A PRIORI INTERIOR ESTIMATES FOR SPECIAL LAGRANGIAN CURVATURE EQUATIONS

GUOHUAN QIU AND XINGCHEN ZHOU

ABSTRACT. We establish a priori interior curvature estimates for the special Lagrangian curvature equations in both the critical phase and convex case. Additionally, we prove a priori interior gradient estimates for any constant phases.

1. INTRODUCTION

The special Lagrangian equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \arctan \lambda_i(D^2 u) = \Theta \tag{1}$$

was introduced by Harvey-Lawson [7] back in 1982. Its solutions u were shown to have the property that the graph $(x, \nabla u) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$ is a Lagrangian submanifold which is absolutely volume-minimizing. In this paper, we are going to develop some analytical properties of the special Lagrangian curvature equation (2) which has similar structure as (1).

Given a hypersurface $M \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we denote its position vector by X and ν an outer normal vector. At any point $X \in M$, the principal curvature $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \cdots, \kappa_n)$ satisfy an equation

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \arctan \kappa_i = \Theta.$$
(2)

Equation (2) was first studied by Graham Smith in [23], where he referred to it as the "special Lagrangian curvature" equations. He introduced the notion of special Lagrangian curvature as an alternative higher-dimensional generalization of two or three-dimensional scalar curvature. He also demonstrated how this curvature is related to the canonical special Legendrian structure of spherical subbundles of the tangent bundle of the ambient manifold. He then established a compactness result if $\Theta \in \left[\frac{(n-1)\pi}{2}, \frac{n\pi}{2}\right]$.

Harvey-Lawson, in [6], investigated the existence of so-called "special Lagrangian potential equations" given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \arctan(\lambda_i^g(A)) = \Theta \quad for \quad A \in Sym^2(\mathbb{R}^n),$$
(3)

where $g: Sym^2(\mathbb{R}^n) \to \mathbb{R}$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree m. As mentioned in their paper, besides the special Lagrangian equation (2), one important example of (3) is the deformed Hermitian-Yang-Mills equation, which appears in mirror symmetry. Another interesting example of (3) is the special Lagrangian curvature equation (2) from hypersurface geometry.

Moreover, our motivation for studying the special Lagrangian curvature equation comes from an observation made in Section 6 that in dimension two, this equation (2) is equivalent to the equation in the optimal transportation problem with a "relative heat cost" function, as discussed in Brenier's paper [1].

Several years ago, Warren-Yuan [28] and Wang-Yuan [26] successfully derived a priori interior Hessian and gradient estimates for the special Lagrangian equations (1) for both critical and supercritical phases. Chen-Warren-Yuan also obtained results for the convex case in [2]. In this work, we aim to extend their results by proving the following theorem, which generalizes their findings to the special Lagrangian curvature equations (2).

Theorem 1.1. Consider a smooth hypersurface M in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , which can be parametrized as a graph over $B_{10} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ for $n \geq 3$. The graph function is assumed to be a solution to the equation (2). If $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex, then we have

$$\sup_{x \in B_{\frac{1}{2}}} |\kappa(x)| \le C,\tag{4}$$

where C depends only on $||M||_{L^{\infty}(B_{10})}$ and n.

Motivated by Weyl isometric embedding problem, E. Heinz in [8] first established these interior curvature estimates (4) to the scalar curvature equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . The special Lagrangian curvature equations are fully nonlinear elliptic partial differential equations. Heinz's interior C^2 estimate is a highly non-trivial result for fully nonlinear PDEs. This is because Pogorelov [16] constructed non- C^2 convex solutions to the equation det $D^2u = 1$ in $B_r \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ when $n \ge 3$. Pogorelov's counter-examples were extended by Urbas in [24] to σ_k hessian equation when $n \ge k \ge 3$. When k = 2, a pioneer work was done by Warren-Yuan [27] where they obtained C^2 interior estimate for the equation

$$\sigma_2(\nabla^2 u) = 1, \quad x \in B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^3.$$

In order to show an interior C^2 estimate for $\sigma_2(\nabla^2 u) = f$ with a smooth f in dimension three, the first-named author established a strong trace Jacobi inequality and a doubling lemma in [18]. The trace Jacobi inequality and the doubling lemma are crucial components in the recent resolution of the four-dimensional case by Shankar-Yuan in [21]. While the paper [11, 4, 19] have established various results under specific convexity conditions, the higher-dimensional case ($n \ge 5$) of 2-Hessian equations remains an open question.

In hypersurface geometry, numerous curvature equations are formulated as fully nonlinear PDEs. Sheng-Urbas-Wang in [22] proved interior curvature estimates for a class of curvature equations given by $\frac{\sigma_k(\kappa)}{\sigma_{k-1}(\kappa)} = f$. The first-named author in [17] established interior curvature estimates for the scalar curvature equation, corresponding to the case $\Theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$ in dimension three. For higher dimensions scalar curvature equations, Guan and the first-named author in [4] obtained estimate (4) with certain convexity constraints. Moreover, we succeeded in establishing a higher-dimensional version of Heinz's compactness result for isometrically immersed hypersurfaces in \mathbb{R}^n with positive scalar curvature in the same paper [4].

An interesting fact is that these special Lagrangian curvature equations (2) also enjoy a priori interior curvature estimates, similar to the special Lagrangian equations (1). A key observation is that the graph $\Sigma = (X, \nu)$ where X satisfies this equation (2) can be viewed as

a submanifold in $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dx_i^2 + i_{\mathbb{S}^n}^* (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dy_i^2))$ with bounded mean curvature. These submanifold with bounded mean curvature allows us to use Michael-Simon's mean value inequality in [12]. Another important ingredient in this problem is establishing a strong Jacobi inequality. We establish a strong trace Jacobi inequality for the special Lagrangian curvature equations in critical and convex cases. Finally, we apply a modified integral method of Warren-Yuan [27, 28] and Wang-Yuan [26] without relying on Sobolev inequality.

The general supercritical case is unclear to us. Although all the other parts work fine in the supercritical case, we can only prove the Jacobi inequality on the critical or convex case in our Theorem 3.1. This is because the curvature term may disrupt the Jacobi inequality, which is crucial for the interior estimate. In contrast to the special Lagrangian equation, we encounter a term like $\frac{|A|^2}{H}G^{ij}h_{ij}$ for this curvature equation. However, $G^{ij}h_{ij}$ may be negative in the supercritical case when $\kappa_1 \ge \cdots \ge \kappa_{n-1} \to +\infty$ and $\kappa_n \approx -1$. Therefore, this troublesome term is even more challenging than the terms from the cutoff function. We note that a similar bad term also appears in Guan-Ren-Wang's paper [5], where they derived a global C^2 a priori estimate for convex solutions of curvature equations with a prescribed function that depends on ν . Instead, we conjecture that there may be a $C^{1,\alpha}$ singular solution to the special Lagrangian curvature equation for supercritical case, particular when 0 < $\Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$, in \mathbb{R}^2 . Because the equation can also be derived from an optimal transportation problem, as shown Lemma 6.1 in section 6, but it violates the famous Ma-Trudinger-Wang condition when $0 < \Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$. According to Ma, Trudinger, Wang's paper [10] and Loeper's paper [9], this condition is necessary and sufficient to guarantee that the equation has interior second-order estimates for general optimal transportation problems. Note that we can not use Loeper's general counterexample for this particular curvature equation.

In section 7, we prove the interior gradient estimate for all constant phases.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose M is a smooth graph over $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and it is a solution of equation (2). Then we have

$$|Du(0)| \le C(n) \underset{B_1(0)}{osc} u.$$

We remark that for the special Lagrangian equation (1), especially for sub-critical phases, the interior gradient estimate remains open, as mentioned in [13]. Due to the existence of singular solutions for the special Lagrangian equation (1) in subcritical phases ($|\Theta| < \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$), as demonstrated by Nadirashvili-Vladu [15], Wang-Yuan [25], and Mooney-Savin [14] for non C^1 examples, we do not expect the special Lagrangian curvature equation to have interior curvature estimates when $|\Theta| < \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

We first introduce some definitions and notations.

Definition 2.1. For $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the k-th elementary symmetric function $\sigma_k(\kappa)$ is defined as

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) := \sum \kappa_{i_1} \cdots \kappa_{i_k},$$

where the sum is taken over for all strictly increasing sequences i_1, \dots, i_k of the indices chosen from the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. And we denote $\sigma_0 = 1$. The definition can be extended to symmetric matrices where $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \dots, \kappa_n)$ are the corresponding eigenvalues of the symmetric matrices.

For example, in \mathbb{R}^3

$$\sigma_2(\kappa) := \kappa_1 \kappa_2 + \kappa_1 \kappa_3 + \kappa_2 \kappa_3$$

Definition 2.2. For $1 \le k \le n$, let Γ_k be a cone in \mathbb{R}^n determined by

$$\Gamma_k = \{ \kappa \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sigma_1(\kappa) > 0, \cdots, \sigma_k(\kappa) > 0 \}.$$

Definition 2.3. A C^2 surface M is called admissible if at every point $X \in M$, its principal curvature satisfies $(\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \dots, \kappa_n) \in \Gamma_{n-1}$.

We remark that M is admissible when $\Theta \ge \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M convex, according to Wang-Yuan [26], Lemma 2.1. Thus for a curvature equation (2), we may assume that M is admissible without loss of generality.

For any symmetric matrix h_{ij} , it follows that $\sigma_k^{ij} := \frac{\partial \sigma_k(\kappa(h_{ij}))}{\partial h_{ij}}$ is positive definite if $\kappa(h_{ij}) \in \Gamma_k$, for each $1 \le k \le n$.

We also have an important algebraic property.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose the ordered real numbers $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_n$ with $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-1}$, then

$$\kappa_i + (n-i)\kappa_n \ge 0,\tag{5}$$

for each $1 \le i \le n-1$.

Proof. We only need to prove it when $\kappa_n < 0$. Notice that $\kappa_{n-1} > 0$, we have

$$\frac{\sigma_{n-1}}{\kappa_1 \cdots \hat{\kappa}_i \cdots \kappa_{n-1}} \ge 0 \Rightarrow \kappa_i + \sum_{l=i}^{n-1} \frac{\kappa_i}{\kappa_l} \kappa_n \ge 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\kappa_i + (n-i)\kappa_n \ge \kappa_i + \sum_{l=i}^{n-1} \frac{\kappa_i}{\kappa_l}\kappa_n \ge 0.$$

We recall some elementary facts about hypersurface $X = (x, u(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Denoting

$$W = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2},$$

the second fundamental form and the first fundamental form of the hypersurface can be written in local coordinate as

$$h_{ij} = \frac{u_{ij}}{W}$$

and

$$g_{ij} = \delta_{ij} + u_i u_j.$$

The inverse of the first fundamental form and the Weingarten Curvature are

$$g^{ij} = \delta_{ij} - \frac{u_i u_j}{W^2}$$

and

$$h_i^j = D_i(\frac{u_j}{W}).$$

Definition 2.4. The Newton transformation tensor is defined as

$$[T_k]_i^j := \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{jj_1 \cdots j_k}^{ii_1 \cdots i_k} h_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots h_{j_k}^{i_k},$$

and the corresponding (2,0)-tensor is defined as

$$[T_k]^{ij} := [T_k]_p^i g^{pj}.$$

In particularly,

$$[T_0]^{ij} = g^{ij}.$$

If $k \notin \{0, 1, 2, \cdots n\}$, we define

$$[T_k]^{ij} = 0.$$

From this definition one can easily show a divergence free identity

$$\sum_{j} \partial_j [T_k]_i^j = 0.$$

Lemma 2.2. There is a family of elementary relations between σ_k operators and Newton transformation tensors

$$[T_k]_i^j = \sigma_k \delta_i^j - [T_{k-1}]_i^l h_l^j,$$
(6)

or

$$[T_k]_i^j = \sigma_k \delta_i^j - [T_{k-1}]_l^j h_i^l.$$
(7)

Moreover, the (2,0)-tensor of T_k is symmetry such that

$$[T_k]^{ij} = [T_k]^{ji}.$$
 (8)

Proof. From Definition 2.1, it is easy to check that

$$\sigma_k(\kappa) = \frac{1}{k!} \delta^{i_1 \cdots i_k}_{j_1 \cdots j_k} h^{i_1}_{j_1} \cdots h^{i_k}_{j_k}.$$
(9)

By definition and (9), we obtain (6) as follows:

$$\begin{split} [T_k]_i^j &= \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{jj_1 \cdots j_k}^{ii_1 \cdots i_k} h_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots h_{j_k}^{i_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} (\delta_j^i \delta_{j_1 \cdots j_k}^{i_1 \cdots i_k} - \delta_j^{i_1} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}^{ii_2 \cdots i_k} - \delta_j^{i_2} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}^{i_1 i \cdots i_k} + \cdots) h_{j_1}^{i_1} h_{j_2}^{i_2} \cdots h_{j_k}^{i_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{k!} \delta_{j_1 \cdots j_k}^{i_1 \cdots i_k} h_{j_1}^{i_1} \cdots h_{j_k}^{i_k} \delta_j^i - \frac{k}{k!} \sum_{\substack{i_2 \cdots i_k \\ j_1 \cdots j_k}} \delta_{j_1 j_2 \cdots j_k}^{ii_2 \cdots i_k} h_{j_1}^{j_1} h_{j_2}^{i_2} \cdots h_{j_k}^{i_k} \\ &= \sigma_k \delta_i^j - [T_{k-1}]_i^k h_k^j. \end{split}$$

And we can also obtain (7) in the same way.

For k = 1, the symmetry of the (2, 0)-tensor of T_1 is obviously from the symmetry of h. Inductively, we assume the symmetry of T_k is true when k = m. From (6), we have

$$[T_{m+1}]^{ij} = [T_{m+1}]^i_l g^{lj} = \sigma_{m+1} \delta^i_l g^{lj} - [T_m]^p_l h^i_p g^{lj}$$

= $\sigma_{m+1} g^{ij} - [T_m]^{pj} h^i_p.$

On the other hand, by (7) we have

$$\begin{split} [T_{m+1}]^{ji} &= [T_{m+1}]^j_l g^{li} = \sigma_{m+1} \delta^j_l g^{li} - [T_m]^j_p h^p_l g^{li} \\ &= \sigma_{m+1} g^{ji} - [T_m]^{jp\prime} g_{pp\prime} h^p_l g^{li} \\ &= \sigma_{m+1} g^{ji} - [T_m]^{jp} h^i_p. \end{split}$$

So from the symmetry of g and T_m , we have proved (8).

The algebraic form of the special Lagrangian curvature equation (2) is sometimes useful and is given by:

$$F(\kappa) := \cos \Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}(\kappa(x)) - \sin \Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k}(\kappa(x)) = 0.$$
(10)

It can sometimes be more useful to express the equation in the following form:

Lemma 2.3. Denote $\theta := \Theta - \frac{(n-1)\pi}{2}$, then we have

$$F(\kappa) = \cos\theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k} - \sin\theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k-1} = 0.$$
(11)

Proof. If n = 2m + 1, it is easy to see that

$$\cos \Theta = (-1)^m \cos \theta$$

$$\sin \Theta = (-1)^m \sin \theta.$$

Then the equation (10) can be written into

$$F(\kappa) = (-1)^m \cos\theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n-1} (-1)^{\frac{n-2k-1}{2}} \sigma_{n-2k} - (-1)^m \sin\theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^{\frac{n-2k-1}{2}} \sigma_{n-2k-1}$$
$$= \cos\theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n-1} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k} - \sin\theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k-1} = 0.$$

If n = 2m, it is easy to see that

$$\cos \Theta = (-1)^m \sin \theta,$$

$$\sin \Theta = (-1)^{m-1} \cos \theta.$$

Then the equation (10) can be written into

$$F(\kappa) = (-1)^{m} \sin \theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n-1} (-1)^{\frac{n-2k-2}{2}} \sigma_{n-2k-1} - (-1)^{m-1} \cos \theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^{\frac{n-2k}{2}} \sigma_{n-2k}$$
$$= -\sin \theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n-1} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{n-2k-1} + \cos \theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{n-2k} = 0.$$

We show that $V := \cos \Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k} + \sin \Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}$ is positive and satisfies

Lemma 2.4.

 $V \ge 1.$

Proof. We denote

$$V_1 := \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k}$$

and

$$V_2 := \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}$$

Our equation (2) and V are

$$0 = \cos \Theta V_2 - \sin \Theta V_1$$
$$V = \sin \Theta V_2 + \cos \Theta V_1.$$

 $V_1 = \cos \Theta V$

 $V_2 = \sin \Theta V.$

Thus we have

and

We have directly

$$\Pi_{i=1}^{n}(1+\sqrt{-1}\kappa_{i}) = \sum_{k=0}^{n}(\sqrt{-1})^{k}\sigma_{k}$$

=
$$\sum_{0\leq 2k\leq n}(-1)^{k}\sigma_{2k} + \sum_{1\leq 2k+1\leq n}\sqrt{-1}(-1)^{k}\sigma_{2k+1}$$

=
$$V_{1} + \sqrt{-1}V_{2}.$$

Thus by the equation (2), we have

$$\cos\Theta\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+\sqrt{-1}\kappa_i)-\sqrt{-1}\sin\Theta\prod_{i=1}^{n}(1+\sqrt{-1}\kappa_i)=V.$$

So we only need to prove the left hand side is positive when u satisfies the equation (2). Denoting $\theta_i = \arctan \kappa_i \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$, we have

$$\Pi_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \sqrt{-1}\kappa_{i}) = \Pi_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \sqrt{-1}\tan\theta_{i})$$

= $\Pi_{l=1}^{n}\cos^{-1}\theta_{l}\Pi_{i=1}^{n}(\cos\theta_{i} + \sqrt{-1}\sin\theta_{i})$
= $\Pi_{l=1}^{n}\cos^{-1}\theta_{l}[\cos(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\theta_{i}) + \sqrt{-1}\sin(\sum_{i=1}^{n}\theta_{i})]$
= $\Pi_{l=1}^{n}\cos^{-1}\theta_{l}(\cos\Theta + \sqrt{-1}\sin\Theta).$

Thus

$$V = \cos \Theta[\Pi_{l=1}^{n} \cos^{-1} \theta_{l} (\cos \Theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Theta)] - \sqrt{-1} \sin \Theta[\Pi_{l=1}^{n} \cos^{-1} \theta_{l} (\cos \Theta + \sqrt{-1} \sin \Theta)]$$

= $\Pi_{l=1}^{n} \cos^{-1} \theta_{l} \ge 1.$

Moreover, V is actually the volume form of the submanifold $\Sigma = (X, \nu)$.

Lemma 2.5. There is a following elementary identity

$$\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\kappa_i^2) = (\sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k})^2 + (\sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1})^2.$$

Moreover, if X satisfies the equation (2), then

$$V = \sqrt{\det G}.$$

Proof.

$$\sqrt{\det G} = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\kappa_i^2)}$$
$$= \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1+\sqrt{-1}\kappa_i)(1-\sqrt{-1}\kappa_i)}$$
$$= \sqrt{V_1^2 + V_2^2}$$
$$= |V| = V.$$

Let us denote $F^{ij} := \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_{ij}}$, and we are going to prove that F^{ij} is positive definite when u satisfies (2).

Lemma 2.6. The first fundamental form of the graph $X^{\Sigma} = (X, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is $G_{ij} = \langle X_i^{\Sigma}, X_j^{\Sigma} \rangle = g_{ij} + h_i^k h_{kj}$. And if u satisfies the equation (10), the inverse of G_{ij} is

$$G^{ij} = \frac{F^{ij}}{V}.$$
(12)

Proof. We have $X_i^{\Sigma} = (X_i, h_i^k X_k)$, then the first fundamental form is

$$G_{ij} = \langle X_i^{\Sigma}, X_j^{\Sigma} \rangle = g_{ij} + h_i^k h_{kj}.$$

And by definition, we have

$$F^{ij} = \cos \Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n-1} (-1)^k [T_{2k}]^{ij} - \sin \Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k-1 \le n-1} (-1)^k [T_{2k-1}]^{ij}.$$

Due to an elementary identity

$$[T_k]^{ip}h_p^j = -[T_{k+1}]^{ij} + \sigma_{k+1}g^{ij},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} F^{iq}G_{qj} &= \cos\Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n-1} (-1)^{k} [T_{2k}]^{iq} (g_{qj} + h_{q}^{p} h_{pj}) - \sin\Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k-1 \le n-1} (-1)^{k} [T_{2k-1}]^{iq} (g_{qj} + h_{q}^{p} h_{pj}) \\ &= \cos\Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n-1} (-1)^{k} \Big\{ - [T_{2k-1}]^{ip} h_{pj} + \sigma_{2k} \delta^{i}_{j} + \sigma_{2k+1} h^{i}_{j} - [T_{2k+1}]^{ip} h_{pj} \Big\} \\ &- \sin\Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k-1 \le n-1} (-1)^{k} \Big\{ - [T_{2k-2}]^{ip} h_{pj} + \sigma_{2k-1} \delta^{i}_{j} + \sigma_{2k} h^{i}_{j} - [T_{2k}]^{ip} h_{pj} \Big\} \\ &= \Big\{ \cos\Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{2k} + \sin\Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^{k} \sigma_{2k+1} \Big\} \delta^{i}_{j}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we have used the equation (10) in the last equality. So we have verified that

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\cos\Theta\sum_{0\le 2k\le n-1} (-1)^k [T_{2k}]^{ij} - \sin\Theta\sum_{1\le 2k-1\le n-1} (-1)^k [T_{2k-1}]^{ij}}{\cos\Theta\sum_{0\le 2k\le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k} + \sin\Theta\sum_{1\le 2k+1\le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}} = \frac{F^{ij}}{V}.$$

3. AN IMPORTANT DIFFERENTIAL INEQUALITY

For convenience, we choose an orthonormal frame in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} such that $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ are tangent to M and ν is outer normal on M. Let us denote the dual form and the connection form to be w^i and w^j_i , where i and j range from 1 to n. The second fundamental form is denoted by h_{ij} . We have the moving frame formulas on M

$$dX = w^{i}e_{i}$$

$$d\nu = \sum_{j} h_{ij}w^{i}e_{j}$$

$$de_{i} = w_{i}^{j}e_{j} - h_{ij}w^{j}\nu.$$

Due to $\langle e_i, e_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$, we have

$$w_i^j + w_j^i = 0.$$

The structure equations on M are

$$\begin{aligned} dw^i &= w^j \wedge w^i_j, \\ dw^i_j &= w^k_j \wedge w^i_k - h_{jk} w^k \wedge h_{il} w^l. \end{aligned}$$

The curvature tensor is defined by

$$\frac{1}{2}R_{ijkl}w^k \wedge w^l := dw^i_j - w^k_j \wedge w^i_k.$$

For a function $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$, we define its covariant derivative by

$$\begin{aligned} f_i &:= df(e_i), \\ f_{ij} &:= df_i(e_j) - w_i^k(e_j)f_k, \\ f_{ijk} &:= df_{ij}(e_k) - w_i^l(e_k)f_{lj} - w_j^l(e_k)f_{il}, \\ f_{ijkl} &:= df_{ijk}(e_l) - w_i^p(e_l)f_{pjk} - w_j^p(e_l)f_{ipk} - w_k^p(e_l)f_{ijp}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have the following fundamental formulas of a hypersurface in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} :

$$X_{i} = e_{i},$$

$$X_{ij} = -h_{ij}\nu, \quad (Gauss formula)$$

$$\nu_{i} = h_{ij}\delta^{jk}e_{k}, \quad (Weingarten \ equation)$$

$$h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}, \quad (Codazzi \ equation)$$

$$R_{ijkl} = h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}. \quad (Gauss \ equation)$$

We also have the following commutator formula:

$$h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_{m} h_{im} R_{mjkl} + \sum_{m} h_{mj} R_{mikl}.$$
 (13)

Combining Codazzi equation, Gauss equation and (13), we have

$$h_{iikk} = h_{kkii} + \sum_{m} (h_{im}h_{mi}h_{kk} - h_{mk}^2h_{ii}).$$
(14)

On the submanifold $X^{\Sigma} = (X, \nu) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, we denote $\{e_i^{\Sigma} := (e_i, \nu_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ which are tangent vectors on Σ . And $\nu_1 := (\nu, 0), \nu_2 := (0, \nu), \overline{e_i} := (-\nu_i, e_i)$ are normal vectors on Σ , where *i* ranges from 1 to *n*. Using this frame $\{e_i^{\Sigma}, \nu_1, \nu_2, \overline{e_i}\}$, we have $G_{ij} = \langle e_i^{\Sigma}, e_j^{\Sigma} \rangle = \delta_{ij} + h_{ik}\delta^{kl}h_{lj}$. The moving frame formulas on X^{Σ} are

$$dX^{\Sigma} = w^{i}e_{i}^{\Sigma},$$

$$de_{i}^{\Sigma} = (w_{i}^{j}e_{j} - h_{ik}w^{k}\nu, \sum_{j}h_{ijk}w^{k}e_{j} + w_{i}^{p}h_{pj}e_{j} - h_{ij}h_{jk}w^{k}\nu)$$

$$= \sum_{j}(w_{i}^{j} + h_{ipl}G^{kp}h_{kj}w^{l})e_{j}^{\Sigma} + G^{jk}h_{ikl}w^{l}\overline{e_{j}} - h_{ik}w^{k}\nu_{1} - \sum_{j}h_{ij}h_{jk}w^{k}\nu_{2}.$$

Thus, the Levi-Civita connection form of G_{ij} on Σ is

$$(w^{\Sigma})_i^j := w_i^j + h_{ipl} G^{kp} h_{kj} w^l.$$

We have the following lemma:

Lemma 3.1. Any function $f : M \to \mathbb{R}$ can also be regarded as a function on Σ . We have the equation

$$\Delta_G f = G^{ij} f_{ij},\tag{15}$$

where f_{ij} represents the second covariant hessian of f on M, and \triangle_G denotes the Laplacian with respect to the metric G.

Proof.

$$\Delta_G f = G^{ij} (\partial_{ij} f - (w^{\Sigma})_i^k (e_j) f_k) = G^{ij} (\partial_{ij} f - w_i^k (e_j) f_k + w_i^k (e_j) f_k - (w^{\Sigma})_i^k (e_j) f_k) = G^{ij} f_{ij} - G^{ij} h_{ipl} G^{pq} h_{qk} w^l (e_j) f_k = G^{ij} f_{ij} - G^{ij} h_{ipj} G^{pq} h_{qk} f_k.$$

Because

$$G^{ij}h_{ipj} = 0,$$

we obtain the identity (15).

The following diagonal lemma is very useful. It is employed in Shankar-Yuan [20] and Zhou [30]. For completeness, we also provide a proof.

Lemma 3.2. Let a_1, \dots, a_m be positive constants, $(b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and let $x := (x_1, \dots, x_m)$ be the unknowns. The quadratic polynomial

$$Q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 x_i^2 - (\sum_{i=1}^{m} b_i x_i)^2 \ge 0$$

is equivalent to the following inequality,

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{b_i^2}{a_i^2} \ge 0.$$

Proof. Denote $L := (b_1, \dots, b_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $E_i = (0, \dots, \overset{i}{1}, \dots, 0)$. In order to study the positivity of the quadratic Q, we consider the following $m \times m$ symmetric matrix,

$$\Lambda = \sum_{i=1}^{m} a_i^2 E_i^T E_i - L^T L.$$

Denote

$$\overline{L} := \sum_{i}^{m} \frac{b_i}{a_i} E_i$$

and

$$A := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{a_1} & \cdots & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & & \vdots\\ \vdots & & \frac{1}{a_i} & & \vdots\\ \vdots & & & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & & \cdots & \frac{1}{a_m} \end{bmatrix}$$

We multiply the positive matrix A on both sides of the matrix Λ

$$\overline{\Lambda} = A\Lambda A = I - \overline{L}^T \overline{L}$$

From linear algebra, we know that the positivity of the matrix $\overline{\Lambda}$ is equivalent to

$$1 - |\overline{L}|^2 \ge 0$$

Thus $\Lambda \ge 0$ is equivalent to the following inequality,

$$1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{b_i^2}{a_i^2} \ge 0.$$

We also need the following algebraic lemmas which are proved in Yuan [29].

Lemma 3.3. Suppose $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$, then we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\kappa_i}{1+\kappa_i^2} \ge 0$$

Moreover, if $n \geq 3$ *and* $|\kappa| < C$ *, we have*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\kappa_i}{1+\kappa_i^2} > 0. \tag{16}$$

Proof. There is at most one negative direction on critical phase say $\kappa_n < 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\kappa_n < 0$. Let $\theta_i = \arctan \kappa_i$. Then we have

$$\pi > \pi + 2\theta_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i) \ge 0.$$

By elementary identities for sin function, we have

$$sin[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i)] = sin(\pi - 2\theta_1) cos \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i) + sin \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i) cos(\pi - 2\theta_1)
\leq sin(\pi - 2\theta_1) + sin \sum_{i=2}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i)
\leq \vdots
\leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} sin(\pi - 2\theta_i),$$
(17)

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sin(\pi - 2\theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \sin(2\theta_i).$$

Thus, we have

$$2\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\kappa_i}{1+\kappa_i^2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sin(2\theta_i) \ge \sin[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\pi - 2\theta_i)] - \sin(\pi + 2\theta_n) = 0.$$

If $|\kappa| < C$, we know that

 $\pi - 2\theta_i > 0, \quad for \quad \forall \quad i < n.$

Therefore, the inequality (17) becomes a strict inequality when $n \ge 3$, leading to (16).

The following inequality is employed in Yuan [29].

Lemma 3.4. When $\Theta \geq \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ and $\kappa_n < 0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \le 0.$$

Proof. Let us denote

$$\theta_i = \arctan \kappa_i$$

Thus our equation (2) is

$$\sum_{i} \theta_i = \Theta \ge \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}.$$

When $\Theta \geq \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ and $\kappa_n < 0$, we have

$$\frac{\pi}{2} > \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_n \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i) > 0.$$

By an elementary identity for tan function, we have

$$\tan \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\right) = \frac{\tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_1\right) + \tan\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\right)}{1 - \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_1\right) \tan\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\right)}$$
$$\geq \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_1\right) + \tan\sum_{i=2}^{n-1} \left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\right)$$
$$\geq \vdots$$
$$\geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tan\left(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i\right).$$

Thus

$$-\frac{1}{\kappa_n} = \tan(\frac{\pi}{2} + \theta_n) \ge \tan\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tan(\frac{\pi}{2} - \theta_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\kappa_i}.$$

So we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \le 0.$$

Let us consider the quantity of $b(x) := \log(H + J)$, where J = J(n) is a given constant.

Theorem 3.1. For admissible solutions of the equations (2) in \mathbb{R}^n with $\Theta \geq \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex, we have

$$\Delta_G b \geq \epsilon(n) |\nabla_G b|^2 + \frac{|A|^2 G^{ij} h_{ij} - H G^{ij} h_{ik} \delta^{kl} h_{lj}}{J + H}.$$
(18)

Moreover, if $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex, we have

$$\Delta_G b \geq \epsilon(n) |\nabla_G b|^2 - n. \tag{19}$$

Proof. In order to prove (18) and (19), we need to find a positive $\epsilon = \epsilon(n)$ such that the following quantity $Q(\epsilon)$ has a lower bound -n(H+J):

$$Q(\epsilon) := (H+J)(\Delta_G b - \epsilon |\nabla_G b|^2)$$

= $(H+J)G^{ij}b_{ij} - (H+J)\epsilon G^{ij}b_i b_j$
= $G^{ij}H_{ij} - (1+\epsilon)\frac{G^{ij}H_iH_j}{H+J}.$ (20)

We differentiate the equation (2) once

$$G^{ij}h_{ijk} = \Theta_k = 0. \tag{21}$$

Then, differentiating twice gives:

$$G^{ij}h_{ijkk} + \nabla_k G^{ij}h_{ijk} = \Theta_{kk} = 0.$$
⁽²²⁾

Because

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_k G^{ij} &= -G^{il} \nabla_k G_{lp} G^{pj} \\ &= -G^{il} (h_{lqk} \delta^{qq'} h_{q'p} + h_{lq} \delta^{qq'} h_{q'pk}) G^{pj} \end{aligned}$$

Then, assuming at the point where h_{ij} is diagonal and $\kappa_i = h_{ii}$, we have

$$G^{ij} = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{1 + \kappa_i^2}$$

and

$$\nabla_k G^{ij} h_{ijk} = -G^{ii} (h_{ijk} h_{jj} + h_{ii} h_{ijk}) G^{jj} h_{ijk}$$

$$= -G^{ii} G^{jj} (\kappa_i + \kappa_j) h_{ijk}^2.$$
(23)

Using (14), (22) and (23), the first part of (20) is

$$G^{ij}H_{ij} = |A|^2 G^{ij}h_{ij} - HG^{ij}h_{ik}\delta^{kl}h_{lj} + \sum_k G^{ii}G^{jj}(\kappa_i + \kappa_j)h_{ijk}^2.$$

Thus we have

$$Q(\epsilon) = G^{ii}G^{jj}(\kappa_i + \kappa_j)h_{ijk}^2 - (1+\epsilon)G^{ii}\frac{(\sum_k h_{kki})^2}{H+J} + |A|^2G^{ij}h_{ij} - HG^{ij}h_{ik}\delta^{kl}h_{lj}.$$

Because $\Theta \geq \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex, we have for $\forall \; i \neq j$

$$\kappa_i + \kappa_j \ge 0.$$

Then, we have:

$$Q(\epsilon) \geq \sum_{\gamma} \Big[\sum_{i \neq \gamma} 2(G^{ii})^2 \kappa_i h_{ii\gamma}^2 + 2(G^{\gamma\gamma})^2 \kappa_{\gamma} h_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^2 + 2 \sum_{i \neq \gamma} G^{ii} G^{\gamma\gamma} (\kappa_i + \kappa_{\gamma}) h_{ii\gamma}^2 - (1+\epsilon) G^{\gamma\gamma} \frac{(\sum h_{ii\gamma})^2}{H+J} \Big] + |A|^2 G^{ij} h_{ij} - H G^{ij} h_{ik} \delta^{kl} h_{lj}.$$

The term involving $h_{ii\gamma}$ is denoted as follows:

$$Q_{\gamma} := \sum_{i \neq \gamma} 2[(G^{ii})^2 \kappa_i + G^{\gamma \gamma} G^{ii} (\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_i)] h_{ii\gamma}^2 + 2(G^{\gamma \gamma})^2 \kappa_{\gamma} h_{\gamma \gamma \gamma}^2$$
$$-(1+\epsilon) G^{\gamma \gamma} \frac{(\sum_i h_{ii\gamma})^2}{H+J}.$$

Thus

$$Q(\epsilon) \ge \sum_{\gamma} Q_{\gamma} + |A|^2 G^{ij} h_{ij} - H G^{ij} h_{ik} \delta^{kl} h_{lj}.$$

We will take two steps to estimate $Q(\epsilon)$. In the first step we can deal with the case when $\Theta \geq \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex. And we will show that the quadratics Q_{γ} are non-negative for each $1 \leq \gamma \leq n$ if we properly choose J, ϵ . In the second step we show that the remaining parts are bounded below by -n(H+J) when $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or convex case.

Suppose $\kappa_1 \geq \kappa_2 \geq \cdots \geq \kappa_n$. Denote

$$\tau_i^j := \frac{G^{jj}}{G^{ii}},$$

we can infer from the equation for any γ from 1 to n that

$$h_{nn\gamma} = -\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \tau_n^i h_{ii\gamma}.$$
(24)

Case 1. $\kappa_n < 0$.

When $\gamma = n$. Replacing the expression h_{nnn} in (24) into Q_n , we obtain

$$Q_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2[(G^{ii})^2 \kappa_i + G^{nn} G^{ii} (\kappa_n + \kappa_i)] h_{iin}^2 + 2\kappa_n (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G^{ii} h_{iin})^2 - (1+\epsilon) G^{nn} \frac{[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\tau_n^i) h_{iin}]^2}{H+J}.$$

Then we split Q_n into the following two parts:

$$Q_n^{(1)} := 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \kappa_i (G^{ii} h_{iin})^2 + 2\kappa_n (\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G^{ii} h_{iin})^2,$$

and

$$Q_n^{(2)} := 2\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} G^{nn} G^{ii}(\kappa_n + \kappa_i) h_{iin}^2 - (1+\epsilon) G^{nn} \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1-\tau_n^i) h_{iin}\right]^2}{H+J}.$$

In order to prove $Q_n^{(1)} \ge 0$, we apply the diagonalization Lemma 3.2 by choosing $x_i = G^{ii}h_{iin}$, $a_i = \sqrt{2\kappa_i}$ and $b_i = \sqrt{-2\kappa_n}$. Thus $Q_n^{(1)} \ge 0$ is equivalent to

$$1 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\kappa_n}{\kappa_i} \ge 0.$$
(25)

The inequality (25) is from Lemma 3.4. Next, our goal is to prove $Q_n^{(2)} \ge 0$ for some small ϵ . We apply the diagonalization Lemma 3.2 by choosing the following

$$x_i = h_{iin}$$

$$a_i = \sqrt{2G^{nn}G^{ii}(\kappa_n + \kappa_i)}$$

$$b_i = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\epsilon)G^{nn}(1-\tau_n^i)}}{\sqrt{H+J}}.$$

Thus we only need to study the positivity of the following quantity

$$P_n := 1 - (1+\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(1-\tau_n^i)^2}{2(\kappa_i + \kappa_n)G^{ii}(H+J)}$$

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2H} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\kappa_i - \kappa_n)^2(\kappa_i + \kappa_n)}{(1+\kappa_i^2)}$$

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2H} \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (\kappa_i - \kappa_n)(1-\frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_i^2}).$$

Let $\kappa_i = (1 + t_i)|\kappa_n|$, then we have

$$P_{n} \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (2+t_{i})(1-\frac{1}{(1+t_{i})^{2}})}{2(n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i})}$$

$$= 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{n}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}} - \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{2+t_{i}}{(1+t_{i})^{2}}}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}}\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{n-\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_{i}}}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}}\right]$$
(26)

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon)\frac{1}{2}\left[1 + \frac{n}{n-2 + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i}\right].$$
(27)

From $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \leq 0$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_i} \leq 1$. Then we claim that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \ge (n-1)(n-2).$$
(28)

In fact, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have

$$(n-1)^{2} \leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1+t_{i})\right] \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_{i}}\right]$$
$$\leq \left[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (1+t_{i})\right]$$
$$= (n-1) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_{i}.$$

If $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_i} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have a better estimate

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i \ge (n-1)(2n-3).$$
(29)

If $n \ge 4$, we have from (27) and (28)

$$P_n \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{n}{n-2 + (n-1)(n-2)} \right]$$

= $1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{n-1}{2(n-2)}$
 $\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{3}{4}.$

If n = 3 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_i} \ge \frac{1}{2}$, we have from (26) and (28) that

$$P_n \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{n - \frac{1}{2}}{n - 2 + (n - 1)(n - 2)} \right]$$

= $1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \frac{\frac{5}{2}}{1+2} \right]$
= $1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{11}{12}.$

If n = 3 and $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_i} \leq \frac{1}{2}$, we have from (27) and (29) that

$$P_n \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \left[1 + \frac{n}{n-2+(n-1)(2n-3)} \right]$$

= $1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \left[1 + \frac{3}{1+6} \right]$
= $1 - \frac{5}{7} (1+\epsilon).$

When $\gamma \leq n-2$.

Let $0 < \hat{\epsilon} < 1$. We replace the expression $h_{nn\gamma}$ in (24) into Q_{γ} and split Q_{γ} into two quadratic forms,

$$Q_{\gamma}^{(1)} := (1-\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}(G^{\gamma\gamma})^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}(\kappa_{i}+\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma})(G^{ii})^{2}h_{ii\gamma}^{2} + 2[\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})](\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma})^{2},$$
$$Q_{\gamma}^{(2)} := (1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}(G^{\gamma\gamma})^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}\kappa_{i}G^{ii}G^{\gamma\gamma}h_{ii\gamma}^{2} - (1+\epsilon)\frac{G^{\gamma\gamma}[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-\tau_{n}^{i})h_{ii\gamma}]^{2}}{H+J}.$$

We need to determine $\hat{\epsilon} < 1$, ϵ such that $Q_{\gamma}^{(1)} \ge 0$ and $Q_{\gamma}^{(2)} \ge 0$. If

$$\kappa_n + (\kappa_\gamma + \kappa_n)\tau_n^\gamma \ge 0,$$

it is easy to see that

 $Q_{\gamma}^{(1)} \ge 0.$

So we assume that $\kappa_n + (\kappa_\gamma + \kappa_n)\tau_n^{\gamma} < 0$. Let $x_i = h_{ii\gamma}$ and we choose

$$a_{\gamma}^{(1)} = \sqrt{(1-\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}}G^{\gamma\gamma}$$

$$a_{i}^{(1)} = \sqrt{2(\kappa_{i}+\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma})}G^{ii} \quad for \quad i \neq \gamma$$

$$b_{i}^{(1)} = \sqrt{-2[\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})]}G^{ii},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\gamma}^{(2)} &= \sqrt{(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}}G^{\gamma\gamma} \\ a_{i}^{(2)} &= \sqrt{2\kappa_{i}G^{ii}G^{\gamma\gamma}} \quad for \quad i \neq \gamma \\ b_{i}^{(2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{(1+\epsilon)}G^{\gamma\gamma}(1-\tau_{n}^{i})}{\sqrt{H+J}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the diagonalization Lemma 3.2, $Q_{\gamma}^{(1)} \ge 0$ is equivalent to the following inequality holds,

$$P_1 := 1 + \frac{2[\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)]}{(1 - \hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)}{\kappa_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma}} \ge 0.$$

Let $\kappa_i = (1 + t_i)|\kappa_n|$, then we have

$$\begin{split} \frac{P_1}{1 - \tau_n^{\gamma} t_{\gamma}} &= \frac{1}{1 - \tau_n^{\gamma} t_{\gamma}} - 2 \frac{1}{(1 - \hat{\epsilon})(1 + t_{\gamma})} - \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + t_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}(1 + t_{\gamma})} \\ &= 1 + \left(\frac{1}{1 - \tau_n^{\gamma} t_{\gamma}} - 1\right) - \frac{1}{1 + t_{\gamma}} + \left[\frac{1}{1 + t_{\gamma}} - \frac{2}{(1 - \hat{\epsilon})(1 + t_{\gamma})}\right] \\ &- \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + t_i} + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{1 + t_i} - \frac{1}{1 + t_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}(1 + t_{\gamma})}\right] \\ &\geq 1 - \frac{1}{1 + t_{\gamma}} - \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1 + t_i} \\ &+ \frac{\tau_n^{\gamma} t_{\gamma}}{1 - \tau_n^{\gamma} t_{\gamma}} - \frac{1 + \hat{\epsilon}}{(1 - \hat{\epsilon})(1 + t_{\gamma})} + \frac{\tau_{n-1}^{\gamma}(1 + t_{\gamma})}{(1 + t_{n-1})[1 + t_{n-1} + \tau_{n-1}^{\gamma}(1 + t_{\gamma})]}. \end{split}$$

We observe that when $\gamma \leq n-2$

$$\frac{\tau_{n-1}^{\gamma}(1+t_{\gamma})}{(1+t_{n-1})[1+t_{n-1}+\tau_{n-1}^{\gamma}(1+t_{\gamma})]} \ge \frac{1}{1+t_{n-1}+1+t_{\gamma}}.$$
(30)

Due to

$$1 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{1+t_i}$$

$$\tau_n^{\gamma} \geq \frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_\gamma^2} = \frac{1}{(1+t_\gamma)^2}$$

and (30), we have

$$\frac{P_1(1+t_{\gamma})}{1-\tau_n^{\gamma}t_{\gamma}} \geq \frac{t_{\gamma}}{(1+t_{\gamma})[1-\frac{t_{\gamma}}{(1+t_{\gamma})^2}]} - \frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} + \frac{1}{\frac{1+t_{n-1}}{1+t_{\gamma}}+1} \\
\geq \frac{t_{\gamma}(1+t_{\gamma})}{1+t_{\gamma}+t_{\gamma}^2} - \frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} + \frac{1}{2}.$$

If $n \geq 3$, we know from (5) that $t_{\gamma} \geq 1$. Thus we have

$$\frac{t_{\gamma}(1+t_{\gamma})}{1+t_{\gamma}+t_{\gamma}^2} \ge \frac{2}{3}.$$

Then

$$\frac{P_1(1+t_{\gamma})}{1-\tau_n^{\gamma}t_{\gamma}} \geq \frac{7}{6} - \frac{1+\hat{\epsilon}}{1-\hat{\epsilon}}$$

So we can choose $\hat{\epsilon} \leq \frac{1}{13}$ such that $P_1 \geq 0$. And $Q_{\gamma}^{(2)} \geq 0$ is equivalent to the following inequality holds,

$$P_2 := 1 - \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1-\tau_n^{\gamma})^2}{(H+J)(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}G^{\gamma\gamma}} - \sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1} \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1-\tau_n^i)^2}{2(H+J)\kappa_i G^{ii}} \ge 0.$$

Because for any i < n, we have

$$\frac{(1-\tau_n^i)^2}{G^{ii}\kappa_i} \le \kappa_i (1-\frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_i^2}). \tag{31}$$

We have from (31)

$$P_{2} \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{H} \left[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1-\tau_{n}^{\gamma})^{2} G_{\gamma\gamma}}{\kappa_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \frac{(1-\tau_{n}^{i})^{2} G_{ii}}{2\kappa_{i}} \right]$$

$$\geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{H} \left[\frac{1}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \kappa_{\gamma} (1-\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{\gamma}^{2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq \gamma}^{n-1} \kappa_{i} (1-\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{i}^{2}}) \right].$$

Let $\kappa_i = (1 + t_i)|\kappa_n|$, then we have

$$P_{2} \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{i}} \left[\frac{1+t_{\gamma}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+t_{\gamma})^{2}}\right) + \sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}\frac{1+t_{i}}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{(1+t_{i})^{2}}\right)\right]$$

$$\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{i}} \left[\frac{1+t_{\gamma}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} + \sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}\frac{1+t_{i}}{2} - \frac{1}{2(1+t_{n-1})}\right]$$

$$= 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{2(1+t_{\gamma}) + (1+\hat{\epsilon})[n-2+\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}t_{i} - \frac{1}{1+t_{n-1}}]}{2(n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_{i})}.$$

Because

$$\frac{1}{1+t_{n-1}} \ge 1 - t_{n-1},$$

we obtain

$$P_2 \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{2(1+t_{\gamma}) + (1+\hat{\epsilon})[n-2+\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}t_i - 1 + t_{n-1}]}{2(n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_i)}.$$

If $n \geq 3$ and $\gamma \leq n-2$, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{2+\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{2(1+t_{\gamma}) + (1+\hat{\epsilon})[n-2+\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}t_i - 1 + t_{n-1}]}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_i} < 1.$$

Thus if $\hat{\epsilon} = 4\epsilon \leq 2$, we have

$$P_2 \ge 1 - \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1+\frac{\hat{\epsilon}}{2})}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \ge 0.$$

When $\gamma = n - 1$.

Replacing the expression $h_{nn\gamma}$ in (24) into Q_{γ} , we split Q_{γ} into two parts and consider the following two quadratic forms with new $\hat{\epsilon}$,

$$\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(1)} := (1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}(G^{\gamma\gamma})^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}(\kappa_{i}+\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma})(G^{ii})^{2}h_{ii\gamma}^{2} + 2[\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})](\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma})^{2},$$
$$\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(2)} := (1-\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}(G^{\gamma\gamma})^{2}h_{\gamma\gamma\gamma}^{2} + 2\sum_{i\neq\gamma}^{n-1}\kappa_{i}G^{ii}G^{\gamma\gamma}h_{ii\gamma}^{2} - (1+\epsilon)\frac{G^{\gamma\gamma}[\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(1-\tau_{n}^{i})h_{ii\gamma}]^{2}}{H+J}.$$

Without loss of generality, we also assume that $\kappa_n + (\kappa_\gamma + \kappa_n)\tau_n^{\gamma} < 0$. Let $x_i = h_{ii\gamma}$ and we choose

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\gamma}^{(1)} &= \sqrt{(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}}G^{\gamma\gamma} \\ a_{i}^{(1)} &= \sqrt{2(\kappa_{i}+\tau_{i}^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma})}G^{ii} \quad for \quad i \neq \gamma \\ b_{i}^{(1)} &= \sqrt{-2[\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})]}G^{ii}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} a_{\gamma}^{(2)} &= \sqrt{(1-\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}}G^{\gamma\gamma} \\ a_{i}^{(2)} &= \sqrt{2\kappa_{i}G^{ii}G^{\gamma\gamma}} \quad for \quad i \neq \gamma \\ b_{i}^{(2)} &= \frac{\sqrt{(1+\epsilon)}G^{\gamma\gamma}(1-\tau_{n}^{i})}{\sqrt{H+J}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by the diagonalization Lemma 3.2, $\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(1)} \ge 0$, $\hat{Q}_{\gamma}^{(2)} \ge 0$ is equivalent to the following two inequalities hold

$$\hat{P}_1 := 1 + \frac{2[\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)]}{(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)}{\kappa_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma}} \ge 0$$

and

$$\hat{P}_2 := 1 - \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1-\tau_n^{\gamma})^2}{(H+J)(1-\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}G^{\gamma\gamma}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1+\epsilon)(1-\tau_n^i)^2}{2(H+J)\kappa_i G^{ii}} \ge 0.$$

By Lemma 3.2, $\hat{P}_1 \ge 0$ is equivalent to the following inequality:

$$\frac{\hat{P}_1}{\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)} = \frac{1}{\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)} + \frac{2}{(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{1}{\kappa_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma}}$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\kappa_i} - \frac{\tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)}{[\kappa_n + \tau_n^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_n)]\kappa_n} + \frac{1-\hat{\epsilon}}{(1+\hat{\epsilon})\kappa_{\gamma}} - \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{\tau_i^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma}}{\kappa_i(\kappa_i + \tau_i^{\gamma}\kappa_{\gamma})} \le 0.$$

Due to $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\kappa_i} \leq 0$, we have

$$\frac{\kappa_{\gamma}\hat{P}_{1}}{\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})} \leq -\frac{\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})\kappa_{\gamma}}{[\kappa_{n}+\tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})]\kappa_{n}} + \frac{1-\hat{\epsilon}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}} \\
\leq -\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})}{\kappa_{\gamma}[\kappa_{n}+\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{\gamma}^{2}}(\kappa_{\gamma}+\kappa_{n})]\kappa_{n}} + \frac{1-\hat{\epsilon}}{1+\hat{\epsilon}}.$$

The last inequality holds because $\tau_n^{\gamma} \geq \frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_{\gamma}^2}$. Thus we choose $\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_{\gamma}^2}$,

$$\frac{\kappa_{\gamma}\hat{P}_{1}}{\kappa_{n} + \tau_{n}^{\gamma}(\kappa_{\gamma} + \kappa_{n})} \leq -\frac{1 + \frac{\kappa_{n}}{\kappa_{\gamma}}}{1 + \frac{\kappa_{n}}{\kappa_{\gamma}}(1 + \frac{\kappa_{n}}{\kappa_{\gamma}})} + \frac{1 - \frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{\gamma}^{2}}}{1 + \frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{\gamma}^{2}}} \leq 0.$$

By (31), we have

$$\hat{P}_{2} \geq 1 - (1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{H} \left[\frac{1}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} \frac{(1-\tau_{n}^{\gamma})^{2} G_{\gamma\gamma}}{\kappa_{\gamma}} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \frac{(1-\tau_{n}^{i})^{2} G_{ii}}{\kappa_{i}} \right] \\
\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{H} \left[\frac{1}{1-\hat{\epsilon}} \kappa_{\gamma} (1-\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{\gamma}^{2}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} \kappa_{i} (1-\frac{\kappa_{n}^{2}}{\kappa_{i}^{2}}) \right].$$

Let $\kappa_i = (1 + t_i) |\kappa_n|$, $\hat{\epsilon} = \frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_\gamma^2}$ and $\gamma = n - 1$, then we have

$$\hat{P}_2 \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} t_i} [1+t_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n-2} (1+t_i - \frac{1}{1+t_i})].$$

If $n \ge 4$, we observe that

$$\frac{1}{n-2+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}t_i}[1+t_{n-1}+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{n-2}(1+t_i)] \le \frac{3}{4}.$$

Thus we have

$$\hat{P}_2 \ge 1 - \frac{3(1+\epsilon)}{4}$$

If n = 3 and $t_1 \le 2$, we have

$$\hat{P}_{2} \geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+t_{1}+t_{2}} \left[1+t_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(1+t_{1} - \frac{1}{1+t_{1}}\right)\right] \\
\geq 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{1+t_{1}+t_{2}} \left[1+t_{2} + \frac{1}{2}\left(t_{1} + \frac{2}{3}\right)\right].$$

Because $t_1 \ge \max\{t_2, 1\}$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{1+t_1+t_2}\left[1+t_2+\frac{1}{2}(t_1+\frac{2}{3})\right] \le \frac{17}{18}.$$

Thus

$$\hat{P}_2 \ge 1 - \frac{17}{18}(1+\epsilon).$$

If n = 3 and $t_1 \ge 2$, we have that

$$\frac{1}{1+t_1+t_2}[1+t_2+\frac{1}{2}(t_1+1)] \le \frac{9}{10}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\hat{P}_2 \ge 1 - \frac{9}{10}(1+\epsilon).$$

Case 2. $\kappa_n \geq 0$.

We consider the quadratic form

$$Q_{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} 2\kappa_i (J+H) G^{ii} h_{ii\gamma}^2 - (1+\epsilon) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma})^2.$$

If $\kappa_n \ge 1$, to verify $Q_{\gamma} \ge 0$ we only need $\epsilon \le 1$ and $J \ge n$ such that

$$1 - (1+\epsilon) \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{G_{ii}}{2\kappa_i(J+H)} \ge 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{2(J+H)} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (\kappa_i + 1) \ge 1 - \frac{1+\epsilon}{2} \ge 0.$$

If $\kappa_1 \leq 1$, we verify directly that for $J \geq n$. Due to the equation $G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma} = 0$, we have

$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma})^{2} = [\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_{ii\gamma} - G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma})]^{2} \le n \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma}^{2} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_{i}(J+H)G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma}^{2}.$$

In this case, we also have $Q_{\gamma} \ge 0$. Otherwise $\kappa_n < 1$ and $\kappa_1 > 1$, we split $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^n$ into two parts,

$$\begin{cases} (\kappa_1, \cdots, \kappa_k) & \kappa_k \ge 1\\ (\kappa_{k+1}, \cdots, \kappa_n) & \kappa_{k+1} < 1 \end{cases}$$

where $k \leq n-1$.

By the above assumption, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_i (J+H) G^{ii} h_{ii\gamma}^2 \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\kappa_i J}{2(1+\kappa_i^2)} h_{ii\gamma}^2$$
$$\geq J \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{h_{ii\gamma}^2}{(1+\kappa_i^2)^2}.$$

Then by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and our equation $G^{ii}h_{ii\gamma}=0$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_i (J+H) G^{ii} h_{ii\gamma}^2 \geq \frac{J}{n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{h_{ii\gamma}}{1+\kappa_i^2}\right)^2$$
$$= \frac{J}{n} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} \frac{h_{ii\gamma}}{1+\kappa_i^2}\right)^2$$
$$\geq \frac{J}{4n} \left(\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma}\right)^2.$$

Thus if we choose $J \ge 4n^3$, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{2} \kappa_i (J+H) G^{ii} h_{ii\gamma}^2 \ge n^2 (\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma})^2$$

We get

$$Q_{\gamma} \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{3}{2} \kappa_i (J+H) G^{ii} h_{ii\gamma}^2 + n^2 (\sum_{i=k+1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma})^2 - (1+\epsilon) (\sum_{i=1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma})^2$$

Now by the diagonalization lemma 3.2 with

$$x_{k+1} = \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} h_{ii\gamma}$$

$$a_{k+1} = n$$

$$x_i = h_{ii\gamma} \quad i \le k$$

$$a_i = \sqrt{\frac{3\kappa_i(J+H)G^{ii}}{2}} \quad i \le k$$

$$b_i = \sqrt{1+\epsilon} \quad i \le k+1,$$

we need to estimate as follows:

$$1 - (1+\epsilon)\left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{2G_{ii}}{3\kappa_i(J+H)} + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) \ge 1 - \frac{2}{3}\frac{1+\epsilon}{(J+H)}\sum_{i=1}^{k}(\kappa_i+1) - \frac{1+\epsilon}{n^2} \ge 1 - \frac{11}{12}(1+\epsilon) \ge 0.$$

In all, if we choose $\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{17}$ and $J \geq 4n^3$ we finishes the first step to get

$$Q(\epsilon) \ge \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \kappa_{\gamma}^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} G^{ii} \kappa_{i} - H \sum_{i=1}^{n} G^{ii} \kappa_{i}^{2}.$$

When $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$, we know from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i G^{ii} \ge 0.$$

For the convex case, it is obvious that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \kappa_i G^{ii} \ge 0$. Then, either $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$, or M is convex, we have

$$Q(\epsilon) \ge \sum_{\gamma=1}^{n} \kappa_{\gamma}^2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} G^{ii} \kappa_i - H \sum_{i=1}^{n} G^{ii} \kappa_i^2 \ge -nH.$$

4. MEAN VALUE INEQUALITY.

Because the graph

$$X^{\Sigma} = (X,\nu) = (x_1,\cdots,x_n,u,\frac{u_1}{W},\cdots,\frac{u_n}{W},-\frac{1}{W})$$

where u satisfied equation (10) can be viewed as a n dimensional smooth submanifold in $(\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n, \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dx_i^2 + i_{\mathbb{S}^n}^* (\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} dy_i^2))$ here $i : \mathbb{S}^n \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is the standard embedding. We observe that it is a submanifold with a bounded mean curvature. In fact, we have

$$X_i^{\Sigma} = (X_i, \nu_i) = (X_i, h_i^k X_k),$$

and

$$G_{ij} = \langle X_i^{\Sigma}, X_j^{\Sigma} \rangle_{\mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^n} = g_{ij} + h_i^k h_{kj}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathscr{H}_{1}| &= |\langle G^{ij}(D_{j}X_{i}^{\Sigma} - (\Gamma_{ji}^{k})^{\Sigma}X_{k}^{\Sigma}), \nu_{1}^{\Sigma} \rangle| \\ &\leq |G^{ij}(D_{j}X_{i}^{\Sigma} - \Gamma_{ji}^{k}X_{k}^{\Sigma})| + |\langle G^{ij}(\Gamma_{ji}^{k}X_{k}^{\Sigma} - (\Gamma_{ji}^{k})^{\Sigma}X_{k}^{\Sigma}), \nu_{1}^{\Sigma} \rangle| \\ &= |G^{ij}(D_{j}X_{i}^{\Sigma} - \Gamma_{ji}^{k}X_{k}^{\Sigma})| \end{aligned}$$

where $(\Gamma_{ji}^k)^{\Sigma}$ and Γ_{ji}^k are Christoffel symbols corresponding to G_{ij} and g_{ij} and ν_1^{Σ} is any one of unit normals of X^{Σ} . The second inequality is because of $\langle X_k^{\Sigma}, \nu_1^{\Sigma} \rangle = 0$.

So the mean curvature vector can be estimated as following

$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{H} &| \leq (n+2) |G^{ij}(D_j X_i^{\Sigma} - \Gamma_{ji}^k X_k^{\Sigma})| \\ &= (n+2) |G^{ij}(-h_{ij}\nu, h_{ij}^k X_k - h_i^k h_{kj}\nu)| \\ &\leq (n+2) \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{|\kappa_i|^2 + \kappa_i^4}{(1+\kappa_i^2)^2}} \leq C. \end{aligned}$$

Then we are going to show the almost subharmonic quantity b on the submanifold Σ to satisfy Michael-Simon's mean value inequality.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose u are admissible solutions of equations (2) on $B_{10} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. If $\Theta = \frac{(n-2)\pi}{2}$ or M is convex, then we have for any $y_0 \in B_2$

$$\sup_{B_1} b = b(y_0) \le C \int_{B_1(y_0)} b(x) V dx,$$

where C depends only on $||M||_{C^1}$ and n.

Proof. For convenience, we also choose an orthonormal frame in order to prove this theorem. First we know from Theorem 3.1

$$G^{ij}b_{ij} \geq -n$$

Let χ be a non-negative and non-decreasing function in $C^1(\mathbb{R})$ with support in the interval $(0,\infty)$. We set

$$\psi(r) := \int_{r}^{\infty} t\chi(\rho - t)dt$$

where $0<\rho<10,$ and $r^2:=|X(x)-X(y_0)|^2+2-2<\nu(x),\nu(y_0)>.$ Let us denote

$$\mathfrak{B}_{\rho} = \{ x \in B_{10}(y_0) : |X(x) - X(y_0)|^2 + 2 - 2 < \nu(x), \nu(y_0) > \leq \rho^2 \}.$$

We may assume that $(X(y_0), \nu(y_0)) = (0, E_{n+1})$. First, we have

$$2rr_i = 2 < X, e_i > -2\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >$$
(32)

and

$$2r_{i}r_{j} + 2rr_{ij} = 2\delta_{ij} - 2h_{ij} < X, \nu > -2\sum_{k} h_{ijk} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} > +2\sum_{k} h_{ik}h_{kj} < \nu, E_{n+1} > .$$
(33)

Now we are going to compute the differential inequality of ψ ,

$$G^{ij}\psi_{ij} = G^{ij}(-r_i r \chi(\rho - r))_j
 = -G^{ij}r_{ij} r \chi(\rho - r) - G^{ij}r_i r_j \chi(\rho - r) + G^{ij}r_i r_j r \chi'(\rho - r).$$

From (32) and (33), we have

$$G^{ij}\psi_{ij} = -\chi(\rho - r)G^{ij}[\delta_{ij} - h_{ij} < X, \nu >] +\chi(\rho - r)G^{ij}[\sum_{k} h_{ijk} < e_k, E_{n+1} > -\sum_{k} h_{ik}h_{kj} < \nu, E_{n+1} >] +G^{ij}r_ir_jr\chi'(\rho - r).$$

Then by (21), we have

$$G^{ij}\psi_{ij} = -n\chi + \chi G^{ij}h_{ij} < X, \nu > +\chi \sum_{k} G^{ij}h_{ik}h_{kj}(1 - \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle) +G^{ij}r_{i}r_{j}r\chi'.$$
(34)

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$V = \sqrt{\prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 + \kappa_i^2)}.$$

We estimate similarly the second term on the right hand side of (34)

$$\chi G^{ij} h_{ij} < X, \nu \ge n \chi r. \tag{35}$$

It is obvious that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \langle e_k, E_{n+1} \rangle^2 = (1 - \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle)(1 + \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle).$$
(36)

From the definition of r, we see

 \boldsymbol{n}

$$1 - \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle \leq \frac{r^2}{2}$$
 (37)

and

$$|\langle e_k, E_{n+1} \rangle| \leq r.$$

From (37), we deal with the third term of (34)

$$\chi \sum_{k} G^{ij} h_{ik} h_{kj} (1 - \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle) \le n \chi r^2.$$
(38)

In sum, we have from (34), (35) and (38)

$$G^{ij}\psi_{ij} \leq -n\chi + n\chi(r^2 + r) + G^{ij}r_ir_jr\chi'.$$
(39)

We next claim that

$$G^{ij}r_ir_j \le 1. \tag{40}$$

In fact, due to (12), we know G^{ij} is a linear combination of $[T]^{ij}$. In any orthonormal frame, we have $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$. Then, by Lemma 2.2, we observe that

$$G^{kl}h_{ki} = G^{ki}h_{kl}$$

Thus we have the following elementary properties

$$\delta_{ij} - G^{ij} = G^{kl} h_{ki} h_{lj}. \tag{41}$$

By (41) and (4), we estimate as below

$$\begin{aligned} r^{2}G^{ij}r_{i}r_{j} &= G^{ij}(\langle X, e_{i} \rangle - \sum_{k}h_{ik} \langle e_{k}, E_{n+1} \rangle)(\langle X, e_{j} \rangle - \sum_{l}h_{jl} \langle e_{l}, E_{n+1} \rangle) \\ &= \sum_{i,j}(\delta_{ij} - G^{kl}h_{ki}h_{lj}) \langle X, e_{i} \rangle \langle X, e_{j} \rangle - 2\sum_{l}G^{ij} \langle X, e_{i} \rangle h_{jl} \langle e_{l}, E_{n+1} \rangle \\ &+ \sum_{i,j}(\delta^{ij} - G^{ij}) \langle e_{i}, E_{n+1} \rangle \langle e_{j}, E_{n+1} \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i}(\langle X, e_{i} \rangle^{2} + \langle e_{i}, E_{n+1} \rangle) \\ &- G^{ij}(\langle X, \nu_{i} \rangle + \langle e_{i}, E_{n+1} \rangle)(\langle X, \nu_{j} \rangle + \langle e_{j}, E_{n+1} \rangle) \\ &\leq \sum_{i}(\langle X, e_{i} \rangle^{2} + \langle e_{i}, E_{n+1} \rangle^{2}). \end{aligned}$$

Then by (36) and the definition of r, we have

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
G^{ij}r_ir_j &\leq & \frac{|X|^2 - \langle X, \nu \rangle^2 + (1 - \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle)(1 + \langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle)}{r^2} \\
&\leq & 1.
\end{array}$$

So we have proved the claim (40). We obtain from (40) and (39) that

$$\Delta_G \psi = G^{ij} \psi_{ij} \le -n\chi + n(r^2\chi + r\chi) + r\chi'.$$

Then we multiply both sides by b and take integral on the domain \mathfrak{B}_{10}

$$\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} b \Delta_G \psi d\Sigma \leq \rho^{n+1} \frac{d}{d\rho} \left(\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} \frac{b\chi(\rho-r)}{\rho^n} d\Sigma \right) + C(n) \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} rb\chi(\rho-r) d\Sigma.$$
(42)

By (19), we have

$$-n\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}\psi d\Sigma \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}b\triangle_G\psi d\Sigma.$$
(43)

Inserting (43) into (42), we get

$$-\frac{d}{d\rho}\left(\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}\frac{b\chi(\rho-r)}{\rho^n}d\Sigma\right) \leq \frac{C(n)\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}rb\chi(\rho-r)d\Sigma}{\rho^{n+1}} + n\frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}\psi d\Sigma}{\rho^{n+1}}.$$

Because χ , χ' and ψ are all supported in \mathfrak{B}_{ρ} , we deal with right hand side of the above inequality term by term. For the first term, we have

$$\frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} rb\chi(\rho - r)d\Sigma}{\rho^{n+1}} \le \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} b\chi(\rho - r)d\Sigma}{\rho^n}.$$
(44)

For the second term, we use the definition of ψ to estimate

$$\frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}\psi d\Sigma}{\rho^{n+1}} \leq \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}}b\chi(\rho-r)d\Sigma}{\rho^n}.$$
(45)

We combine (44) and (45) with integrating from δ to *R*:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} \frac{b\chi(\delta-r)}{\delta^n} d\Sigma \leq \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} \frac{b\chi(R-r)}{R^n} d\Sigma + C(n) \int_{\delta}^{R} \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} b\chi(\rho-r) d\Sigma}{\rho^n} d\rho.$$

Then using Gronwall's inequality, we get

$$\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} \frac{b\chi(\delta-r)}{\delta^n} d\Sigma \le C(n) \int_{\mathfrak{B}_{10}} \frac{b\chi(R-r)}{R^n} d\Sigma.$$

Letting χ approximate the characteristic function of the interval $(0, \infty)$, in an appropriate fashion, we obtain,

$$\frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_{\delta}} bd\Sigma}{\delta^n} \le C(n) \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_R} bd\Sigma}{R^n}$$

For a sufficient small $\delta > 0$, the geodesic ball with radius δ of this submanifold is comparable with \mathfrak{B}_{δ} . Letting $\delta \to 0$, we finally get

$$b(y_0) \leq C(n) \frac{\int_{\mathfrak{B}_R} bd\Sigma}{R^n} \leq C(n, \|M\|_{C^1}) \frac{\int_{B_R(y_0)} bV dx}{R^n}.$$

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

In this section, we choose different cutoff functions, all denoted by $0 \le \phi \le 1$, which have support in the larger ball $B_{r+1}(x_0)$ and equal 1 in the smaller ball $B_r(x_0)$. These functions satisfy $|D\phi| + |D^2\phi| \le C$. First, we prove that the area is bounded.

Lemma 5.1. If $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-1}$, then the following integral is bounded

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} V dx \le C,$$

where C depends only on n and $||M||_{C^1(B_{r+2}(x_0))}$.

Proof. For a non-negative cutoff function $\phi \in C_0^{\infty}(B_{r+1}(x_0))$. V is a linear combination of σ_k where k from 0 to n. The integral is obviously bounded when k = 0, 1 as follows

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} \sigma_1 dx \leq \int_{B_{r+1}(x_0)} \phi^2 \sigma_1 dx = \int_{B_{r+1}} \phi^2 div(\frac{Du}{W}) dx$$
$$= \int_{B_{r+1}} -\sum_i (\phi^2)_i \frac{u_i}{W} dx$$
$$\leq C.$$

Inductively, we assume that

$$\int_{B_{r+1}} \sigma_{k-1} dx \le C$$

Let us prove that for any $k \le n-1$

$$\int_{B_r} \sigma_k dx \le C. \tag{46}$$

Similarly, for $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-1}$

$$\begin{split} \int_{B_r} k\sigma_k dx &\leq \int_{B_{r+1}} k\phi^2 \sigma_k dx &= \int_{B_{r+1}} \phi^2 [T_{k-1}]_i^j D_j(\frac{u_i}{W}) dx \\ &\leq -\int_{B_{r+1}} (\phi^2)_j [T_{k-1}]_i^j \frac{u_i}{W} dx \\ &\leq C \int_{B_{r+1}} \sigma_{k-1} dx. \end{split}$$

By induction assumption, we get the estimate for $k \leq n-1$.

Then we estimate the term with σ_n .

$$-n\int_{B_{r+1}(x_0)}\phi^2\sigma_n dx = -\int\phi^2 [T_{n-1}]_i^j D_j(\frac{u_i}{W})dx$$
$$= 2\int\phi [T_{n-1}]_i^j\phi_j\frac{u_i}{W}dx.$$

Using (6), we continue our estimate

$$\int \phi[T_{n-1}]_i^j \phi_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx$$

$$= \int \phi \phi_i \frac{u_i}{W} \sigma_{n-1} dx - \int \phi[T_{n-2}]_i^k \phi_j \frac{u_i}{W} D_k(\frac{u_j}{W}) dx.$$

$$= \int \phi \phi_i \frac{u_i}{W} \sigma_{n-1} dx + \int [T_{n-2}]_i^k (\phi \phi_j)_k \frac{u_i}{W} \frac{u_j}{W} dx + (n-1) \int \sigma_{n-1} \phi \phi_j \frac{u_j}{W} dx$$

$$\leq C \int \phi \sigma_{n-1} \leq C$$

where we use the previous estimates (46) in the last inequality. So we get

$$\int_{B_r(x_0)} V dx \le \int_{B_{r+1}(x_0)} \phi^2 [\cos \Theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k} + \sin \Theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{2k+1}] dx \le C.$$

Lemma 5.2. If $\kappa \in \Gamma_{n-1}$ and satisfies the equation (2), then for $\forall k \leq n-1$, we have $[T_{k-1}]^{iq}g_{pq}[T_{k-1}]^{jp} \leq C(n)G^{ij}V^2.$

Proof. We know that $[T_{k-1}]^{ij}$ and G^{ij} are positive definite matrix when $k \le n-1$. Without loss of generality, we can assume pointwise that g_{ij} and h_{ij} are diagonalized. Then $[T_{k-1}]^{ii}$ consists at most (n-2) eigenvalues without κ_i . Each component of $[T_{k-1}]^{ii}$ does not contain κ_i and some other eigenvalues. So at this point we obtain from Lemma 2.5 that

$$[T_{k-1}]^{ii} \leq \sum_{\substack{i_1 < i_2 \cdots < i_{k-1} \\ i_1, i_2, \cdots, i_{k-1} \neq i}} |\kappa_{i_1}| |\kappa_{i_2}| \cdots |\kappa_{i_{k-1}}|$$

$$\leq C(n) \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{V}{\sqrt{(1+\kappa_i^2)(1+\kappa_j^2)}}$$

$$\leq C(n) \sqrt{G^{ii}} V.$$

Thus we have

$$[T_{k-1}]^{iq}g_{pq}[T_{k-1}]^{jp} \le C(n)G^{ij}V^2.$$

Then we start to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof. From Theorem 4.1, we have at the maximum point x_0 of $\overline{B}_1(0)$

$$b(x_0) \leq C \int_{B_1(x_0)} bV dx.$$
(47)

We shall estimate $\int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_1 dx$ in the above integral at first. Recall that

$$G^{ij}b_{ij} \ge c_0 G^{ij}b_i b_j - n, (48)$$

we have an integral version of this inequality for any r < 5,

$$\int_{B_{r+1}} -G^{ij}\phi_i b_j d\Sigma \geq c_0 \int_{B_{r+1}} \phi G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma - n \int_{B_{r+1}} d\Sigma,$$
(49)

for all non-negative $\phi \in C_0^\infty$.

$$\int_{B_{1}(x_{0})} b\sigma_{1} dx \leq \int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} \phi b\sigma_{1} dx$$

$$\leq C\left(\int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} b dx + \int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} |Db| dx\right)$$

$$\leq C\left(1 + \int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} |Db| dx\right).$$
(50)

We only need to estimate $\int_{B_2(x_0)} |Db| dx$. Using Lemma 5.2, we have that

$$F^{ij}V \ge g^{ij} \ge \frac{\delta_{ij}}{W^2}.$$

Then we obtain

$$\int_{B_2(x_0)} |Db| dx \le \int_{B_2(x_0)} W\sqrt{F^{ij} b_i b_j V} dx.$$

By Holder inequality, we have

$$\int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} |Db| dx \leq C \left(\int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} G^{ij} b_{i} b_{j} d\Sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{B_{2}(x_{0})} V dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
\leq C \int_{B_{3}(x_{0})} \phi^{2} G^{ij} b_{i} b_{j} d\Sigma + \int_{B_{2}} V dx.$$
(51)

Then using (49) and Lemma 5.1, we get

$$\int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma \leq C(-\int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi G^{ij} \phi_i b_j d\Sigma + 1)$$
$$\leq C(\int_{B_3(x_0)} \sqrt{\phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j} \sqrt{G^{kl} \phi_k \phi_l} d\Sigma + 1).$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma \leq C(\epsilon \int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma + \int_{B_3(x_0)} G^{ij} \phi_i \phi_j d\Sigma + 1)$$
$$\leq C\epsilon \int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma + C \int_{B_3(x_0)} V dx + C.$$

We choose ϵ small such that $C\epsilon \leq \frac{1}{2}$ and apply Lemma 5.1,

$$\int_{B_3(x_0)} \phi^2 G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma \leq C.$$
(52)

By combining (50), (51), (52) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_1 dx \le C_1.$$
(53)

The second part is to estimate $\int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_k dx$ for any $k \leq n-1$ inductively. Suppose we have already the estimate

$$\int_{B_2(x_0)} b\sigma_{k-1} dx \le C_{k-1}.$$
(54)

We are going to prove that

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_k dx \le C_{n-1}, \quad for \quad any \quad k \le n-1$$

Thanks to the divergence free property, we integral by parts as follows

$$k \int_{B_2(x_0)} \phi^2 b \sigma_k dx = \int \phi^2 b [T_{k-1}]_i^j D_j(\frac{u_i}{W}) dx$$

= $-\int [T_{k-1}]_i^j (\phi^2)_j b \frac{u_i}{W} dx - \int [T_{k-1}]_i^j \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx.$ (55)

By induction assumption (54), it is easy to see that

$$-\int [T_{k-1}]_i^j (\phi^2)_j b \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C \int_{B_2} \sigma_{k-1} b dx \le C.$$
(56)

From Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Lemma 5.2, we have

$$|[T_{k-1}]_{i}^{j}b_{j}\frac{u_{i}}{W}| = |[T_{k-1}]^{jp}g_{pi}b_{j}\frac{u_{i}}{W}|$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2}\frac{b_{l}[T_{k-1}]^{lq}g_{pq}[T_{k-1}]^{jp}b_{j}}{V} + \frac{1}{2}V\frac{u_{i}}{W}g_{ij}\frac{u_{j}}{W}$$

$$\leq C(n, ||M||_{C^{1}})(G^{ij}b_{i}b_{j}V + V).$$
(57)

From (57), (52) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$-\int [T_{k-1}]_i^j b_j \phi^2 \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C \int G^{ij} b_i b_j d\Sigma + \int V dx \le C \quad \forall \quad k \le n-1.$$
(58)

Thus from (55), (56) and (58), we get the estimate for any $k \le n-1$

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_k dx \le C_{n-1}.$$
(59)

The last part is the estimate for $\int_{B_1(x_0)} -b\sigma_n dx$. We divided the analysis into two cases to handle this term separately. Recall that $\theta = \Theta - \frac{(n-1)\pi}{2}$.

Case 1: $|\cos \theta| \ge \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}$. We utilize the equation (11) to reduce the estimation of the term with σ_n to terms involving σ_k for k < n. From the equation (11) in Lemma 2.3, we observe that

$$\sigma_n = \frac{\sin\theta}{\cos\theta} \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k-1} - \sum_{2 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k}$$

So

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} -b\sigma_n dx \le C \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \int_{B_1(x_0)} b\sigma_k dx \le C(n, C_{n-1}).$$

Case 2: $|\sin \theta| \ge \frac{1}{2}$. First, we integrate by parts once to obtain

$$n\int_{B_2(x_0)} -\phi^2 b\sigma_n dx = -\int \phi^2 b[T_{n-1}]_i^j D_j(\frac{u_i}{W}) dx$$
$$\leq \underbrace{\int [T_{n-1}]_i^j (\phi^2)_j b \frac{u_i}{W}}_I dx + \underbrace{\int [T_{n-1}]_i^j \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W}}_{II} dx.$$

We estimate I by applying (6) and integrating by parts,

$$I = \int (\sigma_{n-1}\delta_{i}^{j} - [T_{n-2}]_{i}^{k}h_{k}^{j})(\phi^{2})_{j}b\frac{u_{i}}{W}dx$$

$$\leq \int b\sigma_{n-1}dx - \int [T_{n-2}]_{i}^{k}D_{k}(\frac{u_{j}}{W})(\phi^{2})_{j}b\frac{u_{i}}{W}dx$$

$$\leq C + \int [T_{n-2}]_{i}^{k}\frac{u_{j}}{W}(\phi^{2})_{jk}b\frac{u_{i}}{W}dx + \int [T_{n-2}]_{i}^{k}\frac{u_{j}}{W}(\phi^{2})_{j}b_{k}\frac{u_{i}}{W}dx$$

$$+ (n-1)\int \sigma_{n-1}\frac{u_{j}}{W}(\phi^{2})_{j}bdx$$

$$\leq C + \int \sigma_{n-2}bdx + \int [T_{n-2}]^{kl}b_{k}g_{li}\frac{u_{i}}{W}\frac{u_{j}}{W}(\phi^{2})_{j}dx.$$
(60)

The last terms of (60) can be estimated by the same argument as (58) before. So we obtain

$$I = \int [T_{n-1}]_i^j (\phi^2)_j b \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C.$$
(61)

By (7), we have

$$II = \int [T_{n-1}]_i^j \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx$$

=
$$\int \sum_i \sigma_{n-1} \phi^2 b_i \frac{u_i}{W} dx - \int \sum_i [T_{n-2}]_l^j h_i^l \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx.$$

Then from the equation (11) in Lemma 2.3, we have that

$$\sigma_{n-1} = -\sum_{3 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k-1} + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k \sigma_{n-2k}.$$
 (62)

Moreover (recall $[T_{-1}] = 0$), we have

$$F_i^j = \frac{\partial F}{\partial h_j^i} = \cos\theta \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-1}]_i^j - \sin\theta \sum_{1 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-2}]_i^j.$$

Thus we have

$$[T_{n-2}]_i^j = -\frac{1}{\sin\theta} F_i^j + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \sum_{0 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-1}]_i^j - \sum_{3 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-2}]_i^j.$$
(63)

We observe from (62), (63) and (7) that the terms involving T_{n-1} and σ_n cancel each other out, as follows:

$$\sigma_{n-1}\delta_{i}^{j} - [T_{n-2}]_{l}^{j}h_{i}^{l} = -\sum_{3\leq 2k+1\leq n} (-1)^{k} \{\sigma_{n-2k-1}\delta_{i}^{j} - [T_{n-2k-2}]_{l}^{j}h_{i}^{l}\} + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \sum_{0\leq 2k\leq n} (-1)^{k} \{\sigma_{n-2k}\delta_{i}^{j} - [T_{n-2k-1}]_{l}^{j}h_{i}^{l}\} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}F_{l}^{j}h_{i}^{l}$$

$$= -\sum_{3\leq 2k+1\leq n} (-1)^{k} [T_{n-2k-1}]_{i}^{j} + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \sum_{2\leq 2k\leq n} (-1)^{k} [T_{n-2k}]_{i}^{j} + \frac{1}{\sin\theta}F_{l}^{j}h_{i}^{l}.$$
(64)

Now using (64), we handle *II* as follows:

$$II = \int \phi^2 \sum_i \left\{ -\sum_{3 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-1}]_i^j + \frac{\cos \theta}{\sin \theta} \sum_{2 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k}]_i^j \right\} b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx + \int \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \sum_i F_l^j h_i^l \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx.$$

Because of the previous argument in (58), we have

$$\int \phi^2 \sum_i \left\{ -\sum_{3 \le 2k+1 \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k-1}]_i^j + \frac{\cos\theta}{\sin\theta} \sum_{2 \le 2k \le n} (-1)^k [T_{n-2k}]_i^j \right\} b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C.$$

Thus we have

$$II \le C + \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \int \sum_{i} F_l^j h_i^l \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C + 2|\int F^{jk} h_{ki} \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx|.$$
(65)

We compute the second term of (65)

$$\begin{split} \left| \int F^{jk} h_{ki} \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx \right| &\leq 2 \int \phi^2 F^{ji} b_j b_i dx + 2 \int F^{ij} h_{ik} \frac{u_k}{W} h_{jl} \frac{u_l}{W} \phi^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int \phi^2 G^{ij} b_j b_i d\Sigma + 2 \int V g_{lk} \frac{u_k}{W} \frac{u_l}{W} \phi^2 dx - 2 \int F^l_k \frac{u_k}{W} \frac{u_l}{W} \phi^2 dx. \end{split}$$

By (52) and Lemma 5.1, we get the estimate for II,

$$II = \int [T_{n-1}]_i^j \phi^2 b_j \frac{u_i}{W} dx \le C.$$
(66)

With the estimate (61) and (66) for I and II, we get

$$\int_{B_1(x_0)} -b\sigma_n dx \le C.$$
(67)

Finally, combining (53), (59) and (67), we get the estimate

$$b(x_0) \le C.$$

6. DISCUSSION IN DIMENSION TWO

The equation in dimension two is

$$\cos\Theta H - \sin\Theta(1-K) = 0.$$

It is well known that in graph case, the mean curvature H and the Gaussian curvature K are:

$$H = \frac{1}{W}g^{ij}u_{ij}$$
$$K = \frac{\det D^2u}{W^4}$$

Thus the special Lagrangian curvature equation can be written as

$$\det[u_{ij} + W \cot \Theta(\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j)] = \frac{W^4}{\sin^2 \Theta},$$
(68)

with $D^2u + W \cot \Theta g \ge 0$.

Lemma 6.1. The equation (68) can be derived from an optimal transportation problem with cost function $c(x, y) = -\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x - y|^2}$ and densities $f = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \Theta}$ and g = 1.

Proof. Let Ω , Ω^* be two bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n , and let f,g be two nonnegative functions defined on Ω and Ω^* , and satisfying

$$\int_{\Omega} f(x) dx = \int_{\Omega^*} g(y) dy$$

Monge's optimal transportation problem concerns the existence of a measure preserving mapping $T: \Omega \to \Omega^*$ that minimizes

$$\mathscr{M} = \inf_{T} \{ \int_{\Omega} c(x, T(x)) f(x) dx : \int_{T^{-1}(E)} f dx = \int_{E} g dy \quad \forall E \subset \Omega^* \}.$$

The dual Monge-Kantorovitch problem is to find an optimal pair of potentials (u, v) that realizes

$$\mathscr{K} = \sup_{(u,v)} \left\{ -\int_{\Omega} u(x)f(x)dx - \int_{\Omega^*} v(y)g(y)dy : u(x) + v(y) \ge -c(x,y), \forall x \in \Omega, y \in \Omega^* \right\}.$$

A fundamental relation is

$$\mathscr{M} = \mathscr{K}$$

Let (u, v) be the maximizer attains \mathscr{K} . We may assume the maximizer (u, v) satisfies the relation:

$$\begin{split} u(x) &= & \sup_{y \in \Omega^*} \{ -c(x,y) - v(y) \}, \\ v(y) &= & \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{ -c(x,y) - u(x) \}. \end{split}$$

A function u is c-convex if there exists another function v such that

$$u(x) = \sup_{y \in \Omega^*} \{ -c(x, y) - v(y) \}.$$

For any given $x_0 \in \Omega$, there exists $y_0 \in \overline{\Omega^*}$ such that

$$u(x_0) = -c(x_0, y_0) - v(y_0), u(x) \ge -c(x, y_0) - v(y_0) \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

The above two inequalities tell us that x_0 is a global minimum point of function

$$h(x) := u(x) + c(x, y_0) + v(y_0).$$

Thus if $Dh(x_0)$ and $D^2h(x_0)$ exist, we have

$$Dh(x_0) = 0,$$

$$D^2h(x_0) \ge 0.$$

For the cost function $c(x, y) = -\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x - y|^2}$, we have

$$u_i(x_0) = -D_{x_i}c(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{x_0^i - y_0^i}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x_0 - y_0|^2}},$$
(69)

$$u_{ij}(x_0) \geq -D_{x_i x_j}^2 c(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x_0 - y_0|^2}} - \frac{(x_0^i - y_0^i)(x_0^j - y_0^j)}{(\tan^2 \Theta - |x_0 - y_0|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}}.$$
 (70)

The optimal map is denoted by T_u such that $T_u(x_0) = y_0$. From the proof in [3], the map is measure preserving such that

$$\det DT_u(x) = \frac{f(x)}{g(T_u(x))}.$$
(71)

From (69) and (70), we have for almost all $x \in \Omega$

$$\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x - T_u(x)|^2} = \frac{\tan \Theta}{W(x)}, \quad for \quad 0 < \Theta < \frac{\pi}{2}$$
$$T_u(x) = \tan \Theta \frac{Du(x)}{W(x)} + x,$$
$$D^2 u \ge -\cot \Theta Wg. \tag{72}$$

If $u \in C^2(\Omega)$, c-convexity is equivalent to (72). From (69), we have

$$D^{2}u(x) = -D^{2}_{xx}c(x, T_{u}(x)) - D^{2}_{xy}c \cdot DT.$$

Hence the equation satisfied by u is

$$\det(D_{xx}^2 c + D^2 u) = \det(-D_{xy}^2 c) \det DT.$$
(73)

By direct computation, we have

$$D_{x_i x_j}^2 c(x, T_u(x)) = \frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x - T_u|^2}} + \frac{(x^i - T_u^i(x))(x^j - T_u^j(x))}{(\tan^2 \Theta - |x - T_u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = \cot \Theta W(\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j),$$
(74)

and

$$D_{x_i y_j}^2 c(x, T_u(x)) = -\frac{\delta_{ij}}{\sqrt{\tan^2 \Theta - |x - T_u|^2}} - \frac{(x^i - T_u^i(x))(x^j - T_u^j(x))}{(\tan^2 \Theta - |x - T_u|^2)^{\frac{3}{2}}} = -\cot \Theta W(\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j).$$
(75)

Plugging (74), (75), and (71) into equation (73), we obtain

$$\det(D^2 u + \cot \Theta Wg) = \cot^2 \Theta W^4 \frac{f(x)}{g(T_u(x))},$$
(76)

with the c-convex condition $D^2u + \cot \Theta Wg \ge 0$. When $\frac{\pi}{2} > \Theta > 0$, our equation (68) has the same form as (76) with density $f = \frac{1}{\cos^2 \Theta}$ and g = 1.

We are currently verifying that equation (76) does not satisfy the well-known Ma-Trudinger-Wang condition from [10]. Denote

$$A_{ij}(x, Du) := -D_{xx}^2 c(x, T_u(x)) = -\cot \Theta W(\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j)$$

We have

$$D_{u_k}A_{ij}(x, Du) = -\cot\Theta W(\delta_{ik}u_j + u_i\delta_{jk}) - \cot\Theta \frac{u_k}{W}(\delta_{ij} + u_iu_j)$$

and

$$D_{u_k u_l}^2 A_{ij}(x, Du) = -\cot \Theta \frac{u_l}{W} (\delta_{ik} u_j + u_i \delta_{jk}) - \cot \Theta W (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} + \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}) - \cot \Theta \frac{\delta_{kl}}{W} (\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j) + \cot \Theta \frac{u_k u_l}{W^3} (\delta_{ij} + u_i u_j) - \cot \Theta \frac{u_k}{W} (\delta_{il} u_j + u_i \delta_{jl}).$$

Thus

$$\mathscr{A}(x,y)(\xi,\nu) := D^2_{u_k u_l} A_{ij}(x,Du)\xi_i\xi_j\nu_k\nu_l(x,Du) = -\cot\Theta\frac{g_{ij}\xi_i\xi_jg^{kl}\nu_k\nu_l}{W} < 0, \quad \forall \xi \perp \nu$$

Therefore, equation (68) violates the Ma-Trudinger-Wang condition.

7. GRADIENT ESTIMATES

In this section we prove a gradient estimate that will complete our story. Notice that our theorem holds for all constant phases. For related results we refer to Sheng-Trudinger-Wang [22] and Warren-Yuan [28].

Theorem 7.1. Suppose M is a smooth graph over $B_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and it is a solution of equation (2). Then we have

$$|Du(0)| \le C(n) \underset{B_1(0)}{osc} u.$$

Proof. By scaling we assume that $1 \le u \le 2$. We know that X = (x, u), so $u = \langle X, E_{n+1} \rangle$ and $|X|^2 = |x|^2 + u^2$. The cut off function η can be written to

$$\eta = 1 - |X|^2 + \langle X, E_{n+1} \rangle^2$$

Denote $W := \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}$. We also know that the outer normal vector of the graph is $\nu = (\frac{u_i}{W}, -\frac{1}{W})$, so

$$W = -\frac{1}{\langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle}.$$

We consider

$$P = 2\log \eta + \log\log \frac{-1}{\langle \nu, E_{n+1} \rangle} + \langle X, E_{n+1} \rangle.$$

Suppose P attains maximum at one point $x_0 \in B_1(0)$, we have

$$0 = P_i = \frac{2\eta_i}{\eta} - \frac{\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >}{<\nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} + < e_i, E_{n+1} >$$

and

$$\begin{split} 0 \geq P_{ij} &= 2\frac{\eta_{ij}}{\eta} - 2\frac{\eta_i\eta_j}{\eta^2} - \frac{\sum_k h_{ikj} < e_k, E_{n+1} >}{<\nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_k h_{ik}h_{kj} < \nu, E_{n+1} >}{<\nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} + \frac{(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} \\ &- \frac{(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log^2 W} - h_{ij} < \nu, E_{n+1} > . \end{split}$$

Contracting with G^{ij} , we have

$$\begin{split} 0 \geq G^{ij}P_{ij} &= 2\frac{G^{ij}\eta_{ij}}{\eta} - 2\frac{G^{ij}\eta_{i}\eta_{j}}{\eta^{2}} - \frac{\sum_{k}G^{ij}h_{ikj} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} >}{<\nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} \\ &+ \frac{\sum_{k}G^{ij}h_{ik}h_{kj}}{\log W} + \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_{k}h_{ik} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_{l}h_{jl} < e_{l}, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} \\ &- \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_{k}h_{ik} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_{l}h_{jl} < e_{l}, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log^{2} W} \\ &- G^{ij}h_{ij} < \nu, E_{n+1} > . \end{split}$$

Suppose $|Du(x_0)|$ is large, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{< \nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} \\ - \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{< \nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log^2 W} \\ \ge \frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{< \nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W}. \end{aligned}$$

By the equation (2) we have

$$\frac{\sum_{k} G^{ij} h_{ikj} < e_k, E_{n+1} >}{< \nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} + \frac{\sum_{k} G^{ij} h_{ik} h_{kj} < \nu, E_{n+1} >}{< \nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} \ge 0.$$

Thus we have

$$\begin{array}{ll} G^{ij}P_{ij} & \geq & 2\frac{G^{ij}\eta_{ij}}{\eta} - 2\frac{G^{ij}\eta_{i}\eta_{j}}{\eta^{2}} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{G^{ij}(\sum_{k}h_{ik} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_{l}h_{jl} < e_{l}, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} \\ & -G^{ij}h_{ij} < \nu, E_{n+1} > . \end{array}$$

Choose $\{e_i\}_{i < n}$ such that $\{h_{ij}\}_{i,j < n}$ is diagonal, and $\forall \, i < n$

$$\langle e_i, E_{n+1} \rangle = 0.$$

Since we assume $\eta^2 \log W$ is large, we have

$$<\nu, E_{n+1}>=-\frac{1}{W}\to 0$$

and

$$< e_n, E_{n+1} >= \sqrt{1 - < \nu, E_{n+1} >^2} \to 1.$$

For the cutoff function, we have

$$\eta_i = -2 < X, e_i > +2 < X, E_{n+1} > < e_i, E_{n+1} > .$$

and

 $\eta_{ij} = -2\delta_{ij} + 2h_{ij} < X, \nu > +2 < e_j, E_{n+1} > < e_i, E_{n+1} > -2 < X, E_{n+1} > h_{ij} < \nu, E_{n+1} > .$ Then using

$$X = < X, \nu > \nu + \sum_{i} < X, e_i > e_i,$$

we have

$$\eta_n = -2 < X, e_n > < \nu, E_{n+1} >^2 + 2 < X, \nu > < \nu, E_{n+1} > < e_n, E_{n+1} > \to 0.$$

It is not hard to see that

$$\frac{G^{ij}\eta_{ij}}{\eta} - \frac{G^{ij}\eta_i\eta_j}{\eta^2} \ge -\frac{C}{\eta^2}.$$

Thus we have

$$G^{ij}P_{ij} \geq -\frac{C}{\eta^2} - C + \frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{ij}(\sum_k h_{ik} < e_k, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_l h_{jl} < e_l, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W}$$

The crucial term is estimated as follows:

$$\frac{G^{ij}(\sum_{k}h_{ik} < e_{k}, E_{n+1} >)(\sum_{l}h_{jl} < e_{l}, E_{n+1} >)}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} = \frac{G^{ij}h_{in}h_{jn} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} = \frac{G^{nn}h_{nn}^{2} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} + 2\sum_{i < n} \frac{G^{ni}h_{in}h_{nn} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} + \sum_{i,j < n} \frac{G^{ij}h_{in}h_{jn} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} = \frac{G^{nn}h_{nn}^{2} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W} + 2\sum_{i < n} \frac{G^{ni}h_{in}h_{nn} < e_{n}, E_{n+1} >^{2}}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^{2}\log W}.$$
(77)

Recall that $\frac{\eta_n}{\eta} \approx \frac{1}{W\eta} \to 0$, we have

$$-\frac{h_{nn} < e_n, E_{n+1} >}{< \nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} = -\frac{2\eta_n}{\eta} - < e_n, E_{n+1} > \approx -1,$$
(78)

and

$$-\frac{h_{in} < e_n, E_{n+1} >}{< \nu, E_{n+1} > \log W} = -\frac{2\eta_i}{\eta} = \frac{4 < e_i, X >}{\eta}, \quad i < n.$$
(79)

Thus we have

$$\frac{G^{nn}h_{nn}^2 < e_n, E_{n+1} >^2}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} \ge \frac{1}{2}\log W G^{nn}.$$
(80)

By the definition of T_k , for i < n, we have

$$\begin{split} [T_k]^{ni} &= \frac{1}{k!} \delta^{ii_1i_2\cdots i_{k-1}i_k}_{nj_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}j_k} h_{i_1j_1} h_{i_2j_2} \cdots h_{i_{k-1}j_{k-1}} h_{i_kj_k} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \delta^{ii_1i_2\cdots i_{k-1}n}_{nj_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}i} h_{i_1j_1} h_{i_2j_2} \cdots h_{i_{k-1}j_{k-1}} h_{ni} \\ &= \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \delta^{in}_{ni} \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_{k-1}\neq i,n} \delta^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}}_{j_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}} h_{j_1j_1} h_{j_2j_2} \cdots h_{j_{k-1}j_{k-1}} h_{ni} \\ &= -h_{in} \sum_{j_1,j_2,\cdots,j_{k-1}\neq i,n} \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \delta^{j_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}}_{j_1j_2\cdots j_{k-1}} h_{j_1j_1} h_{j_2j_2} \cdots h_{j_{k-1}j_{k-1}} \\ &= -h_{ni} \sigma_{k-1}(h|n,i), \end{split}$$

where h|n, i means the vector without h_{ii} and h_{nn} . And

$$|\sigma_{k-1}(h|n,i)| \le C(n)V.$$

$$\sum_{i \le n} G^{ni}h_{in}| \le C(n)\sum_{i \le n} |h_{in}|^2.$$
(81)

Because $P_i = 0$, by (78) we have

$$h_{nn} \approx <\nu, E_{n+1} > \log W = -\frac{\log W}{W} \le 0,$$

and for i < n, by (79)

Using (12), we have

$$|h_{in}| \approx \frac{\log W}{\eta W} \le \frac{\log^2 W}{W}.$$

By (81) we have

$$\left|\sum_{i < n} G^{ni} h_{in}\right| \le C \frac{\log^4 W}{W^2}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i < n} \frac{G^{ni} h_{in} h_{nn} < e_n, E_{n+1} >^2}{< \nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} \ge -C \frac{\log^4 W}{W}.$$
(82)

For some small constant c = c(n), we have

$$G^{nn} \ge \frac{1}{1 + h_{nn}^2 + \sum_{i < n} h_{in}^2} \ge c.$$
(83)

In all, by (77) (80) (82) (83) we have

$$\begin{aligned} G^{ij}P_{ij} &\geq \frac{1}{2} \frac{G^{nn}h_{nn}^2 < e_n, E_{n+1} >^2}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i < n} \frac{G^{ni}h_{in}h_{nn} < e_n, E_{n+1} >^2}{<\nu, E_{n+1} >^2 \log W} \\ &- \frac{C}{\eta^2} - C \\ &\geq \frac{c}{2} \log W - C \frac{\log^4 W}{W} - \frac{C}{\eta^2} - C. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we get

$$0 \ge G^{ij} P_{ij}(x_0) \ge \frac{c}{4} \log W(x_0) - \frac{C}{\eta^2(x_0)} - C.$$

Thus for $\forall x \in B_1$, we have

$$\eta^2 \log W(x) \le \eta^2 \log W(x_0) \le C$$

REFERENCES

- [1] BRENIER, Y. Extended Monge-Kantorovich theory. In *Optimal transportation and applications (Martina Franca, 2001)*, vol. 1813 of *Lecture Notes in Math.* Springer, Berlin, 2003, pp. 91–121.
- [2] CHEN, J., WARREN, M., AND YUAN, Y. A priori estimate for convex solutions to special Lagrangian equations and its application. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 62, 4 (2009), 583–595.
- [3] GANGBO, W., AND MCCANN, R. J. Optimal maps in Monge's mass transport problem. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 321, 12 (1995), 1653–1658.
- [4] GUAN, P., AND QIU, G. Interior C² regularity of convex solutions to prescribing scalar curvature equations. Duke Math. J. 168, 9 (2019), 1641–1663.
- [5] GUAN, P., REN, C., AND WANG, Z. Global C²-estimates for convex solutions of curvature equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 68, 8 (2015), 1287–1325.
- [6] HARVEY, F. R., AND LAWSON, JR., H. B. Pseudoconvexity for the special Lagrangian potential equation. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 60, 1 (2021), Paper No. 6, 37.
- [7] HARVEY, R., AND LAWSON, JR., H. B. Calibrated geometries. Acta Math. 148 (1982), 47-157.
- [8] HEINZ, E. On elliptic Monge-Ampère equations and Weyl's embedding problem. J. Analyse Math. 7 (1959), 1–52.
- [9] LOEPER, G. On the regularity of solutions of optimal transportation problems. *Acta Math.* 202, 2 (2009), 241–283.
- [10] MA, X.-N., TRUDINGER, N. S., AND WANG, X.-J. Regularity of potential functions of the optimal transportation problem. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 177, 2 (2005), 151–183.
- [11] MCGONAGLE, M., SONG, C., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian estimates for convex solutions to quadratic Hessian equation. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 36, 2 (2019), 451–454.
- [12] MICHAEL, J. H., AND SIMON, L. M. Sobolev and mean-value inequalities on generalized submanifolds of Rⁿ. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 26 (1973), 361–379.
- [13] MOONEY, C. Homogeneous functions with nowhere-vanishing hessian determinant. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré C 41, 3 (2023), 555–564.
- [14] MOONEY, C., AND SAVIN, O. Non c^1 solutions to the special lagrangian equation. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2303.14282, Duke Math. J., to appear.* (2023).
- [15] NADIRASHVILI, N., AND VLĂDUŢ, S. Singular solution to special Lagrangian equations. Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire 27, 5 (2010), 1179–1188.
- [16] POGORELOV, A. V. Y. *The Minkowski multidimensional problem*. Scripta Series in Mathematics. V. H. Winston & Sons, Washington, DC; Halsted Press [John Wiley & Sons], New York-Toronto-London, 1978. Translated from the Russian by Vladimir Oliker, Introduction by Louis Nirenberg.
- [17] QIU, G. Interior curvature estimates for hypersurfaces of prescribing scalar curvature in dimension three. *Amer. J. Math.* 146, 3 (2024), 579–605.
- [18] QIU, G. Interior hessian estimates for σ 2 equations in dimension three. *Front. Math* 19 (2024), 577–598.
- [19] SHANKAR, R., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian estimate for semiconvex solutions to the sigma-2 equation. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 59*, 1 (2020), Paper No. 30, 12.
- [20] SHANKAR, R., AND YUAN, Y. Rigidity for general semiconvex entire solutions to the sigma-2 equation. *Duke Math. J. 171*, 15 (2022), 3201–3214.
- [21] SHANKAR, R., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension four. *arXiv* preprint arXiv:2305.12587 (2023).

- [22] SHENG, W., URBAS, J., AND WANG, X.-J. Interior curvature bounds for a class of curvature equations. Duke Math. J. 123, 2 (2004), 235–264.
- [23] SMITH, G. Special Lagrangian curvature. Math. Ann. 355, 1 (2013), 57-95.
- [24] URBAS, J. I. E. On the existence of nonclassical solutions for two classes of fully nonlinear elliptic equations. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 39, 2 (1990), 355–382.
- [25] WANG, D., AND YUAN, Y. Singular solutions to special Lagrangian equations with subcritical phases and minimal surface systems. *Amer. J. Math.* 135, 5 (2013), 1157–1177.
- [26] WANG, D., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian estimates for special Lagrangian equations with critical and supercritical phases in general dimensions. *Amer. J. Math.* 136, 2 (2014), 481–499.
- [27] WARREN, M., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian estimates for the sigma-2 equation in dimension 3. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 62, 3 (2009), 305–321.
- [28] WARREN, M., AND YUAN, Y. Hessian and gradient estimates for three dimensional special Lagrangian equations with large phase. *Amer. J. Math.* 132, 3 (2010), 751–770.
- [29] YUAN, Y. Notes on special Lagrangian equations, lecture notes, 2016.
- [30] ZHOU, X. Hessian estimates for Lagrangian mean curvature equation with sharp Lipschitz phase. *arXiv:2311.13867* (2023).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCE, CHINESE ACAD-EMY OF SCIENCES, NO.55 ZHONGGUANCUN EAST ROAD, 100190, BEIJING, CHINA

Email address: qiugh@amss.ac.cn

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING, CHINA *Email address*: zxc3zxc4zxc5@stu.xjtu.edu.cn