

# MEAN HAUSDORFF DIMENSION OF SOME INFINITE DIMENSIONAL FRACTALS FOR AMENABLE GROUP ACTIONS

XIANQIANG LI, XIAOFANG LUO\*

**Abstract** This paper is devoted to the calculation of the mean Hausdorff dimension of some infinite dimensional fractal systems for the countable discrete amenable group actions. We mainly consider the self-similar systems, homogeneous systems in the infinite-dimensional torus and infinite-dimensional carpets, which generalizes [23] from actions of a single transformation to amenable group actions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Natural landscapes like mountains, rivers, coastlines, and lakes exhibit fractal characteristics. Fractal geometry has addressed challenges in traditional Euclidean geometry, offering mathematical representations for these phenomena. Dimension is crucial in fractal geometry. Fractal dimensions extend the concept that straight lines are 1-dimensional and surfaces are 2-dimensional to a wide variety of sets. Dimension quantifies the spatial filling around set points. The study of fractal geometry focuses on calculating these dimensions. Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions are extensively used in this context. In addition to calculating these two dimensions of the fractal, we will also compare the values of these two dimensions. In 1967, Furstenberg [7] introduced homogeneous sets, establishing that their Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions are equal. In 1989, Falconer [6] introduced self-similar sets, demonstrating their equality in Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions. A notable example where Hausdorff and Minkowski dimensions diverge is the Bedford-McMullen carpet, detailed further in references [1] and [18]. Recently, Tsukamoto [23] has associated these classical fractals with infinite-dimensional cases.

Dimension theory has seen a proliferation of concepts, notably mean dimension introduced by Gromov [8] in 1999. This dimension is particularly valuable for analyzing the dynamic properties of geometric spaces and spaces with harmonic maps, especially in dynamical systems characterized by infinite entropy and topological complexity. Lindenstrauss and Weiss [16] demonstrated practical applications of mean dimension in dynamical systems in 2000. They introduced metric mean dimension, akin to a mean Minkowski dimension. Liang [17] proposed conditional mean dimension concepts for factor maps between topological dynamical systems, providing inequalities to estimate mean dimension in extension systems. Wang [24]

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A80; 37B40; 37C85; 54F45.

*Key words and phrases.* Amenable group action; carpet; mean Hausdorff dimension; metric mean dimension; infinite dimensional torus; self-similarity; topological entropy.

\*Corresponding author: Xiaofang Luo .

introduced weighted mean topological dimension in dynamical systems and suggested that the weighted metric mean dimension serves as an upper bound for weighted mean dimension. Tsukamoto [22] explored mean dimension with potential, analogous to topological pressure, and developed a double variational principle. He also introduced mean topological/Minkowski/Hausdorff dimensions with potential. Wang and Chen [25] introduced mean dimension with sub-additive potential, along with metric mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension under similar conditions. They derived a double variational principle for systems with sub-additive potentials.

Meanwhile, Lindenstrauss and Weiss established that all conclusions in [16] apply to discrete amenable groups, particularly  $\mathbb{Z}^d$ . Since then, research into the mean dimension of actions by amenable groups has garnered significant attention. Recently, Jin, Park, and Qiao [9] considered embedding problem for amenable group actions, they devised a minimal system with a mean dimension of  $d/2$  that cannot be embedded in  $([0, 1]^d)^G$  for any positive integer  $d$ . Based on the tiling property of amenable groups, Shi and Zhang [20] proposed that the induced transformation on the set of probability measures endowed with the weak\* topology exhibits infinite topological mean dimension if and only if the system for countably infinite discrete amenable group actions has positive topological entropy, Chen, Dou, and Zheng [2] investigate the variational principle between metric mean dimension and rate-distortion functions for countably infinite amenable group actions, extending the earlier work of Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [15] from  $Z$ -actions to amenable group actions. Li [13] introduced the concepts of upper measure-theoretic mean dimensions and upper metric mean dimensions for amenable group actions, establishing a variational principle between them. He also defined upper metric mean dimensions concerning pseudo-orbits and proved that for systems of finitely generated countable discrete amenable group actions with a symmetric generating set, the upper metric mean dimension concerning pseudo-orbits equals the classical upper metric mean dimension of the system. Wang, Chen, and Zhou [26] studied metric mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension of subshifts for actions of polynomial growth groups. They demonstrated that the metric mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension of subshifts concerning the lower rank subgroup are proportional to its topological entropy multiplied by the growth rate of the subgroup. The mean Hausdorff dimension, introduced by Lindenstrauss and Tsukamoto [14], serves as a dynamical analog of Hausdorff dimension and establishes a connection between mean dimension and measure theory. For further exploration of amenable group actions, additional references include [3–5], [10–12], [16] and [20].

Naturally, we wonder if the mean Hausdorff dimension and metric mean dimension of the infinite-dimensional fractals will be equal or not in the frame of amenable group actions. In our paper, we mainly study the infinite-dimensional homogeneous sets, self-similar sets for countable discrete amenable group actions, and

study infinite-dimensional Bedford-McMullen carpet for finitely generated group actions, since we need the special tool “length” of this group to get our results, which extends recent results by Tsukamoto [23]. The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we introduce mean dimension quantities for the amenable group actions and recall some basic properties. In Section 3, we calculate the mean Hausdorff dimension and metric mean dimension of infinite dimensional self-similar systems and homogeneous systems for countable discrete amenable group actions respectively. In Section 4, we show two examples for which the mean Hausdorff dimension and metric mean dimension could be equal and not equal. In Section 5, we calculate the mean Hausdorff dimension and metric mean dimension of infinite dimensional carpets for finitely generated amenable group actions.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

In the paper, let  $\mathbb{N}$  denote the set of natural numbers and  $\#(\cdot)$  denote the cardinality.

Let  $G$  be a countable discrete infinite group and let  $Fin(G)$  denote the set of all finite non-empty subsets of  $G$ . One says that a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of non-empty finite subsets of  $G$  is a **Følner sequence** for  $G$  if one has

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|F_n \setminus gF_n|}{|F_n|} = 0.$$

The group  $G$  is said to be **amenable** if it admits a Følner sequence. It is well known that  $\mathbb{Z}$  is amenable.

Now we review some results of countable amenable groups.

**Proposition 2.1.** *Suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are countable amenable groups. Then the group  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  is also amenable.*

**Lemma 2.2.** *[8, 14, 19](Ornstein-Weiss lemma) Let  $G$  be a countable amenable group and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence for  $G$ . Suppose that  $h : Fin(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a real-valued map satisfying the following conditions:*

(1)  *$h$  is subadditive, i.e., one has*

$$h(E \cup F) \leq h(E) + h(F) \text{ for all } E, F \in Fin(G);$$

(2)  *$h$  is  $G$ -invariant, i.e., one has*

$$h(Fg) = h(F) \text{ for all } g \in G \text{ and } F \in Fin(G).$$

*Then the limit*

$$\lambda = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{h(F_n)}{|F_n|}$$

*exists and one has  $0 \leq \lambda < \infty$ . Moreover, the limit  $\lambda$  does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence  $\mathcal{F}$  for  $G$ .*

Now we recall some basic definitions about several dimensions. Let  $X$  be a compact metric space with a metric  $d$ . Take a  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be an  $\varepsilon$ -**embedding** if  $\text{Diam} f^{-1}(y) < \varepsilon$  for every  $y \in Y$ . We define the  $\varepsilon$ -**width dimension**  $\text{Widim}_\varepsilon(X, d)$  as the minimum  $n > 0$  such that there exists an  $\varepsilon$ -embedding  $f : X \rightarrow P$  from  $X$  to some  $n$ -dimensional simplicial complex  $P$ . Recall that the **topological dimension** of  $X$  is given by

$$\dim(X) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \text{Widim}_\varepsilon(X, d).$$

Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group. By a  $(X, G)$  we mean a  $G$ -system that  $X$  is a compact metric space and  $\Gamma : G \times X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \rightarrow gx$  is a continuous mapping satisfying:

- (1)  $\Gamma(1_G, x) = x$  for every  $x \in X$ ;
- (2)  $\Gamma(g_1, \Gamma(g_2, x)) = \Gamma(g_1 g_2, x)$  for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$  and  $x \in X$ .

Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -system with a metric  $d$ . For  $F \in \text{Fin}(G)$  and  $x, y \in X$ , we set

$$d_F(x, y) = \max_{g \in F} d(gx, gy).$$

The **mean dimension** of  $(X, G)$  is defined by

$$\text{mdim}(X, G) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Widim}_\varepsilon(X, d_{F_n})}{|F_n|} \right).$$

where  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Følner sequence of  $G$ . It's well known that the limit always exists, and the value  $\text{mdim}(X, G)$  is independent of the choice of the Følner sequence of  $G$ .

Let  $(X, G)$  a  $G$ -system with  $d$ . For  $F \in \text{Fin}(G)$ , we define a metric  $d_F$  on  $X$  by

$$d_F(x, y) = \max_{g \in F} d(gx, gy).$$

For any positive number  $\varepsilon$ , the  $\varepsilon$ -**covering number**  $\#(X, d_F, \varepsilon)$  is defined by

$$\#(X, d_F, \varepsilon) = \min \left\{ n \geq 1 \mid \exists \text{ open covers } \{U_1, \dots, U_n\} \text{ of } X \text{ satisfying } \begin{array}{l} \text{Diam}(U_k, d_F) < \varepsilon \\ \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n \end{array} \right\}.$$

We define the  $\varepsilon$ -**scale Minkowski dimension** of  $X$  by

$$\dim_M(X, d, \varepsilon) = \frac{\log \#(X, d, \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

The **upper and lower Minkowski dimensions** of  $(X, d)$  are defined by

$$\overline{\dim}_M(X, d) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dim_M(X, d, \varepsilon), \quad \underline{\dim}_M(X, d) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dim_M(X, d, \varepsilon).$$

When the above two values coincide, it is called the **Minkowski dimension** of  $(X, d)$  and denoted by  $\dim_M(X, d)$ .

Write

$$\dim_M(X, d_F, \varepsilon) = \frac{\log \#(X, d_F, \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define

$$S(X, G, d, \varepsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|}.$$

The limit always exists and does not depend on the choice of the Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . The **topological entropy** of  $(X, G)$  is defined by

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, G) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} S(X, G, d, \varepsilon).$$

The **upper and lower metric mean dimension** are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X, G, d) &= \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(X, G, d, \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{M}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right), \\ \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X, G, d) &= \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{S(X, G, d, \varepsilon)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{M}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

When the above two values coincide, it is called the **metric mean dimension** of  $(X, G)$  and denoted by  $\dim_{\text{M}}(X, G, d)$ .

For  $s \geq 0$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we define  $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^s(X, d)$  by

$$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^s(X, d) = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (\text{Diam } E_i)^s \mid X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i \text{ with } \text{Diam } E_i < \varepsilon (\forall i \geq 1) \right\}.$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$ , write

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d, \varepsilon) = \sup \{s \geq 0 \mid \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^s(X, d) \geq 1\}.$$

The **Hausdorff dimension** of  $(X, d)$  is defined by

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \dim_{\text{H}}(X, d, \varepsilon).$$

For  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d, \varepsilon) \leq \dim_{\text{M}}(X, d, \varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d) \leq \underline{\text{dim}}_{\text{M}}(X, d) \leq \overline{\text{dim}}_{\text{M}}(X, d).$$

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ . The **upper and lower mean Hausdorff dimensions** of  $(X, G)$  are defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right), \\ \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right). \end{aligned}$$

These values depend on the choice of the Følner sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . When the above two values coincide, the common value is called the **mean Hausdorff dimension** and denoted by  $\text{mdim}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d)$ .

By  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  we define the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ . To get the comparative relationship between the mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension of  $G$ -action, we need some preliminary lemmas:

**Lemma 2.3.** [14] *There exist a positive number  $L$ , a positive integer  $M$  and an  $L$ -Lipschitz map  $\varphi : (K, d) \rightarrow ([0, 1]^M, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  such that if  $x, y \in K$  satisfy  $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|_\infty < 1$  then  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ .*

**Lemma 2.4.** [14] *Let  $N$  be a positive integer and  $\varepsilon, \delta, s, \tau, L$  positive numbers with  $4^N L^{s+\tau} \delta^\tau < 1$ . Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with  $\dim_{\text{H}}(K, d, \delta) < s$ . Suppose there exists an  $L$ -Lipschitz map  $\varphi : (K, d) \rightarrow ([0, 1]^N, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  such that if  $x, y \in K$  satisfy  $\|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)\|_\infty < 1$  then  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . Then  $\text{Widim}_\varepsilon(K, d) \leq s + \tau$ .*

The following theorem shows the relationship of mean dimension, mean Hausdorff dimension and metric mean dimension for the amenable group actions.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group. Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -system with a metric  $d$ . Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ , then*

$$\text{mdim}(X, G) \leq \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) \leq \overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) \leq \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X, G, d).$$

*Proof.* We only show  $\text{mdim}(X, G) \leq \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d)$ , the right-hand inequalities are obvious. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We can assume  $\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) < \infty$ . We take  $\tau > 0$  and  $s > \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d)$ . Let  $n \geq 1$  we define an  $L$ -Lipschitz map

$$\varphi_{F_n} : (X, d_{F_n}) \rightarrow ([0, 1]^M)^{F_n}, \|\cdot\|_\infty$$

by  $\varphi_{F_n}(x) = (\varphi(g_1x), \varphi(g_2x), \dots, \varphi(g_{|F_n|}x))$ , where  $F_n = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_{|F_n|}\}$ . By Lemma 2.3, if  $x, y \in X$  satisfy  $\|\varphi_{F_n}(x) - \varphi_{F_n}(y)\|_\infty < 1$  then  $d_{F_n}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ .

Choose a  $\delta > 0$  satisfying  $4^M L^{s+\tau} \delta^\tau < 1$ . It follows from  $\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) < s$  that there exists  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \dots \rightarrow \infty$  satisfying  $\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d_{F_{n_k}}, d) < s|F_{n_k}|$ . Note that

$$4^{M|F_{n_k}|} L^{s|F_{n_k}|+\tau|F_{n_k}|} \delta^{\tau|F_{n_k}|} = (4^M L^{s+\tau} \delta^\tau)^{|F_{n_k}|} < 1.$$

Then we can apply Lemma 2.4 to the space  $(X, d_{F_{n_k}})$  and the map  $\varphi_{F_{n_k}}$ , which implies that

$$\text{Widim}_\varepsilon(X, d_{F_{n_k}}) \leq s|F_{n_k}| + \tau|F_{n_k}|.$$

Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{Widim}_\varepsilon(X, d_{F_n})}{|F_n|} \leq s + \tau.$$

The right-hand side is independent of  $\varepsilon$ . Thus  $\text{mdim}(X, G) \leq s + \tau$ . Let  $\tau \rightarrow 0$  and  $s \rightarrow \underline{\text{mdim}}(X, \{F_n\}, d)$ . This implies the desired result.  $\square$

### 3. SELF-SIMILAR SYSTEMS AND HOMOGENEOUS SYSTEMS

**3.1. Self-Similar Systems.** Let  $\ell^\infty = \left\{ (x_g)_{g \in G} \in \mathbb{R}^G \mid \sup_{g \in G} |x_g| < \infty \right\}$  be the space of bounded sequences with the norm  $\|x\|_\infty := \sup_{g \in G} |x_g|$  for  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}$ , where  $G$  is a countable discrete amenable group. We assume that  $\ell^\infty$  is endowed with the weak\* topology as the dual space of  $\ell^1$ . We can define the shift map  $\sigma : G \times \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  by

$$\sigma^h((x_g)_{g \in G}) = (x_{gh})_{g \in G}, \text{ for any } h \in G.$$

This is continuous concerning the weak\* topology. We will consider a certain self-similar set of  $\ell^\infty$  which is  $\sigma$ -invariant.

Let  $(\Omega, G, T)$  be a  $G$ -system, where the continuous map  $T : G \times \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  is defined by  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ . Suppose that for each  $\omega = (\omega_g)_{g \in G} \in \Omega$ , there is a point  $H(\omega) = (H(\omega)_g)_{g \in G} \in \ell^\infty$  such that the map  $H : \Omega \rightarrow \ell^\infty, \omega \mapsto H(\omega)$  is continuous with respect to the weak\* topology of  $\ell^\infty$ , and  $\sigma^g(H(\omega)) = H(T^g(\omega))$ , for any  $g \in G$  and any  $\omega \in \Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  is compact, we have

$$\sup_{\omega \in \Omega} \|H(\omega)\|_\infty < \infty.$$

Fix  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  with  $0 < c < 1$ . For every  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we can define a contracting similarity transformation  $S_\omega : \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  by

$$S_\omega(x) = cx + H(\omega).$$

Then for any  $g \in G$ , we have

$$\sigma^g(S_\omega(x)) = S_{T^g\omega}(\sigma^g(x)).$$

Now we will define the self-similar system of amenable group actions.

**Definition 3.1.** Let  $(X, G, F)$  be a  $G$ -system and  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$ , where the continuous map  $F : G \times \ell^\infty \rightarrow \ell^\infty$  is defined by  $(g, x) \mapsto gx$ . We call  $(Y, G, F)$  a **self-similar system** if  $Y = \cup_{i \in \Omega} S_i(F)$ , where  $\{S_i\}_{i \in \Omega}$  is a family of contracting similarity transformations and  $F^g(Y) \subset Y$  for any  $g \in G$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** There uniquely exists a self-similar dynamical system  $(X, G, \sigma)$  in  $\ell^\infty$ , where

$$X = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} S_\omega(X),$$

and  $\{S_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  is a family of contracting similarity transformations.

*Proof.* For the proof one may refer to Proposition 4.1 of [23], we omit it here.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group. Let  $(\Omega, G, T)$  be a  $G$ -system. For the self-similar dynamical system  $(X, G, \sigma)$  defined by a family of contractions  $\{S_\omega\}_{\omega \in \Omega}$  in  $\ell^\infty$ , where

$$\ell^\infty = \left\{ (x_g)_{g \in G} \in \mathbb{R}^G \mid \sup_{g \in G} |x_g| < \infty \right\}$$

is the space of bounded sequences with the norm  $\|x\|_\infty := \sup_{g \in G} |x_g|$  for  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}$ , and  $\ell^\infty$  is endowed with the weak\* topology as the dual space of  $\ell^1$ . We defined a metric  $d$  on  $X$  by

$$d((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |x_g - y_g|,$$

where  $(\alpha_g)_{g \in G} \subset (0, +\infty)$  satisfies

$$\alpha_{1_G} = 1, \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty.$$

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ , then

$$\text{mdim}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) = \text{mdim}_M(X, G, d) \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log(1/c)}.$$

Here  $c$  is the ratio of contracting similarity transformation  $S_\omega$ .

The following result of Tsukamoto [16] is an important lemma for the proof of Theorem 3.3. This is a finite accuracy version of a theorem of Falconer [6].

**Lemma 3.4.** [23] *For any real numbers  $0 < \varepsilon, a, \tau < 1$ , there exists  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon, a, \tau) > 0$  such that the following statement holds true. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space such that for every closed ball  $B \subset X$  of radius  $\varepsilon$  there exists a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow B$  satisfying*

$$d(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \geq a\varepsilon d(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X).$$

Then

$$\dim_H(X, d, \delta_0) \geq \tau \cdot \frac{\log \#(X, d, 9\varepsilon)}{\log\left(\frac{1}{a\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

We recall that in Theorem 3.3  $X$  is compact, then we can find  $D \geq 1$  such that

$$\text{Diam}(X, d) < D.$$

For  $n \geq 1$  we define a metric  $d_{F_n}$  on  $X$  by

$$d_{F_n}(x, y) = \max_{h \in F_n} d(\sigma^h(x), \sigma^h(y)).$$

**Claim 3.5.** *Let  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $n$  be a natural number. Given a point  $q \in X$ , there will exist a map  $\varphi : X \rightarrow B_{d_{F_n}}(q, \varepsilon)$  satisfying*

$$d_{F_n}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) \geq \frac{c\varepsilon}{D} d_{F_n}(x, y).$$

Here  $B_{d_{F_n}}(q, \varepsilon)$  is the closed  $\varepsilon$ -ball centered at  $q$  with respect to the metric  $d_{F_n}$ .

*Proof.* Given a point  $\omega \in \Omega$ , we have

$$d(S_\omega(x), S_\omega(y)) = d(cx + H(\omega), cy + H(\omega)) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |cx_g - cy_g| = cd(x, y).$$



Similarly, we know

$$d_{F_n}(S_\omega(x), S_\omega(y)) = cd_{F_n}(x, y).$$

Choose a natural number  $k$  which satisfies  $c^k D \leq \varepsilon < c^{k-1} D$ . Since  $X = \bigcup_{\omega \in \Omega} S_\omega(X)$ , we can find a sequence  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_k \in \Omega$  satisfying

$$q \in S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_k}(X).$$

We define a map  $\varphi := S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_k} : X \rightarrow X$ . Then for any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$d_{F_n}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = c^k d_{F_n}(x, y) \leq c^k D \leq \varepsilon,$$

Hence  $\varphi(X) \subset B_{d_{F_n}}(q, \varepsilon)$ . Since  $\varepsilon < c^{k-1} D$  which implies  $c^k > \frac{c\varepsilon}{D}$ , then

$$d_{F_n}(\varphi(x), \varphi(y)) = c^k d_{F_n}(x, y) \geq \frac{c\varepsilon}{D} d_{F_n}(x, y).$$

□

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $(X, G, \sigma)$  and  $(\Omega, G, T)$  be two  $G$ -systems in Theorem 3.3, then we have*

$$\text{mdim}_M(X, G, d) = \text{mdim}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2.5, we have

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) \leq \overline{\text{mdim}}_M(X, G, d).$$

We will prove the reverse inequality.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon, \tau < 1$ . By Lemma 3.4 and Claim 3.5, we can find  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\varepsilon, c/D, \tau) > 0$  such that for any natural number  $n \geq 1$

$$\dim_H(X, d_{F_n}, \delta_0) \geq \tau \cdot \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}, 9\varepsilon)}{\log\left(\frac{D}{c\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

Then

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_H(X, d_{F_n}, \delta_0)}{|F_n|} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau \cdot \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}, 9\varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log\left(\frac{D}{c\varepsilon}\right)}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  and  $\tau \rightarrow 1$ , we get

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) \geq \overline{\text{mdim}}_M(X, G, d).$$

□

**Theorem 3.7.** *Let  $(X, G, \sigma)$  and  $(\Omega, G, T)$  be two  $G$ -systems in Theorem 3.3, then we have*

$$\text{mdim}_M(X, G, d) \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log(1/c)}.$$

Here  $h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)$  is the topological entropy of  $(\Omega, G, T)$ .

*Proof.* Assume that  $\rho$  is a metric on  $\Omega$ . For  $n \geq 1$  we define a metric  $\rho_{F_n}$  on  $\Omega$  by

$$\rho_{F_n}(\omega, \omega') = \max_{g \in F_n} \rho(T^g \omega, T^g \omega'), \text{ for any } \omega, \omega' \in \Omega.$$

Given  $\omega, \omega' \in \Omega$ , for any  $x, y \in X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(S_\omega(x), S_{\omega'}(y)) &= \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |cx_g + H(\omega)_g - cy_g - H(\omega')_g| \\ &\leq cd(x, y) + d(H(\omega), H(\omega')). \end{aligned}$$

Then for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$d_{F_n}(S_\omega(x), S_{\omega'}(y)) \leq cd_{F_n}(x, y) + d_{F_n}(H(\omega), H(\omega')).$$

Recall that  $\text{Diam}(X, d_{F_n}) = \text{Diam}(X, d) < D$ , by repeatedly applying above inequality, for  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n, \omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_n \in \Omega$  and  $x, y \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} &d_{F_n}(S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_n}(x), S_{\omega'_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega'_n}(y)) \\ &\leq c^n d_{F_n}(x, y) + \sum_{i=1}^n c^{i-1} d_{F_n}(H(\omega_i), H(\omega'_i)) \\ &\leq c^n d_{F_n}(x, y) + \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{F_n}(H(\omega_i), H(\omega'_i))}{1-c} \\ &< c^n D + \frac{\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} d_{F_n}(H(\omega_i), H(\omega'_i))}{1-c}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\Omega$  is a compact metric space, for any  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$ , we can choose  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\rho(\omega, \omega') < \delta$ , then  $d(g(\omega), g(\omega')) < (1-c)\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ . Similarly, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  if  $\rho_{F_n}(\omega, \omega') < \delta$ , then  $d_{F_n}(H(\omega), H(\omega')) < (1-c)\frac{\varepsilon}{6}$ .

We also take  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$Dc^m < \frac{\varepsilon}{6} \leq Dc^{m-1}.$$

Now fix a point  $q \in X$  and let  $\Omega_{n,\delta} \subset \Omega$  be a  $\delta$ -spanning set with respect to  $\rho_{F_n}$  with  $|\Omega_{n,\delta}| = \#(\Omega, \rho_{F_n}, \delta)$ . For any  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \Omega$ , there exists  $\omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_m \in \Omega_{n,\delta}$  satisfying  $\rho_{F_n}(\omega_i, \omega'_i) < \delta$ , then  $d_{F_n}(H(\omega_i), H(\omega'_i)) < (1-c)\varepsilon/6$ . So for any  $x \in X$ , we have

$$d_{F_n}(S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_m}(x), S_{\omega'_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega'_m}(p)) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.$$

Since  $X = \bigcup_{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_m \in \Omega} S_{\omega_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega_m}(X)$  which implies

$$\{S_{\omega'_1} \circ \dots \circ S_{\omega'_m}(p) \mid \omega'_1, \dots, \omega'_m \in \Omega_{n,\delta}\}$$

is a  $\varepsilon/3$ -spanning set of  $X$  with respect to  $d_{F_n}$ . Then we have

$$\#(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon) \leq |\Omega_{n,\delta}|^m = \{\#(\Omega, \rho_{F_n}, \delta)\}^m.$$

Hence

$$\frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(\frac{6D}{c\varepsilon})} \leq \frac{\log \#(\Omega, \rho_{F_n}, \delta)}{|F_n| \log(1/c)},$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{mdim}_M(X, G, d) &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_M(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right) \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log\left(\frac{\delta D}{c\varepsilon}\right)} \right) \\
&\leq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(\Omega, \rho_{F_n}, \delta)}{|F_n| \log(1/c)} \right) \\
&\leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log(1/c)}.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 3.7, we get Theorem 3.3.

**3.2. Homogeneous systems.** If  $X$  is a compact metric space,  $G$  is a countable discrete amenable group.  $H : G \times X \rightarrow X, (g, x) \mapsto H^g x$  and  $T : \mathbb{N} \times X \rightarrow X, (n, x) \mapsto T^n x$  are continuous maps with  $H^g \circ T^n = T^n \circ H^g$  for any  $h \in G$  and any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we call  $(X, G, H, T)$  a  $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -actions.

Let  $(X, G, H, T)$  be a  $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -actions with a metric  $d$  on  $X$ . Given a subset  $\Omega \subset G \times \mathbb{N}$ , we can define a metric  $d_\Omega^{H, T}$  on  $X$  by

$$d_\Omega^{H, T}(x, y) = \sup_{(g, w) \in \Omega} d(H^g T^w x, H^g T^w y).$$

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$  and  $F'_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \subset \mathbb{N}$ . If we define

$$d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{H, T}(x, y) = \max_{g \in F_n, w \in F'_n} d(H^g T^w x, H^g T^w y),$$

and define the map  $h : \Omega \in \text{Fin}(G \times \mathbb{N}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$h(\Omega) = \log \# \left( X, d_\Omega^{H, T}, \varepsilon \right).$$

Obviously, the map  $h$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2. Let  $F_n \times F'_n = \{(u, v) \mid u \in F_n \text{ and } v \in F'_n\}$ , then  $\{(F_n \times F'_n)\}_{n \geq 1}$  is a Følner sequence in  $G \times \mathbb{N}$  and  $|F_n \times F'_n| = n \cdot |F_n|$ , by Lemma 2.2,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{H, T}, \varepsilon)}{n \cdot |F_n|}$  exists. Since  $\log \#(X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{H, T}, \varepsilon)$  is also monotone in  $\varepsilon$ , we define the **topological entropy** of  $(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, H, T)$  by

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, H, T) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{H, T}, \varepsilon)}{n \cdot |F_n|} \right).$$

We also have

$$h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, H, T) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{\substack{m \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n \times F'_m}^{H, T}, \varepsilon)}{m \cdot |F_n|} \right).$$

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group. Suppose  $\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  is a circle with a metric  $\rho$  defined by*

$$\rho(x, y) = \min_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |x - y - n|,$$

*and  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  is the infinite-dimensional torus, a metric  $d$  of  $(\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  is given by*

$$d\left((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}\right) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \rho(x_g, y_g),$$

*Where  $(\alpha_g)_{g \in G} \subset (0, +\infty)$  satisfies*

$$\alpha_{1_G} = 1, \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty.$$

*The shift map  $\sigma : G \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  is defined by*

$$\sigma^h\left((x_g)_{g \in G}\right) = (x_{gh})_{g \in G}, \text{ for any } h \in G.$$

*Let  $b \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $b > 1$ , the map  $T_b : \mathbb{N} \times (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  is defined by*

$$T_b^m((x_g)_{g \in G}) = (b^m x_g)_{g \in G}.$$

*Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ , if there is a closed subset  $X \subset (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  satisfies that  $T_b^m(X) \subset X$  for any natural number  $m$  and  $\sigma^h(X) \subset X$  for any  $h \in G$ , then we have*

$$\text{mdim}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) = \text{mdim}_M(X, G, d) = \frac{h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b)}{\log b}.$$

*Here  $h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b)$  is the topological entropy of the  $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -actions  $(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b)$ .*

In order to prove Theorem 3.8, the following auxiliary tool is needed.

**Lemma 3.9.** *[23] If  $X$  is a compact metric space with a metric  $d$ , and  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is a Lipschitz continuous map with Lipschitz constant  $b > 1$ . Let  $t, \delta, \varepsilon$  be positive numbers satisfying*

$$0 < t < 1, \quad 0 < \delta < 1, \quad \delta^{1-t} < \varepsilon,$$

*then we have*

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_N^T, \varepsilon)}{N} \leq \frac{\log b}{t} \cdot \dim_H(X, d, \delta).$$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $(X, G, S, T)$  be a  $G \times \mathbb{N}$ -actions with a metric  $d$ , and there exists  $b > 1$  satisfy that*

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq b \cdot d(x, y) \text{ for any } x, y \in X.$$

*Then we have*

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_H(X, \{F_n\}, d) \geq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, S, T)}{\log b}.$$

*Proof.* It is obvious that  $d_{F_n}^S(Tx, Ty) \leq b \cdot d_{F_n}^S(x, y)$  for any natural number  $n$ . Let  $0 < \delta, t, \varepsilon < 1$  be positive numbers with  $\delta^{1-t} < \varepsilon$ .

From Lemma 3.9, for any natural number  $n > 0$

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{S,T}, \varepsilon \right)}{N} \leq \frac{\log b}{t} \cdot \dim_{\text{H}} \left( X, d_{F_n}^S, \delta \right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{S,T}, \varepsilon \right)}{N \cdot |F_n|} \leq \frac{\log b}{t} \cdot \frac{\dim_{\text{H}} \left( X, d_{F_n}^S, \delta \right)}{|F_n|}.$$

Letting  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , we have

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{S,T}, \varepsilon \right)}{N \cdot |F_n|} \leq \frac{\log b}{t} \cdot \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{H}} \left( X, d_{F_n}^S, \delta \right)}{|F_n|},$$

which implies

$$\lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_n}^{S,T}, \varepsilon \right)}{N \cdot |F_n|} \leq \frac{\log b}{t} \cdot \underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}} \left( X, \{F_n\}, d \right).$$

Letting  $t \rightarrow 1$  and  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get the desire result

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}} \left( X, \{F_n\}, d \right) \geq \frac{h_{\text{top}} \left( X, G \times \mathbb{N}, S, T \right)}{\log b}.$$

□

**Proposition 3.11.** *In the setting of Theorem 3.8, we have*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}} \left( X, G, d \right) \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}} \left( X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b \right)}{\log b}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose a finite nonempty subset  $S$  of  $G$ , such that

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and let  $c = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty$ .

For any natural number  $N > 0$  and for any two points  $u, v \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$  satisfy  $\max_{0 \leq k < N} \rho(b^k u, b^k v) < \frac{1}{2cb}$ , then we have  $\rho(u, v) < \frac{1}{2cb^N}$ . Then for any  $x, y \in (\mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})^G$  with  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}, y = (y_g)_{g \in G}$ , if we have  $d_{S \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}(x, y) < \frac{1}{2cb}$  which implies for every  $g \in S$ , we have

$$\max_{0 \leq k < N} \rho(b^k x_g, b^k y_g) < \frac{1}{2cb},$$

then

$$\rho(x_g, y_g) < \frac{1}{2cb^N}.$$

We choose a natural number  $N$  such that  $b^{-N} \leq \varepsilon < b^{-N+1}$ , then

$$d(x, y) \leq \sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g \rho(x_g, y_g) + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g < \frac{1}{2cb^N} \sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g < \frac{1}{2b^N} + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g < \varepsilon.$$

Hence for any natural number  $n \geq 1$ ,  $d_{SF_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}(x, y) < \frac{1}{2cb}$  implies that  $d_{F_n}^{\sigma}(x, y) < \varepsilon$ . Therefore

$$\#(X, d_{F_n}^{\sigma}, \varepsilon) \leq \# \left( X, d_{SF_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{M}}(X, d_{F_n}^{\sigma}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(X, d_{F_n}^{\sigma}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{SF_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{SF_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right)}{|SF_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \cdot \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{SF_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right)}{|SF_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} \\ &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right)}{(N-1)|F_n| \log b}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used a fact that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} = 1$ .

Since  $N \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{M}}(X, d_{F_n}^{\sigma}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right) &\leq \lim_{\substack{N \rightarrow \infty \\ n \rightarrow \infty}} \frac{\log \# \left( X, d_{F_n \times F'_N}^{\sigma, T_b}, \frac{1}{2cb} \right)}{|F_n| \cdot N \log b} \cdot \frac{N}{N-1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\log b} \cdot h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b), \end{aligned}$$

This shows  $\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X, G, d) \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(X, G \times \mathbb{N}, \sigma, T_b)}{\log b}$ .  $\square$

By Theorem 3.10 and Proposition 3.11, we get Theorem 3.8.

#### 4. TWO EXAMPLES

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $K = \{0\} \cup \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \geq 1\} = \{0, 1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$ . Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group, we consider  $K^G$ . The full  $G$ -shift  $\sigma$  on  $K^G$  is the  $G$ -action  $(K^G, \sigma)$  defined by*

$$\sigma : G \times K^G \rightarrow K^G, (h, (x_g)_{g \in G}) \mapsto (x_{gh})_{g \in G},$$

and the metric  $d$  on  $K^G$  is defined by

$$d((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |x_g - y_g|,$$

where  $(\alpha_g)_{g \in G} \subset (0, +\infty)$  satisfies

$$\alpha_{1_G} = 1, \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty.$$

Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ , then

$$\text{mdim}_H(K^G, \{F_n\}, d) = 0, \quad \text{mdim}_M(K^G, G, d) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

To calculate the mean Hausdorff dimension, we give a main technical lemma which is proved by Tsukamoto [23].

**Lemma 4.2.** [23] *Let  $\varepsilon$  and  $s$  be positive numbers with  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}$ . Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with a Borel probability measure  $\mu$ . If for any  $x \in X$  there exists a Borel subset  $A \subset X$  with  $x \in A$  satisfying*

$$0 < \text{Diam } A < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}, \quad \mu(A) \geq (\text{Diam } A)^s,$$

then  $\dim_H(X, d, \varepsilon) \leq 2s$ .

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(K^G, G, \sigma)$  be the  $G$ -system in Theorem 4.1, then we have*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_H(K^G, \{F_n\}, d) = 0.$$

*Proof.* Set  $X = K^G$ . We define a probability measure  $\nu$  on  $K$  by

$$\nu(\{\mu\}) = a\mu^2 \quad (u \neq 0), \quad \nu(\{0\}) = \frac{1}{2},$$

where  $a$  is a positive number satisfying

$$a \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2^2} + \frac{1}{3^2} + \cdots \right) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

It is easy to know  $a = \frac{3}{\pi^2} < 1$ . We consider a Borel probability measure on  $X$  which is defined by  $\mu = \nu^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ .

Let  $c = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g > 1$ . Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{6}$  and  $k$  be a natural number. We can find a positive number  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, k)$  satisfying

$$\delta < \min \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{12}, \frac{a^k}{(1+c)^3}, \frac{1}{1+c} \left( \frac{1}{2} \right)^{\frac{k}{3}+1} \right). \quad (1)$$

Take  $S \in \text{Fin}(G)$  satisfying

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g < \delta^{k^k}.$$

**Claim 4.4.** For any  $x \in X$  and any natural number  $n$ , there exists a Borel subset  $A \subset X$  with  $x \in A$  satisfying

$$0 < \text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}, \quad \mu(A) \geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{6}{k}|SF_n|}.$$

*Proof.* Take a point  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}$  with  $x_g \in K$ . For this  $x$  we consider a partition

$$SF_n = I_0 \cup I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \cdots \cup I_k \cup I_{k+1} \quad (\text{disjoint union})$$

by

$$I_0 = \{g \mid x_g > \delta\}, \quad I_k = \left\{g \mid \delta^{k^m} < x_g \leq \delta^{k^{m-1}}\right\} \quad (1 \leq m \leq k),$$

$$I_{k+1} = \left\{g \mid x_g \leq \delta^{k^k}\right\}.$$

There exists  $k_0 \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, k\}$  satisfying

$$|I_{k_0}| \leq \frac{|SF_n|}{k+1} < \frac{|SF_n|}{k}. \quad (2)$$

Set

$$r = c\delta^{k^{k_0}}.$$

We have  $r \leq \delta < \varepsilon/(12c)$ . We define  $A \subset X$  by

$$A = \left\{(y_g)_{g \in G} \in K^G \mid x_g - r \leq y_g \leq x_g \text{ for all } g \in SF_n\right\}.$$

This is not a single point which implies its diameter is positive. It is obvious that  $x \in A$  and

$$\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}) \leq cr + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g < cr + \delta^{k^k} \leq (1+c)r < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}.$$

Now we will estimate

$$\mu(A) = \prod_{g \in SF_n} \nu([x_g - r, x_g]).$$

Let  $g \in SF_n$ , we need to consider three situations.

Case 1. If  $g \in I_m$  with  $1 \leq m < k_0$ , then  $x_g > \delta^{k^m}$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([x_g - r, x_g]) &\geq \nu(\{x_g\}) = ax_g^2 \\ &> a\delta^{2k^m} \\ &\geq a\delta^{2k^{k_0-1}} = a(\delta^{k^{k_0}})^{\frac{2}{k}} = ar^{\frac{2}{k}} \\ &> ((1+c)r)^{\frac{3}{k}} \quad (\text{by } r \leq \delta < \frac{a^k}{(1+c)^3} \text{ in (1)}) \\ &\geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{3}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$



Case 2. If  $g \in I_{k_0}$ , then  $x_g > \delta^{k^{k_0}} = r/c$ , hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([x_g - r, x_g]) &\geq \nu(\{x_g\}) = ax_g^2 \\ &> ar^2 \\ &> ((1+c)r)^3 \quad (\text{by } r \leq \delta < \frac{a^k}{(1+c)^3} < \frac{a}{(1+c)^3}) \\ &\geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^3. \end{aligned}$$

Since we know that  $|I_{k_0}| < \frac{|SF_n|}{k}$  by (2), we have

$$\prod_{g \in I_{k_0}} \nu([x_g - r, x_g]) \geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{3}{k}|SF_n|}.$$

Case 3. If  $g \in I_m$  with  $m > k_0$ , then  $x_g \leq \delta^{k^{m-1}} \leq \delta^{k^{k_0}} = r$ . So  $0 \in [x_g - r, x_g]$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \nu([x_g - r, x_g]) &\geq \nu(\{0\}) = \frac{1}{2} \\ &> ((1+c)r)^{\frac{3}{k}} \quad (\text{by } r \leq \delta < \frac{1}{1+c} \cdot \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{\frac{k}{3}+1}) \\ &\geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{3}{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Summarizing the above, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(A) &\geq (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{3}{k}|SF_n|} \cdot (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{3}{k}|SF_n|} \\ &= (\text{Diam}(A, d_{F_n}))^{\frac{6}{k}|SF_n|} \end{aligned}$$

□

Combining Lemma 4.2 and Claim 4.4, for any natural number  $n \geq 1$

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon) \leq \frac{12}{k}|SF_n|,$$

which implies

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} \frac{12}{k} = \frac{12}{k}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon$  go to zero and  $k$  go to infinity, we get the desire result

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X, \{F_n\}, d) = 0.$$

□

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $(K^G, G, \sigma)$  be the  $G$ -system in Theorem 4.1, then we have*

$$\text{mdim}_{\text{M}}(K^G, G, d) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $c = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty$ , take  $S \in \text{Fin}(G)$  such that

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Then we can find a positive integer  $\zeta$  which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\zeta(\zeta + 1)} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4c} < \frac{1}{\zeta(\zeta - 1)}.$$

It is obvious to know that we can use at least  $2\zeta$  open intervals of length  $\frac{\varepsilon}{4c}$  to cover  $K$ . Suppose these open sets are  $L_1, L_2, \dots, L_{2\zeta}$ .

Let  $n$  be any natural number, we consider the following open cover  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $K^G$ :

$$\{L_{i_1} \cap K\} \times \{L_{i_2} \cap K\} \times \{L_{i_3} \cap K\} \times \cdots \times \{L_{i_{|SF_n|}} \cap K\} \times K \times K \cdots,$$

where  $i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 2\zeta\}$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, |SF_n|\}$ .

Given an open set  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , for any  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}, y = (y_g)_{g \in G} \in M$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & d_{F_n}((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}) \\ &= \max_{h \in F_n} d((x_{gh})_{g \in G}, (y_{gh})_{g \in G}) \\ &= \max_{h \in F_n} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| \\ &= \max_{h \in F_n} \left\{ \sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| \right\} \\ &\leq \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \frac{\varepsilon}{4c} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \\ &< \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any  $M \in \mathcal{M}$ , we have  $\text{Diam}(M, d_{F_n}) < \varepsilon$ , which implies

$$\#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon) \leq (2\zeta)^{|SF_n|}.$$

Since  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Følner sequence, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} = 1$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2\zeta)^{|SF_n|}}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n| \log(2\zeta)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} = \frac{\log(2\zeta)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

Since  $\zeta$  goes to infinity as  $\varepsilon$  goes to zero, we have

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(K^G, G, d) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \right) \leq \limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(2\zeta)}{\log \zeta(1 + \zeta)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

On the other hand, let  $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}$ , we choose a positive integer  $\gamma$  satisfying

$$\frac{1}{\gamma + 1} \leq 2\sqrt{\varepsilon} < \frac{1}{\gamma}.$$

Fix  $z = (z_g)_{g \in G}$ , We consider the sets  $W$ , where

$$W = \{x = (x_g)_{g \in G} \in K^G \mid x_g \in \{0, \frac{1}{\gamma}, \frac{1}{\gamma-1}, \dots, 1\} \text{ for all } g \in F_n, \text{ otherwise } x_g = z_g\}.$$

Then for any  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}, y = (y_g)_{g \in G} \in W$ , we have

$$d_{F_n}((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}) = \max_{h \in F_n} \sum_{h \in G} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| \geq \max_{h \in F_n} |x_h - y_h| > \varepsilon.$$

Hence, for any distinct points in the sets  $W$  have distance  $> \varepsilon$  with respect to  $d_{F_n}$ , which implies

$$\#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon) \geq (\gamma + 1)^{|F_n|} \geq \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)^{|F_n|}.$$

Therefore

$$\liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \geq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)^{|F_n|}}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} = \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we have

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(K^G, G, d) = \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#(K^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \geq \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\varepsilon}}\right)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\text{mdim}_{\text{M}}(K^G, G, d) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

□

**Theorem 4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a countable discrete amenable group. If  $[0, 1]^G$  is an infinite dimensional cube, we define the shift map  $\sigma : G \times [0, 1]^G \rightarrow [0, 1]^G$  and a metric  $d$  on  $[0, 1]^G$  as in Theorem 4.1. Let  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a Følner sequence in  $G$ , then*

$$\text{mdim}([0, 1]^G, G) = \overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}([0, 1]^G, G, d) = \text{mdim}_{\text{H}}([0, 1]^G, \{F_n\}, d) = 1.$$

*Proof.* We first show that  $\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}([0, 1]^G, G, d) \leq 1$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take a finite nonempty subset  $S$  of  $G$  such that

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2},$$

and let  $c = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty$ .

Consider the open cover  $\{I_0, I_1, \dots, I_{\lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor}\}$  of  $[0, 1]$ , where

$$I_i = \left(\frac{(i-1)\varepsilon}{6c}, \frac{(i+1)\varepsilon}{6c}\right).$$

Let  $n$  be any natural number, there exists the following open cover  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $[0, 1]^G$  :

$$L_{i_1} \times L_{i_2} \times L_{i_3} \times \dots \times L_{i_{|S|}} \times [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \dots,$$

where  $i_j \in \{1, 2, \dots, \lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor\}$ ,  $j = \{1, 2, \dots, |SF_n|\}$ . Then for any open set  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ , and for any  $x = (x_g)_{g \in G}, y = (y_g)_{g \in G} \in U \in \mathcal{U}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& d_{F_n}((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G}) \\
&= \max_{h \in F_n} d((x_{gh})_{g \in G}, (y_{gh})_{g \in G}) \\
&= \max_{h \in F_n} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| \\
&= \max_{h \in F_n} \left\{ \sum_{g \in S} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g |x_{gh} - y_{gh}| \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3c} \cdot \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g + \sum_{g \in G \setminus S} \alpha_g \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\#[0, 1]^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon \leq |\mathcal{U}| = \left(1 + \lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor\right)^{|SF_n|}.$$

Since  $\{F_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Følner sequence, then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n|}{|F_n|} = 1$ . Hence

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#[0, 1]^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|SF_n| \log(1 + \lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor)}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} = \frac{\log(1 + \lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)}.$$

Letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_M([0, 1]^G, G, d) = \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#[0, 1]^G, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon}{|F_n| \log(1/\varepsilon)} \right) \leq \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\log(1 + \lfloor \frac{6c}{\varepsilon} \rfloor)}{\log(1/\varepsilon)} \leq 1.$$

Besides, let  $X = ([0, 1]^N)^G$  with the full  $G$ -shift action of a countable discrete amenable group  $G$ . By Theorem 10.6.1 in [2], the mean dimension of  $X$  is  $N$ . Therefore it's obvious to know  $\text{mdim}([0, 1]^G, G) = 1$ . Combining with Theorem 2.5, we get the desired result.  $\square$

## 5. INFINITELY DIMENSIONAL CARPET OF FINITELY GENERATED AMENABLE GROUPS

In this section, we will calculate the metric mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension of infinitely dimensional carpets of finitely generated amenable groups. Firstly we recall some basics of finitely generated amenable groups.

Let  $G$  be an infinite discrete countable group. Recall that the subgroup generated by a subset  $A \subset G$  is the smallest subgroup  $\langle A \rangle \subset G$  containing  $A$ . One says that group  $G$  is **finitely generated** if there exists a finite subset  $A \subset G$  such that  $\langle A \rangle \subset G$ . Let  $G$  be a finitely generated amenable group with a symmetric generating set  $S$ . We call a generating set symmetric if together with any  $s \in S$  it contains

$s^{-1}$ . The  $S$ -word-length  $\ell_S(g)$  of an elements  $g \in G$  is the minimal integer  $n \geq 0$  such that  $g$  can be expressed as a product of  $n$  elements in  $S$ , that is ,

$$\ell_S(g) = \min\{n \geq 0 : g = s_1 \cdots s_n : s_i \in S, 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

It immediately follows from the definition that for  $g \in G$  one has  $\ell_S(g) = 0$  if and only if  $g = 1_G$ . Define the metric  $d_S$  on  $G: d_S(g, h) = \ell_S(g^{-1}h)$ . It is obvious that the metric  $d_S$  is invariant by left multiplication.

For  $g \in G$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , we denote by

$$B_S^G(g, n) = \{h \in G : d_S(g, h) \leq n\},$$

the ball of radius  $n$  in  $G$  centered at the element  $g \in G$ . When  $g = 1_G$  we have  $B_S^G(1_G, n) = \{h \in G : \ell_S(h) \leq n\}$  and we simply write  $B_S^G(n)$  instead of  $B_S^G(1_G, n)$ . Also, when there is no ambiguity on the group  $G$ , we omit the subscript  $G$  and we simply write  $B_S(g, n)$  and  $B_S(n)$  instead of  $B_S^G(g, n)$  and  $B_S^G(n)$ .

Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group with a symmetric generating set  $S$ . Set  $S = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$ , we define an order in  $S$  which formalize through the following construction: given  $s_i, s_j \in S$  we say that  $s_i < s_j$  if  $i < j$ . Hence  $s_1 < s_2 < \dots < s_m$ . Hence we can consider the order in  $G$ . For  $g, g' \in G$ , we call  $g < g'$  if  $\ell(g) < \ell(g')$ . If  $\ell_g = \ell(g') = n$ , then there exists  $s_1, \dots, s_n$  and  $s'_1, \dots, s'_n$  such that

$$g = s_1 \cdots s_n, g' = s'_1 \cdots s'_n.$$

Take  $k = \min\{i : s_i \neq s'_i\}$ . When  $s_k < s'_k$ , we denote by  $g < g'$ , otherwise,  $g > g'$ . Then we can arrange the elements in the group. Let  $G = \{g_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be an enumeration of  $G$  according to the order such that  $\ell(1_G) = \ell(g_0) < \ell(g_1) \leq \ell(g_2) \leq \ell(g_3) \cdots$ .

For a finite alphabet  $A$ , we can define a metric  $d$  on  $A^G$  by the following:

$$d(x, y) = 2^{-\min\{\ell_S(g_n) \mid x_{g_n} \neq y_{g_n}\}}.$$

Next we recall the definition of weighted topological entropy for amenable group actions. Suppose  $(X, G, T)$  and  $(Y, G, S)$  are two  $G$ -systems, and  $d$  and  $d'$  are metrics on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. If there is a continuous surjection  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\pi(gx) = g(\pi x)$  for any  $g \in G, x \in X$ , then we call  $\pi$  a factor map.

Let  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a factor map and  $0 \leq w \leq 1$ . Let  $d$  and  $d'$  be metrics on  $X$  and  $Y$  respectively. For each natural number  $n$ , we define new metrics  $d_{F_n}$  and  $d'_{F_n}$  on  $X$  and  $Y$  by

$$d_{F_n}(x_1, x_2) = \max_{g \in F_n} d(T^g x_1, T^g x_2), d'_{F_n}(y_1, y_2) = \max_{g \in F_n} d'(S^g y_1, S^g y_2).$$

For each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $U \subset X$ , we define  $\#(U, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon)$  as the the minimum cardinality  $n$  of open covers  $\{U_1, \dots, U_t\}$  of  $X$  satisfying  $\text{Diam}(U_k, d_{F_n}) < \varepsilon$  for each  $1 \leq k \leq t$ . We use the convention that  $\#(\emptyset, d_{F_n}, \varepsilon) = 0$ . And we define  $\#^w(\pi, X, d_{F_n}, d'_{F_n}, \varepsilon)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} & \#^w(\pi, X, d_{F_n}, d'_{F_n}, \varepsilon) \\ &= \min \left\{ \sum_{k=1}^t (\#(\pi^{-1}(V_k), d_{F_n}, \varepsilon))^w \mid \left. \begin{array}{l} \{V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n\} \text{ is an open cover of } Y \text{ with} \\ \text{Diam}(V_k, d'_{F_n}) < \varepsilon \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq n \end{array} \right\} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to check that  $\Omega$  finite subsets of  $G \rightarrow \log \#^w(\pi, X, d_\Omega, d'_\Omega, \varepsilon)$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2, then by Lemma 2.2  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#^w(\pi, X, d_{F_n}, d'_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|}$  exists. Then we define the  $w$ -weighted topological entropy of the factor map  $\pi : X \rightarrow Y$  by

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log \#^w(\pi, X, d_{F_n}, d'_{F_n}, \varepsilon)}{|F_n|} \right).$$

For convenience, we always assume  $G$  is a finitely generated amenable group in this section.

Now we calculate the  $w$ -weighted topological entropy of the factor map in a special case which we will use later.

Suppose  $A, B$  are two finite sets and  $\pi : (A \times B)^G \rightarrow B^G$  is the natural projection. If  $((A \times B)^G, \sigma)$  and  $(B^G, \sigma)$  are two symbolic dynamical systems,  $\Omega$  is a subshift of  $(A \times B)^G$ , and  $\Omega' = \pi(\Omega) \subseteq B^G$ . For any subset  $D \subset G$ , we define  $\Omega|_D \subset (A \times B)^D$  and  $\Omega'|_D \subset B^D$  as the images of the projections of  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega'$  to the  $D \subset G$  coordinates, respectively. We denote by  $\pi_D : \Omega|_D \rightarrow \Omega'|_D$  the natural projection map. And we define new metrics  $d$  and  $d'$  on  $(A \times B)^G$  and  $B^G$  by

$$d((x, y), (x', y')) = 2^{-\min\{\ell_S(g_n) | (x_{g_n}, y_{g_n}) \neq (x'_{g_n}, y'_{g_n})\}}, \quad d'(y, y') = 2^{-\min\{\ell_S(g_n) | y_{g_n} \neq y'_{g_n}\}}.$$

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $0 \leq w \leq 1$ . If map  $\pi : \Omega \rightarrow \Omega'$  is a factor map, then*

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|B_S(m)|} \log \sum_{v \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}} |\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v)|^w.$$

Here  $|\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v)|$  is the cardinality of  $\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v) \subset \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ .

*Proof.* Fix  $0 < \varepsilon < 1$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . For any subsets  $U \subset (A \times B)^{B_S(m)}$  and  $V \subset B^{B_S(m)}$ , we denote by  $U|_{B_S(m)} \subset (A \times B)^{B_S(m)}$  and  $V|_{B_S(m)} \subset B^{B_S(m)}$  the projections to the  $B_S(m)$ -coordinates. It is easy to see that if  $\text{Diam}(U, d_{B_S(m)}) < 1$  then  $U|_{B_S(m)}$  is a singleton or empty. Similarly, if  $\text{Diam}(V, d'_{B_S(m)}) < 1$  then so is  $V|_{B_S(m)}$ . Hence

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{|B_S(m)|} \log \sum_{v \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}} |\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v)|^w.$$

The remaining task is to prove the reverse inequality.

If  $\Omega' = V_1 \cup V_2 \cup \dots \cup V_n$  is an open cover with  $\text{Diam}(V_k, d_{B_S(m)}) < \varepsilon$ , and

$$\#^w(\pi, \Omega, d_{B_M \cap \mathbb{Z}^k}, d'_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n (\#(\pi^{-1}(V_k), d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon))^w.$$

Assuming that  $V_j \neq \emptyset$  for any  $1 \leq j \leq n$ , and write  $t_k = \#(\pi^{-1}(V_k), d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon)$ , then we have  $\#(\pi, \Omega, d_{B_S(m)}, d'_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^w$ .

For every  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , let  $\#(\pi^{-1}(V_k), d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) = t_k$ . We consider an open cover  $\{U_{k1}, U_{k2}, \dots, U_{kt_k}\}$  of  $\pi^{-1}(V_k)$  with  $\text{Diam}(U_{kl}, d_{B_S(m)}) < \varepsilon$  for all  $1 \leq l \leq t_k$ , we also assume that every  $U_{kl} \neq \emptyset$ . So

$$\sum_{k=1}^n t_k^w = \sum_{v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}} \left( \sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k^w \right),$$

here, in the  $\sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}}$ ,  $k$  takes over every  $k \in [1, n]$  that satisfies  $V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}$ . Since every  $U_{kl} |_{B_S(m)}$  is a singleton, we have

$$\#(\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v)) \leq \sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k.$$

For each  $v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}$ , we have

$$\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v) = \bigcup_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} (\pi^{-1}(V_k)) |_{B_S(m)} = \bigcup_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} \bigcup_{l=1}^{t_k} (U_{kl} |_{B_S(m)}).$$

Recall that  $0 \leq w \leq 1$ , from the fact that  $(p+q)^w \leq p^w + q^w$  for any  $p, q \geq 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k^w \geq \left( \sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k \right)^w.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \#^w(\pi, \Omega, d_{B_S(m)}, d'_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) &= \sum_{v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}} \left( \sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k^w \right) \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}} \left( \sum_{k: V_k |_{B_S(m)} = \{v\}} t_k \right)^w \\ &\geq \sum_{v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}} \#(\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v))^w. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{|B_S(m)|} \log *^w(\pi, \Omega, d_{B_S(m)}, d'_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) \geq \frac{1}{|B_S(m)|} \log \sum_{v \in \Omega' |_{B_S(m)}} \#(\pi_{B_S(m)}^{-1}(v))^w.$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we conclude

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) \geq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{B_S(m)} \log \sum_{v \in \Omega'_{|B_S(m)}} \#(\pi_{|B_S(m)}^{-1}(v))^w.$$

□

Now we introduce the infinite-dimensional Bedford-McMullen carpets for amenable group actions. Suppose that  $G$  is a finitely generated group with a symmetric generating set  $S$  and  $a \geq b \geq 2$  are two natural numbers, write

$$A = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, a-1\}, \quad B = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, b-1\}.$$

Let  $(A \times B)^G$  be the full  $G$ -shift over  $A \times B$  with the shift action  $\sigma : G \times ((A \times B)^G) \rightarrow (A \times B)^G$  and  $\pi : (A \times B)^G \rightarrow B^G$  be the natural projection. We also denote by  $\sigma : G \times B^G \rightarrow B^G$  the full  $G$ -shift on  $B^G$ .

Suppose  $[0, 1]^G = \{\{x_g\}_{g \in G} \mid x_g \in [0, 1]\}$  is an infinite dimensional cube, and  $(\alpha_g)_{g \in G}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers satisfying

$$\alpha_{1_G} = 1, \quad \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g < +\infty.$$

We define a metric  $d$  on the product  $[0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G$  by

$$d((x, y), (x', y')) = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \max(|x_g - x'_g|, |y_g - y'_g|),$$

where  $(x, y) = ((x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G})$  and  $(x', y') = ((x'_g)_{g \in G}, (y'_g)_{g \in G})$  are two points in  $[0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G$ . We define the shift map  $\sigma : G \times ([0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G) \rightarrow [0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G$  by

$$h \left( (x_g)_{g \in G}, (y_g)_{g \in G} \right) = \left( (x_{gh})_{g \in G}, (y_{gh})_{g \in G} \right), \quad \text{for all } h \in G.$$

The shift  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  on  $[0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G$  is defined by  $((\sigma_{1,g}x)_{(g_1, g_2)}, (\sigma_{1,g}y)_{(g_1, g_2)}) = (x_{(g_1g, g_2)}, y_{(g_1g, g_2)})$  and  $((\sigma_{2,h}x)_{(g_1, g_2)}, (\sigma_{2,h}y)_{(g_1, g_2)}) = (x_{(g_1, g_2h)}, y_{(g_1, g_2h)})$  for every  $g \in G_1, h \in G_2, (g_1, g_2) \in G$ .

Let  $\Omega \subset (A \times B)^G$  be a subshift, we assume  $\Omega \neq \emptyset$ . We define a carpet system  $X_\Omega \subset [0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G$  by

$$X_\Omega = \left\{ \left( \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_m}{a^m}, \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_m}{b^m} \right) \in [0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G \mid (x_m, y_m) \in \Omega \text{ for any } m \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Here  $x_m \in A^G \subset \ell^\infty$  and  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_m}{a^m} \in \ell^\infty$ , then we have  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_m}{a^m} \in [0, 1]^G$ . Similarly for the term  $\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_m}{b^m}$ . Therefore  $(X_\Omega, \sigma)$  is a subsystem of  $([0, 1]^G \times [0, 1]^G, \sigma)$ . Define  $\Omega' = \pi(\Omega)$ , then  $\Omega'$  is a subshift of  $B^G$ .

In the following, we are going to calculate the metric mean dimension and mean Hausdorff dimension respectively. Before our calculation, we need some preparations. Set  $w = \log_a b$ . As  $a \geq b \geq 2$ , we have  $0 < w \leq 1$ . For any natural number  $m$ , we set



$$\Omega|_{B_S(m)} = \{(v_n)_{n \in B_S(m)} \in B^{B_S(m)} \mid (v_n)_{n \in G} \in B^G\},$$

$$\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$$

$$= \left\{ \left( (u_n)_{n \in B_S(m)}, (v_n)_{n \in B_S(m)} \right) \in A^{B_S(m)} \times B^{B_S(m)} \mid \left( (u_n)_{n \in G}, (v_n)_{n \in G} \right) \in (A \times B)^G \right\},$$

$$X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$$

$$= \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_n}{b^n} \right) \in [0, 1]^{B_S(m)} \times [0, 1]^{B_S(m)} : (x_n, y_n) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \right\}.$$

Let  $(x, y) \in \left( \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \right)^{\mathbb{N}}$  where  $x = (x_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  and  $y = (y_m)_{m=1}^{\infty}$  with  $x_m \in A^{B_S(m)}$ ,  $y_m \in B^{B_S(m)}$  and  $(x_m, y_m) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ . For natural numbers  $m$  and  $L$ , we define a subset  $\Phi_{L,m}(x, y) \subset X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  by

$$\Phi_{L,m}(x, y) = \left\{ \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x'_n}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y'_n}{b^n} \right) \mid \begin{array}{l} (x'_n, y'_n) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for all } n \geq 1 \text{ with} \\ x'_n = x_n (1 \leq n \leq \lfloor wL \rfloor) \text{ and} \\ y'_n = y_n (1 \leq n \leq L) \end{array} \right\}.$$

Here  $\lfloor wL \rfloor$  is the largest integer not greater than  $wL$ . The set  $\Phi_{L,m}(x, y)$  depends only on the coordinates  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L$ . So we also denote it by

$$\Phi_{L,m} \left( (x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L \right) \quad (= \Phi_{L,m}(x, y)).$$

We also define a subset  $\Psi_{L,m}(x, y) \subset \left( \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \right)^{\mathbb{N}}$  by

$$\Psi_{L,m}(x, y) = \left\{ (x', y') \in \left( \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \right)^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \begin{array}{l} x'_n = x_n (1 \leq n \leq \lfloor wL \rfloor) \\ y'_n = y_n (1 \leq n \leq L) \end{array} \right\}.$$

then the set  $\Phi_{L,m}(x, y)$  is the image of  $\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)$  under the map

$$\left( \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \right)^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}, \quad (x', y') \mapsto \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x'_n}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y'_n}{b^n} \right).$$

It is straightforward to check that for  $(x, y) = (x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  and  $(x', y') = (x'_n, y'_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in  $\left( \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ , we have the following relationship:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & & \Psi_{L,m}(x, y) = \Psi_{L,m}(x', y') \\ & \swarrow & \updownarrow \\ (x_1, \dots, x_{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, y_1, \dots, y_L) = (x'_1, \dots, x'_{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, y'_1, \dots, y'_L) & & \\ & \searrow & \Phi_{L,m}(x, y) = \Phi_{L,m}(x', y') \end{array}$$

For subsets  $E$  and  $F$  of  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  we set

$$\text{dist}_\infty(E, F) = \inf_{\substack{x \in E \\ y \in F}} \|x - y\|_\infty.$$

Here  $\|x - y\|_\infty$  is the  $\ell^\infty$ -distance on  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)} \subset (\mathbb{R}^2)^{B_S(m)}$ .

The following lemma shows the distance of each set  $\Phi_{L,m}(x, y)$  under a special condition.

**Lemma 5.2.** *Let  $L$  and  $m$  be natural numbers. Let  $(x^{(1)}, y^{(1)}), \dots, (x^{(k)}, y^{(k)}) \in (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^\mathbb{N}$  with  $k \geq 4^{|B_S(m)|} + 1$ . Suppose that*

$$\Psi_{L,m}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \neq \Psi_{L,m}(x^{(j)}, y^{(j)}) \quad \text{for } i \neq j.$$

Then there are  $i$  and  $j$  for which

$$\text{dist}_\infty(\Phi_{L,m}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), \Phi_{L,m}(x^{(j)}, y^{(j)})) \geq b^{-L}.$$

*Proof.* The assumption  $\Psi_{L,m}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}) \neq \Psi_{L,m}(x^{(j)}, y^{(j)})$  implies

$$\left(x_1^{(i)}, \dots, x_{\lfloor wL \rfloor}^{(i)}, y_1^{(i)}, \dots, y_L^{(i)}\right) \neq \left(x_1^{(j)}, \dots, x_{\lfloor wL \rfloor}^{(j)}, y_1^{(j)}, \dots, y_L^{(j)}\right).$$

Since  $k \geq 4^{|B_S(m)|} + 1$ , there are  $i$  and  $j$  for which we have either

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \frac{x_n^{(i)}}{a^n} - \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \frac{x_n^{(j)}}{a^n} \right\|_\infty \geq 2a^{-\lfloor wL \rfloor}$$

or

$$\left\| \sum_{n=1}^L \frac{y_n^{(i)}}{b^n} - \sum_{n=1}^L \frac{y_n^{(j)}}{b^n} \right\|_\infty \geq 2b^{-L}.$$

We have

$$\Phi_{L,m}(x, y) \subset \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \frac{x_n}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{y_n}{b^n} \right) + [0, a^{-\lfloor wM \rfloor}]^{|B_S(m)|} \times [0, b^{-L}]^{|B_S(m)|}.$$

and either

$$\text{dist}_\infty(\Phi_{L,m}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), \Phi_{L,m}(x^{(j)}, y^{(j)})) \geq a^{-\lfloor wL \rfloor} \geq b^{-L},$$

or

$$\text{dist}_\infty(\Phi_{L,m}(x^{(i)}, y^{(i)}), \Phi_{L,m}(x^{(j)}, y^{(j)})) \geq b^{-L}.$$

So we get the statement in both of the cases.  $\square$

Now we calculate the mean Hausdorff dimension of the carpet system  $(X_\Omega, \sigma, d)$ . For  $v \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}$ , we set

$$t_m(v) = \#\{u \in A^{B_S(m)} \mid (u, v) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}\},$$

$$Z_m = \sum_{v \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}} t_m(v)^w.$$

Then

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} \quad (\text{by Lemma 5.1}).$$

For any  $(u, v) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ , we define  $f_m(u, v)$  as

$$f_m(u, v) = \frac{1}{Z_m} t_m(v)^{w-1}.$$

Notice that

$$\sum_{(u,v) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}} f_m(u, v) = 1.$$

Thus  $f_m(u, v)$  can be regarded as a probability measure  $\mu_m$  on  $\Omega|_{B_M \cap \mathbb{Z}^k}$ . We can also define a probability measure on  $\left(\Omega|_{B_S(m)}\right)^\mathbb{N}$  by  $\mu_m = (f_m)^{\otimes \mathbb{N}}$ , it is the product of infinite copies of the measure  $f_m$ .

The following lemmas are crucial, the first one comes directly from [23], the second one is similar to Lemma 5.11 in [23] and the proof is as analogous as it, so we omit it.

**Lemma 5.3.** *Let  $c, \varepsilon, s$  be positive numbers. Let  $(X, d)$  be a compact metric space with a Borel probability measure  $\mu$ . If  $6\varepsilon^c < 1$  and for any  $x \in X$ , there exists a Borel subset  $A \subset X$  containing  $x$  and*

$$0 < \text{Diam } A < \frac{\varepsilon}{6}, \quad \mu(A) \geq (\text{Diam } A)^s.$$

Then  $\dim_{\text{H}}(X, d, \varepsilon) \leq (1 + c)s$ .

**Lemma 5.4.** *For any  $\delta > 0$  and any  $K \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a natural number  $N = N(\delta, K) \geq K$  for which the following statement holds true: For any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and any  $(x, y) \in \left(\Omega|_{B_S(m)}\right)^\mathbb{N}$ , there exists a natural number  $L \in [K, N]$  satisfying*

$$\frac{1}{|B_S(m)|^L} \log \mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq -\frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} - \delta.$$

We will firstly give an upper bound for the Hausdorff dimension of  $X_\Omega$ , the idea of the proof is Lemma 5.3.

**Proposition 5.5.** *For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $m_0$  such that for any  $m \geq m_0$ , we have*

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega, \{B_S(m)\}, \varepsilon) \leq (1 + \varepsilon)(\log_b Z_m + \varepsilon C_M).$$

*Proof.* As the map  $B_S(m) \rightarrow Z_m$  satisfies the conditions in Lemma 2.2, we can find a  $t > 0$  such that  $\log_b Z_m \leq t \cdot |B_S(m)|$  holds for all  $m \geq 1$ .

Fix  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We define  $\nu_m$  as the push-forward of  $\mu_m$  under the map

$$(\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \quad (x_n, y_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{x_n}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{y_n}{b^n} \right).$$

It is a probability measure on  $X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}$ . And we define  $X(m)$  by

$$X(m) = X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)} \times [0, 1]^2 \times [0, 1]^2 \times \cdots \subset ([0, 1]^2)^{\mathbb{N}}.$$

As  $X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)} \subset ([0, 1]^2)^{B_S(m)}$ , we have  $X_{\Omega} \subset X(m)$ .

Denote by  $Leb$  the Lebesgue measure on the square  $[0, 1]^2$ . Then we can define a probability measure  $\nu'_m$  on  $X(m)$  by

$$\nu'_m := \nu_m \otimes Leb \otimes Leb \otimes Leb \otimes \cdots.$$

For any  $L, m \in \mathbb{N}$  and any  $(x, y) \in (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}}$ , write

$$\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y) := \Phi_{L,m}(x, y) \times [0, 1]^2 \times [0, 1]^2 \times \cdots.$$

Then we have  $\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y) \subset X(m)$ , and

$$\nu'_m(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y)) = \nu_m(\Phi_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq \mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)).$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we choose  $\delta > 0$  with  $6\delta^\varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $R = \sum_{g \in \mathbb{Z}^k} \alpha_g$ , we take  $K \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfying

$$ab^{-K} < \frac{\delta}{6R}, \quad (Ra)^{s+\varepsilon} \leq b^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}}.$$

Let  $N = N(\frac{\varepsilon \log b}{2}, K)$  be the natural number given by Lemma 5.4, then by Lemma 5.4 for any  $m \geq 1$  and any  $(x, y) \in (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}}$ , there exists a natural number  $L \in [K, N]$  such that

$$\frac{1}{L \cdot |B_S(m)|} \log \mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq -\frac{\log Z_N}{|B_S(m)|} - \frac{\varepsilon \log b}{2}.$$

That is

$$\mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq \exp \left\{ -L \log b \left( \log_b Z_m + \frac{\varepsilon \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2} \right) \right\}.$$

This gives that

$$\nu'_m(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq (b^{-L})^{\log_b Z_m + \frac{\varepsilon \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}}.$$

**Claim 5.6.** *For each  $m \geq 1$  and  $(x, y) \in (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}}$ , there exists a natural number  $L \in [K, N]$  such that*

$$\nu'_m(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq (Rab^{-L})^{\log_b Z_m + |B_S(m)|\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* By the above argument, we can find a positive integer  $L \in [K, N]$  such that

$$\nu'_m(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y)) \geq (b^{-L})^{\log_b Z_m + \frac{|B_S(m)|\varepsilon}{2}}.$$

As  $\log Z_m \leq |B_S(m)| \cdot s$  for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $L \geq K$ ,

$$(Ra)^{\frac{\log_b Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} + \varepsilon} \leq (Ra)^{s + \varepsilon} \leq b^{\frac{\varepsilon K}{2}} \leq b^{\frac{\varepsilon L}{2}},$$

thus

$$(b^{-L})^{\log_b Z_m + \frac{|B_S(m)|\varepsilon}{2}} \geq (Rab^{-L})^{\log_b Z_m + \varepsilon |B_S(m)|}.$$

This gives the statement.  $\square$

Now we pick a  $m_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that

$$\sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g < ab^{-N},$$

and let  $c = \sum_{g \in B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g$ . For any  $u, v \in ([0, 1]^2)^G$  with  $u = (p_g, q_g)_{g \in G}$ ,  $v = (p'_g, q'_g)_{g \in G}$  and any natural number  $m$  with  $m > m_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_S(m-m_0)}(u, v) &= \max_{i \in B_S(m-m_0)} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gi} - p'_{gi}|, |q_{gi} - q'_{gi}|) \\ &= \max_{i \in B_S(m-m_0)} \left\{ \sum_{g \in B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gi} - p'_{gi}|, |q_{gi} - q'_{gi}|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gi} - p'_{gi}|, |q_{gi} - q'_{gi}|) \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{g \in B_S(m)} (|p_g - p'_g|, |q_g - q'_g|) \cdot \sum_{g \in B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g + \sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(m_0)} \alpha_g \\ &\leq c \left\| u|_{B_S(m)} - v|_{B_S(m)} \right\|_{\infty} + ab^{-N}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that

$$\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y) = \Phi_{L,m}(x, y) \times [0, 1]^2 \times [0, 1]^2 \times \dots.$$

Then

$$0 \leq \text{Diam}(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y), d_{B_S(m-m_0)}) \leq c \text{Diam}(\Phi_{L,m}(x, y), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) + ab^{-N}.$$

**Claim 5.7.** For any  $(x, y) \in \left(\Omega|_{B_S(m)}\right)^{\mathbb{N}}$ , any  $L, m \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $m > m_0$  and  $L \leq N$ ,

$$0 < \text{Diam}(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y), d_{B_S(m-m_0)}) < \delta/6.$$

*Proof.* Recall that  $\text{Diam}(\Phi_{L,m}(x, y), \|\cdot\|_{\infty}) \leq ab^{-L}$ , combine with  $c + 1 < R$  and  $L \leq N$ , we get

$$\text{Diam}(\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y), d_{B_S(m-m_0)}) \leq cab^{-L} + ab^{-N} < Rab^{-L} < \delta/6.$$

$\square$

Since  $6\delta^\varepsilon < 1$  and  $\Phi'_{L,m}(x, y) \in X(m)$ . Combining with Claims 5.6 and 5.7, for any natural number  $m > m_0$ ,

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X(m), d_{B_S(m-m_0)}, \delta) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) (\log_b Z_m + |B_S(m)|\varepsilon), \quad (\text{By Lemma 5.3.})$$

As  $X_\Omega \subset X(m)$  and  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon$ , we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega, d_{B_S(m-m_0)}, \varepsilon) \leq (1 + \varepsilon) (\log_b Z_m + |B_S(m)|\varepsilon), \quad (m > m_0).$$

□

Then we get the upper bound for the mean Hausdorff dimension.

**Corollary 5.8.** *For the system  $X_\Omega$ ,*

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega, \{B_S(m)\}, d) \leq \frac{h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G)}{\log b}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $m_0 = m_0(\varepsilon)$  be the natural number given by Proposition 5.5. Then for any natural number  $m$  with  $m > m_0$ , we have

$$\frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega, d_{B_S(m-m_0)}, \varepsilon)}{|B_S(m)|} \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \frac{\log_b Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} + \varepsilon \right).$$

Recall that

$$h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon)}{|B_S(m)|} &\leq (1 + \varepsilon) \left( \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_b Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} + \varepsilon \right) \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 + \varepsilon}{\log b} (h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G) + \varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the desired result. □

Next, we prove the lower bound for the mean Hausdorff dimension. We need the following lemma, which is as analogous to that of Tsukamoto [23], we omit the proof here.

**Lemma 5.9.** *For any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a natural number  $m_2 = m_2(\delta) > 0$  such that for any natural number  $m$ , there is a Borel subset  $R(\delta, m) \subset \left(\Omega|_{B_S(m)}\right)^\mathbb{N}$  with  $\mu_m(R(\delta, m)) \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , and for any  $(x, y) \in R(\delta, m)$  and  $L \geq m_2$ ,*

$$\left| \frac{1}{L \cdot |B_S(m)|} \log \mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)) + \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} \right| \leq \delta.$$

With these lemmas, we give a lower bound for the Hausdorff dimension of  $X_\Omega$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** *For any  $\delta > 0$ , there is  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_\infty, \varepsilon) \geq \log_b Z_m - \delta \cdot |B_S(m)|.$$

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\#(\Omega|_{B_S(m)}) \geq 2$ , for otherwise the assertion is trivial. As in the proof of Proposition 5.5, we pick a  $s > 0$  satisfying  $\log_b Z_m \leq s \cdot |B_S(m)|$  for all  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ . Fix a  $\delta > 0$ , let  $m_2$  be as in Lemma 5.9 for  $(\frac{\delta \log b}{2})$ . By Lemma 5.9, for any  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , there is a Borel subset  $R = R(\frac{\delta \log b}{2}, m) \subset (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}}$  satisfying  $\mu_m(R) \geq 1/2$  and for any  $L \geq m_2$  and  $(x, y) \in (\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,

$$\left| \frac{1}{L \cdot |B_S(m)|} \log \mu_m(\Psi_{L,m}(x, y)) + \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} \right| \leq \frac{\delta \log b}{2}.$$

Fix a  $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and pick a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\varepsilon < b^{-m_2-1}, \quad 4b^\varepsilon \varepsilon^{\delta/2} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

If  $C$  is a subset of  $X$ , we define  $D(C) := \text{Diam}(C, \|\cdot\|_\infty)$  for simplicity. Let  $\{E_1, E_2, E_3, \dots\}$  be a cover of  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  with  $0 < D(E_k) < \varepsilon$  for every  $k \geq 1$ . For every  $E_k$ , we pick a natural number  $L_k$  with  $L_k \geq m_2$  such that

$$b^{-L_k-1} \leq D(E_k) < b^{-L_k}.$$

For every  $(x, y)$  in  $R$  with  $\Phi_{L_k, m}(x, y) \cap E_k \neq \emptyset$ , there is a unique  $\Psi_{L_k, m}(x, y)$ , we denote by  $\mathcal{G}_k$  the collection of all such  $\Psi_{L_k, m}(x, y)$ . Then

$$\mu_m(P) \leq (b \cdot D(E_k))^{\log_b Z_m - \frac{\delta \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}}, \quad (P \in \mathcal{G}_k).$$

For every  $L_k \geq m_2$  and every  $Q = \Psi_{L_k, m}(x, y) \in \mathcal{G}_k$  with  $(x, y) \in R$ ,

$$\frac{\delta \log b}{2} - \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} \geq \frac{1}{L_k \cdot |B_S(m)|} \log \mu_m(Q).$$

That is

$$b^{-L_k} (\log_b Z_m - \frac{\delta \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}) \geq \mu_m(P).$$

Since for any  $P, Q \in \mathcal{G}_k$ ,

$$\text{dist}_\infty(P, Q) \leq D(E_k) < \varepsilon < b^{-L_k},$$

by Lemma 5.2,  $|\mathcal{G}_k| \leq 4^{B_S(m)}$ . As

$$R \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{P \in \mathcal{G}_k} P,$$

then

$$\frac{1}{2} \leq \mu_m(R) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}_k} \mu_m(P),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \sum_{P \in \mathcal{G}_k} \mu_m(P) &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{|B_S(m)|} (b \cdot D(E_k))^{\log_b Z_m - \frac{\delta \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}} \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{|B_S(m)|} b^{\log_b Z_M} D(E_k)^{\log_b Z_m - \frac{\delta \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}} \quad (\text{by } b^{-L_k-1} \leq D(E_k)) \\
&\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 4^{|B_S(m)|} b^{s \cdot |B_S(m)|} D(E_k)^{\log_b Z_m - \frac{\delta \cdot |B_S(m)|}{2}} \quad (\text{by } \log_b Z_m \leq s \cdot |B_S(m)|).
\end{aligned}$$

Recall that  $D(E_k) < \varepsilon$  and  $4b^s \varepsilon^{\delta/2} < \frac{1}{2}$ , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{|B_S(m)|}} \cdot D(E_k)^{\log_b Z_m - \delta \cdot |B_S(m)|} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

Hence

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} D(E_k)^{\log_b Z_m - \delta \cdot |B_S(m)|} > 1.$$

This shows  $\dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \varepsilon) \geq \log_b Z_m - \delta \cdot |B_S(m)|$ .  $\square$

Now we give the lower bound for the mean Hausdorff dimension for  $X_{\Omega}$ .

**Corollary 5.11.**

$$\underline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}, \{B_S(m)\}, d) \geq \frac{h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G)}{\log b}.$$

*Proof.* For each  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ , the natural projection  $(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}) \rightarrow (X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$  is one-Lipschitz. Thus for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) \geq \dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \varepsilon).$$

By Proposition 5.10, for any  $\delta > 0$  we can find a  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that for any  $m \geq 1$

$$\dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) \geq \dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \varepsilon) \geq \log_b Z_m - \delta \cdot |B_S(m)|.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_{\text{H}}(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon)}{|B_S(m)|} &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log_b Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} - \delta \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\log b} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log Z_m}{|B_S(m)|} - \delta \\
&= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{h_{\text{top}}^w(\pi, G)}{\log b} - \delta.
\end{aligned}$$

Letting  $\delta \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the statement.  $\square$



With Corollaries 5.8 and 5.11, we calculate the mean Hausdorff dimension of the carpet system  $(X_\Omega, G)$ .

**Corollary 5.12.** *The mean Hausdorff dimension of the carpet system  $(X_\Omega, G)$  is given by*

$$\text{mdim}_H(X_\Omega, \{B_S(m)\}, d) = \frac{h_{top}^w(\pi, G)}{\log b}.$$

At last, we calculate the metric mean dimension of the carpet system  $(X_\Omega, G)$ .

**Lemma 5.13.** *For any  $L, m \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  be the distance defined by the  $\ell^\infty$ -norm on  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)} \subset (\mathbb{R}^2)^{B_S(m)}$ , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \#(X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_\infty, ab^{-L}) &\leq \#(\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#(\Omega'|_{B_S(m)})^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}, \\ \#(X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_\infty, b^{-L}) &\geq \#(\Omega|_{B_S(m)})^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#(\Omega'|_{B_S(m)})^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\#(\Omega|_{B_S(m)})$  and  $\#(\Omega'|_{B_S(m)})$  denote the cardinality of  $\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  and  $\Omega'|_{B_S(m)}$  respectively.

*Proof.* Since

$$\bigcup \left\{ \Phi_{L,m}((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) \mid \begin{array}{l} (x_i, y_i) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq \lfloor wL \rfloor, \\ y_i \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for } \lfloor wL \rfloor + 1 \leq i \leq L \end{array} \right\}$$

is an open cover of  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  with

$$\text{Diam}(\Phi_{L,m}(x, y), \|\cdot\|_\infty) \leq \max\{a^{-\lfloor wL \rfloor}, b^{-L}\} = a^{-\lfloor wL \rfloor} < ab^{-L}.$$

This gives the first inequality.

For any  $v \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}$ , we choose  $s(v) \in A^{B_S(m)}$  satisfying  $(s(v), v) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ . Fix  $(\xi, \eta) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ , for  $(x_n, y_n) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  ( $1 \leq n \leq \lfloor wL \rfloor$ ) and  $y_n \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)}$  ( $\lfloor wL \rfloor + 1 \leq n \leq L$ ), write

$$\begin{aligned} p((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) \\ = \left( \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \frac{x_n}{a^n} + \sum_{n=\lfloor wL \rfloor+1}^L \frac{s(y_n)}{a^n} + \sum_{n=\lfloor wL \rfloor+1}^\infty \frac{\xi}{a^n}, \sum_{n=1}^L \frac{y_n}{b^n} + \sum_{n=L+1}^\infty \frac{\eta}{b^n} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then  $p((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) \in X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$ . Indeed, if  $((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) \neq ((x'_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y'_i)_{i=1}^L)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| p((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) - p((x'_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y'_i)_{i=1}^L) \right\|_\infty &\geq \min(a^{-\lfloor wL \rfloor}, b^{-L}) \\ &= b^{-L}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\left\{ p((x_i)_{i=1}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor}, (y_i)_{i=1}^L) \mid \begin{array}{l} (x_m, y_m) \in \Omega|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for } 1 \leq m \leq \lfloor wL \rfloor, \\ y_m \in \Omega'|_{B_S(m)} \text{ for } \lfloor wL \rfloor \leq m \leq L \end{array} \right\}$$

is  $b^{-L}$ -separated set of  $X_\Omega|_{B_S(m)}$  with respect to the  $\ell^\infty$ -distance. This gives the second inequality.  $\square$

**Proposition 5.14.** *Let  $s$  be a natural number satisfying  $\sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(s)} \alpha_g < \varepsilon/2$ , and let  $c = \sum_{g \in B_S(s)} \alpha_g$ . For any  $m, L \in \mathbb{N}$ , we have*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{M}}(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, 2cab^{-L}) \leq \frac{\log(\#\Omega|_{B_S(m+s)})^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m+s)}^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}}{-\log(2ca) + L \log b}.$$

*Proof.* For any  $x, y \in X|_{\Omega}$ , and suppose  $x = (p_g, q_g)_{g \in G}, y = (p'_g, q'_g)_{g \in G}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_{B_S(m)}(x, y) &= \max_{h \in B_S(m)} d(h((p_g, q_g)_{g \in G}), h((p'_g, q'_g)_{g \in G})) \\ &= \max_{h \in B_S(m)} \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gh} - p'_{gh}|, |q_{gh} - q'_{gh}|) \\ &= \max_{h \in B_S(m)} \left\{ \sum_{g \in B_S(s)} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gh} - p'_{gh}|, |q_{gh} - q'_{gh}|) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(s)} \alpha_g \max(|p_{gh} - p'_{gh}|, |q_{gh} - q'_{gh}|) \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{h \in B_S(m+s)} (|p_h - p'_h|, |q_h - q'_h|) \cdot \sum_{g \in B_S(s)} \alpha_g + \sum_{g \in G \setminus B_S(s)} \alpha_g \\ &\leq c \left\| x|_{B_S(m+s)} - y|_{B_S(m+s)} \right\|_{\infty} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

thus we have

$$\#(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon) \leq \#(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m+s)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \frac{\varepsilon}{2c}).$$

By Lemma 5.13,

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_{\Omega}, G, d, 2cab^{-L}) &= \frac{\log \#(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, 2cab^{-L})}{|B_S(m)|} \\ &\leq \frac{\log \#(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m+s)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, ab^{-L})}{|B_S(m)|} \\ &\leq \frac{\log(\#\Omega|_{B_S(m+s)})^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m+s)}^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}}{|B_S(m)|}. \end{aligned}$$

□

**Corollary 5.15.** *Let  $R = \sum_{g \in G} \alpha_g$ , for any  $m, L \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$S(X_{\Omega}, G, d, 2Rab^{-L}) \leq \frac{\log(\#\Omega|_{B_S(m+s)})^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m+s)}^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}}{|B_S(m)|}.$$

**Theorem 5.16.** *The metric mean dimension of the carpet system  $(X_{\Omega}, G)$  is given by*

$$\text{mdim}_{\mathbb{M}}(X_{\Omega}, G, d) = \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log a} + \left( \frac{1}{\log b} - \frac{1}{\log a} \right) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G).$$

*Proof.* By Corollary 5.15,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(X, G, d, 2Rab^{-L})}{|B_S(m)|} \\
& \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log(\#\Omega|_{B_S(m+s)}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m+s)}^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor})}{|B_S(m+s)|} \cdot \frac{|B_S(m+s)|}{|B_S(m)|} \\
& = \lfloor wL \rfloor h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G) + (L - \lfloor wL \rfloor) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G)
\end{aligned}$$

$2Rab^{-L}$  goes to zero as  $L$  goes to infinity, so

$$\begin{aligned}
\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X_{\Omega}, G, d) &= \limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(X_{\Omega}, G, d, 2Rab^{-L})}{-\log(2Ra) + L \log b} \\
&\leq \limsup_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lfloor wL \rfloor h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G) + (L - \lfloor wL \rfloor) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G)}{-\log(2Ra) + L \log b} \\
&= \frac{w}{\log b} h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G) + \frac{1-w}{\log b} h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G) \\
&= \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log a} + \left( \frac{1}{\log b} - \frac{1}{\log a} \right) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G).
\end{aligned}$$

The rest task is to prove the other side. Let  $\varepsilon$  be a positive number. For any  $m \geq 1$ , since

$$\left\| x|_{B_S(m)} - y|_{B_S(m)} \right\|_{\infty} \leq d_{B_S(m)}(x, y) \quad (x, y \in X_{\Omega}),$$

where  $x|_{B_S(m)}$  denotes the projection of  $x$  to  $X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}$ , then for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$\#(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, \varepsilon) \leq \#(X_{\Omega}, d_{B_S(m)}, \varepsilon).$$

By Lemma 5.13, we have

$$\log \#(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, b^{-L}) \geq \log(\#\Omega|_{B_S(m)}^{\lfloor wL \rfloor} \cdot \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m)}^{L-\lfloor wL \rfloor}),$$

thus

$$\frac{\log \#(X_{\Omega}|_{B_S(m)}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty}, b^{-L})}{|B_S(m)| L \log b} \geq \frac{\lfloor wL \rfloor \log \#\Omega|_{B_S(m)} + (L - \lfloor wL \rfloor) \log \#\Omega'|_{B_S(m)}}{|B_S(m)| L \log b}.$$

Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\frac{S(X, G, d, b^{-L})}{L \log b} \geq \liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lfloor wL \rfloor h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G) + (L - \lfloor wL \rfloor) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G)}{L \log b}.$$

So

$$\liminf_{L \rightarrow \infty} \frac{S(X, G, d, b^{-L})}{L \log b} \geq \frac{w}{\log b} h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G) + \frac{1-w}{\log b} h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G).$$

This proves

$$\overline{\text{mdim}}_{\text{M}}(X_{\Omega}, G, d) \geq \frac{h_{\text{top}}(\Omega, G)}{\log a} + \left( \frac{1}{\log b} - \frac{1}{\log a} \right) h_{\text{top}}(\Omega', G).$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Bedford, T., Crinkly curves, Markov partitions and dimension, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Warwick, (1984). [1](#)
- [2] Chen, E.; Dou, D.; Zheng, D., Variational principles for amenable metric mean dimensions, *J. Differ. Equ.*, 319, 41-79 (2022). [2](#), [20](#)
- [3] Coornaert, M., Topological Dimension and Dynamical Systems. Universitext (2015), Springer: Springer Cham, Translated and revised from the 2005 French original. [2](#)
- [4] Coornaert, M.; Krieger, F., Mean topological dimension for actions of discrete amenable groups, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 13, 3, 779-793 (2005). [2](#)
- [5] Dou, D., Minimal subshifts of arbitrary mean topological dimension, *Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst.*, 37, 3, 1411-1424(2017). [2](#)
- [6] Falconer, K. J., Dimensions and measures of quasi self-similar sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106, 543-554(1989). [1](#), [8](#)
- [7] Furstenberg, H., Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in Diophantine approximation, *Math. Syst. Theory* 1, 1-49 (1967) . [1](#)
- [8] Gromov, M., Topological invariants of dynamical systems and spaces of holomorphic maps, Part I, *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.*, 2, 323-415(1999). [1](#), [3](#)
- [9] Jin, L.; Park, K. K.; Qiao, Y., Mean dimension and a non-embeddable example for amenable group actions, *Fund. Math.*, 257, 1, 19-38(2022). [2](#)
- [10] Krieger, F., Groupes moyennables, dimension topologique moyenne et sous-décalages, *Geom. Dedic.*, 122, 15-31 (2006). [2](#)
- [11] Krieger, F., Minimal systems of arbitrary large mean topological dimension, *Isr. J. Math.*, 172, 425-444 (2009) . [2](#)
- [12] Li, H. and Liang, B., Mean dimension, mean rank, and von Neumann-Lück rank, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 739, 207-240(2018). [2](#)
- [13] Li, Z., Amenable upper mean dimensions, *Anal. Math. Phys.*, 11, 3, Paper No. 99, 12(2021). [2](#)
- [14] Lindenstrauss, E.; Tsukamoto, M., Double variational principle for mean dimension, *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 1048-1109 (2019). [2](#), [3](#), [6](#)
- [15] Lindenstrauss, E.; Tsukamoto, M., From rate distortion theory to metric mean dimension: variational principle, *IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory*, 64, 5, 3590-3609 (2018). [2](#)
- [16] Lindenstrauss, E.; Weiss, B., Mean topological dimension, *Isr. J. Math.*, 115, 1-24 (2000). [1](#), [2](#), [8](#)
- [17] Liang, B., Conditional mean dimension, *Ergodic Theory Dyn. Syst.*, 42, 10, 3152-3166(2022). [1](#)
- [18] McMullen, C., The Hausdorff dimension of general Sierpinski carpets, *Nagoya Math. J.*, 96, 1-9(1984). [1](#)
- [19] Ornstein, D.; Weiss, B., Entropy and isomorphism theorems for actions of amenable groups, *J. Anal. Math.*, 48, 1-141(1987). [3](#)
- [20] Shi, R.; Zhang, G., Mean topological dimension of induced amenable group actions, arxiv preprint arxiv:2308.03270, (2023). [2](#)
- [21] Tang, D.; Wu, H.; Li, Z., Weighted upper metric mean dimension for amenable group actions, *Dyn. Syst.*, 35, 3, 382-397 (2020).
- [22] Tsukamoto, M., Double variational principle for mean dimension with potential, *Adv. Math.*, 361, (2020). [2](#)
- [23] Tsukamoto, M., Mean Hausdorff dimension of some infinite dimensional fractals, arXiv preprint arXiv:2209.00512, (2023). [1](#), [3](#), [7](#), [8](#), [12](#), [15](#), [27](#), [30](#)
- [24] Wang, Y., Weighted mean topological dimension, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 493, 1, Paper No. 124524, 13(2021). [2](#)

- [25] Wang, Y.; Chen, E., Double variational principle for mean dimensions with sub-additive potentials, J. Math. Phys., 64, 8, Paper No. 082701, 28(2023). [2](#)
- [26] Wang, Y.; Chen, E.; Zhou, X., Mean dimension theory in symbolic dynamics for finitely generated amenable groups, Discrete Contin. Dyn. Syst., 42, 9, 4219-4236(2022). [2](#)

*Email address:* [lixq233@mail2.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:lixq233@mail2.sysu.edu.cn)

*Email address:* [luoxf29@mail2.sysu.edu.cn](mailto:luoxf29@mail2.sysu.edu.cn)