On the uniform distribution of the zero ordinates of the L-function associated with $\theta(z)^{-3} \eta(2z)^{12}$

Pedro Ribeiro

Abstract

We show that the ordinates of the nontrivial zeros of certain L-functions attached to half-integral weight cusp forms are uniformly distributed modulo one.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	Preliminary results	5
	2.1 Properties of a subclass of cusp forms	5
	2.2 A mean value theorem for $L(s, f)$	8
3	Proof of Landau's formula	18
4	Proof of Theorem 1.1	24

1 Introduction

A sequence of real numbers $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is said to be uniformly distributed modulo 1 if, for any pair of real numbers $0 \le a < b \le 1$, we have the relation

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\# \{n \le N : a \le \{\gamma_n\} < b\}}{N} = b - a, \tag{1.1}$$

* Keywords : Zeros of Dirichlet series, Uniform distribution, Half-integral weight cusp forms

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification : Primary: 11F37, 11F66, 11M41.

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences of University of Porto, Rua do Campo Alegre, 687; 4169-007 Porto (Portugal).

E-mail: pedromanelribeiro1812@gmail.com

where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x. A necessary and sufficient condition for a sequence to be uniformly distributed modulo 1 is Weyl's criterion: it says that a sequence $(\gamma_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies (1.1) if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i m \gamma_n} = \mathrm{o}(N), \qquad (1.2)$$

for every $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$.

The study of the uniform distribution of the ordinates (i.e., the imaginary parts) of the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, $(\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, was inaugurated by Rademacher in 1956 [20]. Rademacher's result, which established the uniform distribution of $(\alpha\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $\alpha \neq 0$, was dependent on the truth of the Riemann hypothesis. Elliott and, later, Hlawka obtained the analogous unconditional result. Hlawka also considered upper bounds for the discrepancy of the sequence $(\alpha\gamma_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, $0 < \gamma_n \leq T$. For more interesting historical developments on this subject, we refer to the introduction of [1] and to the fourth section of [26].

Akbary and Murty extended much of these results to a class of Dirichlet series containing the Selberg class. Their results were also extended in new directions by several authors. For example, Garunkštis, Steuding and Šimėnas proved the uniform distribution of the a-points of the Selberg zeta function [6]. When $a \neq 1$, the study of the uniform distribution of the a-points of some L functions in the Selberg Class was also considered by Jakhlouti, Mazhouda and Steuding [9]. Concerning the Riemann ζ -function, Baluyot and Gonek found discrepancy estimates for the ordinates of its a-points, $(\lambda \gamma_{a,n})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Although the existence of an Euler product is an important feature of the functions in the Selberg class as well as in the class of Dirichlet series considered in [1], it is possible to study the uniform distribution of the zero ordinates of some Dirichlet series that do not possess this property. Regarding the zeros of Epstein zeta functions, Schmeller [24] was able to prove a conditional result concerning their uniform distribution. One may notice, however, that for binary quadratic forms, Schmeller's result is no longer conditional, thanks to a mean value theorem due to Müller [18].

In this paper, we study the uniform distribution of the zero ordinates of L-functions attached to some cusp forms having half-integral weight. To get started, let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and denote by $S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ the space of cusp forms of weight $k + \frac{1}{2}$ on $\Gamma_0(4)$. This means that any element $f(z) \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ satisfies¹

$$f\left(\frac{az+b}{cz+d}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{d}\right)^{2k+1} \epsilon_d^{-2k-1} \left(cz+d\right)^{k+\frac{1}{2}} f(z),$$
(1.3)

whenever $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$. Here, $\begin{pmatrix} c \\ d \end{pmatrix}$ is Shimura's extension of the Jacobi symbol (see [25], p. 442]) and ϵ_d is defined by

$$\epsilon_d = \begin{cases} 1 & d \equiv 1 \mod 4 \\ i & d \equiv 3 \mod 4 \end{cases}.$$
(1.4)

¹Throughout this paper we define $\sqrt{z} = z^{1/2}$ so that $-\frac{\pi}{2} < \arg(z^{1/2}) \le \frac{\pi}{2}$.

The theory of modular forms of half-integral weight was extensively developed by Shimura and we refer to the seminal paper [25] for some classical facts about these modular forms. Since $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(4)$, f(z) has a Fourier expansion of the form

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) e^{2\pi i n z},$$
(1.5)

where $z \in \mathbb{H} = \{w \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im}(w) > 0\}$. We can attach a Dirichlet series L(s, f) to f(z),

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s},$$
(1.6)

and show that, for sufficiently large $\operatorname{Re}(s)$, this series converges absolutely. The usual argument invoked to study the analytic continuation of L-functions of cusp forms with integral weight can also be applied to the half-integral case. Thus, L(s, f) can be analytically continued to the whole complex plane \mathbb{C} as an entire function of s. Moreover, it will satisfy Hecke's functional equation,

$$\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s) \ L(s,f) = \pi^{-\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right) \ L\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f|W_4\right),\tag{1.7}$$

where W_4 denotes the Fricke involution acting on $S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$,

$$(f|W_4)(z) = i^{k+\frac{1}{2}} 2^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} z^{-k-\frac{1}{2}} f\left(-\frac{1}{4z}\right).$$
(1.8)

Yoshida [32] seems to have been the first mathematician to ever study the zeros of L-functions attached to these cusp forms. To illustrate one of Yoshida's examples, let

$$\theta(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i n^2 z}, \quad \eta(z) = e^{\frac{\pi i z}{12}} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{2\pi i n z} \right), \quad z \in \mathbb{H}.$$

Then one can construct [[25], p. 477] a cusp form $g(z) \in S_{\frac{9}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ by taking

$$g(z) := \theta(z)^{-3} \eta(2z)^{12}.$$
(1.9)

Yoshida considered the *L*-function attached to g, L(s, g), and from the calculation of some of its zeros [32], p. 675], he showed that the analogue of the Riemann hypothesis for L(s, g) is false.

Nevertheless, since there are Dirichlet series (such as the Epstein zeta function attached to binary quadratic forms) which do not obey to the Riemann hypothesis but still possess a positive proportion of zeros on the critical line, it is not unreasonable to study, desspite Yoshida's counterexample, some analogues of Hardy's Theorem for this class of L-functions.

As far as we know, the work of J. Meher, S. Pujahari and K. Srinivas [16] contains the first result of Hardy-type for some L-functions attached to cusp forms of half-integral weight. They have proved the following theorem.

Theorem A [16] Suppose that $f(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_f(n) e^{2\pi i n z} \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ is an eigenform for all Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} and for the operator W_4 (1.8). Assume also that all the Fourier coefficients of f(z), $a_f(n)$, are either real or purely imaginary numbers. Then the L-function (1.6) attached to f has infinitely many zeros on the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$.

This result was extended to a larger class of L-functions by J. Meher, S. Pujahari and K. Shankhadhar [15]. For L-functions having twisted coefficients, a generalization of a result of Wilton was provided by a beautiful argument of Kim [10]. The author of this paper [23] proved analogues of the Hardy-Littlewood estimate for the number of critical zeros of the L-functions considered by these authors. In this paper, however, we take the particular class of L-functions studied in [16], and use some particular features of these L-functions that allow to prove the uniform distribution of their zero ordinates.

The assumptions in the Theorem A above allow to rewrite (1.7) in a simpler form. Indeed, since W_4 is an involution, we know that when f is an eigenform for W_4 , $f|W_4(z) = (-1)^{\ell} f(z)$ with $\ell \in \{0, 1\}$. Thus, when f satisfies the conditions of Theorem A, the functional equation of its L-function can be written in the following form

$$\pi^{-s}\Gamma(s) \ L(s,f) = (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{-\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right) \ L\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right).$$
(1.10)

Alongside (1.10), other properties of the class considered by Theorem A will prove to be very important to ensure the uniform distribution of the zeros of their L-functions. The main goal of our paper is to prove the following result.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ be an eigenform for all Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} and the Fricke operator W_4 and let L(s, f) be the associated L-function. Then the imaginary parts of the nontrivial zeros of L(s, f) are uniformly distributed modulo one.

We know that dim $S_{\frac{9}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4)) = 1$ [[25], p. 477], so that g(z) given by (1.9) is an eigenform for all the Hecke operators and satisfies $(g|W_4)(z) = g(z)$. Thus, the zero ordinates of L(s,g) are uniformly distributed modulo one, which justifies the choice of the title for this paper.

Corollary 1.1. Let L(s,g) be the L-function attached to the cusp form $g(z) = \theta(z)^{-3}\eta(2z)^{12} \in S_{\frac{9}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$. Then the ordinates of the nontrivial zeros of L(s,g) are uniformly distributed modulo one.

The proof of our main result relies on the application of Landau's lemma, together with an explicit proof of a density hypothesis on the zeros of L(s, f) (cf. [1]). Our paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we revise some important lemmas about the Fourier coefficients of the cusp forms satisfying the conditions of our Theorem 1.1. The most important lemma of our next section is a result proved by Lau, Royer and Wu in [14], which consists in an application of the Rankin-Selberg method to study mean values of the coefficients of half-integral weight cusp forms. Next, based on a beautiful method of Ramachandra [8, 11, 19, 21], we study the integral

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^{2} dt$$

as $T \to \infty$. A suitable upper bound for this integral will prove to be essential for our establishment of a density hypothesis for the nontrivial zeros of L(s, f). Although we only employ the standard machinery, as far as we know there are no explicit results regarding mean values of L-functions attached to cusp forms of half-integral weight.

Finally, we should mention that it is quite natural that our main result holds for any half-integral weight cusp form in $\Gamma_0(4N)$ and, most likely, the powerful method employed by Müller [18] could work in establishing a general analogue of our Theorem 1.1.² However, we leave this general case open for further explorations.

2 Preliminary results

2.1 Properties of a subclass of cusp forms

In this section we state and prove some important lemmas about the class of L-functions considered in our main Theorem. We start with the following lemma, which is given in [14], page 872, Proposition 7].

Lemma 2.1. Let $f \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ and assume that f is an eigenform for all the Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} . Then the Dirichlet series

$$\mathscr{D}\left(f\otimes\overline{f},s\right) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^s}$$
(2.1)

converges absolutely for $Re(s) > k + \frac{1}{2}$. Moreover, it can be analytically continued in the half-plane Re(s) > k as a meromorphic function, with its only (simple) pole being located at $s = k + \frac{1}{2}$.

A consequence of the previous lemma, combined with a strong result of Conrey and Iwaniec [4] (cf. [14], p. 877, eq. (14)]), is that the mean value estimate takes place

$$\sum_{n \le x} |a_f(n)|^2 = \frac{2r_f}{2k+1} x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} + O\left(x^{k+\frac{5}{12}+\epsilon}\right), \tag{2.2}$$

where r_f is the residue of $\mathscr{D}\left(f \otimes \overline{f}, s\right)$ at $s = k + \frac{1}{2}$. Of course, for the purposes of our Theorem 1.1, it is enough that $\sum_{n \leq x} |a_f(n)|^2 \ll x^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$.

When combined with the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality (cf. [[16], p. 929, Proposition 2.3]), the previous lemma establishes that, for any $f \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ which is an eigenform for all Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} , the

 $^{^{2}}$ For example, Müller's method can be used to establish, similarly to Schmeller's result [24], that the zero ordinates of the Siegel zeta functions attached to binary indefinite quadratic forms are uniformly distributed modulo one.

L-function

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s},$$

converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$.

By following a method of Ramachandra [8,21], the next couple of lemmas are devoted to prove a mean value estimate for L(s, f) on the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. We start with a result that allows to write an approximate functional equation for L(s, f) that is helpful in the study of such quantities.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ be an eigenform for all Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} and the Fricke operator W_4 , i.e., $(f|W_4)(z) = (-1)^{\ell} f(z), \ell = 0, 1.$

Write $s = \sigma + it$ and assume that $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$. Then the following approximate functional equation holds

$$L(s,f) = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \left(e^{-(n/x)^h} - 1 \right) + \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} + (-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s}} - \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta - i\infty}^{-\eta + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s + w)} \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw - \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{\alpha - i\infty}^{\alpha + i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s + w)} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw,$$
(2.3)

for $0 < \alpha < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$, h = 1, 2 and $\eta > \sigma - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Moreover, one has the truncated formula

$$\begin{split} L(s,f) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \left(e^{-(n/x)^h} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} + (-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2} - s}} \\ &- \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta - i \log^2 |t|}^{-\eta + i \log^2 |t|} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s + w)} \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2} - s - w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw \\ &- \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{\alpha - i \log^2 |t|}^{\alpha + i \log^2 |t|} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s + w)} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2} - s - w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw \\ &+ O\left(|t|^{-A}\right), \end{split}$$

$$(2.4)$$

where A is an arbitrarily large positive constant.

Proof. Let $c > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ and use the Cahen-Mellin representation to write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} = \frac{1}{h} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \left(\frac{n}{x}\right)^{-w} dw$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) L\left(s+w,f\right) x^w dw,$$
(2.5)

where the interchange of the orders of summation and integration is justified via absolute convergence (since $c > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ by hypothesis). We now move the line of integration to $\operatorname{Re}(w) = -\eta$ and integrate along a positively oriented rectangular contour whose vertices are $[c \pm iT, -\eta \pm iT]$ and then let $T \to \infty$. By the choice of η , we know that the integrand has a simple pole located at w = 0, whose residue is h L(s, f). Hence,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta - i\infty}^{-\eta + i\infty} L(s + w, f) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) x^w dw + L(s, f).$$
(2.6)

We now use the functional equation for L(s+w, f) and the fact that $\eta > \sigma - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$, to write the integral along Re = $-\eta$ in the form

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} L(s+w,f) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) x^{w} dw$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} L\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w,f\right) \left(\pi^{2}x\right)^{w} dw$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^{2}x\right)^{w} dw. \tag{2.7}$$

Next, we truncate the Dirichlet series associated with $L\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w,f\right)$ at the point x, which gives the expression

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} L(s+w,f) \,\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \, x^w dw = \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \,\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \, \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw + \frac{(-1)^\ell \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \,\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n>x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \, \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw.$$

We move once more the line of integration on the first integral from $\operatorname{Re}(w) = -\eta$ to $\operatorname{Re}(w) = \alpha$, with $0 < \alpha < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Doing so, and taking once more into consideration the pole that $\Gamma(w/h)$ possesses at

w = 0, we find the expression

$$\frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^{2}x\right)^{w} dw$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n\leq x} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^{2}x\right)^{w} dw$$

$$+ (-1)^{\ell-1} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n< x} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s}}.$$
(2.8)

Returning to (2.6), (2.7) and, finally, to (2.8) we are able to write the following approximate functional equation

$$\begin{split} L(s,f) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} + (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s}} \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{-\eta-i\infty}^{-\eta+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i h} \int_{\alpha-i\infty}^{\alpha+i\infty} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) \Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w\right)}{\Gamma\left(s+w\right)} \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 x\right)^w dw. \end{split}$$

At last, if we note that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} = \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{n \le x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \left(e^{-(n/x)^h} - 1 \right) + \sum_{n > x} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h},$$

then (2.3) follows. The derivation of the truncated version (2.4) is analogous: starting with the Cahen-Mellin integral (2.5), we only truncate the line of integration there at the point $|\text{Im}(w)| = \log^2 |t|$. By Stirling's formula, the integral along the half lines $|\text{Im}(w)| > \log^2 |t|$ can be estimated as $O(|t|^{-A})$ for any large positive constant A. This shows the formula

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-(n/x)^h} = \frac{1}{2\pi i h} \int_{c-i\log^2|t|}^{c+i\log^2|t|} \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right) L\left(s+w,f\right) \, x^w dw + O\left(|t|^{-A}\right),$$

from which one deduces (2.4) in the same way as one obtains (2.3).

2.2 A mean value theorem for L(s, f)

Before proving the main lemma of this section, we need to recall a result due to Montgomery and Vaughan [17] (see also [22] for a simpler proof of this result).

Lemma 2.3. For n = 1, ..., N, let a_n and b_n be two complex numbers. Then we have that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n n^{-it} \right) \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} b_n n^{it} \right) dt = T \sum_{n=1}^{M} a_n b_n + O\left(\left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n |a_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} n |b_n|^2 \right)^{1/2} \right).$$
(2.9)

Appealing to the previous approximate functional equation (2.4), we use (2.9) to find a mean value estimate for L(s, f) on the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(s) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. This is the content of the next lemma.

Lemma 2.4. Let $f \in S_{k+\frac{1}{2}}(\Gamma_0(4))$ be an eigenform for all Hecke operators \mathcal{T}_{n^2} and the Fricke operator W_4 and let L(s, f) be the associated L-function. Then we have that

$$\int_{0}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^{2} dt = A_{f}T\log(T) + O(T),$$
(2.10)

where A_f is a constant depending on the cusp form f.

Proof. Let $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) = \sigma \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, $\operatorname{Im}(s) = t$, h = 1 and x = T, for $\frac{T}{2} \leq t \leq T$. Since $t \asymp T$, (2.4) gives the approximate formula

$$\begin{split} L(s,f) &= \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} + \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}} - 1 \right) \\ &+ \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^s} e^{-\frac{n}{T}} + (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s}} \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\eta - i \log^2 |t|}^{-\eta + i \log^2 |t|} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s+w)} \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 T\right)^w dw \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - i \log^2 |t|}^{\alpha + i \log^2 |t|} \frac{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s - w\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma(s+w)} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \left(\pi^2 T\right)^w dw + O\left(T^{-A}\right) \\ &:= \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \mathcal{J}_\ell(s) + O(T^{-A}), \quad \text{say}, \end{split}$$

where $\eta > \sigma - \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Furthermore, if we replace $s = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it$ and set $J_{\ell}(t) := \mathcal{J}_{\ell}\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it\right)$, the previous formula implies

$$\begin{split} L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) &= \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} + \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}} - 1\right) \\ &+ \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} e^{-\frac{n}{T}} + (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2it} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it\right)} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it}} \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2it}}{2\pi i} \int_{-\eta - i\log^2 T}^{-\eta + i\log^2 T} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w\right) \Gamma\left(w\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it + w\right)} \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \left(\pi^2 T\right)^w dw \\ &- \frac{(-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2it}}{2\pi i} \int_{\alpha - i\log^2 T}^{\alpha + i\log^2 T} \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w\right) \Gamma\left(w\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it + w\right)} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \left(\pi^2 T\right)^w dw + O\left(T^{-A}\right) \\ &:= \sum_{\ell = 1}^{6} J_\ell(t) + O(T^{-A}), \end{split}$$

$$(2.12)$$

where, by the conditions of Lemma 2.2, $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$. Note that $|J_1(t)| = |J_4(t)|$: we use the previous decomposition to write the mean square in the form

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^2 dt = \sum_{\ell=1}^6 \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_\ell(t)|^2 dt + O\left(\sum_{m \neq \ell} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_m(t) \overline{J_\ell(t)} \, dt\right).$$
(2.13)

The proof of this result is a combination of three claims. For simplicity of the exposition, our first claim essentially consists in evaluating the first six terms of the equality (2.13).

Claim 2.1. The following estimates hold

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_1(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_4(t)|^2 dt = \frac{r_f}{2} T \log(T) + O(T),$$
(2.14)

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_2(t)|^2 dt \ll T,$$
(2.15)

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_3(t)|^2 dt \ll 1,$$
(2.16)

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_5(t)|^2 dt \ll T,$$
(2.17)

and, finally,

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_6(t)|^2 dt \ll T,$$
(2.18)

where in (2.14) r_f denotes the constant appearing on the main term of (2.2).

Proof. Since $|J_1(t)| = |J_4(t)|$, we have that

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_1(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_4(t)|^2 dt, \qquad (2.19)$$

so, if we prove that the right of (2.14) equals to one of the sides of (2.19), then (2.14) is

completely proved. By (2.9) and (2.2),

$$\begin{split} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_1(t)|^2 dt &= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| \sum_{n \le T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} \right|^2 dt = \sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{k + \frac{1}{2}}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + O(n) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r_f T \log(T) + \frac{1}{2} r_f^{(0)} T + O\left(T^{\frac{5}{12} + \epsilon}\right) + O\left(\sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{k - \frac{1}{2}}}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} r_f T \log(T) + \frac{1}{2} r_f^{(0)} T + O\left(T^{\frac{5}{12} + \epsilon}\right) + O\left(r_f T\right) + O\left(T^{\frac{11}{12} + \epsilon}\right) \\ &= \frac{r_f}{2} T \log(T) + O(T), \end{split}$$

and so we have that

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_4(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_1(t)|^2 dt = \frac{r_f}{2} T \log(T) + O(T).$$

Next, the estimates of the mean values of $J_2(t)$ and $J_3(t)$ can be easily obtained in the following form,

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_{2}(t)|^{2} dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}} - 1 \right) \right|^{2} dt$$

$$\ll T \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k + \frac{1}{2}}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}} - 1 \right)^{2} + \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k - \frac{1}{2}}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}} - 1 \right)^{2}$$

$$\ll \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k - \frac{3}{2}}} + \frac{1}{T^{2}} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k - \frac{5}{2}}}$$

$$\ll T$$

and

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |J_3(t)|^2 dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| \sum_{n>T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it}} e^{-\frac{n}{T}} \right|^2 dt = \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{k + \frac{1}{2}}} e^{-\frac{2n}{T}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + O(n) \right)$$
$$\ll 1.$$

We now bound the mean values of $J_5(t)$ and $J_6(t)$: by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and Stirling's formula, one is able to deduce that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_{5}(t)|^{2} dt \ll \int_{T/2 - \eta - i \log^{2} T}^{T} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w\right) \Gamma\left(w\right)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it + w\right)} (\pi^{2} T)^{w} \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \right|^{2} |dw| dt \\
\ll T^{2\eta} \int_{-\eta - i \log^{2}(T)}^{-\eta + i \log^{2}(T)} |\Gamma(w)|^{2} \int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \sum_{n > T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \right|^{2} dt |dw|,$$
(2.20)

where the interchange of the orders of integration is justified due to the fact that the integrals are over compact segments and the choice of $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$ making the series absolutely convergent. We now appeal to Montgomery-Vaughan's result (2.9) to estimate the integral with respect to t: since, by Stirling's formula, $\Gamma(-\eta + it) \in L_1(\mathbb{R})$ for $\eta \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, we have that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_{5}(t)|^{2} dt \ll T^{2\eta} \int_{-\eta-i\log^{2}(T)}^{-\eta+i\log^{2}(T)} |\Gamma(w)|^{2} \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k+2\eta+\frac{1}{2}}} (T+n) |dw|$$

$$\ll T^{2\eta} \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k+2\eta+\frac{1}{2}}} (T+n)$$

$$= T^{1+2\eta} \int_{T}^{\infty} t^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-2\eta} d\left(\sum_{T

$$+ T^{2\eta} \int_{T}^{\infty} t^{-k+\frac{1}{2}-2\eta} d\left(\sum_{T
(2.21)$$$$

where we have used summation by parts. Let us estimate the first integral (the second integral is analogous): an integration by parts yields

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-2\eta} d\left(\sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2\right) = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \tau^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-2\eta} \sum_{T < n \le \tau} |a_f(n)|^2 + \left(k + \frac{1}{2} + 2\eta\right) \int_{T}^{\infty} \sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2 t^{-k-\frac{3}{2}-2\eta} dt \ll T^{-2\eta},$$
(2.22)

because $\sum_{n \leq \tau} |a_f(n)|^2 \ll \tau^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$ (see (2.2) above). The second integral can be estimated analogously and the same procedure holds because $\eta > \frac{1}{2}$ by hypothesis. In fact, one can deduce that

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{-k+\frac{1}{2}-2\eta} d\left(\sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2\right) \ll T^{1-2\eta},$$
(2.23)

A combination of (2.21) and (2.22) shows that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_5(t)|^2 dt \ll T.$$
(2.24)

We now estimate $\int_{T/2}^{T} |J_6(t)|^2 dt$: the proof is exactly the same as the estimate of (2.24), so we shall

be brief. Indeed, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality

$$\begin{split} \int_{T/2}^{T} |J_{6}(t)|^{2} dt \ll \int_{T/2}^{T} \int_{\alpha-i\log^{2}T}^{\alpha+i\log^{2}T} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w\right)\Gamma(w)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it + w\right)} \left(\pi^{2}T\right)^{w} \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \right|^{2} |dw| dt \\ \ll T^{-2\alpha} \int_{\alpha-i\log^{2}T}^{\alpha+i\log^{2}T} |\Gamma(w)|^{2} \int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - it - w}} \right|^{2} dt |dw| \\ \ll T^{-2\alpha} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{k + \frac{1}{2} - 2\alpha}} \left(T + O(n)\right) \\ \ll T, \end{split}$$

where we have used (2.2) and the fact that $0 < \alpha < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$.

We have dealt with mean value estimates for $J_{\ell}(t)$. The next claim does an analogous job for the mean value estimates of $\mathcal{J}_{\ell}(\sigma + it)$, $\sigma \neq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$.

Claim 2.2. The following estimates take place

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_1(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{k + \frac{3}{2} - 2\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4},$$
(2.25)

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_2(\sigma+it)|^2 dt \ll T^{k+\frac{3}{2}-2\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.26)

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_3(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll 1, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}, \tag{2.27}$$

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_4(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{k + \frac{3}{2} - 2\sigma}, \quad \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.28)

and

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_5(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{k + \frac{3}{2} - 2\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.29)

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_6(\sigma + it)|^2 dt \ll T^{k + \frac{3}{2} - 2\sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}.$$
(2.30)

Proof. The first proof consists in using Lemma 2.3 and (2.2): indeed,

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| \sum_{n \le T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} \right|^2 dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_1(\sigma+it)|^2 dt = \sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + O(n)\right) \\ \ll T^{k+\frac{3}{2}-2\sigma}.$$
(2.31)

The second estimate can be found by the following inequalities

$$\begin{split} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_{2}\left(\sigma+it\right)|^{2} dt &= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left|\sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}}-1\right)\right|^{2} dt \\ &\ll T \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2\sigma}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}}-1\right)^{2} + \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2\sigma-1}} \left(e^{-\frac{n}{T}}-1\right)^{2} \\ &\ll \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2\sigma-2}} + \frac{1}{T^{2}} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2\sigma-3}} \\ &\ll T^{k+\frac{3}{2}-2\sigma}, \end{split}$$

while the third estimate, (2.27), simply comes from the straightforward simplifications

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_{3}(\sigma+it)|^{2} dt = \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| \sum_{n>T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{\sigma+it}} e^{-\frac{n}{T}} \right|^{2} dt = \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2\sigma}} e^{-\frac{2n}{T}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + O(n) \right)$$
$$\ll 1.$$

Using Stirling's formula and assuming that $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, we can write the mean value estimate for $\mathcal{J}_4(\sigma + it)$ in the form

$$\begin{split} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_{4}(\sigma+it)|^{2} dt &= \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} \left| (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it\right)}{\Gamma(\sigma+it)} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it}} \right|^{2} dt \\ &\ll T^{2k+1-4\sigma} \int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it}} \right|^{2} dt \ll T^{2k+1-4\sigma} \sum_{n \leq T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2k+1-2\sigma}} \left(\frac{T}{2} + O(n) \right) \\ &\ll T^{k+\frac{3}{2}-2\sigma}, \end{split}$$

which proves (2.28). The mean value estimates of $\mathcal{J}_5(s)$ and $\mathcal{J}_6(s)$ are analogous to the estimates (2.20) and (2.18). We just give the main steps of the proof of (2.29), as the proof of (2.30) is completely

analogous. Following (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22),

$$\begin{split} \int_{T/2}^{T} |\mathcal{J}_{5}(\sigma+it)|^{2} dt \ll \int_{T/2}^{T} \int_{-\eta-i\log^{2}|t|}^{-\eta+i\log^{2}|t|} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it-w\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)}{\Gamma\left(\sigma+it+w\right)} \left(\pi^{2}T\right)^{w} \right|^{2} \left| \sum_{n>T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \right|^{2} |dw| dt \\ \ll T^{2k+1-4\sigma+2\eta} \int_{-\eta-i\log^{2}(T)}^{-\eta+i\log^{2}(T)} |\Gamma(w)|^{2} \int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \sum_{n>T} \frac{a_{f}(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-s-w}} \right|^{2} dt |dw| \\ \ll T^{2k+1-4\sigma+2\eta} \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|^{2}}{n^{2k+1+2\eta-2\sigma}} (T+n) \\ = T^{2k+2-4\sigma+2\eta} \int_{T}^{\infty} t^{2\sigma-2k-2\eta-1} d\left(\sum_{T$$

As before, we can perform and integration by parts and get

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{2\sigma - 2k - 2\eta - 1} d\left(\sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2\right) = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \tau^{2\sigma - 2k - 2\eta - 1} \sum_{T < n \le \tau} |a_f(n)|^2 + (2k + 1 + 2\eta - 2\sigma) \int_{T}^{\infty} \sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2 t^{2\sigma - 2k - 2\eta - 2} dt \\ \ll T^{2\sigma - k - 2\eta - \frac{1}{2}},$$
(2.32)

because, due to (2.2), $\sum_{n \leq \tau} |a_f(n)|^2 \ll \tau^{k+\frac{1}{2}}$. Using exactly the same procedure, one is able to obtain

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{2\sigma - 2k - 2\eta} d\left(\sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)|^2\right) \ll T^{2\sigma - k - 2\eta + \frac{1}{2}}.$$
(2.33)

Combining (2.32) and (2.33) yields the formula

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| \mathcal{J}_5(\sigma + it) \right|^2 dt \ll T^{k + \frac{3}{2} - 2\sigma}$$

and a similar proof can be written for the sixth estimate (2.30). This completes the proof of Claim 2.2. \Box

Claim 2.3. Let $\frac{T}{2} < t \leq T$ and $\mathcal{J}_{\ell}(s)$, $\ell = 1, 2, ..., 6$ be the quantities defined by (2.11). Then the following bounds are valid

$$\mathcal{J}_1(\sigma + it) \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4},$$
(2.34)

$$\mathcal{J}_2(\sigma + it) \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.35)

$$\mathcal{J}_3(\sigma + it) \ll 1, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.36)

$$\mathcal{J}_4(\sigma + it) \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}, \quad \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.37)

$$\mathcal{J}_5(\sigma + it) \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4},$$
(2.38)

$$\mathcal{J}_6(\sigma + it) \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}, \quad 0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}.$$
 (2.39)

Proof. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and (2.2), we see that

$$|\mathcal{J}_1(\sigma + it)| \le \sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|}{n^{\sigma}} \le T^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll T^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4} - \sigma}.$$
 (2.40)

Using a similar reasoning, we can simply bound $|\mathcal{J}_2(\sigma + it)|$

$$|\mathcal{J}_2(\sigma+it)| \le \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|}{n^{\sigma-1}} \ll T^{-\frac{1}{2}} \left(\sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{2\sigma-2}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll T^{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-\sigma},$$
(2.41)

while $|\mathcal{J}_3(\sigma + it)| \ll 1$. To deal with $\mathcal{J}_4(\sigma + it)$, we appeal to Stirling's formula and use the same inequalities that were used to derive (2.40) and (2.41). We obtain

$$|\mathcal{J}_4(\sigma+it)| = \left| (-1)^{\ell} \pi^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it\right)}{\Gamma(\sigma+it)} \sum_{n \le T} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-it}} \right|$$
$$\ll T^{k+1-2\sigma} \left(\sum_{n \le T} \frac{|a_f(n)|^2}{n^{2k+1-2\sigma}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll T^{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{3}{4}-\sigma}.$$

Finally, $\mathcal{J}_5(\sigma + it)$ can be estimated by the integral

$$|\mathcal{J}_{5}(\sigma+it)| \ll T^{k+\frac{1}{2}-2\sigma+\eta} \int_{-\eta-i\log^{2}|t|}^{-\eta+i\log^{2}|t|} \left|\Gamma\left(\frac{w}{h}\right)\right| \sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_{f}(n)|}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}+\eta-\sigma}} |dw|.$$

In order to bound the series on the integrand, we use once more summation by parts to get

$$\sum_{n>T} \frac{|a_f(n)|}{n^{k+\frac{1}{2}+\eta-\sigma}} = \int_T^\infty t^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-\eta+\sigma} d\left(\sum_{T< n\le t} |a_f(n)|\right)$$
$$= \lim_{\tau\to\infty} \tau^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-\eta+\sigma} \sum_{T< n\le \tau} |a_f(n)| + \left(k+\frac{1}{2}+\eta-\sigma\right) \int_T^\infty t^{-k-\frac{3}{2}-\eta+\sigma} \sum_{T< n\le t} |a_f(n)| dt.$$
(2.42)

By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality,

$$\tau^{-k-\frac{1}{2}-\eta+\sigma} \sum_{T < n \le \tau} |a_f(n)| \ll \tau^{-k-\eta+\sigma} \left(\sum_{n \le \tau} |a_f(n)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \tau^{-\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}-\eta+\sigma},$$

which tends to zero as $\tau \to \infty$ because $\sigma < \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} + \eta$ by construction of η . For the same reasons, the integral in (2.42) can be estimated in the form

$$\int_{T}^{\infty} t^{-k - \frac{3}{2} - \eta + \sigma} \sum_{T < n \le t} |a_f(n)| \, dt \ll T^{-\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \eta + \sigma},$$

which finally proves (2.38). The proof of the corresponding estimate for $\mathcal{J}_6(\sigma + it)$, (2.39), is totally analogous.

Returning to (2.13) and using the results of Claim 2.1, we have that

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^2 dt = r_f T \log(T) + O\left(T\right) + O\left(T\right) + O\left(\sum_{m \neq \ell} \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_m(t) \,\overline{J_\ell(t)} \, dt\right).$$
(2.43)

Hence, to complete our proof, we just need to estimate the following integrals

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_m(t) \,\overline{J_\ell(t)} \, dt = \frac{1}{i} \int_{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + i\frac{T}{2}}^{\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + iT} \mathcal{J}_m(z) \, \mathcal{J}_\ell\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - z\right) \, dz, \quad \ell \neq m.$$
(2.44)

Assume first that m = 1: shifting the line of integration from $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ to $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \beta$, $0 < \beta < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$, and employing Cauchy's theorem, we find that

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_1(t) \overline{J_\ell(t)} dt = \frac{1}{i} \int_{\beta+i\frac{T}{2}}^{\beta+iT} \mathcal{J}_1(z) \ \mathcal{J}_\ell\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-z\right) dz + O\left(\int_{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}^{\beta} \mathcal{J}_1\left(\sigma+iT\right) \ \mathcal{J}_\ell\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-\sigma-iT\right) \ d\sigma\right).$$

$$(2.45)$$

By Claim 2.3, we know that the integral along the horizontal segment $\beta \leq \sigma \leq \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ is bounded as $\ll T$. Next, by Claim 2.2 and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, one sees that

$$\left| \int_{\alpha+i\frac{T}{2}}^{\alpha+iT} \mathcal{J}_1(z) \ \mathcal{J}_\ell\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-z\right) \ dz \right| \ll \int_{\frac{T}{2}}^T \left| \mathcal{J}_1\left(\alpha+it\right) \ \mathcal{J}_\ell\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-\alpha-it\right) \right| \ dt$$
$$\ll T,$$

which establishes the estimate

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_1(t) \overline{J_\ell(t)} dt \ll T.$$
(2.46)

Note that we had to move the line of integration to the left of the line $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ because (2.25) and (2.34) are only valid in the range $0 < \sigma < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$. Note that the case $\ell = 4$ is not problematic because $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < k + \frac{1}{2} - \beta < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$ and so the application of the estimates (2.28) and (2.37) is valid. If, on the

other hand, we start the estimation of (2.44) when m = 4, then we have to shift the line of integration in (2.44) from the critical line $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}$ to $\operatorname{Re}(z) = \beta'$, $\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} < \operatorname{Re}(z) = \beta' < \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, so that the application of the estimates (2.28) and (2.37) is fully justified in this case. The same kind of procedure gives

$$\int_{\frac{T}{2}}^{T} J_4(t) \,\overline{J_\ell(t)} \, dt \ll T. \tag{2.47}$$

All the remaining cross terms (i.e., those with $m \neq 1, 4$) can be bounded in a similar fashion and their estimates contribute with a O(T) term. A combination of (2.43), (2.46), (2.47) and all the remaining cross terms yields

$$\int_{T/2}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^2 dt = r_f T \log(T) + O(T).$$
(2.48)

Our lemma 2.4 follows now from (2.48) after one replaces T by T/2, T/4, T/8,..., and finally adds all the results.

3 Proof of Landau's formula

In [12], Landau proved the explicit formula

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \le T} x^{\rho} = -\Lambda(x) \frac{T}{2\pi} + O\left(\log(T)\right), \quad T \to \infty,$$
(3.1)

where x > 1 is fixed and the sum runs over the nontrivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$, $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$. Here, $\Lambda(x)$ denotes von-Mangoldt's function. A formula valid in the range 0 < x < 1 follows after we replace x by 1/x, multiplying the resulting formula by x and observing that $\zeta(\rho) = 0$ iff $\zeta(1 - \rho) = 0$. Thus, we can rewrite (3.1) taking into account the combination of both ranges for x and see that

$$\sum_{0 < \gamma \le T} x^{\rho} = -\left(\Lambda(x) + x\Lambda\left(\frac{1}{x}\right)\right) \frac{T}{2\pi} + O\left(\log(T)\right), \quad T \to \infty$$
(3.2)

holds for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$. Steuding proved a formula similar to (3.2) for *a*-points [[26], Thm. 6] and Baluyot and Gonek [2] proved a uniform version of Steuding's formula.

In this section, we are going to prove an analogue of (3.2) for our class of L-functions.

We first make a couple of observations. Let c be the smallest integer such that $a_f(c) \neq 0$: since the Dirichlet series defining L(s, f), (1.6), converges in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, there exists some large constant, say B, such that, for any $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq B$,

$$\sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} \frac{|a_f(n)|}{n^{\operatorname{Re}(s)}} \le \frac{1}{2} |a_f(c)| c^{-\operatorname{Re}(s)},\tag{3.3}$$

which shows that L(s, f) does not have zeros in the half-plane $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge B$ because condition (3.3) yields

$$|L(s,f)| \ge \frac{1}{2} |a_f(c)| c^{-\alpha}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \ge \alpha \ge B.$$
(3.4)

Consider now the modified Dirichlet series

$$\tilde{L}(s,f) := \frac{c^s}{a_f(c)} L(s,f).$$

Clearly, $\tilde{L}(s, f)$ converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{3}{4}$, just like L(s, f) does. Moreover, the zeros of $\tilde{L}(s, f)$ and L(s, f) are the same and, of course, the zero free region obtained from (3.4) is the same. Moreover, $\tilde{L}(s, f)$ satisfies the functional equation (cf. (1.10) above)

$$\tilde{L}(s,f) := \frac{c^s}{a_f(c)} L(s,f) = (-1)^{\ell} (\pi c)^{2s-k-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}{\Gamma(s)} \tilde{L}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right).$$
(3.5)

Let R be the positively oriented rectangular contour with vertices $B \pm iT$ and $k + \frac{1}{2} - b \pm iT$, for some b > B. An application of the residue theorem gives

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} x^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{R} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s, f)}{\tilde{L}(s, f)} x^{s} ds, \qquad (3.6)$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$ runs over the zeros of L(s, f) inside R. All but finitely many of the zeros of $\tilde{L}(s, f)$ inside R are nontrivial and the only trivial ones are the nonpositive integers running from 0 to $k + \frac{1}{2} - b$ [[3], Theorem 1]. Next, note that, for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \ge \alpha \ge B$, the condition (3.4) establishes that $|\tilde{L}(s, f) - 1| \le \frac{1}{2}$. Hence, since $\tilde{L}(s, f) - 1$ converges absolutely for $\sigma \ge B$, we can write the ℓ^{th} power of $\tilde{L}(s, f) - 1$ in the following form

$$\left(\tilde{L}(s,f)-1\right)^{\ell} = \frac{c^{\ell s}}{a_f(c)^{\ell}} \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_\ell \ge c+1} \frac{a_f(n_1)a_f(n_2)\dots a_f(n_\ell)}{(n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \dots \cdot n_\ell)^s}$$

Therefore, when $\sigma = \operatorname{Re}(s) \ge B$, the reciprocal of $\tilde{L}(s, f)$ admits the representation

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{\ell} \left(\tilde{L}(s,f) - 1 \right)^{\ell} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{\ell} c^{\ell s}}{a_f(c)^{\ell}} \sum_{n_1,\dots,n_\ell \ge c+1} \frac{a_f(n_1)a_f(n_2)\dots a_f(n_\ell)}{(n_1 \cdot n_2 \cdot \dots \cdot n_\ell)^s}.$$

Note that, as a multiple Dirichlet series, the previous expression converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq B$: indeed, by (3.4),

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^{\ell\sigma}}{|a_f(c)|^{\ell}} \sum_{n_1 \ge c+1} \frac{|a_f(n_1)|}{n_1^{\sigma}} \cdot \dots \cdot \sum_{n_\ell \ge c+1} \frac{|a_f(n_\ell)|}{n_\ell^{\sigma}} \le \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^{\ell\sigma}}{|a_f(c)|^{\ell}} \left(\frac{1}{2} |a_f(c)| c^{-\sigma}\right)^{\ell} = 2,$$

which shows that we can write $1/\tilde{L}(s, f)$ as a single Dirichlet series, absolutely convergent in the region $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq B$, and whose representation assumes the form

$$\frac{1}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{d_f(n)}{\mu_n^s},$$
(3.7)

where $1 = \mu_1 < \mu_2 < ...$ is an ordered sequence of the set of all possible products of the natural numbers greater than c (cf. [6,13]). Multiplying (3.7) by the Dirichlet series representing $\tilde{L}'(s, f)$ (which is also absolutely convergent when $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq B$), we are able to get

$$\frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_f(n)}{\lambda_n^s}, \quad \operatorname{Re}(s) \ge B$$
(3.8)

for some sequence $c = \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots$

Before moving to the main result of this section, we need to state the following lemma, which can be found in [[28], p. 56].

Lemma 3.1. If f(s) is an analytic function such that $f(s_0) \neq 0$ and, for some M > 1 and r > 0,

$$\left|\frac{f(s)}{f(s_0)}\right| < e^M, \quad |s - s_0| \le r.$$
 (3.9)

Then, for any s satisfying $|s - s_0| \leq \frac{r}{4}$, the inequality holds

$$\left| \frac{f'(s)}{f(s)} - \sum_{|\rho - s_0| \le \frac{r}{2}} \frac{1}{s - \rho} \right| < C \frac{M}{r},\tag{3.10}$$

where C > 0 and ρ runs through the zeros of f(s).

With the observations above, we are ready to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$ and $d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then, as $T \to \infty$, the following formula holds

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} x^{\rho} = \frac{b_f(d)}{\pi} \left(\delta_{x,\lambda_d} - x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{x,\lambda_d^{-1}} \right) T + O\left(\log(T)\right), \tag{3.11}$$

where $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$, $|\gamma| < T$, runs over the zeros of L(s, f) on the rectangle |Im(z)| < T. Moreover, $b_f(n)$ is the arithmetical function defined by (3.8).

Proof. By (3.6), we have that

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} x^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{B-iT}^{B+iT} + \int_{B+iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} + \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT}^{B-iT} + \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{B-iT} \right\} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^{s} ds$$
$$:= \sum_{j=1}^{4} I_{j}(x).$$
(3.12)

In the next claims we shall bound the integrals $I_1(x)$, $I_2(x)$, $I_3(x)$ and $I_4(x)$.

Claim 3.1. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, let

$$I_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B-iT}^{B+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^s ds.$$

Then $I_1(x)$ satisfies the following estimate

$$I_1(x) = \frac{b_f(d)}{\pi} \delta_{x,\lambda_d} T + O(1),$$
(3.13)

where λ_d is the d^{th} element of the sequence $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ given in the Dirichlet series (3.8).

Proof. Starting with the first integral, we see that, since (3.8) is valid for $\operatorname{Re}(s) \geq B$,

$$I_1(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B-iT}^{B+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^s ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_f(n) \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n}\right)^B \int_{-T}^{T} \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n}\right)^{iT} dt$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_f(n) \left(\frac{x}{\lambda_n}\right)^B \{2\delta_{x,\lambda_n}T + O(1)\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{\pi} \delta_{x,\lambda_d} b_f(d)T + O(1),$$

which completes the proof of (3.13).

Claim 3.2. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, let $I_2(x)$ and $I_4(x)$ denote the contour integrals

$$I_2(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B+iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^s ds$$

and

$$I_4(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{B-iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^s ds.$$

Then $I_2(x)$ and $I_4(x)$ satisfy the bounds

$$I_2(x), I_4(x) \ll x^B \log(T).$$
 (3.14)

Proof. We start our proof by evaluating first the integral

$$I_{2}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{B+iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^{s} ds = -\frac{x^{iT}}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b}^{B} \frac{\tilde{L}'(\sigma+iT,f)}{\tilde{L}(\sigma+iT,f)} x^{\sigma} d\sigma,$$
(3.15)

by appealing to Lemma 3.1. Indeed, applying this lemma with $f(s) = \tilde{L}(s, f)$ and $s_0 = B + iT$, we see that $\tilde{L}(s_0, f) \neq 0$ by (3.4). Moreover, if $r = 4(B + b - k - \frac{1}{2})$, then $|s - s_0| \leq r$ for $s = \sigma + iT$, $k + \frac{1}{2} - b \leq \sigma \leq B$. Thus, by convex estimates, we find that

$$\left|\frac{\tilde{L}'(\sigma+iT,f)}{\tilde{L}(B+iT,f)}\right| \ll T^{A(\sigma)} \ll e^{A\log(T)},\tag{3.16}$$

for some suitably large constant A. Hence, (3.9) holds with $M = A \log(T)$. An application of (3.10) now gives

$$\frac{\tilde{L}'\left(s,f\right)}{\tilde{L}\left(s,f\right)} = \sum_{|\rho-B-iT| \le \frac{r}{2}} \frac{1}{s-\rho} + O\left(\log(T)\right),$$

г		
L		
L	_	

whenever $|s - B - iT| \le B + b - k - \frac{1}{2}$. Returning to $I_2(x)$, we find that

$$\begin{split} |I_{2}(x)| &\ll x^{B} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b}^{B} \left| \frac{\tilde{L}'(\sigma+iT,f)}{\tilde{L}(\sigma+iT,f)} \right| \, d\sigma \leq x^{B} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b}^{B} \sum_{|\rho-B-iT| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \frac{d\sigma}{|\sigma+iT-\rho|} + O\left(x^{B}\log(T)\right) \\ &= x^{B} \sum_{|\rho-B-iT| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b}^{B} \frac{d\sigma}{\sqrt{(\sigma-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}} + O\left(x^{B}\log(T)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^{B} \sum_{|\rho-B-iT| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \left[\log\left(\frac{\sqrt{(\sigma-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+\sigma-\beta}{\sqrt{(\sigma-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+\beta-\sigma}\right) \right]_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b}^{B} + O\left(x^{B}\log(T)\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} x^{B} \sum_{|\rho-B-iT| \leq \frac{r}{2}} \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{(B-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+\beta-\beta}{\sqrt{(k+\frac{1}{2}-b-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+k+\frac{1}{2}-b-\beta}\right) \\ &+ \log\left(\frac{\sqrt{(k+\frac{1}{2}-b-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+\beta+b-k-\frac{1}{2}}{\sqrt{(B-\beta)^{2}+(T-\gamma)^{2}}+\beta-B}\right) + O(x^{B}\log(T)). \end{split}$$

The summand in the above sum is clearly bounded, so that our estimate gives

$$|I_2(x)| \ll x^B \sum_{|\rho - B - iT| \le \frac{r}{2}} 1 + O\left(\log(T)\right) = x^B N_C + O(x^B \log(T)),$$

where N_C denotes the number of zeros of L(s, f) satisfying the condition $|\rho - B - iT| \leq \frac{r}{2}$. Using the analogue of the Riemann Von-Mangoldt formula for L(s, f) [[3], Theorem 3], one can easily show that $N_C \ll \log(T)$, so that

$$|I_2(x)| \ll x^B \log(T),$$

which completes the proof of the first part of (3.14). The proof of the estimate for $I_4(x)$ is analogous.

Claim 3.3. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{1\}$, let $I_3(x)$ be the integral given by

$$I_{3}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^{s} ds.$$
(3.17)

Then the following asymptotic formula takes place

$$I_3(x) = -\frac{Tx^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi} b_f(d) \,\delta_{x,\lambda_d^{-1}} + O\left(\log(T)\right).$$
(3.18)

Proof. Once more, let us recall that $f|W_4(z) = (-1)^{\ell} f(z)$. From the functional equation satisfied by $\tilde{L}(s, f), (3.5),$

$$\frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} = 2\log(\pi c) - \frac{\Gamma'\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)}{\Gamma\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s\right)} - \frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} - \frac{\tilde{L}'\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s, f\right)}{\tilde{L}\left(k + \frac{1}{2} - s, f\right)},$$

which shows that the integral along the vertical segment $z \in [k + \frac{1}{2} - b - iT, k + \frac{1}{2} - b + iT]$ can be written in the following form

$$I_{3}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^{s} ds$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \left\{ 2\log(\pi c) - \frac{\Gamma'\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)} - \frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} - \frac{\tilde{L}'\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right)}{\tilde{L}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right)} \right\} x^{s} ds.$$

Let us evaluate the integral with respect to the logarithmic derivative of the function $\tilde{L}(z, f)$: by (3.4), the Dirichlet series for $\tilde{L}'(s, f)/\tilde{L}(s, f)$ converges absolutely when $\operatorname{Re}(s) = b > B$. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \frac{\tilde{L}'\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right)}{\tilde{L}\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s,f\right)} x^s ds = x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_f(n)}{2\pi i} \int_{b-iT}^{b+iT} (x\lambda_n)^{-s} ds$$

$$= \frac{x^{k-b+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_f(n)}{\lambda_n^b} \int_{-T}^{T} (x\lambda_n)^{-it} dt = \frac{x^{k-b+\frac{1}{2}}}{2\pi} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{b_f(n)}{\lambda_n^b} \left\{ 2T\delta_{x,\lambda_n^{-1}} + O(1) \right\}$$

$$= \frac{Tx^{k+\frac{1}{2}}}{\pi} b_f(d) \,\delta_{x,\lambda_d^{-1}} + O(1). \quad (3.19)$$

Next, an immediate application of Stirling's formula,

$$\frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} = \log(s) - \frac{1}{2s} + O\left(\frac{1}{|s|^2}\right),$$

gives

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} \left\{ 2\log(\pi c) - \frac{\Gamma'\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)}{\Gamma\left(k+\frac{1}{2}-s\right)} - \frac{\Gamma'(s)}{\Gamma(s)} \right\} x^s \, ds = O\left(\log(T)\right). \tag{3.20}$$

Combining (3.19) and (3.20), one is able to obtain (3.18).

Combining the previous three claims and returning to the integral representation (3.12), we obtain an analogue of (3.1),

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} x^{\rho} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \left\{ \int_{B-iT}^{B+iT} + \int_{B+iT}^{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT} + \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b+iT}^{B-iT} + \int_{k+\frac{1}{2}-b-iT}^{J} \right\} \frac{\tilde{L}'(s,f)}{\tilde{L}(s,f)} x^{s} ds$$
$$= \frac{b_{f}(d)}{\pi} \left(\delta_{x,\lambda_{d}} - x^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \delta_{x,\lambda_{d}}^{-1} \right) T + O\left(\log(T)\right),$$

which is precisely (3.11).

4 Proof of Theorem 1.1

By Weyl's criterion, we want to prove that, for every $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi i m \gamma} = o(N_f(T)), \tag{4.1}$$

where $N_f(T)$ denotes the number of zeros of the form $\beta + i\gamma$ in the rectangle with vertices $B \pm iT$ and $k + \frac{1}{2} - b \pm iT$. Indeed,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi i m \gamma} &= e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + i\gamma\right)} \\ &= e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \left(e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + i\gamma\right)} - e^{2\pi m (\beta + i\gamma)} \right) + e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi m (\beta + i\gamma)} \\ &= e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi i m \gamma} \left(e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} - 1 \right) + e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi m (\beta + i\gamma)}. \end{split}$$

The second sum can be easily evaluated by appealing to Proposition 3.1. Indeed, since $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, then $e^{2\pi m} \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \{0\}$. Therefore, using (3.11) with $x = e^{2\pi m}$, we deduce that

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi m(\beta + i\gamma)} = \frac{b_f(d)}{\pi} \left(\delta_{e^{2\pi m}, \lambda_d} - e^{2\pi m(k + \frac{1}{2})} \delta_{x, \lambda_d^{-1}} \right) T + O\left(\log(T)\right) = o\left(N_f(T)\right),$$

because $N_f(T) = \frac{2}{\pi}T\log(T) + O(T)$ [[3], Theorem 10]. Hence, to show (4.1), we just need to check that

$$e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}-\beta\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi i m \gamma} \left(e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}-\beta\right)}-1\right) = o\left(N_f(T)\right).$$

A sufficient conditions for this to happen can be obtained stated via the mean value theorem: indeed, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} e^{2\pi i m \gamma} \left(e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} - 1 \right) \right| &\leq e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} 2\pi m \left| \beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right| \max\left\{ 1, e^{2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} \right\} \\ &\leq 2\pi m \max\left\{ 1, e^{-2\pi m \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} - \beta\right)} \right\} \sum_{|\gamma| < T} \left| \beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right|, \end{aligned}$$

we see that, once we show the estimate

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} \left| \beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right| = \operatorname{o}\left(N_f(T) \right),$$

we are done. With the proof of this claim, we finish the proof of our theorem. Thus, we shall prove:

Claim 4.1. The following estimate holds

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} \left| \beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right| = o\left(N_f(T) \right).$$
(4.2)

Proof. In order to prove this claim, we shall invoke Littlewood's lemma (cf. [[28], pp. 220-221]). Since L(s, f) defines an analytic function on the rectangle $\mathcal{R} = \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} \leq \operatorname{Re}(s) \leq a, -T < \operatorname{Im}(s) \leq T\}$, where a > b > B is a positive real number which allows (3.3) to happen, Littlewood's result can be applied to L(s, f) in the domain \mathcal{R} . In fact, it states that

$$\sum_{\beta > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, |\gamma| < T} \left(\beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial \mathcal{R}} \log\left(L(s, f) \right) \, ds. \tag{4.3}$$

Without any loss of generality, we may suppose that our choice of T satisfies $\min_{\gamma} \{|\gamma + T|, |\gamma - T|\} \gg 1/\log(T)$. This choice comes at an expense of an error term of $O(\log(T))$ in the evaluation of the sum on the left-hand side of (4.3) (cf. [[3], page 245, Theorem 3]). Continuing the development of the expression (4.3) and taking into account that the sum on the left-hand side of (4.3) is a real number, we are able to get the expression

$$\sum_{\beta > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, |\gamma| < T} \left(\beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right| \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(a + it, f\right) \right| \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(a + it, f\right) \right| \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(a + it, f\right) \right| \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(a + it, f\right) \right| \, dt - \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(a + it, f\right) \right| \, dt$$

The estimate of the second integral is standard, because a > B by hypothesis and, according to (3.3),

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log|L(a+it,f)| \ dt = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log\left(\frac{|a_f(c)|}{c^a}\right) + \log\left|1 + \frac{c^{a+it}}{a_f(c)} \sum_{n=c+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_f(n)}{n^{a+it}}\right| \ dt \ll T$$

The evaluation of the third and fourth integrals is a standard application of Jensen's formula and we follow closely a similar argument outlined in [[3], p. 252]: suppose that $\operatorname{Re} L(\sigma + iT, f)$ has N zeros for $\sigma \in \left[\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, a\right]$. We then write $\left[\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, a\right] = \bigcup_{1 \leq j \leq N+1} [\sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_j)$ in such a way that $\operatorname{Re} L(\sigma + iT, f)$ has constant sign for $\sigma \in [\sigma_{j-1}, \sigma_j)$. Since $\arg L(\sigma_j + iT, f) - \arg L(\sigma_{j-1} + iT, f) \leq \pi$, we have that

$$|\arg L(\sigma + it, f)| \le \pi (N+1) + \left|\arg L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right)\right|.$$
 (4.4)

In order to estimate N, consider the analytic function

$$F(z) := \frac{1}{2} \left\{ L(z + iT, f) + \overline{L(\overline{z} + iT, f)} \right\}.$$

Then one has that $F(\sigma) = \operatorname{Re} L(\sigma + iT, f)$. Let $n_F(r)$ denote the number of zeros of F(z) in the ball $|z - B| \leq r$. Clearly, for any R > 0,

$$\int_{0}^{2R} \frac{n_F(r)}{r} dr \ge \int_{R}^{2R} \frac{n_F(r)}{r} dr \ge n_F(R) \log(2).$$

Moreover, from Jensen's formula,

$$n_F(R) \log(2) \le \int_{0}^{2R} \frac{n_F(r)}{r} dr = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{0}^{2\pi} \log |F(B + 2Re^{i\theta})| d\theta - \log |F(B)|,$$

which implies that

$$n_F(R) \le \frac{1}{2\pi \log(2)} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| F\left(B + 2Re^{i\theta}\right) \right| d\theta - \frac{\log |F(B)|}{\log(2)}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2\pi \log(2)} \int_0^{2\pi} \log \left| F\left(B + 2Re^{i\theta}\right) \right| d\theta + O(1), \tag{4.5}$$

where in the last step we have used the choice of B in (3.3) and the fact that the Dirichlet series defining L(s, f) converges absolutely for $\operatorname{Re}(s) = B$. Now, let us take R = B - a in (4.5): by the Phragmén-Lindelöf principle, we know that

$$\left|F(B+2Re^{i\theta})\right| \le |T+2R|^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon},\tag{4.6}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$. Combining (4.5) and (4.6), we conclude that $n_F(R) = O(\log T)$. Since the open interval (a, B) is clearly contained in the disc $|z - B| \le R$, we have $N \le n_F(R)$. Returning to (4.4),

$$|\arg L(\sigma + it, f)| \le \pi (N+1) + O(1) \le 2\pi n_F(R) + O(1) = O(\log(T)),$$

which proves the intermediate estimates

$$\int_{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}^{a} \arg L(\sigma - iT, f) \, d\sigma \ll \log(T), \int_{\frac{k}{2}+\frac{1}{4}}^{a} \arg L(\sigma + iT, f) \, d\sigma \ll \log(T).$$

Therefore,

$$\sum_{\beta > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, |\gamma| < T} \left(\beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L \left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f \right) \right| \, dt + O(T) + O\left(\log(T) \right), \tag{4.7}$$

and so it only remains to estimate the first integral above. This can be done once we use Jensen's inequality and the mean value estimate for L(s, f), (2.10). A combination of both results yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-T}^{T} \log \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right| \, dt &\leq \frac{T}{\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right| \, dt \right) \\ &\leq \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^2 \, dt \right) \\ &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \left(\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \left| L\left(\frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + it, f\right) \right|^2 \, dt \right) \\ &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \left(A_f \log(T) + O(1) \right) \\ &= \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \log(T) + O(T). \end{aligned}$$

Returning to (4.7) and collecting all the available estimates, we finally see that

$$\sum_{\beta > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, |\gamma| < T} \left(\beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{T}{2\pi} \log \log(T) + O(T),$$

from which we conclude that

$$\sum_{|\gamma| < T} \left| \beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right| = 2 \sum_{\beta > \frac{k}{2} + \frac{1}{4}, |\gamma| < T} \left(\beta - \frac{k}{2} - \frac{1}{4} \right) = \frac{T}{\pi} \log \log(T) + O(T) = o\left(N_f(T) \right),$$

establishing the desired result.

Acknowledgements: This work was partially supported by CMUP, member of LASI, which is financed by national funds through FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the projects with reference UIDB/00144/2020 and UIDP/00144/2020. We also acknowledge the support from FCT (Portugal) through the PhD scholarship 2020.07359.BD. The author would like to thank to Semyon Yakubovich for unwavering support and guidance throughout the writing of this paper.

References

- A. Akbary and M.R. Murty, Uniform distribution of zeros of Dirichlet series, in: Anatomy of integer, CRM Proc. Lecture Notes, 46 (2008), 143–158.
- [2] S. Baluyot, S. Gonek, Explicit formulae and discrepancy estimates for a-points of the Riemann zeta-function, *Pacific Journ. Math.*, 1 (2019), 47–71.
- [3] B. C. Berndt, On the Zeros of a Class of Dirichlet Series I, Illinois J. Mathematics, 14 (1970), 244–258.
- [4] J. B. Conrey and H. Iwaniec, The cubic moment of central values of automorphic L-functions, Ann. of Math. 151 (2000), 1175-1216.
- [5] P.D.T.A. Elliott, The Riemann zeta function and coin tossing, J. reine angew. Math. 254 (1972), 100-109.
- [6] R. Garunkštis, J. Steuding, and R. Šimėnas, The a-points of the Selberg zeta-function are uniformly distributed modulo one, Ill. J. Math., 58(1):207–218, 2014.
- [7] E. Hlawka, Über die Gleichverteilung gewisser Folgen, welche mit den Nullstellen der Zetafuncktionen zusammenhängen, Österr. Akad. Wiss., Math.-Naturw. Kl. Abt. II 184 (1975), 459–471.
- [8] A. Ivić, The Riemann Zeta-function, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., New York, 1985.
- M. Jakhlouti, K. Mazhouda, J. Steuding, On the distribution of the a-points of a Selberg class L-function modulo one, Arch. Math. 104 (2015), 419–429.
- [10] D. Kim, Infinitely many zeros of additively twisted L-functions on the critical line, J. Numb. Theory, 253 (2023), 157–187.
- [11] S. Kanemitsu, A. Sankaranarayanan, Y. Tanigawa, A mean value theorem for Dirichlet series and a general divisor problem, *Monatsh. Math.*, **136** (2002) 17–34.
- [12] E. Landau, Über die Nullstellen der Zetafunction, Math. Annalen 71 (1911), 548-564.
- [13] E. Landau, Über den Wertevorrat von $\zeta(s)$ in der Halbebene $\sigma > 1$, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen, 1933, no. 36, 81-91; Edmund Landau, collected works, vol. 9, Thales Verlag, Essen 1987, pp. 243-255.

- [14] Y. Lau, E. Royer and J. Wu, Sign of Fourier coefficients of modular forms of half integral weight, Mathematika 62 (2016), 866–883.
- [15] J. Meher, S. Pujahari and K. Shankhadhar, Zeros of L-functions attached to cusp forms of half-integral weight, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society, 147 (2019), 131–143.
- [16] J. Meher, S. Pujahari, S. Kotyada, Zeros of L-functions attached to modular forms of half-integral weight, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. 49 (2017), 926-936.
- [17] H. L. Montgomery, R. C. Vaughan, Hilbert's inequality, Journal London Math. Soc., 8 (1974), 73-82.
- [18] W. Müller, The mean square of Dirichlet series associated with automorphic forms, Monatsh. Math. 113 (1992) 121–159.
- [19] R. Padma, Mean Value theorems of Dirichlet series, PhD Thesis, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Madras, 1994.
- [20] H. A. Rademacher, Fourier Analysis in Number Theory, Symposium on Harmonic Analysis and Related Integral Transforms (Cornell Univ., Ithaca, N.Y., 1956) in: Collected Papers of Hans Rademacher, Vol. II, pp. 434–458, Massachusetts Inst. Tech., Cambridge, Mass., 1974.
- [21] K. Ramachandra, Application of a theorem of Montgomery and Vaughan to the zeta function, J. London Math. Soc. 10 (1975), 482–486.
- [22] K. Ramachandra, Some remarks on a theorem of Montgomery and Vaughan, Journal Numb. Theory 11 (1979), 465–471.
- [23] P. Ribeiro, On the number of zeros of L-functions attached to cusp forms of half-integral weight, preprint, arXiv:2311.11064
- [24] C. Schmeller, On the uniform distribution of zero ordinates of Epstein zeta-functions, Lith. Math. Journal, 58 (2018), 198–211.
- [25] Goro Shimura, On modular forms of half integral weight, Ann. Math. 97 (1973), 440-481.
- [26] J. Steuding, One Hundred Years Uniform Distribution Modulo One and Recent Applications to Riemann's Zeta-Function, in: Topics in Mathematical Analysis and Applications, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Vol. 94, edited by T.M. Rassias, L. Toth, 2014, 659–698.
- [27] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of Functions, 2nd ed., Oxford University Press, London, 1939.
- [28] E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of The Riemann Zeta-Function, 2nd ed., The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1986.
- [29] H. Weyl, Über die Gleichverteilung von Zahlen mod. Eins, Math. Ann. 77 (1916), 313–352.
- [30] J. R. Wilton, A note on Ramanujan's arithmetical function $\tau(n)$, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 25 (1929), 121–129.
- [31] C. S. Yogananda, Transformation formula for exponential sums involving Fourier coefficients of modular forms, Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Math. Sci.), 103 (04.1993), 1–25.
- [32] H. Yoshida, On calculations of zeros of various L-functions, J. Math. Kyoto Univ., 35 (1995), 663–696.