Formations of Finite Groups in Polynomial Time: the \mathfrak{F} -Hypercenter

Viachaslau I. Murashka

{mvimath@yandex.ru}

Faculty of Mathematics and Technologies of Programming, Francisk Skorina Gomel State University, Sovetskaya 104, Gomel, 246028, Belarus

Abstract

For a wide family of formations \mathfrak{F} (which includes Baer-local formations) it is proved that the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter of a permutation finite group can be computed in polynomial time. In particular, the algorithms for computing the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter for the following classes of groups are suggested: hereditary local formations with the Shemetkov property, rank formations, formations of all quasinilpotent, Sylow tower, *p*-nilpotent, supersoluble, *w*supersoluble and *SC*-groups. For some of these formations algorithms for the computation of the intersection of all maximal \mathfrak{F} -subgroups are suggested.

Keywords. Finite group; \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter; Baer-local formation; permutation group computation; polynomial time algorithm.

AMS(2010). 20D10, 20B40.

Introduction

All groups considered here are finite. Recall that a class of groups is a collection \mathfrak{X} of groups with the property that if $G \in \mathfrak{X}$ and if $H \simeq G$, then $H \in \mathfrak{X}$. The theory of classes of groups is well developed nowadays (for example, see [6, 8, 10, 25]) and has various applications (for example, in the theory of formal languages [5], in the solution of Yang-Baxter equation [3] and etc.) The main its tasks are to construct classes of groups and to recognize is a given group belongs to a given class or not. With a class of groups \mathfrak{X} one can associate the canonical subgroups such as the \mathfrak{X} -residual, the \mathfrak{X} -radical, a \mathfrak{X} -projector, a \mathfrak{X} -injector, the \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter and etc. Even if a group does not belong to \mathfrak{X} , then these subgroups encode some of its properties associated with \mathfrak{X} .

The algorithms for computing the \mathfrak{X} -residual and \mathfrak{X} -projectors (of a soluble group) were presented in [9, 11]. The algorithms for computing the \mathfrak{X} -radical and \mathfrak{X} -injectors (of a soluble group) were presented in [11]. Nevertheless the algorithms for the computation of the \mathfrak{X} hypercenter which plays an important role in the theory of formations were not suggested before.

A group can be represented in different ways. In this paper we will consider only permutation groups because the computational theory of permutation groups is well developed (see [22]). We leave the reader to decide how our algorithms can be applied in the case of non-permutation groups. The aim of this paper is to find effective algorithms (which runs in polynomial time for permutation groups) for the computation of the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter for a wide family of formations \mathfrak{F} of not necessary soluble groups.

1 The Main Result

Recall that the hypercenter of a group is just the final member of its upper central series. The concept of the hypercenter was widely studied and generalized by different mathematicians (Baer [2], Huppert [12], Shemetkov [23], Skiba [26] and many other). Some of their results are presented in [10, Chapter 1]. In the final form the notion of hypercenter appeared in [25]. Let \mathfrak{X} be a class of groups. A chief factor H/K of G is called \mathfrak{X} -central in G provided that the semidirect product $(H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K))$ of H/K with $G/C_G(H/K)$ corresponding to the action by conjugation of G on H/K belongs to \mathfrak{X} (see [25, p. 127–128]). The \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter $Z_{\mathfrak{X}}(G)$ is the greatest normal subgroup of G all whose G-composition factors are \mathfrak{X} -central.

From Barnes-Kegel Theorem [8, IV, Proposition 1.5] it follows that if \mathfrak{F} is a formation and $G \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $G = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$. The converse of this statement is false as we can see on the example of the class of all abelian groups. Shemetkov [24] asked to described all formations $\mathfrak{F} = (G \mid G = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G))$ (such formations are called Z-saturated [16]). The solution of this problem was started in [4, 16]. The method for calculating the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter of a given group may be a useful tool in the solution of this problem.

In [18] the example of a permutation group of degree n was given such that it has a quotient with no faithful representations of degree less than $2^{n/4}$. That is why the given definition of the \mathfrak{X} -central chief factor is not good from the computational point of view. Therefore we will consider a more general definition:

Definition 1 ([17, Definition 2]). Let f be a function which assigns 0 or 1 to every group G and its chief factor H/K such that

(1) f(H/K,G) = f(M/N,G) whenever H/K and M/N are G-isomorphic chief factors of G;

(2) f(H/K,G) = f((H/N)/(K/N), G/N) for every $N \leq G$ with $N \leq K$.

Such functions f will be called chief factor functions. Denote by C(f) the class of groups

 $(G \mid G \simeq 1 \text{ or } f(H/K, G) = 1 \text{ for every chief factor } H/K \text{ of the group } G).$

In particular if f(H/K, G) = 1 iff H/K is \mathfrak{X} -central, then f is a chief factor function. So Z-saturated formations (and hence local and Baer-local formations) are the particular cases of this construction. With each chief factor function we can associate the following subgroup:

Definition 2. Denote by Z(G, f) the greatest normal subgroup of G such that f(H/K, G) = 1 for every chief factor H/K of G below it.

The subgroup Z(G, f) becomes the \mathfrak{X} -hypercenter of G with the right choice of f. In particular, if f checks if H/K is central (resp. cyclic) in G, then Z(G, f) is the (resp. supersoluble) hypercenter.

Example 1. Let f_1 and f_2 check if H/K is abelian and if $G/C_G(H/K)$ is soluble respectively. Then $C(f_1) = C(f_2) = \mathfrak{S}$ is the class of all soluble groups. Note that $Z(G, f_1)$ is the soluble radical of G and $Z(G, f_2)$ is the soluble hypercenter of G. If we consider the semidirect product of the alternating group A_5 of degree 5 and its faithful simple module over \mathbb{F}_5 (it exists by [8, B, Theorem 10.3]), then we will see that these subgroups are different.

The main result of the paper is

Theorem 1. Assume that f(H/T, G) can be computed in polynomial time (in n) for every group $G \leq S_n$ and its chief factor H/T. Then the subgroup Z(G/K, f) is well defined and can be computed in polynomial time (in n) for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

In section 4 we will discuss how this theorem can be applied to various formations.

2 Preliminaries

All unexplained notations and terminologies are standard. The reader is referred to [6, 8, 10] if necessary. Recall that Z(G) denotes the center of G; $O_p(G)$ is the greatest normal *p*-subgroup of G; $O^{\pi}(G)$ is the smallest normal subgroup of G of π -index; $\Omega_1(G)$ denotes the subgroup that is generated by elements of order p for a p-group G; S_n denotes the symmetric group of degree n; \mathfrak{G}_{π} and \mathfrak{S} denote the classes of all π -groups and soluble groups respectively.

Recall that a formation is a class of groups \mathfrak{F} which is closed under taking epimorphic images (i.e. from $G \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $N \trianglelefteq G$ it follows that $G/N \in \mathfrak{F}$) and subdirect products (i.e. from $G/N_1 \in \mathfrak{F}$ and $G/N_2 \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $G/(N_1 \cap N_2) \in \mathfrak{F}$). If \mathfrak{F} is a non-empty formation, then in every group G exists the \mathfrak{F} -residual, i.e. the smallest normal subgroup of G with $G/G^{\mathfrak{F}} \in \mathfrak{F}$.

We use standard computational conventions of abstract finite groups equipped with polynomial-time procedures to compute products and inverses of elements (see [22, Chapter 2]). For both input and output, groups are specified by generators. We will consider only $G = \langle S \rangle \leq S_n$ with $|S| \leq n^2$. If necessary, Sims' algorithm [22, Parts 4.1 and 4.2] can be used to arrange that $|S| \leq n^2$. Quotient groups are specified by generators of a group and its normal subgroup. We need the following well known basic tools in our proofs (see, for example [13] or [22]). Note that some of them are obtained mod CFSG.

Theorem 2. Given $A, B \leq G \leq S_n$ with $A \leq B$, in polynomial time one can solve the following problems:

- 1. Find $C_{G/A}(B/A)$.
- 2. Find G' and hence $G^{\mathfrak{S}}$.
- 3. Find |G|.
- 4. Find Z(G/A), $O_p(G/A)$ and $O^{\pi}(G/A)$.
- 5. Find a chief series for G containing A and B.
- 6. Given $H = \langle S_1 \rangle, K = \langle S_2 \rangle \leq G$ find $\langle H, K \rangle = \langle S_1, S_2 \rangle$ and $[H, K] = \langle \{ [s_1, s_2] \mid s_1 \in S_1, s_2 \in S_2 \rangle^{\langle H, K \rangle}$.
- 7. Check if G/A is simple.

The following lemma plays an important role in our proves.

Lemma 1 ([1]). Given $G \leq S_n$ every chain of subgroups of G has at most 2n - 3 members for $n \geq 2$.

3 Proof of Theorem 1

The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following 4 lemmas.

Lemma 2. The following statements hold:

(1) Z(G, f) is well defined for any group G.

(2) Let Z/K = Z(G/K, f). Then Z is the greatest normal subgroup of G such that it contains K and f(H/T, G) = 1 for every chief factor H/T of G with $K \leq T \leq H \leq Z$.

Proof. (1) Let M and N be normal subgroups of a group G. Then form [8, A, The Isomorphism Theorems(2)] it follows that every chief factor of G below MN is G-isomorphic to a chief factor of G below either M or N. So if f(H/K, G) = 1 for every chief factor H/K of G below M and N, then f(H/K, G) = 1 for every chief factor H/K of G below MN by (1) of Definition 1. It means that the subgroup Z(G, f) is well defined.

(2) Let Z be the greatest normal subgroup of G such that it contains K and f(H/T, G) = 1for every chief factor H/T of G with $K \leq T \leq H \leq Z$. Now f((H/K)/(T/K), G/K) =f(H/T, G) = 1 for every chief factor H/T of G such that (H/K)/(T/K) is a chief factor of G/K below Z/K by (2) of Definition 1. Hence $Z/K \leq Z(G/K, f)$. Assume that f((M/K)/(Z/K), G/K) = 1 for some chief factor (M/K)/(Z/K) of G/K. Then f(M/Z, G) = 1for some chief factor M/Z of G by (2) of Definition 1, a contradiction with the definition of Z. Thus Z/K = Z(G/K, f).

Lemma 3. Let H/T be a chief factor of G, $K \leq G$, $K \leq T$, $Z_1/K = Z(G/K, f) \cap T/K$ and $Z_2/K = Z(G/K, f) \cap H/K$. Then $Z_2/Z_1 \not\simeq 1$ if and only if f(H/T, G) = 1 and $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for some $Z \leq G$. In this case $Z_2 = Z$.

Proof. Note that $Z_1/K = Z_2/K \cap T/K = (Z_2 \cap T)/K$. Hence $Z_1 = Z_2 \cap T$. Now

$$Z_2/Z_1 = Z_2/(Z_2 \cap T) \simeq Z_2T/T \le H/T.$$

Hence Z_2/Z_1 is G-isomorphic to either 1 or H/T.

Assume that $Z_2/Z_1 \not\simeq 1$. It means that $1 = f(Z_2/Z_1, G) = f(H/T, G)$ and Z_2/Z_1 is a minimal normal subgroup of H/Z_1 not contained in T/Z_1 . Hence $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times Z_2/Z_1$.

Assume now that f(H/T, G) = 1 and $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for some $Z \leq G$. Note that f(A/B, G) = 1 for every chief factor A/B of G between Z_1 and K. From $H/T = ZT/T \simeq Z/(Z \cap T) = Z/Z_1$ it follows that $f(Z/Z_1, G) = 1$. It means that

$$Z_1/K < Z/K \le \mathbb{Z}(G/K, \mathbf{f}) \cap H/K = Z_2/K.$$

Therefore $Z_2/Z_1 \not\simeq 1$ and $Z = Z_2$.

Lemma 4. In the notations of Lemma 3 if H/T is non-abelian and Z_1 is given, then we can compute Z_2 in polynomial time.

Proof. Assume that H/T is non-abelian. If f(H/T, G) = 0, then $Z_1 = Z_2$ by Lemma 3. So we can assume that f(H/T, G) = 1. Let $C/Z_1 = C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1)^{\mathfrak{S}}$. We claim that $Z_2/Z_1 \neq 1$ iff $|C/Z_1| = |H/T|$. In this case if $Z_2/Z_1 \neq 1$, then $Z_2/Z_1 = C/Z_1$.

Suppose that $Z_2/Z_1 \not\simeq 1$. From Lemma 3 it follows that $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for some $Z \leq G$. Then $Z/Z_1 \leq C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1)$. Hence

$$C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1) = TZ/Z_1 \cap C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1) = (C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1) \cap T/Z_1)(Z/Z_1) = Z(T/Z_1) \times (Z/Z_1).$$

Recall that $H/T \simeq Z/Z_1$ is a direct product of simple non-abelian groups. It means that $C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1)^{\mathfrak{S}} = Z/Z_1 = Z_2/Z_1$ by Lemma 3. Thus $|C/Z_1| = |H/T|$.

Suppose that $|C/Z_1| = |H/T|$. Note that C/Z_1 is non-abelian (because it is the soluble residual) and $C/Z_1 \cap T/Z_1 \leq Z(T/Z_1)$ is abelian. Therefore $C/Z_1 \leq T/Z_1$. From

$$C/Z_1$$
 char $C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1) = C_{G/Z_1}(T/Z_1) \cap H/Z_1 \leq G/Z_1$

	1
	I

it follows that $C/Z_1 \leq G$. It means that H = TC. Note that $H/T = CT/T \simeq C/(C \cap T)$. From $|C/Z_1| = |H/T|$ it follows that $|C \cap T| = |Z_1|$. Note that $Z_1 \leq C \cap T$. Thus $C \cap T = Z_1$. It means that $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times C/Z_1$. Thus $C/Z_1 = Z_2/Z_1$ by Lemma 3.

By the statement of Theorem 1 we can compute f(H/T, G) in polynomial time. Now $C/Z_1 = C_{H/Z_1}(T/Z_1)$ can be computed in polynomial time by 1 of Theorem 2. Note that $(C/Z_1)^{\mathfrak{S}} = C^{\mathfrak{S}}Z_1/Z_1$. Now we can compute $C^{\mathfrak{S}}$ by 2 of Theorem 2. According to 3 of Theorem 2 we can check if $|H/T| = |C/Z_1|$ in polynomial time.

Lemma 5. In the notations of Lemma 3 if H/T is abelian and Z_1 is given, then we can compute Z_2 in polynomial time.

Proof. Assume that H/T is abelian. If f(H/T, G) = 0, then $Z_1 = Z_2$ by Lemma 3. So we can assume that f(H/T, G) = 1.

Note that H/T is a *p*-group for some prime *p* and every minimal normal *p*-subgroup of a group is centralized by its the greatest normal *p*-subgroup [8, A, Lemma 13.6]. Also note that every minimal normal *p*-subgroup is generated by elements of order *p*. Hence all minimal normal *p*-subgroups of H/Z_1 are subgroups of $P/Z_1 = \Omega_1(\mathbb{Z}(O_p(H/Z_1)))$.

If $P \leq T$, then $H/Z_1 \neq T/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for all $Z \leq G$. Thus $Z_2 = Z_1$ by Lemma 3. Assume now that $P \not\leq T$. Note that P/Z_1 is the direct product of *G*-indecomposable subgroups $P_1/Z_1, \ldots, P_m/Z_1$ by the Krull-Remak-Schmidt Theorem. Note that $H/Z_1 = T/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for some $Z \leq G$ iff $P/Z_1 = (P \cap T)/Z_1 \times Z/Z_1$ for some *G*-simple subgroup Z/Z_1 of P/Z_1 . Let $(P \cap T)/Z_1$ be the direct product of *G*-indecomposable subgroups $T_1/Z_1, \ldots, T_l/Z_1$. If the required *Z* exists, then P/Z_1 is the direct product of *G*-indecomposable subgroups $T_1/Z_1, \ldots, T_l/Z_1$ and Z/Z_1 . Hence l = m - 1. Moreover if P/Z_1 is the direct product of *G*-indecomposable subgroups $Q_1/Z_1, \ldots, Q_m/Z_1$, then these subgroups can be numbered in such way that P/Z_1 is the direct product of $T_1/Z_1, \ldots, T_{m-1}/Z_1$ and Q_m/Z_1 by the Krull-Remak-Schmidt Theorem. Note that in these case Q_m/Z_1 is *G*-simple and not contained in T/Z_1 . Therefore the required subgroup *Z* exists only in case when any direct decomposition of P/Z_1 into the product of *G*-indecomposable subgroups has *G*-simple subgroup not contained in T/Z_1 .

Note that P/Z_1 can be viewed as a *G*-module over \mathbb{F}_p and *G*-indecomposable and *G*-simple subgroups of $P/Z_1 \leq G/Z_1$ are in one to one correspondence of indecomposable and simple submodules of a *G*-module P/Z_1 .

From 4 of Theorem 2 it follows that we can compute $Z(O_p(H/Z_1))$ in polynomial time. Since $Z(O_p(H/Z_1))$ is abelian, we can find P/Z_1 in polynomial time by taking the right powers of generators of $Z(O_p(H/Z_1))$. According to [22, p. 155] for each generator g of G in polynomial time we can find the linear transformation which this element induces on P/Z_1 . Let R be the algebra generated by the above mentioned transformations. Now by [7, Theorem 5] we can decompose P/Z_1 into the sum $P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_k$ of indecomposable submodules in polynomial time. For each P_i we can check either it is simple or not by [20, Corollary 5.4] and for each simple P_i we can find the subgroup P_i/Z_1 of P/Z to which it corresponds. If $P_i/Z \not\leq T/Z$, then P_i/Z is the required subgroup.

3.1 Proof of the Theorem

We can compute a chief series $K = G_0 \leq G_1 \leq \cdots \leq G_m = G$ of G in polynomial time by 5 of Theorem 2. Let $Z_i = G_i \cap Z(G/K, f)$. We see that $Z_{i-1} = Z_i \cap G_{i-1}$ and $Z_0 = K$. Now using Lemmas 4 and 5 if we know Z_{i-1} then we can compute Z_i in polynomial time. Note that $m \leq 2n$ by Lemma 1. Hence we can compute Z(G/K, f) in polynomial time (see Algorithm 1).

Algorithm 1: GFHYPERCENTER(G, K, f)

Result: Z(G/K, f). **Data:** A normal subgroup K of a group G and a chief factor function f. $Z \leftarrow K;$ Compute a chief series $K = G_0 \trianglelefteq G_1 \trianglelefteq \cdots \trianglelefteq G_m = G$ of G; for $i \in \{1, ..., m\}$ do if $f(G_i/G_{i-1}, G) = 1$ then if $|\pi(G_i/G_{i-1})| > 1$ then $C/Z \leftarrow C_{G_i/Z}(G_{i-1}/Z);$ $S/Z \leftarrow \langle C^{\mathfrak{S}}, Z \rangle / Z;$ if $|S/Z| = |G_i/G_{i-1}|$ then $Z \leftarrow S;$ end else $p \leftarrow \pi(G_i/G_{i-1})[1];$ $P/Z \leftarrow \Omega_1(\mathbb{Z}(\mathcal{O}_p(G_i/Z)));$ For each generator g of G find the linear transformation which this element induces on P/Z; Let R be the algebra generated by above mentioned transformations. Decompose the *R*-module P/Z into the sum $P_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus P_k$ of indecomposable submodules; for $j \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$ do if P_i is a simple module then Find the corresponding to P_j subgroup P_j/Z ; if $\langle P_j/Z, G_{i-1}/Z \rangle = G_i/Z$ then $Z \leftarrow P_j;$ Leave the current **for** cycle; end end end end end end return Z/K

4 Applications

Recall [26] that $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ denotes the intersection of all \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroups of G. L.A. Shemetkov posed the following question on Gomel Algebraic seminar in 1995: «For what non-empty (normally) hereditary local (Baer-local) formations \mathfrak{F} do the equality $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ hold for every group G?» The solution to this question in case when \mathfrak{F} is a hereditary saturated formation was obtained in [26]. For some class of Baer-local formation the answer to this question was given in [15]. Nevertheless this problem is steel open. We will show that Theorem 1 can be used to compute $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ for some formations \mathfrak{F} .

4.1 Baer local and local formation

The notion of \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter plays an important role in the study for Baer-local and local formations. Let f be a function which assigns to every simple group J a possibly empty formation f(J). Now extend the domain of f. If G is the direct product of simple groups isomorphic to J, then let f(G) = f(J). If J is a cyclic group of order p, then let f(p) = f(J). Such functions f are called Baer functions [8, IV, Definitions 4.9]. A formation \mathfrak{F} is called Baer-local (or composition, see [10, p. 4] and [23]) if for some Baer function f holds:

$$\mathfrak{F} = (G \mid G/C_G(H/K) \in f(H/K) \text{ for every chief factor } H/K \text{ of } G)$$

Theorem 3. Let \mathfrak{F} be a Baer-local formation defined by f. Assume that $(G/K)^{f(J)}$ can be computed in polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$ and a simple group J. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$ and a simple group J.

Proof. Here we assume that if $f(J) = \emptyset$, then the computation of $(G/K)^{f(J)}$ returns "is not defined". Let f(H/K, G) = 1 iff $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(H/K)$. This condition is equivalent to $G^{f(H/K)}$ is defined and $[G^{f(H/K)}, H] \subseteq K$. Hence it can be checked in polynomial time by 3 and 6 of Theorem 2 and the statement of the theorem. By analogy with the proof of [17, Theorem 7] one can show that f is a chief factor function. Then $\mathfrak{F} = \mathcal{C}(f)$. Hence we can compute $G^{\mathfrak{F}}$ in polynomial time by [17, Theorem 1].

Let H/K be a chief factor of G and $T \simeq (H/K) \rtimes G/C_G(H/K)$. Note that T is a primitive group of type 1 or 3. Then $T/C_T(H/K) \simeq G/C_G(H/K)$ by [8, A, Theorem 15.2(1, 3)]. So if $T \in \mathfrak{F}$, then $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(H/K) \cap \mathfrak{F}$. From the other hand if $G/C_G(H/K) \in f(H/K) \cap \mathfrak{F}$, then $T \in \mathfrak{F}$. Note that $G/C_G(H/K) \in (f(H/K) \cap \mathfrak{F})$ iff $[G^{\mathfrak{F}}G^{f(H/K)}, H] \subseteq K$. Let $f_1(H/K, G) =$ 1 iff $G^{f(H/K)}$ is defined and $[G^{\mathfrak{F}}G^{f(H/K)}, H] \subseteq K$. Note that we can check this condition in polynomial time by 3 and 6 of Theorem 2, f_1 is a chief factor function and $Z(G, f_1) = Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$ for any group G. Thus $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in polynomial time for any $K \trianglelefteq G \leq S_n$ by Theorem 1.

A Baer-local formation defined by Baer function f is called local if $f(J) = \bigcap_{p \in \pi(J)} f(p)$ for every simple group J. In this case to define \mathfrak{F} we need only to know the values of f on primes.

Corollary 3.1. Let \mathfrak{F} be a local formation locally defined by f. Assume that $(G/K)^{f(p)}$ can be computed in polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$ and a prime p. Then $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in polynomial time.

According to [17, the proof of Corollary 1] for the class \mathfrak{U} of supersoluble groups, the class $w\mathfrak{U}$ of widely supersoluble groups [27], the class $\mathfrak{N}\mathcal{A}$ of groups G such that all Sylow subgroups of $G/\mathbf{F}(G)$ are abelian [27], the class $sm\mathfrak{U}$ of groups with submodular Sylow subgroups [29, 30], the class of strongly supersoluble groups $s\mathfrak{U}$ [29] and the class $sh\mathfrak{U}$ of groups all of whose Schmidt subgroups are supersoluble [14] we can compute the described in the statement of Corollary 3.1 f(p)-residuals in polynomial time.

Corollary 3.2. Let $\mathfrak{F} \in {\mathfrak{U}, \mathfrak{wll}, \mathfrak{sll}, \mathfrak{snll}, \mathfrak{NA}, \mathfrak{shll}}$. Then $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in a polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Recall [28] that a group is called *c-supersoluble* in the terminology of Vedernikov (*SC*-group in the terminology of Robinson [19]) if every its chief factor is a simple group. It was proved the the class \mathfrak{U}_c of all *c*-supersoluble groups is a Baer-local formation [28]. **Theorem 4.** In polynomial time one can compute $Int_{\mathfrak{U}_c}(G/K)$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{U}_c}(G/K)$ for $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Proof. Let f_1 checks if H/K is simple. Then $f_1(H/K, G)$ can be computed in polynomial time by 7 of Theorem 2. Therefore $\mathfrak{U}_c = \mathcal{C}(f_1)$. It is clear that $Z(G, f_1)$ is the greatest normal subgroup of G all whose G-composition factors are simple. Therefore $Z(G, f_1)H \in \mathfrak{U}_c$ for every \mathfrak{U}_c -subgroup H of G. Hence $Z(G, f_1) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{U}_c}(G)$.

From the other hand let $G \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(P\Omega_8^+(p^f))$ for an odd p and $P\Omega_8^+(p^f) \simeq I \trianglelefteq G$. Note that $I \leq \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{U}_c}(G)$. Now $G/C_G(I) \notin \mathfrak{U}_c$ because it contains a normal section isomorphic to S_4 . Note that an abelian chief factor is \mathfrak{U}_c -central iff it is simple. If H/K is a non-abelian factor, then $T = (H/K) \rtimes (G/C_G(H/K))$ has two minimal normal subgroups whose quotients are isomorphic to $G/C_G(H/K)$ by [8, A, Theorem 15.2(3)]. Now $T \in \mathfrak{U}_c$ iff $G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{U}_c$. The last is equivalent to $[G^{\mathfrak{U}_c}, H] \subseteq K$. According to [17, Theorem 1] we can compute $G^{\mathfrak{U}_c}$ in polynomial time. Therefore we can check if H/K is \mathfrak{U}_c -central in G in polynomial time and hence compute $Z_{\mathfrak{U}_c}(G/K)$.

Recall that a group is called quasinilpotent if every its element induces an inner automorphism on every its chief factor. The class of all quasinilpotent groups is denoted by \mathfrak{N}^* .

Theorem 5. In polynomial time one can compute $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G/K) = \operatorname{Z}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G/K)$ for $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Proof. According to [15, Corollary 1] $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G) = Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$ holds for every group G. From [15, Remark 2] $Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$ is the greatest normal subgroup of G such that every element of G induces an inner automorphism on every chief factor of G below $Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G)$, i.e. $Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G) = Z(G, f)$ where f checks if every element of G induces an inner automorphism on H/K that is $G = HC_G(H/K)$ or $G/K = (H/K)C_{G/K}(H/K)$. This condition can be checked in polynomial time by Theorem 2. It is straightforward to check that f is a chief factor function. Thus in polynomial time one can compute $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G/K) = Z_{\mathfrak{N}^*}(G/K)$ for $K \leq G \leq S_n$ by Theorem 1.

4.2 Formations with the Shemetkov property

Recall [21] (see also [6, Chapter 6]) that a formation \mathfrak{F} has the Shemetkov property (resp. in \mathfrak{S}) if every (resp. soluble) \mathfrak{F} -critical group is either a Schmidt group or a cyclic group of prime order. Note that if a hereditary local formation \mathfrak{F} has the Shemetkov property (and contains all nilpotent groups) then it can be locally defined by f where $f(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{g(p)}$ and g assigns to a prime p a set of primes g(p) with $p \in g(p)$. The converse is not true. Nevertheless a hereditary local formation \mathfrak{F} of soluble groups has the Shemetkov property in \mathfrak{S} and contains all nilpotent groups iff \mathfrak{F} is locally defined by f where $f(p) = \mathfrak{S}_{g(p)}$ and g assigns to a prime p a set of primes g(p) with $p \in g(p)$. The converse is not true. Nevertheless a hereditary local formation \mathfrak{F} of soluble groups has the Shemetkov property in \mathfrak{S} and contains all nilpotent groups iff \mathfrak{F} is locally defined by f where $f(p) = \mathfrak{S}_{g(p)}$ and g assigns to a prime p a set of primes g(p) with $p \in g(p)$. With the help of the next result one can compute the \mathfrak{F} -hypercenter and the intersection of all maximal \mathfrak{F} -subgroups of a (resp. soluble) group G where \mathfrak{F} is a hereditary formation with the Shemetkov property (resp. in \mathfrak{S}).

Theorem 6. Let g be a function which assigns to a prime p a set of primes g(p) with $p \in g(p)$ and $h(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{g(p)}$. Assume that $g(p) \cap \pi(G)$ can be computed in a polynomial time for every $p \in \pi(G)$. Let \mathfrak{F} be a local formation defined by h. Then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in a polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Proof. Let prove that $H/K \leq \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ iff $G/C_G(H/K) \in h(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$.

Assume that $G/C_G(H/K) \in h(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Let M/K be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G/K. Let T = MH. Note that $T/C_T(U/V) \in h(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(U/V)$ and every chief factor U/V of T/K below H/K. From $T/H \in \mathfrak{F}$ it follows that $T/C_T(U/V) \in h(p)$

for all $p \in \pi(U/V)$ and every chief factor U/V of T/K above H/K. Thus $T/K \in \mathfrak{F}$. Hence T/K = M/K. Therefore $H/K \leq \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$.

Assume that $H/K \leq \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$. It means that $\pi(H/K) \subseteq g(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Let M/K be an \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup of G/K and $K = H_0 \leq H_1 \leq \cdots \leq H_m = H$ be a part of chief series of M/K. Then $(M/K)/C_{M/K}(H_i/H_{i-1}) \in h(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Let $C/K = \bigcap_{i=1}^m C_{M/K}(H_i/H_{i-1})$. Now $(C/K)/C_{M/K}(H/K)$ is a $\pi(H/K)$ -group by [A, Corollary 12.4(a)]. Since h(p) is a formation, $(M/K)/(C/K) \in h(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Thus $(M/K)/C_{M/K}(H/K) \in \mathfrak{G}_{g(p)} = h(p)$ for all $p \in \pi(H/K)$. Since $g(p) \neq \emptyset$ for all prime p, we see that every element of G/K belongs to some its \mathfrak{F} -maximal subgroup. From $(M/K)/C_{M/K}(H/K)$ $\simeq MC_{G/K}(H/K)/C_{G/K}(H/K)$ it follows that $G/C_G(H/K)$ is a $\mathfrak{G}_{g(p)}$ -group for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$, i.e. $G/C_G(H/K) \in h(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$.

From [26, Theorem C(e)] it follows that if $I \leq \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)$, then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/I) = \operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G)/I$. Therefore $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K) = Z(G/K, f)$ where f checks if $G/C_G(H/K) \in h(p)$ for every $p \in \pi(H/K)$. The last condition is equivalent to $[O^{g(p)}, H] \subseteq K$ and can be checked in polynomial time by Theorem 2. Thus the statement of theorem follows from Theorem 1 and Corollary 3.1.

Let φ be some linear ordering on \mathbb{P} . Recall [8, IV, Examples 3.4(g)] that a group G is called a Sylow tower group of type φ if it has normal Hall $\{p_1, \ldots, p_t\}$ -subgroups for all $1 \leq t \leq k$ where $\pi(G) = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ and $p_i >_{\varphi} p_j$ for i < j. Let $g(p) = \{q \mid q \leq_{\varphi} p\}$. Note that the class of all Sylow tower group of type φ can be locally defined by $h(p) = \mathfrak{G}_{g(p)}$.

Corollary 6.1. Let φ be a linear ordering on \mathbb{P} such that we can check in polynomial time if $p \leq_{\varphi} q$ for any primes $p, q \leq n$. If \mathfrak{F} is the class of all Sylow tower group of type φ , then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in a polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Note that the class of all *p*-nilpotent groups can be locally defined by *h* where $h(p) = \mathfrak{G}_p$ and $h(q) = \mathfrak{G}$ for $q \neq p$.

Corollary 6.2. Let p be a prime. If \mathfrak{F} is the class of all p-nilpotent groups, then $\operatorname{Int}_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ and $Z_{\mathfrak{F}}(G/K)$ can be computed in a polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

4.3 Rank formations

If H/K is a chief factor of G, then $H/K = H_1/K \times \cdots \times H_k/K$ where H_i are isomorphic simple groups. The number k is called the *rank* of H/K in G.

Definition 3 ([8, Chapter VII, Definition 2.3]). A rank function R is a map which associates with each prime p a set R(p) of natural numbers. With each rank function R we associate a class of soluble groups

 $\mathfrak{F}(R) = (G \in \mathfrak{S} \mid G \simeq 1 \text{ or for all } p \in \mathbb{P} \text{ each } p\text{-chief factor of } G \text{ has rank in } R(p)).$

This class is a formation of soluble groups. If $R(p) = \{1, 2\}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then formation $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ is non-local by [8, Chapter VII, Theorem 2.18] and hence is non-Baer-local. If $R(p) = \{1\}$ for all $p \in \mathbb{P}$, then $\mathfrak{F}(R) = \mathfrak{U}$.

Theorem 7. Let R be a rank function. Assume that one can test if $n \in R(p)$ in polynomial (in n) time for every $p \in \mathbb{P}$. Then $Z_{\mathfrak{F}(R)}(G/K)$ can be computed in polynomial time for every $K \leq G \leq S_n$.

Proof. From 3 of Theorem 2 we can compute the rank of H/K in polynomial time. Hence we can check if $G/K \in \mathfrak{F}(\mathcal{R})$ for any $K \leq G \leq S_n$ in polynomial time by 3 and 5 of Theorem 2 and the statement of the theorem. Note that a chief factor H/K is $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ -central iff H/K is a *p*-group for some prime *p*, the rank of H/K is in R(p) and $G/C_G(H/K) \in \mathfrak{F}(R)$. Note that $C_G(H/K)/K = C_{G/K}(H/K)$. Hence we can check if H/K is $\mathfrak{F}(R)$ -central in polynomial time by Theorem 2. Thus the statement of Theorem 7 follows from Theorem 1.

Acknowledgments

This work is supported by BRFFR $\Phi 23PH\Phi - 237$. I am grateful to A. F. Vasil'ev for helpful discussions.

References

- L. BABAI, On the length of subgroup chains in the symmetric group, Comm. Algebra, 14 (1986), pp. 1729–1736.
- [2] R. BAER, Supersoluble Immersion, Canad J. Math., 11 (1959), pp. 353–369.
- [3] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, R. ESTEBAN-ROMERO, P. JIMÉNEZ-SERAL, AND V. PÉREZ-CALABUIG, On Yang-Baxter groups, Quaest. Math., 46 (2023), pp. 1273–1281.
- [4] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES AND M. D. PEREZ-RAMOS, On a question of L. A. Shemetkov, Comm. Algebra, 27 (1999), pp. 5615–5618.
- [5] A. BALLESTER-BOLINCHES, J.-E. PIN, AND X. SOLER-ESCRIVÁ, Formations of finite monoids and formal languages: Eilenberg's variety theorem revisited, Forum Math., 26 (2014), pp. 1737– 1761.
- [6] A. BALLESTER-BOLLINCHES AND L. M. EZQUERRO, *Classes of Finite Groups*, vol. 584 of Math. Appl., Springer Netherlands, 2006.
- [7] A. L. CHISTOV, G. IVANYOS, AND M. KARPINSKI, Polynomial time algorithms for modules over finite dimensional algebras, in International Symposium on Symbolic and Algebraic Computation, 1997, pp. 68–74.
- [8] K. DOERK AND T. O. HAWKES, *Finite Soluble Groups*, vol. 4 of De Gruyter Exp. Math., De Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1992.
- B. EICK AND C. R. B. WRIGHT, Computing Subgroups by Exhibition in Finite Solvable Groups, J. Symb. Comput., 33 (2002), pp. 129–143.
- [10] W. GUO, Structure Theory for Canonical Classes of Finite Groups, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2015.
- B. HÖFLING, Computing Projectors, Injectors, Residuals and Radicals of Finite Soluble Groups, J. Symb. Comput., 32 (2001), pp. 499–511.
- [12] B. HUPPERT, Zur Theorie der Formationen, Arch. Math., 19 (1969), pp. 561–574.
- [13] W. M. KANTOR AND E. M. LUKS, Computing in quotient groups, in Proceedings of the Twenty– Second Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, Baltimore, May 14–16, New York, 1990, ACM, pp. 524–534.

- [14] V. S. MONAKHOV, Finite groups with a given set of Schmidt subgroups, Math. Notes, 58 (1995), pp. 1183–1186.
- [15] V. I. MURASHKA, On the \$\varsigma\$-hypercenter and the intersection of \$\varsigma\$-maximal subgroups of a finite group, J. Group Theory, 21 (2018), pp. 463–473.
- [16] —, On Questions Posed by Shemetkov, Ballester-Bolinches, and Perez-Ramos in Finite Group Theory, Math. Notes., 112 (2022), pp. 932–939.
- [17] —, Formations of finite groups in polynomial time: \$\vec{s}\$-residuals and \$\vec{s}\$-subnormality, J. Symb. Comput., 122 (2024), p. 102271.
- [18] P. M. NEUMANN, Some algorithms for computing with finite permutation groups, in Proc. of Groups-St. Andrews 1985, E. Robertson and C. Campbell, eds., no. 121 in London Math. Soc. Lect. Note, Cambridge U. Press, 1987, pp. 59–92.
- [19] D. J. S. ROBINSON, The structure of finite groups in which permutability is a transitive relation, J. Austral. Math. Soc., 70 (2001), pp. 143–160.
- [20] L. RÓNYAI, Computing the structure of finite algebras, J. Symb. Comput., 9 (1990), pp. 355–373.
- [21] V. N. SEMENCHUCK AND A. F. VASIL'EV, Studies of normaland subgroup structure of finite groups, Nauka i technika, 1984, ch. Characterization of local formations \$\vec{F}\$ by properties of minimal non-\$\vec{F}\$-groups, pp. 175–181.
- [22] A. SERESS, *Permutation Group Algorithms*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003.
- [23] L. A. SHEMETKOV, Graduated formations of groups, Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik, 23 (1974), pp. 593-611.
- [24] —, Frattini extensions of finite groups and formations, Comm. Algebra, 25 (1997), pp. 955–964.
- [25] L. A. SHEMETKOV AND A. N. SKIBA, Formations of algebraic systems, Nauka, Moscow, 1989. In Russian.
- [26] A. N. SKIBA, On the S-hypercentre and the intersection of all S-maximal subgroups of a finite group, J. Pure Appl. Algebra, 216 (2012), pp. 789–799.
- [27] A. F. VASIL'EV, T. I. VASIL'EVA, AND V. N. TYUTYANOV, On the finite groups of supersoluble type, Sib. Math. J., 51 (2010), pp. 1004–1012.
- [28] A. F. VASIL'EV AND T. I. VASILYEVA, On finite groups whose principal factors are simple groups, Russian Math. (Iz. VUZ), 41 (1997), pp. 8–12.
- [29] V. A. VASILYEV, Finite groups with submodular sylow subgroups, Sib. Math. J., 56 (2015), pp. 1019–1027.
- [30] I. ZIMMERMANN, Submodular subgroups in finite groups, Math. Z., 202 (1989), pp. 545–557.