

# HIGHER-RANK TREES: FINITE HIGHER-RANK PLANAR TREES ARISING FROM POLYHEDRAL GRAPHS. DIFFERENCES FROM ONE-TREES.

DAVID PASK

ABSTRACT. We introduce a new family of higher-rank graphs, whose construction was inspired by the graphical techniques of Lambek [L] and Johnstone [J] used for monoid and category emedding results. We show that they are planar  $k$ -trees for  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ . We also show that higher-rank trees differ from 1-trees by giving examples of higher-rank trees having properties which are impossible for 1-trees. Finally, we collect more examples of higher-rank planar trees which are not in our family.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Higher-rank graphs (or  $k$ -graphs) were first described by the author and Alex Kumjian in [KP2] as a graphical model for the higher-rank Cuntz-Krieger algebras of [?]. The  $C^*$ -algebra associated to a higher-rank graph has attracted wide attention from researchers in operator algebras (see [E, FGLP, LV, Sp, Y] for example) as they are highly tractable and provide examples of classifiable  $C^*$ -algebras. Lately there has been a gradual investigation of higher-rank graphs from a combinatorial/topological point of view (see [PQR1, PQR2, KKQS, KPSW, BKPS]). From this work we now know that a higher-rank graph has a well-defined fundamental groupoid and a topological realisation which has the same fundamental group. The results in the most recent paper [BKPS], has left many questions unanswered.

This paper arose from solo work the author undertook after the project [BKPS] was completed. The author was genuinely suprised when certain well-known facts from planar graph theory entered into questions about higher-rank graphs, a natural curiosity then took over.

A major question addressed in [BKPS] was the emedability of a higher-rank graph in its fundamental groupoid. As a higher-rank graph with a single vertex is a monoid, it was natural to look for solutions to our problem in the study of monoids embedding in their enveloping group. The author happened upon the work of Mal'cev [Ma] and Lambek [L]. Lambek comes up with a geometric condition called the *polyhedral condition* ( $P$ ) [L, p. 35] which involves a system of equations derived from a polyhedral graph. See [H] for a good survey of this work. In [J] Johnstone provides similar construction for more general results for embedding categories in groupoids.

The authors of [BKPS] tried to refine these techniques to apply to higher-rank graphs but ran into technical difficulties. Nonetheless, the author, in solo work, began to focus on the combinatorial object which parametrises the system of equations used in the work of [L, J]. In particular the system of equations (such as in Lambek's condition ( $P$ )) is reminiscent of the equations for commuting squares in a higher-rank graph. Many examples provided by [L, B] turn out to be higher-rank graphs of rank 2 or 3. Not only that, they have trivial fundamental group.

These examples are then higher-rank trees; we wish to know more. One reason for wanting to find out more about such objects lies in the study of totally disconnected locally compact (tdlc) simple

---

*Date:* July 22, 2024.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 05C20; Secondary: 57M50,18D99.

This research was supported by ARC Discovery Projects Grant 200100155.

groups, where automorphism groups of (one-dimensional, homogeneous) trees play an important role (see [W, §3]). This paper therefore is part of a long-term ambition to produce new examples of simple tdlc. These examples will come when we turn to examples with infinitely many vertices (such as the 2-graphs associated to  $\tilde{A}_2$  groups discussed in [BKPS]) in future papers. We begin in section 2 by accumulating some background material for higher-rank graphs, in particular their description in terms of coloured directed graphs with a collection of commuting squares.

In section 3 we begin the construction of the combinatorial object used in [L, J]. In particular, for each convex (Eulerian, spherical) polyhedron appearing in their methods, they parametrise a system of equations indexed by half-lines. Johnstone then constructs a directed graph, called a quadrangle club, called thus as it is built out of squares. The system of equations (the Lambek half-arc equations) in question is then parametrised by subgraphs with four vertices and edges, called quadrangles. In section 3.3 we show that this system of equations could be coloured in the same way that the commuting squares in the coloured graph associated to a higher-rank graph are coloured. This computation is facilitated by the fact quadrangle club graph consists of square subgraphs. Furthermore, this set of commuting squares coming from a convex polyhedron is complete, and so gives rise to a higher-rank graph, see Theorem 3.15. Since the convex polyhedron is planar, this means that the dimension of resulting the higher-rank graph lies between two and four by the celebrated *four-color theorem* (see [AH1, AHK, AH2]). Furthermore, some basic graph theory allows us to characterise which convex polyhedra will give rise to 2-graphs (see Proposition 3.9).

The results in [KKQS] show that higher-rank graphs have a topological realisation which is consistent with their fundamental group, as defined in [PQR1]. It would then be natural to suppose, given their spherical connection, that the fundamental group of these new higher-rank graphs is trivial. We conjecture that, under a certain non-degeneracy condition, all planar higher-rank trees have dimension less than or equal to four.

In section 4 we address the question of computing the fundamental group. To compute the fundamental group of a higher-rank graphs, we use the techniques of [KPW] which begins with computing a maximal spanning tree of the underlying 1-skeleton. In section 4.1 we produce an algorithm for doing this which is weighted towards edges on the left of the commuting squares (for demonstrative reasons only, see Examples 4.2). We then produce a presentation of the fundamental group and argue that it is trivial by systematically showing all the generators of the group are trivial (see Theorem 4.4). This computation is facilitated by the fact that all paths in the quadrangle club are at most length two. Finally we use the results of [BKPS] to show in Corollary 4.7 that these new planar higher-rank graphs embed in their fundamental groupoid.

Finally we provide what the author believes are three good reasons for studying finite  $k$ -trees from a purely graphical perspective. First, a 1-tree is planar, however it is possible to find (in dimension greater than three) a higher rank tree which is not planar. Second, it is well-known that a 1-tree does not admit a (fixed-point) free automorphism of order three. However for a higher-rank tree of higher dimension, the category is not a free category, so there can be cycles (albeit trivial in the fundamental group). Third, we give an example of a rank-2 planar tree which admits a (fixed point) free automorphism of order 3. We also give an example of a planar higher-rank with an odd number of vertices which has no automorphisms.

We finish by giving other classes of examples of planar higher-rank trees. Then we connect with some finite higher-rank tree examples from the literature. Before finishing with a more complex example of rank 4.


The author would like to thank the other authors of [BKPS] for stimulating conversations about the embedding of higher-rank graphs in their fundamental groupoid, in particular the examples which stimulated their interest in studying them more deeply in this manuscript.

2. BACKGROUND

Let  $k \geq 1$  and  $\mathbb{N}^k$  denote the free abelian monoid with generators  $\{\varepsilon_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . Let  $\leq$  be the usual coordinatewise order on  $\mathbb{N}^k$ .


**2.1. Coloured graphs.** We briefly review the definitions given in [HRSW, §3] which themselves have been tailored for connections with higher-rank graphs: For  $k \geq 1$ , a  $k$ -coloured graph  $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$  is a directed graph along with a colour map  $c_E : E^1 \rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ . By considering  $\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$  as generators of the free group  $\mathbb{F}_k$ , we extend  $c_E : E^* \setminus E^0 \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_k^+$  by  $c_E(\mu_1 \cdots \mu_n) = c_E(\mu_1)c_E(\mu_2) \cdots c_E(\mu_n)$ . We will drop the subscript from  $c_E$  if there is no risk of confusion. For  $k$ -coloured directed graphs  $E$  and  $F$ , a coloured-graph morphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow E$  is a graph morphism satisfying  $c_E \circ \phi^1 = c_F$ .

*Example 2.1.* For  $k \geq 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}^k$ , the  $k$ -coloured graph  $(E_{k,m}, c_E)$  is defined by  $E_{k,m}^0 = \{n \in \mathbb{N}^k : 0 \leq n \leq m\}$ ,  $E_{k,m}^1 = \{f_i^n : n, n + \varepsilon_i \in E_{k,m}^0\}$ , with  $r(f_i^n) = n$ ,  $s(f_i^n) = n + \varepsilon_i$  and  $c_E(f_i^n) = c_i$ . The 2-coloured graph  $(E_{2,(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}, c_E)$  is used often, and is depicted to the right. For 2-coloured graphs, the convention is to draw edges with colour  $c_1$  blue (or solid) and edges with colour  $c_2$  red (or dashed).



**Definition 2.2.** Let  $(E, c'_E)$  be a  $k$ -coloured graph and  $i \neq j \leq k$ . A coloured graph morphism  $\phi : (E_{k,(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}, c_E) \rightarrow (E, c'_E)$  is a square in  $E$ .

One may represent a square  $\phi$  as a labelled version of  $(E_{2,(\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j)}, c_E)$ . For instance the 2-coloured graph below on the left has only one square  $\phi$ , shown to its right, given by  $\phi(n) = v$  for all  $n \in E_{2,(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}$ ,  $\phi(f_1^0) = \phi(f_1^{\varepsilon_2}) = e$  and  $\phi(f_2^0) = \phi(f_2^{\varepsilon_1}) = f$ .



Let  $\mathcal{C}_E = \{\phi : (E_{k,\varepsilon_i+\varepsilon_j}, c_E) \rightarrow (E, c'_E) : 1 \leq i \neq j \leq k\}$  denote the collection of squares in a  $k$ -coloured graph  $(E, c_E)$ .

**Definitions 2.3.** A collection of squares  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $(E, c_E)$  is called *complete* if for each  $i \neq j \leq k$  and  $c_i c_j$ -coloured path  $fg \in E^2$ , there exists a unique  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\phi(f_i^0) = f$  and  $\phi(f_j^{\varepsilon_i}) = g$ .

The uniqueness of  $\phi$  gives a unique  $c_j c_i$ -coloured path  $g'f'$  with  $g' = \phi(f_j^0)$  and  $f' = \phi(f_i^{\varepsilon_j})$ . We will write  $fg \sim_{\mathcal{C}} g'f'$  and refer to elements  $(fg, g'f')$  of this relation<sup>1</sup> as *commuting squares*.

*Remark 2.4.* According to [HRSW, Theorem 4.4, Theorem 4.5] If a coloured graph  $(E, c)$  has a complete set of squares and is such that there are no paths of length three (i.e.  $E^3 = \emptyset$ ). Then a condition, called *associativity*, used for squares in  $k$ -coloured graphs  $(E, c_E)$ , where  $k \geq 3$  does not come into play (see [HRSW, Equation (3.2)]).

**2.2. Higher-rank graphs.** A *higher-rank graph* (or a  $k$ -graph) is a countable category  $\Lambda$  with a degree functor  $d : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{N}^k$  satisfying the *factorisation property*: if  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}^k$  are such that  $d(\lambda) = m + n$ , then there are unique  $\mu, \nu \in \Lambda$  with  $d(\mu) = m$ ,  $d(\nu) = n$  and  $\lambda = \mu\nu$ .


<sup>1</sup>On  $\{(\alpha, \beta) \in E^2 \times E^2 : s(\alpha) = s(\beta), r(\alpha) = r(\beta), c(\alpha) = c_i c_j, c(\beta) = c_j c_i, i \neq j\}$

Given  $m \in \mathbb{N}^k$  we define  $\Lambda^m := d^{-1}(m)$ . Following [PQR1], for  $v, w \in \Lambda^0$  and  $F \subseteq \Lambda$  define  $vF := r^{-1}(v) \cap F$ ,  $Fw := s^{-1}(w) \cap F$ , and  $vFw := vF \cap Fw$ . If  $V \subset \Lambda^0$ , then  $V\Lambda = r^{-1}(V)$  and  $\Lambda V = s^{-1}(V)$ .

The factorisation property allows us to identify  $\Lambda^0$  with  $\text{Obj}(\Lambda)$ , and we call its elements *vertices*. A vertex  $v \in \Lambda^0$  is a *sink* if  $s^{-1}(v) = \emptyset$ , a vertex  $w \in \Lambda^0$  is a *source* if  $r^{-1}(w) = \emptyset$ . By the factorisation property, for each  $\lambda \in \Lambda$  and  $m \leq n \leq d(\lambda)$ , we may write  $\lambda = \lambda'\lambda''\lambda'''$ , where  $d(\lambda') = m$ ,  $d(\lambda'') = n - m$  and  $d(\lambda''') = d(\lambda) - n$ ; then  $\lambda(m, n) := \lambda''$ . For more information about  $k$ -graphs see [KP1, RSY1] for example.

We define the 1-skeleton of a  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  to be the  $k$ -coloured graph  $(\text{Sk}_\Lambda, c)$  given by  $\text{Sk}_\Lambda^0 = \text{Obj}(\Lambda)$ ,  $\text{Sk}_\Lambda^1 = \bigcup_{i \leq k} \Lambda^{\varepsilon_i}$ , with range and source as in  $\Lambda$ . The colouring map  $c : \text{Sk}_\Lambda^1 \rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$  is given by  $c(f) = c_i$  if and only if  $f \in \Lambda^{\varepsilon_i}$ . The 1-skeleton  $(\text{Sk}_\Lambda, c)$  comes with a canonical set of squares  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda := \{\phi_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda^{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} : i \neq j \leq k\}$ , where  $\phi_\lambda : E_{k, \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j} \rightarrow \text{Sk}_\Lambda$  is given by  $\phi_\lambda(\varepsilon_\ell^n) = \lambda(n, n + \varepsilon_\ell)$  for each  $n \leq \varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j$  and  $\ell = i, j$ . The collection  $\mathcal{C}_\Lambda$  is complete by [HRSW, Lemma 4.2].

*Example 2.5.* Adapting [RSY1] slightly, for each  $m \in \mathbb{N}^2$ , we define a 2-graph  $\Omega_{2,m}$  as follows: Let  $\Omega_{2,m} = \{(p, q) \in \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{N}^2 : p \leq q \leq m\}$ . We may define a range map  $r(p, q) = (p, p)$  and a source map  $s(p, q) = (q, q)$ , and composition  $(\ell, n) = (\ell, m)(m, n)$ . We define the degree map on  $\Omega_{2,m}$  by  $d(p, q) = q - p$ . Since the presence of  $m$  in the above definitions allows some coordinates to grow indefinitely and others not, we may identify  $\Omega_{2,m}^0$  with  $\{p \in \mathbb{N}^2 : p \leq m\}$  via the map  $(p, p) \mapsto p$ .



The 1-skeleton of  $\Omega_{2,(5,3)}$  is  $(E_{2,(5,3)}, c)$ , where  $(E_{2,(5,3)}, c)$  is defined in Definition 2.1.

**Definitions 2.6.** The  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  is *connected* if the equivalence relation  $\sim$  on  $\Lambda^0$  generated by  $\{(u, v) \mid u\Lambda v \neq \emptyset\}$  is  $\Lambda^0 \times \Lambda^0$ . A  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  is *singly connected* if there is at most one path between any two vertices; that is, for all  $u, v \in \Lambda^0$  we have  $|u\Lambda v| \leq 1$ .

**Definition 2.7.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph,  $G$  a countable group, and  $c : \Lambda \rightarrow G$  a 1-cocycle, then  $c$  is *essential* if the restriction of  $c$  to  $u\Lambda v$  is injective for all  $u, v \in \Lambda^0$ .

*Example 2.8.* It is straightforward to check that the  $k$ -graph  $\Omega_{k,m}$  described Example 2.5 is connected and singly connected. The degree functor is essential.

Every  $k$ -graph  $\Lambda$  has a fundamental groupoid, defined as follows (see [Sch, Section 19.1] or [PQR1, Section 3]). The following definitions come from [BKPS]:

**Definition 2.9.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph. There exists a groupoid  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  and a functor  $i : \Lambda \rightarrow \Pi(\Lambda)$  such that  $i(\Lambda^0) = \Pi(\Lambda)^0$ , with the following universal property:

For every functor  $F$  from  $\Lambda$  into a groupoid  $\mathcal{G}$ , there exists a unique groupoid homomorphism  $\tilde{F} : \Pi(\Lambda) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$  such that  $\tilde{F} \circ i = F$ . The pair  $(\Pi(\Lambda), i)$  is unique up to canonical isomorphism, so we refer to any such groupoid  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  as the *fundamental groupoid* of  $\Lambda$ .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Lambda & \xrightarrow{i} & \Pi(\Lambda) \\ & \searrow F & \downarrow \tilde{F} \\ & & \mathcal{G} \end{array}$$

The assignment  $\Lambda \mapsto \Pi(\Lambda)$  is a functor from  $k$ -graphs to groupoids. Note that  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  is denoted  $\mathcal{G}(\Lambda)$  in [PQR1]. Each  $k$ -graph also has a fundamental group; the standard definition, for connected  $k$ -graphs, is as any one of the isotropy groups of its fundamental groupoid, as follows.

**Definition 2.10.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a  $k$ -graph, then the *fundamental group*  $\pi_1(\Lambda, v)$  of  $\Lambda$  at  $v \in \Lambda^0$  is the isotropy group  $\pi_1(\Lambda, v) := v\Pi(\Lambda)v$  of  $\Pi(\Lambda)$  at  $v$ .

*Remark 2.11.* Let  $(\Lambda, d)$  be a  $k$ -graph, then the opposite category  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$  with the degree functor  $d_{\text{op}}(\lambda^{\text{op}}) = d(\lambda)$  is also a  $k$ -graph. It is straightforward to see that  $\Pi(\Lambda) = \Pi(\Lambda^{\text{op}})$  and hence  $\pi_1(\Lambda, v) = \pi_1(\Lambda^{\text{op}}, v)$ . The 1-skeleton of  $\Lambda^{\text{op}}$  is  $\text{Sk}_\Lambda^{\text{op}}$ , that is the directed graph  $(\Lambda^0, \Lambda^{\varepsilon_1} \sqcup \Lambda^{\varepsilon_2}, s, r)$ .

**2.3. Gluing higher -rank graphs.** There are results about gluing higher-rank graphs in written in categorical terminology in [KPSW, §2]. Since we are using coloured graphs with commuting squares to model higher-rank graphs exclusively here, we pause to give these results from a coloured graph perspective.

Let  $(E, c)$  be a  $k$ -coloured graph with squares  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose that  $\sim_1$  is an equivalence relation on  $E^0 \sqcup E^1$  with the following property:

$$\text{For } e, e' \in E^1 \text{ we have if } e \sim_1 e' \text{ then } c(e) = c(e'), s(e) \sim_1 s(e'), r(e) \sim_1 r(e').$$

*Remark 2.12.* We may expand the equivalence relation  $\sim_1$  on  $E^0 \sqcup E^1$ , to a relation  $\sim$  on all of  $E^*$  as follows: First we define a relation  $\sim_2$  on  $\sqcup_{i=0}^2 E^i$  as follows:

$$(2.1) \quad x \sim_2 y \text{ if and only if } \begin{cases} x = ef, y = e'f' & e \sim_1 e', f \sim_1 f', \\ x \sim_1 y & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Note that  $c(e) = c(e')$  and  $c(f) = c(f')$  is automatic by definition of  $\sim_1$ . Then for  $n \geq 3$  we define a relation  $\sim_n$  on  $\sqcup_{i=0}^n E^i$  as follows:  $x \sim_n y$  if and only if either  $x = \alpha, y = \alpha'$  with

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_{n-1} \sim_{n-1} \alpha_1' \cdots \alpha_{n-1}', \text{ and } \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n \sim_{n-1} \alpha_2' \cdots \alpha_n', \text{ or } \alpha \sim_{n-1} \alpha'.$$

This leads us to a relation  $\sim$  on  $E^*$ , whose properties we summarise below.

**Lemma 2.13** (Quotient graph). *Let  $(E, c)$  be a  $k$ -coloured graph with commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}$ . Suppose that  $\sim_1$  is an equivalence relation on  $E^0 \sqcup E^1$  with the following properties: For  $e, e' \in E^1$  if  $e \sim_1 e'$  then  $c(e) = c(e')$ ,  $s(e) \sim_1 s(e')$ ,  $r(e) \sim_1 r(e')$ . Then the relation  $\sim$  on  $E^*$  described in Remark 2.12 above is an equivalence relation.*

*Set  $E/\sim = (E^0/\sim, E^1/\sim, r, s)$ ,  $c([e]) = c(e)$  where  $r([e]) = [r(e)]$ ,  $s([e]) = [s(e)]$ . Then  $(E/\sim, c)$  is a  $k$ -coloured graph with squares  $\mathcal{C}/\sim = \{[e][f]\sim[g][h] : ef \sim_c gh\}$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $E^*$  follows by showing that each  $\sim_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  is an equivalence relation on  $\sqcup_{i=0}^n E^i$ . The rest is a straightforward calculation.

It follows that if  $x \sim_1 y$  for  $x, y \in E^0 \sqcup E^1$  then either  $x, y \in E^0$  or  $x, y \in E^1$  as the domain of  $c$  is  $E^1$ , and  $r(v) = s(v) = v$  if  $v \in E^0$ . Let  $[e]_1$  denote the equivalence class containing  $e \in E^1$  and suppose that  $e' \in [e]_1$ . Then  $c(e) = c(e')$ ,  $s(e) \sim_1 s(e')$ ,  $r(e) \sim_1 r(e')$ . Hence, the formulas

$$c_1([e]_1) := c(e), \quad r([e]_1) = [r(e)]_1, \text{ and } s([e]_1) = [s(e)]_1$$

are well defined and so the quotient coloured graph  $E/\sim_1 = (E^0/\sim_1, E^1/\sim_1, r, s)$ ,  $c_1([e]_1) = c(e)$  makes sense. One checks

$$(E/\sim, c) = (E^0/\sim, E^1/\sim, r, s) = (E/\sim_1, c_1) = (E^0/\sim_1, E^1/\sim_1, r, s)$$

where  $c([e]) = c(e) = c([e]_1)$  as the equivalence relations  $\sim_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  are nested (cf. (2.1), (2.2)).

Suppose  $ef \sim_c gh$  in  $(E, c)$ , then we claim that  $[e][f] \sim_c [g][h]$  in  $(E/\sim, c)$ . By (2.1) we have  $[ef]_2 = [e]_1[f]_1$ , and similarly  $[fg]_2 = [f]_1[g]_1$ . Then  $[e]_1[f]_1 = [ef]_2 = [gh]_2 = [g]_1[h]_1$  and so  $[e]_1[f]_1 \sim_c [g]_1[h]_1$ , and the result follows by the nesting property of  $\sim$ .  $\square$

*Notation 2.14.* We shall refer to  $(E/\sim, c)$  as the *quotient  $k$ -coloured graph* of generated by the equivalence relation  $\sim_1$  with quotient squares  $\mathcal{C}/\sim$ .

**Definition 2.15** (Hereditary and co-hereditary subgraphs). Let  $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$  be a directed graph with  $E^0, E^1$  finite. A locally convex subgraph  $F = (F^0, F^1, r, s)$  is *hereditary* if every finite path which enters  $F$  cannot leave  $F$ , that is  $r^{-1}(F^1) \subset F^0$ . A locally convex subgraph  $F = (F^0, F^1, r, s)$  is *co-hereditary* if every finite path which leaves  $F$  cannot return to  $F$ , that is  $r^{-1}(E^1 \setminus F^1) \subset E^0 \setminus F^0$ .

*Example 2.16.* Consider the graph  $q_{al}$  in (2.3) below. The locally convex subgraph  $F^0 = \{L(a), r(a)\}$ ,  $F^1 = \{(L(a), a)\}$ , with inherited range and source maps is both hereditary and co-hereditary.

Now consider the graph  $q_{al}\#q_{ar}$  in (2.3) below. The locally convex subgraph  $F$  with  $F^0 = \{R'(a), r(a), L(a), a\}$ ,  $F^1 = \{(r(a), R'(a)), (L(a), a), (s(a), L(a)), (a, R'(a))\}$ , with inherited range and source maps is hereditary as it takes in the sink  $a$  of  $q_{al}\#q_{ar}$ .

The following is an analogue of [KPSW, Example 2.2].

**Theorem 2.17** (Gluing hereditary and co-hereditary  $k$ -coloured graphs). Let  $(E_1, c_1), (E_2, c_2)$  be two  $k$ -coloured graphs with squares  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2$  respectively. Suppose  $F_1$  (resp.  $F_2$ ) are locally convex subgraphs of  $E_1$  (resp.  $E_2$ ) with squares  $\mathcal{C}_1^F$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_2^F$ ). Let  $\phi : F_1 \rightarrow F_2$  be a  $k$ -coloured graph isomorphism which sends squares in  $(F_1, c_1)$  to squares in  $(F_2, c_2)$ . Let  $G = (E_1 \sqcup E_2, c_1 \sqcup c_2)$  be  $k$ -coloured graph which consists of the disjoint union of  $E_1, E_2$  induced colouring function and squares  $\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2$ . Define a relation  $\sim_1$  on  $G^0, G^1$  by

- (1)  $v \sim_1 w$  if and only if there are  $v' \in F_1^0, w' \in F_2^0$  such that  $\phi(v') = v$  and  $\phi(w') = w \in F_2^0$ .
- (2)  $e \sim_1 f$  if and only if  $c(e) = c(f)$ , and there are  $e' \in F_2^1, f' \in F_2^1$  such that  $\phi(e) = e'$  and  $\phi(f) = f'$ .

Then we have the following

- (i) The relation  $\sim_1$  is an equivalence relation and gives rise to an equivalence relation  $\sim$ , as defined in Lemma 2.13, is an equivalence relation on  $G^*$  and  $(G/\sim, c_1 \sqcup c_2)$  is a  $k$ -coloured graph with squares  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2)/\sim = \{[e][f] \sim [g][h] : ef \sim_{c_1} gh \text{ or } ef \sim_{c_2} gh\}$ .
- (ii) Suppose that  $F_1, F_2$  are both hereditary (resp. co-hereditary) subgraphs of  $E_1$  (resp.  $E_2$ ) and that  $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_1|_{F_1}$  (resp.  $\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_2|_{F_2}$ ) are complete sets of squares in  $(E_1, c_1)$  (resp.  $(E_2, c_2)$ ). Then the collection of squares  $(\mathcal{C}_1 \sqcup \mathcal{C}_2)/\sim = \{[e][f] \sim [g][h] : ef \sim_{c_1} gh \text{ or } ef \sim_{c_2} gh\}$  in  $(G/\sim, c_1 \sqcup c_2)$  is complete.

*Proof.* For (i) we begin by observing that  $\sim_1$  is an equivalence relation satisfying the hypotheses of Lemma 2.13 as  $\phi$  is a graph morphism and so  $s(e) \sim_1 s(e'), r(e) \sim_1 r(e')$ . Hence (i) holds by applying this result.


For (ii) shall concentrate on the arguments for the hereditary case, leaving the co-hereditary case out as it will be similar. Consider  $gh \in G_2^2$ . Without loss of generality suppose  $h \in E_2^1$ . Now we must break into two cases: First  $h \in F_2^1$ , second  $h \notin F_2^1$  (recognising that one of these cases may be vacuous).

Firstly, as  $F_2$  is hereditary  $g \in F_2^1$ , and then  $gh \in F_2^2$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_2|_{F_2}$  is complete there is  $e'f' \in F_2^2$  such that  $e'f' \sim_{c_2} gh$ . Since  $\phi$  is bijective and square preserving, there is  $ef \in F_1^2$  such that  $\phi(ef) = e'f'$ . By definition of  $\sim$  we have  $[e][f] \sim [g][h]$  where  $[e][f] = [e][f] \sim_{c_2} [g][h]$ . Secondly, we have  $gh \in E_2^2$ . Since  $\mathcal{C}_2$  is complete there is  $ef \in E_2^2$  such that  $ef \sim_{c_2} gh$ . By definition of  $\sim$  we have  $[e][f] \sim [g][h]$  where  $[e][f] \sim_{c_2} [g][h]$ .  $\square$

*Example 2.18.* In section 3 we shall meet the 2-coloured graphs  $(q'_{al}, c_1)$  and  $(q_{ar}, c_2)$  each with one commuting square. We wish to glue them along the hereditary subgraphs  $F', F$  consisting

of the edges  $(L(a'), a')$ ,  $(L(a), a)$  respectively and the accompanying range and source vertices, to form the 2-coloured graph  $(q'_{al} \# q_{ar}, c_1 \sqcup c_2)$  as shown below. Indeed, this graph may then be then be glued along the edges  $(r(a'), R'(a'))$ ,  $(r(a'), L(a'))$ ,  $(s(a), L(a))$  and  $(s(a), R(a))$  to a related “mirror” graph, to form the graph found in (3.6).

(2.3)



Conversely we may “cut-off” hereditary and cohereditary locally convex subgraphs from a  $k$ -coloured graph.

*Remark 2.19.* Suppose that  $(F, c)$  is a hereditary subgraph of  $(E, c)$  and  $(fe, e'f')$  is a commuting square in  $E$ , then if  $s(e) \in F^0$  then  $e, f, e'f' \in F^1$  similarly if  $(F, c)$  is a cohereditary subgraph of  $(E, c)$  and  $s(e) \notin F^0$  then  $e, f, e', f' \in E^1 \setminus F^1$ .

**Theorem 2.20.** *Let  $(E, c)$  be a  $k$ -coloured graph with complete set of squares  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $(F, c)$  be a hereditary (resp. cohereditary) subgraph. Let  $(E \setminus F, c) := ((E^0 \setminus F^0, E^1 \setminus F^1, r, s), c)$ ,*


$$\mathcal{C}|_{E \setminus F} = \{(fe, e'f') \in \mathcal{C} : s(e) \notin F^0\}, \quad \mathcal{C}|_F = \{(fe, e'f') \in \mathcal{C} : s(e) \in F^0\}$$

*Then  $(F, c), \mathcal{C}|_F$  is a  $k$ -coloured graph with a complete set of squares (resp.  $(E \setminus F, c), \mathcal{C}|_{E \setminus F}$  is a  $k$ -coloured graph with a complete set of squares).*

*Proof.* In the case  $(F, c)$ , with commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}|_F$ , the commuting squares are exactly the ones with vertices within  $F$  and since  $\mathcal{C}$  is complete for  $E^0$  it follows that  $\mathcal{C}|_F$  is complete for  $F^0$ . A similar argument applies for  $(E \setminus F, c)$ , with commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}|_{E \setminus F}$ .  $\square$

### 3. HIGHER-RANK GRAPHS FORMED FROM QUADRANGLE CLUBS BUILT FROM POLYHEDRAL GRAPHS

**3.1. Convex polyhedra and polyhedral graphs.** Roughly speaking, a *convex polyhedron* and a *polyhedral graph* are one and the same thing, but in a different place. The first of which is an undirected graph embedded in the sphere and the second is a planar graph derived from the former by stereographic projection (clearly this process is reversible). In the diagram below we have the convex polyhedron with points  $v_1, v_2$  on the equator together with arcs  $a_0$  round the left equator,  $a_2$  round the right equator and  $a_1$  round the south pole. This polyhedron maps via stereographic projection to the polyhedral graph,  $C_2$ , shown on the right.



Our starting point is, as in [L, §1], is a convex (called Eulerian in [L]) polyhedron  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  described by finite sets of points and arcs  $(P, A)$ , inscribed on the sphere  $S^2$ . Since the graph is embedded on a sphere there is no distinction between the bounded faces and the unbounded face of the graph. So the set  $P$  is a finite set  $p$  of *points* on the sphere, and  $A$  is a collection of mutually disjoint subsets  $a$  of  $S^2 \setminus P$ , called *arcs*. Each arc is homeomorphic to the interval  $(0, 1)$  such that for each  $a \in A$  there are distinct  $r(a), s(a) \in P$  such that  $\bar{a} = a \cup \{r(a), s(a)\}$  (that is  $\mathfrak{P}$  has no loops/cycles), and no other arc  $b \neq a$  satisfies  $\bar{b} = b \cup \{r(a), s(a)\}$  (that is  $\mathfrak{P}$  has no parallel edges). Hence we may identify  $A$  with  $\{(v, w) \in P^2 : r(a) = v \neq w = s(a) \text{ some } a \in A\}$ . For each  $a \in A$  the points  $r(a), s(a) \in P$  are said to be *neighbours*. The interior of each arc contains no vertex and no point of an other arc (that is  $\mathfrak{P}$  is planar). The *valency* (or degree)  $d_{\mathfrak{P}}(v)$  of a point  $v \in P$  in the graph  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  is the number of neighbours of  $v$ .

The complement of  $\cup_{p \in P} p \cup \cup_{a \in A} a$  on the sphere then consists of  $|A| - |P| + 2$  distinct open sets which we call the *faces*. We write  $F$  for the set of faces and typically denote its elements by  $\bar{f}$ . By hypothesis we have the following three adjacencies: *an arc  $a$  is adjacent to a face  $f$  if  $a \subseteq \bar{f}$ , an arc  $a$  is adjacent to a point  $p$  if  $p \in \bar{a}$ , and a point  $p$  is adjacent to a face  $f$  if  $p \in \bar{f}$ .* **Standing assumption:** We assume that  $\mathfrak{P}$  is connected, and that every point is adjacent to two arcs (that is  $\mathfrak{P}$  has no sinks/sources). For more information on planar graphs see [D, §4].

We shall need to use some of the methods of Johnstone [J] found in his paper on embeddings of categories in a groupoid. Since we will not require the full generality of Johnstone's set up, we describe a specific arrangement which he calls a quadrangle club, which arises from a Lambek diagram as in [L]. The specific quadrangle clubs we consider are the ones described in [J, §6].

**3.2. Quadrangle clubs and half-arc Lambek conditions.** Johnstone's method for constructing a quadrangle club involves placing a vertex in the middle of each arc to produce two *half-arcs*: For each arc  $a$  in the convex polyhedron, this produces two half arcs called  $(r(a), a)$  and  $(a, s(a))$  by dividing the arc  $a$  in two, with "midpoint" labelled  $a$ . Each half-arc maintains the face-adjacency of  $a$ , that is  $L(a) = L((r(a), a) \sqcup L((a, s(a)))$  and  $R(a) = R((r(a), a) \sqcup R((a, s(a)))$  (see (3.3)).

**Definition 3.1** (Lambek quadrangle club). Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  a polyhedral graph described as above, define

$$(3.2) \quad E^0 := P \sqcup A \sqcup F \quad \text{and} \quad E^1 = \{(a, f) \in A \times F : a \in \bar{f}\} \cup \{(f, p) \in F \times P : p \in \bar{f}\}.$$

The range and source maps are defined by  $r(x, y) = x$  and  $s(x, y) = y$ . That is, there is an edge in  $E^1$  from each point in  $P$  to each face to which it is adjacent, and there is an edge in  $E^1$  from each face in  $F$  to each arc adjacent to it. This graph  $E = E(\mathfrak{P}) = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$  is the *Lambek quadrangle club* associated to  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  <sup>2</sup>.

Note that  $E$  is a directed graph with  $E^3 = \emptyset$ , that is there are no paths of length three in  $E$ . Our standing assumption entails that  $E$  has no cycles/loops, parallel edges or sources/sinks.

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a polyhedral graph and  $E$  its associated quadrangle club, then  $E$  is planar.*

*Proof.* To confirm planarity we must consider the undirected version  $\bar{E}$  of  $E$ , in which every edge  $e \in E^1$  has an opposite  $\bar{e}$  with the opposite direction. Consideration of the range map on  $E$  shows that  $r : F \rightarrow A$  and  $r : P \rightarrow F$ . A moments thought will show that, in the undirected case, all cycles in  $\bar{E}$  have even length. Hence  $\bar{E}$  cannot contain the Peterson graph  $K_5$  as a subgraph. Next

<sup>2</sup>This determines a Lambek quadrangle club in the sense of [J, Definition 1.2], if we colour elements of  $P$  blue, elements of  $F$  red and elements of  $A$  green, and we colour elements of  $(A \times F) \cap E^1$  purple, and elements of  $(F \times P) \cap E^1$  yellow.



we claim that  $\bar{E}$  is not bipartite. Consideration of the source map on  $E$  shows that  $s : A \rightarrow F$  and  $s : F \rightarrow P$ . Suppose, for contradiction that  $\bar{E}$  is bipartite, then  $E^0 = U \sqcup V$ . From the properties of the range and source maps we have  $F \subset U$  and  $F \subset V$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\bar{E}$  is not bipartite and so does not contain the complete bipartite graph  $K_{3,3}$ . Therefore by Kuratowski's theorem [Kur, Théorème B]  $\bar{E}$ , and hence  $E$  is planar.  $\square$


Following [J, Definition 1.1(3)] we have:

**Definition 3.3** (Quadrangle). A *quadrangle*  $q$  of a quadrangle club  $E = (E^0, E^1, r, s)$  as above is a subgraph parametrised by a half-arc of  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  with four vertices: one from  $P$ , two from  $F$  and one from  $A$  and four edges derived from the half arc.

More precisely, each arc  $a \in A$  gives rise to two quadrangles  $q_{ar}$  and  $q_{al}$ , from its two half-arcs as follows:

- $q_{ar}$  Consists of the vertices  $s(a), a, L(a), R(a)$ , where  $L(a)$  and  $R(a)$  are the two faces to which the arc  $a$  is adjacent. We let  $L(a), R(a)$  be determined by the orientation of the sphere. The four edges in the quadrangle connect these vertices as shown to the right below.
- $q_{al}$  Consists of the vertices  $r(a), a, L(a), R(a)$ . The four edges in the quadrangle connect these vertices as shown to the left below.

(3.3)



**Definition 3.4** (Half-arc Lambek conditions). Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a polyhedral graph and  $E$  the associated Lambek quadrangle club. Fix  $a \in A$ . The *half-arc Lambek conditions* for the half-arc pair  $\{(a, s(a)), (r(a), a)\}$  are equations  $h_{al}, h_{ar}$  in the category  $E^*$ , formed from the quadrangles  $q_{al}, q_{ar}$  respectively as shown below


$$(3.4) \quad h_{al} := (a, R(a))(R(a), r(a)) = (a, L(a))(L(a), r(a)), \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad h_{ar} := (a, R(a))(R(a), s(a)) = (a, (L(a))(L(a), s(a)).$$

*Remarks 3.5.* When the arcs in a polyhedral graph are presented as a enumerated list, say  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{|A|}\}$  then we use the subscript  $i$  in place of  $a_i$  in the name of the quadrangles  $q_{il}, q_{ir}$  and half-arc Lambek conditions  $h_{il}, h_{ir}$  associated to  $a_i \in A$ .

There are  $2|A|$  half-arc Lambek conditions. They are of the form  $xy = y'x'$  where  $x, x' \in A \times F$  and  $y, y' \in F \times P$ . Fix  $a \in A$ , the edge  $(a, R(a))$  (resp.  $(a, L(a))$ ) appears twice in the totality of half-arc Lambek conditions, always on the left of the condition  $xy = y'x'$  (resp. right), once in (3.4) and once in (3.5) and can appear nowhere else. The edge  $(L(a), r(a))$  also appears twice, once in (3.4) (on the right) and once in  $h_{bl}$  where  $s(b) = r(a)$  (on the left)

*Example 3.6.* Consider the following polyhedral graph  $C_1 = (P, A)$  which has been drawn and labelled helpfully to closely resemble its Lambek quadrangle club. Its corresponding convex polyhedron may be formed by deleting the edge  $a_1$  for  $C_2$  as shown in (3.1) and renumbering.




Lambek quadrangle club

$$E^0 = \{v_1, v_2, a_0, a_1, r_0, r_1\} \text{ and}$$

$$E^1 = \{(a_0, r_0), (a_0, r_1), (a_1, r_0), (a_1, r_1)\} \cup \{(r_0, v_1), (r_1, v_1), (r_0, v_2), (r_1, v_2)\}.$$

Here below we draw the quadrangle club graph  $E$ , we draw it on top of polyhedral graph  $(P, A)$  so that one can see how it is constructed. The vertex  $r_0$ , corresponding to the infinite region  $r_0$ , repeated to make the picture easier to visualise when drawn on paper. A choice of orientation  $R(a_0) = r_0 = R(a_1)$  has been made.



There are two pairs of half-arcs  $\{(a_0, v_1), (a_0, v_2)\}$ ,  $\{(a_1, v_2), (a_1, v_1)\}$  giving rise to four quadrangles  $q_{0l}, q_{0r}, q_{1l}, q_{1r}$  in  $E$ . The half-arc Lambek conditions are

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} h_{0l} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_1) & h_{0r} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_2) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_2) \\ h_{1l} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_1) & h_{1r} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_2) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

*Remark 3.7.* A choice of orientation was made during the construction of  $E$  from  $C_1$  in Example 3.6. A different choice of orientation would result in the same equations being generated in (3.7) but in a different order.

**3.3. Colouring the Lambek quadrangle club.** Here the idea is to colour the edges of Lambek quadrangle club  $E$  so that the Lambek half-arc equations (3.4), (3.5) colour the squares of  $E$  to form a coloured graph with a complete set of squares in the sense of Definition 2.3. This will enable us to produce a higher-rank graph using [HRSW] as we had set out to do.

To create the necessary complete set of squares in  $E$ , we colour the edges occurring in the half arc Lambek conditions (3.4) (3.5). Thus creating coloured commuting squares in the sense of [HRSW]. In particular, we must assign edges on opposite sides of the square in (3.4), (3.5) the same colour. For certain types of polyhedral graph, this turns out to be particularly easy:


*Remark 3.8.* The *dual* of a planar graph  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  is (naturally) a planar graph  $\hat{\mathfrak{P}} = (F, \hat{A})$  whose vertices are the faces of  $\mathfrak{P}$  and there is an arc  $(f_1, f_2)$  between faces  $f_1, f_2$  if there is an arc  $a \in A$  such that  $L(a) = f_1, R(a) = f_2$  (resp.  $L(a) = f_2, R(a) = f_1$ ). Since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is an Eulerian polygon (polyhedral graph) by Steinitz's Theorem [St] the dual graph is uniquely determined up to isomorphism.

We thank Math.Stackexchange for directing us to a proof which otherwise we could not cite (see [MSt]).

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a polyhedral graph with points  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  in which every point has even valency. Then there is a function  $c : R \rightarrow \{c_1, c_2\}$  such that no two adjacent regions have the same colour.*

*Proof.* Since every point in  $\mathfrak{P}$  has even valency, the same is true of the dual graph  $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}} = (F, \hat{A})$ . Hence  $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}}$  has no odd cycles and so by [D, Proposition 1.6.1] it is bipartite. Hence  $F = F_1 \sqcup F_2$  and no two faces in  $F_1$  (resp.  $F_2$ ) are adjacent. Define  $c : R \rightarrow \{c_1, c_2\}$  by  $c(f) = c_i$  if and only if  $f \in F_i, i = 1, 2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

*Example 3.10* (Lunar examples). In Bush [B], a family of polyhedral graphs  $C_n, n \geq 1$  are described where the direct Mal'cev and half-arc Lambek conditions overlap. From [B, p. 440] we have the following:  $V = \{v_1, v_2\}, A = \{a_0, \dots, a_{n-1}\}, F = \{r_0, \dots, r_n\}$ .<sup>3</sup> In the diagram given below we show the vertices  $\{v_1, v_2\}$ , half-arcs  $\{(a_0, v_1), (a_0, v_2)\}, \dots, \{(a_{n-1}, v_1), (a_{n-1}, v_2)\}$ , and the regions  $r_0, \dots, r_n$ .



The half-arc Lambek lunar conditions for  $C_n, n \geq 1$  are  
 $h_{il} := (a_i, r_i)(r_i, v_1) = (a_i, r_{i+1 \pmod{2}})(r_{i+1 \pmod{2}}, v_1)$  and  
 $h_{ir} := (a_i, r_i)(r_i, v_2) = (a_i, r_{i+1 \pmod{2}})(r_{i+1 \pmod{2}}, v_2)$ ,  
 where  $0 \leq i \leq n - 1$ .

$C_1$  is the polyhedral graph in Example 3.14 and  $C_2$  is the polyhedral graph in (3.1). It is easy to see that if  $n$  is odd then  $C_n$  is 2-colourable by Proposition 3.9 as  $v_1, v_2$  have even degree. Furthermore, if  $n$  is even then it is easy to see that  $C_n$  is 3-colourable using the fact that  $C_{n-1}$  is 2-colourable.

*General colouring algorithm:* First check if every vertex in the polyhedral graph has even degree, then by Proposition 3.9 we know that the plane can be coloured by two colours, and proceed by the method suggested there. It is known that there is no polynomial-time algorithm for determining if a planar graph is 3-colourable. Since  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  is planar we know by [AH1, AHK, AH2] that we need at most 4 colours. Let  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$  be the regions within  $\mathfrak{P}$  and fix a colouring function  $c : R \rightarrow \{c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4\}$  such that for each region/face  $f_k$  of  $\mathfrak{P}$  such that no two adjacent regions have the same colour.

*Remark 3.11.* The choice of colouring function  $c$  above gives rise to some ambiguity about the nature of the resulting coloured graph. The colouring function must therefore be carried along as supplied data for  $k > 2$  unless know for sure that the graph is 3-colourable (see Example 3.10).

*Example 3.12.* The convex polyhedron shown below may be coloured in two different ways:




**Definition 3.13** (Colouring quadrangles). Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a polyhedral graph, and  $E$  the associated Lambek quadrangle club. Let  $Q = \{q_{ar}, q_{al} : a \in A\}$  be the collection of quadrangles.

$q_{al}$ : In the quadrangle  $q_{al}$  we associate the colour  $c(R(a))$  associated to the region  $R(a)$  to the edges  $(L(a), r(a)), (a, R(a))$  (see (3.4) and (3.5)). By definition opposite edges  $(R(a), r(a)), (a, (L(a)))$  are assigned the (different) colour  $c(L(a))$  of the region  $L(a)$ .

<sup>3</sup>Hence  $|V| = 2, |A| = n$  and  $|F| = n + 1$  so  $V + F = A + 2$ .

$q_{ar}$ : In the quadrangle  $q_{ar}$  we associate the colour  $c(R(a))$  associated to the region  $R(a)$  to the edges  $(L(a), s(a)), (a, R(a))$  (see (3.4) and (3.5)). By definition opposite edges  $(R(a), s(a)), (a, L(a))$  are assigned the (different) colour  $c(L(a))$  of the region  $L(a)$ .

*Example 3.14.* Let  $\mathfrak{P} = C_1$  be the polyhedral graph shown below drawn with solid lines. We have also drawn the dual graph (dotted)  $\widehat{\mathfrak{P}} = (\{r_0, r_1\}, \{(r_0, r_1), (r_1, r_0)\})$  (see Remark 3.8). Then the quadrangle club  $E$  is formed as shown below.



Lambek quadrangle club

$$E^0 = \{v_1, v_2, a_0, a_1, r_0, r_1\} \text{ and}$$


$$E^1 = \{(a_0, r_0), (a_0, r_1), (a_1, r_0), (a_1, r_1)\} \cup \{(r_0, v_1), (r_1, v_1), (r_0, v_2), (r_1, v_2)\}.$$

Since every vertex has even degree we may associate the colour blue to the region  $r_0$  and the colour red to the region  $r_1$  and an orientation such that  $R(a_0) = r_0$  and  $R(a_1) = r_0$ . There are two half arc pairs  $\{(a_0, v_1), (a_0, v_2)\}$  and  $\{(a_1, v_2), (a_1, v_1)\}$  giving rise to four quadrangles

$$\begin{aligned} q_{0l} &= [(r_1, v_1), (r_0, v_1), (a_0, r_1), (a_0, r_0)], & q_{1l} &= [(r_1, v_2), (r_0, v_2), (a_0, r_1), (a_0, r_0)], \\ q_{0r} &= [(r_1, v_1), (r_0, v_1), (a_1, r_1), (a_1, r_0)], & q_{1r} &= [(r_1, v_2), (r_0, v_2), (a_1, r_1), (a_1, r_0)]. \end{aligned}$$

In quadrangle  $q_{0l}$  we colour the edges  $(a_0, r_0), (r_1, v_1)$  blue and the edges  $(r_0, v_1), (a_0, r_1)$  red and so on. Here below we draw the 2-coloured Lambek quadrangle club graph, we draw it on on top of polyhedral graph  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  so that one can see how it is constructed.

(3.8)



Half-arc Lambek conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{0l} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_1), \\ h_{1l} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_2) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_2), \\ h_{0r} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_1), \\ h_{1r} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_2) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_2). \end{aligned}$$

**3.4. The coloured Lambek quadrangle club  $(E, c)$ .** Following section 3.3, we know that  $(E, c)$  is a  $k$ -coloured graph,  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ . Let  $Q = \{q_{ar}, q_{al} : a \in A\}$  be the collection of quadrangles in  $E$ , with associated commuting squares given by the half-arc Lambek conditions. Each quadrangle gives rise to a square in the coloured graph  $(E, c)$ : For instance, fix  $a \in A$  and consider the quadrangle

$$q_{al} = ((a, R(a)), (R(a), r(a)), (a, L(a)), (L(a), r(a)))$$

where  $(a, R(a)), (L(a), r(a))$  have colour  $c_i$  and  $(a, L(a)), (R(a), r(a))$  have colour  $c_j$ . Then following section 2.1 and consulting (3.3) we construct a square  $\phi_{al}$  in  $(E, c)$  by defining  $\phi_{al} : (E_{k, (\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j)}, c_E) \rightarrow (E, c)$  by

$$\phi_{al}(0) = r(a), \quad \phi_{al}(\varepsilon_i) = R(a), \quad \phi_{al}(\varepsilon_j) = L(a) \text{ and } \phi_{al}(\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon_j) = s(a),$$

$$\phi_{al}(f_i^0) = (a, R(a)), \quad \phi_{al}(f_j^{\varepsilon_i}) = (r(a), L(a)), \quad \phi_{al}(f_0^j) = (a, L(a)), \quad \phi_{al}(f_i^{\varepsilon_j}) = (r(a), R(a)),$$

and similarly a square  $\phi_{ar}$  for the quadrangle  $q_{ar}$ . The the set  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $2|A|$  commuting squares in  $(E, c)$  is then

$$(3.9) \quad \mathcal{C} = \{\phi_{al}, \phi_{ar} : a \in A\}.$$

Recall from Definitions 2.3, a collection of squares  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $(E, c)$  is complete if for each  $i \neq j \leq k$  and  $c_i c_j$ -coloured path  $fg \in E^2$  there is a unique  $c_j c_i$ -coloured path  $g'f'$  with the same range and source such that  $(fg, g'f') \in \mathcal{C}$ .

**Theorem 3.15.** *Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a convex polyhedron and  $E$  its associated Lambek quadrangle club which has been  $k$ -coloured,  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , according to Definition 3.13. Then the  $2|A|$  commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}$  (3.9) given by the Lambek half-arc equations (3.4) and (3.5) are complete. Hence  $(E, c)$  with commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}$  gives rise to a connected, singly connected rank- $k$  graph  $\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}$ .*

*Proof.* First we note that since there are no paths of length three or more in  $E$ , so the associativity condition of [HRSW, §3] does not apply. To check completeness there are only two cases to consider as paths of length 2 in  $E$  have only one possible form. For the case  $fg = (a, R(a))(R(a), r(a)) \in E^2$  for some  $a \in A$  with colours  $c_i c_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ . The range and source tell us that this path forms part of the Lambek half-arc equation  $q_{al} = (a, R(a))(R(a), r(a)) = (a, L(a))(L(a), r(a))$ . By Definition 3.13 the path  $g'f' = (a, L(a))(L(a), r(a))$  is  $c_j c_i$ -coloured and is the unique path such that  $(fg, g'f') \in \mathcal{C}$ . For the case  $fg = (a, R(a))(R(a), s(a))$  for some  $a \in A$  with colours  $c_i c_j$ ,  $i \neq j$ , a similar argument applies. Finally, applying [HRSW, Theorem 4.5] completes the proof. By the standing assumption  $\mathfrak{P}$  is connected and so we can see from (3.3) that the three different types  $P, A, F$  comprising the vertices of  $E$  are mutually connected. Hence the 1-skeleton  $\text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}}$ , is connected. That  $\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}$  is singly connected follows from the statement about paths of length two in  $E = \text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}}$  given above.  $\square$

*Remark 3.16.* One may carry out the above construction but instead turn the arrows around in a quadrangle (see (3.3)). Everything works through, except that the half-arc equations (3.4) and (3.4) must be reversed to account for the new quadrangles. The resulting rank- $k$  graph  $\Lambda_{(E^{\text{opp}}, \mathcal{C}^{\text{opp}})}$  will be the opposite of the one constructed in Theorem 3.15.

#### 4. COMPUTING THE FUNDAMENTAL GROUP OF $\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}$

In order to apply the results of [KPW] we must first chose a maximal spanning tree for the planar 1-skeleton  $\text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}} = E$  of  $\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}$ . We do this as follows: Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a polyhedral graph where  $P = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$ ,  $R = \{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ ,  $A = \{a_1, \dots, a_{m+n-2}\}$ , for  $m, n \geq 2$  and  $E$  its quadrangle club graph which has  $N = 2|A| + 2$  vertices. Fix a colouring  $c : E^1 \rightarrow \{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$ ,  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ . Let  $Q = \{q_{ar}, q_{al} : a \in A\}$  be the collection of quadrangles, with  $2|A|$  associated commuting squares  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $\Lambda_{(E, \mathcal{C})}$  be the associated higher-rank graph. Recall, in a graph with  $n$  vertices a spanning tree will have  $n - 1$  edges. We use a variant of the usual maximal spanning tree algorithm which is greedy for edges on the right side of each Lambek half-arc condition.

##### 4.1. Left-greedy maximal spanning tree algorithm.


1. Assign weights to the edges of  $E$  in such a way that edges of the form  $(a, f)$  where  $f = R(a)$  have weight 2, edges of the form  $(a, f)$  some  $a \in A$  where  $f = R(a)$  have weight 1. Edges of the form  $(r, v)$  where  $v = r(a)$  some  $a \in A$  where  $r = R(a)$  have weight 2 otherwise  $(r, v)$  has weight 1. Order the edges in  $E^1$  with those of weight 2 first and those of weight 1 next. Let  $T$  be the set of edges comprising the maximum weight spanning tree. Set  $T = \emptyset$ .
2. Add the first edge, in  $E^1$  with weight 2 to  $T$ .
3. Add the next edge with weight 2 to  $T$  if and only if it does not form a cycle in  $T$ . If there are no remaining edges of weight 2 add the first edge of weight 1 which does not form a (n undirected) cycle in  $T$ .
4. If  $T$  has  $N - 1$  edges stop and output  $T$ . Otherwise go to step 3.

**Proposition 4.1.** *With notation as above then  $T$  is a maximal spanning tree for  $\text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E,C)}} = E$  with maximum weight.*

*Proof.* See [Bo, Theorem 10]. □

*Example 4.2.* The picture below shows the above algorithm applied to the polyhedral graph  $C_1$  with Lambek half-arc conditions (3.7). Recall  $R(a_0) = r_0 = R(a_1)$ . Give weight 2 to edges  $(a_0, r_0), (a_1, r_0), (r_0, v_0), (r_0, v_1)$ , then edges  $(a_0, r_1), (a_1, r_1), (r_1, v_0), (r_1, v_1)$  have weight 1. These edges are then put together in the order suggested. Note  $N = |E^0| = 2|A| + 2 = 6$ .

First pass  $(a_0, r_0)$  accepted. Second pass  $(a_1, r_0)$  accepted. Third pass  $(r_0, v_0)$  accepted. Fourth pass  $(r_0, v_1)$  accepted. Fourth pass edges of weight 2 exhausted,  $(a_0, r_1)$  accepted, edge count 5 reached algorithm terminates giving tree  $T$  shown below (recall vertex  $r_0$  was repeated for convenience in (3.8) as it is here).



Now we may use [KPW, Theorem 5.1] to compute the fundamental group. By [KPW, Theorem 5.3] the group is generated by  $E^1$  (that is, 8 generators) subject to 4 relations given by the Lambek half-arc conditions (see below) and setting the 5 generators (marked blue below) corresponding to the maximal weight maximal spanning tree to be the identity, leaving 3 generators unknown.

$$\begin{aligned} h_{0l} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_0) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_0) & h_{0r} &:= (a_0, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_0, r_1)(r_1, v_1) \\ h_{1l} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_0) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_0) & h_{1r} &:= (a_1, r_0)(r_0, v_1) = (a_1, r_1)(r_1, v_1) \end{aligned}$$

A short calculation reveals that all the generators are equal to the identity and so the group is trivial.

*Remark 4.3* (General case). In the examples above there are  $2|A|$  quadrangles, each equation has 4 variables, each of which is repeated once, so we have  $4|A|$  variables. The maximal weight maximal spanning tree removes  $N = (2|A| + 2) - 1$  variables with a bias to those variables on the left-hand side of (3.4) and (3.5), leaving  $2|A|$  equations in  $2|A| - 1$  unknowns.

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  be a convex polyhedron and  $E$  its associated quadangle club which has been  $k$ -coloured,  $2 \leq k \leq 4$ , according to Definition 3.13. Let  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  be the associated rank- $k$  graph. Then  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  is planar and the fundamental group of  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  is trivial, and hence it is a  $k$ -tree.*

*Proof.* That  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  is planar follows from the fact that  $E$  is planar; it only has undirected cycles of length four and so cannot contain  $K_{3,3}$  or  $K_5$ .

We compute the fundamental group of  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  using the method of [KPW, Theorem 5.1]. This result states that  $\pi(\Lambda_{(E,C)})$  is generated by  $E^1 \setminus T^1$  subject to the relations (3.4) and (3.5) with the generators in  $T^1$  set to the identity. Following Remark 4.3 this leaves  $2|A|$  equations in  $2|A| - 1$  unknowns. We claim that at least one equation has three generators set to the identity. Suppose, for contradiction otherwise, then  $2|A|$  generators will have been set to identity at the first step, which contradicts the size of the spanning tree. So another generator is trivial, and the corresponding relation removed and is paired variable set to the identity. Leaving  $2|A| - 1$  equations in  $2|A| - 2$  unknowns. Repeating this process we end up with all generators trivial, hence the fundamental group is trivial. □

**Definition 4.5.** Let  $\mathcal{T}$  denote the collection of rank- $k$  graphs  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  ( $2 \leq k \leq 4$ ) which are constructed in this way.

*Remark 4.6.* We must quickly remark that we are not claiming that  $\mathcal{T}$  describes all higher-rank trees. Any subgraph of a tree constructed using the methods described here is likely to be a higher-rank tree but not be a member of  $\mathcal{T}$ . Indeed, consider the examples given above: Example 4.2 consists of four leaves  $q_{0l}, q_{1l}$  suspended from  $v_1$  and  $q_{0r}, q_{1r}$  suspended from  $v_2$  they are glued together along  $(a_0, r_0)$  and  $(a_1, r_0)$  respectively. The rank-4 graph described in Appendix A.4 consists of twelve leaves, three suspended from each vertex and glued according to the diagram (A.4).

**Corollary 4.7.** *Let  $\Lambda_{(E,C)} \in \mathcal{T}$ , then  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  embeds in faithfully in its fundamental groupoid.*

*Proof.* Define the map  $c : \Lambda_{(E,C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  by  $c(a, f) = 1$  for all  $(a, f) \in \text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E,C)}}^1 = E^1$  and  $c(f, p) = -1$  for all  $(f, p) \in \text{Sk}_{\Lambda_{(E,C)}}^1 = E^1$ . One checks that  $c$  extends to a functor  $c : \Lambda_{(E,C)} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ . Furthermore, since  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  is singly connected it is easy to see that  $c$  is essential. The result then follows by [BKPS, Proposition 3.12].  $\square$

*Remark 4.8.* Following Remark 2.11 and 3.16 and Corollary 4.7 we have  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}^{opp} = \Lambda_{(E^{opp}, C^{opp})}$  is a  $k$ -tree for  $2 \leq k \leq 4$  and embeds faithfully in its fundamental groupoid by [BKPS, Proposition 3.12]. It  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}$  is planar, then so is  $\Lambda_{(E,C)}^{opp}$ .

Finally, we seek an analog of the formula  $|T^1| - |T^0| + 1 = 0$  for  $T$  a finite 1-tree. We can get such a formula for members of  $\mathcal{T}$

**Proposition 4.9.** *Let  $\Lambda_{(E,C)} \in \mathcal{T}$  then the associated Lambek club  $E$  satisfies  $|E^1| - 2|E^0| + 4 = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Remark 4.3 we have  $|E^1| = 4|A|$  where  $\mathfrak{P} = (P, A)$  is the convex polyhedron giving rise to  $E$ . Furthermore  $|E^0| = |P| + |F| + |A|$  by definition of  $E^0$ . Since  $E$  is planar we have  $|E^0| = 2|A| + 2$ , by Euler's formula, and the result follows.  $\square$

**Question:** What are the criteria on a higher-rank tree which guarantee that it is planar? We may construct somewhat degenerate examples in arbitrary dimension which are planar, where not all edges of each degree have relations between them (see the rank-4 graph in (A.2)). The rank-4 graph example in Appendix A.4 is the most complicated we can find with this interaction property. We conjecture that there is a theorem (akin to the 4-color theorem) which says that this is the case.

## 5. DIFFERENCES FROM ONE-DIMENSIONAL TREES


The purpose of this section is to show that, since higher-rank graphs are not free categories, then it is possible to have a higher-rank tree with properties different to a 1-tree.

**Fact 1.** A 1-tree is bipartite with no cycles, so by the 4-color theorem it is planar.

The same is not true of higher-rank trees.

*Example 5.1.* The hypercube graph  $Q_4$  shown in (5.1) below to the left may be coloured with 4 colours so that it becomes a 4-graph (the associativity condition from [HRSW] is trivially satisfied). A short calculation shows that it is a tree. It is well-known (cf. [Wiki2024]) that it is not planar: The subgraph of its 1-skeleton shown to the right is homotopic to  $K_{3,3}$  as shown to the right

in (5.1) below. In three and four dimensions edges of dimension 3 are coloured green and drawn dotted, edges of dimension 4 are drawn are coloured purple and drawn dash-dotted.




**Fact 2.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 1-tree. Then  $\Lambda$  does not admit a fixed point free automorphism of order three.


The same is not true of higher-rank trees.

Using the techniques developed in section 2.3 one may glue three planar 2-trees together to produce a new planar 2-tree which has an automorphism of order three which admits no fixed points. Indeed, the reader will see that the construction given easily generalises.

*Example 5.2.* Consider the planar 2-tree  $\Lambda$  shown below to the left, it is formed from gluing six copies of the rank-2 graph  $\Omega_{2,(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}$  together.



It has maximal spanning tree as shown above to the right and from there it is straightforward to show that it is a tree.  $\Lambda$  has sources at  $v_1, v_2$  and so by the results of section 2.3 we may glue  $\Lambda$  to itself to form a triangular 2-coloured graph  $\Delta$  shown below. Either by inspection or repeated use of Theorem 2.17 we see that the coloured graph  $\Delta$  shown below is a planar 2-graph.



By construction the graph  $\Delta$  shown above has a symmetry of order 3 by rotating it by  $\frac{2\pi}{3}$  anti-clockwise about the centre of the equilateral triangle formed by  $r_1^0, r_1^1, r_1^2$ . It remains to find out if it is a 2-tree.




To compute its fundamental group we find a maximal spanning tree as shown above to the right. Note that we cannot use the same spanning tree for  $\Lambda$  in all three places, as it would create a nontrivial blue cycle on the inside of  $\Delta$ . A short calculation using the maximal spanning tree shown in (5.3) above right shows that the fundamental group is trivial and so the graph is a tree, and our task is complete.

**Fact 3.** Let  $\Lambda$  be a 1-tree with an odd number of vertices. The  $\Lambda$  admits a fixed point free automorphism.

The same is not true of higher-rank trees.

*Example 5.3.* The following planar 2-tree built out of gluing copies of  $\Omega_{2,(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}$  together has 19 vertices, but admits no automorphisms. It also embeds in its fundamental groupoid.




APPENDIX A. OTHER PLANAR HIGHER-RANK GRAPHS

**A.1. The  $\Omega_{k,m}$  graphs.** It is straightforward to see that the fundamental group of  $\Omega_{k,m}$  is trivial (take as spanning tree the blue subgraph plus one of each of the other axes and compute). Since the graphs are connected their 0-homology is  $\mathbb{Z}$  by [KPS2, Proposition 3.12], and so they are acyclic.


Indeed, for  $k = 2, 3$  they are planar trees, which are not members of  $\mathcal{T}$  as there are paths of length 3 for large values of  $m$  in their 1-skeleton.

**A.2. The sphere graphs.** From [KPS2, Example 5.4] we have the planar 2-graph shown below left, which consists of copies of the rank-2 graph  $\Omega_{2,(\varepsilon_1+\varepsilon_2)}$  glued together, whose geometric realisation is a sphere  $S^2$  (see below right). It is a 2-tree with sinks  $y, z$  and sources  $u, v$  on the outside of the figure shown below on the left, vertex  $w$  is repeated to make the drawing easy to follow. Further more complicated versions of the copies of the sphere graph may also be found (see [KPS2, Example 5.8]).




In [KPSW, Example 7.6] a strategy for building higher-rank models  $\Sigma_k / \sim$  for the the sphere  $S^k$ ,  $k \geq 3$  are described. Patient scrutiny of their structure reveals that they are all trees which embed in their fundamental groupoid.


A.3. **A planar 3-cube.** The graph shown in (A.1) below is a planar representation of the 3-cube which embeds in its fundamental groupoid. Note that it has a source on the outside and a sink on the inside. Hence these graphs may not be chained together as in Example 5.2 but only glued around a single vertex (see (A.2) below for example).



Here below in (A.2) is a planar 4-graph with every path in the 1-skeleton of length at most length 3. It is degenerate in the sense that the purple edges do not interact with green edges (see question at the end of section 3).



A.4. **A planar rank-4 graph which is a tree.** We call this example “Pizza for three”. The polyhedral graph  $Pz_3$  shown in (A.3) below comes from [B, Figure 2] and can be seen to generate a family of planar graphs  $Pz_n$  (“pizzas for  $n$ ”) which alternate between being 3- and 4-colourable<sup>4</sup>. If  $n \geq 1$  is even then  $Pz_n$  is 3-colourable and if  $n \geq 3$  is odd then  $Pz_n$  is 4-colourable.



Here above we have the polyhedral graph  $Pz_3$  which we colour regions  $r_1, \dots, r_4$  with  $c(r_1) = red$ ,  $c(r_2) = purple$ ,  $c(r_3) = green$  and  $c(r_4) = blue$ . Then (for example) in quadrangle  $q_{3l}$  the Lambek half-arc condition is

$$h_{3l} := (a_3, R(a_3))(R(a_3), r(a_3)) = (a_3, L(a_3))(L(a_3), r(a_3)).$$

<sup>4</sup>Except in the case “pizza for one”  $Pz_1$ , which is  $C_1$  from Example 3.6, and so is 2-colourable


Since  $c(R(a_3)) = c(R_4)$  and  $c(L(a_3)) = c(R_3)$  by Definition 3.13 we have

$$h_{3l} := (a_3, R_4)(R_4, v_2) = (a_3, R_3)(R_3, v_3), \text{ and so}$$

we have the Lambek quadrangle club with

$$\begin{aligned} E^0 &= \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4, a_1, a_2, a_3, I_1, I_2, I_3\}, E^1 = \{(a_1, r_1), (a_1, r_4), (a_2, r_2), (a_2, r_4), (a_3, r_3), (a_3, r_4)\} \\ &\cup \{(I_1, R_1), (I_1, R_3), (I_2, R_2), (I_2, R_3), (I_3, R_2), (I_3, R_1), (R_1, v_1), (R_1, v_3), (R_1, v_4),\} \\ &\cup \{(R_2, v_2), (R_2, v_3), (R_2, v_4), (R_3, v_1), (R_3, v_2), (R_3, v_4), (R_4, v_1), (R_4, v_2), (R_4, v_3)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here below in (A.4) we draw the 4-coloured quadrangle club graph, we draw it on top of polyhedral graph  $Pz_3 = (P, A)$  so that one can see how it is constructed. The vertex  $R_4$  corresponding to the infinite region is repeated (three times) to make the picture easier to draw. A choice of orientation has been made  $L(a_1) = R_1, L(a_2) = R_2 = L(I_2) = L(I_3), L(a_3) = R_3 = L(I_1)$ .




Half arcs  $(a_1, v_1), (a_1, v_3), (a_2, v_3), (a_2, v_2), (a_3, v_2), a_3, v_1), (I_1, v_1), (I_1, v_4), (I_3, v_3), (I_3, v_4), (I_2, v_2)$  and  $(I_2, v_4)$ , then give rise to quadrangles, which are then coloured, and Lambek half-arc conditions formed:

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1l} &:= (a_1, R_4)(R_4, v_1) = (a_1, R_1)(R_1, v_1) & h_{1r} &:= (a_1, R_4)(R_4, v_3) = (a_1, R_1)(R_1, v_3) \\ h_{2l} &:= (a_2, R_4)(R_4, v_3) = (a_2, R_2)(R_2, v_3) & h_{2r} &:= (a_2, R_4)(R_4, v_2) = (a_2, R_2)(R_2, v_2) \\ h_{3l} &:= (a_3, R_4)(R_4, v_2) = (a_3, R_3)(R_3, v_2) & h_{3r} &:= (a_3, R_3)(R_3, v_1) = (a_3, R_4)(R_4, v_1) \\ h_{4l} &:= (I_1, R_1)(R_1, v_1) = (I_1, R_3)(R_3, v_1) & h_{4r} &:= (I_1, R_1)(R_1, v_4) = (I_1, R_3)(R_3, v_4) \\ h_{5l} &:= (I_3, R_1)(R_1, v_3) = (I_3, R_2)(R_2, v_3) & h_{5r} &:= (I_3, R_1)(R_1, v_4) = (I_3, R_2)(R_2, v_4) \\ h_{6l} &:= (I_2, R_3)(R_3, v_2) = (I_2, R_2)(R_2, v_2) & h_{6r} &:= (I_2, R_3)(R_3, v_4) = (I_2, R_2)(R_2, v_4) \end{aligned}$$

(A.5)

The maximal spanning tree algorithm from section 4.1 is then applied, giving tree maximal spanning  $T$  shown below in (A.6).



Now we may use [KPW, Theorem 5.1] to compute the fundamental group. By [KPW, Theorem 5.3] the group is generated by the 24 edges in  $E^1$  subject to the 12 relations given by the Lambek half-arc conditions (see below) and setting the 13 generators (marked blue below) corresponding to the maximal weight maximal spanning tree to be the identity, leaving 11 generators unknown.

$$\begin{aligned}
 h_{1l} &:= (a_1, R_4)(R_4, v_1) = (a_1, R_1)(R_1, v_1) & h_{1r} &:= (a_1, R_4)(R_4, v_3) = (a_1, R_1)(R_1, v_3) \\
 h_{2l} &:= (a_2, R_4)(R_4, v_3) = (a_2, R_2)(R_2, v_3) & h_{2r} &:= (a_2, R_4)(R_4, v_2) = (a_2, R_2)(R_2, v_2) \\
 h_{3l} &:= (a_3, R_4)(R_4, v_2) = (a_3, R_3)(R_3, v_2) & h_{3r} &:= (a_3, R_3)(R_3, v_1) = (a_3, R_4)(R_4, v_1) \\
 h_{4l} &:= (I_1, R_1)(R_1, v_1) = (I_1, R_3)(R_3, v_1) & h_{4r} &:= (I_1, R_1)(R_1, v_4) = (I_1, R_3)(R_3, v_4) \\
 h_{5l} &:= (I_3, R_1)(R_1, v_3) = (I_3, R_2)(R_2, v_2) & h_{5r} &:= (I_3, R_1)(R_1, v_4) = (I_3, R_2)(R_2, v_4) \\
 h_{6l} &:= (I_2, R_3)(R_3, v_2) = (I_2, R_2)(R_2, v_2) & h_{6r} &:= (I_2, R_3)(R_3, v_4) = (I_2, R_2)(R_2, v_4)
 \end{aligned}$$

From  $h_{1l}$  we know  $(a_1, R_4) = 1$ , then from  $h_{1r}$  we know  $(R_4, v_3) = 1$  and so on until all remaining generators are equal to the identity. Hence the rank 4-graph  $Pz_3$  shown in (A.3) is a planar 4-tree. Furthermore, the degree functor is an essential functor, and so by [BKPS, Proposition 3.12] the graph embeds in its fundamental groupoid.

## REFERENCES

- [AH1] K. Appel and W. Haken, *Every Planar Map is Four Colorable I, Discharging*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, **21** (1977), 429–490.
- [AHK] K. Appel, W. Haken and J. Koch, *Every Planar Map is Four Colorable II, Reducibility*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics, **21** 491–567.
- [AH2] K. Appel and W. Haken, *Solution of the Four Color Map Problem*, Scientific American, 237 pp. 108–121.
- [Bo] B. Bollobás. *Modern Graph Theory*. Graduate Texts in Mathematics **184**, Springer 1998.
- [BKPS] N. Brownlowe, A. Kumjian, D. Pask and A. Sims. *Embedding a higher-rank graph in its fundamental groupoid*. ArXiv.org/pdf/2403.01337pdf.
- [B] G.C. Bush. *The embedability of a semigroup – conditions common to Malcev and Lambek*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **157** (1971), 437–448.

- [D] R. Diestel, *Graph Theory (Electronic Edition)*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics Vol. **173**, Springer-Verlag, Hiedelberg, New York (1997, 2000, 2005).
- [E] D. G. Evans, *On the  $K$ -theory of higher rank graph  $C^*$ -algebras*, New York J. Math., **14**, 2008, 1–31.
- [FGLP] C. Farsi, E. Gillaspy, N. Larsen and J. Packer. *Generalized gauge actions on  $k$ -graph  $C^*$ -algebras: KMS states and Hausdorff structure*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. **70** (2021), 669–709.
- [HRSW] R. Hazlewood, I. Raeburn, A. Sims, and S.B.G. Webster. *Remarks on some fundamental results about higher-rank graphs and their  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., **56** (2013), 575–597.
- [H] C. Hollings. *Embedding semigroups in groups: not as easy as it might seem*, Arch. Hist. Exact. Sci. (6) **68** (2014) 641–692.
- [J] P.T. Johnstone, *On embedding categories in groupoids*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philosoph. Soc. **145** (2008), 273–294.
- [KKQS] S. Kaliszewski, A. Kumjian, J. Quigg and A. Sims. *Topological realizations and fundamental groups of higher-rank graphs*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc., **59** (2016), 143–168.
- [KPW] S. Kang, D. Pask and S.B.G. Webster, *Computing the fundamental group of a higher-rank graph*. Proc. Endin. Math. Soc., **64** (2021), 650–661.
- [KPSW] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, A. Sims and M. Whittaker. *Topological spaces associated to higher-rank graphs*. J. Comb. Thy. Ser. A, **143** (2016), 19–41.
- [KP1] A. Kumjian and D. Pask.  *$C^*$ -algebras of directed graphs and group actions*. Ergod. Th. & Dyn. Sys., **19** (1999), 1503–1519.
- [KP2] A. Kumjian and D. Pask. *Higher rank graph  $C^*$ -algebras*, New York J. Math., **6** (2000), 1–20.
- [KPS1] A. Kumjian, D. Pask and A. Sims. *Generalised morphisms of  $k$ -graphs:  $k$ -morphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **363** (2011), 2599–2626.
- [KPS2] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, and A. Sims, *Homology for higher-rank graphs and twisted  $C^*$ -algebras*. J. Funct. Anal., **263** (2012), 1539–1574.
- [KPSW] A. Kumjian, D. Pask, A. Sims and M. Whittaker. *Topological spaces associated to higher-rank graphs*. J. Comb. Thy. Ser. A, **143** (2016), 19–41.
- [Kur] A. Kuratowski. *Sur le problème des courbes gauches en topologie*, Fundamenta Mathematicae, **15** 271–283.
- [L] J. Lambek, *The immersibility of a semigroup into a group*, Canadian J. Math. **3** (1951), 34–43.
- [LV] M. Lawson and A. Vdovina. *Higher dimensional generalisations of the Thompson groups*, Advances in Math. **369** (2020) 107–191.
- [Ma] A. Malcev. *On the immersion of an algebraic ring into a field*, Math. Ann. **113** (1937), 686–691.
- [MSt] Math.Stackexchange. <https://math.stackexchange.com/questions/1350552/prove-that-the-graph-dual-to-eulerian-plan>
- [PQR1] D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Quigg. *Fundamental Groupoids of  $k$ -graphs*, New York J. Math., **10** (2004), 195–207.
- [PQR2] D. Pask, I. Raeburn and J. Quigg. *Coverings of  $k$ -graphs*, J. Algebra, **289** (2005), 161–191.
- [RSY1] I. Raeburn, A. Sims, Aidan and T. Yeend. *Higher-rank graphs and their  $C^*$ -algebras*, Proc. Edinb. Math. Soc. (2), **46** (2003), 99–115.
- [RSY2] I. Raeburn, A. Sims and T. Yeend. *The  $C^*$ -algebras of finitely aligned higher-rank graphs*, J. Funct. Anal., **213** (2004), 206–240.
- [Sch] H. Schubert. Categories, Springer-Verlag, 1972.
- [Sp] J. Spielberg, *Graph-based models for Kirchberg algebras*, J. Operator Theory **57** (2007), 347–374.
- [St] E. Steinitz. *Polyeder und Raumeinteilungen*, Encyclopädie der mathematischen Wissenschaften mit Einschluss ihrer Anwendungen, vol. Band **3** (Geometries) (1916), 1–139
- [Wiki2024] *Tesseract*. Wikipedia, The Free Encyclopedia. Date retrieved: 16 July 2024 03:50 UTC. Page Version ID: 1232778451. <https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=Tesseract&oldid=1232778451>.
- [W] G. Willis. *The structure of totally disconnected locally compact groups*, Math. Annalen, **300** (1994), 341–363.
- [Y] D. Yang. *The interplay between  $k$ -graphs and the Yang-Baxter equation*, J. Algebra **451** (2016), 494–525.

DAVID PASK, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND APPLIED STATISTICS, THE UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NSW 2522, AUSTRALIA

Email address: david.a.pask@gmail.com