

THE FINITE BASIS PROBLEM FOR ADDITIVELY IDEMPOTENT SEMIRINGS OF ORDER FOUR, I

MIAOMIAO REN, JUNYANG LIU, LINGLI ZENG, AND MENGLONG CHEN

ABSTRACT. We study the finite basis problem for 4-element additively idempotent semirings whose additive reducts are semilattices of height 1. Up to isomorphism, there are 58 such algebras. We show that 49 of them are finitely based and the remaining ones are nonfinitely based.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

An *additively idempotent semiring* (ai-semiring for short) is an algebra $(S, +, \cdot)$ with two binary operations $+$ and \cdot such that the additive reduct $(S, +)$ is a semilattice, that is, a commutative idempotent semigroup, the multiplicative reduct (S, \cdot) is a semigroup and S satisfies the distributive laws

$$(x + y)z \approx xy + xz, \quad x(y + z) \approx xy + xz.$$

Such an algebra is also called a *semilattice-ordered semigroup*. The class of ai-semirings contains the semiring of natural numbers, the Kleene semiring of regular languages [4], the max-plus algebra [1] and the semiring of all binary relations on a set [2]. These algebras have played important roles in several branches of mathematics such as algebraic geometry [3], tropical geometry [14], information science [9] and theoretical computer science [10].

An ai-semiring is *finitely based* if the set of its identities can be derived by some finite subset. Otherwise, it is *nonfinitely based*. In the past two decades, the finite basis problem for ai-semirings has been intensively studied, for example, see [5, 6, 8, 12, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26]. In particular, Pastijn et al. [8, 15] showed that every ai-semiring satisfying the identity $x^2 \approx x$ is finitely based. Ren et al. [17, 20] proved that every ai-semiring satisfying the identity $x^3 \approx x$ is finitely based. Ren et al. [18] showed that every ai-semiring satisfying the identities $x^n \approx x$ and $xy \approx yx$ is finitely based if $n - 1$ is square-free. Recently, Volkov et al. [19] proved that if $n \geq 2$, then every ai-semiring satisfying $x^n \approx x$ is finitely based if and only if $n = 2$ or 3 .

On the other hand, several authors considered the finite basis problem for ai-semirings of small order. Dolinka [5] provided the first example of a nonfinitely based finite ai-semiring, which contains seven elements. Shao and Ren [21] proved that every variety generated by some ai-semirings of order two is finitely based. Zhao et al. [26] showed that with the possible exception of the ai-semiring S_7 (its

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16Y60, 03C05, 08B05.

Key words and phrases. semiring, variety, identity, finitely based, nonfinitely based.

Miaomiao Ren is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (12371024). Lingli Zeng, corresponding author, is supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11971383).

Cayley tables are given by Table 1), all ai-semirings of order three are finitely based. Volkov [23] answered the finite basis problem for the ai-semiring B_2^1 whose multiplicative reduct is the 6-element Brandt monoid. Jackson et al. [12] presented some general results on the finite basis problem for finite ai-semirings. As applications, they showed that S_7 and B_2^1 are both nonfinitely based. This completes the classification of ai-semirings of order three with respect to the property of having/having no finite equational basis. Recently, Shaprynski [22] and Wu et al. [25] initiated the the study of the finite basis problem for ai-semirings of order four.

We follow this line of investigation and our aim is to answer the finite basis problem for all ai-semirings of order four. This programme will be accomplished in the next series of papers. The present paper focuses on the finite basis problem for 4-element ai-semirings whose additive reducts are semilattices of height 1.

TABLE 1. The Cayley tables of S_7

+	1	a	∞	·	1	a	∞
1	1	∞	∞	1	1	a	∞
a	∞	a	∞	a	a	∞	∞
∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞	∞

Let S be an ai-semiring. Then the binary relation \leq defined by

$$a \leq b \Leftrightarrow a + b = b,$$

is a partial order on S . It is easy to see that \leq is compatible with the addition and the multiplication of S . A *flat semiring* is an ai-semiring such that its multiplicative reduct has a zero element 0 and $a + b = 0$ for all distinct elements a and b of S . So the additive reduct of a flat semiring is a semilattice of height 1. But an ai-semiring whose additive reduct is a semilattice of height 1 is not necessarily a flat semiring. One can easily find many counterexamples in Table 3. It is easy to verify that the class of all flat semirings is closed under taking subalgebras and quotient algebras, but is not closed under taking direct products. For example, the direct product of two copies of the flat semiring S_7 is not a flat semiring.

Flat semirings have played an important role in the theory of varieties of ai-semirings (see [12, 16, 18]). Jackson et al. [12, Lemma 2.2] observed that a semigroup with the zero element 0 becomes a flat semiring with the top element 0 if and only if it is 0-cancellative, that is, $ab = ac \neq 0$ implies $b = c$ and $ba = ca \neq 0$ implies $b = c$ for all $a, b, c \in S$. If S is a cancellative semigroup, then S^0 is 0-cancellative and becomes a flat semiring, which is called the *flat extension* of S . Let G be a finite group. Jackson [11, Theorem 7.3] showed that the flat extension of G is finitely based if and only if all Sylow subgroups of G are abelian. Using the flat extensions of groups Ren et al. [16] provided an infinite series of minimal nonfinitely based ai-semiring varieties.

The following algebras form another important class of flat semirings. Let W be a nonempty subset of the free commutative semigroup X_c^+ over X , and let $S_c(W)$ denote the set of all nonempty subwords of words in W together with a new symbol 0 . Define a binary operation \cdot on $S_c(W)$ by the rule

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{uv} & \text{if } \mathbf{uv} \in S_c(W) \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $(S_c(W), \cdot)$ forms a semigroup with a zero element 0. It is easy to see that $(S_c(W), \cdot)$ is 0-cancellative and so $S_c(W)$ becomes a flat semiring. In particular, if W consists of a single word \mathbf{w} we shall write $S_c(W)$ as $S_c(\mathbf{w})$. If we allow the empty word in this construction, then the semigroup reduct is a monoid, and we use the notation $M_c(W)$. Finally, if we do the same constructions on the free semigroup (resp., the free monoid) over X , we obtain the flat semiring $S(W)$ (resp., $M(W)$). Correspondingly, we have the notations $S(\mathbf{w})$ and $M(\mathbf{w})$. It is easy to see that both $M_c(a)$ and $M(a)$ are isomorphic to S_7 if a is a letter.

Let X denote a countably infinite set of variables and X^+ the free semigroup over X . By distributivity, all ai-semiring terms over X are finite sums of words in X^+ . An *ai-semiring identity* over X is an expression of the form

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v},$$

where \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are ai-semiring terms over X . From [13, Theorem 2.5] we know that the ai-semiring $(P_f(X^+), \cup, \cdot)$ consisting of all non-empty finite subsets of X^+ is free in the variety **AI** of all ai-semirings on X . So we sometimes write

$$\{\mathbf{u}_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq k\} \approx \{\mathbf{v}_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq \ell\}$$

for the ai-semiring identity

$$\mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_\ell.$$

An *ai-semiring substitution* is an endomorphism of $P_f(X^+)$. Let S be an ai-semiring and $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ an ai-semiring identity. We say that S *satisfies* $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ or $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ *holds in* S if $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi(\mathbf{v})$ for all semiring homomorphisms $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S$. Note that φ is determined by $\{\varphi(x) \mid x \in X\}$, since $P_f(X^+)$ is generated by X .

Suppose that Σ is a set of ai-semiring identities which include the identities that determine the variety of all ai-semirings. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_k$, $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_\ell$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{v}_j \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Then it is easy to see that the ai-semiring variety defined by $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ is equal to the ai-semiring variety defined by the simpler identities $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_j$, $\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. Therefore, to show that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ is derivable from Σ , we only need to show that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}_j$, $\mathbf{v} \approx \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u}_i$ can be derived from Σ for each $1 \leq i \leq k$, $1 \leq j \leq \ell$. This technique will be repeatedly used in the sequel.

The following result about the equational logic of ai-semirings can be found in [5, Lemma 2].

Lemma 1.1. *Let Σ be a set of ai-semiring identities and let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ be an ai-semiring identity. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{v}$ is derivable from Σ if and only if there exist $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_n \in P_f(X^+)$ such that $\mathbf{u} = T_1$, $\mathbf{v} = T_n$ and, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$, there are $A_i, B_i, P_i, Q_i, R_i \in P_f(X^+)$ and an ai-semiring substitution $\varphi_i : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow P_f(X^+)$ such that*

$$T_i = P_i \varphi_i(A_i) Q_i + R_i, \quad T_{i+1} = P_i \varphi_i(B_i) Q_i + R_i,$$

where $A_i \approx B_i \in \Sigma$ or $B_i \approx A_i \in \Sigma$, P_i and Q_i may be the set $\{1\}$, R_i may be the empty set.

Next, we introduce some notations that will be repeatedly used in the sequel. Let \mathbf{w} be a word in X^+ and x a letter in X . Then

- $h(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the first variable that occurs in \mathbf{w} ;
- $t(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the last variable that occurs in \mathbf{w} ;

- $c(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the set of variables that occur in \mathbf{w} ;
- $\ell(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the number of variables occurring in \mathbf{w} counting multiplicities;
- $m(x, \mathbf{w})$ denotes the number of occurrences of x in \mathbf{w} ;
- $p(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the word obtained from \mathbf{w} by deleting its tail, that is, $\mathbf{w} = p(\mathbf{w})t(\mathbf{w})$;
- $s(\mathbf{w})$ denotes the word obtained from \mathbf{w} by deleting its head, that is, $\mathbf{w} = h(\mathbf{w})s(\mathbf{w})$.

Let \mathbf{u} be an ai-semiring term such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then

- $h(\mathbf{u})$ denotes the set $\{h(\mathbf{u}_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$;
- $t(\mathbf{u})$ denotes the set $\{t(\mathbf{u}_i) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$;
- $c(\mathbf{u})$ denotes the set of variables that occur in \mathbf{u} and so

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq n} c(\mathbf{u}_i).$$

TABLE 2. The 2-element ai-semirings

Semiring	+	·	Semiring	+	·
L_2	0 1	0 0	R_2	0 1	0 1
M_2	0 1	0 1	D_2	0 1	0 0
N_2	0 1	0 0	T_2	0 1	1 1

Up to isomorphism, there are exactly 6 ai-semirings of order 2 (see [21]), which are denoted by L_2, R_2, M_2, D_2, N_2 and T_2 . We assume that the carrier set of each of these semirings is $\{0, 1\}$. Their Cayley tables for addition and multiplication are listed in Table 2. It is easy to see that M_2 is isomorphic to $M(1)$ and that T_2 is isomorphic to $S(a)$, where 1 denotes the empty word and a is a letter. The following result, which can be found in [21, Lemma 1.1], provides a solution of the equational problem for all ai-semirings of order two. It will be used without explicit reference.

Lemma 1.2. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be an ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then*

- (1) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in L_2 if and only if $h(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$.
- (2) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in R_2 if and only if $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$.
- (3) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in M_2 if and only if $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n c(\mathbf{u}_i)$.
- (4) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in D_2 if and only if $c(\mathbf{q}) \supseteq c(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$.
- (5) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in N_2 if and only if $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$ or $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1, \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{u}_i$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$.
- (6) $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ holds in T_2 if and only if $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ or $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 1$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}, \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{u}_i$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$.

Up to isomorphism, there are 61 ai-semirings of order three, which are denoted by S_i , $1 \leq i \leq 61$. For detailed information on these semirings, the readers can refer to [26]. Up to isomorphism, there are exactly 866 ai-semirings of order four¹.

¹We wrote a program and obtained this result.

The additive reducts of 58 of them are semilattices of height 1. These algebras are denoted by $S_{(4,k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq 58$. We assume that the carrier set of each of these semirings is $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, where 1 is the greatest element of the additive reduct of each semiring. Their Cayley tables for multiplication are listed in Table 3, and their Cayley tables for addition are determined by Figure 1.




FIGURE 1. The additive order of $S_{(4,k)}$, $1 \leq k \leq 58$

The following theorem is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3.

- (1) *The following ai-semirings are finitely based:* $S_{(4,1)}, S_{(4,2)}, S_{(4,3)}, S_{(4,4)}, S_{(4,5)}, S_{(4,6)}, S_{(4,7)}, S_{(4,8)}, S_{(4,9)}, S_{(4,10)}, S_{(4,12)}, S_{(4,14)}, S_{(4,15)}, S_{(4,16)}, S_{(4,17)}, S_{(4,18)}, S_{(4,19)}, S_{(4,20)}, S_{(4,21)}, S_{(4,22)}, S_{(4,23)}, S_{(4,27)}, S_{(4,29)}, S_{(4,30)}, S_{(4,32)}, S_{(4,33)}, S_{(4,34)}, S_{(4,35)}, S_{(4,36)}, S_{(4,37)}, S_{(4,38)}, S_{(4,39)}, S_{(4,40)}, S_{(4,41)}, S_{(4,42)}, S_{(4,43)}, S_{(4,44)}, S_{(4,45)}, S_{(4,46)}, S_{(4,47)}, S_{(4,48)}, S_{(4,51)}, S_{(4,52)}, S_{(4,53)}, S_{(4,54)}, S_{(4,55)}, S_{(4,56)}, S_{(4,57)}$ and $S_{(4,58)}$.
- (2) *The following ai-semirings are nonfinitely based:* $S_{(4,11)}, S_{(4,13)}, S_{(4,24)}, S_{(4,25)}, S_{(4,26)}, S_{(4,28)}, S_{(4,31)}, S_{(4,49)}$ and $S_{(4,50)}$.

The proof of Theorem 1.3 will be completed in the following five sections.

2. FLAT SEMIRINGS

In this section we provide the proof for Theorem 1.3 (2). Let S be a finite ai-semiring. Then $V(S)$ denotes the variety generated by S , that is, the smallest variety that contains S . An element a of S is *cyclic* if $a^n = a$ for some $n > 1$. The *index* of S is the smallest k such that S satisfies the identity $x^k \approx x^{k+\ell}$ for some $\ell \geq 1$. The following result is due to Jackson et al. [12, Theorem 4.9].

Lemma 2.1. *Let S be a finite ai-semiring whose noncyclic elements form an order ideal, and let k' denote the index of S . If $S_c(a_1 \cdots a_k)$ lies in $V(S)$ for some $k \geq \max(k', 3)$, then S is nonfinitely based.*

From [12, Proposition 2.6] we know that the variety $V(S_7)$ contains $S_c(a_1 \cdots a_k)$ for all $k \geq 1$. So by Lemma 2.1 we immediately deduce

Proposition 2.2. *Let S be a finite ai-semiring whose noncyclic elements form an order ideal. If $V(S)$ contains the semiring S_7 , then S is nonfinitely based.*

Corollary 2.3. $S_{(4,11)}, S_{(4,13)}, S_{(4,24)}, S_{(4,25)}, S_{(4,26)}, S_{(4,28)}, S_{(4,31)}, S_{(4,49)}$ and $S_{(4,50)}$ are all nonfinitely based.

Proof. Let S be a semiring in Corollary 2.3. It is easy to see that the set of noncyclic elements of S forms an order ideal and that S contains a copy of S_7 . By Proposition 2.2 we have that S is nonfinitely based. \square

Corollary 2.4. *Let \mathcal{V} be a variety generated by a finite family of finite flat semirings. If \mathcal{V} contains the semiring S_7 , then it is nonfinitely based.*

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{V} is a variety that contains S_7 and is generated by finite flat semirings $S_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$. Then \mathcal{V} is generated by the finite direct product $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$. Assume that (a_1, \dots, a_n) is an arbitrary cyclic element of $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$. Then there exists $k > 1$ such that

$$(a_1^k, \dots, a_n^k) = (a_1, \dots, a_n)^k = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$$

and so $a_i^k = a_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \leq (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ for some element (b_1, \dots, b_n) of $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$, then $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since every S_i is a flat semiring, it follows that $b_i = a_i$ or 0 for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Thus $b_i^k = b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and so

$$(b_1, \dots, b_n)^k = (b_1^k, \dots, b_n^k) = (b_1, \dots, b_n).$$

This shows that (b_1, \dots, b_n) is a cyclic element. So the set of all noncyclic elements of $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ forms an order ideal. By Proposition 2.2 we immediately deduce that \mathcal{V} is nonfinitely based. \square

Remark 2.5. Corollary 2.4 has been mentioned in [12, P. 235]. We present a proof here.

Let n be a positive integer and \mathbf{F}_n denote the variety generated by all flat semirings of order n .

Theorem 2.6. *Let $n \geq 1$. Then \mathbf{F}_n is finitely based if and only if $n \leq 2$.*

Proof. If $n \leq 2$, then by the main result of [21], we can deduce that \mathbf{F}_n is finitely based. Suppose that $n \geq 3$. Let a be a letter. Then $M(a^{n-2})$ is a flat semiring of order n and so it is a member of \mathbf{F}_n . Since S_7 is isomorphic to $\{1, a^{n-2}, 0\}$, it follows that S_7 lies in \mathbf{F}_n . By Corollary 2.4 we deduce that \mathbf{F}_n is nonfinitely based as required. \square

In the remainder of this section we introduce two constructions on flat semirings. Let S be a flat semiring. If we adjoin an extra element b to S and define

$$bb = ba = ab = 0$$

for all $a \in S$, then $(S \cup \{b\}, \cdot)$ is a 0-cancellatice semigroup and so $S \cup \{b\}$ becomes a flat semiring. It will be called the *null extension* of S and is denoted by S_{ne} . One can easily verify that S_{ne} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S and T_2 . So we have

Proposition 2.7. *Let S be a flat semiring. Then $\mathbf{V}(S_{ne})$ is the join of $\mathbf{V}(S)$ and $\mathbf{V}(T_2)$. In particular, if $\mathbf{V}(S)$ contains T_2 , then $\mathbf{V}(S_{ne}) = \mathbf{V}(S)$.*

Proposition 2.8. *If $n \geq 1$, then \mathbf{F}_n is a subvariety of \mathbf{F}_{n+1} .*

Proof. Let S be a flat semiring of order n . Then S_{ne} is a flat semiring of order $n+1$ and contains S . Since S_{ne} is a member of \mathbf{F}_{n+1} , it follows that S lies in \mathbf{F}_{n+1} . So \mathbf{F}_n is a subvariety of \mathbf{F}_{n+1} as required. \square

Let S be a flat semiring. If we adjoin an extra element e to S and define

$$e^2 = e, ea = ae = 0$$

for all $a \in S \setminus \{e\}$, then $(S \cup \{e\}, \cdot)$ is a 0-cancellatice semigroup and so $S \cup \{e\}$ becomes a flat semiring. It will be called the *idempotent extension* of S and is

denoted by S_{ie} . It is easy to see that S_{ie} is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S and M_2 . Thus we have

Proposition 2.9. *Let S be a flat semiring. Then $\mathbf{V}(S_{ie})$ is the join of $\mathbf{V}(S)$ and $\mathbf{V}(M_2)$. In particular, if $\mathbf{V}(S)$ contains M_2 , then $\mathbf{V}(S_{ie}) = \mathbf{V}(S)$.*

By Proposition 2.9 we know that the variety $\mathbf{V}(S_{ie})$ is determined by all regular identities that are satisfied by S . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{ie})$ is the regularization of $\mathbf{V}(S)$.

3. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF $S_{(4,4)}$, $S_{(4,14)}$ AND $S_{(4,20)}$

In this section we first show that some 4-element ai-semirings are finitely based by some known results, and then give finite equational basis for $S_{(4,4)}$, $S_{(4,14)}$, and $S_{(4,20)}$ from the syntactic approach.

Proposition 3.1. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,1)}$, $S_{(4,3)}$, $S_{(4,7)}$, $S_{(4,17)}$, $S_{(4,19)}$, $S_{(4,22)}$, $S_{(4,32)}$, $S_{(4,33)}$, $S_{(4,35)}$, $S_{(4,38)}$, $S_{(4,39)}$, $S_{(4,40)}$, $S_{(4,43)}$, $S_{(4,44)}$, $S_{(4,51)}$, $S_{(4,52)}$, $S_{(4,55)}$, $S_{(4,56)}$, $S_{(4,57)}$ and $S_{(4,58)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to verify that every semiring in Proposition 3.1 satisfies the equational basis (see [21, Theorem 2.1]) of the variety generated by all ai-semirings of order two. By the main result of [21] these algebras are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 3.2. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,32)}$, $S_{(4,33)}$, $S_{(4,34)}$, $S_{(4,35)}$, $S_{(4,36)}$, $S_{(4,38)}$, $S_{(4,51)}$, $S_{(4,52)}$, $S_{(4,53)}$, $S_{(4,54)}$, $S_{(4,56)}$ and $S_{(4,57)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that every semiring in Proposition 3.2 satisfies $x^3 \approx x$. From the main result of [20] we deduce that these semirings are all finitely based. \square

Proposition 3.3. *The following ai-semirings are finitely based: $S_{(4,2)}$, $S_{(4,5)}$, $S_{(4,6)}$, $S_{(4,8)}$, $S_{(4,9)}$, $S_{(4,10)}$, $S_{(4,18)}$, $S_{(4,23)}$, $S_{(4,27)}$, $S_{(4,29)}$, $S_{(4,37)}$.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,2)}$ contains a copy of S_2 and satisfies the finite equational basis (see [26, Proposition 2]) of S_2 . This implies that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,2)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2)$ and so $S_{(4,2)}$ is finitely based. One can use the same approach to prove that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,5)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,18)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_6)$$

and

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,10)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,23)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{(4,27)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4).$$

Moreover, it is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,6)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of two copies of S_6 . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,6)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_6)$. By the main result of [26] we deduce that $S_{(4,5)}$, $S_{(4,6)}$, $S_{(4,10)}$, $S_{(4,18)}$, $S_{(4,23)}$ and $S_{(4,27)}$ are all finitely based.

It is easily verified that $S_{(4,8)}$ is isomorphic to $S_c(ab)$. By [16, Proposition 3.2] we have that $S_{(4,8)}$ is finitely based. One can easily show that $S_{(4,9)}$ is isomorphic to $S_c(a^3)$. By [24, Lemma 3.2] it follows that $S_{(4,9)}$ is finitely based.

We know that $S_{(4,29)}$ is isomorphic to the semiring B_0 , which is shown to be finitely based in [22]. So $S_{(4,29)}$ is finitely based.

It is easy to see that $S_{(4,37)}$ is the flat extension of an abelian group. By [11, Theorem 7.3] we deduce that $S_{(4,37)}$ is finitely based. \square

Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ be an ai-semiring term such that $\mathbf{u}_i \in X^+$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, and let $k \geq 1$. Then $L_k(\mathbf{u})$ denotes the set of all \mathbf{u}_i in \mathbf{u} such that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = k$.

Proposition 3.4. $V(S_{(4,4)})$ is the ai-semiring variety determined by the identities

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + x_4; \quad (1)$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + y; \quad (2)$$

$$x + xy \approx x^2; \quad (3)$$

$$x + yx \approx x^2; \quad (4)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_4 + x_1x_4. \quad (5)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,4)}$ satisfies the identities (1)–(5). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,4)}$ is derivable from (1)–(5) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(1)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Suppose that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$. Then either $x, xy \in \mathbf{u}$ or $x, yx \in \mathbf{u}$ for some $x, y \in X$. If $x, xy \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy \stackrel{(3)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 \stackrel{(2)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 + \mathbf{q}.$$

Similarly, if $x, yx \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + yx \stackrel{(4)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 \stackrel{(2)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 + \mathbf{q}.$$

So we can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. If $h(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$, then $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{u}_j)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_j) && \text{(by (5))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + h(\mathbf{u}_i)h(\mathbf{u}_i) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + h(\mathbf{u}_i)h(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (2))} \end{aligned}$$

This proves $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Finally, assume that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$, and $h(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \cap t(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,4)}$ defined by the rule: $\varphi(x) = 2$ if $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 4$ if $x \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\varphi(x) = 1$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} \in L_1(\mathbf{u})$ and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ then $h(\mathbf{q}) \in h(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. This implies that $h(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_j)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_j) && \text{(by (5))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + h(\mathbf{q})t(\mathbf{q}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + h(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

Remark 3.5. Proposition 3.4 shows that $S_{(4,4)}$ is finitely based. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $S_{(4,4)}$ is isomorphic to $S(ab)$, whose finite basis property has been established by Gao and Ren [7], using an entirely unrelated proof.

Proposition 3.6. $V(S_{(4,14)})$ is the ai-semiring variety determined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (6)$$

$$xy \approx xy + x^2; \quad (7)$$

$$x + xy \approx x^3; \quad (8)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + x_4; \quad (9)$$

$$xy + yz \approx xy + yz + xz. \quad (10)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,14)}$ satisfies the identities (6)–(10). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,14)}$ is derivable from (6)–(10) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$. Since (6) is satisfied by $S_{(4,14)}$, we may assume that $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(9)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. If $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$, then $x, xy \in \mathbf{u}$ for some $x, y \in X$. So we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy \stackrel{(8)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^3 \stackrel{(9)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^3 + \mathbf{q}.$$

This implies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Now assume that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ and that $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,14)}$ be a semiring homomorphism defined by $\varphi(x) = 2$ if $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\varphi(x) = 1$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} \in L_1(\mathbf{u})$ and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial.

Assume that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Let us write $\mathbf{q} = xy$. Now $L_2(\mathbf{u})$ can be thought of as a graph whose vertex set is $c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and edge set consists of $\{x_2, y_2\}$ if $x_2y_2 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. We have that both x and y are vertices of this graph. Suppose by way of contradiction that there is no path connecting x and y in this graph. Consider the semiring homomorphism $\psi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_{(4,14)}$ defined by $\psi(z) = 3$ if z and x are in the same connected component, $\psi(z) = 4$ for all remaining variables z in $c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\psi(z) = 2$ if $z \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $\psi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$ and $\psi(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, a contradiction. It follows that there is a path connecting x and y . So we may assume that $xz_1, z_1z_2, \dots, z_kz_{k+1}, z_{k+1}y \in \mathbf{u}$ for some $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k, z_{k+1} \in X$. We have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xz_1 + z_1z_2 \stackrel{(10)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xz_1 + z_1z_2 + xz_2.$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xz_2. \quad (11)$$

Furthermore, we obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(11)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xz_2 \approx \mathbf{u} + xz_2 + z_2z_3 \stackrel{(10)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xz_2 + z_2z_3 + xz_3.$$

This proves the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xz_3.$$

We can continue this process and finally obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xy.$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

In the remainder of this section we shall show that $S_{(4,20)}$ is finitely based. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,20)}$ contains a copy of S_{10} , which is the flat extension of a group of order two. The following result, which follows from [17, Corollary 2.13] and its proof, provides a solution of the equational problem for S_{10} . Let \mathbf{p} be a word in X^+ . Then $r(\mathbf{p})$ denotes the set

$$\{x \in X \mid m(x, \mathbf{p}) \text{ is an odd number}\}.$$

Lemma 3.7. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be an ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_{10} if and only if $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $r(\mathbf{q}) = r(\mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3k}})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{i_{3k}} \in \mathbf{u}$.*

Proposition 3.8. $V(S_{(4,20)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^4 \approx x^2; \tag{12}$$

$$xy \approx yx; \tag{13}$$

$$xy^2 \approx xy^2 + x; \tag{14}$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3 + x_4 + x_1x_2x_3x_4. \tag{15}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,20)}$ satisfies the identities (12)–(15). In the remainder we only need to show that every nontrivial ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,20)}$ is derivable from (12)–(15) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since T_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 4\}$, we have that T_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that S_{10} is isomorphic to $\{1, 3, 4\}$ and so S_{10} satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 3.7 we obtain that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $r(\mathbf{q}) = r(\mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3k}})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \dots, \mathbf{u}_{i_{3k}} \in \mathbf{u}$. Let $c(\mathbf{q}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s\}$, where $m(x_i, \mathbf{q})$ is an odd number and $m(y_j, \mathbf{q})$ is an even number for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $1 \leq j \leq s$. By the identities (12) and (13) we deduce

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_r^{k_r} y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_s^2, \tag{16}$$

where $k_i = 1$ or 3 for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Now we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \stackrel{(15)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1}.$$

This proves the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1}. \tag{17}$$

Next, we can deduce

$$\mathbf{u} \stackrel{(17)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \stackrel{(15)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i^2 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}_i^3 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2}.$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^3 \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2}.$$

Repeat this process and one can obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^{3^\ell + 1} \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3^\ell}}. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^{3^\ell+1} \mathbf{u}_{i_1}^3 \mathbf{u}_{i_2}^3 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3^\ell}}^3 && \text{(by (18))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i^{3^\ell+1} \mathbf{u}_{i_1}^3 \mathbf{u}_{i_2}^3 \cdots \mathbf{u}_{i_{3^\ell}}^3 \mathbf{u}_1^2 \mathbf{u}_2^2 \cdots \mathbf{u}_n^2 && \text{(by (15))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_r^{k_r} y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_s^2 \mathbf{p}^2 && \text{(by (12), (13))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_r^{k_r} y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_s^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_r^{k_r} y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_s^2 && \text{(by (14))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} \cdots x_r^{k_r} y_1^2 y_2^2 \cdots y_s^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (16))}
\end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Remark 3.9. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,20)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_{10} and T_2 . So we have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,20)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_{10}, T_2).$$

4. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF $S_{(4,15)}$, $S_{(4,41)}$ AND $S_{(4,42)}$

In this section we present finite equational basis for $S_{(4,15)}$, $S_{(4,41)}$ and $S_{(4,42)}$. It is easily verified that all of these semirings contain copies of S_2 . This requires that we be able to provide a solution of the equational problem for S_2 .

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be an ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_2 if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy one of the following conditions:*

- (1) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (2) $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$;
- (3) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$ and $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$.

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to verify that S_2 satisfies the following identities

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 \approx y_1 y_2 y_3; \tag{19}$$

$$xy \approx yx; \tag{20}$$

$$xy + x \approx x^3; \tag{21}$$

$$x^3 + y \approx x^3; \tag{22}$$

$$xy \approx x^2 + y^2. \tag{23}$$

Suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_2$ be an arbitrary substitution. Since the identities (19) and (22) are satisfied by S_2 , it follows immediately that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u}) + \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})$.

Assume that $L_1(\mathbf{u}) \cap L_2(\mathbf{u}) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : P_f(X^+) \rightarrow S_2$ be an arbitrary substitution. Since the identities (19)–(22) are satisfied by S_2 , we can deduce that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})$.

Suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$, $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. It is easy to see that the identity (23) implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Since S_2 satisfies (23), it follows immediately that S_2 also satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Conversely, suppose that S_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$, where $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow S_2$ be a substitution such that $\varphi(x) = 2$ if $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$, $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$, and $\varphi(x) = 1$ for all remaining variables x .

Then $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. This implies that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \leq 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} = x$ for some $x \in X$ and so $\varphi(x) = \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. Thus $x \in c(L_1(\mathbf{u}))$ and so $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$, we write $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$. It follows that $\varphi(x)\varphi(y) = \varphi(xy) = \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and so $\varphi(x) = \varphi(y) = 3$. Hence $x, y \in c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and so $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.2. $V(S_{(4,15)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy \approx yx; \quad (24)$$

$$xy \approx x^2 + y^2; \quad (25)$$

$$xyz \approx xyz + x; \quad (26)$$

$$x^2 + x \approx x^3; \quad (27)$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 + x_4 \approx x_1x_2x_3x_4. \quad (28)$$

Proof. It is easily verified that $S_{(4,15)}$ satisfies the identities (24)–(28). In the remainder we need only prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,15)}$ can be derived by (24)–(28) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since M_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 4\}$, it follows that M_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$. Since S_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, we have that S_2 also satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.1 it is enough to consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \stackrel{(28),(24)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_1^k \mathbf{u}_2^k \cdots \mathbf{u}_n^k \stackrel{(24)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{qp} \stackrel{(26)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{qp} + \mathbf{q},$$

where $k > m(x, \mathbf{q}) + 1$ for all $x \in c(\mathbf{q})$ and $\ell(\mathbf{p}) \geq 2$. This implies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$. Take x in $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $x \in L_1(\mathbf{u})$ and $xy \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X$. So we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy \stackrel{(25)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x + x^2 + y^2 \stackrel{(27)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + y^2 + x^3.$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x^3$. The remaining process are similar to the preceding case.

Case 3. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$, $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. If we write $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$, then $xx_1, yy_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $x_1, y_1 \in X$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 + y_1^2 + x^2 + y^2 && \text{(by (25))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 + y_1^2 + xy && \text{(by (25))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 + y_1^2 + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. \square

Remark 4.3. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,15)}$ is an idempotent extension of S_2 . So $V(S_{(4,15)}) = V(S_2, M_2)$. This shows that $V(S_{(4,15)})$ is the regularization of $V(S_2)$.

Proposition 4.4. $V(S_{(4,41)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$xy + x \approx xy + x^3; \quad (29)$$

$$yx + x \approx yx + x^3; \quad (30)$$

$$x_1y_1z_1 + x_2y_2 \approx x_1y_1z_1 + x_2; \quad (31)$$

$$x_1y_1z_1 + x_2 \approx x_1y_1z_1 + x_2 + x_2y_2; \quad (32)$$

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \approx x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_1x_2; \quad (33)$$

$$x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 \approx x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_1y_2. \quad (34)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,41)}$ satisfies the identities (29)–(34). In the remainder it is enough to prove that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,41)}$ can be derived by (29)–(34) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that L_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 4\}$ and so L_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$ and so $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i)$. On the other hand, we have that S_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and so it satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.1 we only need to consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_i \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + h(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (31))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + h(\mathbf{q}) + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (32))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + h(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$. Take x in $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $x \in L_1(\mathbf{u})$. If $xy \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xy + x \stackrel{(29)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xy + x^3.$$

If $yx \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + yx + x \stackrel{(30)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + yx + x^3.$$

So we can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x^3$. The remaining steps are similar to the preceding case.

Case 3. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_k) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$, $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $\mathbf{u}_i \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. We may assume that $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$ and so $\mathbf{u}_i = xx_1$ for some $x_1 \in X$. If $yy_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y_1 \in X$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 \stackrel{(33)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 + xy \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 + \mathbf{q}.$$

If $y_2y \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y_2 \in X$, then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + y_2y \stackrel{(34)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 + xy \approx \mathbf{u} + xx_1 + yy_1 + \mathbf{q}.$$

So we obtain the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. □

Remark 4.5. It is a routine matter to verify that $S_{(4,41)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_5 . So $V(S_{(4,41)}) = V(S_2, S_5)$. On the other hand, it is easy

to see that both L_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_5 . Also, S_5 satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(L_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [21]. It follows that $\mathbf{V}(S_5) = \mathbf{V}(L_2, T_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,41)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, L_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore have

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,41)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_2, L_2).$$

Corollary 4.6. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,16)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,16)}$ and $S_{(4,41)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 4.4 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,16)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 4.7. *$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,42)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities*

$$x^4 \approx x^3; \tag{35}$$

$$xy \approx yx; \tag{36}$$

$$x^3 \approx x + xy; \tag{37}$$

$$x^2 + yz \approx x^2 + yz + xy; \tag{38}$$

$$x_1x_2x_3 + x_4 \approx x_1x_2x_3 + x_4 + x_4x_5. \tag{39}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,42)}$ satisfies the identities (35)–(39). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,42)}$ is derivable from (35)–(39) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X_c^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. It is easy to see that D_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 4\}$ and so D_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. This implies that $c(\mathbf{u}_i) \subseteq c(\mathbf{q})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Let $c(\mathbf{u}_i) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\mathbf{q} = x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{q}'$ for some word \mathbf{q}' . Since S_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$, it follows that S_2 also satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 4.1 it suffices to consider the following three cases:

Case 1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 3$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_i \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + (x_1x_2 \cdots x_m)^3 && \text{(by (35), (36), (39))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + x_1x_2 \cdots x_m + x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{q}' && \text{(by (37))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + x_1x_2 \cdots x_m + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) \neq \emptyset$. Let x be a variable in $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Then $xy \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y \in X^+$ and so we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x + xy \stackrel{(37)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^3.$$

The remaining steps are similar to the preceding case.

Case 3. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_k) \leq 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$, $c(L_1(\mathbf{u})) \cap c(L_2(\mathbf{u})) = \emptyset$, $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$. Since $c(\mathbf{u}_i) \subseteq c(\mathbf{q})$, it follows that $c(\mathbf{u}_i) \subseteq c(L_2(\mathbf{u}))$ and so $\mathbf{u}_i \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$. Let $\mathbf{q} = xy$ for some $x, y \in X$. Then $\mathbf{u}_i = xy, x^2$ or y^2 . If $\mathbf{u}_i = xy$, then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. If $\mathbf{u}_i = x^2$, we may assume that $yy_1 \in L_2(\mathbf{u})$ for some $y_1 \in X^+$. Then

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + x^2 + yy_1 \stackrel{(38)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + x^2 + yy_1 + xy \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. If $\mathbf{u}_i = y^2$, this is similar to the preceding case. \square

Remark 4.8. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,42)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_2 and S_{13} . So $V(S_{(4,42)}) = V(S_2, S_{13})$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both D_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_{13} . Also, S_{13} satisfies an equational basis of $V(D_2, T_2)$ that can be found in [21]. Thus $V(S_{13}) = V(D_2, T_2)$ and so $V(S_{(4,42)}) = V(S_2, D_2, T_2)$. Since T_2 can be embedded into S_2 , we therefore obtain

$$V(S_{(4,42)}) = V(S_2, D_2).$$

5. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF $S_{(4,30)}$, $S_{(4,47)}$ AND $S_{(4,48)}$

In this section we give finite equational basis for $S_{(4,30)}$, $S_{(4,47)}$ and $S_{(4,48)}$. It is easily verified that all of these semirings contain copies of S_4 . So we first provide a solution of the equational problem for S_4 .

Lemma 5.1. *Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_4 if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy the following conditions:*

- (1) $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$;
- (2) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (3) If \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T):

$$(\forall \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}) m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{u}_j) \leq 1; m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{u}_j) = 1 \Rightarrow t(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{u}_j),$$

then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (T), that is,

$$(\forall \mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}) m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) \leq 1; m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) = 1 \Rightarrow t(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{q});$$

$$m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1; m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) \leq 1; m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) = 1 \Rightarrow t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i).$$

Proof. Suppose that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_4 . Since M_2 and T_2 can be embedded into S_4 , it follows that both M_2 and T_2 satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Assume that \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T). Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow S_4$ be a substitution such that $\varphi(x) = 2$ if $x \in t(\mathbf{u})$ and $\varphi(x) = 3$ otherwise. It is easy to see that $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$. This implies that $\varphi(t(\mathbf{q})) = 2$ and $\varphi(x) = 3$ for all $x \in c(p(\mathbf{q}))$. Thus $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(\mathbf{u})$, $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$ and $c(p(\mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$. Let \mathbf{u}_i be an arbitrary word in \mathbf{u} . Now it follows that $m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) \leq 1$ and $m(t(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) = 1$ implies that $t(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{q})$. Since $t(\mathbf{q}) \in t(\mathbf{u})$ and \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T), we deduce that $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) \leq 1$ and $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) = 1$ implies that $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$. So we have shown that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the property (T).

Conversely, assume that \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy the following conditions (1), (2) and (3). Then $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. Let $\varphi : X \rightarrow S_4$ be an arbitrary substitution. If $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 1$, then

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u}) + \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 1 + \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 1.$$

If $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 3$, then $\varphi(x) = 3$ for all $x \in c(\mathbf{u})$ and so $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 3$. This shows that $\varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 3$. If $\varphi(\mathbf{u}) = 2$, then $\varphi(t(\mathbf{u}_i)) = 2$ for all $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ and $\varphi(x) = 3$ if $x \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_i))$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. This shows that \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T). By assumption we have that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (T). So $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$ and $c(p(\mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, it follows that $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(\mathbf{u})$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_k)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$. This implies that $\varphi(t(\mathbf{q})) = 2$. Let $x \in c(p(\mathbf{q}))$. Since $c(p(\mathbf{q})) \cap t(\mathbf{u}) = \emptyset$, we have that $x \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_\ell))$ for some $\mathbf{u}_\ell \in \mathbf{u}$ and so $\varphi(x) = 3$. Thus $\varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2$ and so

$$\varphi(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) = \varphi(\mathbf{u}) + \varphi(\mathbf{q}) = 2 + 2 = 2 = \varphi(\mathbf{u}).$$

We conclude that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_4 . \square

Remark 5.2. We present an equivalent statement of Lemma 5.1 by a notation that is introduced by [12, Proposition 5.5]. Let $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{v}_m$ be an ai-semiring term such that $\mathbf{v}_j \in X^+$ for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then $\delta(\mathbf{v})$ denotes the set of nonempty subsets Z of $c(\mathbf{v})$ that satisfy the following two conditions:

- $Z \cap c(\mathbf{v}_i)$ is a singleton for every $\mathbf{v}_i \in \mathbf{v}$;
- $m(x, \mathbf{v}_i) = 1$ if $\{x\} = Z \cap c(\mathbf{v}_i)$.

One can observe that $t(\mathbf{v}) \in \delta(\mathbf{v})$ if and only if \mathbf{v} satisfies the property (T). By Lemma 5.1 we immediately deduce that a nontrivial ai-semiring identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_4 if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$;
- (2) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (3) If $t(\mathbf{u}) \in \delta(\mathbf{u})$, then $t(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}) \in \delta(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q})$.

Proposition 5.3. $V(S_{(4,30)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2y \approx xy; \quad (40)$$

$$xyz \approx yxz; \quad (41)$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + y^2 + y^2x^2; \quad (42)$$

$$x + yz \approx x + yz + yx; \quad (43)$$

$$xy \approx xy + y. \quad (44)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,30)}$ satisfies the identities (40)–(44). In the remainder we only need to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,30)}$ is derivable from (40)–(44) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since R_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 3\}$, we have that R_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} = t(\mathbf{q})$ and so $\mathbf{q} = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{q} \stackrel{(44)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_i)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}.$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. Next, suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Then $p(\mathbf{q})$ is a nonempty word. It is easy to see that S_4 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 4\}$ and so S_4 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 5.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$, and \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T) implies that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the property (T).

Case 1. \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T). Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (T). This implies that $m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{q}))$. By the identities (40) and (41) we derive

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1x_2 \cdots x_k t(\mathbf{q}), \quad (45)$$

where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} = c(p(\mathbf{q}))$. We may suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are two disjoint subsets of $c(\mathbf{u})$ such that

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

and

$$t(\mathbf{u}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

where $y_1 = t(\mathbf{q})$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}x_{k+2} \cdots x_r x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k t(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (40), (41), (43))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}x_{k+2} \cdots x_r \mathbf{q} && \text{(by (45))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_{k+1}x_{k+2} \cdots x_r \mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (44))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. \mathbf{u} does not satisfy the property (T). Consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}))$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1} && \text{(by (43))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + (p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1})^2 && \text{(by (40), (41))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (41), (42))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{p}^2 \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (44))} \end{aligned}$$

So we obtain $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 2.2. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. This is similar to the preceding case. \square

Remark 5.4. It is easily verified that $S_{(4,30)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{14} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,30)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_{14})$. On the other hand, one can check that both R_2 and M_2 can be embedded into S_{14} and that S_{14} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(R_2, M_2)$, which can be found in [21]. This implies that $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,14)}) = \mathbf{V}(R_2, M_2)$. Notice that M_2 can be embedded into S_4 . We immediately deduce that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,30)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, R_2).$$

Corollary 5.5. $S_{(4,45)}$ is finitely based.

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,45)}$ is isomorphic to the dual of $S_{(4,30)}$. By Proposition 5.3 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,45)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 5.6. $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,47)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2 y \approx xy; \tag{46}$$

$$x_1 x_2 x_3 x_4 \approx x_1 x_3 x_2 x_4; \tag{47}$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + x; \tag{48}$$

$$x^2 y^2 \approx x^2 y^2 + x^2; \tag{49}$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + y^2 + x^2 y^2; \tag{50}$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + y^2 + y^2 x^2; \tag{51}$$

$$x + yz \approx x + yz + yx; \tag{52}$$

$$xy + zx \approx zy + x^2. \tag{53}$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,47)}$ satisfies the identities (46)–(53). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,47)}$ is derivable from (46)–(53) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since L_2 is isomorphic to

$\{1, 4\}$, it follows that L_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $h(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{u}_i)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that S_4 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and so S_4 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 5.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, $\ell(\mathbf{u}_r) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbf{u}$, and \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T) implies that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the property (T).

Case 1. \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T). Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (T). So we have that

$$(\forall \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}) m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), \mathbf{q}) \leq 1; m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), \mathbf{q}) = 1 \Rightarrow t(\mathbf{u}_j) = t(\mathbf{q});$$

$$m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1; m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_j) \leq 1; m(t(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_j) = 1 \Rightarrow t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_j).$$

Recall that \mathbf{u}_i is a word in \mathbf{u} such that $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$. We shall consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 1.1. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 1$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and so $t(\mathbf{u}_i) \in c(\mathbf{q})$. It follows that $t(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{q})$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{q})$. Thus $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$ and so $\mathbf{q} = \mathbf{u}_i$. Hence $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial.

Subcase 1.2. $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$. Then $p(\mathbf{u}_i)$ is a nonempty word. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_r \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $t(\mathbf{q}) \in c(\mathbf{u}_r)$ and so $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_r)$.

If $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$, then $\mathbf{q} = t(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{q})$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_r \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_r)t(\mathbf{u}_r) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_r)\mathbf{q} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_r)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (52), (53))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_r)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (48))} \end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Now suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Then $h(\mathbf{q}) \neq t(\mathbf{q})$. Since \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T), we may suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are two disjoint subsets of $c(\mathbf{u})$ such that

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

and

$$t(\mathbf{u}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

where $x_1 = h(\mathbf{q})$ and $y_1 = t(\mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx p(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_1 && \text{(by (46), (47), (52))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 x_1^2 \cdots x_m^2 y_1 && \text{(by (46), (47))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 y_1 && \text{(by (47))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 y_1 + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 y_1 && \text{(by (49))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 y_1 + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 y_1 + p(\mathbf{q})y_1 && \text{(by (48))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 (x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 y_1 + (p(\mathbf{q}))^2 y_1 + \mathbf{q}. \end{aligned}$$

This derives $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Case 2. \mathbf{u} does not satisfy the property (T). Consider the following two subcases:

Subcase 2.1. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), \mathbf{u}_k) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_j) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_k))$ and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + \mathbf{u}_k \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_j + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k) + p(\mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{u}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by (52)})$$

This derives

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{u}_j. \quad (54)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{u}_j && (\text{by (54)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)^2p(\mathbf{u}_j)^2t(\mathbf{u}_j)^2 && (\text{by (46), (47)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{u}_k)\mathbf{u}_j)^2 && (\text{by (47)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + (h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \cdots x_m)^2 && (\text{by (50), (51)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 && (\text{by (47)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 && (\text{by (49)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}, && (\text{by (48)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\mathbf{u}) = \{h(\mathbf{q}), x_1, \dots, x_m\}$. This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 2.2. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), \mathbf{u}_k) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_j) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_k)$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j, \mathbf{u}_k \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), p(\mathbf{u}_k)) = 1$ and so $m(t(\mathbf{u}_j), p(\mathbf{u}_k)p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k)) = 2$. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_k \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k) \stackrel{(46)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_k)p(\mathbf{u}_k)t(\mathbf{u}_k).$$

The remaining steps are similar to the preceding case. \square

Remark 5.7. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,47)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_9 . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,47)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_9)$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that both L_2 and M_2 can be embedded into S_9 . Also, S_9 satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(L_2, M_2)$ that can be found in [21]. It follows that $\mathbf{V}(S_9) = \mathbf{V}(L_2, M_2)$ and so $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,47)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, L_2, M_2)$. Since M_2 can be embedded into S_4 , we therefore have that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,47)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, L_2).$$

Corollary 5.8. *The ai-semiring $S_{(4,21)}$ is finitely based.*

Proof. It is easy to see that $S_{(4,21)}$ and $S_{(4,47)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 5.6 we immediately deduce that $S_{(4,21)}$ is finitely based. \square

Proposition 5.9. $V(S_{(4,48)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2y \approx xy; \quad (55)$$

$$xyz \approx yxz; \quad (56)$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + x; \quad (57)$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + y^2 + x^2y^2; \quad (58)$$

$$x + yz \approx x + yz + yx; \quad (59)$$

$$x + xyz \approx x + xyz + xy; \quad (60)$$

$$z + xyz \approx z + xyz + yz. \quad (61)$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,48)}$ satisfies the identities (55)–(61). In the remainder we only need to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,48)}$ is derivable from (55)–(61) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such a nontrivial identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Since D_2 is isomorphic to $\{1, 4\}$, we have that D_2 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ and so $c(\mathbf{u}_i) \subseteq c(\mathbf{q})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that S_4 is isomorphic to $\{1, 2, 3\}$ and so S_4 satisfies $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemma 5.1 we have that $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$, and \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T) implies that $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the property (T).

Case 1. \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T). Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (T). This implies that $t(\mathbf{q}) \notin c(p(\mathbf{q}))$ and $t(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{u}_i)$. By the identities (55) and (56) we derive

$$\mathbf{q} \approx x_1x_2 \cdots x_k t(\mathbf{q}), \quad (62)$$

where $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k\} = c(p(\mathbf{q}))$, $k \geq 0$. We may suppose that $\{x_1, \dots, x_r\}$ and $\{y_1, \dots, y_s\}$ are two disjoint subsets of $c(\mathbf{u})$ such that

$$c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, \dots, x_r\} \cup \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

and

$$t(\mathbf{u}) = \{y_1, \dots, y_s\},$$

where $y_1 = t(\mathbf{q})$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1x_2 \cdots x_kx_{k+1}x_{k+2} \cdots x_r t(\mathbf{q}) && \text{(by (55), (56), (59))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{p}p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i && \text{(by (55), (56))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{p}p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i + p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i && \text{(by (61))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{q})\mathbf{u}_i \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (55), (56))} \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. \mathbf{u} does not satisfy the property (T). Consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}))$ and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1}. \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by (59))}$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1}. \quad (63)$$

Furthermore, we can deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + (p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})\mathbf{u}_{i_1})^2 && \text{(by (55), (56))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{p}^2 && \text{(by (56), (58))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 && \text{(by (55), (56))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (60))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (55), (56))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_i\mathbf{q}^2\mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}, && \text{(by (57))}
\end{aligned}$$

where $c(\mathbf{p}) = c(\mathbf{u})$. So we obtain the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 2.2. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. This is similar to the preceding case. \square

Remark 5.10. It is easily verified that $S_{(4,48)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_{15} . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,48)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_{15})$. On the other hand, we have that both M_2 and D_2 can be embedded into S_{15} and that S_{15} satisfies an equational basis of $\mathbf{V}(M_2, D_2)$, which can be found in [21]. This implies that $\mathbf{V}(S_{15}) = \mathbf{V}(M_2, D_2)$. Since M_2 can be embedded into S_4 , we deduce that

$$\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,48)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, D_2).$$

Notice that $S_{(4,46)}$ and $S_{(4,48)}$ have dual multiplications. By Proposition 5.9 we immediately deduce

Corollary 5.11. $S_{(4,46)}$ is finitely based.

6. EQUATIONAL BASIS OF $S_{(4,12)}$

In this section we provide a finite equational basis of $S_{(4,12)}$ and show that $S_{(4,12)}$ is finitely based. One can easily find that $S_{(4,12)}$ is isomorphic to a subdirect product of S_4 and S_6 . So $\mathbf{V}(S_{(4,12)}) = \mathbf{V}(S_4, S_6)$. Notice that S_6 and S_4 have dual multiplications. By Lemma 5.1 we can deduce the following result, which provides a solution of the equational problem for S_6 .

Lemma 6.1. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be a nontrivial ai-semiring identity such that $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$, where $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is satisfied by S_6 if and only if \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{q} satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$;
- (2) $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$;
- (3) If \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (H):

$$(\forall \mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}) \ m(h(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{u}_j) \leq 1; m(h(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{u}_j) = 1 \Rightarrow h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{u}_j),$$

then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ also satisfies the property (H), that is,

$$(\forall \mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}) \ m(h(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) \leq 1; m(h(\mathbf{u}_i), \mathbf{q}) = 1 \Rightarrow h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q});$$

$$m(h(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{q}) = 1; m(h(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) \leq 1; m(h(\mathbf{q}), \mathbf{u}_i) = 1 \Rightarrow h(\mathbf{q}) = h(\mathbf{u}_i).$$

Proposition 6.2. $V(S_{(4,12)})$ is the ai-semiring variety defined by the identities

$$x^2 \approx x^4; \quad (64)$$

$$x^2y^2 \approx (xy)^2; \quad (65)$$

$$x^2y^2 \approx y^2x^2; \quad (66)$$

$$x^2 \approx x^2 + x; \quad (67)$$

$$x^2y^2 \approx x^2y^2 + x^2; \quad (68)$$

$$x + yx \approx y^2x; \quad (69)$$

$$x + xy \approx xy^2; \quad (70)$$

$$x + y^2 \approx x + y^2 + y^2x^2; \quad (71)$$

$$xy^2 + z \approx xy^2 + zy; \quad (72)$$

$$x^2y + z \approx x^2y + xz; \quad (73)$$

$$x_1^2x_2 + x_3x_4^2 \approx x_1^2x_2^2x_3^2x_4^2; \quad (74)$$

$$x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 \approx x_1x_2 + y_1y_2 + x_1y_2; \quad (75)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_2x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_2x_4 + x_1; \quad (76)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_1x_4 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_1x_4 + x_2; \quad (77)$$

$$x_1x_2 + y_1x_2y_2 \approx x_1x_2 + y_1x_2y_2 + x_1x_2y_2^2; \quad (78)$$

$$x_1x_2 + y_1x_1y_2 \approx x_1x_2 + y_1x_1y_2 + y_1^2x_1x_2; \quad (79)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_2x_4 + x_5 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_2x_4 + x_5x_2; \quad (80)$$

$$x_1x_2 + x_3x_1x_4 + x_5 \approx x_1x_2 + x_3x_1x_4 + x_1x_5; \quad (81)$$

$$x_1x_2 + y_1x_1y_2x_1y_3 \approx x_1x_2 + y_1x_1y_2x_1y_3 + x_1^2x_2; \quad (82)$$

$$x_1x_2 + y_1x_2y_2x_2y_3 \approx x_1x_2 + y_1x_2y_2x_2y_3 + x_1x_2^2; \quad (83)$$

where x_2 and x_3 maybe empty in (74), x_1 and y_1 maybe empty in (78), x_2 and y_2 maybe empty in (79), x_2 , y_1 , y_2 and y_3 maybe empty in (82), and x_1 , y_1 , y_2 and y_3 maybe empty in (83).

Proof. It is easy to verify that $S_{(4,12)}$ satisfies the identities (64)–(83). In the remainder it is enough to show that every ai-semiring identity of $S_{(4,12)}$ is derivable from (64)–(83) and the identities defining **AI**. Let $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ be such an identity, where $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}_1 + \mathbf{u}_2 + \cdots + \mathbf{u}_n$ and $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{q} \in X^+$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then both S_4 and S_6 satisfy $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$. By Lemmas 5.1 and 6.1 we need to consider the following cases.

Case 1. \mathbf{u} satisfies the properties (H) and (T). Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the properties (H) and (T). Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, it follows that there exist $\mathbf{u}_i, \mathbf{u}_j \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_j) = t(\mathbf{q})$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $\mathbf{u}_j = p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q})$.

Subcase 1.1. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) = 1$. Then $h(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{q}) = \mathbf{q}$. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 1$ or $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) = 1$, then it is easy to see that $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ is trivial. Now assume that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$ and $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$.

Then both $s(\mathbf{u}_i)$ and $p(\mathbf{u}_j)$ are nonempty. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (75))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}. && \text{(by (67))}
\end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 1.2. $\ell(\mathbf{q}) \geq 2$. Let $\mathbf{q} = h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \cdots x_m t(\mathbf{q})$, where $m \geq 0$, $h(\mathbf{q}) \neq t(\mathbf{q})$, $x_i \neq h(\mathbf{q})$ and $x_i \neq t(\mathbf{q})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. Suppose by way of contradiction that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) = 1$. Then $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{u}_i) = \mathbf{u}_i$. Since $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$, it follows that $t(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$. This implies that $t(\mathbf{u}_i) \in c(\mathbf{q})$ and so $t(\mathbf{u}_i) = t(\mathbf{q})$. Thus $h(\mathbf{q}) = t(\mathbf{q})$, a contradiction. So $\ell(\mathbf{u}_i) \geq 2$. Similarly, one can show that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_j) \geq 2$. Let $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_{r_i} \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $x_i \in p(\mathbf{u}_{r_i}) \cap s(\mathbf{u}_{r_i})$ and so $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{r_i}) \geq 3$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}_{r_i} = \mathbf{u}'_{r_i} x_i \mathbf{u}''_{r_i}$ for some nonempty words \mathbf{u}'_{r_i} and \mathbf{u}''_{r_i} .

Next, we shall show by induction on k that the identities (64)–(83) can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k t(\mathbf{q})$ for all $0 \leq k \leq m$. Indeed, if $k = 0$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_j \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) + h(\mathbf{q})t(\mathbf{q}). && \text{(by (75))}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})t(\mathbf{q})$. If $k = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_i + \mathbf{u}_{r_1} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_i)s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} && \text{(by (75))} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + \mathbf{u}_j \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{u}_j) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_1} x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \mathbf{u}''_{r_1} + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 t(\mathbf{q}). && \text{(by (75))}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 t(\mathbf{q})$. Let $2 \leq k \leq m$. Suppose that the identities (64)–(83) can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} t(\mathbf{q})$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}_{r_k} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_k} x_k \mathbf{u}''_{r_k} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} t(\mathbf{q}) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_k} x_k \mathbf{u}''_{r_k} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k \mathbf{u}''_{r_k}. && \text{(by (75))}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k \mathbf{u}''_{r_k}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k \mathbf{u}_{r_k}'' \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k \mathbf{u}_{r_k}'' + \mathbf{u}_j \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k \mathbf{u}_{r_k}'' + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k \mathbf{u}_{r_k}'' + p(\mathbf{u}_j)t(\mathbf{q}) + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k t(\mathbf{q}). \quad (\text{by (75)})
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_k t(\mathbf{q}).$$

Take $k = m$. We obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_{k-1}x_m t(\mathbf{q}) \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 2. \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (H), but does not satisfy the property (T). Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$ satisfies the property (H). Let $\mathbf{q} = h(\mathbf{q})x_1 \cdots x_m$, where $m \geq 0$. Then $h(\mathbf{q}) \neq x_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. Since $c(\mathbf{q}) \subseteq c(\mathbf{u})$, it follows that there exists $\mathbf{u}_i \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $h(\mathbf{u}_i) = h(\mathbf{q})$ and so $\mathbf{u}_i = h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i)$, where $s(\mathbf{u}_i)$ maybe empty. For any $1 \leq i \leq m$, there exists $\mathbf{u}_{r_i} \in \mathbf{u}$ such that $x_i \in s(\mathbf{u}_{r_i})$ and so $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{r_i}) \geq 2$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}_{r_i} = \mathbf{u}'_{r_i}x_i\mathbf{u}''_{r_i}$ for some nonempty word \mathbf{u}'_{r_i} and some word \mathbf{u}''_{r_i} , which maybe empty. Since \mathbf{u} does not satisfy the property (T), we need to consider the following two subcases.

Subcase 2.1. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Suppose that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) = 1$. Then $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) = t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$ and so $m(h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$. This contradicts with the fact that \mathbf{u} satisfies (H). Thus $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \geq 2$ and so $p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$ is nonempty. Moreover, we can deduce that $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$ and so $\mathbf{u}_{i_2} = \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2}$ for some nonempty words \mathbf{u}'_{i_2} and \mathbf{u}''_{i_2} . Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}_i \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i)t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}). \quad (\text{by (80)})
\end{aligned}$$

So we may assume that $s(\mathbf{u}_i)$ is nonempty. Similarly, for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}_{r_i} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}'_{r_i}x_i\mathbf{u}''_{r_i} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}'_{r_i}x_i\mathbf{u}''_{r_i}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}). \quad (\text{by (80)})
\end{aligned}$$

From this observation we may assume that \mathbf{u}''_{r_i} is nonempty for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. One can prove by induction on k that the identities (64)–(83) can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_k \mathbf{u}''_{r_k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. This process is similar to Subcase 1.2. Put $k = m$. We obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{u}''_{r_m}.$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \\
&\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + p(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}). \quad (\text{by (75)})
\end{aligned}$$

This implies the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_mt(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}).$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_mt(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_mt(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_mt(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_mt(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m. \quad (\text{by (76)}) \end{aligned}$$

This derives

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Subcase 2.2. $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \in c(p(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}))$. If $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \geq 2$, then $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$. This implies that $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$ and so $\mathbf{u}_{i_2} = \mathbf{u}'_{i_2}t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})\mathbf{u}''_{i_2}$ for some nonempty words \mathbf{u}'_{i_2} and \mathbf{u}''_{i_2} . The remaining process is similar to Subcase 2.1. Now assume that $\ell(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) = 1$. Then $t(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) = h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) = \mathbf{u}_{i_1}$ and so $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = \mathbf{u}_{i_1}$. This implies that $\mathbf{u}_{i_2} = \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$, where $s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$ is nonempty. By the identity (70) we deduce

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$$

and so we obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}). \quad (84)$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) && (\text{by (84)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}_i \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + h(\mathbf{q})s(\mathbf{u}_i)s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}). && (\text{by (72)}) \end{aligned}$$

So we may assume that $s(\mathbf{u}_i)$ is nonempty. Similarly, for any $1 \leq i \leq m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) && (\text{by (84)}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}_{r_i} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_i}x_i\mathbf{u}''_{r_i} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}'_{r_i}x_i\mathbf{u}''_{r_i}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}). && (\text{by (72)}) \end{aligned}$$

From this result we may assume that \mathbf{u}''_{r_i} is nonempty for all $1 \leq i \leq m$. One can prove by induction on k that the identities (64)–(83) can derive $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_k\mathbf{u}''_{r_k}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq m$. This process is similar to Subcase 1.2. Put $k = m$. We obtain

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m\mathbf{u}''_{r_m}.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m\mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m\mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m\mathbf{u}''_{r_m} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1}s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_ms(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \quad (\text{by (75)}) \end{aligned}$$

This proves the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_ms(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}). \quad (85)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} \stackrel{(70)}{\approx} \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$$

and so the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \quad (86)$$

is derived. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) && \text{(by (85), (86))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) s(\mathbf{u}_{i_2}) + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m. && \text{(by (76))} \end{aligned}$$

This derives the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{q})x_1x_2 \cdots x_m.$$

So we obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}.$$

Case 3. \mathbf{u} satisfies the property (T), but does not satisfy the property (H). This is similar to Case 2.

Case 4. \mathbf{u} does not satisfy the property (H) or the property (T).

Subcase 4.1. $m(h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$ and $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}), \mathbf{u}_{j_2}) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \mathbf{u}_{j_1}, \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{u}_{i_1} = h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})$, $\mathbf{u}_{i_2} = \mathbf{p}_1 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_2 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_3$, $\mathbf{u}_{j_1} = p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{j_2} = \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_3$, where $s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{p}_1, \mathbf{p}_2, \mathbf{p}_3, p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}), \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3$ maybe empty. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{i_1} + \mathbf{u}_{i_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{p}_1 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_2 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_3 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + \mathbf{p}_1 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_2 h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \mathbf{p}_3 + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by (82))}$$

This derives the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}). \quad (87)$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{j_1} + \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) + \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_3 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) + \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_3 + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}). \end{aligned} \quad \text{(by (83))}$$

So we obtain the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}). \quad (88)$$

Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) && \text{(by (87), (88))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})s(\mathbf{u}_{i_1})p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) && \text{(by (74))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + x_1^2 x_2^2 \cdots x_m^2 && \text{(by (65), (71))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 && \text{(by (64), (65), (66))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 && \text{(by (68))} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}^2 \mathbf{p}^2 + \mathbf{q}^2 + \mathbf{q}, && \text{(by (67))} \end{aligned}$$

where $c(\mathbf{u}) = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m\}$. This derives the identity $\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{q}$.

Subcase 4.2. $m(h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) \geq 2$, $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}), \mathbf{u}_{j_2}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{j_2})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \mathbf{u}_{j_1}, \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. Then $\mathbf{u}_{j_1} = p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})$ and $\mathbf{u}_{j_2} = \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2$ where \mathbf{q}_2 is nonempty, $p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})$ and \mathbf{q}_1 maybe empty. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{u} &\approx \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{j_1} + \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) + \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2 \\ &\approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) + \mathbf{q}_1 t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2 + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2^2. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{by (78)})$$

This derives the identity

$$\mathbf{u} \approx \mathbf{u} + p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2^2,$$

where $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{j_2}), p(\mathbf{u}_{j_1})t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \mathbf{q}_2^2) \geq 2$. The remaining is similar to Subcase 4.1.

Subcase 4.3. $m(h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = 1$, $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq h(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$ and $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}), \mathbf{u}_{j_2}) \geq 2$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \mathbf{u}_{j_1}, \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. The process is similar to Subcases 4.1 and 4.2.

Subcase 4.4. $m(h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}), \mathbf{u}_{i_2}) = 1$, $h(\mathbf{u}_{i_1}) \neq h(\mathbf{u}_{i_2})$, $m(t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}), \mathbf{u}_{j_2}) = 1$ and $t(\mathbf{u}_{j_1}) \neq t(\mathbf{u}_{j_2})$ for some $\mathbf{u}_{i_1}, \mathbf{u}_{i_2}, \mathbf{u}_{j_1}, \mathbf{u}_{j_2} \in \mathbf{u}$. The process is similar to Subcases 4.1 and 4.2. \square

7. CONCLUSION

We have answered the finite basis problem for 4-element ai-semirings whose additive reducts are semilattices of height 1. We shall proceed to study the corresponding problem for remaining 4-element ai-semirings. From the whole paper one can further conclude that flat semirings are very important in the theory of ai-semiring varieties. Proposition 2.8 tells us that \mathbf{F}_n is a subvariety of \mathbf{F}_{n+1} for all $n \geq 1$. But we still do not know whether \mathbf{F}_n is a proper subvariety of \mathbf{F}_{n+1} for all $n \geq 1$. Of course, it is easy to see that \mathbf{F}_1 is proper in \mathbf{F}_2 and that \mathbf{F}_2 is proper in \mathbf{F}_3 . Moreover, it is of interest to study the relationship among the finite basis problem for S_{ne} , S_{ie} and S .

Acknowledgements The authors thank their team members Zexi Liu, Qizheng Sun, Mengyu Yuan and Mengya Yue for discussions contributed to this paper.

REFERENCES

1. L. Aceto, Z. Ésik, A. Ingólfssdóttir, The max-plus algebra of the natural numbers has no finite equational basis, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* **293** (2003), no. 1, 169–188.
2. H. Andréka and Sz. Mikulás, Axiomatizability of positive algebras of binary relations, *Algebra Universalis* **66** (2011), no. 1–2, 7–34.
3. A. Connes, C. Consani, On absolute algebraic geometry the affine case, *Adv. Math.* **390** (2021), 107909.
4. J.H. Conway, *Regular Algebra and Finite Machines*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Mathematics, Chapman and Hall, Ltd., London, 1971.
5. I. Dolinka, A nonfinitely based finite semiring, *Internat. J. Algebra Comput.* **17** (2007), no. 8, 1537–1551.
6. I. Dolinka, S.V. Gusev, M.V. Volkov, Semiring and involution identities of powers of inverse semigroups, *Comm. Algebra* **52** (2024), no. 5, 1922–1929.
7. Z.D. Gao, M.M. Ren, The variety generated by some flat semirings, *Pure Appl. Math.* **38** (2022), no. 1, 79–90. (in Chinese)
8. S. Ghosh, F. Pastijn, X.Z. Zhao, Varieties Generated by Ordered Bands I, *Order* **22** (2005), no. 2, 109–128.
9. K. Głazek, *A Guide to the Literature on Semirings and their Applications in Mathematics and Information Science*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2001.
10. J.S. Golan, *The Theory of Semirings with Applications in Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, Longman Scientific and Technical, Harlow, 1992.

11. M. Jackson, Flat algebras and the translation of universal Horn logic to equational logic, *J. Symb. Logic* **73** (2008), no. 1, 90–128.
12. M. Jackson, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Nonfinitely based ai-semirings with finitely based semigroup reducts, *J. Algebra* **611** (2022), 211–245.
13. M. Kuřil, L. Polák, On varieties of semilattice-ordered semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **71** (2005), no. 1, 27–48.
14. D. Maclagan, B. Sturmfels, Introduction to Tropical Geometry, Grad. Stud. Math., vol. 161. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2015.
15. F. Pastijn, Varieties generated by ordered bands II, *Order* **22** (2005), no. 2, 129–143.
16. M.M. Ren, M. Jackson, X.Z. Zhao, D.L. Lei, Flat extensions of groups and limit varieties of additively idempotent semirings, *J. Algebra* **623** (2023), 64–85.
17. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, The varieties of semilattice-ordered semigroups satisfying $x^3 \approx x$ and $xy \approx yx$, *Period. Math. Hungar.* **72** (2016), no. 2, 158–170.
18. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, Y. Shao, The lattice of ai-semiring varieties satisfying $x^n \approx x$ and $xy \approx yx$, *Semigroup Forum* **100** (2020), 542–567.
19. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, M.V. Volkov, The Burnside ai-semiring variety defined by $x^n \approx x$, <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2207.05490>
20. M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, A.F. Wang, On the varieties of ai-semirings satisfying $x^3 \approx x$, *Algebra Universalis* **77** (2017), no. 4, 395–408.
21. Y. Shao, M.M. Ren, On the varieties generated by ai-semirings of order two, *Semigroup Forum* **91** (2015), 171–184.
22. V. Yu. Shaprynski, Semiring identities in the semigroup B_0 , arXiv:2305.17466v1.
23. M.V. Volkov, Semiring identities of the Brandt monoid, *Algebra Universalis* **82** (2021), no. 3, 42.
24. Y.N. Wu, X.Z. Zhao, M.M. Ren, On varieties of flat nil-semirings, *Semigroup Forum* **106** (2023), no. 1, 271–284.
25. Y.N. Wu, M.M. Ren, X.Z. Zhao, The additively idempotent semiring S_7^0 is nonfinitely based, *Semigroup Forum* **108** (2024), no. 2, 479–487.
26. X.Z. Zhao, M.M. Ren, S. Crvenković, Y. Shao, P. Đapić, The variety generated by an ai-semiring of order three, *Ural Math. J.* **6**(2) (2020) 117–132.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
 Email address: miaomiaoren@yeah.net

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
 Email address: 1jy201817706@163.com

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
 Email address: 11zeng@yeah.net

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, NORTHWEST UNIVERSITY, XI'AN, 710127, SHAANXI, P.R. CHINA
 Email address: cm1469937292@163.com

TABLE 3. The multiplicative tables of 4-element ai-semirings whose additive reduct are semilattices of height 1

Semiring	\cdot	Semiring	\cdot	Semiring	\cdot
$S_{(4,1)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,2)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,3)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 2		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,4)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,5)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,6)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 2
	1 1 1 2		1 1 1 3		1 1 1 3
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,7)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,8)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,9)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 2		1 1 1 2
	1 1 1 4		1 1 2 1		1 1 2 3
$S_{(4,10)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,11)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,12)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 2
	1 1 1 1		1 1 1 3		1 1 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4
$S_{(4,13)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,14)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,15)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 2		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 3		1 1 2 1		1 1 2 1
	1 1 3 4		1 1 1 2		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,16)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,17)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,18)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 2
	1 1 2 4		1 1 3 1		1 1 3 1
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,19)}$	1 1 1 4	$S_{(4,20)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,21)}$	1 1 1 4
	1 1 1 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 2 4
	1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4		1 1 3 4
	1 1 1 4		1 1 4 3		1 1 1 4
$S_{(4,22)}$	1 1 3 4	$S_{(4,23)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,24)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 1
	1 1 3 4		1 1 1 1		1 1 1 3
	1 1 3 4		1 2 3 4		1 2 3 4
$S_{(4,25)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,26)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,27)}$	1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 2		1 1 1 2		1 1 1 1
	1 1 1 3		1 1 2 3		1 1 3 1
	1 2 3 4		1 2 3 4		1 2 1 4
$S_{(4,28)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,29)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,30)}$	1 1 3 1
	1 1 1 2		1 1 2 1		1 1 3 1
	1 1 3 1		1 1 3 1		1 1 3 1
	1 2 1 4		1 2 1 4		1 2 3 4
$S_{(4,31)}$	1 1 3 1	$S_{(4,32)}$	1 1 1 1	$S_{(4,33)}$	1 1 1 4
	1 1 3 2		1 2 1 1		1 2 1 4
	1 1 3 1		1 1 3 1		1 1 3 4
	1 2 3 4		1 1 1 4		1 1 1 4

$S_{(4,34)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 3 4 1 1 4 3	$S_{(4,35)}$	1 1 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 1 3 4 1 1 3 4	$S_{(4,36)}$	1 1 3 1 1 2 3 4 1 1 3 1 1 4 3 2
$S_{(4,37)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 3 4 1 3 4 2 1 4 2 3	$S_{(4,38)}$	1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4 1 2 3 4	$S_{(4,39)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,40)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,41)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,42)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 2 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,43)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,44)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,45)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,46)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 2 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,47)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,48)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 1 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,49)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 2 3 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,50)}$	1 1 1 4 1 1 2 4 1 2 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,51)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 3 1 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,52)}$	1 1 1 4 1 2 1 4 1 1 3 4 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,53)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 3 1 1 3 2 1 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,54)}$	1 1 1 4 1 2 3 4 1 3 2 4 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,55)}$	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,56)}$	1 1 1 1 1 2 1 1 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4	$S_{(4,57)}$	1 1 1 4 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3 4 4 4 4
$S_{(4,58)}$	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2				