

The Rescaled Dirac operator fDh and the noncommutative residue for 6-dimensional manifolds

Tong Wu^a, Yong Wang^{b,*}

^a*Department of Mathematics, Northeastern University, Shenyang, 110819, China*

^b*School of Mathematics and Statistics, Northeast Normal University, Changchun, 130024, China*

Abstract

In this paper, we compute the noncommutative residue for the rescaled Dirac operator fDh on 6-dimensional compact manifolds without boundary. And we give the proof of the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator fDh on 6-dimensional compact manifolds with boundary. We also give some important special cases which can be solved by our calculation methods.

Keywords: The noncommutative residue; the rescaled Dirac operator; the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem.

1. Introduction

Until now, many geometers have studied noncommutative residues. In [9, 19], authors found noncommutative residues are of great importance to the study of noncommutative geometry. Connes showed us that the noncommutative residue on a compact manifold M coincided with the Dixmier's trace on pseudodifferential operators of order $-\dim M$ in [5]. Therefore, the non-commutative residue can be used as integral of noncommutative geometry and become an important tool of noncommutative geometry. In [6], Connes used the noncommutative residue to derive a conformal 4-dimensional Polyakov action analogy. Several years ago, Connes made a challenging observation that the noncommutative residue of the square of the inverse of the Dirac operator was proportional to the Einstein-Hilbert action, which we call the Kastler-Kalau-Walze theorem. In [11], Kastler gave a bruteforce proof of this theorem. In [10], Kalau and Walze proved this theorem in the normal coordinates system simultaneously. Ackermann proved that the Wodzicki residue of the square of the inverse of the Dirac operator $\text{Wres}(D^{-2})$ in turn is essentially the second coefficient of the heat kernel expansion of D^2 in [1].

The many noncommutative residues of rescaled Dirac operators on spin manifolds have been studied [2, 12, 18]. In [2], Benaméur and Mathai defined the twisted Dirac operator, which is also conformally covariant with the same weights. Then they obtained Theorem "Conformal Invariance of the Spin Rho Invariant". In [12], Li, Wang and Yang defined the generalized Zhang's operator and proved the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary associated with the generalized Zhang's operator. In [18], Wei and Wang established a Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem pertaining to the transformation operators on 4-dimensional manifolds with boundary. In addition, conformal operators are also studied not only on spin manifolds but also on the noncommutative torus. In [14], Sitarz proposed a new idea of conformally rescaled and curved spectral triples, which are obtained from a real spectral triple by a nontrivial scaling of the Dirac operator. And they computed the Wodzicki residue and the Einstein-Hilbert functional for such family on the 4-dimensional noncommutative torus. In [15], Sitarz computed the Wodzicki residue of

*Corresponding author.

Email addresses: wut977@nenu.edu.cn (Tong Wu^a), wangy581@nenu.edu.cn (Yong Wang^{b,*})

the inverse of a conformally rescaled Laplace operator over a 4-dimensional noncommutative torus. We find that the above mentioned studies about conformal operators are all in the low-dimensional case, and most of them are considered in the 4-dimensional manifold. So we intend to solve the noncommutative residue problem of conformal operators in the high-dimensional case. Based on the research developed in above articles, we define the rescaled Dirac operator fDh , where f and h are two invertible smooth functions. Further, we give the proof of the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator on 6-dimensional compact manifolds with boundary. Our method can be used to solve the above results in [2, 12, 14, 15, 18] on 6-dimensional manifolds. Our main theorems are followings.

Theorem 1.1. *Let M be a 6-dimensional oriented compact spin manifold without boundary, $Q = (fDh)^2$, then the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator is given*

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Wres}(Q^{-2}) \\ &= 8\pi^3 \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{6}(fh)^{-4}s - 2(fh)^{-6}f^2|\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6}fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) - 2(fh)^{-2}g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}f], \nabla(h)) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2}fg(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h)) + 4(fh)^{-5}\Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2}\Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6}|\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-2}\Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2}g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf)) \right) d\text{Vol}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Theorem 1.2. *Let M be a 6-dimensional oriented compact spin manifold with boundary ∂M , $Q = (fDh)^2$, then the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator is given*

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+Q^{-1}] \\ &= 8\pi^3 \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{6}(fh)^{-4}s - 2(fh)^{-6}f^2|\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6}fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) - 2(fh)^{-2}g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}f], \nabla(h)) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2}fg(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h)) + 4(fh)^{-5}\Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2}\Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6}|\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-2}\Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2}g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf)) \right) d\text{Vol}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (1.2)$$

In particular, the boundary term vanishes.

Remark 1.3. *We summarized some operators which are the special case of our operator fDh , the noncommutative residues of them can be generalised to 6-dimensional manifold by our methods.*

(i) *The generalized Zhang's operator $D_V = -i(\widehat{c}(V)(d + \delta) + t \sum_j c(e_j)\widehat{c}(\nabla_{e_j}^{TM}V))$, where $|V| \neq 1$ in [12].*

(ii) *Let $h = f^{-1}$, then $Q = (fDf^{-1})^2$ is the generalized laplacian operator. This case is a special case of the conformal operator studied in this paper.*

(iii) *In [18], transformation operators $\widetilde{D} = \frac{1}{2}fD + c(df)$, where $c(df)$ denotes a Clifford action on manifold M and f is a nonzero smooth function on M .*

(iv) *A generalised family of Laplace operator for the conformally rescaled metric over the noncommutative torus in [15] can be generalised to the noncommutative case in dimension 6.*

(v) *Inspired by [4], we can define the rescaled Dirac operator fD^2f , and study it on 6-dimensional manifold.*

(vi) *In [13], take $g' = e^{2\sigma}g$, $\dim M = n$. Then the conformal Dirac operator $D_{g'} = e^{-\frac{n+1}{2}\sigma}D_g e^{\frac{(n-1)\sigma}{2}}$.*

The paper is organized in the following way. In Section 2, firstly, we introduce the Dirac operator. On this basis, we define the rescaled Dirac operator, and calculate its symbols. In Section 3, by using $\text{Wres}(P) := \int_{S^*M} \text{tr}(\sigma_{-n}^P)(x, \xi)$, we compute the interior term of the noncommutative residue $\widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+Q^{-1}]$ for the rescaled Dirac operator, that is the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem of the rescaled Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds without boundary. In Section 4, we recall some basic facts and formulas about Boutet de Monvel's calculus, and we compute the boundary term of the noncommutative residue $\widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+Q^{-1}]$ for the rescaled Dirac operator. Finally, we prove the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem of the rescaled Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds with boundary.

2. The rescaled Dirac operator and its symbols

Let M be an n -dimensional compact oriented spin manifold with Riemannian metric g , and let ∇^L be the Levi-Civita connection about g . We recall that the Dirac operator D is locally given as follows in terms of an orthonormal section e_i (with dual section θ^k) of the frame bundle of M [11]:

$$\begin{aligned} D &= i\gamma^i \widetilde{\nabla}_i = i\gamma^i(e_i + \sigma_i); \\ \sigma_i(x) &= \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{ij,k}(x)\gamma^i\gamma^k = \frac{1}{8}\gamma_{ij,k}(x)[\gamma^j\gamma^k - \gamma^k\gamma^j], \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

where $\gamma_{ij,k}$ represents the Levi-Civita connection ∇ with spin connection $\widetilde{\nabla}$, specifically:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma_{ij,k} &= -\gamma_{ik,j} = \frac{1}{2}[c_{ij,k} + c_{ki,j} + c_{kj,i}], \quad i, j, k = 1, \dots, 4; \\ c_{ij}^k &= \theta^k([e_i \cdot e_j]). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

Here the γ^i are constant self-adjoint Dirac matrices s.t. $\gamma^i\gamma^j + \gamma^j\gamma^i = -2\delta^{ij}$. In terms of local coordinates x^μ inducing the alternative vierbein $\partial_\mu = S_\mu^i(x)e_i$ (with dual vierbein dx^μ) we have $\gamma^i e_i = \gamma^\mu \partial_\mu$, the γ^μ being now x -dependent Dirac matrices s.t. $\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu + \gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu = -2g^{\mu\nu}$ (we use latin sub-(super-) scripts for the basic e_i and greek sub-(super-) scripts for the basis ∂_μ , the type of sub-(super-) scripts specifying the type of Dirac matrices). The specification of the Dirac operator in the greek basis is as follows: one has

$$\begin{aligned} D &= i\gamma^\mu \widetilde{\nabla}_\mu = i\gamma^\mu(e_\mu + \sigma_\mu); \\ \sigma_\mu(x) &= S_\mu^i(x)\sigma_i. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have the symbol of D :

Lemma 2.1. [17]

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_1(D) &= ic(\xi); \\ \sigma_0(D) &= -\frac{1}{4} \sum_{s,t} \omega_{s,t}(e_i)c(e_i)c(e_s)c(e_t). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Then, using the shorthand: $\Gamma^k = g^{ij}\Gamma_{ij}^k$; $\sigma^j = g^{ij}\sigma_i$, we have respective symbols of D^2 :

Lemma 2.2. [11]

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2(D^2) &= |\xi|^2; \\ \sigma_1(D^2) &= i(\Gamma^\mu - 2\sigma^\mu)(x)\xi_\mu; \\ \sigma_0(D^2) &= -g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu^x \sigma_\nu + \sigma^\mu \sigma_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \sigma_\alpha)(x) + \frac{1}{4}s(x). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

We now introduce the rescaled Dirac operator fDh , where f and h are two invertible smooth functions. Let $Q = (fDh)^2$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= fDhfDh \\ &= f(hfD + [D, hf])Dh \\ &= fhfD^2h + fc(d(hf))Dh \\ &= fhf(hD^2 + [D^2, h]) + fc(d(hf))(hD + [D, h]) \\ &= fhfhD^2 + fhf[D^2, h] + fhc(d(hf))D + fc(d(hf))c(d(h)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

where $c(d(h))$ denotes a Clifford action on manifold M .

Lemma 2.3. [16] Let S be pseudo-differential operator of order k and f is a smooth function, $[S, f]$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order $k - 1$ with total symbol $\sigma[S, f] \sim \sum_{j \geq 1} \sigma_{k-j}[S, f]$, where

$$\sigma_{k-j}[S, f] = \sum_{|\beta|=1}^j \frac{D_x^\beta(f)}{\beta!} \partial_\xi^\beta (\sigma_{k-(j-|\beta|)}^S). \quad (2.6)$$

Our computations are based on the algorithm yielding the principal symbol of a product of pseudo-differential operators in terms of the principal symbols of the factors, namely, with the shorthand $\partial_\xi^\alpha = \partial^\alpha / \partial \xi_\alpha$, $\partial_x^\alpha = \partial_\alpha / \partial x^\alpha$:

$$\sigma^{AB}(x, \xi) = \sum_\alpha \frac{(-i)^\alpha}{\alpha!} \partial_\xi^\alpha \sigma^A(x, \xi) \cdot \partial_x^\alpha \sigma^B(x, \xi). \quad (2.7)$$

Then by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. The symbols of Q are given

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_2^Q &= (fh)^2 |\xi|^2; \\ \sigma_1^Q &= i(fh)^2 (\Gamma^\mu - 2\sigma^\mu)(x) \xi_\mu - 2ifhf \sum_{jl=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(h) g^{jl} \xi_l + ifhc(d(hf))c(\xi); \\ \sigma_0^Q &= (fh)^2 [-g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu^\nu \sigma_\nu + \sigma^\mu \sigma_\nu - \Gamma_{\mu\nu}^\alpha \sigma_\alpha)(x) + \frac{1}{4}s(x)] + fhf [\sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(h) (\Gamma^j - 2\sigma^j)(x) \\ &\quad - \sum_{jl=1}^n \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_l}(h) g^{jl}] - \frac{1}{4} fhc(d(hf)) \sum_{s,t} \omega_{s,t}(e_i) c(e_i) c(e_s) c(e_t) + fc(d(hf))c(dh). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.5. The symbols of Q^{-1} are given

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{-2}^{Q^{-1}} &= (fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-2}; \\ \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} &= -i(fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-4} (\Gamma^\mu - 2\sigma^\mu)(x) \xi_\mu + 2i(fh)^{-3} f |\xi|^{-4} \sum_{jl=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(h) g^{jl} \xi_l - i(fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-4} c(d(hf))c(\xi) \\ &\quad - 4i(fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-4} g^{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu \partial_{x_\mu}(fh) - 2i(fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-6} g^{\mu\nu} \xi_\nu \partial_{x_\mu}(g^{\alpha\beta}) \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta; \\ \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}}(x_0) &= -\frac{1}{4} (fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-4} s + \frac{2}{3} (fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-6} R_{\alpha\alpha\mu}(x_0) \xi_\alpha \xi_\mu - 4(fh)^{-4} f^2 |\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l \\ &\quad + 8(fh)^{-4} f |\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(fh) \xi_j \xi_l - 4(fh)^{-4} f |\xi|^{-4} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_j}(fh) \\ &\quad - 4|\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j} [(fh)^{-3} f] \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l - 4(fh)^{-3} f |\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l \\ &\quad + 8|\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j} [(fh)^{-3}] \partial_{x_l}(fh) \xi_j \xi_l + 8(fh)^{-3} f |\xi|^{-6} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j} \partial_{x_l}(fh) \xi_j \xi_l + |\xi|^{-4} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}^2 [(fh)^{-2}] \\ &\quad + 4(fh)^{-4} f |\xi|^{-6} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(h) \xi_j c(d(hf))c(\xi) - 4(fh)^{-4} |\xi|^{-6} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(fh) \xi_j c(d(hf))c(\xi) \\ &\quad - (fh)^{-4} |\xi|^{-6} c(d(hf))c(\xi) c(d(hf))c(\xi) + 2(fh)^{-4} \partial_{x_\mu}(fh) |\xi|^{-4} c(d(hf)) \partial_{\xi_\mu} [c(\xi)] \\ &\quad + (fh)^{-3} f |\xi|^{-4} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}^2 (h) - (fh)^{-4} f |\xi|^{-4} c(d(hf))c(dh) + 2\partial_{x_\mu} [(fh)^{-3}] |\xi|^{-6} c(d(hf))c(\xi) \xi_\mu \\ &\quad + 2(fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-6} \partial_{x_\mu} [c(d(hf))] c(\xi) \xi_\mu + 2(fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-6} c(d(hf)) \partial_{x_\mu} [c(\xi)] \xi_\mu. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma^Q &= \sigma_2 + \sigma_1 + \sigma_0; \\ \sigma^{Q^{-1}} &= \sigma_{-2}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} + \cdots \\ &= b_{-2} + b_{-3} + b_{-4} + \cdots.\end{aligned}\tag{2.10}$$

Then by (2.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_2 b_{-2} &= I; \\ \sigma_1 b_{-2} + \sigma_2 b_{-3} - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2) \partial_{x_\mu}(b_{-2}) &= 0; \\ \sigma_2 b_{-4} + \sigma_1 b_{-3} + \sigma_0 b_{-2} - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_1) \partial_{x_\mu}(b_{-2}) - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2) \partial_{x_\mu}(b_{-3}) - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\xi_\mu} \partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2) \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x_\nu}(b_{-2}) &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{2.11}$$

Therefore, put the result of Lemma 2.4 into (2.11), Lemma 2.5 holds. \square

Based on the noncommutative residue [20] and [11], using the definition of the residue:

$$\text{Wres}[Q^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}] := \int_{S^*M} \text{tr}[\sigma_{-n}(Q^{-\frac{n}{2}+1})](x, \xi),\tag{2.12}$$

where $\sigma_{-n}(Q^{-\frac{n}{2}+1})$ denotes the $(-n)$ th order piece of the complete symbols of $Q^{-\frac{n}{2}+1}$, tr as shorthand of trace.

Let $n = 2m$, by (2.12), we need to compute $\int_{S^*M} \text{tr}[\sigma_{-2m}(Q^{-m+1})](x, \xi)$. Based on the algorithm yielding the principal symbol of a product of pseudo-differential operators in terms of the principal symbols of the factors, we have the following lemma

Lemma 2.6. *The symbol about the conformal Dirac operator fDh is given*

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi) &= 3\sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-3} \sigma_0 - 4(fh)^4 \sigma_2^{-3} |\xi|^{-2} \partial_{x_a} \partial_{x_b} [(fh)^{-2}] \xi_a \xi_b + \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} \\ &\quad + \sigma_2^{-2} \sigma_1 \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} - i \sigma_2^{-2} \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_1) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.13}$$

Proof. Firstly, using $\sigma_{-2m}^{Q^{-m}} \equiv \sigma_2^{-m}$, we get the recursion relations

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-2m}^{Q^{-m+1}}(x, \xi) &= \sum_{|\alpha|=0}^2 \sum_{k=2}^{4-|\alpha|} (-i)^{|\alpha|} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial_{\xi}^{\alpha} \sigma_{|\alpha|+k-2m}^{Q^{-m+2}} \partial_x^{\alpha} \sigma_{-k}^{Q^{-1}} \\ &= \sigma_{2-2m}^{Q^{-m+2}} \sigma_2^{-1} + \sigma_{3-2m}^{Q^{-m+2}} \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-m+2} \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} \\ &\quad - i \partial_{\xi_\mu} \sigma_{3-2m}^{Q^{-m+2}} \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-m+2}) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\xi_\mu} \partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-m+2}) \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.14}$$

Let $m = 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi) &= \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} \sigma_2^{-1} + \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-1} \sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\xi_\mu} \partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) \partial_{x_\mu} \partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}).\end{aligned}\tag{2.15}$$

By (2.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}&- i \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}) \\ &= i \sigma_2^{-2} \partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_2) \partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}})\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sigma_2^{-2}[\sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}}\sigma_2 + \sigma_1\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_0\sigma_2^{-1} - i\partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_1)\partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2)\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1})] \\
&= \sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_1\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_0\sigma_2^{-3} - i\sigma_2^{-2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_1)\partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^{-2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2)\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}). \quad (2.16)
\end{aligned}$$

Substitute the result in (2.16) into the (2.15), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi) &= 3\sigma_2^{-1}\sigma_{-4}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-3}\sigma_0 + \sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}}\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} + \sigma_2^{-2}\sigma_1\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}} - i\sigma_2^{-2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_1)\partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) \\
&\quad - i\partial_{\xi_\mu}(\sigma_{-3}^{Q^{-1}})\partial_{x_\mu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma_2^{-2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2)\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}) - \frac{1}{2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1})\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}(\sigma_2^{-1}). \quad (2.17)
\end{aligned}$$

Using

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}\sigma_2^{-1}(x_0) &= -\sigma_2^{-2}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\partial_{\xi_\nu}\sigma_2 + 2\sigma_2^{-3}\partial_{\xi_\mu}\sigma_2\partial_{\xi_\nu}\sigma_2; \\
\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}\sigma_2^{-1}(x_0) &= |\xi|^{-2}\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-4}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_\mu}\partial_{x_\nu}g^{jl}(x_0)\xi_j\xi_l, \quad (2.18)
\end{aligned}$$

then substitute the result in (2.18) into the (2.17), Lemma 2.6 holds. \square

By Lemma 2.4-Lemma 2.6, then the following equality holds.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi) &= -\frac{1}{2}(fh)^{-4}|\xi|^{-6}s + 2(fh)^{-4}|\xi|^{-8}R_{\alpha a \alpha \mu}(x_0)\xi_a\xi_\mu - 12(fh)^{-6}f^2|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j\xi_l \\
&\quad + 44(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j\xi_l - 10(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-6}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_j}(fh) \\
&\quad - 12(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}[(fh)^{-3}f]\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j\xi_l - 12(fh)^{-5}f|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j\xi_l \\
&\quad + 24(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}[(fh)^{-3}]\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j\xi_l + 24(fh)^{-5}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j\xi_l \\
&\quad + 3(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-6}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}^2[(fh)^{-2}] + 14(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-8}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}(h)\xi_jc(d(hf))c(\xi) \\
&\quad - 28(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}(fh)\xi_jc(d(hf))c(\xi) - 4(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-8}c(d(hf))c(\xi)c(d(hf))c(\xi) \\
&\quad + 6(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-6}\sum_\mu\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)c(d(hf))\partial_{\xi_\mu}[c(\xi)] + 2(fh)^{-5}f|\xi|^{-6}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}^2(h) \\
&\quad - 2(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-6}c(d(hf))c(dh) + 2(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}[(fh)^{-2}]\xi_j\xi_l \\
&\quad - 42(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}(fh)\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j\xi_l + 6(fh)^{-5}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_jc(d(hf))\partial_{x_j}[c(\xi)]\xi_j \\
&\quad + 8(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-6}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}(fh)\partial_{x_j}(fh) + 6(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}[(fh)^{-3}]\xi_jc(d(hf))c(\xi). \quad (2.19)
\end{aligned}$$

3. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem on 6-dimensional manifolds without boundary

In the section, we prove the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds without boundary. Firstly, we review here technical tool of the computation, which are the integrals of polynomial functions over the unit spheres. By (32) in [3], we define

$$I_{S_n}^{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{2\bar{n}+2}} = \int_{|x|=1} d^n x x^{\gamma_1} \cdots x^{\gamma_{2\bar{n}+2}}, \quad (3.1)$$

i.e. the monomial integrals over a unit sphere. Then by Proposition A.2. in [3], polynomial integrals over higher spheres in the n -dimensional case are given

$$I_{S_n}^{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_{2\bar{n}+2}} = \frac{1}{2\bar{n} + n} [\delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} I_{S_n}^{\gamma_3 \cdots \gamma_{2\bar{n}+2}} + \cdots + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_{2\bar{n}+1}} I_{S_n}^{\gamma_2 \cdots \gamma_{2\bar{n}+1}}], \quad (3.2)$$

where $S_n \equiv S^{n-1}$ in \mathbb{R}^n .

For $\bar{n} = 0$, we have $I^0 = \text{area}(S_n) = \frac{2\pi^{\frac{n}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})}$, we immediately get

$$\begin{aligned} I_{S_n}^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} &= \frac{1}{n} \text{area}(S_n) \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2}; \\ I_{S_n}^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4} &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)} \text{area}(S_n) [\delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_4} + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_3} \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_4} + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_4} \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_3}]; \\ I_{S_n}^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2 \gamma_3 \gamma_4 \gamma_5 \gamma_6} &= \frac{1}{n(n+2)(n+4)} \text{area}(S_n) [\delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_2} (\delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_4} \delta^{\gamma_5 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_5} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_6} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_5}) \\ &\quad + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_3} (\delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_4} \delta^{\gamma_5 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_5} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_6} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_5}) + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_4} (\delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_3} \delta^{\gamma_5 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_5} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_6} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_5}) \\ &\quad + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_5} (\delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_3} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_4} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_6} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_6} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_4}) + \delta^{\gamma_1 \gamma_6} (\delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_3} \delta^{\gamma_4 \gamma_5} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_4} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_5} + \delta^{\gamma_2 \gamma_5} \delta^{\gamma_3 \gamma_4})]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Then, we calculate each term of $\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr}[\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi)] \sigma(\xi)$ separately.

(1)

$$\text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-4} |\xi|^{-6} s \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} = -\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-4} \text{str}[id],$$

then

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(-\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-4} \text{str}[id] \right) \sigma(\xi) = -\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-4} \text{str}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(2)

$$\text{tr} \left(2(fh)^{-4} |\xi|^{-8} R_{\alpha a \alpha \mu}(x_0) \xi_a \xi_\mu \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} = 2(fh)^{-4} R_{\alpha a \alpha \mu}(x_0) \xi_a \xi_\mu \text{tr}[id],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(2(fh)^{-4} R_{\alpha a \alpha \mu}(x_0) \xi_a \xi_\mu \text{tr}[id] \right) \sigma(\xi) &= 2(fh)^{-4} R_{\alpha a \alpha \mu}(x_0) \int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_a \xi_\mu \sigma(\xi) \text{tr}[id] \\ &= 2(fh)^{-4} s \times \frac{1}{6} \text{area}(S_6) \text{tr}[id] \\ &= \frac{1}{3} (fh)^{-4} \text{str}[id] \text{area}(S_6). \end{aligned}$$

(3)

$$\text{tr} \left(-12(fh)^{-6} f^2 |\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} = 12(fh)^{-6} f^2 \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l \text{tr}[id],$$

then

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(-12(fh)^{-6} f^2 \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(h) \xi_j \xi_l \text{tr}[id] \right) \sigma(\xi)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= -12(fh)^{-6}f^2 \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_l}(h) \int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_j \xi_l \sigma(\xi) \text{tr}[id] \\
&= -2(fh)^{-6}f^2 \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_j}(h) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6) \\
&= -2(fh)^{-6}f^2 g(\nabla(h), \nabla(h)) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6) \\
&= -2(fh)^{-6}f^2 |\nabla(h)|^2 \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\nabla(h)$ denotes the gradient of h .

(4) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(44(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j \xi_l \right) \sigma(\xi) = \frac{22}{3}(fh)^{-6}fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(5) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(-10(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-6} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(h)\partial_{x_j}(fh) \right) \sigma(\xi) = -10(fh)^{-6}fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(6) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(-12(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}[(fh)^{-3}f]\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j \xi_l \right) \sigma(\xi) = -2(fh)^{-2}g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}f], \nabla(h)) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(7)

$$\text{tr} \left(-12(fh)^{-5}f|\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j \xi_l \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} = -12(fh)^{-5}f \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j \xi_l \text{tr}[id],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(-12(fh)^{-5}f \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(h)\xi_j \xi_l \text{tr}[id] \right) \sigma(\xi) \\
&= -12(fh)^{-5}f \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(h) \int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_j \xi_l \sigma(\xi) \text{tr}[id] \\
&= -2(fh)^{-5}f \sum_j \partial_{x_j}^2(h) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6) \\
&= -2(fh)^{-5}f \Delta(h) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\Delta(h)$ denotes a generalized laplacian of h .

(8) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(24(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}[(fh)^{-3}] \partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j \xi_l \right) \sigma(\xi) = 4(fh)^{-2}fg(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h)) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(9) Similar to (7), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \text{tr} \left(24(fh)^{-5}|\xi|^{-8} \sum_{jl} \partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j \xi_l \right) \sigma(\xi) = 4(fh)^{-5}\Delta(fh) \text{tr}[id] \text{area}(S_6).$$

(10) Similar to (7), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(3(fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-6} \sum_{j'l} \partial_{x_j}^2 [(fh)^{-2}] \right) \sigma(\xi) = 3(fh)^{-2} \Delta [(fh)^{-2}] \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6).$$

(11) By $c(\xi) = \sum_j \xi_j c(dx_j)$ and $c(d(hf)) = c(\sum_j \partial_{x_j}(hf) dx_j) = \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(hf) c(dx_j)$, we have

$$\operatorname{tr} \left(14(fh)^{-6} f |\xi|^{-8} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(h) \xi_j c(d(hf)) c(\xi) \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} = -14(fh)^{-6} f \sum_{j'l} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(hf) \xi_j \xi_l \operatorname{tr}[id],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(-14(fh)^{-6} f \sum_{j'l} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(hf) \xi_j \xi_l \operatorname{tr}[id] \right) \sigma(\xi) \\ &= -14(fh)^{-6} f \sum_{j'l} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(hf) \int_{|\xi|=1} \xi_j \xi_l \sigma(\xi) \operatorname{tr}[id] \\ &= -\frac{7}{3} (fh)^{-6} f \sum_{j'l} \partial_{x_j}(h) \partial_{x_l}(hf) \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6) \\ &= -\frac{7}{3} (fh)^{-6} f g(\nabla(h), \nabla(hf)) \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6), \end{aligned}$$

(12) Similar to (11), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(-28(fh)^{-6} |\xi|^{-8} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(fh) \xi_j c(d(hf)) c(\xi) \right) \sigma(\xi) = \frac{14}{3} (fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6).$$

(13)

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{tr} \left(-4(fh)^{-6} |\xi|^{-8} c(d(hf)) c(\xi) c(d(hf)) c(\xi) \right) \Big|_{|\xi|=1} \\ &= -4(fh)^{-6} \operatorname{tr}[c(d(hf)) c(\xi) c(d(hf)) c(\xi)] \\ &= -4(fh)^{-6} \sum_{ijkl} \partial_{x_i}(hf) \partial_{x_k}(hf) \xi_j \xi_l \operatorname{tr}[c(dx_i) c(dx_j) c(dx_k) c(dx_l)], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\operatorname{tr}[c(dx_i) c(dx_j) c(dx_k) c(dx_l)] = -\delta_{ij} \operatorname{tr}[c(dx_k) c(dx_l)] + \delta_{ik} \operatorname{tr}[c(dx_j) c(dx_l)] - \delta_{il} \operatorname{tr}[c(dx_j) c(dx_k)],$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} -4(fh)^{-6} \operatorname{tr}[c(d(hf)) c(\xi) c(d(hf)) c(\xi)] &= \left(\sum_{ik} \partial_{x_i}(hf) \partial_{x_k}(hf) \xi_i \xi_k - \sum_{ij} \partial_{x_i}(hf) \partial_{x_i}(hf) \xi_j \xi_j \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{ij} \partial_{x_i}(hf) \partial_{x_j}(hf) \xi_i \xi_j \right) \operatorname{tr}[id]. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(-4(fh)^{-6} \operatorname{tr}[c(d(hf)) c(\xi) c(d(hf)) c(\xi)] \right) \sigma(\xi) \\ &= -4(fh)^{-6} \partial_{x_i}(fh) \partial_{x_i}(fh) \times \frac{1}{6} \operatorname{area}(S_6) \operatorname{tr}[id] \end{aligned}$$

$$= -\frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-6}|\nabla(fh)|^2\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6).$$

(14) By $\partial_{\xi_\mu}[c(\xi)] = c(dx_\mu)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{tr}\left(6(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-6}\sum_{\mu}\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)c(d(hf))\partial_{\xi_\mu}[c(\xi)]\right)|_{|\xi|=1} \\ &= 6(fh)^{-6}\sum_{\mu}\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)\text{tr}[c(d(hf))\partial_{\xi_\mu}[c(\xi)]] \\ &= -6(fh)^{-6}\sum_{\mu}\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)\text{tr}[id], \end{aligned}$$

then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(-6(fh)^{-6}\sum_{\mu}\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)\partial_{x_\mu}(fh)\text{tr}[id]\right)\sigma(\xi) \\ &= -6(fh)^{-6}|\nabla(fh)|^2\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6). \end{aligned}$$

(15) Similar to (7), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(2(fh)^{-5}f|\xi|^{-6}\sum_j\partial_{x_j}^2(h)\right)\sigma(\xi) = 2(fh)^{-5}f\Delta(h)\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6).$$

(16) Similar to (11), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(-2(fh)^{-6}f|\xi|^{-6}c(d(hf))c(dh)\right)\sigma(\xi) = 2(fh)^{-6}fg(\nabla(hf), \nabla(h))\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6).$$

(17) Similar to (7), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(-4(fh)^{-2}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}\partial_{x_l}[(fh)^{-2}]\xi_j\xi_l\right)\sigma(\xi) = -\frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-2}\Delta[(fh)^{-2}]\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6).$$

(18) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(-42(fh)^{-6}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_{jl}\partial_{x_j}(fh)\partial_{x_l}(fh)\xi_j\xi_l\right)\sigma(\xi) = -7(fh)^{-6}|\nabla(fh)|^2\text{tr}[id]\text{area}(S_6).$$

(19) By $\partial_{x_j}[H_{t\alpha}](x_0) = \partial_{x_j}[c(e_\alpha)] = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_j}[c(\xi)](x_0) &= \sum_t \xi_t \partial_{x_j}[c(dx_t)](x_0) \\ &= \sum_t \xi_t \partial_{x_j}\left[\sum_\alpha (dx_t, e_\alpha)c(e_\alpha)\right](x_0) \\ &= \sum_{t\alpha} \xi_t \partial_{x_j}[H_{t\alpha}c(e_\alpha)](x_0) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Then

$$\int_{|\xi|=1}\text{tr}\left(6(fh)^{-5}|\xi|^{-8}\sum_j c(d(fh))\partial_{x_j}[c(\xi)]\xi_j\right)\sigma(\xi) = 0.$$

(20) Similar to (3), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(8(fh)^{-6} |\xi|^{-6} \sum_j \partial_{x_j}(fh) \partial_{x_j}(fh) \right) \sigma(\xi) = 8(fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6).$$

(21) Similar to (11), we have

$$\int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} \left(6(fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-8} \sum_j \partial_{x_j} [(fh)^{-3}] \xi_j c(d(hf)) c(\xi) \right) \sigma(\xi) = -(fh)^{-2} g \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf) \right) \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6).$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{|\xi|=1} \operatorname{tr} [\sigma_{-6}^{Q^{-2}}(x_0, \xi)] \sigma(\xi) \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{6} (fh)^{-4} s - 2(fh)^{-6} f^2 |\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6} fg \left(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh) \right) - 2(fh)^{-2} g \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3} f], \nabla(h) \right) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2} fg \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h) \right) + 4(fh)^{-5} \Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3} (fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2} g \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf) \right) \right) \operatorname{tr}[id] \operatorname{area}(S_6). \end{aligned}$$

When $n = 6$, $m = 3$, we have $\operatorname{tr}[id] = 2^3 = 8$, $\operatorname{area}(S_6) = \pi^3$, then we get the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. *Let M be a 6-dimensional oriented compact spin manifold without boundary, $Q = (fDh)^2$, then the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator is given*

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Wres}(Q^{-2}) \\ &= 8\pi^3 \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{6} (fh)^{-4} s - 2(fh)^{-6} f^2 |\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6} fg \left(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh) \right) - 2(fh)^{-2} g \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3} f], \nabla(h) \right) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2} fg \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h) \right) + 4(fh)^{-5} \Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3} (fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2} g \left(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf) \right) \right) d\operatorname{Vol}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

4. A Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem on 6-dimensional manifolds with boundary

In this section, we prove the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator on 6-dimensional manifolds with boundary. We firstly recall some basic facts and formulas about Boutet de Monvel's calculus and the definition of the noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary which will be used in the following. For more details, see in Section 2 in [17]. We assume that the metric g^M on M has the following form near the boundary,

$$g^M = \frac{1}{h(x_n)} g^{\partial M} + dx_n^2, \quad (4.1)$$

where $g^{\partial M}$ is the metric on ∂M and $h(x_n) \in C^\infty([0, 1]) := \{\widehat{h}|_{[0,1]} | \widehat{h} \in C^\infty((-\varepsilon, 1))\}$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and $h(x_n)$ satisfies $h(x_n) > 0$, $h(0) = 1$ where x_n denotes the normal directional coordinate. Let $U \subset M$ be a collar neighborhood of ∂M which is diffeomorphic with $\partial M \times [0, 1]$. By the definition of $h(x_n) \in C^\infty([0, 1])$ and $h(x_n) > 0$, there exists $\widehat{h} \in C^\infty((-\varepsilon, 1))$ such that $\widehat{h}|_{[0,1]} = h$ and $\widehat{h} > 0$ for some sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exists a metric g' on $\widetilde{M} = M \cup_{\partial M} \partial M \times (-\varepsilon, 0]$ which has the form on $U \cup_{\partial M} \partial M \times (-\varepsilon, 0]$

$$g' = \frac{1}{\widehat{h}(x_n)} g^{\partial M} + dx_n^2, \quad (4.2)$$

such that $g'|_M = g$. We fix a metric g' on the \widetilde{M} such that $g'|_M = g$.

Let the Fourier transformation F' be

$$F' : L^2(\mathbf{R}_t) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbf{R}_v); F'(u)(v) = \int_{\mathbf{R}} e^{-ivt} u(t) dt$$

and let let

$$r^+ : C^\infty(\mathbf{R}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^+}); f \rightarrow f|_{\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^+}; \widetilde{\mathbf{R}^+} = \{x \geq 0; x \in \mathbf{R}\}.$$

We define $H^+ = F'(\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^+}); H_0^- = F'(\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^-}))$ which satisfies $H^+ \perp H_0^-$, where $\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^+}) = r^+ \Phi(\mathbf{R})$, $\Phi(\widetilde{\mathbf{R}^-}) = r^- \Phi(\mathbf{R})$ and $\Phi(\mathbf{R})$ denotes the Schwartz space. We have the following property: $h \in H^+$ (resp. H_0^-) if and only if $h \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ which has an analytic extension to the lower (resp. upper) complex half-plane $\{\text{Im}\xi < 0\}$ (resp. $\{\text{Im}\xi > 0\}$) such that for all nonnegative integer l ,

$$\frac{d^l h}{d\xi^l}(\xi) \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{d^k}{d\xi^k} \left(\frac{c_k}{\xi^k} \right),$$

as $|\xi| \rightarrow +\infty, \text{Im}\xi \leq 0$ (resp. $\text{Im}\xi \geq 0$) and where $c_k \in \mathbb{C}$ are some constants.

Let H' be the space of all polynomials and $H^- = H_0^- \oplus H'$; $H = H^+ \oplus H^-$. Denote by π^+ (resp. π^-) respectively the projection on H^+ (resp. H^-). Let $\widetilde{H} = \{\text{rational functions having no poles on the real axis}\}$. Then on \widetilde{H}

$$\pi^+ h(\xi_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0^-} \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{h(\xi)}{\xi_0 + iu - \xi} d\xi, \quad (4.3)$$

where Γ^+ is a Jordan close curve included $\text{Im}(\xi) > 0$ surrounding all the singularities of h in the upper half-plane and $\xi_0 \in \mathbf{R}$. In our computations, we only compute $\pi^+ h$ for h in \widetilde{H} . Similarly, define π' on \widetilde{H} ,

$$\pi' h = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma^+} h(\xi) d\xi. \quad (4.4)$$

So $\pi'(H^-) = 0$. For $h \in H \cap L^1(\mathbf{R})$, $\pi' h = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbf{R}} h(v) dv$ and for $h \in H^+ \cap L^1(\mathbf{R})$, $\pi' h = 0$.

An operator of order $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ and type d is a matrix

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^+ P + G & K \\ T & \widetilde{S} \end{pmatrix} : \begin{array}{c} C^\infty(M, E_1) \\ \oplus \\ C^\infty(\partial M, F_1) \end{array} \longrightarrow \begin{array}{c} C^\infty(M, E_2) \\ \oplus \\ C^\infty(\partial M, F_2) \end{array},$$

where M is a manifold with boundary ∂M and E_1, E_2 (resp. F_1, F_2) are vector bundles over M (resp. ∂M). Here, $P : C_0^\infty(\Omega, \overline{E_1}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\Omega, \overline{E_2})$ is a classical pseudodifferential operator of order m on Ω , where Ω is a collar neighborhood of M and $\overline{E_i}|_M = E_i$ ($i = 1, 2$). P has an extension: $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega, \overline{E_1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \overline{E_2})$, where $\mathcal{E}'(\Omega, \overline{E_1})$ ($\mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \overline{E_2})$) is the dual space of $C^\infty(\Omega, \overline{E_1})$ ($C_0^\infty(\Omega, \overline{E_2})$). Let $e^+ : C^\infty(M, E_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}'(\Omega, \overline{E_1})$ denote extension by zero from M to Ω and $r^+ : \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, \overline{E_2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, E_2)$ denote the restriction from Ω to X , then define

$$\pi^+ P = r^+ P e^+ : C^\infty(M, E_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}'(\Omega, E_2).$$

In addition, P is supposed to have the transmission property; this means that, for all j, k, α , the homogeneous component p_j of order j in the asymptotic expansion of the symbol p of P in local coordinates near the boundary satisfies:

$$\partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha p_j(x', 0, 0, +1) = (-1)^{j-|\alpha|} \partial_{x_n}^k \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha p_j(x', 0, 0, -1),$$

then $\pi^+ P : C^\infty(M, E_1) \rightarrow C^\infty(M, E_2)$ by [12]. Let G, T be respectively the singular Green operator and the trace operator of order m and type d . Let K be a potential operator and S be a classical pseudodifferential operator of order m along the boundary (For detailed definition, see [11]). Denote by $B^{m,d}$ the collection of all operators of order m and type d , and \mathcal{B} is the union over all m and d .

Recall that $B^{m,d}$ is a Fréchet space. The composition of the above operator matrices yields a continuous map: $B^{m,d} \times B^{m',d'} \rightarrow B^{m+m',\max\{m'+d,d'\}}$. Write

$$\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^+P + G & K \\ T & \tilde{S} \end{pmatrix} \in B^{m,d}, \tilde{A}' = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^+P' + G' & K' \\ T' & \tilde{S}' \end{pmatrix} \in B^{m',d'}.$$

The composition $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}'$ is obtained by multiplication of the matrices (For more details see [12]). For example $\pi^+P \circ G'$ and $G \circ G'$ are singular Green operators of type d' and

$$\pi^+P \circ \pi^+P' = \pi^+(PP') + L(P, P').$$

Here PP' is the usual composition of pseudodifferential operators and $L(P, P')$ called leftover term is a singular Green operator of type $m' + d$. For our case, P, P' are classical pseudo differential operators, in other words $\pi^+P \in \mathcal{B}^\infty$ and $\pi^+P' \in \mathcal{B}^\infty$.

Let M be an n -dimensional compact oriented manifold with boundary ∂M . Denote by \mathcal{B} the Boutet de Monvel's algebra. We recall that the main theorem in [7, 17].

Theorem 4.1. [7] (**Fedosov-Golse-Leichtnam-Schrohe**) *Let M and ∂M be connected, $\dim M = n \geq 3$, and let \tilde{S} (resp. \tilde{S}') be the unit sphere about ξ (resp. ξ') and $\sigma(\xi)$ (resp. $\sigma(\xi')$) be the corresponding canonical $(n-1)$ (resp. $(n-2)$) volume form. Set $\tilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi^+P + G & K \\ T & \tilde{S} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}$, and denote by p, b and s the local symbols of P, G and \tilde{S} respectively. Define:*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{\text{Wres}}(\tilde{A}) &= \int_X \int_{\tilde{S}} \text{tr}_E [p_{-n}(x, \xi)] \sigma(\xi) dx \\ &\quad + 2\pi \int_{\partial X} \int_{\tilde{S}'} \{ \text{tr}_E [(\text{tr} b_{-n})(x', \xi')] + \text{tr}_F [s_{1-n}(x', \xi')] \} \sigma(\xi') dx', \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

where $\widetilde{\text{Wres}}$ denotes the noncommutative residue of an operator in the Boutet de Monvel's algebra. Then a) $\widetilde{\text{Wres}}([\tilde{A}, B]) = 0$, for any $\tilde{A}, B \in \mathcal{B}$; b) It is the unique continuous trace on $\mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}^{-\infty}$.

Definition 4.2. [17] *Lower dimensional volumes of spin manifolds with boundary are defined by*

$$\text{Vol}_n^{(p_1, p_2)} M := \widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+D^{-p_1} \circ \pi^+D^{-p_2}], \quad (4.6)$$

By [17], we get

$$\widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+Q^{-p_1} \circ \pi^+Q^{-p_2}] = \int_M \int_{|\xi'|=1} \text{trace}_{\wedge^* T^* M} \otimes \mathbb{C} [\sigma_{-n}(Q^{-p_1-p_2})] \sigma(\xi) dx + \int_{\partial M} \Phi, \quad (4.7)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi &= \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \sum \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|+j+k+1}}{\alpha!(j+k+1)!} \times \text{trace}_{\wedge^* T^* M} \otimes \mathbb{C} [\partial_{x_n}^j \partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_n}^k \sigma_r^+(Q^{-p_1})(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n) \\ &\quad \times \partial_{x'}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_n}^{j+1} \partial_{x_n}^k \sigma_l(Q^{-p_2})(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n)] d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx', \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where the sum is taken over $r+l-k-|\alpha|-j-1=-n$, $r \leq -p_1$, $l \leq -p_2$.

Since $[\sigma_{-n}(Q^{-p_1-p_2})]_M$ has the same expression as $\sigma_{-n}(Q^{-p_1-p_2})$ in the case of manifolds without boundary, so locally we can compute the first term by [10], [11], [17].

For any fixed point $x_0 \in \partial M$, we choose the normal coordinates U of x_0 in ∂M (not in M) and compute $\Phi(x_0)$ in the coordinates $\tilde{U} = U \times [0, 1) \subset M$ and the metric $\frac{1}{h(x_n)} g^{\partial M} + dx_n^2$. The dual metric of g^M on \tilde{U} is $h(x_n) g^{\partial M} + dx_n^2$. Write $g_{ij}^M = g^M(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j})$; $g_M^{ij} = g^M(dx_i, dx_j)$, then

$$[g_{ij}^M] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{h(x_n)}[g_{ij}^{\partial M}] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}; \quad [g_M^{ij}] = \begin{bmatrix} h(x_n)[g_{\partial M}^{ij}] & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.9)$$

and

$$\partial_{x_s} g_{ij}^{\partial M}(x_0) = 0, 1 \leq i, j \leq n-1; \quad g_{ij}^M(x_0) = \delta_{ij}. \quad (4.10)$$

From [17], we can get the following three lemmas,

Lemma 4.3. [17] *With the metric g^M on M near the boundary*

$$\partial_{x_j} (|\xi|_{g^M}^2)(x_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j < n, \\ h'(0)|\xi'_{g^{\partial M}}|^2, & \text{if } j = n, \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

$$\partial_{x_j} [c(\xi)](x_0) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } j < n, \\ \partial_{x_n} [c(\xi')](x_0), & \text{if } j = n, \end{cases} \quad (4.12)$$

where $\xi = \xi' + \xi_n dx_n$.

Lemma 4.4. [17] *With the metric g^M on M near the boundary*

$$\omega_{s,t}(e_i)(x_0) = \begin{cases} \omega_{n,i}(e_i)(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}h'(0), & \text{if } s = n, t = i, i < n, \\ \omega_{i,n}(e_i)(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}h'(0), & \text{if } s = i, t = n, i < n, \\ \omega_{s,t}(e_i)(x_0) = 0, & \text{other cases,} \end{cases} \quad (4.13)$$

where $(\omega_{s,t})$ denotes the connection matrix of Levi-Civita connection ∇^L .

Lemma 4.5. [17] *When $i < n$, then*

$$\Gamma_{st}^k(x_0) = \begin{cases} \Gamma_{ii}^n(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}h'(0), & \text{if } s = t = i, k = n, \\ \Gamma_{ni}^i(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}h'(0), & \text{if } s = n, t = i, k = i, \\ \Gamma_{in}^i(x_0) = -\frac{1}{2}h'(0), & \text{if } s = i, t = n, k = i, \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

in other cases, $\Gamma_{st}^i(x_0) = 0$.

Theorem 4.6. *Let M be a 6-dimensional oriented compact spin manifold with boundary ∂M , $Q = (fDh)^2$, then the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorem for the rescaled Dirac operator is given*

$$\begin{aligned} & \widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+ Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+ Q^{-1}] \\ &= 8\pi^3 \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{6}(fh)^{-4} s - 2(fh)^{-6} f^2 |\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6} fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) - 2(fh)^{-2} g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}f], \nabla(h)) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2} fg(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h)) + 4(fh)^{-5} \Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2} g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(hf)) \right) d\text{Vol}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

In particular, the boundary term vanishes.

Proof. By (4.7) and (4.8), we firstly compute

$$\widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+ Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+ Q^{-1}] = \int_M \int_{|\xi'|=1} \text{trace}_{\wedge^* T^* M} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [\sigma_{-6}(Q^{-2})] \sigma(\xi) dx + \int_{\partial M} \Phi, \quad (4.16)$$

where

$$\Phi = \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{j,k=0}^{\infty} \sum \frac{(-i)^{|\alpha|+j+k+1}}{\alpha!(j+k+1)!} \times \text{trace}_{\wedge^* T^* M} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} [\partial_{x_n}^j \partial_{\xi'}^{\alpha} \partial_{\xi_n}^k \sigma_r^+(Q^{-1})(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n)]$$

$$\times \partial_{x'}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_n}^{j+1} \partial_{x_n}^k \sigma_l(Q^{-1})(x', 0, \xi', \xi_n) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx', \quad (4.17)$$

and the sum is taken over $r + l - k - j - |\alpha| = -3$, $r \leq -1, l \leq -1$.

By Theorem 3.1, we can compute the interior of $\widetilde{\text{Wres}}[\pi^+ Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+ Q^{-1}]$, that is

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Wres}[\pi^+ Q^{-1} \circ \pi^+ Q^{-1}] \\ &= \int_M \int_{|\xi'|=1} \text{trace}_{S(TM)}[\sigma_{-6}(Q^{-2})] \sigma(\xi) dx \\ &= 8\pi^3 \int_M \left(-\frac{1}{6}(fh)^{-4} s - 2(fh)^{-6} f^2 |\nabla(h)|^2 - 3(fh)^{-6} fg(\nabla(h), \nabla(fh)) - 2(fh)^{-2} g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}f], \nabla(h)) \right. \\ &+ 4(fh)^{-2} fg(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(h)) + 4(fh)^{-5} \Delta(fh) + 3(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-6} |\nabla(fh)|^2 \\ &\left. - \frac{2}{3}(fh)^{-2} \Delta[(fh)^{-2}] - (fh)^{-2} g(\nabla[(fh)^{-3}], \nabla(fh)) \right) d\text{Vol}_M. \end{aligned} \quad (4.18)$$

Next we can compute Φ . Since $n = 6$, then $\text{tr}_{S(TM)}[\text{id}] = 8$. Since the sum is taken over $r + l - k - j - |\alpha| - 1 = -6$, $r \leq -2$, $l \leq -2$, then we have the $\int_{\partial M} \Phi$ is the sum of the following five cases:

case a) I $r = -2$, $l = -2$, $j = k = 0$, $|\alpha| = 1$.

By (4.17), we get

$$\Phi_1 = - \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum_{|\alpha|=1} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{x'}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'. \quad (4.19)$$

By Lemma 2.5, for $i < n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1})(x_0) &= \partial_{x_i} \left((fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-2} \right) (x_0) \\ &= \partial_{x_i} [(fh)^{-2}] |\xi|^{-2} + (fh)^{-2} \partial_{x_i} [|\xi|^{-2}] (x_0) \\ &= \partial_{x_i} [(fh)^{-2}] |\xi|^{-2}; \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_i} \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) &= \partial_{\xi_n} \partial_{x_i} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \\ &= -\frac{2\partial_{x_i} [(fh)^{-2}] \xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.21)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{\xi_i} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) &= \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \partial_{\xi_i} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \\ &= -\frac{(fh)^{-2} \xi^a (2 + i\xi_n)}{4(\xi_n - i)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.22)$$

Then

$$\sum_{|\alpha|=1} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi'}^\alpha \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{x'}^\alpha \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) = \frac{(fh)^{-2} \partial_{x_i} [(fh)^{-2}] (2 + i\xi_n) \xi_n \xi^a}{2(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)^2}. \quad (4.23)$$

Note $i < n$, $\int_{|\xi'|=1} \xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_{2d+1}} \sigma(\xi') = 0$, so we have

$$\Phi_1 = 0. \quad (4.24)$$

case a) II) $r = -2$, $l = -2$, $|\alpha| = k = 0$, $j = 1$.

By (4.17), we have

$$\Phi_2 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{x_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n}^2 \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'. \quad (4.25)$$

By Lemma 2.5 and direct calculations, we have

$$\partial_{\xi_n}^2 \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) = \partial_{\xi_n}^2 [(fh)^{-2} |\xi|^{-2}] = \frac{(fh)^{-2} (6\xi_n^2 - 2)}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^3}. \quad (4.26)$$

$$\partial_{x_n} [\sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1})] = \frac{\partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}]}{1 + \xi_n^2} + \frac{(fh)^{-2} h'(0)}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2}. \quad (4.27)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{x_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) &= \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \partial_{x_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \\ &= \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \left(\frac{\partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}]}{1 + \xi_n^2} + \frac{(fh)^{-2} h'(0)}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2} \right) \\ &= \frac{-i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}]}{2(\xi_n - i)} + \frac{(fh)^{-2} h'(0) (2 + i\xi_n)}{4(\xi_n - i)^2} \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

By (4.26) and (4.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{trace} \left[\partial_{x_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-1}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n}^2 \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) \\ &= -8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \frac{3\xi_n^2 - 1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)^3} + 4h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \frac{(2 + i\xi_n)(3\xi_n^2 - 1)}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^3}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.29)$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_2 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \frac{3\xi_n^2 - 1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)^3} d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 4h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \frac{(2 + i\xi_n)(3\xi_n^2 - 1)}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^3} d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= 8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{3\xi_n^2 - 1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)^3} d\xi_n dx' \\ &\quad - h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{(2 + i\xi_n)(3\xi_n^2 - 1)}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^3} d\xi_n dx' \\ &= 8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{3!} \left[\frac{3\xi_n^2 - 1}{(\xi_n + i)^3} \right]^{(3)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &\quad - h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{4!} \left[\frac{(2 + i\xi_n)(3\xi_n^2 - 1)}{(\xi_n + i)^4} \right]^{(4)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-2} \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] - \frac{5}{8} (fh)^{-4} h'(0) \right) \pi \Omega_4 dx', \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

where Ω_4 is the canonical volume of S^4 .

case a) III) $r = -2$, $l = -2$, $|\alpha| = j = 0$, $k = 1$.

By (4.17), we have

$$\Phi_3 = -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \partial_{x_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n}^2 \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{x_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'. \quad (4.31)$$

By Lemma 2.5 and direct calculations, we have

$$\partial_{\xi_n}^2 \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) = -(fh)^{-2} \frac{i}{(\xi_n - i)^3}. \quad (4.32)$$

Combining (4.27) and (4.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n}^2 \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{x_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) |_{|\xi'|=1} \\ &= -8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)} + 8h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.33)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_3 &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} -8i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)} d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2} \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} 8h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^2} d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= 4i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)} d\xi_n dx' \\ &\quad - 4h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{1}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^2} d\xi_n dx' \\ &= 4i \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] (fh)^{-2} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{3!} \left[\frac{1}{(\xi_n + i)} \right]^{(3)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &\quad - 4h'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{4!} \left[\frac{1}{(\xi_n + i)^2} \right]^{(4)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2} (fh)^{-2} \partial_{x_n} [(fh)^{-2}] + \frac{5}{8} (fh)^{-4} h'(0) \right) \pi \Omega_4 dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.34)$$

case b) $r = -2$, $l = -3$, $|\alpha| = j = k = 0$.

By (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4 &= -i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

In the normal coordinate, $g^{ij}(x_0) = \delta_i^j$ and $\partial_{x_j}(g^{\alpha\beta})(x_0) = 0$, if $j < n$; $\partial_{x_j}(g^{\alpha\beta})(x_0) = h'(0)\delta_\beta^\alpha$, if $j = n$. So by Lemma A.2 in [17], we have $\Gamma^n(x_0) = \frac{5}{2}h'(0)$ and $\Gamma^k(x_0) = 0$ for $k < n$. By the definition of σ^k and Lemma 2.3 in [17], we have $\sigma^n(x_0) = 0$ and $\sigma^k = \frac{1}{4}h'(0)c(e_k)c(e_n)$ for $k < n$. By Lemma 2.5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1})(x_0) |_{|\xi'|=1} &= (fh)^{-2} \left[-\frac{i}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2} \left(-\frac{1}{2} h'(0) \sum_{k < n} \xi_k c(e_k) c(e_n) + \frac{5}{2} h'(0) \xi_n \right) - \frac{2ih'(0)\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^3} \right] \\ &\quad + 2i (fh)^{-3} f |\xi|^{-4} \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_{x_j}(h) \xi_j - i (fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-4} c(d(hf)) c(\xi) \\ &\quad - 4i (fh)^{-3} |\xi|^{-4} \xi_\mu \partial_{x_\mu}(fh) \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

and

$$\partial_{\xi_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) = (fh)^{-2} \frac{i}{2(\xi_n - i)^2}. \quad (4.37)$$

We note that $i < n$, $\int_{|\xi'|=1} \xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_{2d+1}} \sigma(\xi') = 0$, so we omit some items that have no contribution for computing case b). Then

$$\text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n} \pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) = \frac{(fh)^{-4} h'(0)}{(\xi_n - i)^2} \left(\frac{10\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2} + \frac{8\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^3} \right). \quad (4.38)$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_4 &= i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{(fh)^{-4} h'(0)}{(\xi_n - i)^2} \left(\frac{10\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2} + \frac{8\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^3} \right) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= 10i (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{\xi_n}{(\xi_n - i)^4 (\xi_n + i)^2} d\xi_n dx' \\ &\quad + 8ih'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{\xi_n}{(\xi_n - i)^5 (\xi_n + i)^3} d\xi_n dx' \\ &= 10i (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{3!} \left[\frac{\xi_n}{(\xi_n + i)^2} \right]^{(3)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &\quad + 8ih'(0) (fh)^{-4} \Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{4!} \left[\frac{\xi_n}{(\xi_n + i)^3} \right]^{(4)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\ &= -\frac{15}{8} (fh)^{-4} h'(0) \pi \Omega_4 dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.39)$$

case c) $r = -3$, $l = -2$, $|\alpha| = j = k = 0$.

By (4.17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_5 &= -i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= -i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-1}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &\quad - i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-2}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\ &= \Phi_4 - i \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \text{trace} \left[\pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-2}) \right] (x_0) d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx'. \end{aligned} \quad (4.40)$$

By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) = -2(fh)^{-2} \frac{\xi_n}{(1 + \xi_n^2)^2}. \quad (4.41)$$

We note that $i < n$, $\int_{|\xi'|=1} \xi_{i_1} \xi_{i_2} \cdots \xi_{i_{2d+1}} \sigma(\xi') = 0$, so we omit some items that have no contribution for computing case c).

Then by (4.36), we have

$$\text{trace} \left[\pi_{\xi_n}^+ \sigma_{-2}(Q^{-1}) \times \partial_{\xi_n} \sigma_{-3}(Q^{-2}) \right] (x_0) = \frac{8(fh)^{-4} h'(0) (5i\xi_n^4 + 9i\xi_n^2)}{(\xi_n + i)^5 (\xi_n - i)^5}. \quad (4.42)$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_5 &= \Phi_4 - ih'(0) \int_{|\xi'|=1} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \frac{8(fh)^{-4}h'(0)(5i\xi_n^4 + 9i\xi_n^2)}{(\xi_n + i)^5(\xi_n - i)^5} d\xi_n \sigma(\xi') dx' \\
&= \Phi_4 + 8(fh)^{-4}h'(0)\Omega_4 \int_{\Gamma^+} \frac{5\xi_n^4 + 9\xi_n^2}{(\xi_n - i)^5(\xi_n + i)^5} d\xi_n dx' \\
&= \Phi_4 + 8(fh)^{-4}h'(0)\Omega_4 \frac{2\pi i}{4!} \left[\frac{5\xi_n^4 + 9\xi_n^2}{(\xi_n + i)^5} \right]^{(4)} \Big|_{\xi_n=i} dx' \\
&= \Phi_4 + \frac{15}{4}(fh)^{-4}h'(0)\pi\Omega_4 dx' \\
&= \frac{15}{8}(fh)^{-4}h'(0)\pi\Omega_4 dx'. \tag{4.43}
\end{aligned}$$

Now Φ is the sum of the cases (a), (b) and (c), then

$$\Phi = \sum_{i=1}^5 \Phi_i = 0. \tag{4.44}$$

Then, by (4.44), we obtain Theorem 4.6. □

Declarations

Ethics approval and consent to participate: Not applicable.

Consent for publication: Not applicable.

Availability of data and materials: The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Funding: This research was funded by National Natural Science Foundation of China: No.11771070, 2023-BSBA-118 and N2405015..

Author Contributions: All authors contributed to the study conception and design. Material preparation, data collection and analysis were performed by TW and YW. The first draft of the manuscript was written by TW and all authors commented on previous versions of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by NSFC No.11771070, 2023-BSBA-118 and N2405015. The authors thank the referee for his (or her) careful reading and helpful comments.

References

References

- [1] Ackermann T. A note on the Wodzicki residue. *J. Geom. Phys.* 1996, 20: 404-406.
- [2] Benameur M T, Mathai V. Conformal invariants of twisted Dirac operators and positive scalar curvature. *J. Geom. Phys.* 2013, 70:39-47.
- [3] Bochniak A, Sitarz A. Spectral interaction between universes. *J. Cosmol. Astropart. P.* 2022, 4: 055.
- [4] Chamseddine A H, Alain Connes A. Scale invariance in the spectral action. *J. Math. Phys.* 2006, 47, 063504.
- [5] Connes A. The action functional in Noncommutative geometry. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 1998, 117: 673-683.
- [6] Connes A. Quantized calculus and applications. 11th International Congress of Mathematical Physics (Paris,1994). Internat Press, Cambridge, MA. 1995, 15-36.
- [7] Fedosov B V, Golse F, Leichtnam E, Schrohe E. The noncommutative residue for manifolds with boundary. *J. Funct. Anal.* 1996, 142: 1-31.

- [8] Figueroa H, Gracia-Bondia J M, Lizzi F, et al. A nonperturbative form of the spectral action principle in noncommutative geometry. *J. Geom. Phys.* 1998, 26(3-4): 329-339.
- [9] Guillemin V W. A new proof of Weyl's formula on the asymptotic distribution of eigenvalues. *Adv. Math.* 1985, 55(2): 131-160.
- [10] Kalau W, Walze M. Gravity, Noncommutative geometry and the Wodzicki residue. *J. Geom. Phys.* 1995, 16: 327-344.
- [11] Kastler D. The Dirac Operator and Gravitation. *Comm. Math. Phys.* 1995, 166: 633-643.
- [12] Li H F, Wang Y, Yang Y C. The Generalized Zhang's Operator and Kastler-Kalau-Walze Type Theorems. *Russ. J. Math. Phys.* 2024, 31: 227-254.
- [13] Lott J. Eigenvalue bounds for the Dirac operator. *Pac. J. Math.* 1985, 125(1): 117-126.
- [14] Sitarz A. Conformally Rescaled Noncommutative Geometries. *Geometric Methods in Physics. Trends in Mathematics.* 2014, 83-100.
- [15] Sitarz, A. Wodzicki residue and minimal operators on a noncommutative 4-dimensional torus. *J. Pseudo-Differ. Oper. Appl.* 2014, 5: 305-317.
- [16] Ugalde W J. A construction of critical GJMS operators using Wodzicki's residue. *Commun. Math. Phys.* 2006, 261(3): 771-788.
- [17] Wang Y. Gravity and the Noncommutative Residue for Manifolds with Boundary. *Lett. Math. Phys.* 2007, 80: 37-56.
- [18] Wei S N, Wang Y. Transformation operators and the Kastler-Kalau-Walze type theorems on 4-dimensional manifolds. [arXiv:2404.14694](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.14694).
- [19] Wodzicki M. Local invariants of spectral asymmetry. *Invent. Math.* 1995, 75(1): 143-178.
- [20] Wodzicki M. Noncommutative residue I: Fundamentals, in *K-theory, Arithmetic and Geometry*, Yu. I. Manin, ed., *Lecture Notes in Mathematics Vol. 1289* (Springer, Berlin, 1987).