

ACTIONS OF LARGE FINITE GROUPS ON ASPHERICAL MANIFOLDS

JORDI DAURA SERRANO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study actions of finite groups on closed connected aspherical manifolds. Under some assumptions on the outer automorphism group of the fundamental group of a closed connected aspherical manifold M , we prove that the homeomorphism group of M is Jordan, we bound the discrete degree of symmetry of M and obtain a rigidity result, and we study the number of stabilizers of finite group actions on M . Thereafter, we show that closed connected aspherical locally homogeneous spaces $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ satisfy the necessary hypothesis on the outer automorphism group of the fundamental group.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 57S17, 54H15, 22E40

1. INTRODUCTION

Given a closed topological manifold M , can we determine which finite groups act effectively on M ? The answer of this question is completely out of reach in the vast majority of cases with the current tools of finite transformation groups theory. One way to modify this question to obtain a more tractable problem is to consider actions of a finite group F on M and to study properties not of the action of F on M , but on the restriction to some subgroup of F of index bounded by a constant C only depending on M . Let us recall some problems that follow this philosophy from [MiR24a], a recent survey on the topic.

We need the following definition to state the first problem:

Definition 1.1. *A group \mathcal{G} is said to be Jordan if there exists a constant C such that every finite subgroup $F \leq \mathcal{G}$ has an abelian subgroup $A \leq F$ such that $[F : A] \leq C$.*

The name of this property is inspired by a classical theorem of Camille Jordan which states that $GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ is Jordan. Around 30 years ago Étienne Ghys asked in a series of talks whether the diffeomorphism group of a closed connected smooth manifold M is Jordan. This question has been answered affirmatively for a lot of different manifolds like closed flat manifolds, integral homology spheres, closed connected manifolds with non-zero Euler characteristics or closed connected manifolds up to dimension 3 (see [MiR10, Zim14, Ye19, MiR19]), while it has been shown that there are closed manifolds whose diffeomorphism group is not Jordan, like $S^2 \times T^2$ (see [CPS14, MiR17]).

More recently, the same question has been studied for the homeomorphism group of closed topological manifolds, extending the results obtained in the smooth case (see [MiR24a] and references therein). Note that this property does not tell us anything for finite groups F acting on M with $|F| \leq C$, but it becomes relevant for large enough finite groups acting effectively on M .

Another interesting problem (specially when $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan) is to study the rank of finite abelian groups (defined as the smallest number of elements needed to generate the group) which can act effectively on M . Here again, we follow the philosophy of looking for a statement that eventually requires to replace the our group by a subgroup of bounded index. Fix a natural number k . Does there exist a constant C such that every finite abelian group A acting effectively on M has an abelian subgroup B such that $[A : B] \leq C$ and $\text{rank}(B) \leq k$? This question can be reformulated in terms of the following invariant introduced in [MiR24b].

Definition 1.2. *Given a manifold M let*

$$\mu(M) = \{r \in \mathbb{N} : M \text{ admits an effective action of } (\mathbb{Z}/a)^r \text{ for arbitrarily large } a\}.$$

More explicitly, $r \in \mu(M)$ if there exists an increasing sequence of natural number $\{a_i\}$ and effective group actions of $(\mathbb{Z}/a_i)^r$ on M for each i .

The discrete degree of symmetry of a manifold M is

$$\text{disc-sym}(M) = \max(\{0\} \cup \mu(M)).$$

The above question is equivalent to asking whether $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq k$. By a theorem of L.N.Mann and J.C.Su (see [MS63]) we know that if M is a closed connected manifold then $\text{disc-sym}(M)$ is a well-defined natural number, but finding the exact value of $\text{disc-sym}(M)$ is probably difficult in most cases. Note that a manifold M can admit group actions of abelian group of higher rank than $\text{disc-sym}(M)$. For example, for any natural numbers a, b there exists a closed surface $\Sigma_{g(a,b)}$ of genus $g(a,b) \geq 2$ such that $(\mathbb{Z}/a)^b$ acts freely on $\Sigma_{g(a,b)}$. On the other hand $\text{disc-sym}(\Sigma_{g(a,b)}) = 0$ (see [FM11, Chapter 7]).

The definition of $\text{disc-sym}(M)$ is analogous to the definition of the toral degree of symmetry

$$\text{tor-sym}(M) = \max(\{0\} \cup \{r \in \mathbb{N} : T^r \text{ acts effectively on } M\})$$

studied in [Hsi12, Chapter VII. §2]. Recall that a classical result states that if M is a closed manifold of dimension n then $\text{tor-sym}(M) \leq n$ and that $\text{tor-sym}(M) = n$ if and only if $M \cong T^n$. However, it is not known whether $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq n$, and whether $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n$ if and only if $M \cong T^n$.

The third problem is related to the number of stabilizers of a group action on a manifold.

Definition 1.3. Let F be a finite group acting effectively on a manifold M . The set of stabilizer subgroups of the action of F on M is denoted by

$$\text{Stab}(F, M) = \{F_x : x \in M\}.$$

It is not possible to bound $|\text{Stab}(F, M)|$ only depending on M . For example, for all n there is an effective action of the dihedral group D_n on S^1 such that $|\text{Stab}(D_n, S^1)| \geq n/2$. On the other hand, it is proven in [CPSMiR21, Theorem 1.3] that for any closed connected manifold M there exists a constant C only depending on M such that any finite p -group F acting effectively on M has a subgroup H such that $[F : H] \leq C$ and $|\text{Stab}(H, M)| \leq C$. This result was crucial to prove a generalized version of the Jordan property of homeomorphisms group of closed manifolds in [CPS22], which states that there exists a constant C such that every finite group F acting on M has a nilpotent subgroup N such that $[F : N] \leq C$. It is not known if we can remove the hypothesis of F being a p -group in [CPSMiR21, Theorem 1.3].

For the final question, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 1.4. A group \mathcal{G} is said to be Minkowski if there exists a constant C such that every finite subgroup $F \leq \mathcal{G}$ fulfils that $|F| \leq C$.

Remark 1.5. This name is motivated by a classical result of Hermann Minkowski which states that $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is Minkowski. The Minkowski property was studied in [Pop18, Gol24] under the name of bounded finite subgroups property.

If M is a closed manifold and $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Minkowski then M is said to be almost-asymmetric. In the particular case where M does not admit any effective finite group action we say that M is asymmetric. This case has been extensively studied (see [Pup07] and references therein).

In this paper we study these questions when M is a closed connected aspherical manifolds, generalizing some existing results in the literature. For example, in [Ye19] it is proven that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan when M is closed flat manifold and in [JL10] a bound of the rank of elementary abelian group acting on closed solvmanifolds is given. However, it seems that these questions have not been studied in full generality on the literature. They are particularly relevant for closed connected aspherical manifolds, since tori are the only compact connected Lie groups that act effectively on them [LR10, Theorem 3.1.16]. Therefore, $\text{tor-sym}(M)$ and $\text{disc-sym}(M)$ become important invariants.

We recall some notation before stating the main results of this paper. Given a group \mathcal{G} we denote the automorphism group of \mathcal{G} by $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$. Given $g \in \mathcal{G}$, we denote by $c_g : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ the conjugation by g , $c_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$. The normal subgroup $\{c_g : g \in \mathcal{G}\} \trianglelefteq \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})$ is denoted by $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{G})$. Recall that $\text{Inn}(\mathcal{G}) \cong \mathcal{G}/\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$, where $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{G})$ denotes the center of \mathcal{G} . The outer automorphisms group of \mathcal{G} is $\text{Out}(\mathcal{G}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{G})/\text{Inn}(\mathcal{G})$.

Our first theorem is the following:

Theorem 1.6. *Let M be a closed connected n -dimensional aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski. Then:*

1. $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan.
2. $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)) \leq n$, and $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n$ if and only if M is homeomorphic to T^n .
3. If $\chi(M) \neq 0$ then M is almost-asymmetric.
4. If $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski, then there exists a constant C such that every finite group F acting effectively on M has a subgroup H such that $[F : H] \leq C$ and $|\text{Stab}(H, M)| \leq C$.

This result is mainly a combination of several known results. Note that items 2 and 4 partially answer affirmatively the questions [MiR24a, Question 3.4, Question 3.5] and [MiR24a, Question 12.2] respectively.

As an application of theorem 1.6, we prove:

Proposition 1.7. *There exists a closed connected aspherical manifold M such that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan and $H^*(M) \cong H^*(T^2 \times S^3)$.*

Note that $\text{Homeo}(T^2 \times S^3)$ is not Jordan by [MiR17]. Proposition 1.7 provides the first example of two manifolds with the same cohomology with integer coefficients such that one has Jordan homeomorphism group and the other does not.

We also obtain a rigidity result for closed connected aspherical n -dimensional manifolds when $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n - 1$. Let K denote the Klein bottle and SK denote the only non-trivial principal S^1 -bundle over K , then:

Proposition 1.8. *Let M be a closed connected n -dimensional aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski. Assume that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ has an element of infinite order. If $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n - 1$ then $M \cong T^{n-2} \times K$ or $M \cong T^{n-3} \times SK$*

It is important to know when the hypothesis on the fundamental group of theorem 1.6 are fulfilled. At the moment there are no known closed aspherical manifolds where $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is not finitely generated. Regarding the second hypothesis, we prove:

Theorem 1.9. *Let Γ be a lattice in a connected Lie group G . Then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ are Minkowski.*

The proof of this results uses several theorems of the theory of lattices of Lie groups, like the Mostow-Prasad-Margulis rigidity theorem, the Borel density theorem, Margulis superrigidity theorem and Margulis normal subgroup theorem, as well as the results in [Mal02], which are used to compute the outer automorphism group of a group extension.

If G is a connected Lie group, H is a maximal compact subgroup and Γ is a cocompact lattice of G then the closed aspherical locally homogeneous space $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ satisfies the hypothesis of theorem 1.6. In particular, flat manifolds, nilmanifolds, almost-flat manifolds, solvmanifolds, infra-solvmanifolds and aspherical locally symmetric spaces satisfy the hypothesis of theorem 1.6. Note that if we remove the asphericity hypothesis then closed locally homogeneous spaces do not necessarily have Jordan homeomorphism group. Indeed, $T^2 \times S^2$ is homogeneous (and hence locally homogeneous) but $\text{Homeo}(T^2 \times S^2)$ is not Jordan.

Theorem 1.9 generalizes [Gol24, Theorem 1.7], where it is proven that the outer automorphism group of a cocompact lattices on connected complex Lie groups is Minkowski. In the real case we need to be careful with the compact factors and factors isomorphic to $\text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ of the semisimple part of G .

Note that theorem 1.9 is also valid for non-cocompact lattices, although it cannot be used to deduce properties of large finite groups actions on non-compact aspherical locally homogeneous spaces, since the compactness hypothesis in theorem 1.6 is essential.

To complement theorem 1.9, we also prove that the bound on the discrete degree of symmetry is reached for aspherical locally homogeneous space.

Theorem 1.10. *Let $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ be an aspherical locally homogeneous space. Then $\text{disc-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$.*

This result is a combination of the results in [LR10, Section 11.7] on the toral degree of symmetry and the validity of the Borel conjecture for lattices of connected Lie groups [KLR16].

Finally, using similar arguments used to prove theorem 1.9 we can also prove:

Proposition 1.11. *Let $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$, where M_i are a closed aspherical manifolds such that $\pi_1(M_i)$ is hyperbolic and $\dim(M_i) \geq 3$. Then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite and $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski.*

Proposition 1.11 together with theorem 1.6 and the fact that $\pi_1(M)$ is centreless implies that M is almost asymmetric.

The paper is divided as follows. In the second section we review the construction in [Mal02] used to compute the outer automorphism group of a group extension. We also make some observations on the Minkowski property that will be used thereafter. In the third section we prove theorem 1.6 and we make some remarks on the relation between the discrete degree of symmetry and coverings of closed connected aspherical manifolds. In section 4 we deduce theorem 1.9 for solvable Lie groups and give some examples and in section 5 we prove theorem 1.9 for semisimple Lie groups and proposition 1.11. Section 6 is devoted to combining the proofs of section 4 and section 5 in order to complete the proof of theorem 1.9 and we also prove theorem 1.10. Finally, in section 7 we prove proposition 1.7 and in section 8 we prove proposition 1.8.

Acknowledgements: First of all, I would like to thank Ignasi Mundet i Riera for all the guidance given during this project and for the extensive revision of the first draft of this paper. I would also like to thank László Pyber and Endre Szabó for fruitful discussions, which helped to improve many results of this paper, and for their hospitality during my stay at Álfred Rényi Institute of Mathematics. This work was partially supported by the grant PID2019-104047GB-I00 from the Spanish Ministry of Science and Innovation and the Departament de Recerca i Universitats de la Generalitat de Catalunya (2021 SGR 00697).

2. PRELIMINARIES ON OUTER AUTOMORPHISM GROUP

The aim of this section is to briefly explain the constructions in [Mal02] used to compute the outer automorphism group of a group extension.

Let

$$1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 1$$

be a short exact sequence of groups. The extension is determined by the morphism $\psi : Q \longrightarrow \text{Out}(K)$ (called the abstract kernel) such that $\psi(q)$ is the class of the conjugation $c_{\sigma(q)|K} : K \longrightarrow K$, where $\sigma : Q \longrightarrow G$ is a set-theoretic section, and a 2-cocycle $c \in H_\psi^2(Q, \mathcal{Z}K)$. Let $\text{Aut}(G, K) = \{f \in \text{Aut}(G) : f(K) = K\}$ and $\text{Out}(G, K) = \text{Aut}(G, K) / \text{Inn } G$. Finally, recall that if H is a subgroup of G , the centralizer $C_G(H)$ is $\{g \in G : c_h(g) = g \text{ for all } h \in H\}$.

Theorem 2.1. [Mal02] *There exist short exact sequences*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G, K) \longrightarrow L_1 \longrightarrow 1,$$

$$1 \longrightarrow \overline{H}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow L_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

and

$$1 \longrightarrow \overline{B}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow Z_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow 1$$

such that $L_1 \leq \text{Out}(Q)$, $L_2 \leq C_{\text{Out}(K)}\psi(Q)/\psi(\mathcal{Z}Q)$ and $B_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \leq \overline{B}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K)$, thus we have a surjective map $H_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K)$.

We have an isomorphism $\overline{B}_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \cong (p^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(Q)) \cap C_G K) / \mathcal{Z}G$.

There is also a version for the automorphism group.

Theorem 2.2. [Mal02] *There exist short exact sequences*

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}' \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G, K) \longrightarrow L'_1 \longrightarrow 1,$$

and

$$1 \longrightarrow Z_\psi^1(Q, \mathcal{Z}K) \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}' \longrightarrow L'_2 \longrightarrow 1$$

such that $L'_1 \leq \text{Aut}(Q)$ and $L'_2 \leq \text{Aut}(K)$.

Remark 2.3. *If K is a characteristic subgroup of G then $\text{Aut}(G, K) = \text{Aut}(G)$ and $\text{Out}(G, K) = \text{Out}(G)$.*

The relation between the Minkowski property and short exact sequences is explained in the following lemma:

Lemma 2.4. *Let $1 \longrightarrow K \longrightarrow G \xrightarrow{p} Q \longrightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. If K and Q are Minkowski, then G is Minkowski. If K is finite and G is Minkowski then Q is Minkowski.*

Proof. Let us prove the first part of the statement. Let F be a finite subgroup of G . Then we have a short exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow F_1 \longrightarrow F \longrightarrow F_3 \longrightarrow 1$, where F_3 is the image of F by the map $G \longrightarrow Q$ and $F_1 = F \cap K$. If C_1 and C_3 are the Minkowski constants of K and Q respectively then $|F| \leq |F_1||F_3| \leq C_1 C_3$. Therefore G is Minkowski.

For the second part assume that F is a finite subgroup of Q , then $p^{-1}(F)$ is a finite subgroup of G of order $|K||F|$. Since G is Minkowski we have that $|K||F| \leq C_2$ and therefore $|F| \leq C_2/|K|$. Thus Q is Minkowski. \square

In particular, virtually torsion-free groups are Minkowski. A first consequence of these results is the following corollary:

Corollary 2.5. *Let Γ and Γ' be finitely generated groups with finitely generated center such that $\Gamma' \trianglelefteq \Gamma$ and $\Gamma/\Gamma' = F$ is a finite group. If $\text{Out}(\Gamma')$ is a Minkowski, then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. By [McC88, Lemma 1.(a)] we know that $[\text{Out}(\Gamma) : \text{Out}(\Gamma, \Gamma')] < \infty$, hence it is enough to prove that $\text{Out}(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ is Minkowski.

By theorem 2.1 and lemma 2.4, the group $\text{Out}(\Gamma, \Gamma')$ is Minkowski if $\text{Out}(F)$, $C_{\text{Out}(\Gamma')} \psi(F) / \psi(\mathcal{Z}F)$ and $H_\psi^1(F, \mathcal{Z}\Gamma')$ are Minkowski. But $\text{Out}(F)$ and $H_\psi^1(F, \mathcal{Z}\Gamma')$ are Minkowski since F is finite and $\mathcal{Z}\Gamma'$ is finitely generated. Lastly, $C_{\text{Out}(\Gamma')} \psi(F) / \psi(\mathcal{Z}F)$ is Minkowski since $C_{\text{Out}(\Gamma')} \psi(F) \leq \text{Out}(\Gamma')$ is Minkowski by hypothesis and $\psi(\mathcal{Z}F)$ is a finite group, hence we can use the second part of lemma 2.4. \square

3. FINITE GROUP ACTIONS ON ASPHERICAL MANIFOLDS: PROOF THEOREM 1.6

Let F be a finite group acting effectively on a connected manifold M . Recall that if the action of F has a fix point $x \in M$, then the group action induces a group morphism $F \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M, x))$. However, in the general case where the action does not have a fix point this group morphism is only well defined up to conjugation, so we have instead a group morphism $\psi : F \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. This group morphism is specially relevant when M is a closed aspherical manifold, as the following theorem of P.E. Conner and F. Raymond shows:

Theorem 3.1. [LR10, Theorem 3.1.16] *Let M be a closed aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated. Assume that F is a finite group acting effectively on M . Then:*

1. *Ker ψ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the torus T^k , where k is the rank of $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$.*
2. *If F has a fixed point x then the group morphism $F \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M, x))$ is injective.*

This theorem was used to find the first examples of asymmetric manifolds, by constructing a closed aspherical manifold with a fundamental group with trivial center and torsion-free outer automorphisms group. In our case, it is the main tool to prove theorem 1.6.

Proof of part 1. of theorem 1.6. Let C denote the bound of the order of finite subgroups of $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. If F is a finite group acting effectively on M , then $\text{Ker } \psi$ is an abelian subgroup of F and $[F : \text{Ker } \psi] = |F / \text{Ker } \psi| \leq C$ since $F / \text{Ker } \psi \leq \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. Thus $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan. \square

To prove the second part we need the following group theoretic results (the second one due to Schur).

Lemma 3.2. [MiR24b, Lemma 2.1] *Let a, b, C be natural numbers and suppose that F is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/a)^b$ such that $[(\mathbb{Z}/a)^b : F] \leq C$. Then there exists a subgroup $F' \leq F$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/a')^b$ such that $C!a' \geq a$.*

Lemma 3.3. [Rob13, Theorem 4.12] *Let Γ be a finitely generated group such that $[\Gamma : \mathcal{Z}\Gamma] < \infty$. Then the commutator subgroup $[\Gamma, \Gamma]$ is finite.*

Proof of part 2. of theorem 1.6. We start proving the inequality $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq k$. Let $\{a_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that $a_i \rightarrow \infty$ and $(\mathbb{Z}/a_i)^b$ acts effectively on M for some $b \in \mathbb{N}$. We have induced group morphisms $\psi_i : (\mathbb{Z}/a_i)^b \rightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ for each i such that $[(\mathbb{Z}/a_i)^b : \text{Ker } \psi_i] \leq C$. By lemma 3.2, there exists a sequence $\{a'_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(\mathbb{Z}/a'_i)^b \leq \text{Ker } \psi_i$. Since $a'_i C! \geq a_i$ we have that $a'_i \rightarrow \infty$. Moreover, $(\mathbb{Z}/a'_i)^b$ is a subgroup of T^k for any i , so we can conclude that $b \leq k$. In consequence $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq k$. To prove the inequality $k \leq n$ we take the n -dimensional manifold $\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$, where \tilde{M} is the universal cover of M . Since \tilde{M} is contractible we have that $H^*(\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))) \cong H^*(T^k, \mathbb{Z})$. The fact that $\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ has dimension n implies that $H^i(\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for $i > n$, hence $k \leq n$.

Finally, we prove that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n$ if and only if $M \cong T^n$. It is clear that $\text{disc-sym}(T^n) = n$ since $\text{Out}(\mathbb{Z}^n) = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ is Minkowski. Conversely, assume that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n$. Since the top cohomology $H^n(\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)), \mathbb{Z})$ is non-zero, we can conclude that $\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is a closed connected manifold and that the map $\tilde{M}/\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)) \rightarrow M$ is a regular finite cover. In consequence, $[\pi_1(M) : \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)] < \infty$ and $[\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M)]$ is trivial, by lemma 3.3 and the fact that $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free. Thus $\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $M \cong T^n$, because the Borel conjecture holds for \mathbb{Z}^n . \square

The third part is a direct consequence of these two results:

Lemma 3.4. [Got65, Theorem IV.1.] *Let X be a topological space with the homotopy type of a compact connected aspherical CW-complex. If $\chi(X) \neq 0$, then $\text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(X) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.5. [MiR24a, Lemma 8.1] *For any closed connected manifold M we have $\text{disc-sym}(M) = 0$ if and only if M is almost asymmetric.*

Proof of part 3. of theorem 1.6. If M is a closed connected aspherical manifold, it has the homotopy type of a compact connected aspherical CW-complex. Thus, if $\chi(M) \neq 0$ then $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)) = 0$. In consequence $\text{disc-sym}(M) = 0$ and M is almost asymmetric. \square

Finally, to prove the last part we need the following general lemma:

Lemma 3.6. *Let M be a closed manifold. Assume that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan with constant C and that there exists a constant C' such that every finite group F acting effectively on M with a fixed point fulfils that $|F| \leq C'$. Then there exists a constant D such that every finite group F acting on M has a subgroup H such that $[F : H] \leq D$ and $|\text{Stab}(H, M)| \leq D$.*

Proof. Let F be a finite group acting effectively on M . Since $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan there exists an abelian subgroup H such that $[F : H] \leq C$. We will see that we can bound $\text{Stab}(H, M)$ by a constant C'' for any finite abelian group acting effectively on M and therefore $D = \max\{C, C''\}$. Recall that there exists a constant r such that any finite abelian group H which acts effectively on M fulfils that $\text{rank}(H) \leq r$.

We have an inclusion $\text{Stab}(H, M) \subseteq \{L \leq H : |L| \leq C'\}$, thus we will bound the number of subgroups of H of order at most C' instead of the number of stabilizers of the action of H . Since H is abelian, we have a decomposition $H = H_1 \times \dots \times H_l$, where each H_i is a p_i -Sylow subgroup, thus is of the form $H_i = \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,1}} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}}$, where $a_{i,1} \leq \dots \leq a_{i,r_i}$ and $r_i \leq r$ for all i . Then we have a bijective correspondence

$$\{L \leq H\} \longleftrightarrow \prod_{i=1}^l \{L_i \leq H_i\}$$

which induces an inclusion

$$\{L \leq H : |L| \leq C'\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^l \{L_i \leq H_i : |L_i| \leq C'\}.$$

For a prime p we define $e(p) = \max\{e \in \mathbb{N} : p^e \leq C'\}$ and we denote the set of all primes such that $e(p) \neq 0$ by \mathcal{P} . Note that \mathcal{P} is precisely the set of primes which are equal or smaller than C' and therefore $|\mathcal{P}| \leq C'$. Moreover, we have that

$$\{L_i \leq H_i : |L_i| \leq C'\} \subseteq S_i = \{L_i \leq H_i : \text{all elements of } L \text{ have order at most } e(p_i)\}$$

for each i . Note that if $p_i \notin \mathcal{P}$ then $|S_i| = 1$. Therefore

$$\{L \leq H : |L| \leq C'\} \subseteq \prod_{i=1, p_i \in \mathcal{P}}^l S_i.$$

For each H_i we choose an inclusion $H_i \longrightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}})^r$, which induce an inclusion

$$S_i \subseteq \{L \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}})^r : \text{all elements of } L \text{ have order at most } e(p_i)\}.$$

Finally, we use that if L is a subgroup of $(\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}})^r$ where all elements are of order at most $e(p_i)$ then $L_i \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e(p_i)})^r \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}})^r$. In consequence, we have an inclusion

$$\{L_i \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{a_{i,r_i}})^r : \text{all elements of } L \text{ have order at most } e(p_i)\} \subset \{L_i \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e(p_i)})^r\}.$$

Since $p_i \leq C'$ and $e(p_i) \leq C'$ we have that $|\{L_i \leq (\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e(p_i)})^r\}| \leq 2^{|\mathbb{Z}/p_i^{e(p_i)}|^r} \leq 2^{(C'C')^r}$, which does not depend on the prime p_i . Therefore, we have that $|S_i| \leq 2^{(C'C')^r}$ if $p_i \in \mathcal{P}$ and $|S_i| = 1$ if $p_i \notin \mathcal{P}$. By using that $|\mathcal{P}| \leq C'$ we can conclude that $|\text{Stab}(H, M)| \leq |\{L \leq H : |L| \leq C'\}| \leq \prod_{i=1}^l \prod_{p_i \in \mathcal{P}} |S_i| \leq (2^{(C'C')^r})^{C'}$, which completes the proof. □

Proof of part 4. of theorem 1.6. We have already seen that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan. Since a finite group F acting on M with a fix point is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ and $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski, the second condition of lemma 3.6 is also fulfilled. Thus, we only need to use lemma 3.6 to finish the proof of the fourth part. □

This ends the proof of theorem 1.6. There are some interesting and natural questions that we summarise in the next remarks.

Remark 3.7. (*The hypothesis on the fundamental group*) When are the two hypothesis on the fundamental group fulfilled? No closed aspherical manifold with $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ not finitely generated is known (see [LR10, Remark 3.1.19.]).

It is an interesting problem to find which closed connected aspherical manifolds M satisfy that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski (see [Gol24, Remark 7.1]). The next examples show some case where $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski:

1. *In this paper we prove that aspherical locally homogeneous spaces (or classical aspherical manifolds following the terminology on [FJ90]) fulfil that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski.*
2. *Another source of closed connected aspherical manifolds is the strict hyperbolization processes (see [CD95] and references therein). Given a closed oriented manifold M' of dimension $n \geq 3$ we can construct a closed oriented aspherical manifold M and a non-zero degree map $f : M \rightarrow M'$ such that $\pi_1(M)$ is a hyperbolic group. These groups fulfil that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite (see [Gro87, 5.4.A] or [Pau91]). Moreover $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)) = 0$ and therefore M' is almost-asymmetric.*
3. *If M is a closed connected aspherical 3-dimensional manifold, then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski (see [Koj84]). This fact was used in [Zim14] to prove that $\text{Diff}(M)$ is Jordan when M is a closed smooth 3-manifold.*

4. If $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is a finitely generated virtually abelian group then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski. This is the case for piecewise linear locally symmetric spaces, a new type of closed aspherical manifold defined in [TNP11] by compactifying non-compact symmetric spaces and using the reflection trick on its corners. If M is a piecewise linear locally symmetric space then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated and virtually abelian by [TNP11, Theorem 4]. Moreover, $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) = \{e\}$ by [TNP11, Lemma 7], hence piecewise linear locally symmetric spaces are almost asymmetric.

Remark 3.8. (Groups whose (outer) automorphism group is not Minkowski) There exists groups Γ such that $B\Gamma$ is a finite CW-complex and $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is not Minkowski. For example, let Γ be the Baumslag-Solitar group $B(m, ml) = \langle a, b | ba^m b^{-1} = a^{ml} \rangle$ with $m, l \geq 2$. The space $BB(m, ml)$ is a finite aspherical 2-dimensional CW-complex since it is a torsion-free one relator group (see [LSLS77]). Moreover $\text{Out}(B(m, ml))$ and $\text{Aut}(B(m, ml))$ have elements of order $l^t(l-1)$ for arbitrarily large t (see [CL83, Lemma 3.8] or [Lev07]) and thus they are not Minkowski.

Remark 3.9. (The discrete degree of symmetry versus the toral degree of symmetry) The question of when $\text{tor-sym}(M)$ is equal to $\text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ has been extensively studied for closed connected aspherical manifolds M . We also note that any effective torus action on a closed aspherical manifold M is almost-free (see [LR10, Corollary 3.1.12]), therefore $\text{tor-sym}(M)$ is equal to the toral rank of M (see [FOT08, §7.3]).

If $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski and $\text{tor-sym}(M) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ then $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$, since $\text{tor-sym}(M) \leq \text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$. The equality holds for infra-solvmanifolds or some aspherical locally homogeneous spaces (see [LR10, Section 11.7]). In theorem 1.10 we prove that the equality is valid for closed aspherical locally homogeneous spaces. On the other hand, there exist closed aspherical manifolds such that $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 0$ and $\text{disc-sym}(M) \geq 1$ (see [CWY13, MiR24b]). It is an interesting question whether all closed connected aspherical manifolds satisfy $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$.

Remark 3.10. (Euler characteristic and asymmetry) The converse of part 3 of theorem 1.6 is not true. There exist asymmetric flat manifolds (see [Szc12]). The fundamental group of a closed flat manifold fulfils the hypothesis of theorem 1.6 and the manifold Euler characteristic is 0 since they are finitely covered by a torus.

Remark 3.11. (Generalizations of aspherical manifolds) Theorem 3.1 has been generalized to closed connected manifolds M satisfying that the only periodic self-homeomorphisms of the universal cover \tilde{M} commuting with the deck of transformation groups $\pi_1(M)$ are elements of $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ (following the terminology of [LR10, Theorem 3.2.2] we will say that M is admissible). This class of manifolds includes, for example, manifolds which admits a non-zero degree map to a closed aspherical manifold.

If M is a closed connected admissible manifold such that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski and $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan and $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)/\text{Torsion}(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)))$. For

example, if M is a closed aspherical manifold satisfying the hypothesis of theorem 1.6 and N is a closed simply-connected manifold of the same dimension as M , then $M\#N$ is a closed admissible manifold such that $\text{Homeo}(M\#N)$ is Jordan and $\text{disc-sym}(M\#N) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$. This last inequality is usually strict. For example, assume that N is an odd dimensional manifold such that $\chi(N) \neq 0$. Then $\chi(T^n\#N) \neq 0$ and hence $\text{disc-sym}(T^n\#N) = 0 < n = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(T^n\#N))$ (see [MiR24b]).

However, there exists a closed admissible manifold M such that $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan but $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is not Minkowski. In [Blo75, Theorem 1.1.1] it is proved that if Γ and Λ are not free groups then $\text{Out}(\Gamma * \Lambda) \cong \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ if $\Gamma \not\cong \Lambda$ and $\text{Out}(\Gamma * \Lambda) \cong (\text{Aut}(\Gamma) \times \text{Aut}(\Lambda)) \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ if $\Gamma \cong \Lambda$. In particular, if M is a closed 4-manifold with $\pi_1(M) \cong B(m, ml)$ with $m, l \geq 2$ then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M\#T^4)) \cong \text{Aut}(B(m, ml)) \times \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{Z})$ is not Minkowski (see remark 3.7), but $M\#T^4$ is hypertoral and hence $\text{Homeo}(M\#T^4)$ is Jordan by [MiR24b, Theorem 1.15].

Remark 3.12. (Non-compact aspherical manifolds) If M is a non-compact connected aspherical manifold then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is not necessarily Jordan, even when $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski. For example, since \mathbb{R}^3 admits effective actions by $\text{SO}(3)$ then $\text{Homeo}(T^2 \times \mathbb{R}^3)$ is not Jordan by [MiR17, Theorem 1].

We end this section by exposing some facts on the relation between large finite group actions on manifolds and covering maps.

Lemma 3.13. Let M and M' be closed connected manifolds and $p : M' \rightarrow M$ be a finite covering. Then:

1. If $\text{Homeo}(M')$ is Jordan, then $\text{Homeo}(M)$ is Jordan.
2. $\text{disc-sym}(M') \geq \text{disc-sym}(M)$.
3. Assume that there exists a constant D' such that any finite group F' acting effectively on M' with a fix point satisfies $|F'| \leq D'$. Then there exists a constant D such that any finite group F acting effectively on M with a fix point satisfies $|F| \leq D$

Proof. The first two parts are proven in [MiR10, §2.3] and [MiR24b, Theorem 1.12]. The proof of the third part follows the same arguments as the proofs of the first two parts.

Assume that $p : M' \rightarrow M$ is a n -sheeted covering and F is a finite group acting effectively on M . Then F also acts on $\text{Cov}_n(M)$, the set of n -sheeted coverings of M , by pull-backs. On the other hand $\text{Cov}_n(M) \cong \text{Hom}(\pi_1(M), S_n) / \sim$ where S_n is the n -th symmetric group and the equivalence relation is given by conjugation of elements of S_n . Therefore $\text{Cov}_n(M)$ is finite, which implies that there exists a constant C only depending on M and n such that any finite group F acting effectively on M has a subgroup F_0 which acts trivially on $\text{Cov}_n(M)$ and $[F : F_0] \leq C$. Then there exists a finite

group F'_0 acting effectively on M' and a surjective group morphism $\pi : F'_0 \longrightarrow F_0$ which makes the covering map $p : M' \longrightarrow M$ π -equivariant and $|\text{Ker } \pi| \leq n!$.

Let F be a finite group acting effectively on M with a fix point $x \in M$. Then x is also fixed by the action of F_0 and F'_0 acts on $p^{-1}(x)$. Given $x' \in p^{-1}(x)$, we have that the orbit of x' by F'_0 is $F'_0/F'_{0x'} \subseteq p^{-1}(x)$, which implies that $|F'_0/F'_{0x'}| \leq n$. Finally, $F'_{0x'}$ acts effectively on M' with a fixed point, therefore $|F'_{0x'}| \leq D'$. Since π is surjective, we can take $D = C \cdot D' \cdot n$. \square

In consequence, if $p : M' \longrightarrow M$ is a covering of closed connected aspherical manifolds and M' fulfils the hypothesis of theorem 1.6 then all the conclusions of theorem 1.6 also hold for M . This fact can also be deduced for regular coverings using corollary 2.5.

Given a regular covering $p : M' \longrightarrow M$, an interesting problem is to determine when $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{disc-sym}(M')$. The next proposition will be used to give a partial answer to this question for the case of closed connected aspherical manifolds, which will be given in corollary 3.15.

Proposition 3.14. *Let M be a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated. Assume that F is a finite group acting freely on M . Then $k = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M/F)))$ if and only if the map $\psi' : F \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)))$ is trivial.*

Proof. Recall that the free action of F on M induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
& & 1 & & 1 & & 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M)) & \longrightarrow & \text{Ker } \psi \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{F} & \xrightarrow{p} & F \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow \tilde{\psi} & & \downarrow \psi \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \text{Inn } \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\psi}(\tilde{F}) & \longrightarrow & \psi(F) \longrightarrow 1 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
& & 1 & & 1 & & 1
\end{array}$$

where $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{F}) \leq \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ and $\psi(F) \leq \text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$. We also note that $\mathcal{Z}\tilde{F} \trianglelefteq C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M))$ and $\tilde{F} = \pi_1(M/F)$ is torsion-free. Recall also that $\tilde{\psi}(\tilde{f}) = c_{\tilde{f}|\pi_1(M)}$ and that $\psi(f) = [c_{\sigma f|\pi_1(M)}]$ where $\sigma : F \longrightarrow \tilde{F}$ is a set-theoretic section of p .

Since $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is a characteristic subgroup of $\pi_1(M)$, we have maps $\tilde{\psi}' : \tilde{F} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\psi' : F \longrightarrow \text{GL}(k, \mathbb{Z})$ by restricting (outer) automorphisms to $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\psi}' = \psi' \circ p$ and since p is surjective we can conclude that $\tilde{\psi}'$ is trivial if and only if ψ' is trivial.

Assume now that ψ' is trivial and hence $\tilde{\psi}'$ is trivial too. This implies that $[\tilde{f}, z] = e$ for any $\tilde{f} \in \tilde{F}$ and any $z \in \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) \trianglelefteq \mathcal{Z}\tilde{F} \trianglelefteq C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M))$. But $\text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M)))$ since the first row of the commutative diagram is a central exact sequence and $C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M))$ is torsion-free. In consequence, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\tilde{F})$.

If $\text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}\tilde{F})$ then $[C_{\tilde{F}}(\pi_1(M)) : \mathcal{Z}\tilde{F}] < \infty$. This implies that $\tilde{\psi}'(\tilde{F}) \leq \text{GL}(r, \mathbb{Z})$ fixes a sublattice of $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ and therefore $\tilde{\psi}'$ is trivial. Thus ψ' is also trivial, as desired. \square

Corollary 3.15. *Let M be a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated, $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski and $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$. Assume that F is a finite group acting freely on M such that ψ' is not trivial. Then $\text{disc-sym}(M/F) < \text{disc-sym}(M)$.*

See remark 3.9 for examples where the hypothesis of the corollary holds true.

4. SOLVMANIFOLDS

Recall that a manifold M is a solvmanifold if M admits transitive group action of a connected solvable Lie group R . If R is nilpotent then we will say that M is a nilmanifold. The structure of nilmanifolds and solvmanifolds and their fundamental groups have been vastly studied (see [Mal51, Gor09, Aus73] and [Rag12, Chapter II,III,IV]). The fundamental group of any solvmanifold is polycyclic. Recall that Γ is a polycyclic group if there exists a sequence of $\Gamma \supseteq \Gamma_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq \Gamma_r = \{e\}$ such that each quotient Γ_{i-1}/Γ_i is cyclic. Equivalently, a group is polycyclic if it is solvable group and all its subgroups are finitely generated. In particular, the center of a polycyclic group is finitely generated. Recall that Γ is a virtually polycyclic group if it contains a polycyclic subgroup of finite index.

Theorem 4.1. [Weh94] *Let Γ be a virtually polycyclic group, then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some n .*

The proof of this theorem uses the analogue statement for the automorphism group.

Theorem 4.2. [Meb70] *Let Γ be a virtually polycyclic group, then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ for some n .*

Hence, if Γ is virtually polycyclic, then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ and $\text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ are Minkowski. In consequence, by using theorem 1.6 we can conclude that a closed solvmanifold M has Jordan homeomorphism group, $\text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)))$ (and indeed $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)))$) by the results in [LR10, Section 11.7]) and there exists a constant C such that any finite group F acting effectively

on M has a subgroup H such that $|\text{Stab}(H, M)| \leq C$ and $[F : H] \leq C$. These properties are also satisfied by any manifold finitely covered by a solvmanifold. This includes flat manifolds, almost-flat manifolds and infra-solvmanifolds.

Example 4.3. *Let us show a low dimensional example in order to illustrate some consequences of theorem 1.6 on nilmanifolds. The 3-dimensional Heisenberg group*

$$H = \{(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}\}$$

is a simply connected nilpotent Lie group. Any lattice of H is isomorphic to a lattice of the form

$$\Gamma_k = \{(x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & \frac{1}{k}z \\ 0 & 1 & y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

where k is a positive integer. Note that $\mathcal{Z}\Gamma_k = \langle(0, 0, 1)\rangle$ and two lattices $\Gamma_k \cong \Gamma_l$ are isomorphic if and only if $k = l$. A possible presentation of these lattices is $\Gamma_k = \langle a, b, c \mid [c, a] = [c, b] = 1, [a, b] = c^k \rangle$ where $a = (1, 0, 0)$, $b = (0, 1, 0)$ and $c = (0, 0, 1)$. Thus $\mathcal{Z}\Gamma_k = \langle c \rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}$ for all k . In [CR06, §8] it is shown that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_k) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and $\text{Out}(\Gamma_k) \cong (\mathbb{Z}/k)^2 \rtimes \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. Note that as an abstract group, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma_k)$ does not depend on k , but $\text{Out}(\Gamma_k)$ does. Both of them are Minkowski and therefore the conclusions of theorem 1.6 are valid for H/Γ_k . In particular, $\text{Homeo}(H/\Gamma_k)$ is Jordan and $\text{disc-sym}(H/\Gamma_k) = 1$. However, not all finite subgroups of $\text{Out}(\Gamma_k)$ can be realized by a group action on H/Γ_k (see [RS]).

If a n -dimensional flat manifold such that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n = \text{disc-sym}(T^n)$ then M is homeomorphic to T^n . On the other hand, an almost-flat manifold M finitely covered by a nilmanifold N/Γ satisfying that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{disc-sym}(N/\Gamma)$ is not necessarily isomorphic to N/Γ . The 3-dimensional Heisenberg manifold can be used to construct an almost-flat manifold M which is finitely covered by H/Γ_2 and $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{disc-sym}(H/\Gamma_2) = 1$ but M is not homeomorphic to H/Γ_2 (M is not even a nilmanifold). There is a free action of $\mathbb{Z}/2$ on H/Γ_2 such that its orbit space M is an almost-flat manifold with fundamental group $\pi_1(M) = \langle a, b, c, \alpha \mid [c, \alpha] = [c, a] = [c, b] = 1, [a, b] = c^2, \alpha a = a^{-1}\alpha, \alpha b = b^{-1}\alpha, \alpha^2 = c \rangle$ (see [Dek06, pg. 160]). It is clear that $\langle c \rangle \leq \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ and therefore $1 \leq \text{disc-sym}(M) \leq \text{disc-sym}(H/\Gamma_2) = 1$. In consequence, we have an equality $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{disc-sym}(H/\Gamma_2) = 1$. Note that $\alpha c \alpha^{-1} = c$, hence the morphism $\psi' : \mathbb{Z}/2 \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{Z}\Gamma_2)$ is trivial, as we expected from corollary 3.15.

Let M be a closed aspherical manifold satisfying the hypothesis of theorem 1.6. The fact that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{rank}(\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)))$ does not imply that there are no effective actions of $(\mathbb{Z}/k)^r$ with $r > \text{disc-sym}(M)$ for some k . Indeed, if M is a compact solomanifold R/Γ then [JL10, Corollary 3.3] asserts that if $(\mathbb{Z}/p)^r$ acts

freely on R/Γ then $r \leq \dim R/\Gamma$. This bound is sharp even when R/Γ is not a torus. For an integer $k \leq 2$ we consider the subgroup

$$\Gamma'_k = \left\{ (x, y, z) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{k}x & \frac{1}{k^3}z \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{k}y \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : x, y, z \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

which is a lattice of H isomorphic to Γ_k . An straightforward computation shows that Γ_{k^2} is a normal subgroup of Γ'_k and $\Gamma'_k/\Gamma_{k^2} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/k)^3$. Therefore $(\mathbb{Z}/k)^3$ acts freely on H/Γ_{k^2} even though $\text{disc-sym}(H/\Gamma_{k^2}) = 1$ (see [CS05] for a classification of all finite abelian group actions on Heisenberg manifolds). Therefore the bound of [JL10, Corollary 3.3] is sharp.

5. LOCALLY SYMMETRIC SPACES

A locally symmetric space is a double coset space $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ where G is a connected semisimple Lie group, H is a maximal compact subgroup of G and Γ is a torsion-free lattice of G . Since $H \backslash G \cong \mathbb{R}^n$ for some n (see [Hel01, Chapter VI, Theorem 1]), then $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ is a connected aspherical manifold with fundamental group Γ . If we assume that $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ is compact then G/Γ is also compact and therefore Γ is a cocompact lattice.

The aim of this section is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. *Let Γ be a lattice of a connected semisimple Lie group G without compact factors. Then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski.*

The objective of the first part of the section is to recall the results of the theory of lattices of semisimple Lie groups and the theory of relatively hyperbolic groups needed to prove proposition 5.1. We start stating some well-known facts and theorems on lattices of semisimple Lie groups and hyperbolic groups. We refer to [Mor01] for an introduction to this topic.

Theorem 5.2. *Let G be a connected semisimple linear group without compact factors and Γ a lattice of G . Then:*

1. (Selberg's lemma, [Mor01, (4.2.8) Theorem]) *Any lattice $\Gamma \leq G$ contains a normal torsion-free lattice Γ' such that $[\Gamma : \Gamma'] < \infty$.*
2. (Reducible lattices, [Mor01, (4.3.3) Proposition]) *Assume that G is centreless. Then there exist simple subgroups G_1, \dots, G_r of G and lattices $\Gamma_i \leq G_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ such that $G = G_1 \times \dots \times G_r$ and $\Gamma_1 \times \dots \times \Gamma_r$ is a normal finite index subgroup of Γ .*

3. (Consequences of Borel density theorem I, [Mor01, (4.5.3) Corollary]) The group $N_G(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ is finite and $C_G(\Gamma) = \mathcal{Z}G$ (equivalently, $\mathcal{Z}\Gamma = \Gamma \cap \mathcal{Z}G$).
4. (Consequences of Borel density theorem II, [Mor01, (4.5.2) Corollary]) Let H be a connected closed subgroup of G . Assume that Γ normalizes H , then H is normal in G .
5. (Mostow-Prasad-Margulis rigidity theorem, [Mor01, (15.1.2) Theorem]) Let G' be another connected semisimple linear Lie group without compact factors, and let Γ' be a lattice of G' . Assume that G and G' have trivial center. Finally, assume that there does not exist any simple factor H of G such that $H \cong \mathrm{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $H \cap \Gamma_1$ is a lattice in H . Then any isomorphism from Γ to Γ' extends to a unique continuous isomorphism from G to G' .
6. (Margulis superrigidity, [Mor01, §16.1]) Assume that $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G \geq 2$ and that Γ is an irreducible lattice. Given a representation $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, let $\overline{\rho(\Gamma)}^0$ be the identity component of the Zariski closure of $\rho(\Gamma)$ in $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and set $\Gamma_0 = \rho^{-1}(\overline{\rho(\Gamma)}^0)$. Then there exists a representation $\tilde{\rho} : G \rightarrow \overline{\rho(\Gamma)}^0$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Gamma_0} = \rho|_{\Gamma_0}$.
7. (Margulis normal theorem, [Mor01, (17.1.1) Theorem]) Assume that G has $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G \geq 2$ and finite center, and that Γ is an irreducible lattice. If N is a normal subgroup of Γ , then either $N \leq \mathcal{Z}(G)$ or Γ/N is finite.

From theorem 5.2(3) we can deduce:

Corollary 5.3. *Let G be a connected semisimple linear group without compact factors and Γ a torsion-free lattice of G . Then Γ does not contain normal solvable subgroups.*

Proof. Assume on the contrary, that Γ contains a solvable normal subgroup Λ . Then, the connected component of the identity of the Zariski closure $\overline{\Lambda}^0$ is a connected solvable Lie subgroup of G . Since Λ is normal in Γ then $\overline{\Lambda}^0$ is normalized by Γ . By theorem 5.2(3), $\overline{\Lambda}^0$ is a connected solvable normal subgroup of G , which contradicts the semisimplicity of G . \square

We now introduce the results we need from the theory of relatively hyperbolic groups. For an introduction to relatively hyperbolic groups we refer to [Bow97, Osi06].

Let us recall the definition of relative hyperbolicity from [Osi06, MO10]. Given a group H and a collection of proper subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$, a subset X of G is a relative generating set of H with respect to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ if X together with the union of all H_i generates H . In this situation H can be written as a quotient group of a free group $\mathcal{F} = (*_{i \in I} H_i) * F(X)$, where $F(X)$ denotes the free group with basis X . If the kernel $\mathcal{F} \rightarrow H$ is the normal closure of a subset R of \mathcal{F} then we say that H has a relative

presentation $\langle X, H_i, i \in I | R \rangle$. If X and R are finite sets then we say that H is finitely presented relative to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Set $\mathcal{H} = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} (H_i \setminus \{e\})$. Given a word W in the alphabet $X^\pm \cup \mathcal{H}$ representing the trivial element e in H there exists an element of the form $\prod_{j=1}^k f_j R_j^{\pm 1} f_j^{-1}$ which is equal to W in the group \mathcal{F} , where $R_j \in R$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{F}$. The smallest possible k for which W is equal to an element of the form $\prod_{j=1}^k f_j R_j^{\pm 1} f_j^{-1}$ is called the relative area of W and denoted by $Area^{rel}(W)$. If $\|W\|$ denotes the length of W in the alphabet $X^\pm \cup \mathcal{H}$ then:

Definition 5.4. [MO10, Definition 2.1] *A group H is hyperbolic relative to a collection of proper subgroup $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ if H is finitely presented relative to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ and there is a constant $C > 0$ such that any word W in $X^\pm \cup \mathcal{H}$ representing the identity in H satisfies that*

$$Area^{rel}(W) \leq C\|W\|.$$

The groups H_i are called peripheral subgroups. We say that a group H is relatively hyperbolic if there exists a collection of subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ such that H is hyperbolic relative to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$.

Remark 5.5. *The definition is independent of the choice of X and R (see [Osi06]).*

Remark 5.6. *There are other definitions of relative hyperbolicity of a group H with respect a collection of subgroups $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$ in the literature. If H is torsion-free and finitely presented and H_i is finitely presented for all i , then all the definitions are equivalent (see [BSB08, Definition 1.1] and references therein). This will happen in our setting.*

Remark 5.7. *A group H is hyperbolic if it is hyperbolic relative to the collection of subgroups which only contains the trivial subgroup.*

We need to introduce relative hyperbolicity because of the next theorem:

Theorem 5.8. ([Far98] and [Gro87, §0.2(F)]) *A lattice Γ in a connected semisimple Lie group without compact factors G is relatively hyperbolic if and only if $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G = 1$. More precisely, Γ is hyperbolic relative to the collection of all its cusp subgroups associated to the cusps of the symmetric space $H \setminus G/\Gamma$. The cusp subgroups are virtually nilpotent.*

Let H be a relatively hyperbolic group to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$. Recall that $h \in H$ is said to be parabolic if its is conjugate to an element of H_i for some i . An element $h \in H$ which is not parabolic is said to be hyperbolic.

Lemma 5.9. *Let H be torsion-free a relative hyperbolic group to $\{H_i\}_{i \in I}$. Then:*

1. [MO10, Lemma 2.4] *If $h \in H$ is hyperbolic then $C_H(h)$ is cyclic.*
2. [MO10, Proposition 3.3] *The set of hyperbolic elements generates H .*
3. [MO10, Lemma 2.2] *Given $i \in I$ and $h \in H \setminus H_i$, we have that $H_i \cap hH_ih^{-1} = \{e\}$.*

We are interested in the following corollary of item 3.

Corollary 5.10. *Let h be a non-trivial element of H_i , then $C_H(h) \leq H_i$.*

Proof. Suppose that $h' \in C_H(h)$. Then $h'h'h'^{-1} = h$, therefore $H_i \cap h'H_ih'^{-1} \neq \{e\}$. By lemma 5.9, $h' \in H_i$. Thus, $C_H(h) \leq H_i$. □

We are ready to prove proposition 5.1. Firstly, let us state the following well-known result and give a proof of it for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 5.11. *Let G be connected linear semisimple Lie groups with trivial center and no compact factors, let Γ be a lattice of G and assume that there does not exist any simple factor H of G such that $H \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ and $H \cap \Gamma_1$ is a lattice in H . Then the group $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is finite.*

Proof. Let $F : \text{Aut}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(G)$ be the morphism sending an automorphism of Γ to its unique extension on G by theorem 5.2(5). Clearly, $F(\text{Inn}(\Gamma)) \leq \text{Inn}(G)$, so F descends to a group morphism $f : \text{Out}(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(G)$. Then, the claim follows from the fact that $\text{Out}(G)$ is finite and that $\text{Ker } f = N_G(\Gamma)/\Gamma$ is also finite by theorem 5.2(4). □

Lemma 5.12. *Let G be a connected semisimple Lie groups without compact factors and let Γ be a lattice of G . If $\text{Out}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. We consider the central short exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{Z}\Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma \longrightarrow 1$. The center is a characteristic subgroup, hence $\text{Out}(\Gamma) = \text{Out}(\Gamma, \mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$.

On the other hand, $\text{Out}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski by hypothesis, $\text{Out}(\mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski since $\mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ is a finitely generated abelian group and $\overline{H}^1(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma, \mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski since it is finitely generated and abelian. Therefore by theorem 2.1 we obtain that $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski. □

In view of the preceding lemma, to prove proposition 5.1 it remains to show that $\text{Out}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski. Note that $\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ is a lattice in $G/\mathcal{Z}G$ which is a centreless connected semisimple linear Lie group without compact factors. By theorem 5.2 (1) and (2), $G/\mathcal{Z}G = G_1 \times \cdots \times G_n$ and $\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ has a normal torsion-free finite index subgroup Λ of the form $\Lambda = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n$ where each Λ_i is an

irreducible lattice of G_i . Note that each of this groups is centreless. We will use the decomposition $\Lambda = \Lambda^{(1)} \times \Lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ where $\Lambda^{(1)}$ is the product of all lattices of real rank 1 and $\Lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ is the product of all lattices of real rank greater or equal than 2. After reordering we can assume that $\Lambda^{(1)} = \Lambda_1 \times \cdots \times \Lambda_m$ and $\Lambda^{(\geq 2)} = \Lambda_{m+1} \times \cdots \times \Lambda_n$.

Lemma 5.13. *The group $\Lambda^{(\geq 2)}$ is characteristic in Λ .*

Proof. We will prove that given any $f \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda)$ and any $m+1 \leq j \leq n$ we have $f(\Lambda_j) \leq \Lambda^{(\geq 2)}$.

Let $\pi_i : \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_i$ and $\iota_j : \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda$ denote the natural projection and inclusion morphisms. We take the group morphism $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j : \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $m+1 \leq j \leq n$. By Margulis normal subgroup theorem (see theorem 5.2(7)) we have that $N = \text{Ker}(\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j) \trianglelefteq \Lambda_j$ is either finite or has finite index in Λ_j . If N is finite then it is trivial since Λ_j is torsion-free and $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$ is injective. If it has finite index then Λ_j/N is a finite subgroup of Λ_i and therefore it is trivial since Λ_i is torsion-free. In this case, $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$ is trivial.

The morphisms $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j : \Lambda_j \rightarrow \Lambda_i$ cannot be injective for any i and j . Indeed, since G_i is centreless (hence $G_i \leq \text{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{R})$ for some i), we can construct a representation $\rho : \Lambda_j \rightarrow \text{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{R})$ given by the composition $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$ with the inclusions $\Lambda_i \leq G_i \leq \text{GL}(n_i, \mathbb{R})$. By Margulis superrigidity (see theorem 5.2(6)) there exists a finite index subgroup $\Lambda_{j0} \leq \Lambda_j$ and a representation $\tilde{\rho} : G_j \rightarrow \overline{\rho(\Lambda_j)}^0$ such that $\tilde{\rho}|_{\Lambda_{j0}} = \rho|_{\Lambda_{j0}}$. Since $\overline{\rho(\Lambda_j)}^0 \leq G_i$, $\tilde{\rho}$ induces an group morphism $G_j \rightarrow G_i$, which is trivial since G_i and G_j are simple, $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G_j \geq 2$ and $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} G_i = 1$. Therefore $\Lambda_{j0} \leq \text{Ker} \pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$. Since $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$ is not injective then $\pi_i \circ f \circ \iota_j$ is trivial, as desired. \square

Note that $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(\geq 2)})$ is finite by lemma 5.11.

Lemma 5.14. *The group $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Let $H = \{f \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)}) : f(\Lambda_i) = \Lambda_i \text{ for all } i\}$. Firstly, we will show that H has finite index in $\text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)})$. Since $\text{Inn}(\Lambda^{(1)}) \trianglelefteq H$, this will imply that $[\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)}) : H/\text{Inn}(\Lambda^{(1)})] < \infty$.

We denote by $r(\lambda)$ the number of non-trivial entries of an element $\lambda \in \Lambda^{(1)}$. Let S be the set of elements of $\Lambda^{(1)}$ whose centralizer $C_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(\lambda)$ is isomorphic as an abstract group to $\mathbb{Z} \times \Lambda_\lambda$, where Λ_λ is a product of lattices in \mathbb{R} -rank one semisimple Lie groups (which depend on the element $\lambda \in \Lambda$).

Firstly, we note that if $\lambda \in S$ then $r(\lambda) = 1$. Given $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \Lambda^{(1)}$, its centralizer is $C_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^m C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i)$. In addition, $C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i) = \Lambda_i$ if and only if $\lambda_i = e_i$. If λ_i is not trivial then $C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i)$ is virtually nilpotent. Indeed, if λ_i is hyperbolic then $C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ by lemma 5.9(1) and if λ_i is parabolic then $C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i)$ is virtually nilpotent by corollary 5.10 and theorem 5.8. If $r(\lambda) \neq 1$ and

$\lambda \in S$ then $C_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(\lambda)$ would contain at least two virtually nilpotent factors and one of this factors would be a normal subgroup of a lattice in a centreless semisimple Lie group. This contradicts corollary 5.3. Thus, we can conclude that λ is not in S . However, note that it is possible for an element λ with $r(\lambda) = 1$ to not be in S . If $\lambda = (e_1, \dots, \lambda_i, \dots, e_m)$, then $C_{\Lambda^{(1)}}(\lambda) \cong C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i) \times \Lambda_\lambda$ and $C_{\Lambda_i}(\lambda_i)$ is not necessarily isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} if λ_i is not hyperbolic.

Clearly S is preserved by automorphisms of $\Lambda^{(1)}$. Finally, S generates $\Lambda^{(1)}$ by lemma 5.9(2), since S contains all elements whose only non-trivial entry is hyperbolic. Consequently, any $f \in \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ permutes the factors of $\Lambda^{(1)}$ and we can construct a group morphism $\phi : \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)}) \rightarrow S_m$ such that $H = \text{Ker } \phi$. Consequently, $[\text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)}) : H] < m!$.

We are ready to prove that $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ is Minkowski. We proceed by induction on the number of factors m . If $m = 1$ then $\Lambda^{(1)}$ is an irreducible lattice in a centreless semisimple Lie group G_1 . If $G_1 \not\cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ then we can use lemma 5.11 to conclude that $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ is finite. If $G_1 \cong \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ then $\Lambda^{(1)}$ is a Fuchsian group and therefore $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ is virtually torsion-free [MS06, Corollary 2.6] and hence Minkowski.

Assume now that $\text{Out}(\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_{m-1})$ is Minkowski. By theorem 2.1, $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)}, \Lambda_m)$ is Minkowski if $\text{Out}(\Lambda_m)$, $\text{Out}(\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_{m-1})$ and $H^1(\Lambda_1 \times \dots \times \Lambda_{m-1}, \mathcal{Z}\Lambda_m)$ are Minkowski. But they are Minkowski by induction hypothesis and the fact that $\mathcal{Z}\Lambda_m$ is trivial. Since $H \leq \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)}, \Lambda_m) \leq \text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ and $[\text{Aut}(\Lambda^{(1)}) : H] < \infty$ we have that $[\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)}) : \text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)}, \Lambda_m)] < \infty$, which implies that $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ is Minkowski. \square

We are ready to prove that $\text{Out}(\Gamma / \mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski and finish the proof of proposition 5.1.

Lemma 5.15. *The group $\text{Out}(\Gamma / \mathcal{Z}\Gamma)$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Since $[\Gamma / \mathcal{Z}\Gamma : \Lambda] < \infty$, by corollary 2.5 it is enough to prove that $\text{Out}(\Lambda)$ is Minkowski. We have seen that $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(\geq 2)})$, $\text{Out}(\Lambda^{(1)})$ and $H^1(\Lambda^{(1)}, \mathcal{Z}\Lambda^{(\geq 2)})$ are Minkowski. Thus, by theorem 2.1 $\text{Out}(\Lambda)$ is Minkowski, as we wanted to see. \square

The rest of this section is devoted to prove proposition 1.11. Recall that if H is a torsion-free hyperbolic group, then $C_H(h)$ is cyclic for all non-trivial $h \in H$. In addition, if H contains a normal abelian subgroup then H is cyclic (see [BH13, Part III §.3]). Finally, if M is a closed connected aspherical manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and $\pi_1(M)$ is hyperbolic then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite (see remark 3.7(2)).

The arguments used in lemma 5.14 can be used to prove the next statement.

Proposition 5.16. *Let $M = M_1 \times \cdots \times M_m$, where M_i are closed aspherical manifolds such that $\pi_1(M_i)$ is hyperbolic and $\dim(M_i) \geq 3$. Then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite.*

Proof. Firstly, note that $\pi_1(M) = \pi_1(M_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(M_m)$. Let $H = \{f \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M)) : f(\pi_1(M_i)) = \pi_1(M_i) \text{ for all } i\}$. As in lemma 5.14, we will show that H has finite index in $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$. Since $\text{Inn}(\pi_1(M)) \trianglelefteq H$, this will imply that $[\text{Out}(\pi_1(M)) : H/\text{Inn}(\pi_1(M))] < \infty$.

Let e_i denote the trivial element of $\pi_1(M_i)$. We know that for every $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m) \in \pi_1(M)$ we have $C_{\pi_1(M)}(\lambda) = \prod_{i=1}^m C_{\pi_1(M_i)}(\lambda_i)$. In addition, $C_{\pi_1(M_i)}(\lambda_i) = \pi_1(M_i)$ if $\lambda_i = e_i$ and $C_{\pi_1(M_i)}(\lambda_i) = \mathbb{Z}$ otherwise. As before, let $r(\lambda)$ denote the number of non-trivial entries of λ . We claim that that if $r(\lambda) = 1$ and $f \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ then $r(f(\lambda)) = 1$.

Assume on the contrary, that $\lambda = (e_1, \dots, \lambda_i, \dots, e_m)$ and that $r(f(\lambda)) > 1$. Since $f(C_{\pi_1(M)}(\lambda)) = C_{\pi_1(M)}(f(\lambda))$ we can take the inverse morphism $f^{-1} : C_{\pi_1(M)}(f(\lambda)) \rightarrow C_{\pi_1(M)}(\lambda)$ and restrict it to $\mathbb{Z}^{r(f(\lambda))} \leq C_{\pi_1(M)}(f(\lambda))$. The morphism $\pi_i \circ f_{|\mathbb{Z}^{r(f(\lambda))}}^{-1} : \mathbb{Z}^{r(f(\lambda))} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ cannot be injective. If a is a non trivial element of $\text{Ker } \pi_i \circ f_{|\mathbb{Z}^{r(f(\lambda))}}^{-1}$, then there exists a $j \neq i$ such that $\pi_j \circ f_{|\langle a \rangle}^{-1} : \langle a \rangle \rightarrow \Lambda_j$ is injective. Since $\langle a \rangle \trianglelefteq C_{\pi_1(M)}(f(\lambda))$ and $\pi_j : C_{\pi_1(M)}(\lambda) \rightarrow \pi_1(M_j)$ is surjective we can conclude that $\mathbb{Z} \cong \pi_j \circ f_{|\langle a \rangle}^{-1}(\langle a \rangle) \trianglelefteq \pi_1(M_j)$. But $\pi_1(M_j)$ is hyperbolic, so from the fact that it contains an abelian normal subgroup we can conclude $\pi_1(M_j) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. This is a contradiction with the fact that $\pi_1(M_j)$ is the fundamental group of a closed aspherical manifold of dimension $\dim(M_j) \geq 3$.

In conclusion, any $f \in \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ permutes the factors of $\pi_1(M)$ and thus we can construct a group morphism to the permutation group of m letters, $\phi : \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M)) \rightarrow S_m$ such that $H = \text{Ker } \phi$. Consequently, $[\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M)) : H] < m!$.

We are now ready to prove that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite. We proceed by induction on the number of factors m . If $m = 1$ then $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite (remark 3.7(2)). Assume now that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(M_{m-1}))$ is finite. By theorem 2.1, $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M_m))$ is finite if $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M_m))$, $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(M_{m-1}))$ and $H^1(\pi_1(M_1) \times \cdots \times \pi_1(M_{m-1}), \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M_m))$ are finite. But they are finite by induction hypothesis and the fact that $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M_m)$ is trivial. Since $H \leq \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M_m)) \leq \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ and $[\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M)) : H] < \infty$ we have that $[\text{Out}(\pi_1(M)) : \text{Out}(\pi_1(M), \pi_1(M_m))] < \infty$, which implies that $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite. \square

Note that the hyperbolic groups are centreless. Consequently, $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is trivial, which implies that M is almost asymmetric by theorem 1.6 and proposition 5.16. In addition:

Corollary 5.17. *The group $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Note that $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is trivial, therefore there is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\pi_1(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\pi_1(M)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is finite and $\pi_1(M)$ is torsion-free, we conclude that $\text{Aut}(\pi_1(M))$ is virtually torsion-free and hence Minkowski. \square

6. COMBINING THE TWO CASES: ASPHERICAL LOCALLY HOMOGENEOUS SPACES

The aim of this section is to finish the proof of theorem 1.9 and to prove theorem 1.10. For the first task, the strategy is to combine the results on solvable Lie groups and semisimple Lie groups obtained in the previous sections in a similar way we proved lemma 5.12.

Given a connected Lie group G , we would like to use the Levi decomposition $G = R \rtimes S$, where S is the semisimple part and R is the solvable radical, the maximal normal connected solvable Lie subgroup of G . However, $\Gamma \cap R$ is not a lattice in R in general (see [Gen15]).

Instead of using the solvable radical we will use the amenable radical, the maximal normal connected amenable Lie subgroup of G . Let us recall some basic properties of amenable groups.

Lemma 6.1. [Zim13, Proposition 4.1.6] *Let $1 \longrightarrow G_1 \longrightarrow G_2 \longrightarrow G_3 \longrightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Then G_2 is amenable if and only if G_1 and G_3 are amenable.*

Solvable Lie groups and compact Lie groups are amenable. In particular, finite groups and \mathbb{Z} are amenable.

The lemma implies that virtually polycyclic groups are amenable.

We can decompose $G = A \rtimes S_{nc}$ where S_{nc} is semisimple with no compact factors and A is the amenable radical. Moreover, $A = R \rtimes S_c$ where S_c is compact and semisimple. If Γ is a lattice in G then $A \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in A (see [GS20, Gen15]). In addition, a lattice Γ of G is amenable if and only if G is amenable (see [Zim13, Proposition 4.1.11]). We have the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma \cap A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma/\Gamma \cap A \longrightarrow 1,$$

where the group $\Gamma \cap A$ is a lattice in the amenable radical A and $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap A$ is a lattice in the semisimple Lie group without compact factors S_{nc} . We write $\Gamma \cap A = \Gamma_A$ and $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap A = \Gamma_{nc}$.

Our first goal is to see that Γ_A is virtually polycyclic. Lemma 6.2 is probably well-known to experts, but it is difficult to find a proof in the literature. Hence, we provide a proof for the sake of completeness.

Lemma 6.2. *Let Γ be a lattice in an amenable group A . Then Γ is virtually polycyclic.*

Proof. Denote by $\pi_{A/R} : A \rightarrow A/R$ the quotient map and define $L = \overline{\pi_{A/R}(\Gamma)^0}$. It is connected solvable Lie group by [Rag12, 8.24]. Moreover, L is abelian since it is a connected solvable Lie subgroup of the compact Lie group A/R . Then, $\tilde{R} = \pi_{A/R}^{-1}(L)$ is a connected solvable group since \tilde{R}/R is abelian and R is connected.

$\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in \tilde{R} (see [GS20, Claim 2.2]). We claim that $\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma$ is polycyclic. Indeed, we have that $\tilde{R}/\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma$ is a compact solvmanifold. Then $\tilde{R}/\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma \cong R'/\Gamma'$, where R' is the universal cover of \tilde{R} and Γ' is a lattice in a simply connected solvable Lie group thus it is polycyclic. Moreover, using the long exact sequence of homotopy groups for a fibration we obtain the short exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \pi_1(\tilde{R}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \tilde{R} \cap \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

Since $\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma \cong \Gamma'/\mathbb{Z}^n$ we can conclude that $\tilde{R} \cap \Gamma$ is polycyclic.

We want to show that $[\Gamma : \tilde{R} \cap \Gamma] < \infty$. Let $H = N_A(\tilde{R})$ and let H^0 be its connected component (then $R \leq \tilde{R} \leq H^0 \leq H \leq A$). Thus $\Gamma \leq H$ and $|H/H^0|$ is bounded by [GS20, Corollary 2.6]. In addition, H^0/\tilde{R} is a compact Lie group.

In consequence, $[\Gamma : \Gamma \cap \tilde{R}] = [\Gamma : \Gamma \cap H^0][\Gamma \cap H^0 : \Gamma \cap \tilde{R}]$. The first term is finite because $\Gamma \leq H$ and $|H/H^0|$ is bounded. The second term is finite because $\Gamma/\Gamma \cap \tilde{R} \cong \Gamma\tilde{R}/\tilde{R} = \pi_{H^0/\tilde{R}}(\Gamma)$ is a discrete subgroup in a compact Lie group and thus it is finite. \square

Now that we know the structure of Γ_A we are interested in the relation between Γ_A and Γ . Since we want to study the automorphisms and outer automorphisms of Γ , it is natural to study whether Γ_A is a characteristic subgroup of Γ .

If $\mathcal{Z}(S_{nc}) \neq \{e\}$ then we consider the subgroup $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{Z}(\Gamma_{nc})) = \Gamma'_A$, which is a virtually polycyclic group since it fits in the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_A \rightarrow \Gamma'_A \rightarrow \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma_{nc}) \rightarrow 1$. In consequence, there is a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma'_A \rightarrow \Gamma \rightarrow \bar{\Gamma}_{nc} \rightarrow 1$, where $\bar{\Gamma}_{nc}$ is a lattice of the centreless connected semisimple Lie group $S_{nc}/\mathcal{Z}(S_{nc})$. By theorem 5.2(1), there exists a normal finite index torsion-free lattice $\Gamma'_{nc} \leq \bar{\Gamma}_{nc}$. Then we can consider the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma'_A \rightarrow \Gamma' \rightarrow \Gamma'_{nc} \rightarrow 1$. We will later prove that $\text{Out}(\Gamma')$ is Minkowski. This fact, together with the previous short exact sequence, will imply that $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski by corollary 2.5.

Lemma 6.3. Γ'_A is a characteristic subgroup of Γ' .

Proof. Assume that Γ'_A is not characteristic. Thus, there exists $f \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma')$ such that $f(\Gamma'_A)$ has a non trivial projection Λ in Γ'_{nc} . The group Λ is a torsion-free virtually polycyclic subgroup and hence it has a characteristic subgroup Λ' which is polycyclic (see [LR10, §9.5]). In particular, Λ' is solvable

and normal in the semisimple centreless lattice Γ'_{nc} , contradicting corollary 5.3. Consequently, Γ'_A is characteristic. \square

In consequence, $\text{Out}(\Gamma') = \text{Out}(\Gamma', \Gamma'_A)$. Theorem 2.1 shows that to prove that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma')$ and $\text{Out}(\Gamma')$ are Minkowski it is enough to prove that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_A)$, $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_{nc})$, $Z_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$, $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_A)$, $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_{nc})$ and $\overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ are Minkowski. We already know that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_A)$ and $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_A)$ are Minkowski because Γ'_A is virtually polycyclic (theorem 4.1), and $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_{nc})$ is Minkowski by lemma 5.12. Thus we only need to check that $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_{nc})$, $Z_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$, $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_A)$ and $\overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ are Minkowski.

Lemma 6.4. *The group $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_{nc})$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Since Γ'_{nc} is centreless, we have a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \Gamma'_{nc} \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(\Gamma'_{nc}) \longrightarrow \text{Out}(\Gamma'_{nc}) \longrightarrow 1.$$

Since Γ'_{nc} is virtually torsion-free and $\text{Out}(\Gamma'_{nc})$ is Minkowski, then $\text{Aut}(\Gamma'_{nc})$ is Minkowski. \square

Lemma 6.5. *The group $\overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Since $H_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is a finitely generated abelian group and there is a surjective morphism $H_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$, the group $\overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is finitely generated and abelian, hence it is Minkowski. \square

Lemma 6.6. *The group $Z_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. There is a short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \overline{B}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)) \longrightarrow Z_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)) \longrightarrow \overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

We have that $\overline{B}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)) \cong \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A)/\mathcal{Z}(\Gamma')$ (see theorem 2.1), therefore $\overline{B}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is a finitely generated abelian group and hence it is Minkowski. Since $\overline{H}_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is also a finitely generated abelian group, we use lemma 2.4 to conclude that $Z_\psi^1(\Gamma'_{nc}, \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma'_A))$ is Minkowski. \square

These three lemmas complete the proof of theorem 1.9.

Remark 6.7. *Note that we can use the proof of theorem 1.9 to conclude that if Γ is a torsion-free group which fits in a short exact sequence $1 \longrightarrow \Gamma_A \longrightarrow \Gamma \longrightarrow \Gamma_{nc} \longrightarrow 1$ where Γ_A is virtually polycyclic and Γ_{nc} is a lattice in a centreless semisimple Lie group then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski. Not all groups of this form are lattices in connected Lie groups, see [BK18, Theorem 7.5]. Baues and Kamishima introduced in [BK18] the*

notion of the closed aspherical manifolds with large symmetry. From the definition of these manifolds [BK18, Definition 1.1] one can deduce that their fundamental group fulfils the hypothesis of this remark and hence the outer automorphism group of their fundamental group is Minkowski.

Remark 6.8. *The homeomorphism group of a coset space G/Γ where G is a connected Lie group and Γ is a cocompact lattice is not necessarily Jordan. Indeed, we can take a non-compact semisimple Lie group G' and a cocompact lattice Γ' such that a connected compact maximal subgroup K' containing $SU(2)$ acts effectively on G'/Γ' . By [MiR17] we know that $\text{Homeo}(G'/\Gamma' \times T^2)$ is not Jordan. Hence, taking $G = G' \times \mathbb{R}^2$ and $\Gamma = \Gamma' \times \mathbb{Z}^2$ we obtain a coset space G/Γ whose homeomorphism group is not Jordan. On the other hand, A. Golota proved in [Gol24] that if G is a complex Lie group and Γ is a cocompact lattice, then the group of automorphisms $\text{Aut}(G/\Gamma)$ is Jordan.*

The end of this section is devoted to prove theorem 1.10. We will need the following two results from [LR10].

Theorem 6.9. [LR10, Theorem 11.7.29] *Let M be a closed connected aspherical manifold whose fundamental group fits into a short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_A \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \Gamma_{nc} \rightarrow 1$, where Γ_A is virtually polycyclic and Γ_{nc} is a cocompact lattice in a semisimple connected Lie group without compact factors. Then there exists a closed connected aspherical manifold M' which is homotopically equivalent to M and satisfies $\text{tor-sym}(M') = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$.*

Theorem 6.10. [LR10, Theorem 11.7.28] *Let $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ be a closed aspherical locally homogeneous space with G simply connected and let R be the solvable radical of G . Assume that $R \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in R such that any automorphism of $R \cap \Gamma$ can be extended to R , and that $\exp : \mathcal{L}(R) \rightarrow R$ is surjective. Then $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$.*

Proof of theorem 1.10. Note that $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski by theorem 1.9, hence $\text{disc-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) \leq \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ by theorem 1.6. On the other hand, it is clear that $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) \leq \text{disc-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma)$. Therefore, it suffices to show that $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ to conclude that $\text{disc-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$.

By lemma 6.2 Γ satisfies the conditions of theorem 6.9, hence there exists a closed connected aspherical manifold M' homotopically equivalent to $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ such that $\text{tor-sym}(M') = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$. On the other hand, the Farrell-Jones conjecture is true for cocompact lattices in connected Lie groups (see [BL12, KLR16]), which implies the Borel conjecture for closed aspherical locally homogeneous space of dimension equal or greater than 5 (see [BL12, Proposition 0.3 (ii)]). In consequence, if $\dim(H \backslash G/\Gamma) \geq 5$ then $H \backslash G/\Gamma \cong M'$ and hence $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$. Thus, it remains to study the cases where $\dim(H \backslash G/\Gamma) \leq 4$.

If $\dim(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = 1$ or 2 then $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ by classical results and if $\dim(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = 3$ then $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ by [Gab92, Corollary 8.3] and [CJ94, Theorem 1.1]. Thus, it only remains to study the case where $\dim(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = 4$.

First, assume that the noncompact semisimple part S_{nc} of G is trivial. Then Γ is virtually polycyclic. Virtually polycyclic groups are Freedman good (this property was called "good" and it was introduced in [Fre83]). If the fundamental group is Freedman good, the Farrell-Jones conjecture implies the Borel conjecture in dimension 4 (see [BL12, Proposition 0.3 (ii)]) and hence $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$.

In consequence, it only remains to study the case where $S_{nc} \neq \{e\}$. We can assume two extra hypothesis without losing generality. Firstly, let $q : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$ be the universal cover of G . Let \tilde{H} be a maximal compact connected subgroup of \tilde{G} inside $q^{-1}(H)$. Then $q^{-1}(\Gamma) = \tilde{\Gamma}$ is a cocompact lattice of \tilde{G} and $H \backslash G/\Gamma \cong \tilde{H} \backslash \tilde{G}/\tilde{\Gamma}$. Thus, we can assume that G is simply connected and hence its solvable radical R and its semisimple part S are also simply connected. Secondly, note that if K is a compact connected normal subgroup of G and $p : G \rightarrow G/K$ is the quotient map then $\Gamma \cap K = \{e\}$ since Γ is torsion-free. This implies that $H \backslash G/\Gamma \cong p(H) \backslash p(G)/\Gamma$. Therefore, we can assume that G has no compact factors.

Note that $\dim(R) + \dim(S) - \dim(H) = 4$ and since $S_{nc} \neq \{e\}$ then $\dim(S) - \dim(H) \geq 2$. Hence, $\dim(R) \leq 2$. There are four simply connected solvable groups of dimension less or equal than 2: $\dim(R) = 0$ and $R = \{e\}$, $\dim(R) = 1$ and $R \cong \mathbb{R}$, and $\dim(R) = 2$ and $R \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ or $R \cong \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})^0 \cong \mathbb{R} \rtimes \mathbb{R}$. To construct the desired torus action on $H \backslash G/\Gamma$ with R belonging to one of this four cases we will use theorem 6.10. We need to check that the hypothesis of theorem 6.10 are satisfied in these four cases. Note that in all the cases the exponential map is surjective and any lattice automorphism extends uniquely to an automorphism of the group. This is because R is either abelian or solvable of type (R) (see [LR10, §6.3]). It only remains to see that $\Gamma \cap R$ is a lattice in R .

Assume that R is abelian, then if C is a compact factor of S acting trivially on R then C is normal on G and therefore $C = \{e\}$. Therefore no compact factor of S acts trivially on R . This implies by [Gen15, Theorem 1.3 (i)] that $R \cap \Gamma$ is a lattice in R . Thus, $\text{tor-sym}(H \backslash G/\Gamma) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\Gamma$ by theorem 6.10.

If $R \cong \text{Aff}(\mathbb{R})^0$ then $\text{Aut}(R) \cong R$ and hence any compact factor C of S needs to act trivially on R . Consequently, $C \trianglelefteq G$ and therefore $C = \{e\}$. By [Gen15, Theorem 1.3 (i)] if Γ were a lattice of G then $R \cap \Gamma$ would be a lattice of R . But R does not admit any lattice (see [Boc16, pg. 82]). Thus, a closed aspherical locally homogeneous 4-manifold with solvable radical R does not exist. \square

7. JORDAN PROPERTY AND COHOMOLOGY

This section is devoted to proving proposition 1.7. We start with the following general lemma.

Lemma 7.1. *Let Γ be a finitely generated group and let $\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma$ be the i -th term of the upper central series. Assume that $\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma$ is finitely generated, centreless and that $\text{Out}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$ is Minkowski for some i . Then $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski.*

Proof. Recall that the upper central series $\{e\} = \mathcal{Z}_0\Gamma \trianglelefteq \mathcal{Z}_1\Gamma \trianglelefteq \mathcal{Z}_2\Gamma \trianglelefteq \dots$ of a group Γ is a subnormal series where $\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_{i-1}\Gamma \cong \mathcal{Z}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_{i-1}\Gamma)$ for all $i \geq 0$. The group Γ is nilpotent if and only if $\Gamma \cong \mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma$ for some i . Moreover, the groups $\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma$ are characteristic and each group morphism $\psi_i : \Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma \rightarrow \text{Out}(\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$ is trivial.

Consequently, the group $\text{Out}(\Gamma)$ is Minkowski if $\text{Out}(\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$, $\text{Out}(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$ and $H^1(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma, \mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_{i-1}\Gamma)$ are Minkowski. But they are Minkowski by hypothesis and theorem 4.1, obtaining the desired conclusion. \square

If we assume that Γ is torsion-free and $\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma$ acts properly on a contractible manifold \tilde{X} then we can use the Seifert fiber construction in [LR10, Chapter 7] to construct closed connected aspherical manifolds M such that $\pi_1(M) \cong \Gamma$. In addition, if $\tilde{X}/(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$ is a closed aspherical manifold, then M can be seen as an iterated principal torus bundle over $\tilde{X}/(\Gamma/\mathcal{Z}_i\Gamma)$. A special case of lemma 7.1 and theorem 1.6 is the next corollary.

Corollary 7.2. *If M is a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is trivial and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski, then $\text{Homeo}(M \times T^n)$ is Jordan.*

Finally, there exists closed hyperbolic 3-manifolds N which are integral homology spheres (see [BP92, Thu22]). We have that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(N))$ is trivial and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(N))$ is finite by [Gro87, 5.4 A]. Thus, $H^*(N \times T^2) \cong H^*(S^3 \times T^2)$, $\text{Homeo}(N \times T^2)$ is Jordan by corollary 7.2 and $\text{Homeo}(S^3 \times T^2)$ is not Jordan by [MiR17]. This completes the proof of proposition 1.7.

Another example can be produced using Brieskorn manifolds $\Sigma(p, q, r)$. Recall that $\Sigma(p, q, r) = \{(z_1, z_2, z_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 : z_1^p + z_2^q + z_3^r = 0, \sum_{i=1}^3 |z_i|^2 = 1\}$. If p, q and r are relatively prime and $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} + \frac{1}{r} < 1$ then $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ is a closed connected aspherical integral homology 3-sphere (see [Mil75]). Moreover, $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ are Seifert manifolds with $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ (see [LR10, §14.11]), hence there exists a S^1 -action on $\Sigma(p, q, r)$ inducing the short exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)) \longrightarrow \text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)) \longrightarrow 1$$

where $\text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r))$ is centreless. In addition, $\text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r))$ is a subgroup of isometries of the hyperbolic plane. Hence, it contains a centreless torsion-free Fuchsian subgroup Q of finite index. Since $\text{Out}(Q)$ is virtually torsion-free then $\text{Out}(\text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)))$ is also virtually torsion-free (see [MS06, Lemma 2.4, Corollary 2.6]) and therefore $\text{Out}(\text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)))$ is Minkowski.

The manifold $\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2$ is a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $H^*(\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2) \cong H^*(S^3 \times T^2)$. Moreover, since $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$ we have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^3 \longrightarrow \pi_1(T^2 \times \Sigma(p, q, r)) \longrightarrow \text{Inn } \pi_1(\Sigma(p, q, r)) \longrightarrow 1.$$

By lemma 7.1, the group $\text{Out}(\pi_1(T^2 \times \Sigma(p, q, r)))$ is Minkowski. Therefore, theorem 1.6 implies that $\text{Homeo}(T^2 \times \Sigma(p, q, r))$ is Jordan.

Remark 7.3. *A lot of the results about the Jordan property on $\text{Homeo}(M)$ of a closed connected manifold M rely on the cohomology of M , for example in [MiR19] it is proven that $\text{Diff}(M)$ is Jordan if M is an integral homology sphere or $\chi(M) \neq 0$. However, proposition 1.7 shows that the Jordan property on $\text{Homeo}(M)$ and $\text{Diff}(M)$ does not only depend on the cohomology of M in general.*

Remark 7.4. *We also note that $\text{disc-sym}(N \times T^2) < \text{disc-sym}(\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2) < \text{disc-sym}(S^3 \times T^2)$, thus all three manifolds have different discrete degree of symmetry.*

We have $\text{disc-sym}(N \times T^2) = 2$, since $\text{disc-sym}(N \times T^2) \leq 2$ by theorem 1.6 and $N \times T^2$ admits a T^2 free action. Similarly, $\text{disc-sym}(\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2) = 3$, since $\text{disc-sym}(\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2) \leq 3$ by theorem 1.6 and $\Sigma(p, q, r) \times T^2$ admits an action of T^3 . Finally, T^4 acts effectively on $S^3 \times T^2$, hence $\text{disc-sym}(S^3 \times T^2) \geq 4$.

8. REMARKS WHEN THE DISCRETE DEGREE OF SYMMETRY IS CLOSE TO THE DIMENSION OF THE ASPHERICAL MANIFOLD: PROOF OF PROPOSITION 1.8

We have seen that if M is a closed n -dimensional aspherical manifold with $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ finitely generated and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ Minkowski then $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n$ if and only if $M \cong T^n$. An interesting question is whether there exist similar rigidity results when $\text{disc-sym}(M)$ is close to n , for example $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n - 1$. The aim of this section is to answer this question provided we have an additional hypothesis on $\pi_1(M)$.

We start by recalling what happens in low dimensions. Let M be a 2-dimensional closed connected aspherical manifold. If M is orientable then M is either a torus T^2 and $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 2$ or M is a surface Σ_g of genus $g \geq 2$ and $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 0$. If M is not orientable, then M is either the Klein bottle K and $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 1$ or M has a surface Σ_g of genus $g \geq 2$ as an orientable 2-cover, hence $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 0$.

If M is a closed connected 3-dimensional aspherical manifold with an effective S^1 action, then M falls in one of the following 4 cases (see [LR10, §14.4]):

1. $M \cong T^3$.
2. M is homeomorphic to $K \times S^1$ or SK , the non-trivial principal S^1 -bundle over K .
3. $M \cong H/\Gamma$, where H is the 3-dimensional Heisenberg group and Γ is a lattice of H .
4. $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ and $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(M)/\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is centreless.

Note that in all cases, we have a central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \pi_1(M) \longrightarrow Q \longrightarrow 1$$

where Q acts effectively, properly and cocompactly on \mathbb{R}^2 .

In the first case $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 3$ and in the third and fourth cases $\text{tor-sym}(M) = 1$. In the second case, it is clear that $\text{tor-sym}(K \times S^1) = 2$, so we focus on SK . One can see that $\pi_1(SK) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$, where $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ satisfies that

$$\phi(1) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\phi(1)^2 = \text{Id}$, we have that SK is a flat solvmanifold and therefore $\text{tor-sym}(SK) = \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(SK)$.

Lemma 8.1. *Let $\phi : \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$ be group morphism such that $\phi(1)$ has finite order a . Then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Fix}(\phi) \times a\mathbb{Z}$, where $\text{Fix}(\phi) = \{v \in \mathbb{Z}^n : \phi(1)v = v\}$.*

Proof. A straightforward computation shows that given $(v, t), (w, s) \in \mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ we have $(v, t)(w, s) = (w, s)(v, t)$ if and only if $(\text{Id} - \phi(s))v = (\text{Id} - \phi(t))w$. If $(v, t) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z})$ then we have $(\text{Id} - \phi(t))w = 0$ for all $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$, by taking $s = 0$. Thus, $\phi(t) = \text{Id}$ and hence $t \in a\mathbb{Z}$.

If we assume that $w = 0$, then $(\text{Id} - \phi(s))v = 0$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $v \in \text{Fix}(\phi)$. Consequently, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathbb{Z}^n \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \text{Fix}(\phi) \times a\mathbb{Z}$. The other inclusion follows from the fact that if $(v, t) \in \text{Fix}(\phi) \times a\mathbb{Z}$ then $(\text{Id} - \phi(s))v = 0 = (\text{Id} - \phi(t))w$ for any $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $w \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. \square

Applying the previous lemma to $\pi_1(SK)$, we obtain $\text{Fix}(\phi) = \langle (1, 1) \rangle$ and therefore $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(SK) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2$ and $\text{tor-sym}(SK) = 2$. Thus, K and SK are the two only 3-dimensional aspherical manifolds such that where $\text{tor-sym}(M) = \dim(M) - 1$. The next proposition generalizes the previous fact to arbitrary dimension. It is probably well-known to experts but we could not find a proof in the literature, so we provide it for the sake of completeness.

Proposition 8.2. *Let M be a closed aspherical manifold of dimension n . If $\text{tor-sym}(M) = n - 1$ then $M \cong K \times T^{n-2}$ or $M \cong T^{n-3} \times SK$. In particular, M is always a non-orientable flat solomanifold.*

Proof. Let $H \leq T^{n-1}$ be the isotropy subgroup of the principal orbit of the action. Since T^{n-1} is abelian and its action on M is effective we can conclude that H is trivial. Therefore, the principal orbits of the action have dimension $n - 1$. In this case we say that we have a cohomogeneity one action. The next theorem describes the cohomogeneity one actions.

Theorem 8.3. [GGZ18, Theorem A] *Let M be a n -dimensional closed connected manifold with a cohomogeneity one action of a compact Lie group G . Let H be the isotropy subgroup of a principal orbit. Then we have one of these two options:*

1. *The quotient $M/G \cong S^1$. Then M is equivariantly homeomorphic to the total space of a fiber bundle $\pi : M \rightarrow S^1$ is a fiber bundle with fiber G/H . The action does not have exceptional orbits.*
2. *The quotient $M/G \cong [-1, 1]$. Then M is the union of two fiber bundles over the two singular orbits with isotropy subgroups K_+ and K_- whose fibers are cones over spheres or the Poincaré homology sphere. More explicitly,*

$$M = G \times_{K_-} C(K_-/H) \cup_{G/H} G \times_{K_+} C(K_+/H)$$

where $C(K_{\pm}/H)$ denotes the cone over K_{\pm}/H , which are spheres or Poincaré homology spheres. The exceptional orbits G/K_{\pm} correspond to the preimages of $\pm 1 \in [-1, 1]$.

If the cohomogeneity one action of T^{n-1} on M does not have exceptional orbits, then the action is free and the orbit map $\pi : M \rightarrow S^1$ is a principal T^{n-1} -bundle. Since the base is S^1 the principal bundle is trivial and therefore $M \cong T^n$ and $\text{tor-sym}(M) = n$, which is not possible. Therefore the action has exceptional orbits.

Since M is aspherical, the evaluation map $ev_x : T^{n-1} \rightarrow M$ such that $ev_x(g) = gx$ for all $g \in T^{n-1}$ induces an injective group morphism $ev_{x*} : \pi_1(T^{n-1}) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$ for any $x \in M$ ([LR10, Lemma 3.1.11]). Consequently, all the isotropy subgroups of the action are discrete. The quotients $K_{\pm}/H \cong K_{\pm}$ are homeomorphic to a sphere or a Poincaré homology sphere. Since they are also discrete we obtain that $K_{\pm} \cong S^0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/2$. Then

$$M = T^{n-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} I_+ \cup_{T^{n-1}} T^{n-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} I_-,$$

where $I_+ = [0, 1]$ and $I_- = [-1, 0]$. Let $Z_+ = \pi_1(T^{n-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} I_+)$ and $Z_- = \pi_1(T^{n-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} I_-)$. The principal $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -bundles $T^{n-1} \times I_{\pm} \rightarrow T^{n-1} \times_{\mathbb{Z}/2} I_{\pm}$ induce two short exact sequences of fundamental groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \xrightarrow{i_{\pm}} Z_{\pm} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \rightarrow 1.$$

Note that Z_+ and Z_- are isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} . By the Seifert-van Kampen theorem, $\pi_1(M) = Z_+ *_{\mathbb{Z}^{n-1}} Z_-$ where the amalgamated product is induced by the inclusions $i_{\pm} : \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} \rightarrow Z_{\pm}$, which we are going to describe explicitly.

Firstly, there exist primitive elements $\alpha_{\pm} \in Z_{\pm}$ such that $\alpha_{\pm} \notin i_{\pm}(\mathbb{Z}^{n-1})$. Furthermore, there exist two $x_{\pm} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$ such that $i_{\pm}(x_{\pm}) = 2\alpha_{\pm}$. We have two possibilities, that $x_+ = x_-$ or that $x_+ \neq x_-$.

Firstly, assume that $x_+ = x_-$. If we denote $x_+ = x_- = x$ then we can choose generators $\{x, y_1, \dots, y_{n-2}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} such that $\{\alpha_+, i_+(y_1), \dots, i_+(y_{n-2})\}$ generates Z_+ and $\{\alpha_-, i_-(y_1), \dots, i_-(y_{n-2})\}$ generates Z_- . Thus,

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle \alpha_+, \alpha_- \mid \alpha_+^2 = \alpha_-^2 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} \cong \pi_1(K) \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-2} = \pi_1(K \times T^{n-2}).$$

Since $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group of a flat manifold and the Borel conjecture holds for these groups (see [BL12]) we conclude that $M \cong K \times T^{n-2}$.

We now assume that $x_+ \neq x_-$. As before, we can choose a generator set $\{x_+, x_-, y_1, \dots, y_{n-3}\}$ of \mathbb{Z}^{n-1} such that $\{\alpha_+, i_+(x_-), i_+(y_1), \dots, i_+(y_{n-3})\}$ generates Z_+ and $\{i_-(x_+), \alpha_-, i_-(y_1), \dots, i_-(y_{n-3})\}$ generates Z_- . Thus,

$$\pi_1(M) \cong \langle \alpha_+, i_+(x_-), i_-(x_+), \alpha_- \mid \alpha_+^2 = i_-(x_+), \alpha_-^2 = i_+(x_-), [\alpha_+, i_+(x_-)] = 1, [\alpha_-, i_-(x_+)] = 1 \rangle \times \mathbb{Z}^{n-3}.$$

The presentation of the first factor Λ can be rearranged to obtain a new presentation

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda &\cong \langle a, b \mid [a, b^2] = 1, [a^2, b] = 1 \rangle \\ &\cong \langle a, b, c, d \mid c = ab, d = ba, ac = bd, cb = da \rangle \\ &\cong \langle a, c, d \mid [c, d] = 1, aca^{-1} = d, ada^{-1} = c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

With the last presentation, we can define an isomorphism $f : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^2 \rtimes_{\phi} \mathbb{Z}$ such that $f(a) = (0, 0, 1)$, $f(b) = (1, 0, 0)$ and $f(c) = (0, 1, 0)$. Consequently, $\pi_1(M) \cong \pi_1(SK \times T^{n-3})$. Since $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group of a flat manifold and the Borel conjecture holds for these groups we conclude that $M \cong SK \times T^{n-3}$.

□

Corollary 8.4. *Let M be a closed connected aspherical manifold of dimension n . If $M \not\cong T^n$ and M is orientable then $\text{tor-sym}(M) \leq n - 2$.*

Remark 8.5. *The hypothesis of M being aspherical is essential. For example $\text{tor-sym}(S^2) = 1$ and $S^2 \not\cong K \times T^{n-2}, T^{n-3} \times SK$.*

Theorem 8.6. *Let M be a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M))$ is finitely generated and $\text{Out}(\pi_1(M))$ is Minkowski. Assume that $\text{Inn}(\pi_1(M))$ has an element of infinite order. Then $\text{disc-sym}(M) = n - 1$ if and only if $M \cong K \times T^{n-2}$ or $M \cong T^{n-3} \times SK$.*

Proof. Because of the hypothesis on $\pi_1(M)$ we know that $\mathcal{Z}(\pi_1(M)) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}$. Let $x \in \text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ be an element of infinite order and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle) & \longrightarrow & \langle x \rangle & \longrightarrow & 1 \\ & & \downarrow \text{Id} & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & \pi_1(M) & \xrightarrow{p} & \text{Inn } \pi_1(M) & \longrightarrow & 1 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusion maps. The upper short exact sequence is also central and therefore it is classified by $H^2(\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}^{n-1}) = 0$. In consequence, $p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle) \cong \mathbb{Z}^n$. We consider now the covering $q : \tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow M$. Note that $H^*(\tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^*(\mathbb{Z}^n, \mathbb{Z})$ and therefore $H^n(\tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle), \mathbb{Z})$ is not trivial. Consequently, $\tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle)$ is a closed connected aspherical manifold and $\tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle) \cong T^n$. Since M and $\tilde{M}/p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle)$ are compact, we obtain that q is a finite covering map and therefore $p^{-1}(\langle x \rangle) \leq \pi_1(M)$ has finite index and $\pi_1(M)$ is the fundamental group of a flat manifold. Thus, we have that $\text{disc-sym}(M) = \text{tor-sym}(M)$ by theorem 1.10 and the result follows from proposition 8.2. \square

It is an interesting question to know whether $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ always has an element of infinite order when M is closed aspherical manifold not homeomorphic to a torus and such that $\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ is finitely generated. Note that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ cannot be finite by lemma 3.3 unless M is homeomorphic to a torus. Thus, if $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ does not contain elements of infinite order then $\pi_1(M)$ is abelian or $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ is infinite periodic.

We present some evidences that support that the answer to the question is affirmative. Firstly, let Γ and Λ be two groups. Assume that we have a surjective group morphism $p : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$. Then there is an induced surjective group morphism $p' : \text{Inn } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Inn } \Lambda$ which sends a conjugation c_γ to $c_{p(\gamma)}$. Thus, if $\text{Inn } \Lambda$ has an element of infinite order, then $\text{Inn } \Gamma$ also has an element of infinite order.

Assume now that we have an inclusion $i : \Gamma \rightarrow \Lambda$ instead. Then there is an induced injective group morphism $i' : \text{Inn } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Inn } \Lambda$ which sends a conjugation c_γ to $c_{i(\gamma)}$. In particular, if $\text{Inn } \Gamma$ has an element of infinite order, then $\text{Inn } \Gamma$ also has an element of infinite order. We can deduce the following corollary from these observations:

Corollary 8.7. *Let M be a closed aspherical manifold, then:*

- (1) *If $M' \rightarrow M$ is a covering and $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M')$ has an element of infinite order then $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ has an element of infinite order.*
- (2) *Suppose that we have a fibration of closed connected aspherical manifolds $M' \rightarrow M \rightarrow M''$. If $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M')$ or $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M'')$ have an element of infinite order, then $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ has an element of infinite order.*

Proof. In the first case, we use that $\pi_1(M') \leq \pi_1(M)$ and in the second case the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \pi_1(M') \rightarrow \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M'') \rightarrow 1$ together with the observations above. \square

Note that if Γ is a non-abelian polycyclic group or Γ is torsion-free centreless then $\text{Inn } \Gamma$ has elements of infinite order. Thus, closed aspherical locally homogeneous spaces and closed aspherical manifolds whose fundamental group is hyperbolic have an infinite order element in the inner automorphism group of their fundamental group. Moreover, any closed aspherical manifold M not homeomorphic to a torus constructed using fibrations of these two classes of aspherical manifolds will have an element of infinite order in $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$.

There are cohomological restrictions to $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ being infinite periodic. Assume that M is a closed connected aspherical manifold such that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ is infinite periodic. Then $H^1(\text{Inn } \pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) = \text{Hom}(\text{Inn } \pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z})$ is trivial and the inflation-restriction exact sequence becomes

$$1 \rightarrow H^1(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\text{Inn } \pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z})$$

where we see \mathbb{Z} as a trivial $\pi_1(M)$ -module. In particular, if $\text{rank } H^1(M, \mathbb{Z}) > \text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)$ then $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ has elements of infinite order.

Moreover, since $H^2(\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^2(M, \mathbb{Z})$ and $H^1(\mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(T^{\text{rank } \mathcal{Z}\pi_1(M)}, \mathbb{Z})$, the group $H^2(\text{Inn } \pi_1(M), \mathbb{Z})$ needs to be finitely generated. Using the results in [AA18], we can conclude that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ cannot be isomorphic to a free Burnside group $B(a, b)$ with $b \geq 665$ odd. The results in [Che21] imply that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ cannot be an infinite periodic 2-group of bounded exponent. Finally, we note that $\text{Inn } \pi_1(M)$ is a finitely presented group and it is an open question if there exists finitely presented infinite periodic groups (this question is the Burnside problem for finitely presented groups, see [Sap07, pg. 3])

REFERENCES

- [AA18] Srgel I Adian and Varujan S Atabekyan. Central extensions of free periodic groups. *Sbornik: Mathematics*, 209(12):1677, 2018.

- [Aus73] Louis Auslander. An exposition of the structure of solvmanifolds. part I: Algebraic theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 79(2):227–261, 03 1973.
- [BH13] Martin R Bridson and André Haefliger. *Metric spaces of non-positive curvature*, volume 319. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [BK18] Oliver Baues and Yoshinobu Kamishima. Isometry groups with radical, and aspherical Riemannian manifolds with large symmetry I, 09 2018.
- [BL12] Arthur Bartels and Wolfgang Lück. The Borel conjecture for hyperbolic and CAT(0)-groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 631–689, 2012.
- [Blo75] Edward M Bloomberg. Manifolds with no periodic homeomorphisms. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 202:67–78, 1975.
- [Boc16] Christoph Bock. On low-dimensional solvmanifolds. *Asian Journal of Mathematics*, 20(2):199–262, 2016.
- [Bow97] Brian H Bowditch. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *preprint, Southampton*, 1997.
- [BP92] Riccardo Benedetti and Carlo Petronio. *Lectures on hyperbolic geometry*. Springer Science & Business Media, 1992.
- [BSB08] Igor Belegradek, Andrzej Szczepański, and Oleg V Belegradek. Endomorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 18(1), 2008.
- [CD95] Ruth M Charney and Michael W Davis. Strict hyperbolization. *Topology*, 34(2):329–350, 1995.
- [Che21] Lei Chen. Actions of 2-groups of bounded exponent on manifolds. *Topology and its Applications*, 288:107473, 2021.
- [CJ94] Andrew Casson and Douglas Jungreis. Convergence groups and Seifert fibered 3-manifolds. *Inventiones mathematicae*, 118(1):441–456, 1994.
- [CL83] Donald J Collins and Frank Levin. Automorphisms and hopficity of certain Baumslag-Solitar groups. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 40(1):385–400, 1983.
- [CPS14] Balázs Csikós, László Pyber, and Endre Szabó. Diffeomorphism groups of compact 4-manifolds are not always Jordan. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1411.7524*, 2014.
- [CPS22] Balázs Csikós, László Pyber, and Endre Szabó. Finite subgroups of the homeomorphism group of a compact topological manifold are almost nilpotent. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2204.13375*, 2022.
- [CPSMiR21] Balázs Csikós, László Pyber, Endre Szabó, and Ignasi Mundet i Riera. On the number of stabilizer subgroups in a finite group acting on a manifold. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2111.14450*, 2021.
- [CR06] Pierre E Conner and Frank Raymond. Manifolds with few periodic homeomorphisms. In *Proceedings of the Second Conference on Compact Transformation Groups: University of Massachusetts, Amherst, 1971*, pages 1–75. Springer, 2006.
- [CS05] Dongsoon Choi and Joonkook Shin. Free actions of finite abelian groups on 3-dimensional nilmanifolds. *J. Korean Math. Soc*, 42(4):795–826, 2005.
- [CWY13] Sylvain Cappell, Shmuel Weinberger, and Min Yan. Closed aspherical manifolds with center. *Journal of Topology*, 6(4):1009–1018, 2013.
- [Dek06] Karel Dekimpe. *Almost-Bieberbach groups: affine and polynomial structures*. Springer, 2006.
- [Far98] Benson Farb. Relatively hyperbolic groups. *Geometric and functional analysis*, 8(5):810–840, 1998.
- [FJ90] F Thomas Farrell and Lowell Jones. *Classical aspherical manifolds*. Number 75. American Mathematical Soc., 1990.
- [FM11] Benson Farb and Dan Margalit. *A primer on mapping class groups (pms-49)*, volume 41. Princeton university press, 2011.

- [FOT08] Yves Félix, John Oprea, and Daniel Tanré. *Algebraic models in geometry*. OUP Oxford, 2008.
- [Fre83] Michael H Freedman. The disk theorem for four-dimensional manifolds. In *Proceedings of the International Congress*, volume 1, pages 647–663, 1983.
- [Gab92] David Gabai. Convergence groups are Fuchsian groups. *Annals of Mathematics*, 136(3):447–510, 1992.
- [Gen15] Andrew Geng. When are radicals of lie groups lattice-hereditary? *New York J. Math*, 21:321–331, 2015.
- [GGZ18] Fernando Galaz-García and Masoumeh Zarei. Cohomogeneity one topological manifolds revisited. *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, 288:829–853, 2018.
- [Gol24] Aleksei Golota. Finite groups acting on compact complex parallelizable manifolds. *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2024(8):6447–6470, 2024.
- [Gor09] V V Gorbatsevich. Compact solvmanifolds of dimension at most 4. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 50(2):239–252, 2009.
- [Got65] Daniel H Gottlieb. A certain subgroup of the fundamental group. *American Journal of Mathematics*, 87(4):840–856, 1965.
- [Gro87] Mikhael Gromov. *Hyperbolic groups*. Springer, 1987.
- [GS20] Tsachik Gelander and Raz Slutsky. On the minimal size of a generating set of lattices in lie groups. *Journal of Lie Theory*, 30(1):33–40, 2020.
- [Hel01] Sigurdur Helgason. *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, volume 341. American Mathematical Soc., 2001.
- [Hsi12] Wu Yi Hsiang. *Cohomology theory of topological transformation groups*, volume 85. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [JL10] Jang Hyun Jo and Jong Bum Lee. Group extensions and free actions by finite groups on solvmanifolds. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 283(7):1054–1059, 2010.
- [KLR16] Holger Kammeyer, Wolfgang Lück, and Henrik Rüping. The Farrell–Jones conjecture for arbitrary lattices in virtually connected lie groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 20(3):1275–1287, 2016.
- [Koj84] Sadayoshi Kojima. Bounding finite groups acting on 3-manifolds. In *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, volume 96, pages 269–281. Cambridge University Press, 1984.
- [Lev07] Gilbert Levitt. On the automorphism group of generalized Baumslag–Solitar groups. *Geometry & Topology*, 11(1):473–515, 2007.
- [LR10] Kyung Bai Lee and Frank Raymond. *Seifert fiberings*. Number 166. American Mathematical Soc., 2010.
- [LSLS77] Roger C Lyndon, Paul E Schupp, RC Lyndon, and PE Schupp. *Combinatorial group theory*, volume 188. Springer, 1977.
- [Mal51] A.I. Maltsev. On a class of homogeneous spaces. *American Mathematical Society translations*, 39, 1951.
- [Mal02] Wim Malfait. The (outer) automorphism group of a group extension. *Bulletin of the belgian mathematical society-simon stevin*, 9(3):361–372, 2002.
- [McC88] J McCool. The automorphism groups of finite extensions of free groups. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 20(2):131–135, 1988.
- [Meb70] Ju I Mebzljakov. Integral representations of the holomorph of a polycyclic group. *Algebra i Logika*, 9:539–58, 1970.
- [Mil75] John Milnor. On the 3-dimensional brieskorn manifolds $M(p, q, r)$. *Knots, Groups and 3-Manifolds (AM-84)*, 84:175–226, 1975.
- [MiR10] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Jordan’s theorem for the diffeomorphism group of some manifolds. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 138(6):2253–2262, 2010.

- [MiR17] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Non Jordan groups of diffeomorphisms and actions of compact lie groups on manifolds. *Transformation Groups*, 22(2):487–501, 2017.
- [MiR19] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Finite group actions on homology spheres and manifolds with nonzero euler characteristic. *Journal of Topology*, 12(3):744–758, 2019.
- [MiR24a] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Actions of large finite groups on manifolds. *International Journal of Mathematics*, page 2441012, 2024.
- [MiR24b] Ignasi Mundet i Riera. Discrete degree of symmetry of manifolds. *Transformation Groups*, pages 1–38, 2024.
- [MO10] Ashot Minasyan and Denis Osin. Normal automorphisms of relatively hyperbolic groups. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 362(11):6079–6103, 2010.
- [Mor01] Dave Witte Morris. Introduction to arithmetic groups. *arXiv preprint math/0106063*, 2001.
- [MS63] Larry N Mann and Jin-Chen Su. Actions of elementary p-groups on manifolds. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 106(1):115–126, 1963.
- [MS06] Vassilis Metaftsis and Mihalis Sykiotis. On the residual finiteness of outer automorphisms of hyperbolic groups. *Geometriae Dedicata*, 117:125–131, 2006.
- [Osi06] Denis V Osin. *Relatively Hyperbolic Groups: Intrinsic Geometry, Algebraic Properties, and Algorithmic Problems: Intrinsic Geometry, Algebraic Properties, and Algorithmic Problems*, volume 843. American Mathematical Soc., 2006.
- [Pau91] Frédéric Paulin. Outer automorphisms of hyperbolic groups and small actions on \mathbb{R} -trees. In *Arboreal Group Theory: Proceedings of a Workshop Held September 13–16, 1988*, pages 331–343. Springer, 1991.
- [Pop18] Vladimir L Popov. The Jordan property for Lie groups and automorphism groups of complex spaces. *Mathematical Notes*, 103:811–819, 2018.
- [Pup07] Volker Puppe. Do manifolds have little symmetry? *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, 2:85–96, 2007.
- [Rag12] M.S. Raghunathan. *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*. Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete. 2. Folge. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2012.
- [Rob13] Derek JS Robinson. *Finiteness conditions and generalized soluble groups: Part 2*, volume 63. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.
- [RS] Frank Raymond and Leonard L Scott. Failure of Nielsen’s theorem in higher dimensions. *Arch. Math. (Basel)*, 29(6):643–654.
- [Sap07] Mark V Sapir. Some group theory problems. *International Journal of Algebra and Computation*, 17(05n06):1189–1214, 2007.
- [Szc12] Andrzej Szczepanski. *Geometry of crystallographic groups*, volume 4. World scientific, 2012.
- [Thu22] William P Thurston. *The geometry and topology of three-manifolds: With a preface by Steven P. Kerckhoff*, volume 27. American Mathematical Society, 2022.
- [TNP11] T Tam Nguyen Phan. Gluing locally symmetric manifolds: asphericity and rigidity. *arXiv e-prints*, pages arXiv–1108, 2011.
- [Weh94] B. A. F. Wehrfritz. Two Remarks on Polycyclic Groups. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 26(6):543–548, 11 1994.
- [Ye19] Shengkui Ye. Symmetries of flat manifolds, jordan property and the general zimmer program. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 100(3):1065–1080, 2019.
- [Zim13] Robert J Zimmer. *Ergodic theory and semisimple groups*, volume 81. Springer Science & Business Media, 2013.

[Zim14] Bruno P Zimmermann. On Jordan type bounds for finite groups acting on compact 3-manifolds. *Archiv der Mathematik*, 103(2):195–200, 2014.

JORDI DAURA SERRANO, DEPARTAMENT DE MÀTEMATIQUES I INFORMÀTICA, UNIVERSITAT DE BARCELONA (UB), GRAN VIA DE LES CORTS CATALANES 585, 08007 BARCELONA (SPAIN)

Email address: jordi.daura@ub.edu