GENERALIZED HILBERT MATRIX OPERATORS ACTING ON BERGMAN SPACES

C. BELLAVITA¹, V. DASKALOGIANNIS^{2,3}, S. MIIHKINEN⁴, D. NORRBO⁴, G. STYLOGIANNIS², AND J. VIRTANEN⁴

ABSTRACT. In this article we study the generalized Hilbert matrix operator Γ_{μ} acting on the Bergman spaces A^p of the unit disc for $1 \leq p < \infty$. In particular, we characterize the measures μ for which the operator Γ_{μ} is bounded and we provide estimates of its operator norm. Finally, we also describe when Γ_{μ} is compact by computing its essential norm.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let μ be a finite, probability Borel measure on [0, 1). We consider the infinite matrix

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{00} & \gamma_{01} & \gamma_{02} & \cdots \\ \gamma_{10} & \gamma_{11} & \gamma_{12} & \cdots \\ \gamma_{20} & \gamma_{21} & \gamma_{22} & \cdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

with entries

$$\gamma_{nk} = \binom{n+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^n \, d\mu(t).$$

The matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ is related to the classical Hausdorff matrix \mathcal{K}_{μ} induced by the moment sequence $\{\mu_n\}$, that is, for $n = 0, 1, \ldots$,

$$\mu_n = \int_0^1 t^n \, d\mu(t).$$

Indeed

$$\mathcal{K}_{\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{00} & 0 & 0 & \dots \\ c_{10} & c_{11} & 0 & \dots \\ c_{20} & c_{21} & c_{22} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

with entries c_{nk} given by

$$c_{nk} = \binom{n}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^{n-k} \, d\mu(t), \ 0 \le k \le n.$$

²⁰²⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30H20, 47B91.

Key words and phrases. Generalized Hilbert matrix operator, Hausdorff matrices, Bergman spaces, Cesàro operator.

The matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ is obtained by shifting the entries of the k-th column of \mathcal{K}_{μ} , k-places up. More precisely, with respect to the standard basis $\{e_n\}_{n\geq 0}$, we note that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ is related to \mathcal{K}_{μ} through the algebraic relation

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(e_n) = S^{*n} \circ \mathcal{K}_{\mu}(e_n),$$

where $S^*(e_0) = 0$ and $S^*(e_n) = e_{n-1}$, for $n \ge 1$. The Hausdorff matrices have been studied on spaces of analytic functions, see for example [11], [9] and [14].

We will study the action of the matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ as an operator on spaces of analytic functions. In [2], the authors studied the action of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ in the Hardy spaces H^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$ and they characterized the measures μ for which the operator $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ is bounded. The matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ acts on the sequence of the Taylor coefficients of the analytic function $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ as follows:

$$\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f)(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \binom{n+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^n \, d\mu(t) \right) z^n.$$

We prove that $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f)(z)$ has an equivalent integral representation in A^p for $1 \leq p < \infty$, i.e.

(1)
$$\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z) = \int_{0}^{1} f(\varphi_{t}(z))w_{t}(z) \, d\mu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} T_{t}(f) \, d\mu(t),$$

where $T_t(f) = w_t \cdot f \circ \varphi_t$ is a weighted composition operator with

$$\varphi_t(z) = \frac{t}{1+(t-1)z}$$
 and $w_t(z) = \frac{1}{1+(t-1)z}$.

We note that

$$\varphi_t(\mathbb{D}) = D\left(\frac{1}{2-t}, \frac{1-t}{2-t}\right),$$

is the open disc centered at 1/(2-t) with radius (1-t)/(2-t). In particular, for every 0 < t < 1, $\varphi_t(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ with $\overline{\varphi_t(\mathbb{D})} \cap \partial \mathbb{D} = \{1\}$.

If μ is the Lebesgue measure, the matrix $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ becomes the classical Hilbert matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \dots \\ \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \dots \\ \frac{1}{3} & \frac{1}{4} & \frac{1}{5} & \dots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}.$$

The action of this operator has been widely studied in the Bergman spaces. In [4], using the integral representation (1), Diamantopoulos showed that H is bounded on A^p if and only if p > 2, providing a sharp upper bound when $p \ge 4$. A sharp lower bound for $||H||_{A^p}$ was determined in [6] for every p > 2, by considering appropriate test functions, hence the exact value of the norm for $p \ge 4$ was computed. In [3], the authors estimated a sharp upper bound for the norm of H on A^p , for 2 , applying some new estimates forthe Beta function. In particular, for <math>p > 2,

(2)
$$||H||_{A^p} = \frac{\pi}{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{p}\right)} = \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} dt.$$

See also [17] where a simplified proof of (2) appears.

There are still several open questions related to the action of the classical Hilbert matrix operator on spaces of analytic functions. Although we know that H is bounded in the standard weighted Bergman spaces A^p_{α} if and only if $1 < \alpha + 2 < p$ [13], the exact value of $||H||_{A^p_{\alpha}}$ is known only for

$$p \ge \frac{3\alpha}{4} + 2 + \sqrt{\left(\frac{3\alpha}{4} + 2\right)^2 - \frac{\alpha+2}{2}}$$

when $\alpha > 0$, see [5]. We mention [1] as a brief review of the latest updates on the study of the Hilbert matrix operator acting on different spaces of analytic functions and on sequence spaces.

In this article, we focus on necessary and sufficient conditions for the continuity of the operators Γ_{μ} on A^p with $1 \leq p < \infty$. In order to formulate our main result it will be convenient to introduce the following function:

$$\Theta_p(t) := \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{2}{p}}}, & \text{if } 2$$

We use the following convention:

$$\mu[a,b] := \int_{a}^{b} d\mu(s) := \int_{[a,b)} d\mu(s), \quad 0 \le a < b \le 1.$$

Theorem 1.1. The operator Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^p , $1 \leq p < \infty$, if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \Theta_p(t) \, d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

In particular, there exist positive constants A(p), B(p) depending only on p such that

(3)
$$A(p) \int_0^1 \Theta_p(t) d\mu(t) \le \|\Gamma_\mu\|_{A^p \to A^p} \le B(p) \int_0^1 \Theta_p(t) d\mu(t).$$

When p > 2 the constant A(p) can be chosen equal to 1 and when $p \ge 4$ the constant B(p) can also be chosen equal to 1. Hence, when $p \ge 4$

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}\to A^{p}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{2}{p}}} d\mu(t).$$

The expression of $\Theta_p(t)$ is different when p = 2 and in Proposition 4.2 we show that the natural condition coming from Lemma 2.3, that is

(4)
$$\int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{\log(e/t)}}{1-t} \, d\mu(t) < \infty,$$

is not necessary for the boundedness of Γ_{μ} on A^2 .

Notice that, even when $2 , the lower bound of the norm is the optimal bound for which the inequality (3) is valid for all measures <math>\mu$. Indeed the lower bound in (3) corresponds to the precise value of the norm given in (2). On the other hand, from [18, Corollary 3.2], we know that when $p \to 2^+$

$$\sup_{a\in\mathbb{D}} \left\|\Gamma_{\delta_a}(1)\right\|_{A^p} \Big/ \int_0^1 \Theta_p(t) \, d\delta_a(t) > 1,$$

where δ_a is a Dirac point measure at $a \in (0, 1)$. This implies that for specific measures and particular values of p, the constant A(p) could be chosen bigger than 1.

Furthermore, we consider compactness and complete continuity. We recall that an operator T on a Banach space X is compact if, for any bounded sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X, the sequence $\{T(x_n)\}$ contains a convergent subsequence. Moreover, an operator T is completely continuous on X if for any weakly convergent sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X, the sequence $\{T(x_n)\}$ converges in norm. In general, every compact operator is completely continuous, however the converse could be false when X is non-reflexive.

In order to prove that an operator T is non-compact, it is enough proving that its essential norm $||T||_{e,X}$ is non-zero. We recall that

 $\|T\|_{e,X} = \inf\{\|T - K\|_X \text{ where } K \text{ is a compact operator in } X\}.$ It is clear that $\|T\|_X \ge \|T\|_{e,X}.$

Theorem 1.2. Let Γ_{μ} be bounded in A^p , 1 . Then,

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e,A^{p}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t).$$

It is clear from the theorem above that Γ_{μ} is never compact on A^p if $2 \leq p < \infty$. On the other hand, if $1 , <math>\Gamma_{\mu}$ is compact in A^p if and only if $\mu(t) = \delta_0(t)$, that is, if $\mu(t)$ is the Dirac point mass 0. Indeed, in this case,

$$\Gamma_{\delta_0}(f)(z) = f(0)\frac{1}{1-z}$$

5

which is clearly compact as a rank one operator.

Theorem 1.3. Let Γ_{μ} be bounded on A^1 . Γ_{μ} is compact if and only if $\mu(t) = \delta_0(t)$. Nevertheless, for every probability measure μ such that Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^1 , Γ_{μ} is completely continuous.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In Section 2, we recall the classical properties of the Bergman space and we prove that $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ is a well-defined analytic function and that the action of Γ_{μ} coincides with that of $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ on A^p . We also provide an upper estimate for $||T_t||_{A^p}$ when $1 \leq p < \infty$. We split the proof of Theorem 1.1 into Sections 3 and 4. In Section 3, we prove the boundedness of Γ_{μ} in A^p when $1 \leq p < \infty$ but $p \neq 2$. In Section 4, we fix our attention on the case p = 2 and we also provide some conditions for boundedness of which some are sufficient and some are necessary. In Section 5, we deal with the compactness and we prove Theorems 1.2 and 1.3.

Before we dive into calculations, we first clarify the notation that we will use in the following sections. With \mathbb{D} we refer to the unit disc in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and with \mathbb{T} its boundary $\partial \mathbb{D}$. Given a set M, by χ_M we denote the characteristic function associated to the set M. We use the expression $||f||_X$ to denote the norm of an element $f \in X$. Moreover, if T is an operator from the space X to X by $||T||_X$ we mean its operator norm, that is

$$||T||_X = \sup_{||f||_X=1} ||T(f)||_X$$

Even if the two notations coincide, this should not cause confusion in this context. Finally, by the expressions $f \leq g$ and $g \geq f$, we mean that there exists a constant C > 0 such that

 $f \leq Cg.$

If both $f \leq g$ and $f \geq g$ hold, we write $f \sim g$. We also highlight that by the capital letter C, we denote constants whose values may change every time they appear.

2. Preliminaries

First of all, for the sake of completeness, we recall the properties of the Bergman spaces A^p that will be used in the rest of the paper. For $1 \le p < \infty$, the Bergman space A^p consists of all the analytic functions in the unit disc such that

$$||f||_{A^p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |f(z)|^p \, dA(z)\right)^{1/p} < \infty$$

where $dA(z) = dxdy/\pi$ is the normalized Lebesgue area measure. We recall that, if $f \in A^p$ with $1 \le p < \infty$, the growth estimates

(5)
$$|f(z)| \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}} ||f||_{A^p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

and for some independent C > 0

(6)
$$|f'(z)| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{1-|z|^2}\right)^{\frac{2}{p}+1} ||f||_{A^p}, \quad z \in \mathbb{D}$$

hold, see [21, p. 755] and [19, p. 338] respectively. Moreover, if $f(z) = \sum_{n>0} a_n z^n$, then

(7)
$$||f||_{A^2}^2 = \sum_{n \ge 0} \frac{|a_n|^2}{n+1},$$

see [8, p. 11]. We remark that the Taylor partial sums of f, that is, the polynomials

$$S_n(f)(z) = \sum_{i=0}^n \hat{f}(i)z^i$$

with $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converge in A^p norm to

$$f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \hat{f}(i) z^i$$

when 1 , see [8, p. 31, Theorem 4]. For more information about the Bergman spaces we refer to the classical monographs [8] and [12].

The first thing that needs to be verified is that the integral (1) involved in the definition of $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ is a well-defined analytic function in \mathbb{D} . We follow the reasoning of [4].

Proposition 2.1. For $1 \le p < \infty$, let

$$\psi_p = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t).$$

If $\psi_p < \infty$, then for every $f \in A^p$, $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ is a well-defined analytic function.

Proof. We first prove that for every fixed $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z)$ is well-defined. Indeed, due to (5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z)| &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{|1 - (1 - t)z|} |f(\varphi_{t}(z))| \, d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{|1 - (1 - t)z|^{1 - 2/p}} \frac{1}{(1 - t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t) \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{A^{p}}}{(1 - |z|)^{2/p}} \\ &\leq \frac{\|f\|_{A^{p}}}{(1 - |z|)^{2/p + 1}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1 - t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

In order to prove that $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} , we show that there exists a sequence of analytic functions which converges to $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ uniformly on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Let $\{P_n\}_n$ be a family of polynomials such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|f - P_n\|_{A^p} = 0,$$

see [8, p. 30, Theorems 3 and 4]. It is clear that for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Gamma_{\mu}(P_n)$ is analytic in \mathbb{D} . Therefore, for every z in a compact set $K \subset \mathbb{D}$,

(8)
$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z) - \Gamma_{\mu}(P_{n})(z) \right| &\leq \|f - P_{n}\|_{A^{p}} \frac{\psi_{p}}{(1 - |z|)^{2/p+1}} \\ &\leq \|f - P_{n}\|_{A^{p}} \frac{\psi_{p}}{\operatorname{dist}(K, \partial \mathbb{D})^{3}}, \end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof of the proposition.

The fact that in A^p the operators Γ_{μ} and $\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}$ coincide requires some standard estimates. For the sake of completeness, we will write them down.

Proposition 2.2. Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $\psi_p < \infty$, where ψ_p is defined as in Proposition 2.1. If $f \in A^p$, then

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(f) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f).$$

Proof. It is clear that for every analytic polynomial

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(P_n) = \Gamma_{\mu}(P_n).$$

We first consider the case $1 and <math>f(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k z^k \in A^p$. Then for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, by the proof of Proposition 2.1,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z) - \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(S_n)(z)| = \lim_{n \to \infty} |\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z) - \Gamma_{\mu}(S_n)(z)| = 0,$$

where S_n is the Taylor partial sum. Since $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)$ and $\Gamma_{\mu}(S_n)$ are analytic functions, the Taylor coefficients coincide, that is, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\widehat{\Gamma_{\mu}(f)}(\ell) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \binom{\ell+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^\ell \, d\mu(t),$$

which concludes the first part of the proof.

For p = 1 and $f(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} a_k z^k \in A^1$, from (5) and (6), we note that $|a_0| \le ||f||_{A^1}$ and $|a_1| \le C ||f||_{A^1}$.

Moreover, by the Cauchy formula, for every $r \ge 1/2$ and $n \ge 2$, we note that

$$\begin{aligned} |a_n| &\leq r^{-n} \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= r^{-n} (1-r)^{-1} \left\{ (1-r) \int_0^{2\pi} |f(re^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \right\} \leq r^{-n} (1-r)^{-1} 2 \|f\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, by choosing r = 1 - 1/n, we obtain that

$$|a_n| \le 2\left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right)^{-n} (n+1) ||f||_{A^1} \le 8(n+1) ||f||_{A^1}.$$

Therefore, for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$,

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f)(z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \binom{n+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^n \, d\mu(t) \right) z^n \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |a_k| \binom{n+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^n \, d\mu(t) \right) |z|^n \\ &\leq C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1) \binom{n+k}{k} \int_0^1 t^k (1-t)^n \, d\mu(t) \right) |z|^n \cdot \|f\|_{A^1}. \end{split}$$

Thus, since

8

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k}{k} (1-t)^n |z|^n t^k = \left(\frac{t}{1-(1-t)|z|}\right)^k \frac{1}{1-(1-t)|z|} = \varphi_t(|z|)^k w_t(|z|),$$

we have that

$$\begin{split} |\tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f)(z)| &\lesssim \int_{0}^{1} \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k+1)\varphi_{t}(|z|)^{k} \right) w_{t}(|z|) \, d\mu(t) \cdot \|f\|_{A^{1}} \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-\varphi_{t}(|z|))^{2}} w_{t}(|z|) \, d\mu(t) \cdot \|f\|_{A^{1}} \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2}} \, d\mu(t) \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{A^{1}}}{(1-|z|)^{2}} \\ &\leq \psi_{1} \cdot \frac{\|f\|_{A^{1}}}{(1-|z|)^{2}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore, if $P_n \to f$ in A^1 , due to (8), for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we have

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Gamma_{\mu}(P_n)(z) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(P_n)(z) = \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mu}(f)(z),$$

which concludes the proof for p = 1.

The weighted composition operator

$$T_t(f)(z) = \frac{1}{(t-1)z+1} f\left(\frac{t}{(t-1)z+1}\right)$$

has been intensively studied in A^p in relation to the classical Hilbert matrix operator. In specific, Diamantopoulos [4] estimated its norm when $2 . We extend this result to the case <math>1 \le p \le 2$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1 \le p < \infty$ and $f \in A^p$. Then

(9)
$$||T_t(f)||_{A^p} \le C(p) \ \Psi_p(t) \ ||f||_{A^p}$$

where C(p) is a positive constant depending only on p and

(10)
$$\Psi_p(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t^{\frac{2}{p}-1}}{(1-t)^{\frac{2}{p}}}, & \text{if } 2$$

Moreover, if $p \ge 4$, we have C(p) = 1.

Proof. We recall that in [4, Lemma 2], the constant appearing in (9) was estimated for 2 as

$$C(p) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } 4 \le p < \infty; \\ \left(\frac{2^{7-p}}{9(p-2)} + 2^{4-p}\right)^{1/p}, & \text{if } 2 < p < 4. \end{cases}$$

Let us consider $1 . For every <math>z \in \mathbb{D}$, f(z) = f(z) - f(0) + f(0). Therefore

(11)
$$\|T_t(f)\|_{A^p}^p \le 2^{p-1} \left(\|T_t(f-f(0))\|_{A^p}^p + \|T_t(f(0))\|_{A^p}^p \right).$$

We start by estimating the second term. We note that

$$\|T_t(f(0))\|_{A^p}^p = |f(0)|^p \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - (1 - t)z|^p} \, dA(z).$$

Applying Forelli-Rudin estimates, see [22, Lemma 3.10], and (5), we get that there is some constant B_p depending only on p such that

$$||T_t(f(0))||_{A^p}^p \le ||f||_{A^p}^p \cdot \begin{cases} B_p, & \text{if } 1 \le p < 2; \\ B_2 \log(e/t), & \text{if } p = 2. \end{cases}$$

For the first term in (11), a change of variables yields

$$\begin{split} \|T_t(f-f(0))\|_{A^p}^p &= \frac{t^{2-p}}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\varphi_t(\mathbb{D})} |w|^{2p-4} |S^*(f)(w)|^p \, dA(w) \\ &\leq \frac{t^{2-p}}{(1-t)^2} \int_{\mathbb{D}} |w|^{2p-4} |S^*(f)(w)|^p \, dA(w) \\ &= \frac{t^{2-p}}{(1-t)^2} \Big(\int_{|w| \le 1/2} |w|^{2p-4} |S^*(f)(w)|^p \, dA(w) + \\ &+ \int_{1/2 \le |w| < 1} |w|^{2p-4} |S^*(f)(w)|^p \, dA(w) \Big) \\ &= \frac{t^{2-p}}{(1-t)^2} (I+II), \end{split}$$

9

where S^* is the backward shift and we have used the fact that

$$|\varphi_t(z)|^4 = \frac{t^2}{(1-t)^2} |\varphi'_t(z)|^2.$$

Then, by using (5), we have

$$\begin{split} I &\leq \int_{|w| \leq 1/2} \frac{|w|^{2p-4}}{(1-|w|^2)^2} \, dA(w) \|S^*(f)\|_{A^p}^p \\ &\leq \frac{16}{9} \int_{|w| \leq 1/2} |w|^{2p-4} \, dA(w) \, \|S^*\|_{A^p}^p \, \|f\|_{A^p}^p \\ &\leq \frac{2^{(6-2p)}}{9} \frac{1}{p-1} \|S^*\|_{A^p}^p \|f\|_{A^p}^p \end{split}$$

and

$$II \le \int_{1/2 \le |w| < 1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2p-4} |S^*(f)(w)|^p \, dA(w) \le 2^{4-2p} \|S^*\|_{A^p}^p \|f\|_{A^p}^p.$$

Thus

$$\|T_t(f - f(0))\|_{A^p}^p \le \frac{2^{5-2p}}{p-1} \|S^*\|_{A^p}^p \|f\|_{A^p}^p$$

In the case p = 1, for every $z \in \mathbb{D}$, we consider

$$f(z) = f(0) + zf'(0) + z^2 \cdot S^{*2}(f)(z),$$

where $S^{*2} = S^* \circ S^*$. Therefore

(12)
$$||T_t(f)||_{A^1} \le ||T_t(z^2 \cdot S^{*2}(f))||_{A^1} + ||T_t(f(0))||_{A^1} + ||T_t(z \cdot f'(0))||_{A^1}.$$

We have already estimated the second term. For the third one, by using (6), we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(zf'(0))\|_{A^1} = |f'(0)| \cdot t \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{1}{|1 - (1 - t)z|^2} \, dA(z) \\ \leq CB_2 \cdot [t \log(e/t)] \cdot \|f\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, with computations similar to those done for 1 , we have that

$$\begin{split} \|T_t(z^2 \cdot S^{*2}(f))\|_{A^1} &= \frac{1}{t} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left| \frac{t}{1 - (1 - t)z} \right|^3 \left| S^{*2}(f) \left(\frac{t}{1 - (1 - t)z} \right) \right| dA(z) \\ &= \frac{t}{(1 - t)^2} \left(\int_{|w| \le 1/2} |w|^{3 - 4} \left| S^{*2}(f)(w) \right| dA(w) + \int_{1/2 \le |w| < 1} |w|^{3 - 4} \left| S^{*2}(f)(w) \right| dA(w) \right) \\ &\le \frac{5t}{(1 - t)^2} \|S^*\|_{A^1}^2 \|f\|_{A^1}. \end{split}$$

Hence, by using (12), if p = 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f)\|_{A^1} &\leq \left(B_1 + CB_2 t \log(e/t) + 5\|S^*\|_{A^1}^2 \frac{t}{(1-t)^2}\right) \|f\|_{A^1} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \|f\|_{A^1}. \end{aligned}$$

By using (11), if 1 ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f)\|_{A^p}^p &\leq 2^{p-1} \left(B_p + \frac{2^{5-2p}}{p-1} \|S^*\|_{A^p}^p \frac{t^{2-p}}{(1-t)^2} \right) \|f\|_{A^p}^p \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \|f\|_{A^p}^p \end{aligned}$$

If p = 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_t(f)\|_{A^2}^2 &\leq 2 \left(B_2 \log(e/t) + \|S^*\|_{A^2}^2 \frac{1}{(1-t)^2} \right) \|f\|_{A^2}^2 \\ &\leq C \frac{\log(e/t)}{(1-t)^2} \|f\|_{A^2}^2. \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the Lemma is now complete.

3. Proof of boundedness of $\Gamma_{\mu}: \ p \neq 2$

First of all, we note that Γ_{μ} is never contractive. Indeed, if Γ_{μ} is bounded in A^p , then, by (5),

$$1 = \Gamma_{\mu}(1)(0) \le \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{p}} \le \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}}.$$

We provide the estimate from below of $\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^p}$ in a separate lemma, which will also be used in the computation of the essential norm of Γ_{μ} .

Lemma 3.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$. If Γ_{μ} is bounded in A^p , then

$$\int_0^1 \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t) \le \|\Gamma_\mu\|_{A^p}.$$

Proof. Let $f_a(z) = 1/(1-z)^a$ for 0 < a < 2/p. We know that $\lim_{a \to 2/p} \|f_a\|_{A^p} = \infty.$

We consider

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(f_a)(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{[1 - (1 - t)z]^{a-1}}{(1 - t)^a} d\mu(t) \cdot f_a(z) = \Lambda_a(z) \cdot f_a(z),$$

where

$$\Lambda_a(z) = \int_0^1 \frac{[1 - (1 - t)z]^{a - 1}}{(1 - t)^a} \, d\mu(t).$$

Let $B_{\varepsilon}(1)$ be a circle of radius ε centred at 1. We have that

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f_{a}/\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}})\|_{A^{p}}^{p} &\geq \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \frac{|f_{a}(z)|^{p}}{\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}}^{p}} |\Lambda_{a}(z)|^{p} dA(z) \\ &\geq \inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} |\Lambda_{a}(z)|^{p} \cdot \int_{\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \frac{|f_{a}(z)|^{p}}{\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}}^{p}} dA(z) \\ &= \inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} |\Lambda_{a}(z)|^{p} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{D}\setminus B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \frac{|f_{a}(z)|^{p}}{\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}}^{p}} dA(z)\right). \end{split}$$

Consequently, since $f_a/\|f_a\|_{A^p}$ has unitary norm in A^p and

$$|f_a(z)| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon^a} \le \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{2/p}}$$
 when $z \in \mathbb{D} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(1)$,

we find that

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}}^{p} &\geq \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \liminf_{a \to 2/p} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} |\Lambda_{a}(z)|^{p} \cdot \left(1 - \int_{\mathbb{D} \setminus B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \frac{|f_{a}(z)|^{p}}{\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}}^{p}} dA(z)\right) \\ &= \liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \liminf_{a \to 2/p} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} |\Lambda_{a}(z)|^{p} \\ &\geq \left(\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \liminf_{a \to 2/p} \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \operatorname{Re} \Lambda_{a}(z)\right)^{p}. \end{split}$$

Furthermore,

(13)
$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)}\operatorname{Re}\Lambda_{a}(z)\geq\int_{0}^{1}\frac{1}{(1-t)^{a}}\inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)}\operatorname{Re}(1-(1-t)z)^{a-1}d\mu(t).$$

Let us consider $2 \leq p < \infty$. Then, since a < 1, we note that

$$\inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \operatorname{Re}(1 - (1 - t)z)^{a - 1} \\ = \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \frac{1}{|1 - (1 - t)z|^{2(1 - a)}} \operatorname{Re}(1 - (1 - t)\overline{z})^{1 - a} \ge \frac{|t|^{1 - \alpha}}{|t + \varepsilon|^{2(1 - a)}}.$$

On the other hand, if $1 \le p < 2$, we consider a > 1 and we get that

$$\inf_{z\in\mathbb{D}\cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)}\operatorname{Re}(1-(1-t)z)^{a-1}\geq t^{a-1}.$$

Therefore, by taking the limits and applying Fatou's Lemma, we have that

$$\liminf_{\epsilon \to 0} \ \liminf_{a \to 2/p} \ \inf_{z \in \mathbb{D} \cap B_{\varepsilon}(1)} \operatorname{Re} \Lambda_a(z) \ge \int_0^1 \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t).$$

The statement of the lemma is now proved.

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1.1 when $p\neq 2.$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 when $p \neq 2$. We start with the sufficient condition. An application of the generalized Minkowski's inequality for the integrals together with Lemma 2.3 imply that for $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}(f)\|_{A^{p}} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \|T_{t}(f)\|_{A^{p}} \, d\mu(t) \leq B(p) \, \int_{0}^{1} \Theta_{p}(t) \, d\mu(t) \, \|f\|_{A^{p}},$$

where B(p) is equal to C(p) from the proof of Lemma 2.3.

We prove now the necessary condition. We first consider 2 . Then Lemma 3.1 implies that

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^p} \ge \int_0^1 \Theta_p(t) \, d\mu(t)$$

from which the statement of the theorem follows.

If $1 \le p < 2$, we consider again Lemma 3.1, but we note that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t) = \int_{0}^{1/2} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t) + \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t)$$
$$\leq 2^{2/p} \Gamma_{\mu}(1)(0) + 2^{2/p-1} \int_{1/2}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t)$$
$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2}\right) 2^{2/p} \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}} = 3 \cdot 2^{2/p-1} \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}}.$$

Therefore

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{p}} \geq \frac{1}{3 \cdot 2^{2/p-1}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \, d\mu(t) = A(p) \int_{0}^{1} \Theta_{p}(t) \, d\mu(t).$$

Theorem 1.1 is now proved for $p \neq 2$.

4. Proof of boundedness of Γ_{μ} : p = 2

We start this section by computing $\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^2}^2$. This quantity will be necessary for the conclusion of the proof of Theorem 1.1. We use some ideas inspired by [10].

Lemma 4.1. Let μ be a probability measure on [0,1). Then

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{2}}^{2} \sim \int_{0}^{1} \mu[0,t] \log \frac{e}{t} d\mu(t).$$

Proof. We note that

$$\Gamma_{\mu}(1)(z) = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1 - (1 - t)z} \, d\mu(t) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \int_{0}^{1} (1 - t)^{n} \, d\mu(t) \, z^{n}.$$

Hence, by (7), we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{2}}^{2} &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{\int_{0}^{1} (1-t)^{n} d\mu(t) \int_{0}^{1} (1-s)^{n} d\mu(s)}{n+1} \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{[(1-t)(1-s)]^{n}}{n+1} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{\{(1-t)(1-s)\}^{n}}{n+1} d\mu(t) d\mu(s) \\ &\sim \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} \log \frac{e}{1-(1-t)(1-s)} d\mu(s) d\mu(t). \end{split}$$

More precisely,

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{p}}^{2} \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} \log \frac{e}{1 - (1 - t)(1 - s)} \, d\mu(s) \, d\mu(t) \leq \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{p}}^{2}.$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{2}}^{2} &\geq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{t} \log \frac{e}{1 - (1 - t)^{2}} \, d\mu(s) \, d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \mu[0, t] \log \frac{e}{t(2 - t)} \, d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \mu[0, t] \log \frac{e}{t} \left(1 - \frac{\log(2 - t)}{\log(e/t)}\right) d\mu(t) \\ &\geq (1 - \log(2)) \int_{0}^{1} \mu[0, t] \log \frac{e}{t} \, d\mu(t) \end{split}$$

and

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{2}}^{2} \leq 2\int_{0}^{1}\int_{0}^{t}\log\frac{e}{1-(1-t)}\,d\mu(s)\,d\mu(t) = 2\int_{0}^{1}\mu[0,t]\log\frac{e}{t}\,d\mu(t),$$
 which proves the statement of the lemma.

W

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.1 for p = 2.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 when p = 2. We recall that in Lemma 3.1, we have already verified that

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{2}} \ge \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-t} \, d\mu(t).$$

Therefore, if Γ_{μ} is bounded in A^2 , we obtain that

$$\begin{split} &\int_0^1 \mu[0,t] \log\Bigl(\frac{e}{t}\Bigr) \, d\mu(t) + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-t} \, d\mu(t)\right)^2 \\ &\lesssim \|\Gamma_\mu(1)\|_{A^2}^2 + \|\Gamma_\mu\|_{A^2}^2 \lesssim \|\Gamma_\mu\|_{A^2}^2. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by using Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 4.1, we note that $\|\Gamma_{\mu}(f)\|_{A^2}^2 \leq 2\|\Gamma_{\mu}(f(0))\|_{A^2}^2 + 2\|\Gamma_{\mu}(f-f(0))\|_{A^2}^2$

$$\leq 2|f(0)|^{2} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{2}}^{2} + 2\left(\int_{0}^{1} \|T_{t}(f - f(0))\|_{A^{2}} d\mu(t)\right)^{2}$$

$$\leq 4\|f\|_{A^{2}}^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \mu[0, t] \log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right) d\mu(t) + 2\|S^{*}\|_{A^{2}}^{2} \|f\|_{A^{2}}^{2} \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1 - t} d\mu(t)\right)^{2}.$$

Therefore, by fixing $B(2) = \sqrt{2 \max(2, \|S^*\|_{A^2}^2)}$, we have that

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}(f)\|_{A^{2}} \leq B(2)\|f\|_{A^{2}} \sqrt{\int_{0}^{1} \mu[0,t] \log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right) d\mu(t)} + \left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-t} d\mu(t)\right)^{2}$$

from which the estimate from above follows.

15

First of all, we highlight that the first term in the definition of $\Theta_2(t)$ is fundamental. Indeed, if $\mu = \delta_0$, then Γ_{δ_0} is unbounded in A^2 but

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-t} \, d\delta_0(t) < \infty.$$

It is worth mentioning that the operator Γ_{μ} is bounded from the closed subspace $A_0^2 = \{f \in A^2 : f(0) = 0\}$ to A^2 if and only if

$$\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-t} \, d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

This result is in accordance with the other values of p and it shows that it is $\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^2}$ that has an unexpected behavior. We also note that $\Gamma_{\mu}(f)(0) = 0$ is seldom true, even if we choose $f \in A_0^2$.

When $p \neq 2$, an application of the generalized Minkowski's inequality is enough to provide the "correct" estimate for the norm of Γ_{μ} . For this reason, it is tempting to consider the condition

(14)
$$\int_0^1 \sqrt{\log(e/t)} \, d\mu(t) < \infty,$$

which captures the behavior of

$$\int_0^1 \frac{\sqrt{\log(e/t)}}{1-t} \, d\mu(t)$$

when t is close to 0, see Lemma 2.3, instead of

$$\sqrt{\int_0^1 \mu[0,t] \log(e/t) \, d\mu(t)} < \infty.$$

However, (14) is not a necessary condition for the boundedness of Γ_{μ} on A^2 .

Proposition 4.2. There exists a positive, absolutely continuous measure μ such that (14) is not satisfied but Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^2 .

Proof. We consider the measure

$$d\mu(t) = C\left(\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)}\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)^{2}}\frac{1}{t}\right)\chi_{[0,\frac{1}{2}]}(t)\,dt,$$
where

$$C = \log(2e)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\log 2e)$$

It follows that

$$\mu[0,t] = \begin{cases} C \log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)}, & \text{if } 0 < t \le \frac{1}{2}; \\\\ 1, & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} < t \le 1. \end{cases}$$

We note that

$$\int_{0}^{1} \sqrt{\log(e/t)} \, d\mu(t) > \frac{C}{2} \int_{0}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)} \frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)} \frac{1}{t} \, dt = \infty,$$

even if Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^2 since the condition of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied. Indeed

$$\begin{split} \sqrt{\int_0^1 \mu[0,t] \log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right) d\mu(t)} + \left(\int_0^1 \frac{1}{1-t} d\mu(t)\right)^2 \\ &\leq \sqrt{C^2 \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{t} \frac{1}{\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)} \frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)^2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\log\left(\log\left(\frac{e}{t}\right)\right)}\right) + 4} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Before concluding this section, we provide another necessary condition for the boundedness of Γ_{μ} on A^2 . To formulate it, we consider the adjoint of Γ_{μ} acting on the classical Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} .

Lemma 4.3. Let p = 2. If Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^2 , then the adjoint operator of Γ_{μ} on A^2 , denoted by Γ^*_{μ} , is given by

$$\Gamma^*_{\mu}(f)(z) = \int_0^1 T^*_t(f)(z) \, d\mu(t) = \int_0^1 T_{1-t}(f)(z) \, d\mu(t)$$

for every f in the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} .

Proof. First of all if $f(z) = \sum_n a_n z^n$ and $g(z) = \sum_n b_n z^n$ belong to A^2 , then

$$\langle f,g \rangle = \sum_{n} \frac{a_{n}b_{n}}{n+1} = \sum_{n} a_{n}\overline{c_{n}} = \langle f,G \rangle_{c}$$

where $c_n = b_n/(n+1)$ and $G(z) = \sum_n c_n z^n$ belongs to the Dirichlet space \mathcal{D} . Since also the reverse inclusion holds, we can identify the dual of A^2 with \mathcal{D} by using the Cauchy pairing.

We first assume that f(z) and G(z) are polynomials. By using Proposition 1 of [2] and Fubini's Theorem, we obtain that

$$\begin{split} \langle \Gamma_{\mu}(f), G \rangle_{c} &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} \Gamma_{\mu}(f)(\zeta) \overline{G(\zeta)} |d\zeta| = \int_{0}^{1} \int_{\mathbb{T}} T_{t}(f)(\zeta) \overline{G(\zeta)} |d\zeta| \, d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{0}^{1} \langle T_{t}(f), G \rangle_{c} \, d\mu(t) = \int_{0}^{1} \langle f, T_{1-t}(G) \rangle_{c} \, d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}} f(\zeta) \overline{\left(\int_{0}^{1} T_{1-t}(G)(\zeta) \, d\mu(t)\right)} |d\zeta| = \left\langle f, \Gamma_{\mu}^{*}(G) \right\rangle_{c}. \end{split}$$

Since the partial Taylor sums are dense in A^2 and \mathcal{D} , we have that

$$\begin{split} \langle \Gamma_{\mu}(f), G \rangle_{c} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle \Gamma_{\mu}(S_{n}(f)), S_{n}(G) \rangle_{c} \\ &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \langle S_{n}(f), \Gamma_{\mu}^{*}(S_{n}(G)) \rangle_{c} \\ &= \langle f, \Gamma_{\mu}^{*}(G) \rangle_{c}, \end{split}$$

which concludes the proof.

Proposition 4.4. Let p = 2. If Γ_{μ} is bounded on A^2 , then for every 0 < a < 1, we have that

$$\int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{e}{t}\right)^{a/2} d\mu(t) < \infty.$$

Proof. We note that $f_a(z) := \log(e/(1-z))^{a/2} \in \mathcal{D}$. Therefore, according to Lemma 4.3,

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{A^{2}} &\sim \|\Gamma_{\mu}^{*}\|_{\mathcal{D}} \geq \frac{1}{\|f_{a}\|_{\mathcal{D}}} \|\Gamma_{\mu}^{*}(f_{a})\|_{\mathcal{D}} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\|f_{a}\|_{\mathcal{D}}} |\Gamma_{\mu}^{*}(f_{a})(0)| \\ &= \frac{1}{\|f_{a}\|_{\mathcal{D}}} \int_{0}^{1} \left(\log \frac{e}{t}\right)^{a/2} d\mu(t), \end{split}$$

from which the statement follows.

We point out that, by monotone convergence, the condition

$$\lim_{a \to 1} \int_0^1 \left(\log \frac{e}{t} \right)^{a/2} d\mu(t) < \infty$$

is exactly (14). Even if we can say that for every a < 1 this integral is finite, we do not have an uniform bound, since the quantity $1/||f_a||_{\mathcal{D}}$ is going to zero.

18 GENERALIZED HILBERT MATRIX OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES

5. Proof of compactness

In Lemma 3.1, we have already estimated the essential norm of Γ_{μ} from below. Indeed, let X be a reflexive space. If $\{w_n\} \subset X$ is a unitary weakly null sequence, then

(15)
$$\|T\|_{e,X} = \inf_{K} \|T - K\|_{X}$$
$$\geq \inf_{K} \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(w_{n}) - K(w_{n})\|_{X}$$
$$\geq \inf_{K} \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(w_{n})\|_{X} - \|K(w_{n})\|_{X} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T(w_{n})\|_{X}.$$

For the estimate from above of $\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e,A^p}$, we use Lemma 3.2 of [15] (see also [16]). For the sake of completeness, we state it here.

Lemma 5.1. Let $1 . There exists a sequence of compact operators <math>\{L_n\}_n$ such that

$$\limsup_{n} \|I - L_n\|_{A^p} \le 1.$$

Moreover, for every 0 < R < 1, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^p} = 1} \sup_{|z| \le R} |(I - L_n)(f)(z)| = 0.$$

We are now ready to compute the essential norm of $\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e,A^p}$ when 1 .

Proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 3.1 and (15), we know that

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e,A^{p}} \geq \lim_{a \to 2/p} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f_{a}/\|f_{a}\|_{A^{p}})\|_{A^{p}} = \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t) .$$

To complete the proof of the theorem, we only need to estimate the essential norm of Γ_{μ} from above.

Let $D_{R,t} = \varphi_t(\mathbb{D}) \cap \overline{D(0,R)}$ and $D_{R,t}^c := \varphi_t(\mathbb{D}) \setminus D(0,R)$, where 0 < R < 1. Then

$$\begin{split} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f)\|_{A^{p}} &\leq \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f(0))\|_{A^{p}} + \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f - f(0))\|_{A^{p}} \leq |f(0)|\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{p}} \\ &+ \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \left(\int_{\varphi_{t}(\mathbb{D})} |w|^{p-4} |(f - f(0))(w)|^{p} \, dA(w) \right)^{1/p} d\mu(t) \\ &= I + II. \end{split}$$

We name f(z) - f(0) = zg(z). For the second quantity, we have that

$$\begin{split} II &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \left[\left(\int_{D_{R,t}} |w|^{p-4} |wg(w)|^{p} \, dA(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ &+ \left(\int_{D_{R,t}^{c}} |w|^{p-4} |wg(w)|^{p} \, dA(w) \right)^{1/p} \right] d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \left[\sup_{|w| \leq R} |g(w)| \left(\int_{\mathbb{D}} |w|^{2p-4} \, dA(w) \right)^{1/p} \\ &+ \sup_{w \in D_{R,t}^{c}} |w|^{1-4/p} \cdot \|f - f(0)\|_{A^{p}} \right] d\mu(t) \\ &\leq \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} \left[\sup_{|w| \leq R} |g(w)| C_{p} + \sup_{w \in D_{R,t}^{c}} |w|^{1-4/p} \cdot \|f\|_{A^{p}} \\ &+ \sup_{w \in D_{R,t}^{c}} |w|^{1-4/p} \cdot |f(0)| \right] d\mu(t). \end{split}$$

Let $\{L_n\}_n$ be the sequence of compact operators as described in Lemma 5.1. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e,A^{p}} &\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}}=1} \|(\Gamma_{\mu} - \Gamma_{\mu}L_{n})(f)\|_{A^{p}} \\ &= \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}}=1} \|\Gamma_{\mu}((I - L_{n})(f)\|_{A^{p}}) \end{aligned}$$

and the last expression is smaller than

$$\leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}}=1} |(I-L_{n})(f)(0)| \left(\|\Gamma_{\mu}(1)\|_{A^{p}} + \max\{1, R^{1-4/p}\} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t) \right)$$

$$+ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}}=1} \sup_{\|w\|=R} |S^{*} \circ (I-L_{n})(f)(w)| C_{p} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t)$$

$$+ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}}=1} \|(I-L_{n})f\|_{A^{p}} \max\{1, R^{1-4/p}\} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p-1}}{(1-t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t).$$

The first term tends to zero because of (5.1). Moreover

$$\sup_{|w|=R} |S^* \circ (I - L_n)(f)(w)| \le \frac{1}{R} \left(\sup_{|w| \le R} |(I - L_n)(f)(w)| + |(I - L_n)(f)(0)| \right).$$

Therefore, using once more Lemma 5.1,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^p} = 1} \max\{1, R^{1-4/p}\} \sup_{\|w\| \le R} |(I - L_n)(f)(w)| + \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^p} = 1} \max\{1, R^{1-4/p}\} |(I - L_n)(f)(0)| = 0.$$

19

Consequently, by the boundedness of Γ_{μ} and Theorem 1.1, we have

$$\|\Gamma_{\mu}\|_{e} \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \sup_{\|f\|_{A^{p}} = 1} \|(I - L_{n})f\|_{A^{p}} \max\{1, R^{1 - 4/p}\} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t^{2/p - 1}}{(1 - t)^{2/p}} d\mu(t) d\mu(t)$$

and letting $R \to 1$, we obtain the desired upper estimate.

Next, we move to the case
$$p = 1$$
. We need the following preliminary lemma.

Lemma 5.2. Let $\{f_n\} \subset A^1$ be a weakly null convergent sequence. Then, for every fixed $0 \leq t < 1$, $T_t(f_n)$ is strongly null convergent.

Proof. Since $\{f_n\}$ is weakly null, f_n converge to zero on every compact subset of \mathbb{D} . Moreover, $\varphi_t(\mathbb{D}) \subset \mathbb{D}$ touches $\partial \mathbb{D}$ only at 1. Consequently, for every $0 \leq t < 1$, $f_n \circ \varphi_t$ converge in measure to zero and, due to [7, p. 295], $\{f_n \circ \varphi_t\}$ is also strongly null. The Lemma is proved since the multiplication by w_t does not change the behaviour of $f_n \circ \varphi_t$.

Proof of Theorem 1.3. Let $f_a(z) = 1/(1-z)^a$ as in Lemma 3.1. We consider the bounded sequence

$$\left\{\frac{f_a}{\|f_a\|_{A^1}}\right\}_{1 < a < 2} ,$$

which is converging to zero uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{D} . Then, if Γ_{μ} were compact, [20, Lemma 3.7] would imply that $\Gamma_{\mu}(f_a/||f_a||_{A^1})$ would go to zero. However, in Lemma (3.1), we have verified that

$$\lim_{a \to 2} \|\Gamma_{\mu}(f_a/\|f_a\|_{A_1})\|_{A^1} \ge \int_0^1 \frac{t}{(1-t)^2} \, d\mu(t).$$

Consequently, if $\mu \neq \delta_0$, then $\Gamma_{\mu}(f_a/||f_a||_{A^1})$ is not strongly converging to zero and thus Γ_{μ} cannot be compact. On the other hand, if $\mu = \delta_0$, then Γ_{μ} is compact since it is a rank 1 operator.

In order to show that Γ_{μ} is completely continuous, we use Lemma 5.2 which states that T_t is completely continuous for every $t \in [0, 1)$. Consider now a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}$ which is weakly null in A^1 . Then, by the complete continuity of T_t we have that $\lim_n ||T_t f_n||_{A^1} = 0$, for all $0 \le t < 1$. Furthermore, using Lemma 2.3, we have

$$||T_t f_n||_{A^1} \le \sup_n ||f_n||_{A^1} ||T_t||_{A^1} \le C(1) \sup_n ||f_n||_{A^1} \frac{1}{(1-t)^2}.$$

By applying the dominated convergence theorem together with Theorem 1.1, we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n} \|\Gamma_{\mu} f_{n}\|_{A^{1}} \le \limsup_{n} \int_{0}^{1} \|T_{t} f_{n}\|_{A^{1}} d\mu(t) = 0.$$

1

6. Acknowledgments

The authors would like to express their gratitude to professor Aristomenis Siskakis for introducing them to this problem and for all the valuable discussions about this topic.

The first author is a member of Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM) and he was partially supported by PID2021-123405NB-I00 by the Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación and by the Departament de Recerca i Universitats, grant 2021 SGR 00087.

The first, the second and the fifth authors were partially supported by the Hellenic Foundation for Research and Innovation (H.F.R.I.) under the '2nd Call for H.F.R.I. Research Projects to support Faculty Members & Researchers' (Project Number: 4662).

The third and the sixth authors were supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant EP/X024555/1.

The fourth author was supported by the Magnus Ehrnrooth Foundation.

The sixth author was supported by Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council grant grant EP/Y008375/1.

7. Declaration

The authors state no conflict of interest. No data-set have been used.

References

- 1. C. Bellavita, , V. Daskalogiannis, and G. Stylogiannis, On the Hilbert matrix operator: A brief survey, 2024.
- 2. C. Bellavita, N. Chalmoukis, V. Daskalogiannis, and G. Stylogiannis, *Generalized Hilbert operators arising from Hausdorff matrices*, Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society (2024), to appear.
- 3. V. Božin and B. Karapetrović, Norm of the Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces, Journal of Functional Analysis **274** (2018), no. 2, 525–543.
- E. Diamantopoulos, *Hilbert matrix on Bergman spaces*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics 48 (2004), no. 3, 1067–1078.
- D. Dmitrović and B. Karapetrović, On the Hilbert matrix norm on positively indexed weighted Bergman spaces, Revista de la Real Academia de Ciencias Exactas, Físicas y Naturales. Serie A. Matemáticas 117 (2023), no. 4.
- M. Dostanić, M. Jevtić, and D. Vukotić, Norm of the Hilbert matrix on Bergman and Hardy spaces and a theorem of Nehari type, Journal of Functional Analysis 254 (2008), no. 11, 2800–2815.
- N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators, part 1: General theory*, Wiley Classics Library, Wiley, 1988.
- P.L. Duren and A. Schuster, *Bergman spaces*, Mathematical surveys and monographs, American Mathematical Society, 2004.
- P. Galanopoulos and M. Papadimitrakis, Hausdorff and Quasi-Hausdorff matrices on spaces of analytic functions, Canadian Journal of Mathematics 58 (2006), no. 3, 548–579.

22 GENERALIZED HILBERT MATRIX OPERATORS ON BERGMAN SPACES

- 10. P. Galanopoulos and J.A. Peláez, A Hankel matrix acting on Hardy and Bergman spaces, Studia Mathematica **200** (2010), no. 3, 201–220.
- 11. P. Galanopoulos and A.G. Siskakis, *Hausdorff matrices and composition operators*, Illinois Journal of Mathematics **45** (2001), no. 3, 757–773.
- 12. H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum, and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman spaces*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer New York, 2012.
- 13. B. Karapetrović, Norm of the Hilbert matrix operator on the weighted Bergman spaces, Glasgow Mathematical Journal **60** (2018), no. 3, 513–525.
- 14. E. Liflyand, *Open problems on Hausforff operators*, Complex Analysis and Potential Theory, World Scientific, August 2007.
- M. Lindström, S. Miihkinen, and D. Norrbo, Exact essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix operators on classical analytic function spaces, Advances in Mathematics 408 (2022), 108598.
- <u>_____</u>, Corrigendum to "exact essential norm of generalized Hilbert matrix operators on classical analytic function spaces" [adv. math. 408 (2022) 108598], Advances in Mathematics 450 (2024), 109759.
- M. Lindström, S. Miihkinen, and N. Wikman, On the exact value of the norm of the Hilbert matrix operator on weighted Bergman spaces, Annales Fennici Mathematici 46 (2021), no. 1, 201–224.
- 18. Congwen Liu, Sharp Forelli-Rudin estimates and the norm of the Bergman projection, Journal of Functional Analysis **268** (2015), no. 2, 255–277.
- D.H. Luecking, Embedding theorems for spaces of analytic functions via Khinchine's inequality, Michigan Mathematical Journal 40 (1993), no. 2, 333–358.
- M. Tjani, Compact composition operators on Besov spaces, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society 355 (2003), 4683–4698.
- 21. D. Vukotic, A sharp estimate for A^p_{α} functions in \mathbb{C}^n , Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society **117** (1993), no. 3, 753.
- K. Zhu, Operator theory in function spaces, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I, 2007.

Email address:

carlobellavita@ub.edu, vdaskalo@math.auth.gr, s.j.miihkinen@reading.ac.uk d.norrbo@reading.ac.uk, stylog@math.auth.gr, j.a.virtanen@reading.ac.uk

¹Departament of Matemática i Informática, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain.

 $^2\mathrm{Department}$ of Mathematics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124, Thessaloniki, Greece.

³Division of Science and Technology, American College of Thessaloniki, 17 V. Sevenidi St., 55535, Pylea, Greece.

⁴DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL AND PHYSICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF READING, WHITEKNIGHTS, PO Box 220, READ-ING RG6 6AX, UK.