

Multiplicity of the ground state of the interaction system of a massless Bose field

Toshimitsu Takaesu

Faculty of Science and Technology, Gunma University, Gunma, Japan

[Abstract] An interaction system of a massless Bose field is investigated. We suppose regularity conditions for the commutation relations of the interaction and annihilation operators. It is proven that if the ground state exists, its multiplicity is finite.

Key words: Quantum fields, Fock spaces, Ground states

1 Introduction and Main Result

In this paper, we consider an interaction system of a massless Bose field. Let \mathcal{K} be a Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} . The state space for the system is given by

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{K} \otimes \mathcal{F}_b, \quad (1)$$

where \mathcal{F}_b denotes the boson Fock space over $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The free Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_0 = K \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H_b, \quad (2)$$

where K is an operator on \mathcal{K} and $H_b = d\Gamma(\omega)$ is the second quantization of $\omega = \omega(\mathbf{k})$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$. We suppose the following condition.

(H.1) K is self-adjoint and bounded from below.

(H.2) $\omega \in C(\mathbb{R})$, $\inf_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}} \omega(\mathbf{k}) = 0$, $\lim_{|\mathbf{k}| \rightarrow \infty} \omega(\mathbf{k}) = \infty$.

The total Hamiltonian defined by

$$H = H_0 + H_1. \quad (3)$$

Suppose the condition below.

(H.3) H_1 is symmetric.

(H.4) H is self-adjoint and bounded from below.

The annihilation operator is denoted by $a(f)$ and the creation operator by $a^\dagger(f)$. It holds that $a(\alpha f + \beta g) = \alpha a(f) + \beta a(g)$, $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$, and $a(f) = (a^\dagger(f))^*$ where X^* denotes the adjoint of operator X . The creation operators and annihilation operators satisfy the canonical commutation relation

$$[a(f), a^\dagger(g)] = \langle f, g \rangle, \quad (4)$$

$$[a(f), a(g)] = [a^\dagger(f), a^\dagger(g)] = 0. \quad (5)$$

The weak commutator of X and Y is defined by

$$[X, Y]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \langle X^* \Phi, Y \Psi \rangle - \langle Y^* \Phi, X \Psi \rangle.$$

The main interest in this paper is to investigate the multiplicity of the ground state of H . The ground state of a system in quantum field theory is an important mathematical subject and has been studied by many researchers. For the multiplicity of the ground states, the uniqueness of the ground states in the massive case for the generalized spin-boson model [2] and the non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics model [5] are investigated. In [11], an abstract system of a massless Bose field is considered and an evaluation of the multiplicity of the ground state for the sufficiently small values of the coupling constants is obtained. An abstract Peron-Frobenius theory and its applications are considered in [13]. The finiteness of the multiplicity of the ground state for the quantum electrodynamics for all values of coupling constants is proven in [14]. In addition, it is known that for the Hamiltonian which can be represented by functional integration, the uniqueness of the ground state is shown by probabilistic methods [12].

We suppose the additional conditions below.

(H.5) K has a compact resolvent.

(H.6) There exist $L_0 \geq 0$ and $R_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$\|H_0 \Psi\| \leq L_0 \|H \Psi\| + R_0 \|\Psi\|.$$

(H.7) There exists $G_I : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that for all $\Phi, \Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$[H_I, \mathbf{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle \Phi, G_I(\mathbf{k}) \Psi \rangle d\mathbf{k}.$$

In addition, there exist $\zeta_l \geq 0$ and $\tau_l \geq 0$, $l = 0, 1$, such that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^{2l}} \|G_I(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \zeta_l \|H \Psi\|^2 + \tau_l \|\Psi\|^2.$$

(H.8) It holds that $\omega \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d \setminus I_0)$ where $I_0 = \{\mathbf{a}_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$, $\mathbf{a}_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\sup_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus I_0} |\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})| < \infty$, $j = 1, \dots, d$.

(H.9) There exist $\mu_0 \geq 0$ and $\nu_0 \geq 0$ such that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} \|G_I(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \mu_0 \|H \Psi\|^2 + \nu_0 \|\Psi\|^2.$$

(H.10) $G_I(\mathbf{k})$ is strongly differentiable for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{J_0\}$, where $J_0 = \{\mathbf{b}_l\}_{l=1}^\infty$, $\mathbf{b}_l \in \mathbb{R}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. There exist $\mu_j(\kappa) \geq 0$ and $\nu_j \geq 0$, $j = 1, \dots, d$, such that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} \|\partial_{k_j} G_I(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \mu_j \|H \Psi\|^2 + \nu_j \|\Psi\|^2.$$

The following is the main result.

Theorem 1.1 *Suppose (H.1)-(H.10). Then if H has a ground state, its multiplicity is finite.*

The main feature of Theorem 1.1 is that it is independent of the coupling constants, as seen in the application to a concrete example in Section 3. The outline of the proof is as follows. We use a method considered in [14], which is a combined technique of the approximation of localized momentum in [7] and the Boson derivative bound in [8] for showing the the existence of the ground state for all valued coupling constants.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, the proof of the main theorem is given. In section 3, the application of the main theorem is investigated.

2 Proof of Main Result

2.1 Preliminary

In the following, basic terms and properties of Fock space are explained. For the detail, refer to [1]. The Fock vacuum is defined by $\Omega_0 = \{1, 0, 0 \dots\} \in \mathcal{F}_b$. The finite particle subspace on a subspace \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_{b,\text{fin}}(\mathcal{M}) = \text{L.h.} \left\{ \Omega_0, a^\dagger(f_1) \dots a^\dagger(f_n) \Omega_0 \mid f_j \in \mathcal{M}, j = 1, \dots, n, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{D}_b = \mathcal{F}_{b,\text{fin}}(\mathcal{D}(\omega)).$$

It is seen that H_b is essentially self-adjoint on \mathcal{D}_b .

It holds that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_b^{1/2})$,

$$\|a(f)\Psi\| \leq \left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\| \|H_b^{1/2}\Psi\|, \quad (6)$$

$$\|a^\dagger(f)\Psi\| \leq \left\| \frac{f}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\| \|H_b^{1/2}\Psi\| + \|f\| \|\Psi\|. \quad (7)$$

For all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}_b$, it follows that

$$[H_b, a(f)]\Psi = -a(\omega f)\Psi. \quad (8)$$

From (8), we see that for all $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega) \cap \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_b^{1/2})$,

$$[H_b, a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = -\langle \Phi, a(\omega f)\Psi \rangle. \quad (9)$$

From (4) and (5), we also see that for all $f, \rho \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_b^{1/2})$,

$$[a^\dagger(\rho), a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = -\langle f, \rho \rangle \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle, \quad (10)$$

$$[a(\rho), a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = 0. \quad (11)$$

2.2 Pull-Through Formula and Derivative Bound

Henceforth, we assume the existence of the ground state of H . Let Ω be the normalized ground state of H :

$$H\Omega = E_0(H)\Omega, \quad \|\Omega\| = 1,$$

where $E_0(H) = \inf \sigma(H)$. The kernel of an annihilation operator is defined by

$$(a(\mathbf{k})\Psi)^{(n)}(\mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n) = \sqrt{n+1}\Psi^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}_1, \dots, \mathbf{k}_n).$$

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2})$. Then it holds that for all $\Phi \in \mathcal{F}_b$ and $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_b^{1/2})$,

$$\langle \Phi, a(f)\Psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle \Phi, a(\mathbf{k})\Psi \rangle d\mathbf{k}. \quad (12)$$

Let $N_b = d\Gamma(\mathbb{1})$. It holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle a(\mathbf{k})\Psi, a(\mathbf{k})\Psi \rangle d\mathbf{k} = \|N_b^{1/2}\Psi\|^2, \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{D}(N_b^{1/2}). \quad (13)$$

It also holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \omega(\mathbf{k}) \langle a(\mathbf{k})\Psi, a(\mathbf{k})\Psi \rangle d\mathbf{k} = \|H_b^{1/2}\Psi\|^2, \quad \Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_b^{1/2}). \quad (14)$$

Proposition 2.1 (Pull-Through Formula) *Suppose (H.1)-(H.4) and (H.7). Then,*

$$\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega = (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_1(\mathbf{k})\Omega.$$

(Proof) Let $f \in \mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ and $\Phi \in \mathcal{D}(H)$. From (9), we have

$$[H, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Omega \rangle = -\langle \Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\omega f)\Omega \rangle + [H_1, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Omega \rangle. \quad (15)$$

Since $H\Omega = E_0(H)\Omega$, we also see that

$$\begin{aligned} [H, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Omega \rangle &= \langle H\Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)\Omega \rangle - E_0(H) \langle \mathbb{1} \otimes a^\dagger(f)\Phi, \Omega \rangle \\ &= \langle (H - E_0(H))\Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)\Omega \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By (15) and (16), we have

$$\langle (H - E_0(H))\Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)\Omega \rangle + \langle \Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\omega f)\Omega \rangle = [H_1, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Omega \rangle.$$

By (12) and (H.7),

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))\Phi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle d\mathbf{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle \Phi, G_1(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle d\mathbf{k}.$$

Let $\Xi = (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1}\Xi$, $\Xi \in \mathcal{H}$. Then we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle \Xi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle d\mathbf{k} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(\mathbf{k})^* \langle \Xi, (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_1(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle d\mathbf{k}. \quad (17)$$

Since $\mathcal{D}(\omega^{-1/2}) \cap \mathcal{D}(\omega)$ is dense in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, (17) yields that

$$\langle \Xi, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle = \langle \Xi, (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega \rangle. \quad (18)$$

Since (18) holds for all $\Xi \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega = (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega.$$

Thus, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 2.2 (Boson Number Bound) *Suppose (H.1)-(H.4) and (H.7). Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq c_1,$$

where $c_1 = E_0(H)^2 \zeta_1 + \tau_1$.

(Proof) By Proposition 2.1 and (H.5),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|(H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} \|G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ &\leq \zeta_1 \|H\Omega\|^2 + \tau_1 \|\Omega\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $H\Omega = E_0(H)\Omega$ and $\|\Omega\| = 1$, the proof is obtained. \square

Using Proposition 2.1, we prove the following.

Proposition 2.3 (Boson Derivative Bound) *Suppose (H.1)-(H.4) and (H.7)-(H.10). Then,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{k_j}(\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}))\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \xi_j,$$

where $\xi_j = 2((\mu_0 + \mu_j)E_0(H)^2 + \nu_0 + \nu_j)$.

(Proof) By Proposition 2.1, (H.8) and the strong differentiability of the resolvent, we have for all $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d \setminus (I_0 \cup J_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_{k_j}(\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}))\Omega &= \partial_{k_j}((H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega) \\ &= -(H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-2} (\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})) G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega \\ &\quad + (H - E_0(H) + \omega(\mathbf{k}))^{-1} \partial_{k_j} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

By (H.9) and (H.10),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{k_j}(\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}))\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} &\leq 2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} \|G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} \|\partial_{k_j} G_I(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \right) \\ &\leq 2(\mu_0 \|H\Omega\|^2 + \nu_0 \|\Omega\|^2 + \mu_j \|H\Omega\|^2 + \nu_j \|\Omega\|^2) \\ &\leq 2((\mu_0 + \mu_j)E_0(H)^2 + \nu_0 + \nu_j). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the assertion follows. \square

Let X be an operator in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We set

$$\Gamma(X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} (\otimes^n X).$$

Lemma 2.4 *Let J be a self-adjoint operator on $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Suppose that J is non-negative and $\|J\| \leq 1$. Then, it follows that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(N_b)$,*

$$\|(\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(J))\Psi\|^2 \leq (\Psi, d\Gamma(1 - J)\Psi). \quad (19)$$

(Proof) Since $\|J\| \leq 1$, it holds that $\mathcal{D}(d\Gamma(J)) = \mathcal{D}(N_b)$. Let $\Psi = \{\Psi^{(n)}\}_{n=0}^\infty \in \mathcal{D}(N_b)$. Let $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$, $j = 1, \dots, n$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we see that

$$(1 - \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n)^2 \leq (1 - \lambda_1 \dots \lambda_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (1 - \lambda_j). \quad (20)$$

By (20) and the spectral decomposition theorem, we have for each n -particle components,

$$\|(\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(J))\Psi^{(n)}\|^2 = (\Psi^{(n)}, (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(J))^2 \Psi^{(n)}) \leq (\Psi^{(n)}, d\Gamma(1 - J)\Psi^{(n)}). \quad (21)$$

From (21), the lemma follows. \square

We consider a localization estimate of momentum, which is investigated in [6], [7]. Let $\chi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We suppose that $0 \leq \chi \leq 1$ and $\chi(\mathbf{k}) = 1$ for $|\mathbf{k}| \leq 1$. Let $\chi_R(\mathbf{k}) = \chi(\frac{\mathbf{k}}{R})$.

Proposition 2.5 *Suppose (H.1)-(H.4) and (H.7)-(H.10). Then,*

$$\|\mathbb{1} \otimes (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})))\Omega\|^2 \leq c_1^{1/2} \left(\frac{d+1}{R^4} c_1 + \frac{d+1}{R^2} \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j \right)^{1/2}.$$

(Proof) Let $\hat{\mathbf{k}} = -i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}$. From Lemma Lemma 2.2 and 2.4, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\mathbb{1} \otimes (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}})))\Omega\|^2 \\ & \leq (\Omega, \mathbb{1} \otimes d\Gamma(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\Omega) \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} (\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega, \mathbb{1} \otimes (1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega) d\mathbf{k} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \right)^{1/2} \\ & = c_1^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))}{1 + \hat{\mathbf{k}}^2} (1 + \hat{\mathbf{k}}^2) \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega \right\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ & \leq (d+1) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))}{1 + \hat{\mathbf{k}}^2} \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k})\Omega \right\|^2 d\mathbf{k} + \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left\| \frac{(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}}))\hat{k}_j}{1 + \hat{\mathbf{k}}^2} \partial_{k_j}(\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}))\Omega \right\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

We see that

$$\sup_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{(1 - \chi_R(\mathbf{k}))}{1 + \mathbf{k}^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{R^2}, \quad (24)$$

and,

$$\sup_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d} \left| \frac{(1 - \chi_R(\mathbf{k}))k_j}{1 + \mathbf{k}^2} \right| \leq \frac{1}{R}, \quad j = 1, \dots, d. \quad (25)$$

By the spectral decomposition theorem, we apply (24) and (25) to (23), and then,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|(1 - \chi_R(\hat{\mathbf{k}})) \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}) \Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ & \leq \frac{d+1}{R^4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}) \Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} + \frac{d+1}{R^2} \sum_{j=1}^d \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|\partial_{k_j} \mathbb{1} \otimes a(\mathbf{k}) \Omega\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \\ & \leq \frac{d+1}{R^4} c_1 + \frac{d+1}{R^2} \sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j. \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

Here we used Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.3 in the last line. From (22) and (26), the lemma follows. \square

From Proposition 2.5, the next corollary follows.

Corollary 2.6 *Suppose (H.1)-(H.4) and (H.7)-(H.10). Then,*

$$\|\mathbb{1} \otimes (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \Omega\| \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{R}}, \quad R \geq 1, \quad (27)$$

$$\text{where } c_2 = (d+1)^{1/4} \left(c_1^{1/2} + c_1^{1/4} \left(\sum_{j=1}^d \xi_j \right)^{1/4} \right).$$

(Proof of Theorem 1.1)

Suppose that $\dim \ker (H - E_0(H)) = \infty$. Then there exists a sequence of the normalized ground states $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ of H . Here note that $\{\Omega_j\}_{j=1}^\infty$ is an orthonormal system of H , and hence, $w\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_j = 0$. Let P_b be the spectral projection of N_b . It is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{1} \otimes \mathbb{1} &= \mathbb{1} \otimes P_b([0, n]) + \mathbb{1} \otimes P_b([n+1, \infty)) \\ &= \mathbb{1} \otimes P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) + \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(P_b([0, n]) (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) + \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(P_b([n+1, \infty)) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) \right) \Omega_j \right\| \\ & \geq 1 - \left\| \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(P_b([0, n]) (\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \Omega_j \right\| - \left\| \mathbb{1} \otimes P_b([n+1, \infty)) \Omega_j \right\| \\ & \geq 1 - \left\| \mathbb{1} \otimes \left(\mathbb{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) \right) \Omega_j \right\| - \left\| \mathbb{1} \otimes P_b([n+1, \infty)) \Omega_j \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

From Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{1} \otimes \left(\mathbf{1} - \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) \right) \Omega_j \right\| \leq \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{R}}. \quad (29)$$

By Lemma 2.2 and (13), we have

$$\left\| \mathbf{1} \otimes P_b([n+1, \infty)) \Omega_j \right\| \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{n+1}} \left\| \mathbf{1} \otimes N_b^{1/2} \Omega_j \right\| \leq \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{n+1}}. \quad (30)$$

Applying (29) and (30) to (28),

$$\left\| \mathbf{1} \otimes \left(P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) \right) \Omega_j \right\| \geq 1 - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{n+1}}. \quad (31)$$

Taking $R > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\frac{c_2}{\sqrt{R}} + \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{n+1}} < 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \mathbf{1} \otimes \left(P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})) \right) \Omega_j \right\|^2 \\ & \leq \left\| (H_0 + i) \Omega_j \right\| \left\| (H_0 - i)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \Omega_j \right\|. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

By (H.6),

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| (H_0 + i) \Omega_j \right\| & \leq \left\| H_0 \Omega_j \right\| + \left\| \Omega_j \right\| \\ & \leq L_0 \left\| H \Omega_j \right\| + R_0 \left\| \Omega_j \right\| + \left\| \Omega_j \right\| \\ & \leq L_0 E_0(H) + R_0 + 1. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Applying (32) and (33) to (31), we have

$$\left\| (H_0 - i)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \Omega_j \right\| \geq \frac{1}{c_3} \left(1 - \frac{c_2}{\sqrt{R}} - \frac{\sqrt{c_1}}{\sqrt{n+1}} \right)^2. \quad (34)$$

where $c_3 = L_0 E_0(H) + R_0 + 1$. It is seen that

$$\begin{aligned} & (H_0 - i)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \\ & = \left((H_0 - i)^{-1} ((K - i) \otimes (H_b - i)) \right) \left((K - i)^{-1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) (H_b - i)^{-1} \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Here, we see that $(H_0 - i)^{-1} ((K - i) \otimes (H_b - i))$ is bounded. We also see that $(K - i)^{-1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) (H_b - i)^{-1} \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}})))$ is compact. Hence, (35) yields that $(H_0 - i)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right)$ is compact. Since $w\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_j = 0$, it holds that $s\text{-}\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} (H_0 - i)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \Omega_j = 0$. On the other hand, (34) yields that $\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \left\| (H_0 + 1)^{-1} \left(\mathbf{1} \otimes (P_b([0, n]) \Gamma(\chi_R(-i\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}))) \right) \Omega_j \right\| > 0$, and this is a contradiction. Hence $\dim \ker (H - E_0(H)) < \infty$. \square

3 Applications

3.1 Winger-Weisskopf Model

The Winger-Weisskopf model Model describes a two-level atom interacting with a scalar Bose field. It is a concrete example of the Dereziński-Gerald model [7] and the generalized spin-boson model [2], and its ground state property is investigated (e.g., [3],[4],[9]). The total Hamiltonian acting in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathcal{F}_b$ is defined by

$$H_\alpha = \zeta c^* c \otimes \mathbb{1} + \mathbb{1} \otimes H_b + H_I(\alpha), \quad (36)$$

where

$$H_I(\alpha) = \alpha (c^* \otimes a(\rho_I) + c \otimes a(\rho_I)^*). \quad (37)$$

Here $\zeta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ is a constant, $c = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $H_b = d\Gamma(\omega)$ with $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}|$, $\mathbf{k} \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and $\rho_I \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. It is seen that c and c^* has the following fermionic property;

$$\begin{aligned} \{c, c^*\} &= \mathbb{1}, \\ \{c, c\} &= \{c^*, c^*\} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We see that $\|c\| \leq 1$ and $\|c^*\| \leq 1$. From the definition of H_α , it is seen that (H.1)-(H.3) are satisfied. For the self-adjointness of H_α , Suppose the condition below.

$$(A.1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})} d\mathbf{k} < \infty.$$

By (6) and (7), it holds that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{1} \otimes H_b^{1/2})$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_I(\alpha)\Psi\| &= |\alpha| \|(c^* \otimes a(\rho_I) + c \otimes a(\rho_I)^*)\Psi\| \\ &\leq |\alpha| (\|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\rho_I)\Psi\| + \|\mathbb{1} \otimes a(\rho_I)^*\Psi\|) \\ &\leq 2|\alpha| \left\| \frac{\rho_I}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\| \|\mathbb{1} \otimes H_b^{1/2}\Psi\| + |\alpha| \|\rho_I\| \|\Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

By the spectral decomposition theorem, we see that for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathbb{1} \otimes H_b^{1/2}\Psi\| &\leq \varepsilon \|\mathbb{1} \otimes H_b\Psi\| + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|\Psi\| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \|H_0\Psi\| + \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \|\Psi\|. \end{aligned} \quad (39)$$

Here we used $c^*c \geq \mathbb{1}$ in the last line. From (38) and (39), we have

$$\|H_I(\alpha)\Psi\| \leq c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon) \|H_0\Psi\| + d_I(\alpha, \varepsilon) \|\Psi\|, \quad (40)$$

where $c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon) = 2\varepsilon|\alpha| \left\| \frac{\rho_I}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\|$ and $d_I(\alpha, \varepsilon) = \frac{|\alpha|}{\varepsilon} \left\| \frac{\rho_I}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\| + |\alpha| \|\rho_I\|$. Taking $\varepsilon > 0$ such as $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{2\alpha \left\| \frac{\rho_I}{\sqrt{\omega}} \right\|}$, then H_α is self-adjoint for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ by the Kato-Rellich theorem. Hence

(H.4) is satisfied. Since $\zeta c^* c$ is a 2×2 matrix, (H.5) follows. From (40), it is seen that for all $\Psi \in \mathcal{D}(H_0)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|H_0\Psi\| &\leq \|H_\alpha\Psi\| + \|H_I(\alpha)\Psi\| \\ &\leq \|H_\alpha\Psi\| + c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon)\|H_0\Psi\| + d_I(\alpha, \varepsilon)\|\Psi\|. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ such as $1 - c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon) > 0$, then we have

$$\|H_0\Psi\| \leq L_I(\varepsilon, \alpha)\|H_\alpha\Psi\| + R_I(\varepsilon, \alpha)\|\Psi\|, \quad (41)$$

where $L_I(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \frac{1}{1 - c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon)}$ and $R_I(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \frac{d_I(\alpha, \varepsilon)}{1 - c_I(\alpha, \varepsilon)}$. From (41), (H.6) is satisfied.

For the existence of the ground state, we introduce a stronger condition than (A.1).

$$(A.2) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} d\mathbf{k} < \infty.$$

Then it follows that under (A.2), H_α has the ground state for all values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$, ([7]; Theorem 1).

Let us consider the multiplicity of the ground state of H_α . Suppose the condition below.

$$(B.1) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} d\mathbf{k} < \infty.$$

$$(B.2) \rho_I \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{k_j} \rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} d\mathbf{k} < \infty, j = 1, \dots, d.$$

Here note that (B.1) is a stronger condition than (A.2). Let us check the conditions (H.7)-(H.10). From (10) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} [H_I(\alpha), \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle &= \alpha \left([c^* \otimes a(\rho_I), \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle + [c \otimes a(\rho_I)^*, \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle \right) \\ &= -\alpha \langle f, \rho_I \rangle \langle \Phi, c \otimes \mathbb{1} \Psi \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

From (42), we have

$$[H_I(\alpha), \mathbb{1} \otimes a(f)]^0 \langle \Phi, \Psi \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \Phi, Q_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \Psi \rangle, \quad (43)$$

where $Q_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) = -\alpha \rho_I(\mathbf{k}) c \otimes \mathbb{1}$. Then, it holds that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^{2l}} \|Q_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \alpha^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^{2l}} d\mathbf{k} \right) \|\Psi\|, \quad l = 0, 1, \quad (44)$$

and hence (H.7) holds. Since $\omega(\mathbf{k}) = |\mathbf{k}|$, we see that $\sup_{\mathbb{R}^d \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}} |\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})| \leq 1$, and (H.8) is satisfied. It is seen that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{k_j} \omega(\mathbf{k})|}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} \|Q_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \alpha^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} d\mathbf{k} \right) \|\Psi\|, \quad (45)$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{1}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^2} \|\partial_{k_j} Q_\alpha(\mathbf{k}) \Psi\|^2 d\mathbf{k} \leq \alpha^2 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \frac{|\partial_{k_j} \rho_I(\mathbf{k})|^2}{\omega(\mathbf{k})^4} d\mathbf{k} \right) \|\Psi\|. \quad (46)$$

Hence (H.9) and (H.10) are satisfied. Thus the following theorem holds.

Theorem 3.1 *Suppose (B.1) and (B.2). Then, $\dim \ker (H_\alpha - E_0(H_\alpha)) < \infty$ for all values of $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.*

3.2 Application to Other Models

The generalized spin-boson model includes various models. For instance, there is a lattice spin system interacting with phonon ([2];Example 1.3). Let Λ be a finite set of the d -dimensional square lattice \mathbb{Z}^d . Let $\mathbf{S} = (S^{(n)})_{n=1}^N$ be a N component spin. Here $S^{(n)}$ acts on \mathbb{C}^s with $s \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\mathcal{K}_\Lambda = \otimes_{i \in \Lambda} \mathcal{K}_i$ with $\mathcal{K}_i = \mathbb{C}^s$. Let \mathbf{S}_i be the spin at site $i \in \Lambda$. The Hamiltonian acting in $\mathcal{K}_\Lambda \otimes \mathcal{F}_b$, is given by

$$H_\Lambda(\beta) = \left(- \sum_{(i,j) \subset \Lambda} J_{ij} \mathbf{S}_i \cdot \mathbf{S}_j \right) \otimes \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} \otimes H_b + \beta \sum_{j \in \Lambda} \sum_{n=1}^N S_j^{(n)} \otimes \left(a(\rho_j^{(n)}) + a^\dagger(\rho_j^{(n)}) \right)$$

where $J_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$, $i, j \in \Lambda$, and $\rho_j^{(n)} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $j \in \Lambda$, $j = 1, \dots, N$. We omit the proof, but Theorem 1.1 can be applied to $H_\Lambda(\beta)$.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by JSPS KAKENHI 20K03625.

References

- [1] A. Arai, *Analysis on Fock spaces and mathematical theory of quantum fields: An introduction to mathematical analysis of quantum fields*, World Scientific Publishing, 2018.
- [2] A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, On the existence and uniqueness of ground states of a generalized spin-boson model, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **151**, 455-503, (1997).
- [3] A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, Ground states of a general class of quantum field Hamiltonians, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **12**, 1085-1135, (2000).
- [4] A. Arai and M. Hirokawa, Stability of ground states in sectors and its application to the Wigner-Weisskopf Model, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **13**, 513-528, (2001).
- [5] V. Bach, J. Fröhlich and I. M. Sigal, Quantum electrodynamics of confined nonrelativistic particles, *Adv. Math.*, **137**, 299-395, (1998).
- [6] J. Dereziński and C. Gérard, Asymptotic completeness in quantum field theory: Massive Pauli-Fierz Hamiltonian, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **11**, 383-450, (1999).
- [7] C. Gérard, On the existence of ground states for massless Pauli-Fierz hamiltonians, *Ann. H. Poincaré*, **1**, 443-459, (2000).
- [8] M. Griesemer, E. Lieb and M. Loss, Ground states in non-relativistic quantum electrodynamics, *Invent. Math.*, **145**, 557-595, (2001).
- [9] M. Hirokawa, Remarks on the ground state energy of the spin-boson model. An Application of the Wigner-Weisskopf model, *Rev. Math. Phys.*, **13**, 221-251, (2001).
- [10] F. Hiroshima, *Ground states of quantum field models: Perturbation of embedded eigenvalues*, Springer, (2019).

- [11] F. Hiroshima, Multiplicity of ground states in quantum field models; Applications of asymptotic fields, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **224**, 431-470, (2005).
- [12] F. Hiroshima and J. Lőrinczi, *Feynman-Kac-Type theorems and Gibbs measures on path space: Applications in rigorous quantum field Theory*, De Gruyter, (2020).
- [13] T. Miyao, Nondegeneracy of ground states in the nonrelativistic quantum field theory, *J. Operator Theory*, **64**, 207-241, (2010).
- [14] T. Takaesu, Ground states of quantum electrodynamics with cutoffs, *J. Math. Phys.*, **59**, 072301, (2018).