidity of quasi-Einstein metrics*, Differential Geom. Appl. **29** (2011), no. 1, 93–100. MR 2784291
5. Giovanni Catino, Carlo Mantegazza, Lorenzo Mazzieri, and Michele Rimoldi, *Locally conformally flat quasi-Einstein manifolds*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **675** (2013), 181–189. MR 3021450
6. Sylvestre Gallot, Dominique Hulin, and Jacques Lafontaine, *Riemannian geometry*, third ed., Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. MR 2088027
7. Chenxu He, Peter Petersen, and William Wylie, *Warped product Einstein metrics over spaces with constant scalar curvature*, Asian J. Math. **18** (2014), no. 1, 159–189. MR 3215345
8. Guangyue Huang and Yong Wei, *The classification of (m, ρ) -quasi-Einstein manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **44** (2013), no. 3, 269–282. MR 3101859
9. Thomas Ivey, *Ricci solitons on compact three-manifolds*, Differential Geom. Appl. **3** (1993), no. 4, 301–307. MR 1249376
10. Dong-Soo Kim and Young Ho Kim, *Compact Einstein warped product spaces with nonpositive scalar curvature*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **131** (2003), no. 8, 2573–2576. MR 1974657
11. Norihito Koiso and Yusuke Sakane, *Nonhomogeneous Kähler-Einstein metrics on compact complex manifolds. II*, Osaka J. Math. **25** (1988), no. 4, 933–959. MR 983813
12. John M. Lee, *Introduction to Riemannian manifolds*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 176, Springer, Cham, 2018. MR 3887684
13. TV Mahesh and KS Subrahmanian Moosath, *Harmonicity of conformally-projectively equivalent statistical manifolds and conformal statistical submersions*, Geometric Science of Information: 5th International Conference, GSI 2021, Paris, France, July 21–23, 2021, Proceedings 5, Springer, 2021, pp. 397–404.
14. MT Mustafa, *Applications of harmonic morphisms to gravity*, Journal of Mathematical Physics **41** (2000), no. 10, 6918–6929.
15. Aaron Naber, *Noncompact shrinking four solitons with nonnegative curvature*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **645** (2010), 125–153. MR 2673425
16. Peter Petersen, *Riemannian geometry*, second ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 171, Springer, New York, 2006. MR 2243772
17. Peter Petersen and William Wylie, *On gradient Ricci solitons with symmetry*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **137** (2009), no. 6, 2085–2092. MR 2480290
18. ———, *Rigidity of gradient Ricci solitons*, Pacific J. Math. **241** (2009), no. 2, 329–345. MR 2507581
19. ———, *On the classification of gradient Ricci solitons*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), no. 4, 2277–2300. MR 2740647
20. Vladimir Rovenski and Tomasz Zawadzki, *The einstein-hilbert type action on foliated pseudo-riemannian manifolds*, arXiv preprint arXiv:1604.00985 (2016).
21. Yusuke Sakane, *Examples of compact Einstein-Kähler manifolds with positive Ricci tensor*, no. 576, 1985, Riemannian manifolds and Lie groups (Japanese) (Kyoto, 1985), pp. 154–176. MR 860230
22. McKenzie Y. Wang and Wolfgang Ziller, *Einstein metrics on principal torus bundles*, J. Differential Geom. **31** (1990), no. 1, 215–248. MR 1030671
23. William Wylie, *Rigidity of compact static near-horizon geometries with negative cosmological constant*, Lett. Math. Phys. **113** (2023), no. 2, Paper No. 29, 5. MR 4557955

24. Tomasz Zawadzki, *Existence conditions for conformal submersions with totally umbilical fibers*, Differential Geom. Appl. **35** (2014), 69–85. MR 3254292

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHOPAL

Email address: `atreyee@math.iiserb.ac.in`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE EDUCATION AND RESEARCH BHOPAL

Email address: `sayoojya@math.iiserb.ac.in`