

ON DIAGONAL DEGREES AND STAR NETWORKS

NATHAN CARLSON

ABSTRACT. Given an open cover \mathcal{U} of a topological space X , we introduce the notion of a star network for \mathcal{U} . The associated cardinal function $sn(X)$, where $e(X) \leq sn(X) \leq L(X)$, is used to establish new cardinal inequalities involving diagonal degrees. We show $|X| \leq sn(X)^{\Delta(X)}$ for a T_1 space X , giving a partial answer to a long-standing question of Angelo Bella. Many further results are given using variations of $sn(X)$. One result has as corollaries Buzyakova's theorem that a ccc space with a regular G_δ -diagonal has cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} , as well as three results of Gotchev. Further results lead to logical improvements of theorems of Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos, a partial solution to a question of the same authors, and a theorem of Gotchev, Tkachenko, and Tkachuk. Finally, we define the Urysohn extent $Ue(X)$ with the property $Ue(X) \leq \min\{aL(X), e(X)\}$ and use the Erdős-Rado theorem to show that $|X| \leq 2^{Ue(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$ for any Urysohn space X .

1. INTRODUCTION.

The *diagonal* of a topological space X , denoted by Δ_X , is defined as $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \in X^2 : x \in X\}$. Considerable progress has been made in the theory of cardinal inequalities involving the *diagonal degree* $\Delta(X)$ (Definition 2.1) and its variants. (See [2], [3], [5], [6], [8], [14], and others). An early result was given in 1977 by Ginsburg and Woods [13] who used the Erdős-Rado theorem (Theorem 3.21) to demonstrate that if X is T_1 then $|X| \leq 2^{e(X)\Delta(X)}$. Here $e(X)$, the *extent* of X , is the supremum of the cardinalities of closed discrete subspaces of X . In 1989 Bella [4] asked if $e(X)$ could be moved out of the exponent in this result.

Question 1.1 (Bella [4]). *If X is T_1 is $|X| \leq e(X)^{\Delta(X)}$?*

In 1982 Alas [1] showed that if X is T_1 then $|X| \leq L(X)^{\Delta(X)}$. As $e(X) \leq L(X)$ for any space X , this represents a partial answer to the question of Bella. Proofs of this result also occur in [4] and [19]. In this study we use the notion of a *star network* (Definition 2.8) to define the cardinal function $sn(X)$ with the property $e(X) \leq sn(X) \leq L(X)$ and show if X is T_1 then $|X| \leq sn(X)^{\Delta(X)}$ (Theorem 3.2). This gives an improved partial solution to the question of Bella.

For each integer $m \geq 1$ we define the cardinal function $sn_m(X)$ using *star- m networks* (Definition 2.8). There is a fundamental “pairing” of each $sn_m(X)$ with each corresponding rank m diagonal degree $\Delta_m(X)$ (Definition 2.4) in the sense that $|X| \leq sn_m(X)^{\Delta_m(X)}$ for any space X for which $\Delta_m(X)$ is defined

(Theorem 3.9). Likewise, *weak star- m networks* (Definition 2.8) are used to define $wsn_m(X)$, which is “paired” with the strong rank m diagonal degree $s\Delta_m(X)$ through the cardinal inequality $|X| \leq wsn_m(X)^{s\Delta_m(X)}$ for any space X for which $s\Delta_m(X)$ is defined (Theorem 3.11).

Many cardinal inequalities are established using $sn_m(X)$ and $wsn_m(X)$ in §3. For example, we show $sn_2(X) \leq we(X)$ (Theorem 3.7), where $we(X)$ is the *weak extent* of X (Definition 2.13). Therefore the result that if X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq sn_2(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$ is a logical improvement of the result of Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos [2] that the cardinality of a Hausdorff space is bounded by $we(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$. Another example is Theorem 3.13: $wsn_2(X) \leq 2^{wL(X)}$ for any space X . A consequence is that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)s\Delta_2(X)}$. This gives a partial answer to Question 4.8 in [2]. A third example is Theorem 3.15: $wsn_2(X) \leq wL(X)^{dot(X)}$, which has as a corollary the result of Gotchev, Tkachenko, and Tkachuk [15] that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq wL(X)^{dot(X)s\Delta_2(X)}$.

In Definition 2.12 we define the *Urysohn extent* $Ue(X)$ with the property $Ue(X) \leq \min\{aL(X), e(X)\}$ and use the Erdős-Rado theorem to show that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{Ue(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$ (Theorem 3.22). This appears to be the first application of the Erdős-Rado theorem in connection with the regular diagonal degree $\overline{\Delta}(X)$.

In §4 we use *regular star networks* (Definition 2.9) to define the cardinal function $\overline{sn}(X)$ and show there is also a natural “pairing” of $\overline{sn}(X)$ with $\overline{\Delta}(X)$. This is demonstrated in Theorem 4.1: If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq \overline{sn}(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$. By utilizing this result and the fact that $\overline{sn}(X) \leq 2^{c(X)}$ for any space X , we have as a corollary Buzyakova’s theorem that a ccc space with a regular G_δ -diagonal has cardinality at most c . We also have as corollaries three results of Gotchev [14]: If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq aL(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$, $|X| \leq wL(X)^{\chi(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$, and $|X| \leq 2^{\overline{\Delta}(X)2^{wL(X)}}$.

In this paper we make no global assumption on any separation axiom on a topological space.

2. DEFINITIONS.

In this section we give the main definitions used in this paper, as well as several characterizations. For all other notions not defined here, see Engelking [12] and Juhász [16].

Definition 2.1. The *diagonal* of a topological space X is defined to be $\Delta_X = \{(x, x) \in X^2 : x \in X\}$. For a cardinal κ , X has a G_κ -*diagonal* if there exists a family $\{U_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open sets in X^2 such that $\Delta_X = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha$. If additionally $\Delta_X = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{U_\alpha}$ then X has a *regular G_κ -diagonal*. The *diagonal degree* of X , denoted by $\Delta(X)$, is the least infinite cardinal κ such that X has a G_κ -diagonal. The *regular diagonal degree* of X , denoted by $\overline{\Delta}(X)$, is the least infinite cardinal κ such that X has a regular G_κ -diagonal.

It is not hard to see that a space X has a G_κ -diagonal for some infinite cardinal κ if and only if X is T_1 . Likewise, X has a regular G_κ -diagonal for some infinite

cardinal κ if and only if X is Urysohn. It follows that $\Delta(X)$ is defined if and only if X is T_1 and that $\overline{\Delta}(X)$ is defined if and only if X is Urysohn.

Definition 2.2. Let X be a space, \mathcal{U} a family of subsets of X , and $A \subseteq X$. We define $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = \bigcup\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$ and if $A = \{a\}$ we rewrite $St(\{a\}, \mathcal{U}) = St(a, \mathcal{U})$. We define $St^2(A, \mathcal{U}) = St(St(A, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$ and, for an integer $n > 2$, we define $St^n(A, \mathcal{U}) = St(St^{n-1}(A, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$. Last, we define $St^0(A, \mathcal{U}) = A$ and $St^1(A, \mathcal{U}) = St(A, \mathcal{U})$.

Bonanzinga [7] defined the following cardinal function.

Definition 2.3. Given a space X , $stL(X)$ is defined to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that for every open cover \mathcal{U} there exists $A \subseteq [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = X$.

It is not hard to see that $stL(X)$ is also the least infinite cardinal κ such that for every open cover \mathcal{U} there exists $A \subseteq [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}) : x \in A\}$ covers X . Observe that $stL(X) \leq L(X)$.

Definition 2.4. Let X be a space, let $n \in \omega$, and let κ be an infinite cardinal. We say X has a *rank n G_κ -diagonal* (*strong rank n G_κ -diagonal*) if there is a family $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open covers of X such that for all $x \neq y$ there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $y \notin St^n(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ ($y \notin \overline{St^n(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)}$). If $\kappa = \omega$ then we denote a rank n G_δ -diagonal by *rank n diagonal*. The least infinite cardinal κ such that X has a rank n G_κ -diagonal or a strong rank n G_κ -diagonal is denoted by $\Delta_n(X)$ and $s\Delta_n(X)$, respectively.

It is clear that $\Delta_n(X) \leq \min\{\Delta_{n+1}(X), s\Delta_n(X)\}$. We have the following characterization. It is a straightforward generalization of a result of Ceder.

Proposition 2.5 (Ceder [11]). *A space X has a G_κ -diagonal if and only if it has a rank 1 G_κ -diagonal.*

Another way to state Proposition 2.5 is that a space X has a G_κ -diagonal if and only if there is a family of open covers $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ for every $x \in X$. It follows that $\psi(X) \leq \Delta(X) = \Delta_1(X)$ for any T_1 space X . Additionally, one can see that $\psi_c(X) \leq s\Delta(X)$ if X is Hausdorff, where $s\Delta(X)$ is defined to be $s\Delta_1(X)$. This is because if $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is a family of open covers witnessing that $s\Delta(X) = \kappa$, then for all $x \in X$ we have that $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ forms a closed pseudobase at x .

For a space X and a cardinal κ , we have the following two similar characterizations of the statement “ X has a regular G_κ -diagonal” in Proposition 2.6. (Notice that U and V are in \mathcal{U}_α in the second characterization and not necessarily in \mathcal{U}_α in the first). Zenor proved the first in [20] in the case $\kappa = \omega$, which easily generalizes, and Gotchev proved the second in [14]. It is interesting that the second characterization is logically stronger than the first but both are still equivalent.

Proposition 2.6 (Zenor [20] and Gotchev [14]). *Let X be a space and let κ be an infinite cardinal. The following are equivalent.*

- (1) X has a regular G_κ -diagonal.
- (2) There is a family $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \neq y \in X$ then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ and open sets U and V containing x and y respectively such that $V \cap \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)} = \emptyset$.
- (3) There is a family $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \neq y \in X$ then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ and open sets U and V in \mathcal{U}_α containing x and y respectively such that $V \cap \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)} = \emptyset$.

It follows by Proposition 2.6 that $s\Delta(X) \leq \overline{\Delta}(X) \leq s\Delta_2(X)$ if X is Urysohn. Therefore if a space has strong rank 2-diagonal then it has a regular G_δ -diagonal. However, the following difficult question of Bella remains open.

Question 2.7 (Bella [3]). *Does any space with a regular G_δ -diagonal have a rank 2-diagonal?*

Definition 2.8. Given a cover \mathcal{U} of a space X and an integer $m \geq 1$, we define a *star- m network* for \mathcal{U} to be a collection \mathcal{N} of subsets of X such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq St^m(x, \mathcal{U})$. We define a *weak star- m network* for \mathcal{U} in a similar way except $x \in N \subseteq \overline{St^m(x, \mathcal{U})}$. By *star network* we mean a star-1 network and by *weak star network* we mean a weak star-1 network. We define $sn_m(X)$ ($wsn_m(X)$) to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that every open cover \mathcal{U} has a star- m network (weak star- m network) of cardinality at most κ . We denote $sn_1(X)$ by $sn(X)$ and $wsn_1(X)$ by $wsn(X)$.

The term ‘‘star network’’ is used above because of its similarity to a network for a space. A network \mathcal{N} has the property that whenever $x \in U$ for an open set U there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq U$. Similarly, a star network \mathcal{N} for an open cover \mathcal{U} has the property that for all $x \in X$ there exists an $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq St(x, \mathcal{U})$. While the terminology ‘‘star \mathcal{P} ’’ has a particular meaning when \mathcal{P} is a property of a space X , we remark that ‘‘network’’ is not a property of a space and so should not be confused with this terminology.

For an integer $m \geq 1$, every star- m network is a star- $(m + 1)$ network and therefore $sn_{m+1}(X) \leq sn_m(X)$. In particular $sn_2(X) \leq sn(X)$. Likewise, $wsn_{m+1}(X) \leq wsn_m(X)$ and thus $wsn_2(X) \leq wsn(X)$. Observe also that $wsn_m(X) \leq sn_m(X)$ for any space X , in particular $wsn(X) \leq sn(X)$.

Definition 2.9. Given a cover \mathcal{U} of a space X , a *regular star network* for \mathcal{U} is a collection \mathcal{N} of subsets of X such that for all $x \in X$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq \bigcap \{St(U, \mathcal{U}) : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Then $\overline{sn}(X)$ is defined to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that every open cover \mathcal{U} has a regular star network of cardinality at most κ .

Note that $wsn_2(X) \leq \overline{sn}(X) \leq wsn(X)$ for any space X . This is because $\overline{St(x, \mathcal{U})} \subseteq \bigcap \{St(U, \mathcal{U}) : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$.

Recall that the *extent* $e(X)$ of a space X is defined as the supremum of the cardinalities of the closed discrete subsets of X . We define the *Urysohn extent* in Definition 2.12. It uses the notion of a Urysohn discrete set defined by Schröder in [18]. First recall the following.

Definition 2.10. Given a set A in a space X , the θ -closure of A is defined as $cl_\theta(A) = \{x \in X : \overline{U} \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ whenever } U \text{ is an open neighborhood of } x\}$. A is θ -closed if $A = cl_\theta(A)$. A point x is a θ -accumulation point of A if $\overline{U} \cap A \setminus \{x\} \neq \emptyset$ for every open set U containing x .

Definition 2.11 (Schröder [18]). A set D in a space X is *Urysohn discrete* if for all $d \in D$ there exists an open set U_d containing d such that $\overline{U_d} \cap (D \setminus \{d\}) = \emptyset$.

Definition 2.12. Given a space X , we define the *Urysohn extent* of X by

$$Ue(X) = \sup\{|D| : D \text{ is } \theta\text{-closed and Urysohn discrete}\}.$$

One can see that $Ue(X) \leq e(X)$. Our last variant on the extent is the *weak extent* $we(X)$ of a space X .

Definition 2.13. The *weak extent* of a space X , denoted by $we(X)$, is the least cardinal κ such that for every open cover \mathcal{U} of X there is a subset A of X of cardinality no greater than κ such that $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = X$.

It is clear that $we(X) \leq d(X)$ and $we(X) \leq e(X)$. Note that spaces with countable weak extent are called *star countable* by some authors.

Recall the following definitions of $wL(X)$, $aL(X)$, and $wL_c(X)$.

Definition 2.14. Given a space X , the *weak Lindelöf degree* $wL(X)$ is defined to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a subfamily \mathcal{V} of cardinality at most κ such that $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. The *almost Lindelöf degree* $aL(X)$ is defined to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that every open cover \mathcal{U} of X has a subfamily \mathcal{V} of cardinality at most κ such that $X = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \overline{V}$.

Definition 2.15. Given a space X and a subset A of X , we define $wL(A, X)$ to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that every open cover \mathcal{U} of A has a subfamily \mathcal{V} of cardinality at most κ such that $A \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. The *weak Lindelöf degree of X with respect to closed sets* is $wL_c(X) = \sup\{wL(C, X) : C \text{ is a closed subset of } X\}$.

It is clear that $wL(X) \leq aL(X) \leq L(X)$ and $wL(X) \leq wL_c(X) \leq c(X)$.

Definition 2.16 ([10]). Let X be a Hausdorff space and let $x \in X$. A collection of open sets \mathcal{V} is a *weak closed pseudobase at x* if $\{x\} = \bigcap_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \overline{V}$. (Note that it is not necessarily the case that $x \in V$ for any $V \in \mathcal{V}$). We define $w\psi_c(x, X)$ to be the least infinite cardinal κ such that x has a weak closed pseudobase of cardinality κ . The *weak closed pseudocharacter* $w\psi_c(X)$ is defined as $w\psi_c(X) = \sup\{w\psi_c(x, X) : x \in X\}$.

It is clear that $w\psi_c(X) \leq \psi_c(X)$ for any Hausdorff space X . The following was defined in [15]. It can be seen that $dot(X) \leq \pi\chi(X)$ and $dot(X) \leq c(X)$.

Definition 2.17 ([15]). Let X be a space. The *dense o -tightness* of X , denoted by $dot(X)$, is the least infinite cardinal κ such that whenever $x \in X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{U}}$, for an open family \mathcal{U} , there exists $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$.

3. ON STAR NETWORKS, WEAK STAR NETWORKS, AND $Ue(X)$.

In this section we establish several cardinal inequalities involving $sn(X)$ and its variants, including a partial answer to Question 1.1 of Bella. We begin with preliminary inequalities.

Proposition 3.1. $e(X) \leq sn(X) \leq L(X)$ for any space X .

Proof. First we show $sn(X) \leq L(X)$. Let $\kappa = L(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $\kappa = L(X)$ there exists $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $X = \bigcup \mathcal{V}$. If $x \in X$ then there exists $V \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in V \subseteq St(x, \mathcal{U})$. This shows \mathcal{V} is a star network for \mathcal{U} and that $sn(X) \leq |\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$.

To show $e(X) \leq sn(X)$, let $\kappa = sn(X)$ and suppose by way of contradiction that $e(X) \geq \kappa^+$. Then there exists a closed discrete set D such that $|D| = \kappa^+$. For all $d \in D$ there exists an open set U_d containing d such that $U_d \cap D \setminus \{d\} = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{U} = \{X \setminus D\} \cup \{U_d : d \in D\}$ is an open cover of X . Observe that $St(d, \mathcal{U}) = U_d$ for all $d \in D$.

As $sn(X) = \kappa$ there exists a star network \mathcal{N} for \mathcal{U} such that $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \kappa$. It follows that for all $d \in D$ there exists $N_d \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $d \in N_d \subseteq St(d, \mathcal{U}) = U_d$. As $|D| = \kappa^+$ and $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \kappa$, there exists $d_1 \neq d_2 \in D$ such that $N_{d_1} = N_{d_2}$. Let $N = N_{d_1} = N_{d_2}$. Then $\{d_1, d_2\} \subseteq N \subseteq U_{d_1} \cap U_{d_2}$. This implies that $d_2 \in U_{d_1}$, a contradiction. Therefore $e(X) \leq \kappa = sn(X)$. \square

The next theorem gives a partial solution to Question 1.1 of Bella. The proof is modeled after the proof that $|X| \leq nw(X)^{\psi(X)}$ for a T_1 space X , where $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ is used as a pseudobase at $x \in X$. (See [16]).

Theorem 3.2. *If X is T_1 then $|X| \leq sn(X)^{\Delta(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa = \Delta(X)$ and let $\lambda = sn(X)$. By Proposition 2.5, there exist open covers $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of X such that for all $x \neq y \in X$ there exists an $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $y \notin St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. As $\lambda = sn(X)$, for all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists a star network \mathcal{N}_α for \mathcal{U}_α such that $|\mathcal{N}_\alpha| \leq \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ and note $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda\kappa$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \in [\mathcal{N}]^{\leq \kappa}\}$. Then $|\mathcal{C}| \leq |\mathcal{N}|^\kappa \leq (\lambda\kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$.

Fix $x \in X$. For all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists $N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N_\alpha \subseteq St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. It follows that $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. If $y \neq x$ then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $y \notin St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ and so $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} St(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. Therefore $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$ and $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$. As $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $|X| \leq |\mathcal{C}| \leq \lambda^\kappa$. \square

In light of Proposition 3.1, compare the above result with the following theorem of Ginsburg and Woods.

Theorem 3.3 (Ginsburg and Woods [13]). *If X is T_1 then $|X| \leq 2^{e(X)\Delta(X)}$.*

Also, it is straightforward to modify the proof of Theorem 3.2 to show the following.

Theorem 3.4. *If X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq wsn(X)^{s\Delta(X)}$.*

By Proposition 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following result of Xuan and Song.

Corollary 3.5 (Xuan and Song [19]). *If X is T_1 then $|X| \leq L(X)^{\Delta(X)}$.*

Question 3.6. *Is there an example of a T_1 space for which $sn(X)^{\Delta(X)} < L(X)^{\Delta(X)}$?*

The next theorem establishes nice relationships between $sn_2(X)$, $stL(X)$, and $we(X)$. (See Definitions 2.8, 2.3, and 2.13, respectively).

Theorem 3.7. $sn_2(X) \leq stL(X) \leq we(X)$ for any space X .

Proof. For the first inequality, let $\kappa = stL(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $stL(X) = \kappa$ there exists $A \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $\{St(x, \mathcal{U}) : x \in A\}$ covers X . Let $\mathcal{N} = \{St(x, \mathcal{U}) : x \in A\}$ and note $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \kappa$. Fix $x \in X$. Then $x \in St(y, \mathcal{U})$ for some $y \in A$. It follows that there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \in U$. We want to show that $St(y, \mathcal{U}) \subseteq St(St(x, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$. Let $W \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $y \in W$. Then $y \in W \cap U$ and so $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $W \subseteq St(St(x, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$. This shows $St(y, \mathcal{U}) \subseteq St(St(x, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})$ and that $sn_2(X) \leq stL(X)$.

For the second inequality, let $we(X) = \kappa$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $we(X) = \kappa$, there exists $A \in [X]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $St(A, \mathcal{U}) = X$. We show $\{St(a, \mathcal{U}) : a \in A\}$ covers X . Let $x \in X$. Then $x \in St(A, \mathcal{U})$ and there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$. Let $a \in U \cap A$. Then $x \in U \subseteq St(a, \mathcal{U})$. This shows $\{St(a, \mathcal{U}) : a \in A\}$ covers X and that $stL(X) \leq we(X)$. \square

In [2], Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos showed that if X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq we(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$. We give a logical improvement of this result using the cardinal function $sn_2(X)$.

Theorem 3.8. *If X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq sn_2(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa = \Delta_2(X)$ and let $\lambda = sn_2(X)$. As $\Delta_2(X) = \kappa$, there exist open covers $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of X such that for all $x \neq y \in X$ there exists an $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $y \notin St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. As $\lambda = sn_2(X)$, for all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists a star-2 network \mathcal{N}_α for \mathcal{U}_α such that $|\mathcal{N}_\alpha| \leq \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{N}_\alpha$ and note $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \in [\mathcal{N}]^{\leq \kappa}\}$. Then $|\mathcal{C}| \leq |\mathcal{N}|^\kappa \leq (\lambda \kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$.

Fix $x \in X$. For all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists $N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N_\alpha \subseteq St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. It follows that $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. If $y \neq x$ then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $y \notin St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$ and so $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} St^2(x, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)$. Therefore $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$ and $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$. As $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $|X| \leq |\mathcal{C}| \leq \lambda^\kappa$. \square

Generalizing the above proof we have the following.

Theorem 3.9. *Let m be an integer such that $m \geq 1$. If X is a space for which $\Delta_m(X)$ is defined, then $|X| \leq sn_m(X)^{\Delta_m(X)}$.*

Theorems 3.2 and 3.8 are corollaries of Theorem 3.9. In addition, straightforward modification of the proof Theorem 3.8 gives the following.

Theorem 3.10. *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq wsn_2(X)^{s\Delta_2(X)}$.*

This in turn generalizes to the following.

Theorem 3.11. *Let m be an integer such that $m \geq 1$. If X is a space for which $s\Delta_m(X)$ is defined, then $|X| \leq wsn_m(X)^{s\Delta_m(X)}$.*

Corollary 3.12 (Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos [2]). *If X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq we(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$.*

Proof. By Theorems 3.8 and 3.7, we have $|X| \leq sn_2(X)^{\Delta_2(X)} \leq we(X)^{\Delta_2(X)}$. \square

Theorem 3.13. $wsn_2(X) \leq 2^{wL(X)}$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = wL(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Then there exists $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. For all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ let $\mathcal{V}_U = \{V \in \mathcal{V} : V \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. As $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$ we see that $\mathcal{V}_U \neq \emptyset$ for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$.

We wish to find a collection \mathcal{N} of subsets of X such that $|\mathcal{N}| \leq 2^\kappa$ and for all $x \in X$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$. We show that $\mathcal{N} = \{\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U} : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ has these properties. First, note that $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \{\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}} : \mathcal{W} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})\}$ and so $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V})| \leq 2^\kappa$.

Fix $x \in X$ and fix $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$. For an open set W containing x , we have $x \in W \cap U$ and therefore there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $W \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $V \in \mathcal{V}_U$. Since $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we have $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V}_U \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U}$.

We show $\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$. Let $y \in \bigcup \mathcal{V}_U$. Then there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_U$ such that $y \in V$ and $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Let T be an open set containing y . We show $T \cap \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})} \neq \emptyset$. As $y \in V \cap T$ we have that $V \cap T \neq \emptyset$. Now as $V \cap U \neq \emptyset$ and $U \subseteq \overline{St(x, \mathcal{U})}$, we have that $V \subseteq \overline{St(St(x, \mathcal{U}), \mathcal{U})} = \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$. As $T \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we have that $T \cap \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})} \neq \emptyset$ and $y \in \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$. This shows $\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$.

It follows that $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U} \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$ and that \mathcal{N} is a weak star-2 network for \mathcal{U} . Therefore $wsn_2(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq 2^\kappa = 2^{wL(X)}$. \square

By Theorems 3.13 and 3.10, we have the following corollary. Corollary 3.14 provides a partial solution to the question of Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos of whether a space X with a strong rank 2 diagonal has cardinality at most $2^{dc(X)}$. (Question 4.8 in [2]).

Corollary 3.14. *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{wL(X)s\Delta_2(X)}$.*

The proof of the following is a reduced version of the proof of Theorem 4.3 in [15]. Recall the definition of $dot(X)$ in 2.17.

Theorem 3.15. $wsn_2(X) \leq wL(X)^{dot(X)}$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = dot(X)$, $\lambda = wL(X)$, and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $wL(X) = \lambda$, there exists $\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{W}| \leq \lambda$ and $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}}$. As $dot(X) = \kappa$, for all $x \in X$ there exists $\mathcal{V}_x \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{V}_x$ and $|\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{W}_x = \{V \in \mathcal{V}_x : V \cap \overline{St(x, \mathcal{U})} \neq \emptyset\}$ and note that $|\mathcal{W}_x| \leq |\mathcal{V}_x| \leq \kappa$.

For $x \in X$ define $W_x = \bigcup \mathcal{W}_x$. Observe that $W_x \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$ and so $\overline{W_x} \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$. We show $x \in \overline{W_x}$ for each $x \in X$. For $x \in X$ let T be an arbitrary open

set containing x . There exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$ and there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}_x$ such that $U \cap T \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Then $V \cap St(x, \mathcal{U}) \neq \emptyset$ and so $V \in \mathcal{W}_x$. Then $T \cap W_x \neq \emptyset$ and $x \in \overline{W_x}$.

Let $\mathcal{N} = \{\overline{W_x} : x \in X\} \subseteq \{\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \in [\mathcal{W}]^{\leq \kappa}\}$. Then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{W}|^\kappa \leq \lambda^\kappa$. As $x \in \overline{W_x} \subseteq \overline{St^2(x, \mathcal{U})}$, we see that \mathcal{N} is a weak star-2 network for \mathcal{U} . Therefore $wsn_2(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda^\kappa = wL(X)^{dot(X)}$. \square

We have as a corollary a theorem of Gotchev, Tkachenko, and Tkachuk.

Corollary 3.16 (Gotchev, Tkachenko, Tkachuk [15]). *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq wL(X)^{dot(X)s\Delta_2(X)}$.*

Proof. By Theorems 3.10 and 3.15 we have

$$|X| \leq wsn_2(X)^{s\Delta_2(X)} \leq \left(wL(X)^{dot(X)}\right)^{s\Delta_2(X)} = wL(X)^{dot(X)s\Delta_2(X)}.$$

\square

Theorem 3.17. $sn_3(X) \leq wL(X)$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = wL(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . We want to show there exists $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{P}(X)$ such that $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \kappa$ and for all $x \in X$ there exists $N \in \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N \subseteq St^3(x, \mathcal{U})$. As $wL(X) = \kappa$ there exists $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$.

Fix $x \in X$. There exists $V_x \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $St(x, \mathcal{U}) \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $V_x \subseteq St^2(x, \mathcal{U})$. As $St(x, \mathcal{U}) \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$ there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$ and $U \cap V_x \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $x \in U \subseteq St(V_x, \mathcal{U})$. As $V_x \subseteq St^2(x, \mathcal{U})$, we have $x \in St(V_x, \mathcal{U}) \subseteq St^3(x, \mathcal{U})$. Thus $\mathcal{N} = \{St(V_x, \mathcal{U}) : x \in X\}$ is a star-3 network for \mathcal{U} . We have $sn_3(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq |\{St(V, \mathcal{U}) : V \in \mathcal{V}\}| \leq |\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa = wL(X)$. \square

Note that in the above proof the star-3 network \mathcal{N} for \mathcal{U} in fact consists of open sets. We have as a corollary a generalization of Proposition 4.7 in [2].

Corollary 3.18 (Basile, Bella, Ridderbos [2] in the case where $\Delta_3(X) = \omega$). *If X is a space for which $\Delta_3(X)$ is defined then $|X| \leq wL(X)^{\Delta_3(X)}$.*

Proof. By Theorems 3.9 and 3.17 we have $|X| \leq sn_3(X)^{\Delta_3(X)} \leq wL(X)^{\Delta_3(X)}$. \square

Notice that the case $m = 3$ of Theorem 3.9 is a logical improvement of Corollary 3.18 in light of Theorem 3.17.

For the remainder of this section we turn to results involving the Urysohn extent $Ue(X)$ (Definition 2.12). While it is well known that $e(X) \leq L(X)$, we have a sharper upper bound for $Ue(X)$ given in the next proposition. See Definition 2.14 for the definition of $aL(X)$.

Proposition 3.19. $Ue(X) \leq aL(X)$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = aL(X)$ and suppose by way of contradiction there exists a θ -closed, Urysohn discrete set D such that $|D| = \kappa^+$. As D is θ -closed, for all $x \in X \setminus D$ there exists an open set U_x containing x such that $\overline{U_x} \cap D = \emptyset$. For

all $x \in D$, there exists an open set V_x containing x such that $\overline{V_x} \cap D \setminus \{x\} = \emptyset$. Then $\{U_x : x \in X \setminus D\} \cup \{V_x : x \in D\}$ is an open cover of X . As $aL(X) = \kappa$, there exists $A \subseteq X \setminus D$ and $B \subseteq D$ such that $|A| \leq \kappa$, $|B| \leq \kappa$, and $X = \bigcup_{x \in A} \overline{U_x} \cup \bigcup_{x \in B} \overline{V_x}$. Pick $d \in D \setminus B$. Then $d \notin \bigcup_{x \in A} \overline{U_x}$ by choice of each U_x , and $d \notin \bigcup_{x \in B} \overline{V_x}$ by choice of each V_x . As this is a contradiction, we conclude $Ue(X) \leq \kappa$. \square

The next example demonstrates that the spread between $Ue(X)$ and $e(X)$ can be quite large.

Example 3.20. In this example we give a Hausdorff space X for which $Ue(X) < e(X)$. Let X be the Katětov H-closed extension of the natural numbers; that is, $X = \kappa\omega$. It is well-known that this space has a closed discrete set of cardinality 2^c and therefore $e(X) = 2^c$. However, as X is H-closed we have $aL(X) = \omega$ and thus by Proposition 3.19 we have $Ue(X) = \omega$.

The next theorem is a special case of the well-known Erdős-Rado Theorem from infinite Ramsey Theory. It will be used in the proof of Theorem 3.22.

Theorem 3.21 (Erdős-Rado). *Let X be a set, let κ be an infinite cardinal, and let $f : [X]^2 \rightarrow \kappa$ be a function. If $|X| > 2^\kappa$ then there exists $Y \subseteq X$ and $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $|Y| = \kappa^+$ and $f(x, y) = \alpha$ for all $x \neq y$ in Y .*

Our next result is, to the best of the author's knowledge, the first application of the Erdős-Rado Theorem to a result involving the regular diagonal degree $\overline{\Delta}(X)$.

Theorem 3.22. *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{Ue(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa = Ue(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)$. For each $\alpha < \kappa$ let U_α be an open set in X^2 such that $\Delta_X = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} U_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{U_\alpha}$. For every $\alpha < \kappa$ and $x \in X$ there exists an open set $V(x, \alpha)$ in X such that $(x, x) \in V(x, \alpha) \times V(x, \alpha) \subseteq U_\alpha$. For all $x \neq y \in X$ there exists $\alpha(x, y) \leq \kappa$ such that $(x, y) \in X^2 \setminus \overline{U_{\alpha(x, y)}}$. Define the function $f : [X]^2 \rightarrow \kappa$ by $f(x, y) = \alpha(x, y)$.

Suppose by way of contradiction that $|X| > 2^\kappa$. Then by Theorem 3.21, there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ and $Y \subseteq X$ such that $|Y| = \kappa^+$ and $f(x, y) = \alpha(x, y) = \alpha$ for all $x \neq y \in Y$. We show that Y is a θ -closed Urysohn discrete set by showing Y has no θ -accumulation points. (Definition 2.10). Suppose z is a θ -accumulation point of Y . Then $\overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap Y \setminus \{z\} \neq \emptyset$ and in fact $\overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap Y \setminus \{z\}$ must contain at least two distinct points. To see this, suppose $\overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap Y \setminus \{z\} = \{p\}$. As $p \neq z$ and X is Hausdorff, there exists an open set W containing z such that $p \notin \overline{W}$. Then $\emptyset \neq \overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap \overline{W} \cap Y \setminus \{z\} \subseteq \overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap Y \setminus \{z\} = \{p\}$, a contradiction since $p \notin \overline{W}$. Therefore there are two distinct points $x \neq y$ in $\overline{V(z, \alpha)} \cap Y \setminus \{z\}$. It follows that $(x, y) \in \overline{V(z, \alpha)} \times \overline{V(z, \alpha)} \subseteq \overline{U_\alpha}$. This contradicts the fact that $(x, y) \notin \overline{U_{\alpha(x, y)}} = \overline{U_\alpha}$ as x and y are in Y .

Therefore Y has no θ -accumulation points. It is straightforward to see that this implies Y is θ -closed and Urysohn discrete. As $|Y| = \kappa^+$, this contradicts that $Ue(X) \leq \kappa$. Therefore $|X| \leq 2^\kappa$. \square

As $Ue(X) \leq aL(X)$ by Proposition 3.19, we see that Theorem 3.22 is a variation on Gotchev's result from [14] that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq aL(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$ (Corollary 4.7 in the next section).

4. ON REGULAR STAR NETWORKS.

In this section we show that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq \overline{sn}(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$ (Theorem 4.1). Results of Buzyakova and Gotchev involving $\overline{\Delta}(X)$ follow as corollaries. It was shown by Buzyakova in [8] that the cardinality of a ccc space with a regular G_δ -diagonal has cardinality at most \mathfrak{c} . This was generalized by Gotchev in [14] who showed that if X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{c(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$. By showing $\overline{sn}(X) \leq 2^{c(X)}$ for any space X (Theorem 4.4), we see that Buzyakova's result follows from Theorem 4.1. Using three additional upper bounds for $\overline{sn}(X)$, three results of Gotchev also follow from Theorem 4.1. Indeed, the proof of Theorem 4.1 contains the fundamental interaction between the cardinality of X and $\overline{\Delta}(X)$ that is at the core of Buzyakova's theorem and the three theorems of Gotchev.

Theorem 4.1. *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq \overline{sn}(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa = \overline{\Delta}(X)$ and let $\lambda = \overline{sn}(X)$. By Proposition 2.6 there exists a family $\{\mathcal{U}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$ of open covers of X such that if $x \neq y \in X$ then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)}$.

As $\lambda = \overline{sn}(X)$, for all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists a regular star network \mathcal{N}_α for \mathcal{U}_α such that $|\mathcal{N}_\alpha| \leq \lambda$. Let $\mathcal{N} = \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \mathcal{N}_\alpha$. Then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda \cdot \kappa$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\bigcap \mathcal{M} : \mathcal{M} \in [\mathcal{N}]^{\leq \kappa}\}$. Then $|\mathcal{C}| \leq |\mathcal{N}|^\kappa \leq (\lambda \cdot \kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$.

Fix $x \in X$. For all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists $N_\alpha \in \mathcal{N}_\alpha \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ such that $x \in N_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$. Then $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \subseteq \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$. Now suppose $y \neq x$. Then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha$ such that $x \in U$ and $y \notin \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)}$. It follows that $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U}_\alpha)} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}_\alpha\}$ and therefore $y \notin \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$. This says $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha$ and as $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} N_\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $|X| \leq |\mathcal{C}| \leq \lambda^\kappa$. \square

The following is Lemma 4.1 in [14]. It is a generalization of Lemma 2.1 in [8].

Lemma 4.2 (Buzyakova [8] for X ccc, Gotchev [14]). *Let X be a space, let $\kappa = c(X)$, and let $U \times V$ be a nonempty open set in X^2 . Let \mathcal{U} be a collection of open boxes in X^2 such that $U \times V \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{V} = \{U_\alpha \times V_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $V \subseteq \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{V_\alpha}$ and $(U_\alpha \times V_\alpha) \cap (U \times V) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$.*

Theorem 4.3 (Kurepa [17]). *For any space X , $c(X^2) \leq 2^{c(X)}$.*

The proof of the next theorem represents a reduced version of the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [14] and is framed in a bit different way.

Theorem 4.4. *If X is any space then $\overline{sn}(X) \leq 2^{c(X)}$.*

Proof. Let $\kappa = c(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . Define $W = \bigcup \{U \times U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. By Theorem 4.3 there exists a collection \mathcal{V} of open boxes in $X^2 \setminus \overline{W}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq 2^\kappa$ and $X^2 \setminus \overline{W} \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{V}$. Define $\mathcal{N} = \{X \setminus cl \bigcup \{T : S \times T \in$

W for some $S\}$: $W \in [\mathcal{V}]^{\leq \kappa}$. Observe $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |[\mathcal{V}]^{\leq \kappa}| \leq (2^\kappa)^\kappa = 2^\kappa$. We show \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} .

Fix $x \in X$. Let $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$ and set $Y = \{y \in X : (x, y) \in X^2 \setminus \overline{W}\}$. We show $X \setminus \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})} \subseteq Y$. Let $y \in X \setminus \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})}$. Then there exists an open set R containing y such that $R \cap St(U, \mathcal{U}) = \emptyset$. We show $(U \times R) \cap W = \emptyset$. Suppose by way of contradiction that there exists $(a, b) \in (U \times R) \cap W$. Then there exists $Z \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $(a, b) \in (U \times R) \cap (Z \times Z)$. It follows that $a \in U \cap Z$ and $b \in R \cap Z$. Therefore $Z \subseteq St(U, \mathcal{U})$ and $b \in R \cap St(U, \mathcal{U})$. But $R \cap St(U, \mathcal{U}) = \emptyset$ and so $(U \times R) \cap W = \emptyset$. It follows that $(x, y) \in X^2 \setminus \overline{W}$, $y \in Y$, and that $X \setminus \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})} \subseteq Y$. As this holds for all $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$, we have

$$\{y \in X : (x, y) \in \overline{W}\} = X \setminus Y \subseteq \bigcap \{St(U, \mathcal{U}) : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

As $\Delta_X \subseteq W$, we have $(x, x) \in W$. There exists an open set B containing x such that $B \times B \subseteq W$. For each $y \in Y$ there exists an open box $U_y \times V_y$ such that $x \in U_y \subseteq B$, $y \in V_y$, and $U_y \times V_y \subseteq X^2 \setminus \overline{W}$. As $\kappa = c(X)$, there exists $A \in [Y]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $Y \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \{V_y : y \in A\}}$. Let $\mathcal{D} = \{U_y \times V_y : y \in A\}$ and note for all $y \in A$ we have $U_y \times V_y \subseteq X^2 \setminus \overline{W} \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. By Lemma 4.2 for each $y \in Y$ there exists $\mathcal{V}_y = \{U(y, \alpha) \times V(y, \alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ such that $\mathcal{V}_y \subseteq \mathcal{V}$, $V_y \subseteq \overline{\bigcup \{V(y, \alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}}$, and $(U(y, \alpha) \times V(y, \alpha)) \cap (U_y \times V_y) \neq \emptyset$. It is straightforward to see that $Y \subseteq \overline{\bigcup_{y \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} V(y, \alpha)}$.

Now, for all $y \in A$ we have $U_y \subseteq B$ and $U_y \cap U(y, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Thus $U(y, \alpha) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$. Suppose that $V(y, \alpha) \cap B \neq \emptyset$ for some $\alpha < \kappa$. Then $(U(y, \alpha) \times V(y, \alpha)) \cap (B \times B) \neq \emptyset$. However, $B \times B \subseteq W$ and $U(y, \alpha) \times V(y, \alpha) \in \mathcal{V}$ and is a subset of $X^2 \setminus \overline{W}$, a contradiction. Therefore $V(y, \alpha) \cap B = \emptyset$ for all $\alpha < \kappa$ and $y \in A$. Define $N = X \setminus \overline{\bigcup_{y \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} V(y, \alpha)}$ and note $N \in \mathcal{N}$. As $B \cap \overline{\bigcup_{y \in A} \bigcup_{\alpha < \kappa} V(y, \alpha)} = \emptyset$, we have $x \in N$. Also, by the last sentences of the previous two paragraphs, we have $N \subseteq X \setminus Y \subseteq \bigcap \{St(U, \mathcal{U}) : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

It follows that \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} and that $\overline{sn}(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq 2^\kappa = 2^{c(X)}$. \square

Observe that the regular star network in the proof of Theorem 4.4 in fact consists of open sets. In addition, it is interesting to note that while no diagonal degree is used in the proof, the space X^2 , Δ_X , and Kurepa's theorem are still used.

By Theorem 4.1 and 4.4, Gotchev's generalization of the result of Buzyakova follows.

Corollary 4.5 (Buzyakova [8] for X ccc, Gotchev [14]). *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{c(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$.*

Proposition 4.6. $\overline{sn}(X) \leq aL(X)$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = aL(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $\kappa = aL(X)$ there exists $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq \kappa}$ such that $X = \bigcup_{V \in \mathcal{V}} \overline{V}$. We show $\mathcal{N} = \{\overline{V} : V \in \mathcal{V}\}$ is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} . Let $x \in X$ and let $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$. There exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $x \in \overline{V}$. It follows that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ and so $V \subseteq St(U, \mathcal{U})$. Therefore

$\overline{V} \subseteq \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})}$ and $x \in \overline{V} \subseteq \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Then \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} and since $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ we have $\overline{sn}(X) \leq aL(X)$. \square

Observe that we now have three versions of $sn(X)$ that are bounded above by variations on the Lindelöf degree: $sn(X) \leq L(X)$ (Proposition 3.1), $\overline{sn}(X) \leq aL(X)$ (Proposition 4.6), and $sn_3(X) \leq wL(X)$ (Theorem 3.17).

By Proposition 4.6 and Theorem 4.1 we have the following result of Gotchev. It is the first of three results of Gotchev that follow from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.7 (Gotchev [14]). *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq aL(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)}$.*

The proof of the following theorem represents a reduced version of the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [14].

Theorem 4.8. $\overline{sn}(X) \leq wL(X)^{\chi(X)}$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = \chi(X)$, let $\lambda = wL(X)$, and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $\lambda = wL(X)$ there exists $\mathcal{W} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq \lambda}$ such that $X = \bigcup \mathcal{W}$. For all $x \in X$ let $\{V(x, \alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ be a neighborhood base at x .

Fix $x \in X$. For all $\alpha < \kappa$ there exists $W(x, \alpha) \in \mathcal{W}$ such that $V(x, \alpha) \cap W(x, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\mathcal{W}_x = \{W(x, \alpha) : \alpha < \kappa\}$ and note $|\mathcal{W}_x| \leq \kappa$. For all $\alpha < \kappa$ let $\mathcal{W}(x, \alpha) = \{W \in \mathcal{W}_x : W \cap V(x, \alpha) \neq \emptyset\}$. Then for all $\alpha < \kappa$ we have $W(x, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$ and $|\mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)| \leq |\mathcal{W}_x| \leq \kappa$.

We show $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)$. Let B be an open set containing x . Then $x \in B \cap V(x, \alpha)$ and so there exists $\beta < \kappa$ such that $x \in V(x, \beta) \subseteq B \cap V(x, \alpha)$. As $W(x, \beta) \cap V(x, \beta) \neq \emptyset$, we have $B \cap V(x, \alpha) \cap W(x, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ and therefore $W(x, \beta) \in \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)$. As $B \cap W(x, \beta) \neq \emptyset$ we have $x \in \bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)$.

Now fix $x \in X$ and let $\mathcal{N} = \left\{ \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{\mathcal{M}_\alpha} : \{\mathcal{M}_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\} \in [[\mathcal{W}]^{\leq \kappa}]^{\leq \kappa} \right\}$. Then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq (|\mathcal{W}|^\kappa)^\kappa \leq (\lambda^\kappa)^\kappa = \lambda^\kappa$. We show \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} . First, consider $St(U, \mathcal{U})$ for some $x \in X$ and $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $x \in U$. Then there exists $\alpha < \kappa$ such that $V(x, \alpha) \subseteq U$. For $W \in \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)$ we have $W \cap V(x, \alpha) \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $W \cap U \neq \emptyset$ which implies $W \subseteq St(U, \mathcal{U})$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha) \subseteq St(U, \mathcal{U})$. It follows that $\bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha) \subseteq \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})}$ and that $x \in \bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)} \subseteq \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. Now for all $\alpha < \kappa$ we have $\mathcal{W}(x, \alpha) \in [\mathcal{W}]^{\leq \kappa}$ and so $\bigcap_{\alpha < \kappa} \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{W}(x, \alpha)} \in \mathcal{N}$. This shows \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} and that $\overline{sn}(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq \lambda^\kappa = wL(X)^{\chi(X)}$. \square

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.8 we have a second result of Gotchev that follows from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.9 (Gotchev [14]). *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq wL(X)^{\chi(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$.*

Proof. By Theorems 4.1 and 4.8 we have $|X| \leq \overline{sn}(X)^{\overline{\Delta}(X)} \leq (wL(X)^{\chi(X)})^{\overline{\Delta}(X)} = wL(X)^{\chi(X)\overline{\Delta}(X)}$. \square

Theorem 4.10. $\overline{sn}(X) \leq 2^{2^{wL(X)}}$ for any space X .

Proof. Let $\kappa = wL(X)$ and let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of X . As $\kappa = wL(X)$ there exists $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{U}$ such that $|\mathcal{V}| \leq \kappa$ and $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$. For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, let $\mathcal{V}_U = \{V \in \mathcal{V} : V \cap U \neq \emptyset\}$. Note $\mathcal{V}_U \neq \emptyset$ as $X = \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}}$.

Fix $x \in X$ and suppose $x \in U \in \mathcal{U}$. For an open set W containing x , we have $x \in W \cap U$ and therefore there exists $V \in \mathcal{V}$ such that $W \cap U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. It follows that $V \in \mathcal{V}_U$. Since $W \cap V \neq \emptyset$, we have $W \cap \bigcup \mathcal{V}_U \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \in \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U} \subseteq \overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})}$.

Define $\mathcal{N} = \{\bigcap_{\mathcal{C}' \in \mathcal{C}} \overline{\bigcup \mathcal{C}'} : \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}))\}$. Then $|\mathcal{N}| \leq |\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{V}))| \leq 2^{2^{|\mathcal{V}|}} \leq 2^{2^\kappa}$. We have

$$x \in \bigcap \{\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\} \subseteq \bigcap \{\overline{St(U, \mathcal{U})} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\}.$$

As $\bigcap \{\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{V}_U} : x \in U \in \mathcal{U}\} \in \mathcal{N}$, it follows that \mathcal{N} is a regular star network for \mathcal{U} . Therefore, $\overline{sn}(X) \leq |\mathcal{N}| \leq 2^{2^{wL(X)}}$. \square

By Theorems 4.1 and 4.10, we have a third result of Gotchev that follows from Theorem 4.1.

Corollary 4.11 (Gotchev [14]). *If X is Urysohn then $|X| \leq 2^{\overline{\Delta}(X)2^{wL(X)}}$.*

We conclude with a final observation and a question.

Observation 4.12. It was shown by Basile, Bella, Ridderbos in [2] that if X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq 2^{d(X)s\Delta(X)}$. We remark that this follows immediately from a recent result of Carlson. It was shown in [10] that if X is Hausdorff then $|X| \leq 2^{d(X)w\psi_c(X)}$. (Recall the definition of $w\psi_c(X)$ in 2.16). As $w\psi_c(X) \leq \psi_c(X) \leq s\Delta(X)$, the theorem of Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos follows as a corollary. In fact, Example 2.14 in [9] is an example of a Hausdorff space X such that $2^{d(X)w\psi_c(X)} < 2^{d(X)s\Delta(X)}$. This example X is compact, separable, and Hausdorff with $w\psi_c(X) = \omega$ and $\psi_c(X) = \mathfrak{c}$. Therefore $s\Delta(X) \geq \psi_c(X) = \mathfrak{c}$ and $2^{d(X)w\psi_c(X)} = 2^{\omega \cdot \omega} = \mathfrak{c} < 2^{\mathfrak{c}} = 2^{\omega \cdot \mathfrak{c}} \leq 2^{d(X)s\Delta(X)}$. This shows the improvement is a strict improvement.

In connection with the above observation and noting that $we(X) \leq d(X)$ for any space X , the following question of Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos [2] is still open.

Question 4.13 (Basile, Bella, and Ridderbos [2]). *If X is Hausdorff is $|X| \leq 2^{we(X)s\Delta(X)}$?*

REFERENCES

- [1] O. T. Alas, *Inequalities with topological cardinal invariants*, Collected papers dedicated to Professor Edison Farah on the occasion of his retirement (São Paulo, 1981), 91–97. Universidade de Sao Paulo, Instituto de Matematica e Estatística, Sao Paulo, 1982.
- [2] D. Basile, A. Bella, G.J. Ridderbos, *Weak extent, submetrizability and diagonal degrees*, *Houst. J. Math.* 40 (1) (2014) 255–266.
- [3] A. Bella, *Remarks on the metrizable degree*, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. A (7) 1* (1987), no. 3, 391–396.

- [4] A. Bella, *Some consequences of an easy cardinal inequality involving separating open covers*, Rend. Istit. Mat. Univ. Trieste 21(1989), no.1, 1–5.
- [5] A. Bella, N. Carlson, I. Gotchev, *More on cardinality bounds involving the weak Lindelöf degree*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **46**, no. 4 (2023), 745–760.
- [6] A. Bella and S. Spadaro, *A note on rank 2 diagonals*, Appl. Gen. Topol. 21(1) (2020), 81–85.
- [7] M. Bonanzinga, *Star-Lindelöf and absolutely star-Lindelöf spaces*, Questions Answers Gen. Topology, 16 (1998), no. 2, 79–104.
- [8] R. Z. Buzyakova, *Cardinalities of ccc-spaces with regular G_δ -diagonals*, Topol. Appl. 153 (2006) 1696–1698.
- [9] N. Carlson, *A bound for the density of any Hausdorff space*, Topol. Appl., **345** (2024), 108838, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2024.108838>
- [10] N. Carlson, *New bounds on the cardinality of Hausdorff spaces and regular spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar., **170**, no. 1 (2023), 302–322.
- [11] J. G. Ceder, *Some generalizations of metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. 11 (1961), 105–125.
- [12] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, second ed., 1989.
- [13] J. Ginsburg, G. Woods, *A cardinal inequality for topological spaces involving closed discrete sets*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 64 (1977), 357–360.
- [14] I. Gotchev, *Cardinalities of weakly Lindelöf spaces with regular G_κ -diagonals*, Topol. Appl. (2019), **259**, 80–89.
- [15] I. Gotchev, M. Tkachenko, and V. Tkachuk, *Regular G_δ -diagonals and some upper bounds for cardinality of topological spaces*, Acta Math. Hungar. **149** (2016), no. 2, 324–337.
- [16] I. Juhász, *Cardinal Functions in Topology—Ten Years Later*, second ed., Mathematical Centre Tracts, vol. 123, Mathematisch Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [17] D. Kurepa, *The Cartesian multiplication and the cellularity number*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Belgr.) 2 (1962) 121–139.
- [18] J. Schröder, *Urysohn cellularity and Urysohn spread*, Math. Japon. 38 (1993), 1129–1133.
- [19] W. Xuan, Y. Song, *Some results on semi-stratifiable spaces*, Math. Bohem. 144 no. 2 (2019), 113–123.
- [20] P. Zenor, *On spaces with regular G_δ -diagonals*, Pacific J. Math. 40 (1972), 759–763.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CALIFORNIA LUTHERAN UNIVERSITY, 60 W. OLSEN RD,
MC 3750, THOUSAND OAKS, CA 91360 USA

Email address: ncarlson@callutheran.edu