# Two Infinite Families of Solutions for Singular Superlinear Equations on Exterior Domains

Abdeali Diwan, Joseph Iaia

University of North Texas

July 22, 2024

#### Abstract

In this paper, we study radial solutions of  $\Delta u + K(|x|)f(u) = 0$  in the exterior of the ball of radius R > 0 in  $\mathbb{R}^N$  with N > 2 where f grows superlinearly at infinity and is singular at 0 with  $f \sim \frac{1}{|u|^{q-1}u}$  where 0 < q < 1. We also assume  $K(r) \sim |r|^{-\alpha}$  for large r and establish the existence of two infinite families of solutions when  $N + q(N-2) < \alpha < 2(N-1)$ .

# 1 Introduction

In this paper, we are interested in radial solutions of

$$\Delta u + K(|x|)f(u) = 0 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^N \backslash B_R, \tag{1}$$

$$u|_{\partial B_R} = 0, \lim_{|x| \to \infty} u(x) = 0 \tag{2}$$

when N > 2 and where  $B_R$  is the ball of radius R > 0 centered at the origin. Assuming u(x) = u(|x|) = u(r) this becomes

$$u'' + \frac{N-1}{r}u' + K(r)f(u) = 0 \text{ for } r > R,$$
(3)

$$u(R) = 0, \lim_{r \to \infty} u(r) = 0.$$
(4)

We make the following assumptions on f. We assume  $f : \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$  is odd, locally Lipschitz, and

$$f(u) = |u|^{p-1}u + g(u) \text{ for } p > 1 \text{ where } \lim_{|u| \to \infty} \frac{g(u)}{|u|^p} = 0, \tag{H1}$$

$$f(u) = \frac{1}{|u|^{q-1}u} + g_1(u) \text{ for } 0 < q < 1 \text{ and } g_1 \text{ is locally Lipschitz with } g_1(0) = 0.$$
(H2)

We also define  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t) dt$ . Since f is integrable at 0 it follows that F is continuous and F(0) = 0. In addition, since f is odd then F is even and since f(u) > 0 for u > 0 it follows that F(u) > 0 for  $u \neq 0$ . We also assume K > 0 and K' are continuous on  $[R, \infty)$  and there are positive constants  $K_0, K_1$ , and  $\alpha$  such that

$$K_0 r^{-\alpha} \le K \le K_1 r^{-\alpha}, \ 2(N-1) > -\frac{rK'}{K}, \ \text{and} \ \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{rK'}{K} = -\alpha \text{ with } N + q(N-2) < \alpha < 2(N-1).$$
(H3)

Main Theorem: Under the assumptions (H1)-(H3) there exist two infinite families of sign-changing solutions to (3)-(4) on  $[R, \infty)$ .

Numerous papers have proved the existence of *positive* solutions of these equations with various nonlinearities f(u) and for various functions  $K(|x|) \sim |x|^{-\alpha}$  with  $\alpha > 0$ . See for example [4]-[5]. We will prove the existence of two infinite families of *sign-changing* solutions.

## 2 Preliminaries

Let R > 0 and define  $R_1 = R^{2-N}$ . We begin our analysis by first making the change of variables  $u(r) = v(r^{2-N}) = v(t)$  to (3)-(4) and obtaining

$$v''(t) + h(t)f(v(t)) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < t < R_1,$$
(5)

$$v(0) = 0, v(R_1) = 0 \tag{6}$$

where

$$h(t) = \frac{t^{\frac{2(N-1)}{2-N}} K(t^{\frac{1}{2-N}})}{(N-2)^2}.$$
(7)

It follows from (H3) that h' < 0 and  $\lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{th'}{h} = -\tilde{\alpha}$  where  $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{2(N-1)-\alpha}{N-2}$ . In addition, (H3) implies there exist positive constants  $h_0$  and  $h_1$  such that

$$h_0 t^{-\tilde{\alpha}} \le h \le h_1 t^{-\tilde{\alpha}} \text{ on } (0, R_1].$$
(8)

Also from (H3) it follows that

$$0 < \tilde{\alpha} < 1 - q < 1. \tag{9}$$

We now attempt to solve the initial value problem

$$v'' + h(t)f(v) = 0 \text{ for } 0 < t < R_1,$$
(10)

$$v(0) = 0, \ v'(0) = a > 0 \tag{11}$$

and then try to find values of a so that  $v(R_1) = 0$ .

## 3 Existence of Solutions

#### **3.1. Integral Equation Formulation**

First, we prove the existence of a solution of equations (10)-(11) on  $[0, \epsilon]$  for some  $\epsilon > 0$ . In order to do this, we reformulate (10)-(11) as an appropriate integral equation. Assuming v is a solution of (10)-(11), then integrating (10) on (0, t) and using (11) gives

$$v' + \int_0^t h(s)f(v) \, ds = a. \tag{12}$$

Integrating again on (0, t) gives

$$v + \int_0^t \int_0^s h(x)f(v) \, dx \, ds = at.$$
(13)

To ensure the integral in (12)-(13) is defined, we observe it follows from (11) that for sufficiently small t > 0

$$\frac{at}{2} < v < at.$$

Furthermore, from conditions (H1)-(H2), it follows that there exists a constant  $f_1 > 0$  such that  $0 < f(v) \le f_1(v^{-q} + v^p)$  for v > 0. Also, it follows from this and (8) that for v > 0

$$0 < h(t)f(v(t)) \le f_1 h_1(t^{-\tilde{\alpha}}v^{-q} + t^{-\tilde{\alpha}}v^p) \le f_1 h_1\left(\left(\frac{a}{2}\right)^{-q}t^{-\tilde{\alpha}-q} + a^p t^{-\tilde{\alpha}+p}\right).$$
 (14)

It follows from (9) and (H1) that  $1 - \tilde{\alpha} - q > 0$  and  $1 - \tilde{\alpha} + p > 0$ . Thus it follows from (14) that h(t)f(v) is integrable near t = 0, and thus the integrals in (12) and (13) are defined and are continuous functions.

#### 3.2. Contraction Mapping Principle

Using (H2), we see that (13) is equivalent to

$$v + \int_0^t \int_0^s h(x) \left(\frac{1}{v^q} + g_1(v)\right) \, dx \, ds = at.$$
(15)

Substituting v = tw into (15) gives

$$w = a - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_0^s h(x) \left(\frac{1}{x^q w^q} + g_1(xw)\right) \, dx \, ds.$$
(16)

We also define w(0) = a.

Next let  $\epsilon > 0$ , a > 0, and

$$X = \{ w \in C[0, \epsilon] \, | \, w(0) = a \text{ and } \| w - a \| \le \frac{a}{2} \}$$

where  $C[0,\epsilon]$  is the set of continuous functions on  $[0,\epsilon]$  and  $\|\cdot\|$  is the supremum norm, i.e.

$$||w|| = \sup_{[0,\epsilon]} |w(x)|.$$

It is well-known that  $(X, \|\cdot\|)$  is a Banach space [1]. We now define  $T: X \to C[0, \epsilon]$ 

$$Tw = a - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \int_0^s h(x) \left(\frac{1}{x^q w^q} + g_1(xw)\right) \, dx \, ds.$$

It can be shown that  $T: X \to X$  and T is a contraction for  $\epsilon$  sufficiently small, say for  $\epsilon = \epsilon_0$ . These details are carried out in [2].

The contraction mapping principle then ensures the existence of a unique w in X such that Tw = w, by choosing any function  $w_1$  in X and then defining

$$w_{n+1} = T(w_n).$$

Using the fact that T is a contraction it follows that  $\{w_n\}$  forms a Cauchy sequence in X and hence converges to some  $w \in X$  with

$$w = \lim_{n \to \infty} w_{n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} T(w_n) = Tw.$$

Thus w is a fixed point of T on  $[0, \epsilon_0]$ .

Finally letting v = tw then we see that v is a solution of (10)-(11) on  $[0, \epsilon_0]$ .

We henceforth denote this solution as  $v_a$ .

#### **3.3.** Existence and Uniqueness of Solutions on $[0, R_1]$

We now show that the solution  $v_a$  is defined on all of  $[0, R_1]$ . Let

$$E = \frac{1}{2}v_a^{\prime 2} + hF(v_a) \tag{17}$$

and notice it follows from (10) that

$$E' = h'F(v_a) \le 0. \tag{18}$$

Hence it follows that E is nonincreasing and so integrating this on [0, t] gives

$$\frac{1}{2}v_a^{\prime 2} + hF(v_a) \le \frac{1}{2}a^2.$$
(19)

Since  $F(v_a) \ge 0$  it follows from (19) that  $v_a$  and  $v'_a$  are defined and bounded on the largest interval of existence  $[0,T] \subset [0,R_1]$  where they are defined. Since this upper bound is independent of T it follows then that  $v_a$  and  $v'_a$  are defined and continuous on all of  $[0,R_1]$ . In addition, it follows that  $v_a$  and  $v'_a$ vary continuously with a > 0.

### 4 Behavior of Solutions for Small Values of a

**Lemma 4.1**: Assume (H1)-(H3) and suppose  $v_a$  satisfies (10)-(11). If a > 0 is sufficiently small, then  $v_a$  has a first zero,  $z_{a,1}$ , with  $0 < z_{a,1} < R_1$  and  $\lim_{a \to 0^+} z_{a,1} = 0$ . In addition,  $\lim_{a \to 0^+} |v'_a(z_{a,1})| = 0$ .

**Proof**: Let us suppose by way of contradiction that  $|v_a| > 0$  on  $(0, R_1]$ . Since  $v_a(0) = 0$  and  $v'_a(0) = a > 0$ it follows that  $v_a$  is positive and since  $f(v_a) > 0$  when  $v_a > 0$  it follows from (10) that  $v''_a < 0$ . Inegrating this twice on (0, t) gives

$$0 < v_a < at \tag{20}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{v_a^q} > \frac{1}{a^q t^q}.$$
(21)

It follows from (H1) and (H2) that  $f \to \infty$  as  $v \to \infty$  and since  $g_1$  is continuous it follows that  $g_1(v_a) \ge -C$ for some constant C > 0. Using this and (21) in (12) gives:

$$v'_{a} + \int_{0}^{t} \left( \frac{h_{0}x^{-\tilde{\alpha}-q}}{a^{q}} - Cx^{-\tilde{\alpha}} \right) \, dx \le v'_{a} + \int_{0}^{t} h(s) \left( \frac{1}{v_{a}^{q}} + g_{1}(v_{a}) \right) \, ds = a$$

Carrying out the integration on the left-hand side and using (9) it follows then that

$$v_a' + \frac{h_0 t^{1-\tilde{\alpha}-q}}{a^q(1-\tilde{\alpha}-q)} - \frac{C t^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}}{1-\tilde{\alpha}} \leq a.$$

Integrating again on (0, t) and rewriting gives

$$v_a \le at - \frac{h_0 t^{2-\tilde{\alpha}-q}}{a^q (1-\tilde{\alpha}-q)(2-\tilde{\alpha}-q)} + \frac{Ct^{2-\tilde{\alpha}}}{(1-\tilde{\alpha})(2-\tilde{\alpha})}.$$
(22)

Notice for fixed positive t that if we choose a sufficiently small then the right hand-side of (22) becomes negative contradicting the assumption that  $v_a > 0$ . Thus  $v_a$  has a first zero,  $z_{a,1}$ , for a > 0 sufficiently small. Then evaluating (22) at  $t = z_{a,1}$  and rewriting gives:

$$z_{a,1}^{1-\tilde{\alpha}-q} \le \frac{a^{q+1} + Ca^q z_{a,1}^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}}{h_0} \le \frac{a^{q+1} + Ca^q R_1^{1-\tilde{\alpha}}}{h_0} \to 0 \text{ as } a \to 0^+.$$
(23)

In addition, it follows from (19) that  $v_a^{\prime 2}(z_{a,1}) \leq a^2$  and hence  $\lim_{a \to 0^+} |v_a^{\prime}(z_{a,1})| = 0$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.

In a similar way we can show  $v_a$  has any finite number of zeros if a > 0 is sufficiently small and  $\lim_{a \to 0^+} |v'_a(z_a)| = 0 \text{ at each zero, } z_a, \text{ of } v_a.$ 

## 5 Behavior of Solutions for Large Values of a

**Lemma 5.1**: Assume (H1)-(H3) and suppose  $v_a$  satisfies (10)-(11). Then  $\lim_{a\to\infty} \max_{[0,R_1]} v_a = \infty$ .

**Proof:** Recall  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t) dt$  and suppose now by way of contradiction that  $v_a \leq C_0$  where  $C_0$  is independent of a. Using (20) and (H1)-(H2) then it follows that there are constants  $C_1, C_2$  and  $C_3$  so that

$$F(v_a) \le C_1 v_a^{1-q} + C_2 v_a^{p+1} = v_a^{1-q} \left( C_1 + C_2 v_a^{p-q} \right) \le a^{1-q} t^{1-q} \left( C_1 + C_2 C_1^{p-q} \right) \le C_3 a^{1-q} t^{1-q}.$$
(24)

Next we see that it follows from (17)-(18) that

$$\frac{1}{2}v_a^{\prime 2} + hF(v_a) + \int_0^t (-h'(s))F(v_a)\,ds = \frac{1}{2}a^2.$$
(25)

Using (8) and (24) we see

$$hF(v_a) + \int_0^t (-h'(s))F(v_a) \, ds \le C_3 h_1 a^{1-q} t^{-\tilde{\alpha}} t^{1-q} + \int_0^t 2\tilde{\alpha} C_3 h_1 a^{1-q} s^{-\tilde{\alpha}-1} s^{1-q} \, ds = C_4 a^{1-q} t^{1-\tilde{\alpha}-q} ds$$

where  $C_4 = h_1(C_3 + 2\tilde{\alpha})$ . Using this in (25) we obtain

$$|v_a'| \ge a^{\frac{1-q}{2}} \sqrt{a^{1+q} - C_4 R_1^{1-\tilde{\alpha}-q}}.$$

Thus we see for sufficiently large a that the right-hand side of the above is positive and so  $v_a$  is increasing for a sufficiently large. Then integrating on (0, t) gives

$$C_0 \ge v_a \ge a^{\frac{1-q}{2}} \left( \sqrt{a^{1+q} - C_4 R_1^{1-\tilde{\alpha}-q}} \right) t.$$

Thus for fixed t > 0 and a sufficiently large we see that the right-hand side of the above gets larger than  $C_0$  and so we obtain a contradiction. Thus the lemma holds.

**Lemma 5.2**: Assume (H1)-(H3) and suppose  $v_a$  satisfies (10)-(11). Then  $v_a$  has a local maximum,  $M_a$ , if a > 0 is sufficiently large. In addition,  $M_a \to 0$  and  $|v_a(M_a)| \to \infty$  as  $a \to \infty$ .

**Proof:** Assume by way of contradiction that  $v_a$  is increasing on  $[0, R_1]$  for all a > 0. Then it follows from Lemma 5.1 and for any  $t_0 > 0$  that  $\min_{[t_0, R_1]} v_a(t) = v_a(t_0) \to \infty$  as  $a \to \infty$ . Then we see

$$\min_{[t_0,R_1]} \frac{h(t)f(v_a(t))}{v_a(t)} \ge h(R_1) \min_{[t_0,R_1]} \frac{f(v_a(t))}{v_a(t)} = C_a \to \infty \text{ as } a \to \infty.$$

Rewriting (10) we obtain

$$v_a'' + \left(\frac{h(t)f(v_a)}{v_a}\right)v_a = 0.$$
(26)

Next, let  $t_0 > 0$  and consider

$$y'' + C_a y = 0 \tag{27}$$

with  $y(t_0) = v_a(t_0) > 0$ ,  $y'(t_0) = v'_a(t_0) > 0$ . Now multiply (26) by y, (27) by  $v_a$ , and subtract to obtain

$$(yv'_{a} - y'v_{a})' + \left(\frac{h(t)f(v_{a})}{v_{a}} - C_{a}\right)yv_{a} = 0.$$
(28)

We know that y is a linear combination of  $\sin(\sqrt{C_a}t)$  and  $\cos(\sqrt{C_a}t)$  and as is well-known, any interval of length  $\frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_a}}$  has a zero of y. Next we choose a sufficiently large so that  $\left(\frac{h(t)f(v_a)}{v_a} - C_a\right) > 0$  on  $[0, R_1]$ . Then by assumption we have  $v'_a > 0$  and  $v_a > 0$  on  $(t_0, t_0 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_a}})$  and so integrating (28) on  $(t_0, t)$  gives  $yv'_a - y'v_a < 0$  on  $(t_0, t)$ . It follows then that  $(\frac{y}{v_a})' < 0$  and so we integrate on  $(t_0, t)$  to get  $v_a < y$ on  $(t_0, t_0 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_a}})$ . Also, as mentioned above y has a smallest zero, Z, on  $(t_0, t_0 + \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{C_a}})$  and so we see  $v_a(Z) < y(Z) = 0$ . Now since  $v_a(t_0) > 0$ ,  $v'_a(t_0) > 0$ , and  $v_a(Z) < 0$  it follows that  $v_a$  must have a local maximum on  $(t_0, Z)$  which contradicts our assumption. Thus there is an  $M_a \in (0, R_1)$  at which  $v_a$  has a local maximum if a is sufficiently large.

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that  $v_a(M_a) \to \infty$  as  $a \to \infty$ . Next integrating (10) on  $(t, M_a)$ 

$$v'_{a} = \int_{t}^{M_{a}} hf(v_{a}) \, ds \ge c_{0} \int_{t}^{M_{a}} hv_{a}^{p} \, ds \ge c_{0}v_{a}^{p} \int_{t}^{M_{a}} h \, ds.$$

Dividing by  $v_a^p$  and integrating again gives

$$\frac{v_a^{1-p}(M_a)}{p-1} \ge \frac{v_a^{1-p}(M_a) - v^{1-p}(t)}{p-1} \ge c_0 \int_t^{M_a} \int_s^{M_a} h \, dx \, ds.$$

Now as mentioned above, the left-hand side goes to zero as  $a \to \infty$  and so this is only possible in the above inequality if  $M_a \to 0$  as  $a \to \infty$ .

**Lemma 5.3**: Assume (H1)-(H3) and suppose  $v_a$  satisfies (10)-(11). Then  $v_a$  has a zero  $z_a$  if a > 0 is sufficiently large. Also  $z_a \to 0$  and  $|v'_a(z_a)| \to \infty$  as  $a \to \infty$ .

**Proof:** Assume by way of contradiction that  $v_a(t) > 0$  on  $(M_a, R_1)$ . Now let  $E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2}{h} + F(v_a)$ . It then follows that  $E'_1 = -\frac{v'_a h'}{h^2} \ge 0$  and so

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{v_a'^2}{h} + F(v_a) \ge F(v_a(M_a)) \text{ on } (M_a, R_1).$$

Rewriting, integrating on  $(M_a, t)$ , and changing variables gives

$$\int_{v_a(t)}^{M_a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{F(v_a(M_a)) - F(s)}} \, ds = \int_{M_a}^t \frac{-v_a'(s)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{F(v_a(M_a)) - F(v_a)}} \, ds \ge \int_{M_a}^t \sqrt{h} \, ds.$$

Assuming  $v_a(t) > 0$  on  $(0, R_1)$  it follows then that

$$\int_0^{M_a} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{F(v_a(M_a)) - F(s)}} \, ds \ge \int_{M_a}^{R_1} \sqrt{h} \, ds.$$

After another change of variables we obtain

$$\frac{v_a(M_a)}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{F(v_a(M_a))}} \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{F(v_a(M_a)s)}{F(v_a(M_a))}}} \, ds \ge \int_{M_a}^{R_1} \sqrt{h} \, ds.$$
(29)

However, it follows that since f is superlinear then  $\frac{v_a(M_a)}{\sqrt{F(v_a(M_a))}} \to 0$  as  $a \to \infty$  and by the dominated convergence theorem

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - \frac{F(v_a(M_a)s)}{F(v_a(M_a))}}} \, ds \to \int_0^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - s^{p+1}}} \, ds$$

hence the left-hand side of (29) goes to 0 as  $a \to \infty$  and thus this forces  $\int_0^{R_1} \sqrt{h} \, ds = 0$  which is false. Thus it must be the case that  $v_a$  has a zero,  $z_a$ , on  $(M_a, R_1)$ . Further, evaluating the above integral at  $t = z_a$  gives

$$\int_{0}^{M_{a}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}\sqrt{F(v_{a}(M_{a})) - F(s)}} \, ds \ge \int_{M_{a}}^{z_{a}} \sqrt{h} \, ds.$$

Since the left-hand side goes to 0 as  $a \to \infty$  it follows then that  $z_a - M_a \to 0$  as  $a \to \infty$  and since  $M_a \to 0$ by Lemma 5.2 it follows that  $z_a \to 0$  as  $a \to \infty$ . It follows from this then that if  $M_a < z_a$  then  $F(v_a(M_a) = E_1(M_a) \le E_1(z_a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2(z_a)}{h(z_a)} \le \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2(z_a)}{h(R_1)}$  and since the left-hand side goes to infinity by Lemma 5.2 it follows then that  $|v_a'(z_a)| \to \infty$  as  $a \to \infty$ . In a similar way we can show  $v_a$  has any finite number of zeros if a > 0 is sufficiently large and  $\lim_{a \to \infty} |v_a'(z_a)| = \infty$  at each zero  $z_a$  and  $z_a \to 0$  as  $a \to \infty$ .

## 6 Proof of the Main Theorem

**Lemma 6.1**: Assume (H1)-(H3) and suppose  $v_a$  satisfies (10)-(11). If  $z_a > 0$  and  $v_a(z_a) = 0$  then  $v'_a(z_a) \neq 0$ . In addition,  $v_a$  has a finite number of zeros on  $[0, R_1]$  for each a > 0.

**Proof:** Recall from above that  $E_1 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2}{h} + F(v_a)$  and that  $E'_1 = -\frac{v_a'^2 h'}{h^2} \ge 0$ . Hence integrating on (0, t) we see that  $\frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2}{h} + F(v_a) > 0$  for t > 0. It follows from this then that if  $v_a(z_a) = 0$  and  $z_a > 0$  then  $E_1(z_a) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{v_a'^2(z_a)}{h(z_a)} > 0$  and thus  $v'_a(z_a) \neq 0$ .

It follows from this that  $v_a$  cannot have an infinite number of zeros for if so then there would exist  $z_k$ such that  $0 < z_k < z_{k+1} \le R_1$  such that  $v_a(z_k) = 0$  and there would also exist local extrema,  $M_k$ , with  $z_k < M_k < z_{k+1} \le R_1$  and  $v'_a(M_k) = 0$ . But then the  $z_k$  are increasing and bounded above so there is a  $z^* \le R_1$  such that  $z_k \to z^* \le R_1$ . Also  $M_k \to z^*$  and it follows from continuity that  $v_a(z^*) = v'_a(z^*) = 0$ contradicting the first part of the lemma.

**Proof of the Main Theorem** Let n be a nonnegative integer. Let

$$S_n = \{a | v_a > 0 \text{ has exactly } n \text{ zeros on } (0, R_1) \}.$$

It follows from Lemma 6.1 that there is smallest  $n_0 \ge 0$  such that  $S_{n_0}$  is nonempty. Then let

$$a_{n_0} = \sup S_{n_0}.$$

It can then be show that  $v_{a_{n_0}}$  has exactly  $n_0$  zeros on  $(0, R_1)$  and  $v_{a_{n_0}}(R_1) = 0$ . See [2] for details. Then by continuity with respect to a it follows that if  $a > a_{n_0}$  and a is close to  $a_{n_0}$  that  $v_a$  will have exactly  $n_0 + 1$  zeros on  $(0, R_1)$  and thus  $S_{n_0+1}$  is nonempty. Then letting  $a_{n_0+1} = \sup S_{n_0+1}$  we can similarly show  $v_{a_{n_0}}$  has exactly  $n_0 + 1$  zeros on  $(0, R_1)$  and  $v_{a_{n_0}+1}(R_1) = 0$ . Continuing in this way we can show that there are an infinite number of solutions.

In a similar way we can let

$$a_{n_0^*} = \inf S_{n_0}$$

and proceed to get a second infinite family of solutions.

Finally we set  $u_{a_n}(r) = v_{a_n}(r^{2-N})$  and  $u_{a_{n^*}}(r) = v_{a_{n^*}}(r^{2-N})$  thus we obtain two infinite families of solutions  $u_{a_n}$  and  $u_{a_n^*}$ . This completes the proof of the Main Theorem.

## References

- L. Evans, *Partial Differential Equations*, 2nd ed, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Vol 19, AMS, 2010.
- [2] J. Iaia, Existence of Two Infinite Families of Solutions for Singular Superlinear Equations on Exterior Domains, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations*, Vol. 2024 (2024), No. 06, pp. 1-14.
- [3] J. Iaia, Existence and Nonexistence of Sign-changing Solutions for Singular Superlinear Equations on Exterior Domains, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis, 22(11) 3218-3232, 2023.
- [4] E.K. Lee, R. Shivaji, B. Son, "Positive radial solutions to classes of singular problems on the exterior of a ball," *Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications*, 434, No. 2, 1597-1611, 2016.
- [5] E. Lee, L. Sankar, R. Shivaji, "Positive solutions for infinite semipositone problems on exterior domains," *Differential and Integral Equations*, Volume 24, Number 9/10, 861-875, 2011.

University of North Texas P.O. Box 311430 Denton, Texas 76203 abdealidiwan@my.unt.edu iaia@unt.edu