

Pure maps are strict monomorphisms

Kristóf Kanalas

Abstract

We prove that *i*) if \mathcal{A} is λ -accessible and it is axiomatizable in (finitary) coherent logic then λ -pure maps are strict monomorphisms and *ii*) if there is a proper class of strongly compact cardinals and \mathcal{A} is λ -accessible then for some $\mu \triangleright \lambda$ every μ -pure map is a strict monomorphism.

Introduction

In the book [AR94] the authors ask if it is true that in a λ -accessible category λ -pure maps are regular monomorphisms. In [AHT96] a counterexample is given: there is a small ω -accessible category with an ω -pure map which is an epi (but not iso), hence it is not even a strong monomorphism.

Some positive results are known: in accessible categories with pushouts ([AHT96]) or in accessible categories with products ([HP97]) the answer is affirmative. Here we will give some further positive results.

Our argument goes through the syntactic side of categorical logic: κ -sites, κ -toposes, etc. For these notions we refer to [Kan24, Section 2] or alternatively to [EK23].

The main idea is the following: given a λ -accessible category \mathcal{A} we may axiomatize it by a so-called λ -site: there is a small λ -lex category \mathcal{C} with some specified arrow-families (wide cospans), whose set we call E , such that \mathcal{A} is equivalent to the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Lex}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ spanned by those λ -lex functors which send the E -families to jointly surjective ones.

If \mathcal{C} is regular then every λ -pure map $\alpha : M \rightarrow N$ in \mathcal{A} is a regular monomorphism in $\mathbf{Lex}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$. So it is the equalizer of some pair $\beta_1, \beta_2 : N \rightarrow F$ where F is a λ -lex functor. Therefore it would be enough to find a monomorphism from F to some model. This can almost be done: if (\mathcal{C}, E) satisfies some further exactness properties then every λ -lex functor admits a regular monomorphism to a product of models, and hence α is the joint equalizer of a set of parallel pairs. Such maps are called strict monomorphisms.

In what follows we will clarify these claims.

Definition 1. $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D}, M, N : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ are lex. $\alpha : M \Rightarrow N$ is elementary if the naturality squares at monos are pullbacks.

Remark 2. Think of \mathcal{C} as a syntactic category, and $M, N : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ as models. Then a map $M \Rightarrow N$ is elementary iff the squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [\varphi(\vec{x})]^M & \hookrightarrow & [\vec{x} = \vec{x}]^M \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\varphi(\vec{x})]^N & \hookrightarrow & [\vec{x} = \vec{x}]^N \end{array}$$

are pullbacks. So maps between models are functions preserving the formulas in the fragment (commutativity), elementary maps are functions preserving and reflecting them (pullback).

Supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic under the grant 22-02964S.

When \mathcal{C} is a coherent category (i.e. formulas in \mathcal{C} are positive existential), maps are just homomorphisms, elementary maps are those homomorphisms which reflect pos. ex. formulas. These are called immersions in positive logic.

Remark 3. Elementary maps were first defined in [Bar86]. They are also called elementary in [Lur18]. They are called immersions e.g. in [KW24].

Proposition 4. *elementary \Rightarrow pointwise mono.*

Proof. [Kan24, Proposition 5.7.] □

There is an interesting special case when the converse is also true:

Proposition 5. *Let $F_* : \mathcal{E}_1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_2$ be a geometric morphism between Grothendieck-toposes. If the unit $\eta : 1 \Rightarrow F_*F^*$ is pointwise mono then it is elementary.*

Proof. [Kan24, Corollary 5.12.] □

Remark 6. $\mathcal{C}, M, N : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ are lex. By definition, $\alpha : M \Rightarrow N$ is elementary iff for every mono $u \hookrightarrow x$ in \mathcal{C}

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Mu & \hookrightarrow & Mx \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha_x \\ Nu & \hookrightarrow & Nx \end{array}$$

is a pullback, i.e. iff for every $a \in Mx$ if $\alpha_x(a) \in Nu$ then $a \in Mu$. In other terms every commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{x} := \mathcal{C}(x, -) & \xrightarrow{\quad = \quad} & M \\ \downarrow \hat{i} := - \circ i & \nearrow \text{dashed} & \downarrow \alpha \\ \hat{u} := \mathcal{C}(u, -) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \end{array}$$

admits a diagonal map which makes the upper triangle commutative.

This looks very similar to the definition of pure maps.

Definition 7. \mathcal{K} is λ -accessible (λ infinite, regular). A map $f : x \rightarrow y$ is λ -pure if for any commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} a & \longrightarrow & x \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow f \\ b & \longrightarrow & y \end{array}$$

with a, b λ -presentable, there is a diagonal map $h : b \rightarrow x$ making the upper triangle commute.

Proposition 8. $\kappa \leq \lambda$ are infinite, regular cardinals. (\mathcal{C}, E) is a κ -site, $\text{Mod}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, E)$ is λ -accessible. Then λ -pure \Rightarrow elementary.

Proof. Given a square as in Remark 6, we can factor it through some map $M_0 \rightarrow N_0$ between λ -presentable models (using that κ -filtered (and hence λ -filtered) colimits of κ -lex E -preserving functors are computed in $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$, where representables are tiny).

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \hat{x} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M \\ \downarrow & \text{=} & \downarrow & \nearrow \text{=} & \downarrow \alpha \\ \hat{u} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N_0 & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N \end{array}$$

□

This relates λ -pure vs. elementary. Now let's relate elementary vs. regular mono.
We will need the following:

Theorem 9. *Let \mathcal{K} be locally κ -presentable. Then every $< \kappa$ diagram is the κ -directed colimit of such diagrams formed among κ -presentable objects.*

Proof. [Pos23, Theorem 6.1.] □


Theorem 10. *\mathcal{C} is κ -lex, regular. Then $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})^{op} = \mathit{Pro}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is κ -lex, regular and the Yoneda-embedding $Y : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})^{op}$ is κ -lex, regular.*

Proof. For $\kappa = \omega$ this is [Bar86, Theorem 1]. Alternatively: [Lur18, Corollary 10, Proposition 11, Remark 13 in Lecture 14X]. We repeat the proof.

$\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ is a κ -accessible category which is complete. Therefore it is locally κ -presentable, hence cocomplete. So $\mathit{Pro}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is complete and cocomplete.

We claim that $Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathit{Pro}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ preserves $< \kappa$ limits and all colimits which exist in \mathcal{C} . The latter is clear (the Hom-functor in its first variable turns colimits into limits in $\mathbf{Set}^{\mathcal{C}}$, and those are the same as limits in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$).

Given the Y -image of a κ -small limit diagram (in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$) we have to show that it is a colimit, i.e. we have to find a unique dashed arrow in




The images of identities form a compatible family $(a_i \in Fx_i)_i$, that is an element of $Fx = \mathit{lim} Fx_i$, and $1_x \mapsto (a_i)_i$ is the unique arrow which makes the diagram commutative.

We got that $Y : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathit{Pro}_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ preserves $< \kappa$ limits and effective epimorphisms.

By Theorem 9 every $< \kappa$ diagram in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ arises as the κ -directed colimit of such diagrams formed among κ -presentable objects. But since \mathcal{C} is regular it is Cauchy-complete (splittings of idempotents are given by image factorization), therefore it follows that retracts of representables are representables. So every $< \kappa$ diagram is the κ -directed colimit of such diagrams formed among representables.

In $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ epi-effective mono factorizations admit the following description:




That is: write the map as a κ -directed colimit of maps between representables, take the factorization of those (which is the Y -image of the effective epi-mono factorization in \mathcal{C}), get induced maps between the middle-terms (using effective \Rightarrow strong), then take colimits (and use that in locally κ -presentable categories both epis and effective monos are closed under κ -filtered colimits, see [AR94, Corollary 1.60.]).

In particular it follows that every epi, as well as every effective mono is the κ -filtered colimit of such maps between representables.

Finally, we have to show that the pushout of an effective mono is effective mono. Given a span $F_1 \leftarrow F_0 \Rightarrow F_2$ we can write it as a κ -directed colimit of spans formed among

representables. We can form the epi-effective mono factorization of the first legs layer-wise, then take pushouts layer-wise.



We get induced maps between the images as well as between the pushouts. Finally note that epis, effective monos and pushout squares grow up to epis, effective monos and pushout squares, respectively. \square

Remark 11. \mathcal{C} is κ -lex, regular. By [AR94, Prop. 0.5] in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ the following classes of monomorphisms coincide: effective, regular, strong, extremal.

In fact, the proper generalization of the finitary case would include that if \mathcal{C} is κ -regular then so is $Pro_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$.

Theorem 12. \mathcal{C} is κ -regular. Then $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})^{op} = Pro_\kappa(\mathcal{C})$ is κ -regular and the Yoneda-embedding $Y : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})^{op}$ is κ -regular.

Proof. $\kappa \geq \aleph_1$ can be assumed.

We have to prove that a $< \kappa$ transfinite composition of regular monos is a regular mono. We know that this holds for chains of representables, and as (sequential) colimits and regular monos both commute with κ -filtered colimits it suffices to prove that any $< \kappa$ well-ordered chain of regular monos

$$(F_0 \xrightarrow{h_0} F_1 \hookrightarrow \dots F_i \xrightarrow{h_i} \dots)_{i < \gamma < \kappa}$$

is the κ -filtered colimit of such chains of regular monos going between representables.

By Theorem 9 it is the κ -filtered colimit of γ -indexed chains formed among representables (but the maps are arbitrary). (κ -presentable objects are representables, since \mathcal{C} is Cauchy-complete.) By taking epi-regular mono factorizations we get:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 F_0 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F'_0 & \xrightarrow{h'_0} & F_1 & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F'_1 & \xrightarrow{h'_1} & F_2 & \longrightarrow & \dots & & F_\omega & \xrightarrow{\cong} & F'_\omega & \xrightarrow{h'_\omega} & F_{\omega+1} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \widehat{x}_{0,j'} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{0,j'} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{1,j'} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{1,j'} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{2,j'} & \longrightarrow & \dots & & \widehat{x}_{\omega,j'} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{\omega,j'} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{\omega+1,j'} & \longrightarrow & \dots \\
 \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\
 \widehat{x}_{0,j} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{0,j} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{1,j} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{1,j} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{2,j} & \longrightarrow & \dots & & \widehat{x}_{\omega,j} & \twoheadrightarrow & \widehat{r}_{\omega,j} & \hookrightarrow & \widehat{x}_{\omega+1,j} & \longrightarrow & \dots
 \end{array}$$

We assume that the κ -filtered category indexing the γ -sequences going between representables is the canonical one (that is: all such sequences below $(F_i)_i$), and we call it D . It is closed under $< \kappa$ colimits (as $< \kappa$ colimits of κ -presentable objects are κ -presentable).

Recall that a full subcategory $A \subseteq D$ is cofinal if every $d \in D$ admits an arrow to some $a \in A$. It is closed if it is closed under colimits of $< \kappa$ well-ordered chains (in particular it is closed under isomorphic copies).

We claim that for each $i < \gamma$ those indexes $j \in D$ for which the epi $\widehat{x_{i,j}} \rightarrow \widehat{r_{i,j}}$ is an iso, form a full cofinal closed subcategory $A_i \subseteq D$. It is clearly closed: any colimit of isos is an iso. It is cofinal: given any $j_0 \in D$ the map $\widehat{r_{i,j_0}} \rightarrow F_i$ factors through some $\widehat{x_{i,j_1}}$, such that the triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \widehat{x_{i,j_1}} & \\ & \uparrow & \swarrow \\ \widehat{x_{i,j_0}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \widehat{r_{i,j_0}} \end{array}$$

commutes. Similarly we can find $\widehat{x_{i,j_1}} \rightarrow \widehat{r_{i,j_2}}$, and the colimit of the maps $\widehat{x_{i,j_n}} \rightarrow \widehat{r_{i,j_{n+1}}}$ in the zig-zag is an iso. Since κ is uncountable this is a map $\widehat{x_{i,j_\omega}} \rightarrow \widehat{r_{i,j_\omega}}$ in the diagram and $j_\omega \in A_i$ is above j_0 .

It remains to prove that the intersection of $< \kappa$ full cofinal closed subcategories in a κ -filtered category is full cofinal closed (then we can restrict ourselves to this cofinal subdiagram and by induction on γ the theorem follows). The proof is the same as for ordinals.

That is, let $A_i \subseteq D$ be full cofinal closed for $i < \gamma < \kappa$. $\bigcap A_i$ is full and closed, we need that it is cofinal. By induction on γ we can assume $A_0 \supseteq A_1 \supseteq \dots$. Take $d \in D$. Then build a (not necessarily cocontinuous) γ -chain $d \rightarrow x_0 \rightarrow x_1 \rightarrow \dots$ such that $x_i \in A_i$. The colimit of this chain lives in each A_i , hence in the intersection and it is above d . \square

Theorem 13. \mathcal{C} is κ -lex, regular, $F, G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ are κ -lex. Then $\alpha : F \Rightarrow G$ is elementary iff it is a regular monomorphism in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$.

Proof. By Remark 6 α is elementary iff for every mono $i : u \hookrightarrow x$, the square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widehat{x} & \longrightarrow & F \\ \widehat{i} \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ \widehat{u} & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

admits a diagonal map making the upper triangle commutative.

But \widehat{i} is epi, hence the lower triangle commutes for free and the lift is unique. That is, α is elementary iff it is right orthogonal to every epimorphism between representables. Since every epimorphism is the κ -filtered colimit of epimorphisms between representables, and left orthogonality classes are closed under colimits, this is equivalent to α being right orthogonal to every epimorphism, i.e. to α being a strong mono. But strong = regular. \square

Theorem 14. \mathcal{C} is κ -regular. Then every κ -lex functor in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ admits a regular monomorphism (i.e. elementary map) to a κ -regular functor.

Proof. For $\kappa = \omega$ this is [Lur18, Proposition 10, Lecture 15X]. We repeat the proof.

First note that the transfinite composition of a κ -indexed chain of effective monos is an effective mono. This follows as we can get the composite as a κ -indexed sequential colimit in the arrow category, formed by all partial composites, and those are effective monos by Theorem 12. So then the composite is effective mono by [AR94, Corollary 1.60].

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} F_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & F_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & F_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \dots & & F_0 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \dots \\ 1 \downarrow & & j_0 \downarrow & & \downarrow j_{0,2} = j_1 \circ j_0 & & & & \downarrow j_{0,\omega} & & \\ F_0 & \xrightarrow{j_0} & F_1 & \xrightarrow{j_1} & F_2 & \longrightarrow & \dots & & F_\omega & \longrightarrow & \dots \end{array}$$

More generally, a transfinite induction proves that for any ordinal γ , the transfinite composition of a γ -sequence of effective monos is an effective mono. The successor case is trivial and in limit steps the previous argument applies.

Now we use the small object argument ([Hov91, Theorem 2.1.14.]) with the following set: $I = \{\text{regular monos between representables}\}$ (corresponding to the effective epis in \mathcal{C}). We get that for any κ -lex F , the terminal map $F \Rightarrow *$ can be factored as $F \Rightarrow F' \Rightarrow *$, such that the first map is a transfinite composition of pushouts of maps from I , hence a regular mono, while the second map has the right lifting property against all maps in I . It means precisely that F' preserves effective epis. \square

Theorem 15. κ is strongly compact (or $\kappa = \omega$), \mathcal{C} is a (κ, κ) -coherent category with $< \kappa$ disjoint coproducts. Then every κ -regular functor in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$ admits a regular monomorphism to a (small) product of (κ, κ) -coherent functors.

Proof. [Kan24, Theorem 5.13.]. \square

Definition 16. A map is a strict monomorphism if it arises as the joint equalizer of a set of parallel pairs.

Theorem 17. κ is strongly compact (or $\kappa = \omega$), (\mathcal{C}, E) is a κ -site s.t. every E -family has $< \kappa$ legs, $\mathcal{A} = \text{Mod}_\kappa(\mathcal{C}, E)$ is λ -accessible for some regular $\lambda \geq \kappa$. Then λ -pure implies strict mono.

Proof. We have the sheafified Yoneda-embedding $\#Y : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \langle E \rangle_\kappa)$ which is κ -lex, E -preserving, moreover there is an equivalence between the category of $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ κ -lex E -preserving and the category of $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ κ -lex cocontinuous functors (given by precomposition and left Kan-extension, see [EK23, Theorem 3.9.]). $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ is a κ -topos, i.e. an (∞, κ) -coherent Grothendieck-topos. So we can close the image $\#Y[\mathcal{C}]$ under $< \kappa$ disjoint coproducts, effective epi-mono factorizations and $< \kappa$ limits to obtain a (small) (κ, κ) -coherent full subcategory $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ with $< \kappa$ disjoint coproducts. Then the full embedding $\tilde{\mathcal{C}} \hookrightarrow \text{Sh}(\mathcal{C})$ induces an equivalence between $\text{Sh}(\mathcal{C}, \langle E \rangle_\kappa)$ and $\text{Sh}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \{< \kappa \text{ eff. epic families}\})$, see [Car20, Corollary 5.11.]. Consequently $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Coh}_{\kappa, \kappa}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Set})$.

Let $\alpha : M \Rightarrow N$ be λ -pure in $\mathbf{Coh}_{\kappa, \kappa}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Set})$. By Proposition 8 it is elementary. By Theorem 13 it arises as the equalizer of some pair $\beta_1, \beta_2 : N \Rightarrow F$ in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Set})$. By Theorem 14 and by Theorem 15 F admits a (regular) monomorphism to a product of (κ, κ) -coherent functors. Write

$$M \xrightarrow{\alpha} N \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\langle \gamma_i \rangle_i} \\ \xrightarrow{\langle \delta_i \rangle_i} \end{array} \prod_i N_i$$

for the resulting diagram. It is an equalizer in $\mathbf{Lex}_\kappa(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Set})$, i.e. α is the joint equalizer of the pairs (γ_i, δ_i) . But then the same is true in the full subcategory $\mathbf{Coh}_{\kappa, \kappa}(\tilde{\mathcal{C}}, \mathbf{Set})$. \square

We repeat the result in the $\kappa = \omega$ case:

Corollary 18. Let \mathcal{A} be a λ -accessible category which is axiomatizable in coherent logic, i.e. there is a coherent category \mathcal{C} s.t. $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathbf{Coh}(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})$. Then every λ -pure map is a strict monomorphism.

As another corollary we get:

Theorem 19. Assume that there is a proper class of strongly compact cardinals. Let \mathcal{A} be a λ -accessible category. Then there is a regular $\mu \triangleright \lambda$ such that μ -pure implies strict mono.

Proof. Every λ -accessible category is axiomatizable by some λ -site (\mathcal{C}, E) : indeed, by [AR94, Theorem 2.58.] it can be axiomatized by some sketch whose limit part only contains $< \lambda$ diagrams and by the argument in [Joh02, Proposition 2.2.7.(1)] it can be replaced by a λ -site.

(A cocone is sent to a colimit cocone in **Set** iff *a*) the cocone maps are jointly epimorphic and *b*) given two elements in the diagram they are identified by the respective cocone maps iff they can be connected by some zig-zag inside the diagram. These are expressible by saying that some families are sent to epimorphic ones.)

Take some $\mu \geq \lambda$ such that μ is strongly compact, every E -family has $< \mu$ legs and $|\mathcal{C}| < \mu$. Then by [AR94, Example 2.13. (4)] $\lambda \triangleleft \mu$ and by [EK23, Theorem 4.5.] $(\mathbf{Lex}_\lambda(\mathcal{C}, \mathbf{Set})_{< \mu}^{op}, Y[E])$ is a μ -site axiomatizing \mathcal{A} . It satisfies the conditions of Theorem 17. So μ -pure implies strict mono. \square

Remark 20. We must allow μ to be larger than λ . In [AHT96] they construct a small ω -accessible category with an ω -pure map which is not even a strong mono.

Note that the above theorem trivially holds for small accessible categories: if \mathcal{A} is small then there is some μ s.t. μ -pure = ∞ -pure = split mono.

Question 21. *Do we need large cardinals for Theorem 19?*

References

- [AHT96] J. Adámek, H. Hu, and W. Tholen. “On pure morphisms in accessible categories”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 107.1 (1996), pp. 1–8.
- [AR94] Jiří Adámek and Jiří Rosický. *Locally Presentable and Accessible Categories*. Vol. 189. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. London: Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [Bar86] Michael Barr. “Representation of categories”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 41 (1986), pp. 113–137.
- [Car20] Olivia Caramello. *Denseness conditions, morphisms and equivalences of toposes*. 2020. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1906.08737>.
- [EK23] Christian Espíndola and Kristóf Kanalas. *Every theory is eventually of presheaf type*. 2023. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.12356>.
- [Hov91] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*. 1991. URL: <https://people.math.rochester.edu/faculty/doug/otherpapers/hovey-model-cats.pdf>.
- [HP97] Hongde Hu and J.Wick Pelletier. “On regular monomorphisms in weakly locally presentable categories”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 116.1 (1997), pp. 163–168.
- [Joh02] Peter Johnstone. *Sketches of an Elephant - A Topos Theory Compendium*. Oxford University Press, 2002.
- [KW24] Mark Kamsma and Joshua Wrigley. *Existentially closed models and locally zero-dimensional toposes*. 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2406.02788>.
- [Kan24] Kristóf Kanalas. *Boolean-valued models of (κ, κ) -coherent categories*. 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2306.05345>.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. *Lecture notes in Categorical Logic*. 2018. URL: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/278x.html>.
- [Pos23] Leonid Positselski. *Notes on limits of accessible categories*. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2310.16773>. 2023.