

GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS TO THE CAUCHY PROBLEM OF 2D NONHOMOGENEOUS MAGNETIC BÉNARD SYSTEM WITH LARGE INITIAL DATA AND VACUUM

JIEQIONG LIU

School of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhengzhou University

ABSTRACT. This paper establishes the global well-posedness of strong solutions to the nonhomogeneous magnetic Bénard system with positive density at infinity in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 . More precisely, we obtain the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions for general large initial data. Our method relies on dedicate energy estimates and a logarithmic interpolation inequality.

Keywords: Magnetic Bénard system; Strong solutions; Large initial data; Vacuum

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULT

In this paper, we consider the nonhomogeneous magnetic Bénard system on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 , which reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \rho + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) = 0, \\ \partial_t(\rho \mathbf{u}) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \otimes \mathbf{u}) - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \rho \theta \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \\ \partial_t \mathbf{b} - \nu \Delta \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}, \\ \partial_t(\rho \theta) + \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u} \theta) - \kappa \Delta \theta = \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

with the initial condition

$$(\rho, \rho \mathbf{u}, \rho \theta, \mathbf{b})(x, 0) = (\rho_0, \rho_0 \mathbf{u}_0, \rho_0 \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0)(x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \quad (1.2)$$

and the far field behavior (in some weak sense)

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})(x, t) \rightarrow (\tilde{\rho}, \mathbf{0}, 0, \mathbf{0}) \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty, \quad t > 0, \quad (1.3)$$

for some positive constant $\tilde{\rho}$. Here, the unknown functions ρ , $\mathbf{u} = (u^1, u^2)$, $\mathbf{b} = (b^1, b^2)$, P and θ are the fluid density, velocity, magnetic field, pressure, and absolute temperature, respectively. The positive constant μ stands for the viscosity coefficient, and positive constant κ is the heat conducting coefficient, $\mathbf{e}_2 = (0, 1)^T$ denotes the vertical unit vector.

The magnetic Bénard system describes the heat convection phenomenon under the presence of the magnetic field, which plays an important role in engineering and physics. The forcing term $\rho \theta \mathbf{e}_2$ in the momentum equation (1.1)₂ describes the action of the buoyancy force on fluid motion, and $\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2$ models the Rayleigh-Bénard convection in a heated inviscid fluid. Due to their physical importance, wide range of applications and mathematical challenge, the mathematical study of this system has attracted many mathematicians.

When we don't take into account (1.1)₃, that is $\theta \equiv 0$, system (1.1) reduces to the nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations, which have been widely studied. For the case that the initial density has a positive lower bound, Gerbeau and Le Bris [8] and Desjardins and Le Bris [9] studied the global existence of weak solutions of finite energy in the whole space or in the torus, respectively. Lately, Chen et al. [2] established a global solution for the initial data belonging to critical Besov spaces (see also [1]). On the other hand, in the presence of vacuum, motivated by the work of Choe and Kim [5], Chen et al. [4] obtained the local existence of strong solutions to the 3D Cauchy problem under the following compatibility condition:

$$-\mu \Delta \mathbf{u}_0 + \nabla P_0 - \mathbf{b}_0 \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}_0 = \sqrt{\rho_0} \mathbf{g} \quad (1.4)$$

for some $(P_0, \mathbf{g}) \in H^1 \times L^2$. With the help of a logarithmic type Sobolev inequality, Huang and Wang [11] proved the global existence of strong solutions with general large data on two-dimensional bounded domains under the condition (1.4). Meanwhile, by using spatial-weighted method, the global large strong solution to the Cauchy problem on the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 with zero far field density was established by Lü et al. [14].

If the motion occurs in the absence of magnetic field, that is, $\mathbf{b} \equiv 0$, system (1.1) reduces to the nonhomogeneous Bénard system. For the initial density allowing vacuum states, Zhong obtained the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the 2D initial boundary value problem with general large data in [18] and the global existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to the 3D Cauchy problem for suitable small initial data in [19]. Recently, by weighted energy method, Zhong [17, 20] established the local and global existence of strong solutions to the 2D Cauchy problem by assuming that the initial density decays not too slow at infinity. Meanwhile, the global large solution to the 2D Cauchy problem for the case of positive density at infinity was obtained by Li [12].

Let's go back to the system (1.1). When ρ is a positive constant, which means the fluid is homogeneous, the magnetic Bénard system has been extensively studied. Zhou et al. [22] proved the global well-posedness of smooth solutions with zero thermal conductivity. Cheng and Du [3] established the global well-posedness without thermal diffusivity and with vertical or horizontal magnetic diffusion. The global regularity with horizontal dissipation, horizontal magnetic diffusion and with either horizontal or vertical thermal diffusivity was obtained by Ye [16]. When the density is not constant, the mathematical analysis of (1.1) becomes more subtle. Zhong [21] established the local strong solution to the two-dimensional Cauchy problem with zero far field state. Subsequently, Liu [13] extended this local strong solution to global in time for large initial data. However, whether the global strong solution to the 2D Cauchy problem with positive far field density exists or not is still unknown. In fact, the purpose of this paper is to establish the global strong solution to the Cauchy problem (1.1)-(1.3) for general large initial data.

Before formulating our main result, we first explain the notations and conventions used throughout this paper. We denote by

$$\int \cdot dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \cdot dx.$$

For $1 \leq r \leq \infty$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces are defined in a standard way,

$$L^r = L^r(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad W^{k,r} = W^{k,r}(\mathbb{R}^2), \quad H^k = W^{k,2}.$$

With the above preparation in hand, we now turn to state our main result.

Theorem 1.1. *For constant $q > 2$, assume that the initial data $(\rho_0 \geq 0, \mathbf{u}_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$ satisfies*

$$\begin{cases} (\rho_0 - \bar{\rho}) \in H^1 \cap W^{1,q}, \\ \sqrt{\rho_0} \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \nabla \mathbf{u}_0 \in L^2, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u}_0 = 0, \\ \sqrt{\rho_0} \theta_0 \in L^2, \nabla \theta_0 \in L^2, \\ \mathbf{b}_0 \in H^1, \operatorname{div} \mathbf{b}_0 = 0. \end{cases} \quad (1.5)$$

Then, the problem (1.1)-(1.3) admits a unique global strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ such that for any $0 < \tau < T < \infty$ and any $2 \leq r < q$, it holds that

$$\begin{cases} (\rho - \bar{\rho}) \in C([0, T]; H^1 \cap W^{1,q}), \rho_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2 \cap L^r), \\ \nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \theta, \nabla \mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^1) \cap L^2(0, T; H^2) \cap C([0, T]; H^1), \nabla P \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2), \\ \mathbf{b} \in L^\infty(0, T; H^2) \cap L^2(0, T; H^3) \cap C([0, T]; H^2), \\ \rho \mathbf{u}, \rho \theta \in C([0, T]; L^2), \nabla \mathbf{u}_t, \nabla \theta_t, \nabla \mathbf{b}_t \in L^2(\tau, T; L^2), \\ \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t, \sqrt{\rho} \theta_t, \mathbf{b}_t \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2) \cap L^2(0, T; L^2). \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

Remark 1.1. It should be noted that our Theorem (1.1) holds for arbitrarily large initial data and initial density with vacuum. In addition, we removed the compatibility condition on the initial data for the global existence of strong solution by deriving some time-weighted estimates.

We arrange the structure of this paper as follows: In Sec 2, we collect some elementary facts and useful inequalities, which will be used in later analysis. Sec 3 is devoted to deriving some necessary *a priori* estimates of different levels. In addition, the proof of Theorem 1.1 will be given in Sec 4.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we shall collect some known facts and analytic inequalities that will be used extensively in the later analysis.

We start with the local existence and uniqueness of strong solution to the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with initial data satisfying (1.5), whose proof can be performed by using standard procedures as in [21, 7]

Lemma 2.1. *Assume that the initial data $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$ satisfies (1.5). Then there exist a small time $T_0 > 0$ and a unique strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b}, P)$ to the problem (1.1)-(1.3) in $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T_0]$.*

Next, the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality will be used later frequently, whose proof can be found in [15].

Lemma 2.2. *For $p \in [2, \infty)$, $r \in (2, \infty)$, and $s \in (1, \infty)$, there exists some generic constant $C > 0$ which may only depend on p , r , and s such that for $f \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $g \in L^s(\mathbb{R}^2) \cap D^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|f\|_{L^p}^p &\leq C \|f\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla f\|_{L^2}^{p-2}, \\ \|g\|_{L^\infty} &\leq C \|g\|_{L^s}^{\frac{s(r-2)}{2r+s(r-2)}} \|\nabla g\|_{L^r}^{\frac{2r}{2r+s(r-2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

In deriving the higher a priori estimates, the classical regularity results for the Stokes system in the whole space \mathbb{R}^2 (see [10]) will play an important role, which can be stated as follows.

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose that $\mathbf{F} \in L^r$ with $r \in (1, \infty)$. Let $(\mathbf{u}, P) \in H^1 \times L^2$ be the unique weak solution to the following Stokes problem*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \mathbf{F}, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & x \in \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) \rightarrow 0, & |x| \rightarrow \infty, \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

then $(\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}, \nabla P) \in L^r$ and there exists a positive constant C depending only on μ and r such that

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^r} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^r} \leq C \|\mathbf{F}\|_{L^r}. \quad (2.3)$$

As pointed out in many papers, the difficulty of two-dimensional fluid dynamic equations in the unbounded domains comes from the critical spatial dimension. Therefore, it seems difficult to obtain directly the L^p -norm of the velocity just in terms of $\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$ and $\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$. In our setting, thanks to the positivity of the far filed state of density $\tilde{\rho}$, we can obtain the following improved Poincaré-type inequality, which will be crucially important. And we refer the readers to [12, Lemma 2.4] for the proof.

Lemma 2.4. *For $\tilde{\rho} > 0$, assume $(\rho - \tilde{\rho}) \in L^2$ with $\rho \geq 0$ and $\sqrt{\rho} v, \nabla v \in L^2$, where v is a scalar function or vector function. Then, we have*

$$\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\tilde{\rho}, \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2})(\|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (2.4)$$

Furthermore, for $2 \leq p < \infty$, we have

$$\|v\|_{L^p} \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} v\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{p}} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^{1-\frac{2}{p}} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}, \quad (2.5)$$

or

$$\|v\|_{L^p} \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}v\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}). \quad (2.6)$$

Here and in what follows, we use $C(f)$ to emphasize the dependence on the quantity f .

Finally, we end with the following logarithmic interpolation inequality due to Desjardins [6].

Lemma 2.5. *Suppose that $0 \leq \rho \leq \bar{\rho}$ and $\mathbf{u} \in H^1$, then we have*

$$\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C(\bar{\rho})(1 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1} \sqrt{\log(2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^2)}. \quad (2.7)$$

3. A PRIORI ESTIMATES

In this section, we will establish some necessary *a priori* bounds of different levels for strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ to the problem (1.1)-(1.3) to extend the local strong solution to global-in-time. To this end, let $T > 0$ be a fixed time and $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ be the strong solution to (1.1)-(1.3) on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T]$ with initial data $(\rho_0, \mathbf{u}_0, \theta_0, \mathbf{b}_0)$ satisfying (1.5).

Before proceeding, we rewrite an equivalent form of system (1.1) if we assume that the solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})$ is regular enough, which reads as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = 0, \\ \rho \mathbf{u}_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mu \Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \rho \theta \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}, \\ \mathbf{b}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}, \\ \rho \theta_t + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta - \kappa \Delta \theta = \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = \operatorname{div} \mathbf{b} = 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Throughout this section, we shall use the convention that C denotes a generic positive constant, which may depend on $\bar{\rho}, \mu, \kappa, q, T$ and initial data.

We start with the following standard energy estimate and the L^p -norm estimate of the density.

Lemma 3.1. *It holds that for $0 \leq T < T^*$,*

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \leq \|\rho_0\|_{L^\infty}, \quad (3.2)$$

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^p} \leq \|\rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^p} \quad \text{for } 2 \leq p \leq q, \quad (3.3)$$

and

$$\sup_{[0, T]} (\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.4)$$

Proof. Since (3.1)₁ is a transport equation, it is easy to obtain (3.2). Moreover, (3.1)₁ along with $\rho_0 \geq 0$ gives

$$\inf_{\mathbb{R}^2 \times [0, T]} \rho(x, t) \geq 0. \quad (3.5)$$

For positive constant $\tilde{\rho}$, we obtain from (3.1)₁ that $\rho - \tilde{\rho}$ satisfies a transport equation

$$(\rho - \tilde{\rho})_t + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\rho - \tilde{\rho}) = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

Multiplying (3.6) by $p|\rho - \tilde{\rho}|^{p-2}(\rho - \tilde{\rho})$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 imply the desired (3.3). Multiplying (3.1)₂ by \mathbf{u} , (3.1)₃ by \mathbf{b} , (3.1)₄ by θ , and integrating by parts, we obtain from the Hölder inequality and the Cauchy inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 + \rho \theta^2 + |\mathbf{b}|^2) dx + \int (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 + \nu |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) dx \\ &= 2 \int \rho \theta (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2) dx \leq 2 \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2} \leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

which together with Gronwall's inequality gives

$$\sup_{[0,T]} (\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) + \int_0^T (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.8)$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.1. \square

Remark 3.1. We can get from 2.4 and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1} &= \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho\mathbf{u}}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Next, the following lemma concerns the key estimate on the $L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ -norm of the gradients of the velocity, magnetic field and absolute temperature.

Lemma 3.2. *It holds that for all $0 \leq T < T^*$ and each integer $i \in \{0, 1\}$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[0,T]} t^i (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) \\ + \int_0^T t^i (\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\|\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Proof. 1. Multiplying (3.1)₂ by \mathbf{u}_t and integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla\mathbf{u}|^2 dx + \int \rho |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx + \int \rho\theta(\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx \right| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

In a similar way, we deduce from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int \rho\theta(\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx \right| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

By the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (3.1)₅ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
\int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx &= -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \int \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx \\
&= -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \int (\nu \Delta \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx \\
&\quad + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot (\nu \Delta \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}) dx \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + C \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} + C \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6}^6 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 \\
&\quad + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \\
&\leq -\frac{d}{dt} \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where we have used the following Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality

$$\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Inserting (3.12)-(3.14) into (3.11), we get from (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt} \int \left(\frac{\mu}{2} |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} \right) dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx \\
&\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

2. Multiplying (3.1)₄ by θ_t and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 lead to

$$\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \int \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx = - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta \cdot \theta_t dx + \int \rho (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2) \theta_t dx. \tag{3.16}$$

By virtue of Hölder's inequality, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality and (3.4), one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta \cdot \theta_t dx \right| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^4} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int \rho (\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2) \theta_t dx \right| &\leq \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Substituting (3.17) and (3.18) into (3.16), we obtain

$$\frac{\kappa}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \theta|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2} \int \rho |\theta_t|^2 dx \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} + C. \tag{3.19}$$

3. Multiplying (3.1)₃ by $\Delta \mathbf{b}$ and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 , it follows from Hölder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 dx + C \int |\mathbf{b}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\Delta \mathbf{b}| dx \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^3}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \\
& \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^{\frac{3}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6}^2 \\
& \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^3}^3 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^6}^6 \\
& \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
& \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C(1 + \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 \\
& \leq \frac{\nu}{4} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

which combined with (3.15) and (3.19) leads to

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx \right) \\
& + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\nu}{2} \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \\
& + C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + C.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Where

$$M(t) =: \int (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2) dx,$$

satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 - C_1 \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4 \\
& \leq M(t) \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

owing to Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and following estimate:

$$|2 \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} dx| \leq 2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq \frac{\mu}{2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C_1 \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4, \tag{3.23}$$

where C_1 is a positive constant. According to (3.1)₃, and multiplying it by $|\mathbf{b}|^2 \mathbf{b}$, integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 , then we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{4} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\mathbf{b}|^4 dx + \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| \|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla |\mathbf{b}|^2\|_{L^2}^2 \\
& \leq \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot |\mathbf{b}|^2 \mathbf{b} dx \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4} \|\mathbf{b}\|^2_{L^4} \\
& \leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla |\mathbf{b}|^2\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.24}$$

which implies that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int |\mathbf{b}|^4 dx + 4 \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| \|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4, \tag{3.25}$$

then we apply Gronwall's inequality,

$$\sup_{[0, T]} \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4 + \int_0^T \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}| \|_{L^2}^2 dt \leq C. \tag{3.26}$$

Recall that (\mathbf{u}, P) satisfies the following Stokes system

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \mathbf{u} + \nabla P = \rho \mathbf{u}_t - \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} + \rho \theta \mathbf{e}_2, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \operatorname{div} \mathbf{u} = 0, & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \mathbf{u}(x) \rightarrow 0, & |x| \rightarrow +\infty. \end{cases} \quad (3.27)$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we obtain from Höder's inequality and the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\rho \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C\|\rho \theta \mathbf{e}_2\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + C\|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + C\|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla P\|_{L^2} & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\ & \quad + C\|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

Applying the classical elliptic estimates for θ

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} & \leq \|\rho \theta_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \|\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} (\|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}) + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} + C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.30)$$

which implies that

$$\|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + C. \quad (3.31)$$

Inserting (3.29) and into (3.21), and combined with (3.26) leads to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(\int \mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 + 2\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b} + \kappa |\nabla \theta|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{b}|^2 \right) dx \\ & \quad + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}) \\ & \quad + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}) + C\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 \\ & \quad + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{4}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \varepsilon \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 \\ & \quad + \frac{1}{2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.32)$$

Thus adding (3.26) multiplied by $4(C_1 + 1)$ to (3.32), choosing ε suitably small, which together with (2.7) in Lemma 2.5 gives rise to

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} (M(t) + (C_1 + 1) \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4) + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \|\Delta \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C \\ & \leq C(1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \log[2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2]) (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \quad + C\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.33)$$

Put

$$f(t) =: 2 + M(t) + (C_1 + 1) \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4.$$

Then, we deduce from (3.33) that

$$f'(t) \leq C(f(t))^2 \log f(t), \quad (3.34)$$

Dividing (3.34) by f yields

$$(\log f(t))' \leq C f(t) \log f(t). \quad (3.35)$$

We thus deduce from (3.35), Gronwall's inequality, and (3.4) that

$$\sup_{[0, T]} (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \leq C. \quad (3.36)$$

Integrating (3.33) with respect to t , we can obtain from (3.36) that

$$\int_0^T (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C \quad (3.37)$$

Multiplying (3.33) by t , performing similar technique to (3.34), one can also obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{[0, T]} t (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \int_0^T t (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\Delta \mathbf{d}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.38)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 is completed. \square

Remark 3.2. Based on Lemma 3.2, we obtain the following useful estimates. First, we deduce from (2.7) that

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C(\bar{\rho})(1 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}) \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1} \sqrt{\log(2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^2)} \leq C, \quad (3.39)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^2 \leq C \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \\ & \leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

This together with (3.29) and (3.31) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + C \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \\ & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}\| \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C \\ & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.41)$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \quad (3.42)$$

Similarly, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^2 & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + C \\ & \leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

Due to lack of the Choe-Kim type compatibility condition on the initial data, we derive the time-weighted energy estimate of $(\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t, \sqrt{\rho} \theta_t, \mathbf{b}_t)$.

Lemma 3.3. *It holds that for all $0 \leq T < T^*$,*

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{[0, T]} t (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & + \int_0^T t (\|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2) dt \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.44)$$

Proof. First, differentiating (3.1)₂ with respect to t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho \mathbf{u}_{tt} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t - \Delta \mathbf{u}_t + \nabla P_t \\ &= -\rho_t \mathbf{u}_t - \rho_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \rho \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} + (\rho \theta)_t \mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.45)$$

Multiplying (3.45) by \mathbf{u}_t and integrating by parts over \mathbb{R}^2 give

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \mu \int |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \rho_t |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx - \int \rho_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx - \int \rho \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx \\ & \quad + \int (\rho \theta)_t (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx + \int \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.46)$$

Next, differentiating (3.1)₄ with respect to t , we get

$$\rho \theta_{tt} + \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta_t - \kappa \Delta \theta_t = -\rho_t \theta_t - \rho_t \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta - \rho \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \theta + (\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)_t. \quad (3.47)$$

Multiplying (3.47) by θ_t and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 yield

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int \rho \theta_t^2 dx + \kappa \int |\nabla \theta_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \rho_t \theta_t^2 dx - \int \rho_t (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta) \theta_t dx - \int \rho (\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \theta) \theta_t dx + \int (\rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{e}_2)_t \cdot \theta_t dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.48)$$

Finally, differentiating (3.1)₃ with respect to t leads to

$$\mathbf{b}_{tt} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b}_t - \nu \Delta \mathbf{b}_t = -\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} - \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t. \quad (3.49)$$

Multiplying (3.49) by \mathbf{b}_t and integrating over \mathbb{R}^2 , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int |\mathbf{b}_t|^2 dx + \nu \int |\nabla \mathbf{b}_t|^2 dx \\ &= - \int \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx - \int \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx + \int \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

Adding (3.48), (3.50) and (3.46), we deduce from the mass equation (1.1)₁ that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \rho \theta_t^2 + |\mathbf{b}_t|^2) dx + \int (\mu |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \kappa |\nabla \theta_t|^2 + \nu |\nabla \mathbf{b}_t|^2) dx \\ &= \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx + \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) \theta_t^2 dx + \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx \\ & \quad + \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta \cdot \theta_t) dx - \int \rho \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx - \int \rho (\mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \theta) \theta_t dx \\ & \quad - \int \mathbf{u}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx + \int \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{b} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t dx + \int \mathbf{b}_t \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{b}_t dx \\ & \quad + 2 \int \rho \theta_t (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx + \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) (\theta (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t)) dx + \int \operatorname{div}(\rho \mathbf{u}) (\theta_t (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u})) dx \\ & \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^{12} J_i. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

Before proceeding further, we introduce the following useful conclusions. It follows from (2.4) and (3.3) that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\tilde{\rho}, \|\rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2),$$

and

$$\|\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C(\tilde{\rho}, \|\rho_0 - \tilde{\rho}\|_{L^2}^2) (\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2).$$

This implies that

$$\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2), \quad (3.52)$$

and

$$\|\theta_t\|_{H^1}^2 \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2). \quad (3.53)$$

Now, we are ready to estimate the terms of the right-hand side of (3.51). By Hölder's inequality, the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, and (3.10), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_1| &= \left| - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla |\mathbf{u}_t|^2 dx \right| \\
&\leq 2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{20} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{20} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2, \\
|J_2| &= \left| - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla |\theta_t|^2 dx \right| \tag{3.54} \\
&\leq 2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{12} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{12} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\theta_t\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{\kappa}{6} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
|J_3| &= \left| - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx \right| \tag{3.55} \\
&\leq \int \rho |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}|^2 |\mathbf{u}_t| dx + \int \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}| |\mathbf{u}_t| dx + \int \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t| dx \\
&\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{8}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\quad + C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}} + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{8}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|)_{L^2}^{\frac{8}{3}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|)_{L^2}^{\frac{10}{3}} \\
&\quad + C \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{10}{3}} (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\mathbf{b}\| |\nabla \mathbf{b}|)_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} \\
&\leq \frac{\mu}{10} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C,
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
J_4 &= \left| - \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \theta \cdot \theta_t) dx \right| \\
&\leq \int \rho |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \mathbf{u}| |\nabla \theta| |\theta_t| dx + \int \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla^2 \theta| |\theta_t| dx \tag{3.56}
\end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int \rho |\mathbf{u}|^2 |\nabla \theta| |\nabla \theta_t| dx \tag{3.57}$$

$$\leq \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \tag{3.58}$$

$$+ \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \tag{3.59}$$

$$+ \|\rho\|_{L^\infty} \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2 \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2} \tag{3.60}$$

$$\leq C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{5}{3}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.61)$$

$$+ C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \quad (3.62)$$

$$+ C \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2} \quad (3.63)$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.64)$$

$$+ C (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \quad (3.65)$$

$$+ C (1 + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2})^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{4}{3}} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2} \quad (3.66)$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{6} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{5}{6}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (3.67)$$

$$+ C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{2}{3}} \|\nabla^2 \theta\|_{L^2} + C \quad (3.68)$$

$$\leq \frac{\kappa}{6} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^4 + \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C, \quad (3.69)$$

$$|J_5| \leq \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \quad (3.70)$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|J_6| \leq \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^4}$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^4}^2$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\leq C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3}{2}} + C \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}^{\frac{3}{4}} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{H^1}^{\frac{3}{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{6} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|J_7 + J_8| \leq C \|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^4}$$

$$\leq C (\|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}) \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^4}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{10} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|J_9| \leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \|\nabla \mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|J_{10}| \leq \left| 2 \int \rho \theta_t (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t) dx \right|$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$|J_{11} + J_{12}| \leq \left| \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\theta (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u}_t)) dx + \int \rho \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla (\theta_t (\mathbf{e}_2 \cdot \mathbf{u})) dx \right|$$

$$\leq C \int \rho |\mathbf{u}| (|\mathbf{u}_t| |\nabla \theta| + |\theta| |\nabla \mathbf{u}_t| + |\mathbf{u}| |\nabla \theta_t| + |\theta_t| |\nabla \mathbf{u}|) dx$$

$$\leq C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}$$

$$+ C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^2 \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2} + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^4} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}$$

$$\leq \frac{\mu}{10} \|\nabla \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{\kappa}{6} \|\nabla \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C \|\sqrt{\rho} \theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C.$$

Substituting the above estimates into (3.51), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \int (\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \rho\theta_t^2 + |\mathbf{b}_t|^2) dx + \int (\mu|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \kappa|\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \nu|\nabla\mathbf{b}_t|^2) dx \\ & \leq C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2)^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.71)$$

Multiplying (3.71) by t gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \left(t \int (\rho|\mathbf{u}_t|^2 + \rho\theta_t^2 + |\mathbf{b}_t|^2) dx \right) + t(\mu\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \kappa\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu\|\nabla\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2) \\ & \leq Ct(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2)^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\mathbf{b}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.72)$$

Applying Gronwall's inequality yields the desired (3.44). \square

Lemma 3.4. *Let q be as in (1.1), it holds that for all $0 \leq T < T^*$ and all $2 \leq r < q$,*

$$\sup_{[0,T]} \left(\|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_{H^1 \cap W^{1,4}} + \|\rho_t\|_{L^r} \right) + \int_0^T (t\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2 + t\|\nabla\theta\|_{H^2}^2 + t\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{H^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.73)$$

Proof. 1. Applying Lemma 2.3 with $\mathbf{F} = -\rho\mathbf{u}_t - \rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u} + \rho\theta\mathbf{e}_2 + \mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b}$, it follows from Hölder's inequality, (2.1), (2.6), (3.4) and (3.10) that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} & \leq C(\|\rho\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + \|\rho\theta\|_{L^4} + \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}) \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^4} + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^8}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^8} + C\|\theta\|_{L^4} + C\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^8}\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^8} \\ & \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^6}^{\frac{3}{4}} + C(1 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2})\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \quad + C(\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}) + C(\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2})(\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2})^{\frac{3}{4}} + C\|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.74)$$

which gives

$$\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} + C. \quad (3.75)$$

In view of Sobolev's inequality, (2.1) and (3.75), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{W^{1,4}} dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}) dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T (\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}) dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T (1 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{4}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{4}} + \|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2) dt \\ & \leq C \int_0^T (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2})^{\frac{1}{4}} (t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2})^{\frac{3}{4}} \cdot t^{\frac{1}{2}} dt + C \int_0^T \|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2} dt + C \\ & \leq \sup_{[0,T]} (t\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2)^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 dt \right)^{\frac{3}{8}} \left(\int_0^T t^{-\frac{4}{5}} dt \right)^{\frac{5}{8}} + C \\ & \leq C. \end{aligned} \quad (3.76)$$

2. Taking spatial derivative ∇ on the transport equation (3.1)₁ gives

$$\partial_t \nabla \rho + (\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla) \nabla \rho + \nabla \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla \rho = \mathbf{0}. \quad (3.77)$$

Multiplying (3.77) by $p|\nabla\rho|^{p-2}\nabla\rho$ for $2 \leq p \leq q$, it is easy to find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^p} \leq C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^p}, \quad (3.78)$$

which combined with Gronwall's inequality and (3.76) gives

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^p} \leq C. \quad (3.79)$$

This together with the earlier estimate (3.3) leads to

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\|\rho - \tilde{\rho}\|_{H^1 \cap W^{1,q}} \leq C. \quad (3.80)$$

In addition, for $2 \leq r < q$, we deduce from the transport equation (3.1)₁, Hölder's inequality and (2.1) that

$$\|\rho_t\|_{L^r} = \|\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\rho\|_{L^r} \leq \|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{qr}{q-r}}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q} \leq C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q}, \quad (3.81)$$

which together with (3.79) and (3.10) yields

$$\sup_{[0,T]}\|\rho_t\|_{L^r} \leq C. \quad (3.82)$$

Here we cannot verify the case of $r = q$ since the Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality (2.1) fails for $p = \infty$ in the critical spatial dimension.

3. We infer from (3.27), (3.2), (3.79), (3.52), (3.10) and Sobolev's inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2 + \|\nabla P\|_{H^1}^2 &\leq C(\|\rho\mathbf{u}_t\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\rho\theta\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^1}^2) \\ &\leq C\|\rho\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla(\rho\mathbf{u}_t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla(\rho\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{u})\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla(\rho\theta)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla(\mathbf{b} \cdot \nabla\mathbf{b})\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q}^2\|\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{L^4}^4 \\ &\quad + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^4}^4 + C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q}^2\|\mathbf{u}\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q}^2\|\theta\|_{L^{\frac{2q}{q-2}}}^2 \\ &\quad + C\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\mathbf{b}\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla^2\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &\leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\nabla\mathbf{u}_t\|_{L^2}^4 + C\|\Delta\mathbf{b}\|_{L^2}^4 + C, \end{aligned} \quad (3.83)$$

which combined with the obtained estimates (3.10) and (3.44) yields

$$\int_0^T t\|\nabla\mathbf{u}\|_{H^2}^2 dt \leq C. \quad (3.84)$$

In a similar way, combining the well-known regularity theory of the elliptic equations for θ and \mathbf{b} , we obtain that

$$\int_0^T (t\|\nabla\mathbf{b}\|_{H^2}^2 + t\|\nabla\theta\|_{H^2}^2) dt \leq C. \quad (3.85)$$

Therefore, we finish the proof of Lemma 3.4. \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

With Lemma 2.1 and all the *a priori* estimates obtained in Section 3 at hand, we shall give a proof of Theorem 1.1.

In view of Lemma 2.1, there exists a $T_* > 0$ such that the problem (1.1)-(1.2) has a unique local strong solution $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b}, P)$ on $\mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T_*]$. We plan to extend the local solution to global in time.

Set

$$T^* \triangleq \sup \{T | (\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b}, P) \text{ is a strong solution on } \mathbb{R}^2 \times (0, T]\}. \quad (4.1)$$

First, for $T_* < T \leq T^*$ with T finite, one deduces from that

$$\nabla \mathbf{u}, \nabla \theta, \nabla \mathbf{b} \in C([\tau, T]; H^1),$$

where we used the standard embedding

$$L^\infty(\tau, T; H^2) \cap H^1(\tau, T; L^2) \hookrightarrow C([\tau, T]; H^1).$$

And it follows from (3.80) and (3.82) that

$$\rho - \tilde{\rho} \in C([0, T]; H^1 \cap W^{1,q}). \quad (4.2)$$

Owing to (3.2) and (3.4), we have

$$\rho \mathbf{u}_t = \sqrt{\rho} \cdot \sqrt{\rho} \mathbf{u}_t \in L^2(0, T; L^2). \quad (4.3)$$

By (3.82) and the Sobolev's inequality, we get

$$\rho_t \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2). \quad (4.4)$$

Thus, we arrive at

$$(\rho \mathbf{u})_t = \rho \mathbf{u}_t + \rho_t \mathbf{u} \in L^2(0, T; L^2), \quad (4.5)$$

which combined with $\rho \mathbf{u} \in L^\infty(0, T; L^2)$ due to (3.4) and (3.2) gives rise to

$$\rho \mathbf{u} \in C(0, T; L^2). \quad (4.6)$$

Similarly, one has

$$\rho \theta \in C(0, T; L^2), \mathbf{b} \in C(0, T; L^2). \quad (4.7)$$

Finally, if $T^* < \infty$, we can verify that

$$(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})(x, T^*) = \lim_{t \rightarrow T^*} (\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})(x, T) \quad (4.8)$$

satisfies the initial condition (1.5) at $t = T^*$. Thus, taking $(\rho, \mathbf{u}, \theta, \mathbf{b})(x, T^*)$ as the initial data, Lemma 2.1 implies that one can extend the strong solution beyond T^* . This contradicts the assumption of T^* in (4.1). Furthermore, the estimates as those in (1.6) follow from Lemmas 3.1- 3.4. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.

REFERENCES

- [1] Q. Bie, Q. Wang and Z. Yao, *Global well-posedness of the 3D incompressible MHD equations with variable density*. Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl. 47(2019), 85-105.
- [2] F. Chen, Y. Li and H. Xu, *Global solution to the 3D nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD equations with some large initial data*. Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst. 36 (2016), no. 6, 2945-2967.
- [3] J. Cheng and L. Du, *On two-dimensional magnetic Bénard problem with mixed partial viscosity*. J.Math. Fluid Mech. 17(2015),769-797.
- [4] Q. Chen, Z. Tan and Y. Wang, *Strong solutions to the incompressible magnetohydrodynamic equations*. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. 34(2011), no. 1, 94-107.
- [5] H. Choe and H. Kim, *Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for nonhomogeneous incompressible fluids*. Commun. Partial Differ. Equ. 28(2003), 1183-1201.
- [6] B. Desjardins, *Regularity results for two-dimensional flows of multiphase viscous fluids*. Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 137 (1997), no. 2, 135-158.
- [7] J. Fan, L. Wang and Y. Zhou, *Global strong solutions of the 2D density-dependent incompressible magnetic Bénard problem*. Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. 44 (2021), no. 3, 1749-1769.
- [8] J. Gerbeau and C. Le Bris, *Existence of solution for a density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equation*. Adv. Differential Equations 2 (1997), no. 3, 427-452.
- [9] B. Desjardins and C. Le Bris, *Remarks on a nonhomogeneous model of magnetohydrodynamics*. Differential Integral Equations 11 (1998), no. 3, 377-394.
- [10] C. He, J. Li and B. Lü, *Global well-posedness and exponential stability of 3D Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity and vacuum in unbounded domains*. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 239 (2021), no. 3, 1809-1835.
- [11] X. Huang and Y. Wang, *Global strong solution to the 2D nonhomogeneous incompressible MHD system*. J. Differ. Equ. 254 (2013), 511-527.
- [12] H. Li, *Global well-posedness to the Cauchy problem of 2D nonhomogeneous Bénard system with large initial data and vacuum*. J. Math. Phys. 63 (2022), no. 11, 13 pp.
- [13] Z. Liu, *Global well-posedness to the Cauchy problem of 2D inhomogeneous incompressible magnetic Bénard equations with large initial data and vacuum*. AIMS Mathematics, 6 (2021), 12085-12103.

- [14] B. Lü, Z. Xu and X. Zhong, *Global existence and large time asymptotic behavior of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of 2D density-dependent magnetohydrodynamic equations with vacuum*. J. Math. Pures Appl. 108 (2017), no. 1, 41-62.
- [15] L. Nirenberg, *On elliptic partial differential equations*. Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (3) 13 (1959), 115-162.
- [16] Z. Ye, *Global regularity of the 2D magnetic Bénard system with partial dissipation*. Adv. Differ. Equ. 23(2018), 193-238.
- [17] X. Zhong, *Local strong solutions to the nonhomogeneous Bénard system with nonnegative density*. Rocky Mountain J. Math. 50(2020), 1497-1516.
- [18] X. Zhong, *Global strong solution of nonhomogeneous Bénard system with large initial data and vacuum in a bounded domain*. Z. Anal. Anwend. 40(2021): 153-166.
- [19] X. Zhong, *Global strong solution and exponential decay to the 3D Cauchy problem of nonhomogeneous Bénard system with vacuum*. Acta Appl. Math. 172(2021), 16 pp.
- [20] X. Zhong, *Global strong solution to the nonhomogeneous Bénard system with large initial data and vacuum*. Results Math. 76(2021), 19 pp .
- [21] X. Zhong, *The local existence of strong solutions to the Cauchy problem of two-dimensional density-dependent magnetic Bénard problem with nonnegative density*. Commun. Math. Sci., 18 (2020), 725-750.
- [22] Y. Zhou, J. Fan and G. Nakamura, *Global Cauchy problem for a 2D magnetic Bénard problem with zero thermal conductivity*. Appl. Math. Lett. 26(2013), 627-630.