

MATING PARABOLIC RATIONAL MAPS WITH HECKE GROUPS

SHAUN BULLETT, LUNA LOMONACO, MIKHAIL LYUBICH,
AND SABYASACHI MUKHERJEE

ABSTRACT. We prove that any degree d rational map having a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 with a fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction is mateable with the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} , with the mating realized by an algebraic correspondence. This solves the parabolic version of the Bullett-Freiberger Conjecture from 2003 on mateability between rational maps and Hecke groups. The proof is in two steps. The first is the construction of a pinched polynomial-like map which is a mating between a parabolic rational map and a parabolic circle map associated to the Hecke group. The second is lifting this pinched polynomial-like map to an algebraic correspondence via a suitable branched covering.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Parabolic rational maps	5
3. Polynomial-like maps and pinched polynomial-like maps	6
4. Hecke group and the associated external class	12
5. Mating parabolic rational maps with Farey and Hecke maps	17
6. From pinched polynomial-like maps to algebraic correspondences	25
References	40

1. INTRODUCTION

Algebraic correspondences which are *matings* between maps and groups were introduced by [BP94], where a one complex parameter family \mathcal{F}_a of holomorphic correspondences was proved to contain matings between quadratic polynomials $q_c : z \rightarrow z^2 + c$ and the modular group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ for all real $c \in M$, the Mandelbrot set. It was conjectured there that the result could be extended to the case of c complex. Subsequently this conjecture was proved for a large class of complex values of c by the application

L.L. was partially supported by the Serrapilheira Institute (grant number Serra-1811-26166), the FAPERJ - Fundação Carlos Chagas Filho de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado do Rio de Janeiro (grant number JCNE - E26/201.279/2022 and JCM - E-26/210.016/2024), the ICTP through the Associates Programme and from the Simons Foundation through grant number 284558FY19.

M.L. was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-1901357 and 2247613.

S.M. was partially supported by the Department of Atomic Energy, Government of India, under project no.12-R&D-TFR-5.01-0500, an endowment of the Infosys Foundation, and SERB research project grant MTR/2022/000248.

of David homeomorphism techniques [BH07], but the full resolution of the conjecture was only achieved in [BL20a] with the introduction of the technique of *parabolic-like* mappings [Lom15], and the replacement of the words “quadratic polynomials $q_c : z \rightarrow z^2 + c$ ” in the conjecture by the words “parabolic quadratic rational maps $p_A : z \rightarrow z + 1/z + A$ ”. (A relation between quadratic polynomials and parabolic quadratic rational maps was provided by Petersen and Roesch in [PR21]). A related theory of algebraic correspondences realizing matings between quadratic polynomials and faithful discrete representations of the modular group in $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ was initiated in [BH00], developed in [BH07], and is the subject of recent work in [Lau25].

In the late 2010s, a dynamical theory of Schwarz reflection maps in quadrature domains emerged, and abundant examples of matings (in a broader sense) of antiholomorphic rational maps and reflection groups were produced (for instance, see [LLMM23, LLMM21, LMM23, LMMN23]). In particular, the anti-holomorphic analogue of the Bullett-Penrose correspondences was studied in [LLMM21], and such correspondences were shown to arise as matings of parabolic quadratic anti-rational maps and an anti-conformal analogue of the modular group. In [LMM23], this mating phenomenon was generalized to arbitrary degree by establishing a parabolic version of the Bullett-Freiberger Conjecture [BF03] in the antiholomorphic setting (more precisely, it was proved that all “parabolic degree $d \geq 2$ anti-rational maps” with connected Julia set can be mated with anti-conformal analogues of Hecke groups yielding certain correspondences).

In this paper, we will prove a parabolic version of the Bullett-Freiberger Conjecture in the holomorphic setting; i.e., we show that there exist algebraic correspondences realizing matings between all “parabolic degree $d \geq 2$ rational maps” and Hecke groups \mathcal{H}_{d+1} (see Subsection 1.1 for a precise statement).

The general outline of the proof of this result is modeled on the classical Douady-Hubbard theory of polynomial-like maps that realizes such maps as matings of hybrid and external classes (or external maps) [DH85], [Lyu99, §3]. Due to the presence of parabolic points belonging to the boundary of the domains of both the Hecke groups and the rational maps that we are going to mate, we need an extension of the theory of polynomial-like maps different from parabolic-like maps [Lom15]. Specifically, we work with the category of *pinched polynomial-like maps*, where the domain and the range are allowed to touch at a point. The hybrid classes for the relevant pinched polynomial-like maps are given by parabolic rational maps and their external maps are certain piecewise real-analytic, expansive circle coverings with a unique parabolic fixed point.

We then extend the Douady-Hubbard mateability result for hybrid classes of polynomials with real-analytic, *expanding* external maps to the current setting by demonstrating that hybrid classes of parabolic rational maps can be mated with a general class of piecewise real-analytic, *expansive* maps having a *unique* parabolic fixed point, yielding pinched polynomial-like maps. The proof of this result is more involved than its classical counterpart as the existence of parabolic points contributes to additional technical hurdles.

These can be overcome using either the classical Warschawski theorem or the invariant arcs technique of [Lom15].

We apply our construction to two particular maps, which we call the *Hecke map* and the *Farey map*. These maps can be obtained by selecting a Bowen-Series map for a suitable subgroup of the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} , and quotienting it by a finite cyclic subgroup of order 2 or $d + 1$, respectively. The maps we obtain this way belong to the same conformal class.

The passage from these pinched polynomial-like maps (which are matings of parabolic rational maps with the Hecke/Farey map) to algebraic correspondences is based on passing to appropriate branched covering spaces. We carry it out in two ways: in a ‘geometric’ way using basic covering theory for Riemann surfaces, and an ‘analytic’ way based upon quasiconformal surgery.

1.1. Statement of the main result. Let $R : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a degree d rational map with a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 having a fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction $\mathcal{A}(R)$. Define the *filled Julia set*

$$K(R) := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}(R).$$

Note that the parabolic fixed point of R may have two fully invariant and simply connected immediate basins of attraction, for instance the map $B_d(z) = \frac{(d+1)z^d + (d-1)}{(d+1) + (d-1)z^d}$, in which case we make a choice for $\mathcal{A}(R)$.

Hecke [Hec35] introduced the group generated by the pair of Möbius transformations $S : z \rightarrow -1/z$, $T_q : z \rightarrow z + 2 \cos(\pi/q)$, which he showed to be a discrete subgroup of $PSL(2, \mathbb{R})$ for each integer $q \geq 3$ (the *modular group* $PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is the case $q = 3$). We shall work in the Poincaré disc rather than the upper half-plane. Let Π be the regular ideal $(d + 1)$ -gon in the unit disc model of the hyperbolic plane with ideal vertices at the $(d + 1)$ -st roots of unity. Let us consider two conformal automorphisms of \mathbb{D} : ρ is the rotation by $2\pi/(d + 1)$ around the origin, and σ is the involution preserving one of the sides of Π and fixing the Euclidean mid-point of that side (see Figure 4). The *Hecke group* \mathcal{H}_{d+1} is the group generated by σ and ρ .

Define the elements $\alpha_j \in \mathcal{H}_{d+1}$, for $j = 1, \dots, d$ by

$$\alpha_j := \sigma \circ \rho^j.$$

We note that $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$ is a generating set for the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} .

Definition 1.1. Let R be a degree d rational map with a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 having a fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction. We say that a $d : d$ holomorphic correspondence $\mathcal{F} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a mating between a rational map R and the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} if

- (1) The dynamics of \mathcal{F} gives rise to a partition of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ into two non-empty completely invariant subsets: a connected closed set \mathcal{K} and an open simply connected set Ω ;
- (2) $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{K}_- \cup \mathcal{K}_+$, where $\mathcal{K}_- \cap \mathcal{K}_+ = \{p\}$ is a single point, \mathcal{K}_+ is forward invariant, \mathcal{K}_- is backward invariant, and $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{K}_-}$ is conformally conjugate to $\mathcal{F}^{-1}|_{\mathcal{K}_+}$;

- (3) on a d -pinched neighbourhood of \mathcal{K}_- (pinched at p and its preimages in \mathcal{K}_-), a branch of \mathcal{F} is hybrid equivalent to R restricted to a d -pinched neighbourhood of $K(R)$ (pinched at the marked parabolic point and its preimages); and
- (4) when restricted to a $(d : d)$ correspondence from Ω to itself, \mathcal{F} is conformally conjugate to the Hecke group acting on the unit disc. More precisely, there exists a conformal map $\Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ conjugating the d branches of $\mathcal{F} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega$ to the elements $\alpha_j : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $j = 1 \dots d$, of \mathcal{H}_{d+1} .

(See Figure 12 (left).)

Definition 1.2. For any rational map f , we write Cov^f for the covering correspondence

$$z \rightarrow w \Leftrightarrow f(w) = f(z),$$

and we write Cov_0^f for the correspondence obtained from Cov^f by deleting the identity component of its graph, that is

$$z \rightarrow w \Leftrightarrow \frac{f(w) - f(z)}{w - z} = 0.$$

The principal result of this paper is the following:

Main Theorem 1. *Let R be a degree d rational map with a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 having a fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction. Then there exists a $d : d$ holomorphic correspondence \mathcal{F} on the Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is a mating between R and \mathcal{H}_{d+1} . Moreover, $\mathcal{F} = J \circ Cov_0^P$, where J is a conformal involution and P is a degree $d + 1$ polynomial.*

Since $\mathcal{H}_3 = PSL(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we remark that in the case that $d = 2$, Theorem 1 follows from part (i) of the Main Theorem of [BL20b].

1.2. Organization of the paper. In Section 2, we provide some background material on parameter space of parabolic rational maps. In Section 3, we give a background on the Douady-Hubbard theory of polynomial-like maps. The key part of this description is the theory of mating between hybrid and external classes/maps. Then we set the stage for a more general theory of pinched polynomial-like maps. In this setting, the objects to mate are parabolic rational maps and piecewise real-analytic, expansive circle maps with a unique parabolic fixed point. In Section 4, we define our external maps, the Hecke and Farey maps. These maps live on quotients of the hyperbolic plane by one of our two symmetries, the order 2 symmetry σ or order $d + 1$ symmetry ρ . Section 5 contains the mating construction between these external maps and parabolic rational maps producing pinched polynomial-like maps. Finally, in the last Section 6, we obtain the desired algebraic correspondences by lifting our pinched polynomial-like maps by the relevant branched coverings, in two different ways (see Sections 6.1 and 6.2). The $(d + 1)$ -fold covering method (used in Section 6.2), requires us to first show that our pinched polynomial-like map is a *B-involution*, which is a holomorphic counterpart of a Schwarz reflection map. The two proofs in Section 6 are independent, but it is apparent that the same spaces and maps play key roles; we conclude with a ‘dictionary’ between the proofs.

2. PARABOLIC RATIONAL MAPS

Recall that for a degree d rational map R with a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 having a (marked) fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction $\mathcal{A}(R)$, the filled Julia set $K(R)$ is defined to be $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{A}(R)$. By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, R has $d - 1$ critical points (counted with multiplicity) in $\mathcal{A}(R)$. One can employ a standard quasiconformal surgery argument (cf. [McM88, Proposition 6.8]) to merge all the $d - 1$ critical points of R in $\mathcal{A}(R)$ into a single critical point of multiplicity $d - 1$. It follows from the above consideration that it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for rational maps belonging to the following class:

Definition 2.1. Let \mathcal{B}_d be the collection of degree $d \geq 2$ rational maps R satisfying the following properties.

- (1) R has a parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 with a fully invariant and simply connected immediate basin of attraction $\mathcal{A}(R)$.
- (2) $\mathcal{A}(R)$ contains a unique critical point, which has multiplicity $d - 1$.

Note that for $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$,

$$R|_{\mathcal{A}(R)} \underset{\text{conf}}{\sim} B_d|_{\mathbb{D}},$$

where

$$B_d(z) = \frac{z^d + \frac{d-1}{d+1}}{1 + \frac{d-1}{d+1}z^d}.$$

Indeed, the conformal conjugacy is given by the Riemann uniformization of $\mathcal{A}(R)$ that sends the unique critical point of multiplicity $d - 1$ to the origin and sends the parabolic fixed point to 1. For convenience, we will normalize maps in \mathcal{B}_d so that the marked parabolic fixed point of multiplier 1 is at ∞ .

Lemma 2.2. *The moduli space $\mathcal{B}_d/\text{PSL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ is compact.*

Proof. Let $(R_n, \mathcal{A}(R_n))$ be a sequence of rational maps in \mathcal{B}_d and their corresponding marked basins. By definition, there exist conformal maps $\psi_n : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R_n)$ that conjugate B_d to R_n . After possibly conjugating R_n by an affine map, we may assume that $\psi_n(0) = 0, \psi'_n(0) = 1$. By compactness of normalized univalent functions, there exists a subsequence $\{\psi_{n_k}\}$ converging to some univalent map ψ_∞ . Thus the pointed domains $(\mathcal{A}(R_{n_k}), 0)$ converge to the pointed domain $(\psi_\infty(\mathbb{D}), 0)$ in the Carathéodory topology. As $R_{n_k}|_{\mathcal{A}(R_{n_k})} = \psi_{n_k} \circ B_d \circ \psi_{n_k}^{-1}$, these rational maps converge locally uniformly to some holomorphic map $R_\infty : \psi_\infty(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. The fact that each R_{n_k} is a rational map of degree d implies that R_∞ extends to a rational map of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, of degree at most d . Finally, the conformal map ψ_∞^{-1} conjugates $R_\infty|_{\psi_\infty(\mathbb{D})}$ to $B_d|_{\mathbb{D}}$, and hence R_∞ must have degree d . It is easy to see that R_∞ has a parabolic point at ∞ and that $\psi_\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is the desired marked immediate basin of ∞ . \square

We illustrate the dynamical behaviour of B_d for $d = 2, 3$ and 4 in Figure 1. In each plot the innermost curve bounds an attracting petal \mathcal{P} containing the critical value of B_d . We choose this curve, $\partial\mathcal{P}$, to subtend a non-zero angle at the parabolic point $1 \in \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ (and to be non-tangential to the unit circle there). Only the first few inverse image of $\partial\mathcal{P}$ are plotted, as otherwise




FIGURE 1. Dynamical behaviour of the Blaschke product maps B_2 , B_3 and B_4 on the closed unit disc $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

the parabolic nature of the fixed point makes for a very congested picture. We remark that the dynamics of each B_d on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is an exact copy of its behaviour inside \mathbb{D} , by Schwarz reflection.

3. POLYNOMIAL-LIKE MAPS AND PINCHED POLYNOMIAL-LIKE MAPS

As mentioned in the introduction, a first step in the construction of algebraic correspondences that are matings of parabolic rational maps and the Hecke group is to manufacture a partially defined holomorphic map on the sphere. This map captures certain dynamical features of the rational map as well as of the Hecke group, and can itself be regarded as a mating in a suitable sense. In fact, the mating structure of this intermediate holomorphic map can be explicated using an appropriate generalization of the classical notion of polynomial-like maps. In this section, we recall some generalities from the classical theory of polynomial-like maps, and set the stage for our main constructions.

3.1. Quadratic-like maps: hybrid class and external map.

Definition 3.1. A *quadratic-like map* $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a holomorphic double branched covering between two conformal discs U and V in \mathbb{C} such that $U \Subset V$. The set of non-escaping points

$$K(f) := \{z : f^{on}(z) \in U, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

is called the *filled Julia set* of f . Its boundary $J(f) := \partial K(f)$ is called the *Julia set* of f .

The dynamics of a quadratic-like map can be decomposed into *internal* and *external* dynamics. In other words, a quadratic-like map can be regarded as the *mating* of its internal and external dynamics in a precise way which we now formulate.

Two quadratic-like maps $f_j : U_j \rightarrow V_j$, $j \in \{1, 2\}$, are said to be *quasiconformally conjugate* if there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism $h : (V_1, U_1) \rightarrow (V_2, U_2)$ such that

$$h \circ f_1 = f_2 \circ h \quad \text{on } U_1.$$

The maps f_1, f_2 are called *hybrid conjugate/equivalent* if they are quasiconformally conjugate by a map h with $\bar{\partial}h = 0$ a.e. on the filled Julia set $K(f_1)$. The equivalence classes of hybrid conjugate quadratic-like maps are called *hybrid classes*.

The hybrid class of a quadratic-like map captures its ‘internal’ dynamics. By the Douady-Hubbard Straightening theorem [DH85], each hybrid class of quadratic-like maps with connected Julia set contains a unique quadratic polynomial (up to affine conjugacy) with connected Julia set, or equivalently, a unique parameter in the Mandelbrot set. Thus, the Mandelbrot set is a catalog of all possible internal dynamics displayed by quadratic-like maps with connected Julia set.

If a quadratic-like map $f : U \rightarrow V$ has connected Julia set, one can capture its ‘external dynamics’ by a real-analytic expanding circle map as follows. We consider a conformal map $\psi_f : (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(f), \infty) \rightarrow (\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathbb{D}, \infty)$, and set

$$\Omega := \psi_f(U \setminus K(f)), \quad \Omega' := \psi_f(V \setminus K(f)).$$

Conjugating f by ψ_f , we obtain a holomorphic double covering $\mathcal{E} : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$. Since Ω, Ω' are conformal annuli with common inner boundary \mathbb{S}^1 , the Schwarz Reflection Principle allows us to extend \mathcal{E} to an annular neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 such that the extended map \mathcal{E} restricts to a real-analytic double covering of the circle. Moreover, the fact that the outer boundary of Ω is contained in Ω' , translates to the expanding property of \mathcal{E} . We note that as ψ_f is unique up to post-composition with a rotation, the circle endomorphism \mathcal{E} is also unique up to conjugation by a rotation. The map \mathcal{E} is called the *external map* of f .

Equivalence classes of real-analytic expanding double coverings of the circle under the equivalence relation induced by real-analytic circle conjugacy are called *external classes*. Although two conformally conjugate quadratic-like maps are dynamically indistinguishable, for many purposes (for instance, in renormalization theory), it is more convenient to consider the space of quadratic-like maps up to affine conjugacy instead of conformal conjugacy. This perspective, which was introduced in [Lyu99], will also be important in the current paper. Hence, we remark that while the conformal conjugacy class of a quadratic-like map is uniquely determined by its hybrid class and external class, one needs to specify the hybrid class and an external map (i.e., a specific representative from the external class) to determine a quadratic-like map uniquely up to affine conjugacy.

By a quasiconformal surgery argument (similar to the one used in the proof of the Straightening Theorem), one can show that any hybrid class of quadratic-like maps can be *mated* with any quadratic external map; i.e, there exists a quadratic-like map with prescribed hybrid class and external map (cf. [DH85, Section I.4], [Lyu24]). Further, if the hybrid class has connected Julia set, then the mating is unique up to affine conjugacy.

Finally, an *external fiber* or *vertical fiber* in the space of quadratic-like maps (up to affine conjugacy) is the collection of all quadratic-like maps admitting a given real-analytic expanding double covering of the circle as their external map.

3.2. Polynomial-like maps. With minor adjustment, everything that was said about quadratic-like maps above holds for arbitrary degree.

Definition 3.2. A *polynomial-like map* $f : U \rightarrow V$ is a holomorphic branched covering of degree $d \geq 2$ between two conformal discs $U, V \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $U \Subset V$.

The notion of hybrid classes and external maps can be defined verbatim for general polynomial-like maps. However, to make sense of certain uniqueness statements, it is convenient to mark an invariant external access to $J(f)$.

The Straightening Theorem then asserts that a degree d polynomial-like map f with connected Julia set is hybrid equivalent to a unique monic, centered, degree d polynomial P with connected Julia set (i.e., a unique member of the connectedness locus of monic centered polynomials) such that the hybrid conjugacy carries the marked invariant access to $J(f)$ to the access to $J(P)$ defined by the 0-ray (where the Böttcher coordinate of P is taken to be tangent to the identity at ∞) [DH85], [IK12, Section 1].

Note also that an invariant external access to $J(f)$ determines a marked fixed point for the external map of f . The mechanism of mating hybrid classes with external maps yields the following statement: given an externally marked hybrid class $[f]$ of degree d polynomial-like maps with connected Julia set and an expanding, real-analytic degree d circle covering \mathcal{E} with a marked fixed point, there exists a degree d polynomial-like map F , unique up to affine conjugacy, satisfying the following properties:

- (1) F is hybrid equivalent to f ;
- (2) F has \mathcal{E} as its external map; and
- (3) the hybrid conjugacy between f and F carries the invariant external access to $J(f)$ to an invariant external access to $J(F)$ such that this invariant external access to $J(F)$ determines the marked fixed point of \mathcal{E} .

As in the quadratic setting, an *external fiber* in the space of (marked) degree d polynomial-like maps (up to affine conjugacy preserving the marking) is the sub-collection of all maps admitting a given real-analytic expanding degree d circle covering as their external map.

3.3. Parabolic-like maps. Polynomial-like maps are objects that locally behave as polynomials about their filled Julia set. As we said in the previous subsection, a polynomial-like map of degree d is determined up to holomorphic conjugacy by its (marked) internal and external classes. In particular the external class is a degree d real-analytic orientation preserving and strictly expanding self-covering of the unit circle: the expanding feature of such a circle map implies that all the periodic points are repelling, and in particular not parabolic. So, polynomial-like maps do not model parabolic rational maps in \mathcal{B}_d . To extend the Douady-Hubbard theory to parabolic settings, the second author introduced *parabolic-like maps*, local objects encoding the dynamics of rational maps in \mathcal{B}_d in a neighborhood of the filled Julia set (see [Lom15] for a precise definition), and proved that any degree 2 parabolic-like map is hybrid equivalent to a parabolic rational map of the form $P_A(z) = z + 1/z + A$, a unique such member if the filled Julia set is connected (see [Lom15] and [Lom14]).

A parabolic-like mapping is thus similar to, but different from, a polynomial-like mapping. The similarity resides in the fact that a parabolic-like map is a local concept, characterized by its internal and external class. The difference resides in the fact that the external map of a degree d parabolic-like mapping is a degree d real-analytic orientation preserving self-covering of the unit

circle topologically expanding (this is, expansive) with parabolic fixed points (see [LPS17]).

3.4. Pinched polynomial-like maps. Parabolic-like maps are objects defined on a neighborhood of a parabolic fixed point. It is useful to consider objects which can have ‘parabolic points’ *on the boundary* of the domain. This motivates us to introduce another class of objects, which we now formalize. We note that similar notions already appeared in various contexts in the literature [DH85, Mak93, BF05, LMM23].

A *polygon* is a closed Jordan disc in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with a piecewise smooth boundary. The points of intersection of these smooth boundary curves are called the *break-points* or *corners* of the polygon.

A *pinched polygon* is a set in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is homeomorphic to a closed disc quotiented by a finite geodesic lamination, and which has a piecewise smooth boundary. The cut-points of a pinched polygon will be called its *pinched points*, and the non-cut points of intersection of the smooth boundary curves are called the *corners* of the pinched polygon.

Definition 3.3. Let $P_2 \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ be a polygon, and let $P_1 \subset P_2$ be a pinched polygon such that $\partial P_1 \cap \partial P_2$ is the set of corners of P_2 and is contained in the set of corners of P_1 .

Suppose that there is a holomorphic map $f: \text{int } P_1 \rightarrow \text{int } P_2$ such that

- (1) f is a branched cover from each component of $\text{int } P_1$ onto $\text{int } P_2$;
- (2) f extends continuously to the boundary of $\text{int } P_1$; and
- (3) the corners and pinched points of P_1 are the preimages of the corners of P_2 .

We then call the triple (f, P_1, P_2) a *pinched polynomial-like map*.

(See Figure 2.)

Remark 1. We assume that P_1 is a pinched polygon (instead of requiring it to be a polygon) in order to allow some of the corners of P_2 to be critical values of f .

As with polynomial-like maps, we define the *filled Julia set* $K(f)$ (also called the *non-escaping set*) of a pinched polynomial-like map to be $K(f) := \bigcap_{n \geq 0} f^{-n}(P_1)$. Similar to classical polynomial-like maps, the filled Julia set $K(f)$ of a pinched polynomial-like map is connected if and only if it contains all of the critical values of f .

Definition 3.4. Let (f_1, P_1, P_2) and (f_2, Q_1, Q_2) be two pinched polynomial-like maps. We say that f_1 and f_2 are *hybrid equivalent* if there exists a quasiconformal map $\Phi: \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ such that:

- (1) Φ sends the corners of P_2 onto the corners of Q_2 ,
- (2) Φ conjugates f_1 to f_2 on the closure of a neighborhood of $K(f_1)$ pinched at the corners and pinched points of P_1 ,
- (3) $\bar{\partial}\Phi \equiv 0$ almost everywhere on $K(f_1)$.

Every polynomial-like map is a pinched polynomial-like map. Moreover, every rational map $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$ admits a pinched polynomial-like restriction. Indeed, if $D \subsetneq \mathcal{A}(R)$ is an attracting petal containing a fully ramified critical




FIGURE 2. Pictured is the domain and codomain of a pinched polynomial-like map. Here, $\text{int } P_2$ is the interior of the black polygon with three corners (marked in red). The interior of the blue pinched polygon is $\text{int } P_1$. The pinched point and the additional corner points of P_1 are marked in green.

value such that $P_2 = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus D$ is a polygon, then $(R|_{R^{-1}(P_2)}, P_1 = R^{-1}(P_2), P_2)$ is a pinched polynomial-like map with filled Julia set $\mathcal{K}(R)$.

Hybrid equivalence is clearly an equivalence relation on the space of pinched polynomial-like maps. We refer to the corresponding equivalence classes as *hybrid classes* of pinched polynomial-like maps.




FIGURE 3. The Riemann map $\psi_f : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \mathcal{K}(f) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{D}$ conjugates the action of f (outside $\mathcal{K}(f)$) to a piecewise analytic map on a one-sided pinched neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 . By the Schwarz reflection principle, this map can be extended to a piecewise analytic map \mathcal{E} on a pinched neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 that restricts to a piecewise real-analytic circle covering.

For a pinched polynomial-like map (f, P_1, P_2) with connected filled Julia set, one can define the notion of an *external map* following the classical construction of external maps of polynomial-like maps (or parabolic-like maps, see Figure 3). However, unlike in the classical polynomial-like case,

the external map of a pinched polynomial-like map is in general a *piecewise* real-analytic circle covering that is not necessarily expanding.

Thus, the dynamics of a pinched polynomial-like map also decomposes into internal and external dynamics, which are captured by its hybrid class and external map (respectively). However, in this generality, one cannot expect a straightening result for pinched polynomial-like maps, nor can one expect to be able to mate an arbitrary hybrid class with a piecewise real-analytic circle covering.

A standing assumption: In what follows, an *external map* will stand for a piecewise real-analytic, expansive, degree $d \geq 2$ circle covering with the additional regularity condition that the two branches of the map at each break-point of its piecewise definition admit local analytic extensions.

We remark that this class of external maps enlarges the class of parabolic external maps considered in [LPS17] (which are real-analytic expansive degree $d \geq 2$ circle coverings) to piecewise real-analytic circle maps.

Definition 3.5. Let $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$ be two degree d external maps. We say that they are *piecewise analytically conjugate* if there exists a homeomorphism $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ such that

- (1) φ is quasiconformal on \mathbb{D} ;
- (2) φ carries the break-points of the piecewise definition of \mathcal{E}_1 onto those of \mathcal{E}_2 ;
- (3) φ is conformal in a neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 pinched at the break-points of \mathcal{E}_1 ; and
- (4) $\varphi \circ \mathcal{E}_1 = \mathcal{E}_2 \circ \varphi$ on \mathbb{S}^1 .

Remark 2. One immediately observes that the last condition of Definition 3.5 implies that the maps \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 are conformally conjugate in a pinched neighborhood of the circle.

As in the classical situation, we equip a pinched polynomial-like map (with connected Julia set) with an invariant external access to its Julia set, and consider the space of degree d pinched polynomial-like maps with connected Julia set up to Möbius conjugacy preserving the marking. An *external fiber* in this space is the sub-collection of all maps with a given fixed external map.

Lemma 3.6. *Let \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 be piecewise analytically conjugate external maps. Then the ‘straightening map’ between the corresponding external fibers is a bijection.*

Sketch of proof. The piecewise analytic conjugacy (which extends quasiconformally to \mathbb{D}) between \mathcal{E}_1 and \mathcal{E}_2 can be used to pass from a pinched polynomial-like map in the external fiber of \mathcal{E}_1 to a map in the external fiber of \mathcal{E}_2 with the same (marked) hybrid class, and vice versa. The fact that these ‘straightening maps’ between the two fibers are inverses of each other follows from the fact that a (marked) pinched polynomial-like map is uniquely determined by its (marked) hybrid class and external map. \square

Our goal in the next few sections is to extract appropriate external maps from the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} and to justify that these external maps can indeed be mated with ‘parabolic’ hybrid classes given by $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$. The resulting

pinched polynomial-like maps, whose internal dynamics are given by maps in \mathcal{B}_d and whose external dynamics exhibit features of the Hecke group, are important for the construction of the desired algebraic correspondences.

4. HECKE GROUP AND THE ASSOCIATED EXTERNAL CLASS

In this section, we introduce the Hecke group and induce two piecewise real-analytic, expansive circle coverings. In fact, these two maps will turn out to be piecewise analytically conjugate; i.e., they are two different representatives of the same external class (see Subsection 3.4). These maps will play a crucial role in our construction of correspondences as matings of rational maps and the Hecke group.

4.1. The Hecke group. We recall the definition of the Hecke group from Section 1.1, and explain how it arises as an index two Fuchsian subgroup of a triangle reflection group.

Let Π be the closed ideal polygon in \mathbb{D} with vertices at the $(d+1)$ -st roots of unity. Label the sides of Π as C_1, \dots, C_{d+1} , where C_j connects ω^{j-1} to ω^j , with $\omega := \exp(\frac{2\pi i}{d+1})$. For $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$, the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \Pi$ having C_j on its boundary is denoted by \mathbb{D}_j . Further, let a_1, a_2 be the radial line segments from 0 to 1, and 0 to ω , respectively. Finally, let β be the perpendicular bisector of C_1 (see Figure 4). The geodesics C_1, a_1 and β form a triangle Δ in \mathbb{D} with vertex angles $\pi/(d+1), \pi/2$ and 0. The anti-Möbius reflection maps r_{a_1}, r_{C_1} and r_β in the sides of Δ generate a triangle reflection group $\Delta(d+1, 2, \infty)$. The Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} is the Fuchsian subgroup of index two of $\Delta(d+1, 2, \infty)$. The group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} is generated by the Möbius maps $\sigma := r_\beta \circ r_{C_1}$ and $\rho := r_\beta \circ r_{a_1}$. We note that σ is a rotation by angle π around the point of intersection of β and C_1 , and ρ is a rotation by angle $2\pi/(d+1)$ around the origin (which is the point of intersection of β and a_1). In particular, σ, ρ are of order 2, $d+1$, respectively. It is a standard fact that there is no other relation in the group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} ; i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H}_{d+1} = \langle \sigma, \rho : \sigma^2 = \rho^{d+1} = 1 \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} * \mathbb{Z}/(d+1)\mathbb{Z}.$$

(cf. [Bea95, §11.3]).

For future reference we recall the notation $\alpha_j = \sigma \circ \rho^j$, $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, and note that

- (1) α_j carries \mathbb{D}_{d+2-j} onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}_1}$ for each j ;
- (2) σ conjugates α_j to α_{d+1-j}^{-1} for each j ;
- (3) α_1 and α_d are parabolic with unique fixed points 1 and ω respectively;
- (4) α_j , $j \in \{2, \dots, d-1\}$, is hyperbolic with its axis intersecting C_{d+2-j} and C_1 (at their Euclidean mid-points) orthogonally.

A fundamental domain for the \mathcal{H}_{d+1} -action on \mathbb{D} is given by the triangle bounded by C_1, a_1 and a_2 . Moreover, $\mathbb{D}/\mathcal{H}_{d+1}$ is isomorphic to a sphere with one puncture, an orbifold point of order 2 and an orbifold point of order $d+1$. Note that \mathcal{H}_3 is Möbius conjugate to the modular group $\mathrm{PSL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$.

4.2. Two induced external maps.




FIGURE 4. The fundamental domain of the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_4 is shaded and the polygon Π is depicted.

4.2.1. *Two discontinuous maps on semi-circles.* The axes of all the involutions (i.e., the invariant geodesics under the involutions) in \mathcal{H}_{d+1} produce a tessellation of \mathbb{D} by ideal $(d+1)$ -gons. Specifically, these polygons are the translates of Π under the action of the Fuchsian group generated by $\rho^j \circ \sigma \circ \rho^{-j}$, $j \in \{0, \dots, d\}$. Since the rotation ρ acts transitively on the sides of Π , it follows that given any polygon Π' of the above tessellation and given any two sides ℓ_1, ℓ_2 of Π' , there exists a unique group element in \mathcal{H}_{d+1} that carries ℓ_1 to ℓ_2 in an orientation-reversing fashion (here we put counter-clockwise orientation on the polygon boundary $\partial\Pi'$). We will use this observation to define two piecewise analytic maps on two complementary arcs in \mathbb{S}^1 .

The sides of Π other than C_1 are mapped onto C_1 by the group elements

$$\alpha_j = \sigma \circ \rho^j, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\};$$

indeed, α_j maps C_{d+2-j} onto C_1 . Thus, the maps α_j can be patched together to produce a piecewise Möbius self-map \tilde{H}_d of degree d of the arc $[\omega, 1] \subset \mathbb{S}^1$. Note that \tilde{H}_d extends naturally to the region $\bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \overline{\mathbb{D}_j}$, and gives a piecewise Möbius map

$$\tilde{H}_d : \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \overline{\mathbb{D}_j} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{D}_1}.$$

If we orient the boundary of the domain (respectively, the image) of \tilde{H}_d in such a way that the domain (respectively, the image) is on the right side of the boundary, then the map \tilde{H}_d is orientation-preserving. We remark that \tilde{H}_d is discontinuous at the break-points of its piecewise definition.

Similarly, the sides of $\sigma(\Pi)$ other than C_1 are mapped onto C_1 (as orientation-reversing maps) by the group elements

$$\beta_j := \rho^j \circ \sigma, \quad j \in \{1, \dots, d\};$$

indeed, β_j maps $\sigma(C_{d+2-j})$ onto $\sigma(C_1) = C_1$. Thus, the maps β_j can be patched together to produce a piecewise Möbius self-map \tilde{F}_d of degree d

of the arc $[1, \omega] \subset \mathbb{S}^1$. The map \tilde{F}_d also extends naturally to a piecewise Möbius map

$$\tilde{F}_d : \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \sigma(\overline{\mathbb{D}}_j) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}_1.$$

As before, if we orient the boundary of the domain (respective, the image) of \tilde{F}_d in such a way that the domain (respectively, the image) is on the right side of the boundary, then the map \tilde{F}_d is orientation-preserving. The map \tilde{F}_d also has discontinuities at the break-points of its piecewise definition.

Clearly, the elements α_j and β_j are conjugate by the involution σ , $j \in \{1, \dots, d\}$. Thus, the maps \tilde{H}_d and \tilde{F}_d are also conjugate by σ .

To turn these two (mildly discontinuous) piecewise Möbius maps defined on arcs to actual circle coverings, we need to identify the points 1 and ω . Such an identification is induced by both the maps ρ and σ . It turns out that these two different ways of identifying the points 1 and ω result in two different maps of the circle. Although the ensuing circle maps are piecewise analytically conjugate, their analytic continuations to appropriate parts of \mathbb{D} capture different aspects of the Hecke group.

4.2.2. Two quotient orbifolds. We now construct two orbifold quotients of the disc: one by the involution (or, order 2 rotation) σ , and the other by the order $d+1$ rotation ρ :

$$\mathcal{Q}_1 := \mathbb{D} / \langle \rho \rangle, \quad \mathcal{Q}_2 := \mathbb{D} / \langle \sigma \rangle.$$

We also define the bordered orbifolds $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1 := \overline{\mathbb{D}} / \langle \rho \rangle$, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2 := \overline{\mathbb{D}} / \langle \sigma \rangle$. The maps \tilde{F}_d and \tilde{H}_d descend to piecewise analytic maps on the topological circles $\partial\mathcal{Q}_1$ and $\partial\mathcal{Q}_2$, respectively.

To visualize the quotient orbifolds $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$, and to derive asymptotics of the maps induced by \tilde{F}_d and \tilde{H}_d on these orbifolds, it will be convenient to work with Möbius conjugate copies of \mathcal{H}_{d+1} such that the fixed points of the two rotations ρ, σ are placed at the origin. To this end, let M be a Möbius automorphism of the disc that carries C_1 to the vertical geodesic connecting $\pm i$ and sends the fixed point of σ on C_1 to the origin. After possibly post-composing M with $z \mapsto -z$, we can assume that $\Pi' := M(\Pi)$ is contained in the left half-disc (see Figure 5). We will use the group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} with generators ρ, σ to put complex coordinates on \mathcal{Q}_1 , and use the group $M \circ \mathcal{H}_{d+1} \circ M^{-1}$ with generators $\rho^M := M \circ \rho \circ M^{-1}, \sigma^M := M \circ \sigma \circ M^{-1}$ to put complex coordinates on \mathcal{Q}_2 . We also set $\mathbb{D}'_j := M(\mathbb{D}_j)$ and $C'_j := M(C_j)$, $j \in \{1, \dots, d+1\}$.

A (closed) fundamental domain \mathfrak{F}_1 for the action of $\langle \rho \rangle$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is given by the closed sector (in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$) formed by the radial lines at angles $0, \frac{2\pi}{d+1}$ (see top left of Figure 5), and a (closed) fundamental domain \mathfrak{F}_2 for the action of $\langle \sigma^M \rangle$ on $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is given by the closure (in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$) of the left half-disc (see top right of Figure 5).

Thus, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_1$ is biholomorphic to the (bordered) surface obtained from \mathfrak{F}_1 by identifying the radial line segments at angles $0, \frac{2\pi}{d+1}$ under ρ . Similarly, $\overline{\mathcal{Q}}_2$ is biholomorphic to the (bordered) surface obtained from \mathfrak{F}_2 by identifying the

vertical geodesic with itself under σ^M . This endows $\mathcal{Q}_1, \mathcal{Q}_2$ with preferred choices of complex coordinates. We denote the quotient map from $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ to $\overline{\mathcal{Q}_1}, \overline{\mathcal{Q}_2}$ by π_1, π_2 .




FIGURE 5. The red quadrilateral in the top left figure is mapped by the Möbius automorphism M of the disc to the red quadrilateral in the top right figure, such that the geodesic C_1 is mapped to the vertical line segment. Left bottom: The map $F_d = \mathfrak{p}_2 \circ \mathfrak{p}_1$ is defined on the closed set $\mathcal{D}_1 = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \text{int } \mathfrak{H}_1$ bounded by the unit circle (in black) and the monogon $\theta_1(C_1)$ (in red). Right bottom: The map $H_d = \mathfrak{p}_1 \circ \mathfrak{p}_2$ is defined on $\mathcal{D}_2 = \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \text{int } \mathfrak{H}_2 = \bigcup_{j=2}^4 \theta_2(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j})$.

Note that the map $z \mapsto z^{d+1}$ and $z \mapsto -z^2$ of \mathbb{D} induce biholomorphisms $\xi_1 : \mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, $\xi_2 : \mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, and yield homeomorphisms $\xi_1 : \partial\mathcal{Q}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, $\xi_2 : \partial\mathcal{Q}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, respectively. We define the branched coverings

$$\theta_j := \xi_j \circ \pi_j : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}$$

of degree $d + 1, 2$ (respectively), and define the sets

$$\mathfrak{H}_1 := \theta_1(\Pi), \quad \mathfrak{H}_2 := \theta_2(\Pi'), \quad \mathcal{D}_j := \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \text{int } \mathfrak{H}_j, \quad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

The image of the radial lines at angles $0, \frac{2\pi}{d+1}$ under θ_1 (respectively, the image of the vertical geodesic under θ_2) is the segment $[0, 1)$.

4.2.3. *Two external maps.* Let θ_j^{-1} be the inverse branch of θ_j from $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus [0, 1]$ to \mathfrak{F}_j , $j \in \{1, 2\}$. With the above setup at our disposal, we now proceed to define the desired external maps. Let

$$H_d : \mathcal{D}_2 = \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \theta_2(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

be defined as

$$H_d \equiv \theta_2 \circ M \circ \tilde{H}_d \circ M^{-1} \circ \underline{\theta}_2^{-1} = \theta_2 \circ \alpha_{d+2-j}^M \circ \underline{\theta}_2^{-1} \text{ on } \theta_2(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j}).$$

The map $H_d : \mathcal{D}_2 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ will be called the *d-th Hecke map*.

Similarly, let us define

$$F_d : \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \theta_1(\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j})) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$$

as

$$F_d \equiv \theta_1 \circ \tilde{F}_d \circ \underline{\theta}_1^{-1} = \theta_1 \circ \beta_{d+2-j} \circ \underline{\theta}_1^{-1} \text{ on } \theta_1(\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j})).$$

An important feature of the map F_d is that it extends analytically to the larger region \mathcal{D}_1 as $\theta_1 \circ \sigma \circ \underline{\theta}_1^{-1}$. We will call the map $F_d : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ the *d-th Farey map*.

Each of the maps H_d and F_d restricts to an orientation-preserving degree d covering of \mathbb{S}^1 with a unique neutral fixed point (at 1). Both of them enjoy the regularity property that the two branches of the maps at each break-point of their piecewise definition admit local analytic extensions. It is also easy to see that $H_d|_{\mathbb{S}^1}, F_d|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are expansive (for instance, by [LMMN23, Lemma 3.7]). Hence, the map H_d and F_d are external maps in the sense of Subsection 3.4.

We list some additional properties of the maps H_d, F_d (see Figure 5).

- (1) All points in $\text{int } \mathcal{D}_1, \text{int } \mathcal{D}_2$ eventually escape to $\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_2$ under iterates of F_d, H_d (respectively).
- (2) The map H_d maps each $\theta_2(C'_j)$ two-to-one onto the segment $[0, 1]$, $j \in \{2, \dots, d+1\}$.
- (3) The map F_d has a critical point of multiplicity d at $\theta_1(\sigma(0))$ with associated critical value 0.
- (4) The restriction $F_d : \partial\mathfrak{H}_1 = \theta_1(C_1 \cup \{1\}) \rightarrow \partial\mathfrak{H}_1$ is an orientation-reversing involution.

Remark 3. For an interpretation of the map F_d as a factor of a certain *Bowen-Series map*, see [MM23].

4.2.4. *Piecewise analytic conjugacy between the external maps.* Recall that the maps \tilde{H}_d and \tilde{F}_d are conjugate via the involution σ . Hence, the map

$$\mathfrak{p} := \theta_2 \circ M \circ \underline{\theta}_1^{-1} : F_d^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) = \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \theta_1(\sigma(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j})) \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}_2 = \bigcup_{j=2}^{d+1} \theta_2(\overline{\mathbb{D}'_j})$$

is a piecewise conformal conjugacy between F_d and H_d . Moreover, it follows from the definition of \mathfrak{p} that it is asymptotic to a power map near 1, and admits conformal extensions near the other pinched points of its domain. It follows that \mathfrak{p} can be extended quasiconformally to \mathbb{D} . In particular, \mathfrak{p}

is a quasisymmetric conjugacy between the external maps F_d and H_d on the circle.

Therefore, F_d and H_d are piecewise analytically conjugate external maps in the sense of Definition 3.5.

4.2.5. *Parabolic asymptotics of Hecke and Farey maps.* An explicit computation shows that the Möbius involution σ is given by

$$\sigma(z) = \frac{2z\omega - \omega(1 + \omega)}{z(1 + \omega) - 2\omega},$$

while the map ρ is clearly given by $\rho(z) = \omega z$. The Hecke and Farey maps H_d, F_d do not admit analytic continuations in a neighborhood of the fixed point 1. However, straightforward computation using the maps σ, ρ show that the two branches of each of these maps at 1 can be extended analytically in a neighborhood of 1 as different parabolic germs. Specifically, these germs have the following power series expansion:

$$(1) \quad 1 + \zeta \mapsto 1 + \zeta - ia\zeta^2 + O(\zeta^3), \text{ for } \zeta \approx 0 \text{ with } \operatorname{Im}(\zeta) > 0,$$

and

$$(2) \quad 1 + \zeta \mapsto 1 + \zeta + ib\zeta^2 + O(\zeta^3), \text{ for } \zeta \approx 0 \text{ with } \operatorname{Im}(\zeta) < 0.$$

Here a and b are positive constants depending on the map H_d, F_d . In particular, H_d and F_d have repelling directions along the imaginary axis at 1.

We remark, for future reference, that the change of coordinate $\zeta \mapsto -\frac{i}{a(\zeta-1)}$ (respectively, $\zeta \mapsto \frac{i}{b(\zeta-1)}$) carries the positive (respectively, negative) imaginary axis at 1 to the negative real axis near ∞ , and conjugates the asymptotics (1) (respectively, (2)) to maps of the form $z \mapsto z + 1 + O(1/z)$ near ∞ .

Again for future reference, we also remark that, in this setting, we can construct for Hecke and Farey maps *dividing arcs*: two smooth forward invariant arcs γ_+^F, γ_-^F emerging from the parabolic fixed point tangentially to the unit circle (to construct these it is sufficient to take partial horocycles, see for example [BL20b] or alternatively preimages of straight lines under repelling Fatou coordinates, see for example [Lom15] and [LPS17]).

5. MATING PARABOLIC RATIONAL MAPS WITH FAREY AND HECKE MAPS

The goal of this section is to construct pinched polynomial-like maps as matings of hybrid classes coming from rational maps $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$ with the Hecke and Farey maps. To carry out these mating constructions in a common setting, we will introduce a family of external maps that contain the Farey and Hecke maps, possibly up to restriction to a smaller domain. These maps, which are termed *Farey-like maps*, will be shown to admit matings with the above hybrid classes.

Recall that all rational maps in \mathcal{B}_d have the parabolic Blaschke product B_d as their external map. To construct the desired pinched polynomial-like maps, we need to show that Farey-like maps are quasiconformally conjugate to the external map B_d in a pinched neighborhood of the circle. Quasiconformal compatibility results of this flavor (between parabolic maps) was first established by the second author in her thesis [Lom15]. There, a

class of maps called parabolic-like maps was introduced, and a straightening theorem for such maps was proved (see Section 3.3). Similar techniques were extended to other settings in [LLMM21, BL20a, LMM23]. Below we present two proofs of the existence of the desired quasiconformal conjugacy for our class of maps. They apply the key ingredient, the Fatou coordinate at the parabolic point, in different ways: one proof is based on a classical theorem of Warschawski, the other the dividing arcs method of [Lom15].

5.1. Quasiconformal compatibility of Farey-like maps and the parabolic Blaschke product B_d .

5.1.1. *Farey-like maps.* Recall that all external maps are assumed to satisfy the standing hypothesis of Subsection 3.4.

Definition 5.1. Let \mathcal{E} be an external map such that $\mathcal{E}(1) = 1$, and \mathcal{E} is analytic on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathcal{E}^{-1}(1)$. We say that \mathcal{E} is *Farey-like* if it satisfies the following properties (see Figure 6 (left)).

- (1) There exist (relative) closed neighborhoods X_1, X_2 of \mathbb{S}^1 in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that X_2 is pinched only at 1, X_1 is pinched only at the d points of $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(1)$, $X_1 \subset X_2$, and $\partial X_1 \cap \partial X_2 = \mathbb{S}^1$;
- (2) \mathcal{E} extends to a continuous map $\mathcal{E} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ such that $\mathcal{E} : \partial X_1 \rightarrow \partial X_2$ is a d -fold covering and each component of $\text{int } X_1$ maps conformally onto $\text{int } X_2$; and
- (3) the branches of \mathcal{E} at 1 extend locally as simple parabolic germs.




FIGURE 6. Left: The domain and image of a Farey-like map is displayed. The closed region bounded by the brown monogon γ and the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 is X_2 . The closed region bounded by the blue curve $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)$ and \mathbb{S}^1 is X_1 . Right: A Farey-like restriction of the Hecke map H_3 is illustrated. The curve γ , which meets \mathbb{S}^1 only at 1, surrounds the arc $[0, 1]$. The preimage of γ under H_3 , along with the circle \mathbb{S}^1 , bounds the domain of definition of the Farey-like restriction of H_3 .

Remark 4. i) The set $(\partial X_2 \cap \mathbb{D}) \cup \{1\}$ is a monogon; i.e., a simple closed curve γ in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ that intersects \mathbb{S}^1 only at 1. The set X_2 is bounded by γ and \mathbb{S}^1 . The set X_1 is bounded by $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)$ and \mathbb{S}^1 .

ii) Since \mathcal{E} preserves \mathbb{S}^1 and is expansive on the circle, the parabolic germ obtained as the extension of the top (respectively, bottom) branch of \mathcal{E} at 1 is tangent-to-identity and has the positive (respectively, negative) imaginary axis at 1 as its repelling direction.

iii) After possibly shrinking the domain and the range, we may assume that $\partial X_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ and $\partial X_2 \cap \mathbb{D}$ are smooth curves.

By the Schwarz reflection principle, a Farey-like map $\mathcal{E} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ extends to a covering map $\mathcal{E} : \widehat{X}_1 := X_1 \cup \iota(X_1) \rightarrow \widehat{X}_2 := X_2 \cup \iota(X_2)$ (where $\iota(w) = 1/\bar{w}$) that maps each of the d components U_1, \dots, U_d of $\text{int } \widehat{X}_1$ conformally onto $\text{int } \widehat{X}_2$. Here, we enumerate the components of $\text{int } \widehat{X}_1$ counter-clockwise such that $1 \in \partial U_1$ and U_1 is contained in the upper half-plane. Due to the touching structure of \widehat{X}_1 and \widehat{X}_2 , the maps $\mathcal{E}|_{U_j}$, $j \in \{2, \dots, d-1\}$, are uniformly expanding, while $\mathcal{E}|_{U_j}$, $j \in \{1, d\}$, are uniformly expanding away from 1 with respect to the hyperbolic metric on $\text{int } \widehat{X}_2$. This fact, along with the parabolic asymptotics of \mathcal{E} at 1, implies that the diameters of the components of $\mathcal{E}^{-n}(\text{int } \widehat{X}_1)$ (which are topological discs) shrink to 0 as $n \rightarrow +\infty$. It follows that the non-escaping set of $\mathcal{E} : \widehat{X}_1 \rightarrow \widehat{X}_2$ is precisely the unit circle \mathbb{S}^1 , and hence every $z \in X_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ eventually maps to $X_2 \setminus X_1$. In particular, $X_2 \setminus X_1$ is a fundamental domain for \mathcal{E} (in \mathbb{D}), and $X_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ admits a tessellation by $(d+1)$ -gons $\mathcal{E}^{-n}(X_2 \setminus X_1)$, $n \geq 1$.

5.1.2. Farey-like restrictions of the Farey and Hecke maps. Prototypical examples of Farey-like maps are given by the Farey and the Hecke maps. Indeed, the Farey map $F_d : F_d^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ is Farey-like.

To construct such a restriction of the Hecke map, let us thicken the arc $[0, 1]$ to a monogon γ that starts and ends at 1 (and is disjoint from \mathbb{S}^1 otherwise) and surrounds the arc $[0, 1]$ (see Figure 6 (right)). We denote the component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \gamma$ containing the origin by \mathbb{L} . It is easy to see from the parabolic dynamics of H_d at 1 that $H_d : H_d^{-1}(\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{L}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus \mathbb{L}$ is Farey-like.

5.1.3. Quasiconformal conjugacy between Farey-like maps and the parabolic Blaschke product.

Lemma 5.2. *Let \mathcal{E} be a Farey-like external map. Then there exists a homeomorphism $\mathfrak{g} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ that is quasiconformal on \mathbb{D} , sends 1 to 1, and conjugates the restriction of \mathcal{E} on the closure of a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathcal{E}^{-1}(1)$ to the restriction of B_d on the closure of a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus B_d^{-1}(1)$.*

Proof. A priori, the curve $\gamma := (\partial X_2 \cap \mathbb{D}) \cup \{1\}$ may have a cusp at 1. Without loss of generality, we may open up this cusp to form a wedge of angle $\theta_0 > 0$ by replacing γ (near 1) with a pair of straight line segments. The fact that the branches of \mathcal{E} at 1 extend locally as simple parabolic germs with repelling directions along the imaginary axis (at 1) implies that if we redefine X_1 to be the closed region bounded by \mathbb{S}^1 and $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)$, then $\mathcal{E} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ is Farey-like. We also set $\mathfrak{T} := \overline{\mathbb{D} \setminus X_2}$, so $\partial \mathfrak{T} = \gamma$ (see Figure 7).




FIGURE 7. Depicted is a degree 6 Farey-like external map. The map \mathbf{g} carries \mathfrak{Z} onto the petal $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ (of B_6) conformally and behaves like a square root map near 1. The shaded regions are the fundamental pinched annuli $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$ and Y_{B_6} .

In the B_d -plane, we choose an attracting petal $\mathcal{P} \subset \mathbb{D}$ of B_d at the parabolic point 1 such that

- (1) \mathcal{P} contains the critical value of B_d in \mathbb{D} ,
- (2) the critical point 0 lies on $\partial\mathcal{P}$ or outside $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$, and
- (3) near 1, the boundary $\partial\mathcal{P}$ is the union of two straight lines that meet at an angle $\theta_0/2$.

One can construct the homeomorphism $\mathbf{g} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with the claimed properties in two ways.

First proof. Let us choose a homeomorphism $\mathbf{g} : \mathfrak{Z} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ that is conformal on the interior and sends 1 to 1. By [Pom92, Theorem 3.11], the map \mathbf{g} has the asymptotics

$$(3) \quad \zeta \mapsto 1 + b(\zeta - 1)^{1/2} + o((\zeta - 1)^{1/2}),$$

for some $b \in \mathbb{C}^*$, near 1 (for a suitable branch of square root). Note that both $\mathcal{E} : \mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma) \rightarrow \gamma$ and $B_d : B_d^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{P}) \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{P}$ are degree d orientation-preserving covering maps. We lift the map $\mathbf{g} : \gamma \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{P}$ via the above coverings to get a homeomorphism from $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)$ onto $B_d^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{P})$, which we also denote by \mathbf{g} .

By design, the curves $\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)$ and γ bound a pinched annulus $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$ that is a fundamental domain for the action of \mathcal{E} (in \mathbb{D}). Similarly, the curves $B_d^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{P})$ and $\partial\mathcal{P}$ bound a pinched annulus Y_{B_d} that is a fundamental domain for the action of B_d . These fundamental pinched annuli are shaded in grey in Figure 7.

We claim that there exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism \mathbf{g} from the pinched fundamental annulus $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$ onto the pinched fundamental annulus Y_{B_d} which continuously agrees with \mathbf{g} already defined. Once this claim is established, we can use the equivariance property of \mathbf{g} on the boundaries of the pinched annuli (more precisely, the fact that \mathbf{g} conjugates the action of \mathcal{E} to that of B_d on the boundaries of their fundamental pinched annuli) to lift it under the iterates of \mathcal{E} and B_d , and obtain a quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathbb{D} with a quasisymmetric extension to \mathbb{S}^1 . By construction, this map \mathbf{g} would conjugate the restriction of \mathcal{E} on the closure of a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathcal{E}^{-1}(1)$ to the restriction of B_d on the closure of a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus B_d^{-1}(1)$.

We now proceed to prove the quasiconformal interpolation claim. Note that the boundaries of $Y_{\mathcal{E}}, Y_{B_d}$ are piecewise smooth. Moreover, since the branches of \mathcal{E} at the break-points of its domain of definition admit local analytic extensions, it follows that the smooth pieces of the outer boundary curves of $Y_{\mathcal{E}}, Y_{B_d}$ meet at positive angles except at the point 1. Quasiconformality of $\mathbf{g} : \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{P}}$ at 1 now translates to the fact that $\mathbf{g} : \partial Y_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \partial Y_{B_d}$ is quasisymmetric away from 1. Thus, the existence of the desired quasiconformal interpolating map outside a neighborhood of 1 follows by the Ahlfors-Beurling extension theorem. It remains to justify that a similar quasiconformal interpolation can also be performed near 1, where the boundaries of the pinched fundamental annuli subtend zero angles (i.e., they form cusps). By symmetry of the situation, it suffices to demonstrate this for the top cusps. To facilitate the construction of this interpolating map, we will now perform changes of coordinates that carry the point 1 (both in the \mathcal{E} -plane and in the B_d -plane) to the point at ∞ .


To this end, we use the change of coordinate $\mathbf{j}_1 : \zeta \mapsto -\frac{i}{a(\zeta-1)}$ (see Subsection 4.2.5) to send 1 to ∞ and the positive imaginary axis at 1 to the negative real axis near ∞ . The map \mathbf{j}_1 conjugates the Farey-like map \mathcal{E} on $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta - 1| < \varepsilon, \text{Im}(\zeta) > 0\}$ (for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough) to a map of the form $z \mapsto z + 1 + O(1/z)$ near ∞ , and sends the top cusp of $Y_{\mathcal{E}}$ at 1 to an unbounded curvilinear strip S_1 of width 1 bounded by a pair of smooth curves. Analogously, we use the map $\mathbf{j}_2 : \zeta \mapsto \frac{c}{(\zeta-1)^2}$ (for some $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$) to send 1 to ∞ and the negative real axis at 1 to the positive real axis near ∞ . The map \mathbf{j}_2 conjugates the Blaschke product B_d on $\{\zeta \in \mathbb{C} : |\zeta - 1| < \varepsilon, \arg(\zeta) \in \{\pi/2 + \delta, 3\pi/2 - \delta\}\}$ (for $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ sufficiently small) to a map of the form $z \mapsto z + 1 + O(1/z)$ near ∞ , and sends the top cusp of Y_{B_d} at 1 to an unbounded curvilinear strip S_2 of width 1 bounded by a pair of smooth curves. Thanks to the asymptotic development (3), the map $\mathbf{j}_2 \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{j}_1^{-1}$ is easily seen to be asymptotically linear near ∞ .

Next, we map the curvilinear infinite strips S_1, S_2 to the straight infinite strip $\mathcal{S} := \{z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x > 0, y \in (-\pi/2, \pi/2)\}$ by conformal maps $\mathbf{k}_1, \mathbf{k}_2$. By [War42], the map $\mathbf{k}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ has asymptotics $\mathbf{k}_i(z) = c_i z + o(z)$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$, for some $c_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$. A straightforward computation (as in [LLMM21, Lemma 5.3] or [LMM23, Theorem 4.8]) shows that the map

$$\mathbf{k}_2 \circ \mathbf{j}_2 \circ \mathbf{g} \circ \mathbf{j}_1^{-1} \circ \mathbf{k}_1^{-1} : \partial \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \partial \mathcal{S}$$

is of the form $\lambda z + o(z)$ as $\text{Re}(z) \rightarrow +\infty$, and the maps on the upper and lower boundaries are a bounded distance from each other. Therefore, linear interpolation yields a quasiconformal homeomorphism of \mathcal{S} that extends the above boundary maps. Pulling it back to the top cusps of $Y_{\mathcal{E}}, Y_{B_d}$ via the conformal maps $\mathbf{j}_i, \mathbf{k}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$, produces the desired quasiconformal map $\mathbf{g} : Y_{\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow Y_{B_d}$.

Second proof. This proof uses the method of *dividing arcs*, introduced by the second author in [Lom15]. We use these to augment the pinched annuli defined above by adding “part-horodiscs”, in such a way that there are no longer cusps at the pinch points: the existence of the desired quasiconformal homeomorphism \mathbf{g} then follows from standard theory of extendability of

FIGURE 8. Invariant arcs for B_2 , and their inverse images

quasisymmetric maps on piecewise smooth boundaries (without cusps) to quasiconformal homeomorphisms on interiors.

Our dividing arcs for the map B_d are a pair of smooth forward invariant arcs γ_B^+, γ_B^- , parametrized by $[0, 1]$, lying in the repelling petals of the parabolic fixed point $1 \in \mathbb{D}$, emerging from it tangentially to the unit circle, and meeting the boundary $\partial\mathcal{P}$ of our chosen attracting petal \mathcal{P} transversely (Figure 8): we can construct γ_B^+ and γ_B^- as pre-images of repelling horizontal straight lines in the Fatou coordinate, as in [Lom15]. Similarly we may construct dividing arcs for the Farey-like map \mathcal{E} at its parabolic point, meeting the boundary curve of X_2 transversely. (We remark that for the Farey-like maps that will concern us, the Hecke map and the Farey map, the existence of dividing arcs on both sides of the parabolic point is self-evident, since the elements α_1 and α_d of the Hecke group are parabolic, and so have horocycles emanating from their fixed points.)

Let d_B^+ denote the topological disc trapped between γ_B^+ and $\partial\mathcal{P}$, and d_B^- that trapped by γ_B^- and $\partial\mathcal{P}$ (see Figure 8). Define the augmented pinched annulus U by setting

$$U := Y_{B_d} \cup d_B^+ \cup d_B^-.$$

We next augment the pinched annulus $Y_{\mathcal{E}} = X_2 \setminus X_1$ by adding suitable part-horodiscs to it. Let $d_{\mathcal{E}}^+$ denote the subset of \mathbb{D} trapped between γ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^+$, and let $d_{\mathcal{E}}^-$ be the subset trapped between γ and $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^-$. We define the augmented pinched annulus V by setting

$$V := Y_{\mathcal{E}} \cup d_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \cup d_{\mathcal{E}}^-.$$

Via Fatou coordinates on both sides we can construct analytic conjugacies $\psi^+ : \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow \gamma_B^+$ and $\psi^- : \gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^- \rightarrow \gamma_B^-$, which extend to quasiconformal maps $\psi_d^+ : d_{\mathcal{E}}^+ \rightarrow d_B^+$ and $\psi_d^- : d_{\mathcal{E}}^- \rightarrow d_B^-$ respectively.

Let $h : \gamma \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{P}$ be a C^1 diffeomorphism with $h(\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^+) = \psi^+(\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^+)$, $h(\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^-) = \psi^-(\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^-)$, and let $h_1 : \mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma) \rightarrow B_d^{-1}(\partial\mathcal{P})$ be a lift. Note that $h : \gamma \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{P}$ extends to a quasiconformal map $H : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$, where $\partial\mathfrak{X} = \gamma$. There also exists a quasiconformal homeomorphism

$$\psi_2 : \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{U}$$

such that $\psi_{2|d_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}} = \psi_d^{\pm}$, $\psi_{2|\gamma} = h$, and $\psi_{2|\mathcal{E}^{-1}(\gamma)} = h_1$.

Where an inverse image of the augmented annulus U overlaps with an earlier inverse image, their intersection is always a subset of some $d_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}$ (or an inverse image thereof), and we simply excise the overlap from the later inverse image by cutting along the relevant part of invariant curve $\gamma_{\mathcal{E}}^{\pm}$ (or inverse image) to obtain a partition of $\mathbb{D} \setminus X_2$, and a similar partition of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \mathcal{P}$. The dynamics matches on the partition boundaries which are segments of the invariant curves (since ψ^+ and ψ^- are both analytic conjugacies), so we can lift the quasiconformal map ψ_2 via the dynamics of \mathcal{E} and the corresponding dynamics of B_d , to obtain a quasiconformal map $\psi_3 : \mathbb{D} \setminus (V \cup \mathfrak{T}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus (U \cup \mathcal{P})$ and finally define $\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ by:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \begin{cases} H & \text{on } \mathfrak{T} \\ \psi_2 & \text{on } V \\ \psi_3 & \text{on } \mathbb{D} \setminus (V \cup \mathfrak{T}) \end{cases}$$

As \mathfrak{g} is quasiconformal, it extends to a quasiconformal map $\mathfrak{g} : \overline{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$. \square

Remark 5. One can invoke [LMMN23, Theorem 4.9] to deduce the existence of a quasisymmetric conjugacy between $\mathcal{E}|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ and $B_d|_{\mathbb{S}^1}$. However, the outcome of Lemma 5.2 is stronger: it produces a quasiconformal conjugacy between \mathcal{E} and B_d in a pinched neighborhood of \mathbb{S}^1 .

5.2. Construction of matings of parabolic rational maps and Farey-like maps. For the remainder of this section, we will fix an $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$.

Lemma 5.3. *Let $\mathcal{E} : X_1 \rightarrow X_2$ be a Farey-like external map. Then, there exists a pinched polynomial-like map (f, P_1, P_2) that is hybrid equivalent to R and has \mathcal{E} as its external map.*

Proof. There exists a conformal map $\psi_R : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$ that conjugates B_d to R , and extends continuously to 1 with $\psi_R(1) = \infty$. Recall that the quasiconformal homeomorphism $\mathfrak{g} : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ constructed in Lemma 5.2 conjugates \mathcal{E} , restricted to a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \mathcal{E}^{-1}(1)$, to B_d , restricted to a relative neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus B_d^{-1}(1)$.

We now define a map on a subset of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ as follows:

$$\tilde{f} := \begin{cases} (\psi_R \circ \mathfrak{g}) \circ \mathcal{E} \circ (\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \circ \psi_R^{-1}) & \text{on } A, \\ R & \text{on } K(R). \end{cases}$$

where $A = \psi_R(\mathfrak{g}(X_1 \cap \mathbb{D}))$ if one uses the first proof of Lemma 5.2, and $A = \psi_R(\mathfrak{g}(X_1 \cap \mathbb{D} \cup (d_{\mathcal{F}}^+ \cup d_{\mathcal{F}}^-)))$ if one uses the second proof. By the equivariance properties of ψ_R and \mathfrak{g} , the map \tilde{f} agrees with R on the closure of a neighborhood of $K(R) \setminus R^{-1}(\infty)$. By quasiconformal removability of finitely many points, the map \tilde{f} is a quasiregular map on the interior of its domain of definition.

Let μ be the Beltrami coefficient on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ defined as $\mu|_{\mathcal{A}(R)} = (\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \circ \psi_R^{-1})^*(\mu_0)$, where μ_0 is the standard complex structure on \mathbb{D} , and $\mu|_{K(R)} = 0$. Since \mathcal{E} is holomorphic, it follows that μ is \tilde{f} -invariant. Quasiconformality of $\mathfrak{g}^{-1} \circ \psi_R^{-1}$ implies that $\|\mu\|_{\infty} < 1$. We conjugate \tilde{f} by a quasiconformal homeomorphism κ of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ that solves the Beltrami equation with coefficient μ to obtain a holomorphic map f on a pinched polygon

$$P_1 := \kappa(\text{Dom}(\tilde{f})).$$

It is easy to see from the construction that $f : P_1 \rightarrow P_2 := f(P_1)$ is a




FIGURE 9. The domain of definition for the topological mating \tilde{f} .

degree d pinched polynomial-like map with filled Julia set $K(f) = \kappa(K(R))$. Since κ^{-1} is conformal a.e. on $K(f)$, it hybrid conjugates the above pinched polynomial-like map to a pinched polynomial-like restriction of R (with filled Julia set $K(R)$).

Finally, the restriction $f : P_1 \setminus K(f) \rightarrow P_2 \setminus K(f)$ is conformally conjugate to $\mathcal{E} : X_1 \cap \mathbb{D} \rightarrow X_2 \cap \mathbb{D}$ via the map $(\kappa \circ \psi_R \circ \mathfrak{g})^{-1}$. \square

Corollary 5.4. *There exist pinched polynomial-like maps R_F, R_H that are hybrid equivalent to R and have F_d, H_d , respectively, as their external maps. Moreover, the maps R_F, R_H admit analytic continuations with the following properties.*

1) *There exist a pinched polygon \mathcal{V} and a continuous extension $R_H : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is meromorphic on $\text{int } \mathcal{V}$ such that $R_H : R_H^{-1}(\mathcal{V}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a degree d pinched polynomial-like map hybrid conjugate to a pinched polynomial-like restriction of R (with filled Julia set $K(R)$), and $R_H : \mathcal{V} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H)$ is conformally conjugate to $H_d : \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.*

2) *There exist a Jordan domain \mathcal{U} and a continuous extension $R_F : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ which is meromorphic on \mathcal{U} such that $R_F : R_F^{-1}(\overline{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ is a degree d pinched polynomial-like map hybrid conjugate to a pinched polynomial-like restriction of R (with filled Julia set $K(R)$), and $R_F : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \setminus K(R_F) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_F)$ is conformally conjugate to $F_d : \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.*

Further, the Jordan curve $\partial\mathcal{U}$ is non-singular real-analytic except possibly at the fixed point \mathfrak{s} of R_F that corresponds to the parabolic fixed point 1 of F_d .

(Here, $K(R_F), K(R_H)$ denote the filled Julia sets of the pinched polynomial-like restrictions of R_F, R_H , respectively, and $\mathcal{D}_1, \mathcal{D}_2$ are defined in Section 4.2.2).

Proof. 1) By Subsection 5.1.2, the Hecke map admits a Farey-like restriction. Hence, the existence of the desired pinched polynomial-like map R_H (which is hybrid equivalent to R and has the above Farey-like restriction of H_d as its external map) follows from Lemma 5.3.

Note also that by construction, there exists a conformal map $\psi_{R_H} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ that conjugates R_H to H_d , wherever defined. One can now extend the pinched polynomial-like map R_H as the conjugate of $H_d : \mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ via ψ_{R_H} . The pinched polygon \mathcal{V} is then the domain of definition $K(R_H) \cup \psi_{R_H}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_2 \cap \mathbb{D})$ of the extended map R_H .

2) Since the map $F_d : F_d^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ is Farey-like, once again Lemma 5.3 gives a pinched polynomial-like map R_F that is a mating of R and $F_d : F_d^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}_1$ (where the parabolic fixed point ∞ of R is glued with the parabolic fixed point 1 of F_d).

The analytic extension of R_F is obtained as in the previous case. Indeed, there exists a conformal map $\psi_{R_F} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_F) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ that conjugates R_F to F_d , wherever defined. We extend the pinched polynomial-like map R_F as the conjugate of $F_d : \mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ via ψ_{R_F} . We define \mathcal{U} to be the interior of the domain of definition $K(R_F) \cup \psi_{R_F}^{-1}(\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathbb{D})$ of the extended map R_F . Since the inner boundary of \mathcal{D}_1 meets \mathbb{S}^1 at a unique point, it follows that \mathcal{U} is a Jordan domain.

The final statement is a consequence of the fact that $\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\mathbf{s}\}$ is the image of the non-singular real-analytic curve $\partial\mathcal{D}_1 \cap \mathbb{D}$ under the conformal map $\psi_{R_F}^{-1}$. \square

In Figure 10 we exhibit computer plots of R_H and R_F for two examples of rational maps $R \in \mathcal{B}_2$, firstly for R the Blaschke product map B_2 (top row zoomed out, and middle row near their Julia sets), and secondly (bottom row) for R the basilica, the map $R \in \mathcal{B}_2$ determined by the condition that the critical point of R in the filled Julia set $K(R)$ is periodic of period two. On the complement of $K(R_H)$ (respectively $K(R_F)$) can be seen a copy of the tiling of $\theta_2(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}/\langle\sigma\rangle$ (respectively the tiling of $\theta_1(\mathbb{D}) = \mathbb{D}/\langle\rho\rangle$). Notice that in the case of $R = B_2$, the Julia set is a quasicircle: the mating construction has quasiconformally replaced the B_2 action on $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(B_2)$, the Schwarz reflection of the action of B_2 on the round disc $K(B_2)$, by the action of the Hecke map on $\theta_2(\mathbb{D})$ (respectively the Farey map on $\theta_1(\mathbb{D})$).

6. FROM PINCHED POLYNOMIAL-LIKE MAPS TO ALGEBRAIC CORRESPONDENCES

In the previous sections, we extracted two piecewise analytically conjugate external maps from the Hecke group, and showed that they can be mated with parabolic rational maps in \mathcal{B}_d as pinched polynomial-like maps. For a given $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$, the resulting two pinched polynomial-like maps R_H and R_F are also (piecewise) analytically conjugate. However, the globalizations of these semi-global maps (defined on proper subsets of the sphere) to holomorphic correspondences on the Riemann sphere are carried out in different ways. Since the Hecke (respectively, Farey) map was defined on a quotient of \mathbb{D} by an order two (respectively, order $d + 1$) group element, one needs to pass to a double cover of the R_H -plane (respectively, a $(d + 1)$ -fold cover of the R_F -plane) to construct the desired correspondences.

6.1. The double cover method. As we are dealing with double covers in this section, it will be convenient to use a model of the action of the




FIGURE 10. Computer plots of R_H (left-hand column) and R_F (right-hand column): see text for details.




FIGURE 11. Left, the Hecke map on \mathbb{D} ; right, its quotient under σ .

Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} on the (open) unit disc \mathbb{D} which puts the fixed point of the involution σ at the origin, and the fixed point of the rotation ρ on the negative real axis. We will use the notation illustrated in Figure 11, which depicts the same map, $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}/\langle\sigma\rangle$, $\theta_2 : z \rightarrow -z^2$ as the right-hand column of Figure 5, Section 4.2.2, but with the involution σ^M and the rotation

ρ^M of the right-hand column of Figure 5 now denoted by σ and ρ , the (open) left-hand half of \mathbb{D} now denoted by \mathbb{D}_- , and with the sets $\alpha_j^{-1}(D_-)$ (where $\alpha_j = \sigma \circ \rho^j$, $j = 1 \dots d$), now denoted by A_j . The map θ_2 sends \mathbb{D}_- conformally onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1)$ (Figure 11). Let $A'_j := \theta_2(A_j)$. The Hecke map is the $d : 1$ map $H_d : \bigcup_{j=1}^d A'_j \rightarrow \mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1)$, defined by $\theta_2 \circ \alpha_j \circ \theta_2^{-1}$ on each A'_j . Thus H_d maps each A'_j conformally onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus [0, 1)$. It extends to a continuous map on the union of the closures of the sets A'_j , but this extension is $2 : 1$ from the inner boundary arc of each A_j onto the interval $[0, 1] \subset \overline{\mathbb{D}}$.

We refer the reader to Definition 1.2 for the notion of the covering correspondence Cov^f , and the deleted covering correspondence Cov_0^f , of a rational map f , since we will use this terminology repeatedly in the current section. We shall use the same terms when f is a holomorphic map from a proper subset U of the Riemann sphere onto the whole sphere, in which case Cov^f and Cov_0^f will denote the obvious multi-valued functions from U to itself.

6.1.1. *Constructing the holomorphic correspondence \mathcal{F} .* According to Corollary 5.4, translated into the notation we are using in this section, there exists a continuous map $R_H : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (where \mathcal{V} is a pinched polygon) which is holomorphic on $\text{int } \mathcal{V}$ and satisfies the following properties.

- (1) On a pinched neighborhood of $K(R_H) \subset \mathcal{V}$, the map R_H is hybrid equivalent to R .
- (2) There exists a conformal map $\psi \equiv \psi_{R_H} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ that conjugates $R_H : \mathcal{V} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H)$ to $H_d : \bigcup_{j=1}^d (\overline{A'_j} \cap \mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$.

We pass to the double cover \tilde{S} of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (i.e., the double cover of the Riemann sphere where the map R_H lives), ramified at the parabolic fixed point and at ∞ (see Figure 12). This double cover \tilde{S} is a topological sphere made up of two copies \tilde{S}_- and \tilde{S}_+ of the sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ cut along the arc $\psi^{-1}([0, 1])$: these two copies, \tilde{S}_- and \tilde{S}_+ , are glued together along opposite edges of the cut to make \tilde{S} . The computer plot in Figure 12 is drawn using a coordinate z in which the parabolic point is $z = 0$ (corresponding to $1 \in \mathbb{D}$ via ψ), and the other branch point, $z = \infty$, corresponds to $0 \in \mathbb{D}$.

Let J denote the non-trivial deck transformation of the projection $\pi : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$: in the computer plot Figure 12 this is the involution $J(z) = -z$ of \tilde{S} which exchanges \tilde{S}_- with \tilde{S}_+ and has fixed points $z = 0$ and $z = \infty$. Set \mathcal{K}_- to be the lift of $K(R_H) \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to \tilde{S}_- and \mathcal{K}_+ to be the lift of $K(R_H)$ to \tilde{S}_+ . Thus $\mathcal{K}_+ = J(\mathcal{K}_-)$.

The conformal isomorphism $\psi : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ lifts (under the branched coverings $\theta_2 : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ and $\pi : \tilde{S} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_- \cup \mathcal{K}_+) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H)$) to a conformal isomorphism

$$\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{S} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_- \cup \mathcal{K}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$$

conjugating J on $\tilde{S} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_- \cup \mathcal{K}_+)$ to σ on \mathbb{D} .

Let $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ denote the lift of \mathcal{V} to \tilde{S}_- ; i.e.,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- = \mathcal{K}_- \cup \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_d) \subset \tilde{S}_-.$$




FIGURE 12. The double cover \tilde{S} and the conformal isomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{S} \setminus (\mathcal{K}_- \cup \mathcal{K}_+) \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$ covering $\psi : \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_H) \rightarrow \mathbb{D} / \langle \sigma \rangle$ (see text). The plot is of the correspondence mating between the Douady rabbit and \mathcal{H}_3 , the modular group.

Similarly set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+ := J(\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-)$. Observe that R_H lifts (via π) to a holomorphic map \tilde{R}_H from $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ onto \tilde{S}_- , and that $Cov_0^{\tilde{R}_H}$ is a $d-1 : d-1$ correspondence from $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ to itself.

In the computer plot in Figure 12, \tilde{S}_- and \tilde{S}_+ are the parts of \tilde{S} to the left and right of the (thickened) straight red line through the origin, and their respective subsets $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+$ are the regions bounded by thickened red arcs (in the plot each boundary is made up of 2 arcs: it will be made up of d arcs when $d > 2$). The set $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- \setminus \mathcal{K}_-$ is mapped by $\tilde{\psi}$ to $\mathbb{D} \setminus \Pi$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+ \setminus \mathcal{K}_+$ is mapped to $\mathbb{D}_+ \setminus \sigma(\Pi)$ (note that the origin in \tilde{S} is split by $\tilde{\psi}$, mapping to both $i \in \mathbb{D}$ and $-i \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\infty \in \tilde{S}$ is mapped by $\tilde{\psi}$ to $0 \in \mathbb{D}$).

Define the $d : d$ correspondence $\mathcal{F} : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \tilde{S}$ to be:

$$\mathcal{F} = \begin{cases} \tilde{R}_H & \text{mapping } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- \xrightarrow{d:1} \tilde{S}_- \\ J \circ Cov_0^{\tilde{R}_H} & \text{mapping } \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- \xrightarrow{d-1:d-1} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+ \\ J \circ (\tilde{R}_H)^{-1} \circ J & \text{mapping } \tilde{S}_+ \xrightarrow{1:d} \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+ \\ \tilde{\psi}^{-1} \circ \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_d\} \circ \tilde{\psi} & \text{mapping } \tilde{S}_- \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- \xrightarrow{d:d} \tilde{S}_+ \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+. \end{cases}$$

Notice that J conjugates \mathcal{F} on \tilde{S}_- to \mathcal{F}^{-1} on \tilde{S}_+ : this is true for points in $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ by the definition of \mathcal{F} , but it is also true for points $z \in \tilde{S}_- \setminus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ since σ conjugates α_j to α_{d+1-j}^{-1} on \mathbb{D} .

It is straightforward to check that on the boundaries of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$, $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_+$ and \tilde{S}_- the correspondence \mathcal{F} is continuous, and that the various branches of \mathcal{F} are holomorphic. By conformal removability of piecewise analytic arcs, the correspondence \mathcal{F} is holomorphic on the entire sphere \tilde{S} . By construction \mathcal{F} is a $d : d$ correspondence and is a mating between R and \mathcal{H}_{d+1} in the sense of Definition 1.1.

6.1.2. *Constructing P such that $\mathcal{F} = J \circ Cov_0^P$.*

Proposition 6.1. *The correspondence \mathcal{F} has the form $J \circ Cov_0^P$ for some polynomial P of degree $d+1$.*

Proof. As in the previous subsection, we shall take the action of the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} on the disc \mathbb{D} to be that in which the involution σ fixes $0 \in \mathbb{D}$, the parabolic group element $\alpha_1 = \sigma\rho$ fixes $-i \in \mathbb{D}$, and the parabolic element $\alpha_d = \sigma\rho^{-1}$ fixes $+i \in \mathbb{D}$ (Figure 11).

Let $\Delta_\rho \subset \mathbb{D}$ denote the fundamental domain for ρ bounded by the geodesics L and $\rho(L)$ in \mathbb{D} , from the fixed point of ρ to $-i$ and $+i$ (see the right-hand picture in Figure 13). Let $\Delta_\sigma := \mathbb{D}_-$ (the left-hand half of \mathbb{D} , a fundamental domain for σ), and let $T := \Delta_\sigma \cap \Delta_\rho$, a fundamental domain for \mathcal{H}_{d+1} . Define Δ_P to be the subset $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\Delta_\rho) \cup \mathcal{K}_+$ of the Riemann sphere \tilde{S} . In Figure 13, $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T)$ has boundary the outer pair of thick blue arcs on the left of the computer plot, together with the thick red straight line through the origin (∞ is on this boundary: it maps to $0 \in \mathbb{D}$ under $\tilde{\psi}$). Note that $\Delta_P = \tilde{S}_+ \cup \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T)$ and that it is simply-connected.




FIGURE 13. On the left, the tile $T = \Delta_\sigma \cap \Delta_\rho$, and on the right, $\Delta_P = \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T) \cup \tilde{S}_+$. We construct a degree 3 (in general $d + 1$) rational map P from $\tilde{S} = \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ onto the sphere $\Sigma = \Delta_P / \sim$ (where \sim is the boundary identification $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\rho)$), such that Δ_P is a fundamental domain for Cov^P , i.e. modulo boundaries P maps Δ_P bijectively onto Σ , and maps $\hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_P$ onto Σ as a double (in general d -fold) branched-covering.

Define an equivalence relation on the boundary of Δ_P by $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(z) \sim \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\rho z)$ for all $z \in L$ and denote the quotient of Δ_P under this identification (a sphere) by Σ . We define a degree $d + 1$ surjective holomorphic map $P : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \Sigma$ by putting together the following maps:

- (1) $identity / \sim : \Delta_P = \tilde{S}_+ \cup \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T) \rightarrow \Sigma = (\tilde{S}_+ \cup \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T)) / \sim$.
- (2) for $1 \leq j \leq d$, $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}\rho^{-j}\tilde{\psi} : \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\rho^j(T)) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T) / \sim$
(together these $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}\rho^{-j}\tilde{\psi}$ make up a $d : 1$ map
 $\tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\rho(T)) \cup \dots \cup \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(\rho^d(T)) \rightarrow \tilde{\psi}^{-1}(T) / \sim$);
- (3) $J \circ \tilde{R}_H : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_- \rightarrow \tilde{S}_+$, also a $d : 1$ map
(which further restricts to a $d : 1$ map $\mathcal{K}_- \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_+$).

These definitions match on boundaries, and form a well-defined degree $(d + 1)$ holomorphic branched-covering map of spheres $P : \tilde{S} \rightarrow \Sigma$. Choosing coordinates so that ∞ corresponds to the fixed point of ρ , the map P becomes

a polynomial. To verify that $\mathcal{F} = J \circ Cov_0^P$, we examine the correspondence Cov_0^P for the map P we have just defined.

For any $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$, we have $Cov_0^P(z) = \{Cov_0^{\tilde{R}_H}(z)\} \cup \{J(\tilde{R}_H(z))\}$. (The first set in this union is a subset of $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$, generically $(d-1)$ points, and the second set, which comes from the branch of P which is the identity on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+$, is a single point in $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+$.) It follows from this expression that

$$J \circ Cov_0^P(z) = \{J(\zeta) : \zeta \in Cov_0^{\tilde{R}_H}(z)\} \cup \{\tilde{R}_H(z)\}.$$

But this is precisely how we defined the image of $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}_-$ under the correspondence \mathcal{F} . Similar checks for z in each of the other regions in our definition of the correspondence \mathcal{F} confirm that $J \circ Cov_0^P(z) = \mathcal{F}(z)$ for all $z \in \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. \square

Remark 6.

- (1) The critical points of P are the points z where $|Cov_0^P(z)| < d$, namely:
 - (a) the critical points of \tilde{R}_H (that is, the lift to $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_-$ of the critical points of R in $\mathcal{K}(R)$); these become critical points of P , with the same multiplicities;
 - (b) the fixed point of $\tilde{\psi}^{-1} \circ \rho \circ \tilde{\psi}$; this is a critical point of P of maximal multiplicity;
 - (c) the parabolic fixed point z_0 of \mathcal{F} ; this is a simple critical point of P since it is fixed by both \tilde{R}_H and J and therefore appears in both of the sets $\{Cov_0^{\tilde{R}_H}(z_0)\}$ and $\{J(\tilde{R}_H(z_0))\}$ making up $Cov_0^P(z_0)$, thereby reducing by one the cardinality of their union.
- (2) By construction P is injective (modulo boundaries) on $\Delta_P \subset \tilde{\mathcal{S}}$. So we can push \mathcal{F} restricted to domain and codomain Δ_P down to $\Sigma = \Delta_P / \sim$. Here it becomes a $d : 1$ map from the subset $P(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+)$ of Σ onto the whole sphere Σ , a map readily identified as the mating R_F we proved in Corollary 5.4 to exist between the rational map $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$ and the Farey map F . In the next subsection we proceed in the opposite direction.

6.2. B-involutions and the $(d+1)$ -fold cover method. In this subsection, we will promote the pinched polynomial-like map R_F constructed in Corollary 5.4 (for $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$) to an algebraic correspondence on the Riemann sphere that is a mating of R and the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} .

The first step in the passage from the map R_F to the correspondence is to recognize the map R_F as an explicit algebraic function. To this end, we introduce a class of algebraic maps which we term *B-involutions*, and show that the maps R_F indeed belong to this class. Roughly speaking, a B-involution is semi-conjugate to the Möbius involution $J(z) = 1/z$ via a rational map Q . It then turns out that the dynamics of the correspondence \mathcal{G} generated by the involution J and the deck transformations of the rational map Q can be studied profitably from the action of the map R_F . In fact, the correspondence \mathcal{G} can be regarded as the lift of the map R_F by the rational map Q . This allows us to lift the dynamical structure of R_F (which is a mating of the hybrid class of R and the external map F_d) to the correspondence plane, and deduce that \mathcal{G}^{-1} is a mating of R and the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} in the sense of Definition 1.1.

6.2.1. *B-involutions.* Throughout the rest of this section, we will use the notation $J(z) = 1/z$.

Definition 6.2 (B-involutions). Let Q be a rational map of degree $(d + 1)$ and \mathfrak{D} a Jordan disc such that

- (1) $1, -1 \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$,
- (2) $J(\partial\mathfrak{D}) = \partial\mathfrak{D}$,
- (3) $\mathfrak{P} \subset \partial\mathfrak{D}$ be a finite set such that $J(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$ and $\partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \mathfrak{P}$ is a union of non-singular real-analytic curves,
- (4) for $z \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$, we have $Q'(z) = 0 \iff z \in \mathfrak{P}$, and
- (5) $Q|_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}}$ is injective; let $\mathcal{U} := Q(\mathfrak{D})$.

We set $\mathfrak{S} := Q(\mathfrak{P}) \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, and call the meromorphic map

$$S := Q \circ J \circ (Q|_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}})^{-1} : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$$

the *B-involution* associated with \mathcal{U} .

The name *B-involution* is motivated by the following key property: the map S induces an orientation-reversing self-involution on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ of its domain of definition.

Since Q has no critical point on $\partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \mathfrak{P}$, the B-involution S extends to a conformal involution on a neighborhood of $\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathfrak{S}$ that sends points in \mathcal{U} to the exterior of \mathcal{U} . Moreover, $S : S^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ is a proper (branched) covering map of degree d , and $S : S^{-1}(\text{int } \mathcal{U}^c) \rightarrow \text{int } \mathcal{U}^c$ is a (branched) degree $(d + 1)$ covering map.

6.2.2. *Description of the conformal mating R_F as a B-involution.* We now state and prove the key technical lemma for the construction of the correspondence \mathcal{G} from the map R_F ; i.e., we show that the map $R_F : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ is a B-involution associated with a degree $d + 1$ polynomial Q . The principal feature of R_F that goes into the proof of this statement is that R_F restricts to the boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$ of its domain of definition as an orientation-reversing self-involution. Roughly speaking, the Riemann sphere where the desired correspondence lives is constructed by welding two copies of $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$, where $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ is used as the boundary identification map.

The subtlety in carrying out this task stems from the fact that R_F is not analytic in a neighborhood of the point $\mathfrak{s} \in \partial\mathcal{U}$, which corresponds to the parabolic fixed point 1 of F_d (see Corollary 5.4). Thus, it needs to be justified that the conformal welding process indeed yields a Riemann sphere (in other words, the point \mathfrak{s} corresponds to a single point, and not a hole, on the welded object). We will present two ways of handling this issue: an analytic approach which exploits quasisymmetry properties of F_d near the point \mathfrak{s} , and a softer (somewhat more geometric) approach which determines the type of the welded Riemann surface by constructing a special meromorphic function on it.

Lemma 6.3. *The conformal mating $R_F : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ of R and $F_d : \mathcal{D}_1 \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ is a B-involution. Further, the map Q can be chosen to be a polynomial of degree $d + 1$ and \mathfrak{P} can be taken to be the singleton $\{1\}$.*

First proof. We will first write a proof of the lemma based on certain analytic quality of the map $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ near the point \mathfrak{s} . Specifically, we will show that

a quasiconformal uniformization $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ conjugates the map $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ to a quasisymmetric involution of the circle \mathbb{S}^1 . The proof of this fact requires analytic control on various steps in the construction of R_F ; more precisely, one needs to investigate the intermediate maps appearing in the proofs of Lemmas 5.2, 5.3 and Corollary 5.4.

We recall the notation $\mathfrak{H}_1 := \mathbb{D} \setminus \text{int } \mathcal{D}_1$ (see Subsection 4.2.2). The first step in the proof of Lemma 5.2, applied to map F_d , constructs a closed Jordan disc \mathfrak{X} from \mathfrak{H}_1 , that touches \mathbb{S}^1 only at 1 and whose boundary $\partial\mathfrak{X}$ comprises a part of $\partial\mathfrak{H}_1$ and a pair of straight line segments emanating from 1. The global quasiconformal map \mathfrak{g} of Lemma 5.2 carries \mathfrak{X} onto $\overline{\mathcal{P}}$ (where \mathcal{P} is an appropriate attracting petal for R constructed in the proof of the same lemma), and takes 1 to 1.

The conformal map $\psi_R : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}(R)$, that conjugates B_d to R and sends 1 to ∞ , carries the petal \mathcal{P} to some petal in $\mathcal{A}(R)$. Note that ψ_R can be factorized as the composition of a Fatou coordinate for \mathcal{P} with the inverse of a Fatou coordinate for $\psi_R(\mathcal{P})$. It follows from the asymptotics of Fatou coordinates that near 1, the curve $\partial\psi_R(\mathcal{P})$ is the union of two smooth arcs meeting at a positive angle. In particular, $\partial\psi_R(\mathcal{P})$ is a quasicircle. Hence, $\psi_R : \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \psi_R(\mathcal{P})$ extends to a global quasiconformal map $\check{\psi}_R$. Therefore, the global quasiconformal map $\check{\psi}_R \circ \mathfrak{g}$ carries \mathfrak{X} onto $\overline{\psi_R(\mathcal{P})}$. Hence, the global quasiconformal map $\kappa \circ \check{\psi}_R \circ \mathfrak{g}$ (where κ is the global quasiconformal homeomorphism of Lemma 5.3) sends $\overline{\mathfrak{H}_1}$ onto $\widehat{\mathcal{C}}\mathcal{U}$. We also note that ψ_R and $\check{\psi}_R$ agree on $\mathfrak{g}(\partial\mathfrak{H}_1)$.

The explicit asymptotic development of F_d near 1 furnished in Subsection 4.2.5 shows that $F_d|_{\partial\mathfrak{H}_1}$ is a quasisymmetry. Let us choose a Riemann uniformization $\varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathfrak{H}_1}$ whose homeomorphic boundary extension sends 1 to 1. By [Pom92, Theorem 3.11], the map φ is of the form

$$\zeta \mapsto 1 + c(\zeta - 1)^2 + o((\zeta - 1)^2), \quad \text{for some } c \in \mathbb{C}^*,$$

near 1. It follows that $\varphi^{-1} \circ F_d \circ \varphi$ is a quasisymmetry on the unit circle. Combining this with the discussion of the previous paragraph and the construction of R_F , we conclude that there exists a quasiconformal map $\varphi_1 := \kappa \circ \check{\psi}_R \circ \mathfrak{g} \circ \varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ whose homeomorphic boundary extension conjugates $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ to an orientation-reversing quasisymmetric involution $\check{J} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ (see Figure 14).

Thus, the map \check{J} extends as a quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ mapping \mathbb{D} onto $\mathbb{D}^* = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ with $\check{J}^{\circ 2} = \text{identity}$.

Define a quasiregular map

$$\check{Q} : \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}, \quad \check{Q} = \begin{cases} \varphi_1 & \text{on } \overline{\mathbb{D}}, \\ R_F \circ \varphi_1 \circ \check{J} & \text{on } \mathbb{D}^*. \end{cases}$$

Set $\mu := (\check{Q})^*(\mu_0)$. Let Φ be a quasiconformal homeomorphism of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ with $\Phi^*(\mu_0) = \mu$. Then $Q := \check{Q} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a quasiregular map of the Riemann sphere preserving the standard complex structure. Hence, Q is rational.

By construction, $\check{Q} \circ \check{J} = R_F \circ \check{Q}$ on \mathbb{D} . As μ_0 is an R_F -invariant Beltrami coefficient, it follows that μ is \check{J} -invariant. Hence, $\Phi \circ \check{J} \circ \Phi^{-1}$ is a Möbius involution, and thus can be chosen to be $J(z) = 1/z$.




FIGURE 14. Illustrated is the definition of the quasiconformal map $\varphi_1 = \kappa \circ \check{\psi}_R \circ \mathfrak{g} \circ \varphi : \mathbb{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$.

We set $\mathfrak{D} := \Phi(\mathbb{D})$. Note that Q carries $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$ injectively onto $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$. The fact that \check{J} preserves \mathbb{S}^1 implies that $\partial\mathfrak{D}$ is J -invariant.

By Lemma 5.4, the map $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ is topologically conjugate to $F_d|_{\partial\mathfrak{H}_1}$, and hence has two fixed points (one of which is \mathfrak{s}). As $J|_{\partial\mathfrak{D}}$ is conjugate to $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$, it now follows that $\partial\mathfrak{D}$ contains the fixed points ± 1 of J . After possibly pre-composing Q with $z \mapsto -z$, we can assume that $Q(1) = \mathfrak{s}$.

We will now show that $R_F = Q \circ J \circ (Q|_{\overline{\mathfrak{D}}})^{-1}$. To this end, let us assume that $z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$. Then,

$$R_F(Q(z)) = R_F(\check{Q}(\Phi^{-1}(z))) = \check{Q}(\check{J}(\Phi^{-1}(z))).$$

On the other hand,

$$Q(J(z)) = \check{Q}(\Phi^{-1}(\Phi(\check{J}(\Phi^{-1}(z))))) = \check{Q}(\check{J}(\Phi^{-1}(z))).$$

Hence, $R_F \circ Q = Q \circ J$ on $\overline{\mathfrak{D}}$.

The conformal conjugacy between R_F and F_d (on appropriate sets) shows that R_F admits local holomorphic extensions around all points of $\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\mathfrak{s}\}$, but does not admit such an extension around \mathfrak{s} . The description of R_F in terms of Q and J now implies that 1 is the unique critical point of Q on $\partial\mathfrak{D}$. Since $\partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\mathfrak{s}\}$ is a non-singular real-analytic curve, we conclude that $\partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \{1\}$ is also a non-singular real-analytic curve.

Finally, we need to argue that Q can be chosen to be a polynomial. By construction, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_F)$ is a simply connected domain containing exactly one critical value v_0 of R_F such that $v_0 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$. Moreover, $R_F^{-1}(v_0)$ is a singleton that is mapped to v_0 by R_F with local degree $d + 1$ (recall that the map F_d has a unique critical point of multiplicity d with associated critical value $0 \in \text{int } \mathfrak{H}_1$). It now follows from the above description of R_F that $Q^{-1}(v_0)$ is also a singleton. We set $Q^{-1}(v_0) = \{c_0\}$, and note that $c_0 \in \mathfrak{D}^* := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$.

After possibly post-composing Q with a Möbius map and pre-composing it with a Möbius map that commutes with J , we can assume that $c_0 = v_0 = \infty$. With these normalizations, the map Q is a degree $d + 1$ polynomial. \square

Second proof. We will now give another proof of the characterization of R_F as a B-involution. The proof uses a conformal welding construction. A more general result proved using a similar strategy can be found in [LLM24, §14].

The fact that σ is a conformal involution preserving C_1 implies that F_d extends as a conformal involution in a neighborhood of $\partial\mathfrak{H}_1$, where the boundary is taken in \mathbb{D} (see Section 4). As $R_F : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \setminus K(R_F) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(R_F)$ is conformally conjugate to $F_d : \mathbb{D} \setminus \text{int } \mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{D}$, it follows that R_F also extends to a conformal involution around $\partial^0\mathcal{U} := \partial\mathcal{U} \setminus \{\mathbf{s}\}$. In particular, $R_F : \partial\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{U}$ is an order two homeomorphism preserving \mathbf{s} .

Let $\varphi : \bar{\mathbb{D}} \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{U}}$ be a homeomorphism that is conformal on \mathbb{D} . We normalize φ so that $\varphi(1) = \mathbf{s}$. We define an orientation-reversing involution $\check{J} : \mathbb{S}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ as $\check{J} = \varphi^{-1} \circ R_F \circ \varphi$ (recall that $R_F : \partial\mathcal{U} \rightarrow \partial\mathcal{U}$ is orientation-reversing since $F_d : \partial\mathfrak{H}_1 \rightarrow \partial\mathfrak{H}_1$ is so). Note that $\check{J}(1) = 1$. As $\partial^0\mathcal{U}$ is non-singular real-analytic, the map φ extends to a conformal map from a neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$ to that of $\partial^0\mathcal{U}$. Conjugating the restriction of R_F to a neighborhood of $\partial^0\mathcal{U}$ by φ , we obtain a conformal extension of \check{J} to a neighborhood of $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$. By construction, this extended \check{J} is an orientation-reversing involution.

We now weld the bordered Riemann surface $\bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}$ with itself using the conformal involution \check{J} as the boundary identification map. The resulting (non-compact) Riemann surface is denoted by Σ . By construction, the surface Σ is homeomorphic to a plane. Further, there exist homeomorphisms $\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2 : \bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\} \rightarrow \Sigma$ such that

- (1) $\mathbf{u}_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$, is conformal on \mathbb{D} ,
- (2) $\mathbf{u}_1(\mathbb{D}) \cap \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbb{D}) = \emptyset$,
- (3) $\mathbf{u}_1(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}) = \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\})$ is a non-singular real-analytic curve (which we denote by Γ),
- (4) $\mathbf{u}_1(w) = \mathbf{u}_2(\check{J}(w))$, for $w \in \mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$, and
- (5) $\Sigma = \mathbf{u}_1(\bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}) \cup \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbb{D})$.

Let us now define a map

$$\tilde{J} : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma, \quad \tilde{J} = \begin{cases} \mathbf{u}_2 \circ \mathbf{u}_1^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbf{u}_1(\bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}), \\ \mathbf{u}_1 \circ \mathbf{u}_2^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbb{D}). \end{cases}$$

The relations $\mathbf{u}_1 = \mathbf{u}_2 \circ \check{J}$ and $\check{J}^{\circ 2} = \text{id}$ (on $\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}$) imply that the piecewise definitions of \tilde{J} match continuously along Γ . Moreover, \tilde{J} is easily seen to be holomorphic, and hence a conformal involution on Σ . We also note that \mathbf{u}_1 conjugates $\tilde{J}|_{\Gamma}$ to the involution $\check{J}|_{\mathbb{S}^1 \setminus \{1\}}$.

Finally, we define a holomorphic map $Q : \Sigma \setminus \mathbf{u}_2(\varphi^{-1}(R_F^{-1}(\mathbf{s}))) \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\mathbf{s}\}$ as follows:

$$Q = \begin{cases} \varphi \circ \mathbf{u}_1^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbf{u}_1(\bar{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{1\}), \\ R_F \circ (\varphi \circ \mathbf{u}_1^{-1}) \circ \tilde{J} = R_F \circ \varphi \circ \mathbf{u}_2^{-1} & \text{on } \mathbf{u}_2(\mathbb{D}) \setminus \mathbf{u}_2(\varphi^{-1}(R_F^{-1}(\mathbf{s}))). \end{cases}$$




FIGURE 15. Illustrated is the conformal welding construction.

The facts that $R_F : R_F^{-1}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ and $R_F : R_F^{-1}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$ are proper maps of degree d and $d + 1$ (respectively), and $R_F : R_F^{-1}(\partial^0 \mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \partial^0 \mathcal{U}$ is a covering of degree $d + 1$, imply that Q is a degree $d + 1$ branched covering. As the punctured sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \{\mathbf{s}\}$ is a finite type Riemann surface, it follows that $\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{u}_2(\varphi^{-1}(R_F^{-1}(\mathbf{s})))$ must also be a finite type Riemann surface. Hence, $\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{u}_2(\varphi^{-1}(R_F^{-1}(\mathbf{s})))$ is also a punctured sphere. This means that Σ is biholomorphic to the complex plane.

Since Q is of finite degree, it extends holomorphically to the punctures of $\Sigma \setminus \mathbf{u}_2(\varphi^{-1}(R_F^{-1}(\mathbf{s})))$. This produces a holomorphic map Q of the Riemann sphere, and hence Q is a rational map of degree $d + 1$. Similarly, as Σ is (biholomorphic to) the complex plane, \tilde{J} extends to $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ as a Möbius involution that fixes the unique puncture of Σ . After possibly a change of coordinates, we can assume that the puncture of Σ is at 1 and $\tilde{J} = J$.

With the above normalization, $\bar{\Gamma} := \Gamma \cup \{1\}$ is a Jordan curve in the Riemann sphere, and J is an orientation-reversing self-homeomorphism of $\bar{\Gamma}$. Hence, J must have exactly two fixed points (namely, ± 1) on $\bar{\Gamma}$.

We set $\mathcal{D} := \mathbf{u}_1(\mathbb{D})$ and note that \mathcal{D} is one of components of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\Gamma}$. Finally, the definition of Q and the above discussion imply that

$$Q|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}} = R_F|_{\mathcal{U}} \circ Q|_{\mathcal{D}} \circ J|_{\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \bar{\mathcal{D}}} \implies R_F|_{\mathcal{U}} = Q \circ J \circ (Q|_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1}.$$

Assertions (3) and (4) can now be proved as in the first proof. \square

6.2.3. Dynamics of B -involutions and associated correspondences. Let Q be a rational map of degree $(d + 1)$ and \mathcal{D} a Jordan disc satisfying the conditions of Definition 6.2.

We recall the notation $\mathfrak{S} := Q(\mathfrak{P}) \in \partial \mathcal{U}$, and $S := Q \circ J \circ (Q|_{\mathcal{D}})^{-1} : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$.

Note that $\partial \mathcal{U} \setminus \mathfrak{S}$ is a union of non-singular real-analytic curves. We define the *fundamental tile*

$$T^0(S) := \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{U} \cup \mathfrak{S})$$

and the *escaping/tiling set*

$$T^\infty(S) := \bigcup_{n \geq 0} S^{-n}(T^0(S)).$$

For any $n \geq 0$, the connected components of $S^{-n}(T^0(S))$ are called *tiles* of rank n . Two distinct tiles have disjoint interior. Further, the boundary of the rank zero tile (namely, $T^0(S)$) in $T^\infty(S)$ is contained in the boundary of the rank one tiles.

The *non-escaping set* $K(S)$ is defined as the complement of the tiling set in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. We further set

$$\Omega := Q^{-1}(T^\infty(S)), \text{ and } \mathcal{K} := Q^{-1}(K(S)).$$

The common boundary of the non-escaping set $K(S)$ and the tiling set $T^\infty(S)$ is called the *limit set* of S , denoted by $\Lambda(S)$. Finally, recall the notation $\mathfrak{D}^* = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$.

Proposition 6.4. *The tiling set $T^\infty(S)$ is an open set. The non-escaping set $K(S)$ is closed.*

Proof. Let E^k stand for the union of the tiles of rank 0 through k . By definition, if $z \in T^\infty(S)$ belongs to the interior of a rank k tile, then $z \in \text{int } E^k$. We claim that if $z \in T^\infty(S)$ belongs to the boundary of a rank k tile, then z lies in $\text{int } E^{k+1}$. Indeed, as the boundary of the rank zero tile (in $T^\infty(S)$) is contained in the boundary of the rank one tiles, it follows that the outer boundary of the rank k tiles (viewed from $T^0(S)$) is contained in the inner boundary of the rank $k+1$ tiles. Hence,

$$T^\infty(S) = \bigcup_{k \geq 0} \text{int } E^k;$$

i.e., $T^\infty(S)$ is an increasing union of open sets. \square

We define the $d : d$ holomorphic correspondence $\mathcal{G} \subset \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \times \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$(4) \quad (z, w) \in \mathcal{G} \iff \frac{Q(w) - Q(J(z))}{w - J(z)} = 0.$$

The next result shows that the correspondence \mathcal{G} is obtained by lifting S and its backward branches.

Proposition 6.5. *1) For $z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$, we have that $(z, w) \in \mathcal{G} \iff Q(w) = S(Q(z)), w \neq J(z)$.*

2) For $z \in \mathfrak{D}^$, we have that $(z, w) \in \mathcal{G} \implies S(Q(w)) = Q(z), w \neq J(z)$.*

Proof. Let us first consider $z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$. For such z , we have $S(Q(z)) = Q(J(z))$. Hence, for $z \in \overline{\mathfrak{D}}$,

$$(z, w) \in \mathcal{G} \iff Q(w) = Q(J(z)) = S(Q(z)), w \neq J(z).$$

Now let $z \in \mathfrak{D}^*$. For such z , we have that $S(Q(J(z))) = Q(z)$. Therefore, for $z \in \mathfrak{D}^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} (z, w) \in \mathcal{G} &\iff Q(w) = Q(J(z)), w \neq J(z) \\ &\implies S(Q(w)) = S(Q(J(z))) = Q(z), w \neq J(z). \end{aligned}$$

\square

The tiles of rank n in Ω are defined as Q -preimages of tiles of rank n in $T^\infty(S)$. If Q has no critical value in a simply connected rank n tile (of $T^\infty(S)$), then it lifts to $(d+1)$ rank n tiles in Ω (each of which is mapped univalently under Q).




FIGURE 16. The map Q mediates between the S -plane and the \mathcal{G} -plane.

Proposition 6.6. 1) Each of the sets Ω and \mathcal{K} is completely invariant under the correspondence \mathcal{G} . More precisely, if $(z, w) \in \mathcal{G}$, then

$$z \in \Omega \iff w \in \Omega,$$

and

$$z \in \mathcal{K} \iff w \in \mathcal{K}.$$

2) $J(\Omega) = \Omega$, and $J(\mathcal{K}) = \mathcal{K}$.

Proof. This is immediate from the definitions of the sets (cf. [MM23, Proposition 5.1]). \square

Let us set $\mathcal{K}_+ := \mathcal{K} \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ and $\mathcal{K}_- := \mathcal{K} \setminus \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 6.7.

- (1) $\mathcal{K}_- \cap \partial\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{K}_+ \cap \partial\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{K}_- \cap \mathcal{K}_+ = \mathfrak{P}$.
- (2) $\mathcal{K}_+ = J(\mathcal{K}_-)$.
- (3) Q carries \mathcal{K}_+ (respectively, \mathcal{K}_-) homeomorphically (respectively, as a degree d branched cover) onto $K(S)$.

Proof. 1) By definition, $\mathcal{K}_\pm \cap \partial\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \partial\mathcal{D} : Q(z) \in K(S)\}$. By construction, $\partial\mathcal{U} = Q(\partial\mathcal{D})$ meets $K(S)$ precisely at the finite set \mathfrak{S} . Hence, $\mathcal{K}_\pm \cap \partial\mathcal{D} = (Q|_{\partial\mathcal{D}})^{-1}(\mathfrak{S}) = \mathfrak{P}$. Since $\mathcal{K}_+ \cap \mathcal{K}_- \subset \partial\mathcal{D}$, it now follows that $\mathcal{K}_+ \cap \mathcal{K}_- = \mathfrak{P}$.

2) The J -invariance of \mathcal{K} implies that $J(\mathcal{K} \cap \mathcal{D}) = \mathcal{K} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{D}}$. By hypothesis, $J(\mathfrak{P}) = \mathfrak{P}$. The result now follows from these facts and the description of $\mathcal{K}_\pm \cap \partial\mathcal{D}$ given in the previous part.

3) As Q is a homeomorphism from $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ onto $\overline{\mathcal{U}}$ and $K(S) \subset \overline{\mathcal{U}}$, it follows that $\mathcal{K}_+ = Q^{-1}(K(S)) \cap \overline{\mathcal{D}} = (Q|_{\overline{\mathcal{D}}})^{-1}(K(S))$. Hence, Q carries \mathcal{K}_+ homeomorphically onto $K(S)$. Since Q is a global branched covering of degree $d+1$, it now follows that it maps $\mathcal{K}_- = Q^{-1}(K(S)) \setminus \mathcal{D}$ as a degree d branched cover onto $K(S)$. \square

Proposition 6.8. 1) \mathcal{K}_- is forward invariant, and hence, \mathcal{K}_+ is backward invariant under \mathcal{G} .

2) \mathcal{G} has a forward branch carrying \mathcal{K}_+ onto itself with degree d , and this branch is conformally conjugate to $S : K(S) \rightarrow K(S)$.

The remaining forward branches of \mathcal{G} on \mathcal{K} carry \mathcal{K}_+ onto \mathcal{K}_- .

3) \mathcal{G} has a backward branch carrying \mathcal{K}_- onto itself with degree d , and this branch is conformally conjugate to $S : K(S) \rightarrow K(S)$.

Proof. The proof of [LMM23, Proposition 2.6] applies verbatim to the holomorphic setting. \square

6.2.4. *Correspondences as mating.* Note that a B-involution $S : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ restricts to the pinched polynomial-like map $(S|_{\overline{S^{-1}(\mathcal{U})}}, \overline{S^{-1}(\mathcal{U})}, \bar{\mathcal{U}})$ with filled Julia set $K(S)$. Via the uniformizing rational map $Q : \mathfrak{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$, this pinched polynomial-like restriction of S gives rise to a natural pinched polynomial-like restriction for the $d : 1$ forward branch of the correspondence \mathcal{G} carrying \mathcal{K}_+ onto itself.

Convention: We will identify a B-involution with the above choice of pinched polynomial-like restrictions when discussing hybrid conjugacies.

Theorem 6.9. *Let $R \in \mathcal{B}_d$. Then, there exists a polynomial map Q of degree $d + 1$ and a closed Jordan disc $\bar{\mathfrak{D}}$ satisfying the conditions*

- (1) $1, -1 \in \partial\mathfrak{D}$,
- (2) $J(\partial\mathfrak{D}) = \partial\mathfrak{D}$,
- (3) $\partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \{1\}$ is a non-singular real-analytic curve,
- (4) $Q'(1) = 0$ and $Q'(z) \neq 0$ for $z \in \partial\mathfrak{D} \setminus \{1\}$, and
- (5) $Q|_{\bar{\mathfrak{D}}}$ is injective,

such that the associated holomorphic correspondence $\mathcal{F} := \mathcal{G}^{-1}$ on $\hat{\mathbb{C}}$, where \mathcal{G} is defined by the algebraic curve of Equation (4), is a mating of the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} and the rational map R .

Proof. Let $R_F : \bar{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathbb{C}}$ be the map produced by Lemma 5.3, and Q, \mathfrak{D} be as in Lemma 6.3. Let \mathcal{G} be the associated correspondence of bi-degree $d:d$ (see Section 6.2.3).

In light of Definition 1.1, Lemma 6.7, and Propositions 6.6 and 6.8, we only need to show that the d branches of \mathcal{G} on Ω are conformally conjugate to the actions of the generators β_1, \dots, β_d of \mathcal{H}_{d+1} on \mathbb{D} (see Section 4.2.1).

Recall that R_F is a B-involution associated with the domain \mathcal{U} such that $T^0(R_F) = \hat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\mathcal{U} \cup \mathbf{s})$, $K(R_F) = \kappa(K(R))$, and $T^\infty(R_F) = \kappa(\mathcal{A}(R))$ (where κ is the quasiconformal homeomorphism of Lemma 5.3).

The polynomial $Q : (\Omega, \infty) \rightarrow (T^\infty(R_F), \infty)$ is a branched cover of degree $(d + 1)$ with a critical point of multiplicity d . By the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, Ω is a simply connected domain. Hence,

$$Q : \Omega \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow T^\infty(R_F) \setminus \{\infty\}$$

is a $(d + 1)$ -to-1 covering map between topological annuli, and is thus a regular cover with deck transformation group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/(d + 1)\mathbb{Z}$.

Let τ be a generator of the above deck transformation group. Then,

$$\tau : \Omega \setminus \{\infty\} \rightarrow \Omega \setminus \{\infty\}$$

is a biholomorphism such that $\tau(z) \rightarrow \infty$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$. We extend τ to a biholomorphism τ of Ω by setting $\tau(\infty) = \infty$. Then, the d forward branches

of the correspondence \mathcal{G} on Ω are given by the conformal automorphisms $\tau \circ J, \dots, \tau^{\circ d} \circ J$.

We will now show that there exists a conformal map $\mathbb{D} \rightarrow \Omega$ that conjugates the standard generators σ, ρ of the Hecke group \mathcal{H}_{d+1} to the conformal automorphisms J, τ .

Let $\mathfrak{X} : (\mathbb{D}, 0) \rightarrow (T^\infty(R_F), \infty)$ be the conformal conjugacy between F_d and R_F . Since the maps

$$\theta_1 : (\mathbb{D}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{D}, 0) \quad \text{and} \quad Q : (\Omega, \infty) \rightarrow (T^\infty(R_F), \infty)$$

are degree $(d+1)$ branched coverings that are fully branched over $0, \infty$ (respectively), we can lift \mathfrak{X} to a biholomorphism $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}} : (\mathbb{D}, 0) \rightarrow (\Omega, \infty)$. We normalize $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ so that it maps C_1 onto $\partial\mathcal{D} \setminus \{1\}$. By construction, $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ maps Π onto $\mathcal{T}^0 := Q^{-1}(T^0(R_F))$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\mathbb{D}, 0) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}} & (\Omega, \infty) \\ \theta_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow Q \\ (\mathbb{D}, 0) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{X}} & (T^\infty(R_F), \infty) \end{array}$$

As θ_1, Q conjugate $\sigma|_{C_1}, J|_{\partial\mathcal{D}}$ to $F_d|_{\partial\mathcal{S}_1}, R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$ (respectively), and \mathfrak{X} conjugates $F_d|_{\partial\mathcal{S}_1}$ to $R_F|_{\partial\mathcal{U}}$, it follows from the above construction that $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ conjugates $\sigma|_{C_1}$ to $J|_{\partial\mathcal{D}}$. By the identity principle, $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ conjugates $\sigma|_{\mathbb{D}}$ to $J|_{\Omega}$.

On the other hand, the map $\tilde{\tau} := \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}^{-1} \circ \tau \circ \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ is a finite order conformal automorphism of \mathbb{D} fixing the origin. Hence, $\tilde{\tau}$ is a rigid rotation (around 0) of order $d+1$. After possibly replacing τ with some iterate of it, we can assume that $\tilde{\tau} \equiv \rho : z \mapsto \omega z$, where $\omega = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{d+1}}$.

It follows that $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}$ conjugates the generators σ and ρ of \mathcal{H}_{d+1} to J and τ , respectively. \square

6.3. Dictionary between Sections 6.1 and 6.2. The two proofs of the main theorem given in Sections 6.1 and 6.2 are independent of each other. However, key roles are played by the same spaces and maps. The following table is a post facto dictionary between the two sections, where the objects on the left and the right are the same up to a Möbius change of coordinates.

Spaces and maps in Section 6.1	Spaces and maps in Section 6.2
J	J
P	Q
$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+$	$\overline{\mathcal{D}}$
$\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_- = J(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+)$	$\overline{\mathcal{D}}^* = J(\overline{\mathcal{D}})$
Riemann sphere $\Sigma := \Delta_P / \sim$	Riemann sphere $\hat{\mathcal{C}}$ on which R_F is defined
$P(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+) \subset \Sigma$	$\overline{\mathcal{U}} = Q(\overline{\mathcal{D}}) \subset \hat{\mathcal{C}}$
$P \circ J \circ (P _{\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+})^{-1} : P(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+) \rightarrow \Sigma$ (noting that P is injective on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+$)	$R_F = Q \circ J \circ (Q _{\overline{\mathcal{D}}})^{-1} : \overline{\mathcal{U}} \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{C}}$ (noting that Q is injective on $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$)
Tile $P(\tilde{\psi}^{-1}T) = \Sigma \setminus P(\tilde{\mathcal{S}}_+)$	(Open) fundamental tile $\text{int } T^0(S) = \hat{\mathcal{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathcal{U}}$
Correspondence $\mathcal{F} = J \circ \text{Cov}_0^P$ $= J \circ (P^{-1} \circ P \setminus \text{Id})$	Correspondence \mathcal{G}^{-1} , where $\mathcal{G} = \text{Cov}_0^Q \circ J = (Q^{-1} \circ Q \circ J) \setminus J$
Figure 13	Figure 16

REFERENCES

- [AS76] D. Aharonov and H. S. Shapiro. Domains on which analytic functions satisfy quadrature identities. *J. Analyse Math.*, 30:39–73, 1976.
- [Bea95] A. F. Beardon. The geometry of discrete groups. Corrected reprint of the 1983 original. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 91. Springer–Verlag, New York, 1995.
- [BF03] S. Bullett and M. Freiburger. Hecke groups, polynomial maps and matings. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. B*, 17:3922–3931, 2003.
- [BF05] S. Bullett and M. Freiburger. Holomorphic correspondences mating Chebyshev-like maps with Hecke groups. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 25:1057–1090, 2005.
- [BH07] S. Bullett and P. Haïssinsky. Pinching holomorphic correspondences. *Conform. Geom. Dyn.*, 11:65–89, 2007.
- [BH00] S. Bullett, and W. Harvey. Mating quadratic maps with Kleinian groups via quasiconformal surgery. *Electronic Research Announcements of the AMS*, 6: 21–30, 2000.
- [BL20a] S. Bullett and L. Lomonaco. Mating quadratic maps with the modular group II. *Invent. Math.*, 220:185–210, 2020.
- [BL20b] S. Bullett and L. Lomonaco. Mating quadratic maps with the modular group III: the modular Mandelbrot set. arXiv:2010.04273, 2020.
- [BP94] S. Bullett and C. Penrose. Mating quadratic maps with the modular group. *Invent. Math.*, 115:483–511, 1994.
- [DH85] A. Douady and J. H. Hubbard. On the dynamics of polynomial-like mappings. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Sup.*, 18:287–343, 1985.
- [Hec35] E. Hecke. Über die Bestimmung Dirichletscher Reihen durch ihre Funktionalgleichungen. *Math. Ann.*, 112:664–699, 1935.
- [IK12] H. Inou and J. Kiwi. Combinatorics and topology of straightening maps, I: Compactness and bijectivity. *Adv. Math.*, 231:2666–2733, 2012.
- [LLMM21] S.-Y. Lee, M. Lyubich, N. G. Makarov, and S. Mukherjee. Schwarz reflections and anti-holomorphic correspondences. *Adv. Math.*, 385:Paper No. 107766, 88, 2021.
- [LLMM23] S.-Y. Lee, M. Lyubich, N. G. Makarov, and S. Mukherjee. Dynamics of Schwarz reflections: the mating phenomena. *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)*, 56:1825–1881, 2023.
- [LPS17] L. Lomonaco, C. L. Petersen and W. Shen. On parabolic external maps. *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37: 5085–5104, 2017.
- [Lom15] L. Lomonaco. Parabolic-like maps. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 35:2171–2197, 2015.
- [Lom14] L. Lomonaco. Parameter space for families of Parabolic-like mappings. *Adv. Math.*, 261:200–219, 2014.
- [Lyu99] M. Lyubich. Feigenbaum-Couillet-Tresser universality and Milnor’s hairiness conjecture. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 149:319–420, 1999.
- [LLM24] Y. Luo, M. Lyubich, and S. Mukherjee. A general dynamical theory of Schwarz reflections, B-involutions, and algebraic correspondences. In preparation, 2024.
- [Lyu24] M. Lyubich. Conformal geometry and dynamics of quadratic polynomials, vol i-ii. <http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/~mlyubich/book.pdf>, 2024.
- [LMM23] M. Lyubich, J. Mazor, and S. Mukherjee. Antiholomorphic correspondences and mating I: realization theorems. To appear in *Comm. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2303.02459, 2023.
- [LMMN23] M. Lyubich, S. Merenkov, S. Mukherjee, and D. Ntalampekos. David extension of circle homeomorphisms, welding, mating, and removability. To appear in *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, arXiv:2010.11256v2, 2023.
- [Mak93] P. Makienko. Pinching and plumbing deformations of quadratic rational maps. IC/93/32, International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy, 1993, https://inis.iaea.org/collection/NCLCollectionStore/_Public/24/036/24036519.pdf.

- [McM88] C. McMullen, *Automorphisms of rational maps*, In Holomorphic functions and moduli, vol. I (Berkeley, CA, 1986), 31–60. Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 10, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [Mil06] J. Milnor. *Dynamics in one complex variable*, volume 160 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, third edition, 2006.
- [MM23] M. Mj and S. Mukherjee. Matings, holomorphic correspondences, and a Bers slice. arXiv:2304.12699, 2023.
- [PR21] C. L. Petersen and P. Roesch. The Parabolic Mandelbrot Set. arXiv:2107.09407, 2021.
- [Pom92] C. Pommerenke. *Boundary behaviour of conformal maps*, volume 299 of *Grundlehren Math. Wiss.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992, x+300 pp.
- [Lau25] M. Ratis Laude. Surgery in complex dynamics: continuity, circle packing matings, and optimality. Thesis (PhD). Impa, 2025.
- [War42] S. E. Warschawski. On conformal mapping of infinite strips. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 51(2):280–335, 1942.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, QUEEN MARY UNIVERSITY OF LONDON, LONDON E1 4NS, UK

Email address: `s.r.bullett@qmul.ac.uk`

INSTITUTO DE MATEMÁTICA PURA E APLICADA, ESTRADA DONA CASTORINA 110, JARDIM BOTÂNICO, RIO DE JANEIRO, RJ, CEP 22460-320, BRAZIL

Email address: `luna@impa.br`

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, STONY BROOK UNIVERSITY, 100 NICOLLS RD, STONY BROOK, NY 11794-3660, USA

Email address: `mlyubich@math.stonybrook.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH, 1 HOMI BHABHA ROAD, MUMBAI 400005, INDIA

Email address: `sabya@math.tifr.res.in`