On the gamma transform and its applications

Slobodan B. Tričković 1, Miomir S. Stanković 2

¹University of Niš, Department of Mathematics, Serbia, e-mail: sbt@junis.ni.ac.rs
²Mathematical Institute of the Serbian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Belgrade, Serbia

July 22, 2024

Abstract

We make use of the Laplace transform and gamma function to construct a new integral transform having the property of mapping a derivative to the backward difference, whence we derive a method for solving difference equations and, relying on classical orthogonal polynomials, for obtaining combinatorial identities. A table of some basic functions is given in the Appendix.

KEY WORDS: Gamma function, Laplace transform, backward difference operator.

1 Introduction and preliminaries

Very often, interpreted as discrete, problems concerned with continuity can be solved more easily. For example, complicated definite integrals of continuous functions are calculated numerically by being reduced to finite sums. Also the opposite is true, i.e. the only way to solve certain discrete problems is to view them in the light of continuity. For this purpose, we develop a specific transform and its inverse, enabling us to map the continuous functions into sequences and vice versa.

To start with, it is known that the gamma function is defined by the integral

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} x^{\alpha - 1} dx \quad (\alpha > 0).$$
 (1)

We can regard it as the Mellin transform of the function e^{-x} , i.e. $\Gamma(\alpha) = \mathcal{M}\{e^{-x}\}(\alpha)$. On the other hand, for p > 0, after introducing the substitution x = pt, we obtain

$$\Gamma(\alpha) = \int_0^\infty s^\alpha e^{-s\,t} t^{\alpha-1}\,dt \quad \Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{s^\alpha} = \int_0^\infty e^{-s\,t} \frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\,dt = \mathcal{L}\Big\{\frac{t^{\alpha-1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\Big\}(s),$$

and thereby we have determined the Laplace transform of the function $t^{\alpha-1}/\Gamma(\alpha)$.

However, if apart from $t^{\alpha-1}$ there appears a real-valued piecewise continuous function f(t) over $(0, \infty)$, and of exponential order r, i.e. there exist positive numbers M and r such that the inequality $|f(t)| < Me^{rt}$ holds, a new integral transform of the function f(t) is defined, which we shall name the **gamma transform** and designate as \mathcal{G} , i.e.

$$\mathcal{G}\lbrace f(t)\rbrace(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} t^{\alpha-1} f(t) dt \quad (s > r, \, \alpha > 0).$$
 (2)

Under the given conditions, this integral is convergent, since

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-st} \, \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \, f(t) \, dt < M \int_0^\infty e^{-(s - r)t} \, \frac{t^{\alpha - 1}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \, dt = \frac{1}{(s - r)^\alpha}$$

In the sequel we consider α positive integer, and set $\alpha - 1 = n$ in (2) for $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, whereby the gamma transform maps a function f(t) to a sequence of functions $\{\varphi_n(s)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, given by

 $\varphi_n(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{t^n}{n!} f(t) dt \quad (s > 0),$ (3)

i.e. $\varphi_n(s) = f(t)$. So the mapping of a function to a sequence is the main reason for introducing the gamma transform, since this cannot be achieved by the Laplace transform. For s = 1 we write φ_n instead of $\varphi_n(1)$, so that in this case the gamma transform maps the function f(t) to the sequence $\{\varphi_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$, i.e. $\varphi_n = f(t)$, and later within Applications it will make it possible to solve difference equations over differential ones.

2 Basic properties

We shall show later how the gamma transform helps us derive a method for solving difference equations and obtaining combinatorial identities. For this purpose we need to investigate some of its properties.

Lemma 1 Let f(t) be piecewise continuous on $[0, \infty)$ of exponential order r and F(s) denote its Laplace transform, i.e. $F(s) = \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}(s)$. For its nth derivative $F^{(n)}(s)$ there holds

$$\varphi_n(s) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} F^{(n)}(s), \tag{4}$$

where $\varphi_n(s) = f(t)$.

Proof. By differentiating n times F(s), we have

$$F^{(n)}(s) = \frac{d^n}{ds^n} F(s) = \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \, dt \right) = (-1)^n \int_0^\infty e^{-st} t^n f(t) \, dt.$$

By virtue of the hypotheses, for $s \ge x_0 > r$, it is justified to interchange the derivative and integral sign in the last calculation (see [5]). Taking into account (3), there immediately follows (4). \square

Lemma 2 Let $g(t) = \int_0^t f(x) dx$. If $f(t) = \varphi_n(s)$ and $g(t) = \psi_n(s)$, then

$$\psi_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\varphi_k(s)}{s^{n-k+1}}.$$

Proof. Let $F_f(s)$ and $F_g(s)$ denote the Laplace transform of f(t) and g(t) respectively. Considering that

$$F_g(s) = \frac{1}{s} F_f(s),$$

and making use of (3), (4) and the Leibnitz rule, we find $\psi_n(s) = g(t)$, where

$$\psi_n(s) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{1}{s} F_f^{(n)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{s^{n-k+1}} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} F_f^{(k)}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\varphi_k(s)}{s^{n-k+1}},$$

which proves the statement. \square

The convolution of two functions, f(t) and g(t), defined for t > 0, plays an important role in a number of different physical applications. The convolution is given by the integral

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(t - \tau)g(\tau) d\tau,$$

which exists if f and g are piecewise continuous.

Lemma 3 Let $\varphi_n(s) = f(t)$ and $\psi_n(s) = g(t)$. The following equality holds

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \varphi_{n-k}(s)\psi_k(s) = (f * g)(t).$$

Proof. Let $F_f(s)$, $F_g(s)$ and $F_{f*g}(s)$ denote the Laplace transform of f(t), g(t) and (f*g)(t) respectively. It is well known that

$$F_{f*q}(s) = F_f(s) \cdot F_q(s).$$

Using the result of Lemma 1, we obtain

$$h_n(s) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(F_f(s) \cdot F_g(s) \right)^{(n)} = (f * g)(t),$$

and by using the Leibnitz rule and (4), we have

$$h_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(-1)^{n-k} F_f^{(n-k)}(s)}{(n-k)!} \frac{(-1)^k F_g^{(n-k)}(s)}{k!} = \sum_{k=0}^n \varphi_{n-k}(s) \psi_k(s),$$

which ends the proof. \Box

3 The gamma transform and Laguerre polynomials

We recall that the Laguerre polynomials, the explicit representation of which is

$$L_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

by introducing an inner product as follows

$$(L_m, L_n) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} L_m(x) L_n(x) dx,$$

are make them into an orthogonal system with respect to the weight function e^{-x} , whereby they form an orthogonal base of the Hilbert space $L_2(0, \infty; e^{-x})$. With a view to connecting them to the gamma transform, we replace x with sx, and the above inner product becomes

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-sx} s L_m(sx) L_n(sx) dx.$$

By virtue of this, we construct a system of Laguerre functions over $(0, \infty)$, i.e.

$$L_n^*(x,s) = (-1)^n \sqrt{s} L_n(sx) \quad (s>0), \tag{5}$$

and define their inner product by

$$(L_m^*, L_n^*) = \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} (-1)^m \sqrt{s} L_m(sx) (-1)^n \sqrt{s} L_n(sx) dx.$$

Since we easily find $(L_m^*, L_n^*) = 0$, $m \neq n$, $(L_n^*, L_n^*) = 1$, we conclude the system $L_n^*(x)$ $(n \in \mathbb{N}_0)$ form an orthonormal basis of the Hilbert space with respect to the weight function e^{-sx} . A function f(x) of the exponential order r belongs to $L_2(0, \infty; e^{-sx})$, because if there exist positive constants M and r such that $|f(x)| < Me^{rx}$, then

$$||f||^2 = \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} |f(x)|^2 dx < M^2 \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-(s-2r)x} dx = \frac{M^2}{s-2r} \quad (s > 2r),$$

and can be expanded into the Fourier series over the functions $L_{n,s}^*(x)$, so that we have

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(s) L_{k,s}^*(x), \quad a_n(s) = (-1)^n \int_0^{\infty} e^{-sx} f(x) \sqrt{s} L_n(sx) dx.$$
 (6)

Also, the Bessel inequality holds

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^2(s) \le ||f||^2. \tag{7}$$

To prove the expansion (6), we form its nth partial sum, and after rearrangement obtain

$$S_n(x;f) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \left[\sum_{k=0}^n L_k^*(t,s) L_k^*(x,s) \right] dt$$

and applying the Christoffel-Darboux formula

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} L_k^*(t,s) L_k^*(x,s) = (n+1) \frac{L_{n+1}^*(x,s) L_n^*(t,s) - L_{n+1}^*(t,s) L_n^*(x,s)}{t-x} = (n+1) \frac{\Phi_n(x,t)}{t-x},$$

we have

$$S_n(x;f) = (n+1) \int_0^\infty e^{-st} f(t) \frac{\Phi_n(x,t)}{t-x} dt.$$

Further, we consider the difference

$$S_n(x;f) - f(x) = (n+1) \int_0^\infty e^{-st} \frac{f(x) - f(t)}{x - t} \Phi_n(x,t) dt.$$

Theorem 1 The sequence $\{a_n(s)\}$ can be expressed in terms of the sequence $\{\varphi_n(s)\}$ defined by (3), and the other way round

$$a_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} s^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_k(s), \qquad \varphi_n(s) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{s^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k(s). \tag{8}$$

Proof. We prove the first equality of (8). Relying on (6) and then referring to (3), we obtain

$$a_n(s) = (-1)^n \sqrt{s} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} f(x) \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \frac{(sx)^k}{k!} dx$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} s^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^{+\infty} e^{-sx} \frac{x^k}{k!} f(x) dx = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} s^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_k(s).$$

To derive the second equality, we consider the first equality in (8) as the binomial transform of the sequence $\{b_n(s)\}$, i.e.

$$a_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} b_k(s), \quad b_k(s) = s^{k+\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_k(s).$$

So its inverse transform is

$$b_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k(s) \implies s^{n+\frac{1}{2}} \varphi_n(s) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k(s) \iff \varphi_n(s) = \frac{\sqrt{s}}{s^{n+1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_k(s).$$

whereby we complete the proof. \square

4 The inverse gamma transform

Let S_c be the space of sequences of functions $\{t_n(s)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \left\{ \Delta_n^k \left(s^n t_n(s) \right)_{n=0} \right\}^2 < +\infty, \tag{9}$$

where Δ_n is the forward difference operator

$$\Delta_n t_n(s) = t_{n+1}(s) - t_n(s), \quad \Delta_n^k t_n(s) = \Delta_n(\Delta_n^{k-1} t_n(s)) = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} t_{n+j}(s).$$

We shall show that the sequence $\{\varphi_n(s)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ defined by (3) satisfies (9), i.e. belongs to the space S_c .

Taking account of (3) and (6), we find

$$\Delta_n^k \left(s^n \varphi_n(s) \right)_{n=0} = \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} s^j \varphi_j(s) = (-1)^k \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{k}{j} s^j \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} x^j f(x) \, dx,$$

$$= (-1)^k \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} f(x) \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{k}{j} (sx)^j \, dx,$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^k}{\sqrt{s}} \int_0^\infty e^{-sx} f(x) \sqrt{s} L_k(sx) \, dx = \frac{a_k(s)}{\sqrt{s}}.$$

so on this basis and the Bessel inequality (7), we finally have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \Delta_n^k \left(s^n \varphi_n(s) \right)_{n=0} \right\}^2 = \frac{1}{s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k^2(s) < +\infty.$$

We make use of the relations (8) between orthogonal Laguerre functions (5) and the gamma transform to define a mapping $\mathcal{R}: S_c \mapsto L_2(0, +\infty)$ as follows

$$f(x) = \mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n\}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n^k \left(s^n \varphi_n\left(\frac{s}{2}\right)\right)_{n=0} \sqrt{s} L_{k,s}^*(x)$$
 (10)

Theorem 2 The transforms \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{R} are inverse to each other, in other words, if $\varphi_n(s)$ is obtained by $\mathcal{G}\{f(t)\}(s)$, then f(t) is obtained by $\mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n(s)\}(t)$, and the other way round, if (10) holds true, then $f(t) = \varphi_n(s)$.

Proof. Let $f(t) = \varphi_n(s)$. Then the first relation in (8) yields

$$a_k(s) = \sqrt{s} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} s^j \varphi_j(s) = \sqrt{s} \Delta_n^k(s^n \varphi_n(s)) \mid_{n=0}.$$

Considering that $a_k(s)$ are coefficients in the expansion of f(x) in terms of Laguerre polynomials

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k(s) L_{k,p}^*(x) = \sqrt{s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_n^k(s^n \varphi_n(s)) \mid_{n=0} L_{k,s}^*(x) = \mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n\}(x),$$

we prove the first direction.

Conversely, let $\mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n\}(x) = f(x)$. From (10), and in view of (5), there follows

$$\varphi_{n}(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-px} x^{n} f(x) dx = \sqrt{s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Delta_{n}^{k} (s^{n} \varphi_{n}(s))_{n=0} \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-sx} x^{n} L_{k,s}^{*}(x) dx$$

$$= s \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \Delta_{n}^{k} (s^{n} \varphi_{n}(s))_{n=0} \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{n} e^{-px} L_{k}(sx) dx$$

$$= \frac{1}{s^{n}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{k} \Delta_{n}^{k} (s^{n} \varphi_{n}(s))_{n=0} \int_{0}^{\infty} (st)^{n} e^{-px} L_{k}(sx) d(sx).$$

However, introducing the substitution t = s x in the last integral, it becomes

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^n L_k(t) dt = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} t^n \left(\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \frac{t^j}{j!} \right) dt = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{k}{j} \int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^{n+j} dt,$$

but the right-hand side integral is actually $\Gamma(n+j+1) = (n+j)!$, and we have

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-t} t^n L_k(t) dt = \sum_{j=0}^k \frac{(-1)^j}{j!} \binom{k}{j} (n+j)! = n! \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^j \binom{k}{j} \binom{n+j}{j}$$
$$= (-1)^k n! \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \binom{n+j}{j} = (-1)^k n! \binom{n}{k}, \quad k \le n,$$

so that one obtains

$$\mathcal{G}\{f(t)\}(s) = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty e^{-s \, x} x^n f(x) \, dx = \frac{1}{s^n} \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \Delta^k (s^n \varphi_n(s))_{n=0} = \frac{1}{s^n} s^n \varphi_n(s) = \varphi_n(s),$$

whereby we complete the proof. \square

We make use of the formula for the inverse Laplace transform (see [2])

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{st} F(s) ds = \sum_{i=0}^{k} \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_{i}} e^{st} F(s),$$
 (11)

to prove

Lemma 4 The inverse gamma transform R is determined by the equality

$$f(t) = \frac{n!}{t^n} \sum_{j=0}^k \operatorname{Res}_{s=s_j} e^{st} \varphi_n(s).$$

Proof. By virtue of $n!f(t) = n!\varphi_n(s)$, and relying on Lemma 1, we have already seen that $n!\varphi_n(s) = \mathcal{L}\{t^n f(t)\}(s)$, whence we have $\mathcal{L}^{-1}\{n!\varphi_n(s)\}(t) = t^n f(t)$. Referring to (11), we find

$$t^n f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} e^{st} n! \varphi_n(s) ds = n! \sum_{i=0}^k \underset{s=s_j}{\text{Res }} e^{st} \varphi_n(s).$$

However, taking into account Theorem 2, we conclude that $f(t) = \mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n(s)\}(t)$, i.e.

$$\mathcal{R}\{\varphi_n(s)\}(t) = \frac{n!}{t^n} \sum_{j=0}^k \underset{s=s_j}{\text{Res }} e^{st} \varphi_n(s),$$

which proves the lemma. \square

5 The gamma transform and the backward difference operator

For a sequence $\{\varphi_n(s)\}$, the backward shift operator E^{-1} is defined by $\varphi_{n-1}(s) = E^{-1}\varphi_n(s)$. The generalized backward difference ∇_s defined as $\nabla_s\varphi_n(s) = s\varphi_n(s) - \varphi_{n-1}(s)$ can be written in the form of $\nabla_s\varphi_n(s) = s\varphi_n(s) - E^{-1}\varphi_n(s) = (sI - E^{-1})\varphi_n(s)$, where I is identity operator, $I\varphi_n(s) = \varphi_n(s)$, so that for the power $\nabla_s^p\varphi_n(s)$ $(p \in \mathbb{N})$, we have

$$\nabla_{s}^{p}\varphi_{n}(s) = (sI - E^{-1})^{p}\varphi_{n}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{k} \binom{p}{k} s^{p-k} (E^{-1})^{k} \varphi_{n}(s)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{k} \binom{p}{k} s^{p-k} E^{-k} \varphi_{n}(s) = \sum_{k=0}^{p} (-1)^{k} \binom{p}{k} s^{p-k} \varphi_{n-k}(s).$$

On this basis, starting with $\varphi_{n-1}(s) = s\varphi_n(s) - \nabla_s\varphi_n(s)$, and using the method of mathematical induction, we can prove that $\varphi_{n-p}(s)$ is expressed in terms of the powers $\nabla_s\varphi_n(s)$, i.e.

$$\varphi_{n-p}(s) = s^p \varphi_n(s) - \binom{p}{1} s^{p-1} \nabla_s \varphi_n(s) + \binom{p}{2} s^{p-2} \nabla_s^2 \varphi_n(s) + \dots + (-1)^p \nabla_s^p \varphi_n(s), \quad (12)$$

and we notice that $\nabla_s^p \varphi_n(s)$ for s=1 becomes $\nabla^p f_n$.

Theorem 3 Let $f(t) = \varphi_n(s)$. Then $f^{(s)}(t) = \nabla_s^p \varphi_n(s)$, for $n \ge p$, and

$$f^{(s)}(t) = \nabla_s^p \varphi_n - (-1)^n \sum_{i=1}^{p-n} {p-j \choose n} s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0), \quad n \le p-1.$$

Proof. We use the Laplace transform of the pth derivative of the function f, that is

$$\mathcal{L}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}(s) = s^p \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}(s) - \sum_{j=1}^p s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0).$$
(13)

By virtue of this and (4), there follows

$$\begin{split} f^{(s)}(t) & \vDash \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \mathcal{L}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}^{(n)}(s) \\ & = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \Big(s^p \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}(s) \Big) - \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \sum_{i=1}^p s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0). \end{split}$$

However, for $n \ge p$ there holds

$$\frac{d^n}{ds^n} \sum_{j=1}^p s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0) = 0,$$

so in this case we find

$$f^{(s)}(t) \stackrel{\cdot}{=} \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \left(s^p \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}(s) \right)^{(n)} = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (s^p)^{(j)} \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}^{(n-j)}(s)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{p^{(j)}}{j!} s^{p-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}^{(n-j)}(s) = \sum_{j=0}^p (-1)^j \binom{p}{j} s^{p-j} \varphi_{n-j}(s)$$

$$= \nabla_p^p \varphi_n(s),$$

where $p^{(j)}$ stands for the falling factorial, i.e. $p^{(j)} = p(p-1)\cdots(p-j+1)$. Taking s=1, we obtain $f^{(s)}(t) = \nabla^p f_n$.

Otherwise, for $n \leq p-1$, we have

$$\mathcal{G}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}(s) = \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \left(s^p \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}(s)\right) - \frac{(-1)^n}{n!} \frac{d^n}{ds^n} \sum_{j=1}^p s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \frac{p^{(j)}}{j!} s^{p-j} \frac{(-1)^{n-j}}{(n-j)!} \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}^{(n-j)}(s)$$

$$-(-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{p-n} \frac{(p-j)^{(n)}}{n!} s^{p-j-n} f^{(j-1)}(0).$$

The gamma function (1) often fills in the values of the factorial, and can be analytically extended to the whole complex plane, except for the non-positive integers, where it has poles. However, its reciprocal function has there the value zero. So, this allows the summation index j in the first sum to run up to p because the values of $1/(n-j)! = 1/\Gamma(n-j+1)$ for $j = n+1, \ldots, p$ are zero! In that case, this sum is actually $\nabla_s^p \varphi_n(s)$, so that we have

$$f^{(s)}(t) = \nabla_s^p \varphi_n(s) - (-1)^n \sum_{j=1}^{p-n} {p-j \choose n} s^{p-j-n} f^{(j-1)}(0),$$

whereby we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 5 If $f(t) = \varphi_n(s)$ and $r \in \mathbb{N}$, then $t^r f(t) = \nabla_s^p \varphi_{n+r}(s)$ for $r+n \ge p$. If $r+n \le p-1$ there holds

$$t^r f^{(s)}(t) = (n+1)_r \Big(\nabla_s^p \varphi_{n+r}(s) - (-1)^{n+r} \sum_{j=1}^{p-n-r} \binom{p-j}{n+r} s^{p-j-n-r} f^{j-1}(0) \Big).$$

where $(n+1)_r = (n+1)(n+2)(n+3)\cdots(n+r)$, $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In the special case, for p=0, we have

$$t^r f(t) = (n+1)_r \varphi_{n+r}(s).$$

Proof. Making use of one of basic properties of the Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}\lbrace t^r g(t)\rbrace(s) = (-1)^r \frac{d^r}{ds^r} \mathcal{L}\lbrace g(t)\rbrace(s),$$

whence, after replacing g(t) with $f^{(s)}(t)$ and applying (4), we obtain

$$t^{r}f^{(s)}(t) = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n}}{ds^{n}} \mathcal{L}\{t^{r}f^{(s)}(t)\}(s) = \frac{(-1)^{n}}{n!} \frac{d^{n}}{ds^{n}} (-1)^{r} \frac{d^{r}}{ds^{r}} \mathcal{L}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}$$

$$= \frac{(-1)^{n+r}}{n!} \frac{d^{r+n}}{ds^{r+n}} \mathcal{L}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}(s) = (n+1)_{r} \frac{(-1)^{n+r}}{(n+r)!} \frac{d^{r+n}}{ds^{r+n}} \mathcal{L}\{f^{(s)}(t)\}(s).$$

For p = 0, on the basis of Lemma 1, one obtains the equality $t^r f(t) = (n+1)_r \varphi_{n+r}(s)$. Let $p \ge 1$. In view of (13), we find

$$t^{r} f^{(s)}(t) = (n+1)_{r} \frac{(-1)^{n+r}}{(n+r)!} \left(\frac{d^{r+n}}{ds^{r+n}} \left(s^{p} \mathcal{L} \{ f(t) \}(s) \right) - \frac{d^{r+n}}{ds^{r+n}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0) \right).$$

If $n+r \ge p$, the (n+r)th derivative of the second sum becomes zero, so there remains

$$t^{r}f^{(s)}(t) \stackrel{.}{=} (n+1)_{r} \frac{(-1)^{n+r}}{(n+r)!} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} \binom{r+n}{j} (s^{p})^{(j)} \mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}^{(r+n-j)}(s)$$

$$= (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} (-1)^{j} \frac{(s^{p})^{(j)}}{j!} (-1)^{n+r-j} \frac{\mathcal{L}\{f(t)\}^{(r+n-j)}(s)}{(r+n-j)!}$$

$$= (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} (-1)^{j} \frac{p^{(j)}}{j!} s^{p-j} \varphi_{r+n-j}(s)$$

$$= (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{p} (-1)^{j} \binom{p}{j} s^{p-j} \varphi_{r+n-j}(s) = \nabla_{s}^{p} \varphi_{r+n}(s).$$

Setting s=1 gives rise to the equality $t^r f^{(s)}(t) = (n+1)_r \nabla^p f_{n+r}$. If $n+r \leq p-1$, there follows

$$t^{r}f^{(s)}(t) \stackrel{!}{=} (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} (-1)^{j} \binom{p}{j} s^{p-j} \varphi_{r+n-j}(s) - \frac{(-1)^{n+r}}{n!} \frac{d^{r+n}}{ds^{r+n}} \sum_{j=1}^{p} s^{p-j} f^{(j-1)}(0)$$

$$= (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} (-1)^{j} \binom{p}{j} s^{p-j} \varphi_{r+n-j}(s)$$

$$-(-1)^{n+r} \sum_{j=1}^{p-r-n} \frac{(p-j)^{(r+n)}}{n!} s^{p-j-r-n} f^{(j-1)}(0)$$

$$= (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=0}^{r+n} (-1)^{j} \binom{p}{j} s^{p-j} \varphi_{r+n-j}(s)$$

$$-(-1)^{n+r} (n+1)_{r} \sum_{j=1}^{p-r-n} \frac{(p-j)^{(r+n)}}{(r+n)!} s^{p-j-r-n} f^{(j-1)}(0).$$

As in the proof of Theorem 3, we allow j in the first sum to run up to r + n, so that we obtain

$$t^r f^{(s)}(t) = (n+1)_r \Big(\nabla_s^p \varphi_{r+n}(s) - (-1)^{n+r} \sum_{i=1}^{p-r-n} \binom{p-j}{r+n} s^{p-j-r-n} f^{(j-1)}(0) \Big),$$

which was to be proved. \square

6 Solving difference equations and some identities

Transforms \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{R} connect differential and difference equations.

Example 1 Fibonacci numbers are solutions of the difference equation $F_n = F_{n-1} - F_{n-2}$. So, we deal first with the equation

$$\varphi_n(s) - \varphi_{n-1}(s) - \varphi_{n-2}(s) = 0,$$

and by using (12), it is transformed into

$$\varphi_n(s) - s\varphi_n(s) + \nabla_s \varphi_n(s) - s^2 \varphi_n(s) + 2s \nabla_s \varphi_n(s) - \nabla_s^2 \varphi_n(s) = 0$$

or

$$(1 - s - s^2)\varphi_n(s) + (1 + 2s)\nabla_s\varphi_n(s) - \nabla_s^2\varphi_n(s) = 0$$

where $Q_2(s) = 1 - s - s^2$. By setting s = 1, it leads to the difference equation

$$F_n - 3\nabla F_n + \nabla^2 F_n = 0$$

that is a result of applying Theorem 3, i.e. $\mathcal{G}\{y(t)\} = F_n$, $\mathcal{G}\{y'\} = \nabla f_n$, $\mathcal{G}\{y''\} = \nabla^2 F_n$, to the differential equation

$$y'' - 3y' + y = 0.$$

We are going to solve Cauchy's problem y(0) = 0 y'(0) = 1. At first, we find its general solution

$$y = C_1 e^{\frac{3+\sqrt{5}}{2}t} + C_2 e^{\frac{3-\sqrt{5}}{2}t}.$$

and taking into account Cauchy's conditions, we obtain $C_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$, $C_2 = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}$, and

$$y = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}e^{\frac{t}{2}(3+\sqrt{5})} - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}e^{\frac{t}{2}(3-\sqrt{5})}$$

as a particular solution. However, applying the gamma transform to this particular solution of the differential equation and using Lemma 1, we arrive at the particular solution of the Fibonacci difference equation

$$F_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{\sqrt{5}+1}{2}\right)^n - \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{1-\sqrt{5}}{2}\right)^n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

which is the well-known Binet formula.

Example 2 Consider the difference equation

$$a_0 f_n + a_1 f_{n-1} + \dots + a_p f_{n-p} = g_n, \quad a_0, a_1, \dots, a_p \in \mathbb{R}.$$
 (14)

We regard it as a special case of the functional difference equation

$$a_0\varphi_n(s) + a_1\varphi_{n-1}(s) + \dots + a_p\varphi_{n-p}(s) = \gamma_n(s)$$

for s = 1. Applying (12), we find

$$Q_{p}(s)\varphi_{n}(s) - \frac{Q_{p}'(s)}{1!}\nabla_{s}\varphi_{n}(s) + \frac{Q_{p}''(s)}{2!}\nabla_{s}^{2}\varphi_{n}(s) + \dots + (-1)^{p}\frac{Q_{p}^{(s)}(s)}{p!}\nabla_{s}^{p}\varphi_{n}(s) = \gamma_{n}(s),$$

where $Q_p(s) = a_0 + a_1 s + a_2 s^2 + \dots + a_p s^p$. Taking s = 1 gives rise to the difference equation

$$b_0 f_n + b_1 \nabla f_n + b_2 \nabla^2 f_n + \dots + b_{p-1} \nabla^{p-1} + b_p \nabla^p f_n = \gamma_n, \tag{15}$$

where $b_k = (-1)^k \frac{Q_p^{(k)}(1)}{k!}$, k = 0, 1, ..., p. Thus, (14) and (15) are equivalent. Relying on Theorem 3, using $\mathcal{G}\{y^{(k)}(t)\} = \nabla^k f_n$, k = 0, 1, ..., p, we conclude that the differential equation

 $L[y] = b_p y^{(s)} + b_{p-1} y^{(p-1)} + \dots + b_2 y'' + b_1 y' + b_0 y = g(t),$

gives rise to the difference equation (15). We search for a solution of Cauchy's problem L[Y] = 0, $Y^{(k)}(0) = 0$, $k = 0, 1, \ldots, n-2$, $Y^{(n-1)}(0) = 1$, which is in [1] given by

$$y = \int_0^t Y(t - \tau)g(\tau) d\tau.$$

If we now apply Lemma 3, so that $\mathcal{G}{y(t)} = f_n$, $\mathcal{G}{Y(t)} = Y_n$ and $\mathcal{G}{g(t)} = g_n$, we arrive at a solution of the difference equation (14) in the form of

$$f_n = \sum_{k=0}^n Y_{n-k} g_k.$$

Example 3 The the explicit representation of the standard Laguerre polynomials is

$$L_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} {m \choose k} x^k.$$

Applying the gamma transform, they are mapped to

$$L_m(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{(-1)^{n+k}}{s^{n+k+1}} \binom{m}{k} \binom{n+k}{k}.$$

Taking into account that and applying the gamma transform to the algebraic equality involving Laguerre polynomials (see [3])

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} (-1)^k \binom{m}{k} L_k(x) = \frac{x^m}{m!},$$

the following combinatorial identity is obtained

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m} {m \choose k} \sum_{j=0}^{k} \frac{(-1)^{k+j}}{s^j} {k \choose j} {n+j \choose j} = {m+n \choose n} \frac{1}{s^m}.$$

Example 4 With a view to applying the gamma transform to Legendre polynomials

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n} x^{n-2k}, \quad x \in (-1,1),$$

we first have to map the interval (-1,1) on to the interval $(0,+\infty)$. For that purpose, we make use of the function $x=1-2e^{-t}$, whereby

$$p_n(t) = P_n(1 - 2e^{-t}) = \frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} (-1)^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n - 2k}{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n-2k} \binom{n - 2k}{j} (-2e^{-t})^j,$$

which, after interchanging the order of summation, can be rewritten in the form of

$$p_n(t) = \sum_{j=0}^n e^{-jt} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^{j+k}}{2^{n-j}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n} \binom{n-2k}{j} = \sum_{j=0}^n A_{n,j} e^{-jt},$$

where

$$A_{n,j} = \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{n}{2}\right]} \frac{(-1)^{j+k}}{2^{n-j}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{2n-2k}{n} \binom{n-2k}{j}.$$
 (16)

By means of of the function $x=1-2e^{-t}$ Bonnet's recursion formula of Legendre polynomials

$$(m+1)P_{m+1}(x) = (2m+1)xP_m(x) - mP_{m-1}(x)$$

is transformed to

$$(m+1)p_{m+1}(t) = (2m+1)(1-2e^{-t})p_m(t) - mp_{m-1}(t),$$

and we have

$$(m+1)\sum_{j=0}^{m+1} A_{m+1,j}e^{-jt} = (2m+1)(1-2e^{-t})\sum_{j=0}^{m} A_{m,j}e^{-jt} - m\sum_{j=0}^{m-1} A_{m-1,j}e^{-jt}.$$

After rearrangement and comparing corresponding coefficients, we obtain combinatorial identities. First of all, simple ones. The equalities $(m+1)A_{m+1,m+1} = -2(2m+1)A_{m,m}$ and $(m+1)A_{m+1,m} = (2m+1)(A_{m,m} - 2A_{m,m-1})$ both yield

$$1^{\circ} \quad \binom{2m+2}{m+1} = \frac{4m+2}{m+1} \binom{2m}{m},$$

but the equality $(m+1)A_{m+1,0} = (2m+1)A_{m,0} - mA_{m-1,0}$ yields

$$2^{\circ} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} \binom{m+1}{k} \binom{2m+2-2k}{m+1} = \frac{4m+2}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} \binom{m}{k} \binom{2m-2k}{m} - \frac{4m}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-1}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} \binom{m-1}{k} \binom{2m-2-2k}{m-1}.$$

More generally, the equality $(m+1)A_{m+1,j} = (2m+1)(A_{m,j} - 2A_{m,j-1}) - mA_{m-1,j}$, where j = 1, 2, ..., m-1, yields

$$3^{\circ} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m+1}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} {m+1 \choose k} {2m+2-2k \choose m+1} {m+1-2k \choose j}$$

$$= \frac{4m+2}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} {m \choose k} {2m-2k \choose m} {m-2k+1 \choose j}$$

$$- \frac{4m}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{\left[\frac{m-1}{2}\right]} (-1)^{k} {m-1 \choose k} {2m-2-2k \choose m-1} {m-1-2k \choose j}.$$

7 Appendix

f(t)	$f(t) = \varphi_n(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(n+1)} \int_0^\infty e^{-st} t^n f(t) dt$
e^{at}	$\frac{1}{(s-a)^{n+1}}$
$e^{at}f(t)$	$\varphi_n(s-a)$
$t^a, (a > -1)$	$\frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{s^{n+a+1}\Gamma(n+1)}$
$t^a e^{-bt}, (a > -1)$	$\frac{\Gamma(a+n+1)}{(s+b)^{n+a+1}\Gamma(n+1)}$
$\sin at$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(s^2+a^2)^{n+1}}}\sin\left((n+1)\arctan\frac{a}{s}\right)$
$\cos at$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{(s^2+a^2)^{n+1}}}\cos\left((n+1)\arctan\frac{a}{s}\right)$
f(t-a), (a>0)	$e^{-as} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{a^{n-k}}{(n-k)!} \varphi_{n-k}(s)$
$\ln t$	$\frac{1}{s^{n+1}}(H_n - \gamma - \ln s), \gamma = \lim_{n \to \infty} (H_n - \ln n), H_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k}$

References

- [1] E. Kamke, Differentialgleichungen. Lösungsmethoden und Lösungen, Leipzig, 1959.
- [2] D. Mitrinović, J. Kečkić, *The Cauchy Method of Residues Theory and Applications*, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht, Holland, 1984.
- [3] P. K. Suetin, Classical Orthogonal Polynomials, Nauka, Moscow, 1979.
- [4] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, F. Tricomi, *Tables of Integral Transforms*, vol. 1, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1954.
- [5] J. Shiff, *The Laplace Transform: Theory and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1999.