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#### Abstract

Algebra objects in $\infty$-categories of spans admit a description in terms of 2-Segal objects. We introduce a notion of span between 2-Segal objects and extend this correspondence to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories. Additionally, for every $\infty$-category with finite limits $\mathcal{C}$, we introduce a notion of a birelative 2-Segal object in $\mathcal{C}$ and establish a similar equivalence with the $\infty$-category of bimodule objects in spans. Examples of these concepts arise from algebraic and hermitian K-theory through the corresponding Waldhausen $S_{\text {--construction. Apart from their categorical relevance, these concepts }}$ can be used to construct homotopy coherent representations of Hall algebras.
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[^0]
## 1 Introduction

A 2-Segal space, also known as a decomposition space GCKT18, is a simplicial space $X_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{S}$ that satisfies a 2 -dimensional analog of the famous Segal conditions introduced in Seg68. These concepts were initially introduced independently by Dyckerhoff and Kapranov DK19 to describe the underlying structure of different Hall algebra constructions, and by Gálvez-Carrillo, Kock and Tonks to describe various coalgebra structures appearing in combinatorics GCKT18. Since then, the subject has undergone substantial developments, including the introduction of even higher Segal conditions Pog17 to applications in different areas of mathematics like the theory of TFT's DK18, Auslander-Reiten theory DJW19] and Donaldson-Thomas theory [PS23].
The connection between 2-Segal spaces and Hall algebras arises from the algebraic interpretation of 2-Segal spaces. In Ste21], it is shown that the space of 2-Segal spaces is equivalent to the space of algebra objects in the $\infty$-category of spans of spaces. However, the appropriate notion of morphism between 2-Segal spaces, which under this equivalence corresponds to a morphism of algebras, has not been determined so far.
An initial proposal might be to define the corresponding notion of morphism between 2-Segal spaces as a morphism of simplicial spaces and thus to define the $\infty$-category of 2-Segal spaces as a full subcategory of Fun $\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{S}\right)$. Although this yields an interesting notion of morphism between 2-Segal spaces, it does not correspond to an algebra morphism. This fails for different reasons. On the one hand, a general morphism of simplicial spaces only corresponds to a lax algebra morphism [Wal16, Sect.4.2]. On the other hand, since the algebraic structure itself lives in an $\infty$-category of spans an algebra morphism should also be formalized in this context. These considerations lead to the main result of this text:

Theorem 1.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Alg}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right)
$$

between the subcategory of the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ of spans of simplicial objects with objects 2 -Segal object and morphisms 2-Segal spans and the $\infty$-category of algebra objects in Span( $\mathcal{C}^{\times}$).
A span between 2-Segal objects is called a 2-Segal span if the legs of the span satisfy conditions that were previously introduced under the names CULF and IKEO in GCKT18. There, the authors show that such morphisms induce algebra morphisms. The novel aspect of Theorem 1.1 is that every algebra morphism is in fact induced by spans of CULF and IKEO morphisms.
Analogous to the case of algebras, we introduce a multicolored version of the 2-Segal conditions on birelative simplicial spaces $X_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$. Using this, we extend the previous result to the case of bimodules:

Theorem 1.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{BiSeg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrows}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{BiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)
$$

between the subcategory of $\operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ with objects birelative 2-Segal objects and with morphism birelative 2-Segal spans and the $\infty$-category of bimodule objects in $\operatorname{Span}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$.

Similar conditions have been previously studied for left modules in the context of 1-categories in both Wal16] and You18, and for bimodules in terms of double Segal spaces in Car20. Our result generalizes these works into the context of $\infty$-categories.
The prototypical example of a 2 -Segal space is the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$. It is thus reasonable to expect exact $\infty$-functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ to induce algebra morphism between those. Indeed, we show that certain classes of exact $\infty$-functors induce algebra morphisms between Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-constructions. Other examples arise from the relative Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$-functor $F$. This simplicial space fits into a sequence of simplicial spaces:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\bullet}^{\simeq} \xrightarrow{\iota_{\bullet}} S_{\bullet}^{\text {rel }}(F) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\bullet}} S_{\bullet}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which has first appeared in Wal06 to construct the long exact sequence of relative K-theory. We show that the morphisms $\iota_{\bullet}$ and $\pi_{\bullet}$ are indeed algebra morphisms. These morphisms underlie the construction of derived Hecke actions on cohomological Hall algebras KV22.
For the construction of examples of modules, we consider 2-Segal spaces $X$ • equipped with a twisted $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. Examples of these arise in hermitian K-theory as the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$-category with duality. For every such 2-Segal space with a twisted $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ action, the canonical morphism $\operatorname{Tw}\left(X_{\bullet}\right) \rightarrow X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{r e v}$ is a relative 2-Segal object with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. Taking homotopy fixed points yields:

Proposition 1.3. There exists an $\infty$-functor from the $\infty$-category of 2 -Segal spaces with duality to the $\infty$-category of relative 2 -Segal spaces

$$
\mathrm{Tw}^{\leftrightarrow}(-)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}: 2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}, \mathrm{C}_{2}(\mathcal{S}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{S})
$$

that maps a simplicial space with duality $X_{\bullet}$ to the relative 2 -Segal space $\operatorname{Tw}\left(X_{\bullet}\right)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$.
In the example of the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$-category with duality $\mathcal{C}$ the simplicial space $\operatorname{Tw}\left(S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})\right)^{\mathcal{C}_{2}}$ is known as the $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$-construction HS04 of $\mathcal{C}$. Consequently, the above Proposition expresses $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ as a module over $S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$. This generalizes results of You18 for proto-exact categories with duality into the $\infty$-categorical context. Moreover, using the functoriality of this construction, we obtain examples of module morphisms from exact duality preserving functors between exact $\infty$-categories. These results have applications to the construction of cohomological Hall algebra representations DPS22. and orientifold Donaldson-Thomas-theory You20.

### 1.1 Outline

We conclude this introduction with an outline of the structure of this paper. In Section 2, we define the $\infty$-categories $\operatorname{BiSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{S})$ and $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{S})$. Therefore, we first recall in Subsection 2.1 the definition of 2Segal objects and introduce the notion of 2-Segal spans. Then, in Subsection 2.2 we extend the definitions to the case of birelative simplicial objects and introduce the birelative 2-Segal conditions. Similarly to the 2-Segal case, we introduce a version of the decomposition space conditions in Subsection 2.3. We show that these are equivalent to the birelative 2-Segal conditions.
In Section 3, we discuss examples of the notions introduced in Section 2 that naturally appear in the context of algebraic and hermitian K-theory. To construct examples of modules, we construct an $\infty$ functor from the $\infty$-category of 2-Segal spaces with duality to the $\infty$-category of relative 2-Segal objects. As a main application of this, we express the $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$-construction as a module over the $S_{\bullet}$-construction.
In Section 4 we prove the first half of our main theorem and characterize the space bimodule objects in span-categories in terms of birelative 2-Segal objects. To increase the level of readability, we have included some combinatorial lemmas into the Appendix A.
In Section 5 we finally prove our main theorem and extend the equivalence of spaces constructed in Section 4 to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories. As a corollary, we obtain similar characterizations for the $\infty$-category of algebra, left and right module objects.
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## 2 2-Segal Conditions

Throughout this section, $\mathcal{C}$ denotes an $\infty$-category with finite limits. In this section, we introduce a variety of 2-Segal type conditions. These admit an interpretation in terms of homotopy coherent algebra in span categories. Before stating these definitions, let us motivate them for the example of the 2-Segal condition.
There exists an $\infty$-category of spans $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$, whose objects are the objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and whose 1 -morphisms are spans of morphisms in $\mathcal{C}$. It further inherits a monoidal structure from the Cartesian product on $\mathcal{C}$. The datum of a coherent algebra object in Span(C) consists

- an underlying object $X_{1} \in \mathcal{C}$
- a multiplication span

- homotopy coherent associativity data

As the notations suggest this data organizes into a simplicial object $X_{\bullet}$. The higher simplices are encoded in the associativity data. Its lowest instance is an associativity 2 -isomorphism

$$
\alpha: X_{2} \times_{X_{1}} X_{2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X_{2} \times_{X_{1}} X_{2}
$$

that makes the diagram:

commutes. One checks that the data of $\alpha$ is equivalent to an object $X_{3}$ and isomorphisms:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{2} \times_{X_{1}} X_{2} \stackrel{\left(\partial_{0}, \partial_{2}\right)}{\longleftrightarrow} X_{3} \xrightarrow{\left(\partial_{3}, \partial_{1}\right)} X_{2} \times_{X_{1}} X_{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

s.t. the components $\partial_{i}$ satisfy certain simplicial identities. Equation 2 is the lowest instance of the 2-Segal conditions (see Definition 2.1. Analogously the higher dimensional 2-Segal conditions encode the higher associativity of the algebra.
We now summarize the content of this section. In 2.1 we give the definitions of 2-Segal objects and 2 -Segal spans. They assemble into an $\infty$-category 2 - $\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})$ that is our candidate for the $\infty$-category of 2-Segal objects. Afterwards we extend the discussion in 2.2 and introduce 2-Segal and Segal span conditions for the indexing categories $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \Delta_{\geqslant}^{o p}$ and $\Delta_{\leqslant}^{o p}$. We show in Section 4 that these admit an interpretation in terms of bi-, left, and right module objects in $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ respectively. Finally, we provide in Subsection 2.3 a different characterization of these new 2-Segal conditions in terms of active-inert pullbacks. This generalizes the equivalence between 2-Segal and decomposition spaces GCKT18] to this class of indexing categories.

### 2.1 2-Segal Objects

We frequently abuse notation and identify a simplicial object $X_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ with its extension to Fin $\geqslant$ the category of all finite non empty linearly ordered sets. This will simplify the notation. Let us recall as a start the definition of a 2-Segal object.

Definition 2.1. DK19, Def.2.3.1] Let $X_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a simplicial object. $X_{\bullet}$ is called 2-Segal, if for every $n \geqslant 3$ and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the map

$$
X_{n} \rightarrow X_{\{0,1, \cdots, i, j, j+1, \cdots, n\}} \times X_{\{i, j\}} X_{\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}}
$$

is an equivalence. We denote by $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ on 2-Segal objects.
The morphisms in the $\infty$-category $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})$ are morphisms of simplicial objects. Under the equivalence between 2-Segal objects and algebra objects in spans a general simplicial map between 2-Segal objects induces a lax-algebra morphism between the corresponding algebra objects Wal16, Sect.4.2]. Let's sort out, what a strong algebra morphism looks like in terms of 2-Segal objects:

Definition 2.2. Let $\sigma: \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ be a 1-morphism in the $\infty$-category of spans of 2-Segal objects ${ }^{1}$ The morphism $\sigma$ can be represented by a span

with $X_{\bullet}, Y_{\bullet}$ and $M_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ 2-Segal. The morphism $\sigma$ is called a 2-Segal span, if for every $n \geqslant 0$ the induced diagrams

and

are pullback diagrams. We call $s$ an active equifibered $\Delta^{o p}{ }_{\text {_morphism }}$ and $t$ a relative Segal $\Delta^{o p_{-}}$ morphism.

Notation 2.3. We introduce in Subsection 2.2 similar notions for other indexing categories than $\Delta$. If the indexing category is clear from the context, we frequently abuse notation and drop the indexing category in the notation. E.g we will call an active equifibered $\Delta^{o p}$-morphism simply an active equifibered morphism when the indexing category is clear from the context.

Remark 2.4. The conditions imposed on the individual legs have previously been studied under the name CULF [GCKT18, Sect.4] and IKEO [GCKT18, Sect.8.5]. The authors further demonstrate that these types of morphisms induce algebra morphisms in spans. Our notation is motivated by the theory of algebraic patterns BHS22].

[^1]It is worth noting that in the definition of a 2-Segal span, we explicitly require the tip of the span to be 2-Segal as well. This is not an additional requirement. Indeed as demonstrated in GCKT18, Lem.4.6], the source of an active equifibered morphism $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ with target 2-Segal is itself 2-Segal.
In the following, we interpret 2-Segal spans as the morphisms of a category and therefore have to study their behavior under composition of spans:
Lemma 2.5. Let $\sigma: \Lambda_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ be given by a composable pair of spans

s.t. each individual span is a 2-Segal span. Then also the composite span

is a 2-Segal span.
Proof. First, we show that $s$ is active equifibered. For $n \neq 0$ consider the diagram:


We need to prove that the exterior diagram is a pullback diagram. By assumption, the lower square is a pullback diagram. Additionally, the upper square is a pullback square if and only if the outer rectangle in the diagram

is a pullback square. But this follows from the pasting law.
On the other hand,d we show that $t$ is relative Segal. We need to show that for every $n \geqslant 0$, the exterior rectangle in the diagram:

is a pullback. By assumption, the left square is a pullback square. Further, the right square is a pullback square if and only if the outer rectangle in the diagram:

is a pullback square. But this is again a consequence of the pasting law.
Definition 2.6. We define the $\infty$-category $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})$ of 2 -Segal objects as the wide subcategory of $\operatorname{Span}\left(2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ with morphisms given by 2 -Segal spans.

### 2.2 Birelative 2-Segal Objects

In this subsection, we extend the framework of the previous paragraph to categories of functors with source $\Delta_{/[1]}$. We call such a functor $M_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a birelative simplicial object. The corresponding birelative 2-Segal condition is a multi-coloured version of the 2-Segal condition from Definition 2.1.
Definition 2.7. Let $M_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a birelative simplicial object. $M_{\bullet}$ is called birelative 2-Segal, if for every $n \geqslant 3, f:[n] \rightarrow[1]$ and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the diagram

is Cartesian. We denote the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ generated by the birelative 2-Segal objects by $\mathrm{Bi} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})$.

As 2-Segal objects encode algebra objects, birelative 2-Segal objects encode bimodule objects. Let us also introduce a 2-Segal type condition for left and right modules. These already have been studied in the case of 1-categories in Wal16 and You18. Denote by $\Delta_{\leqslant}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.\Delta_{\geqslant}\right)$the full subcategory of $\Delta_{/[1]}$ generated by those objects $f:[n] \rightarrow[1]$ that take the value 1 (resp. 0) at most ones. We call a functor with source $\Delta_{\leqslant}\left(\right.$resp. $\left.\Delta_{\geqslant}\right)$a left (resp. right) relative simplicial object. The corresponding 2-Segal condition reads as:
 if for every $n \geqslant 3, f:[n] \rightarrow[1] \in \Delta_{\leqslant}$and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the diagram

is Cartesian. We denote the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{\stackrel{o}{*}}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ generated by the left relative 2-Segal objects by $\mathrm{L} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})$. Similarly we define right relative 2 -Segal objects and the $\infty$-category of right relative 2-Segal objects $\mathrm{R}_{2} \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{C})$.

Remark 2.9. The categories $\Delta_{\leqslant}$and $\Delta_{\geqslant}$defined above are equivalent to the categories, denoted with the same symbols, as defined in Wal16, Def.3.2.5]. Further, our notions of left and right relative 2-Segal object coincide with the notion of a relative 2-Segal object in Wal21, Def.3.5.1.].

To handle the cases of bi-, left and right relative 2-Segal conditions at once, we introduce the following notation:

Notation 2.10. For $\# \in\{/[1], \leqslant, \geqslant\}$ we call a functor $M_{\bullet}: \Delta_{\#}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ a \#-relative simplicial object. Further, we call a \#-relative simplicial object a \#-relative 2-Segal object if it satisfies the corresponding 2-Segal conditions. We denote the $\infty$-category of \#-relative 2-Segal objects by \#-2Seg $(\mathcal{C})$.

Example 2.11. Let $X_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be 2-Segal. We can associate to $X_{\bullet}$ a birelative, left and right relative 2-Segal object
(1) $X_{\bullet}^{b}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
(2) $X_{\bullet}^{l}: \Delta_{\leqslant}^{o p} \rightarrow \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
(3) $X_{\bullet}^{r}: \Delta_{\geqslant}^{o p} \rightarrow \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$
via precomposition with the corresponding forgetful functor. It follows from the 2 -Segal conditions for $X_{\bullet}$, that these objects fulfill the respective (bi)relative Segal conditions. Under the equivalence of Corollary 5.2 and Theorem 5.1 these correspond to the regular bimodule (resp. left, right module) associated to the algebra object corresponding to $X_{\bullet}$.
We also introduce, in analogy to Definition 2.2, a notion of morphism between \#-relative 2-Segal objects:
Definition 2.12. Let $A_{\bullet}, B_{\bullet}$ and $M_{\bullet}$ be \#-relative 2-Segal. A \#-relative 2 -Segal span from $A_{\bullet}$ to $B \bullet$ is given by a span

s.t. for every $n \geqslant 0$ and $f:[n] \rightarrow[1] \in \Delta_{\#}$ the diagrams

and

are pullback diagrams. We call $s$ an active equifibered $\Delta_{\#}^{o p}$-morphism and $t$ a relative Segal $\Delta_{\#}^{o p}$ morphism.

Analogously to Lemma 2.5 one can prove the following:
Lemma 2.13. Let $\sigma: \Lambda_{1}^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(\#-2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ be given by a composable pair of spans

s.t. the individual spans are \#-relative 2-Segal spans. Then also the composite span

is a \#-relative 2-Segal span.
Proof. Similar to Lemma 2.5

Definition 2.14. We define the $\infty$-category ${\operatorname{Bi} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}}_{\leftrightarrow}^{(\mathcal{C})}$ of birelative 2-Segal objects to be the wide

Similarly we define the $\infty$-category of left (resp. right) relative 2-Segal objects L2Seg $\leftrightarrow(\mathcal{C})$ (resp. $\left.R 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ ) as the wide subcategory of $\operatorname{Span}\left(\mathrm{L}_{2} \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ (resp. Span $\left(\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ ) with morphisms given by left (resp. right) relative 2-Segal spans.
It has been shown in Wal16, Prop.3.5.10] for 1-categories that left and right relative 2-Segal objects admit a different description in terms of morphisms of simplicial objects called relative 2-Segal objects. This description is useful in the study of examples. Let us therefore lift this description into the realm of $\infty$-categories. We analyze the case of left relative 2-Segal objects. The case of right relative 2-Segal objects is analogous.
Definition 2.15. You18, Def.2.2] Wal16, Prop.3.5.10] A morphism $\pi: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ of simplicial objects is called relative 2-Segal, if

1 the source object $X_{\bullet}$ is 1-Segal
2 the target object $Y_{\bullet}$ is 2-Segal
3 for every $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the following squares are Cartesian


We denote the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ generated by relative 2 -Segal morphisms by $\operatorname{Rel}_{2 S e g}^{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})$.
We also introduce a notion of morphism between relative 2-Segal objects:
Definition 2.16. Let $\pi_{\bullet}^{i}: X_{\bullet}^{i} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}^{i}$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant 2$ be relative 2-Segal objects. A relative 2 -Segal span from $\pi_{\bullet}^{0}$ to $\pi_{\bullet}^{2}$ is given by a span

of relative 2-Segal objects s.t.
(1) the span $Y_{\bullet}^{0} \stackrel{s_{\bullet}^{Y}}{\longleftrightarrow} Y_{\bullet}^{1} \xrightarrow{t_{\bullet}^{Y}} Y_{\bullet}^{2}$ is 2-Segal
(2) For every $n \geqslant 1$ the square

is Cartesian.
(3) For every $n \geqslant 1$ the diagram

is Cartesian.
We call the pair $\left(s_{\bullet}^{X}, s_{\bullet}^{Y}\right)$ active equifibered and the pair $\left(t_{\bullet}^{X}, t_{\bullet}^{Y}\right)$ relative Segal.
Definition 2.17. We define the the $\infty$-category of relative 2-Segal objects as the wide subcategory $\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})$ of the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ with morphisms given by relative 2 -Segal spans.

We now turn to the construction of an equivalence $\Theta_{L}^{\leftrightarrow}: L 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta} \leftrightarrow$. To do so, we construct an equivalence

$$
\Theta_{L}: \operatorname{L2Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq}{\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})}^{(\mathcal{S}}
$$

that induces $\Theta_{L}^{\leftrightarrow}$ on $\infty$-categories of spans.
For the construction of $\Theta_{L}$ we use observation Wal16, Rem.3.2.8]. First note that the category $\Delta_{\leqslant}$ contains two copies of the simplex category as full subcategories. We denote the corresponding fully faithful inclusion by $i_{0}^{\leqslant}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\leqslant}$and $i_{1}^{\leqslant}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\leqslant}$. These are given on objects by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{0}^{\leqslant}([n])(k)=0 \quad \forall k \in[n] \\
& i_{1}^{\leqslant}([n])(k)= \begin{cases}0 & \forall k \neq n+1 \\
1 & k=n+1\end{cases}
\end{aligned}
$$

Further, we observe that the morphisms $d_{n+1}: i_{0}^{\leftrightarrows}([n]) \rightarrow i_{1}^{\leftrightarrows}([n])$ assemble into a natural transformation $d_{\bullet+1}: i_{0}^{\lessgtr}(-) \Rightarrow i_{1}^{\lessgtr}(-)$. This datum is equivalent to the datum of a lax cocone

and therefore induces a unique map from the lax colimit. It follows from [GHN17, Thm.7.4] that the lax colimit is given by the total space of the cocartesian Grothendieck construction $\int_{\Delta^{1}} i d_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta$, where we identify the functor $i d_{\Delta}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ with a morphism in Cat ${ }_{\infty}$. The morphism

$$
\theta_{L}: \int_{\Delta^{1}} i d_{\Delta} \rightarrow \Delta_{\leqslant}
$$

induced by Diagram 3 is given on the fiber over 0 by $i_{0}^{\leqslant}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\leqslant}$, on the fiber over 1 by $i_{1}^{\leqslant}: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta_{\leqslant}$ and on morphisms $f:([n], 0) \rightarrow([m], 1)$ by

$$
i_{0}^{\leqslant}([n]) \xrightarrow{i_{0}^{K}(f)} i_{0}^{\leqslant}(m) \xrightarrow{d_{m+1}} i_{1}^{\leqslant}(m)
$$

It follows from the explicit description that this functor is an equivalence.
Proposition 2.18. The functor $\Theta_{L}:=\theta_{L}^{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{\leqslant}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\int_{\Delta^{1}} i d_{\Delta}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ restricts to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\Theta_{L}: \operatorname{L2Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})
$$

Proof. It follows from the universal property of the lax colimit that we have an equivalence of $\infty$ categories

$$
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\int_{\Delta^{1}} i d_{\Delta}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1} \times \Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)
$$

Under this equivalence the functor $\Theta_{L}$ maps a left relative simplicial object $X_{\bullet}: \Delta_{\leqslant}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to the natural transformation

$$
\left(i_{1}^{\leftrightarrows}\right)^{*} X_{\bullet} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}}\left(i_{0}^{\leftrightarrows}\right)^{*} X_{\bullet}
$$

between simplicial objects. The claim follows from the observation that the functor $\Theta_{L}$ maps left relative 2-Segal objects to relative 2-Segal objects.

It follows from the discussion after Construction 4.8 that the $\infty$-category of spans is functorial:

$$
\operatorname{Span}(-): \text { Cat }_{\infty}^{\text {lex }} \rightarrow \text { Cat }_{\infty}
$$

We use this functor to conclude our comparison:
Corollary 2.19. The functor $\Theta_{L}^{\leftrightarrow}:=\operatorname{Span}\left(\Theta_{L}\right): \operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{LLSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ restricts to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\Theta_{L}^{\leftrightarrow}: \operatorname{L2Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Re} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})
$$

Proof. It suffices to show that $\Theta_{L}^{\leftrightarrow}$ maps left relative 2-Segal spans to relative 2-Segal spans. But this follows from unraveling the definitions.

Remark 2.20. Analogously to the proof of Corollary 2.19 one can construct an equivalence

$$
\Theta_{R}^{\leftrightarrow}: \operatorname{R2Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C}) \xrightarrow{\simeq}{\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}}_{\leftrightarrow}^{(\mathcal{C})}
$$

between the $\infty$-category of right relative 2-Segal objects and relative 2-Segal objects.
We use Corollary 2.19 for the construction of examples of left relative 2-Segal objects and spans. This is particularly fruitful for the example of the hermitian Waldhausen construction that we discuss in Subsection 3.2

Example 2.21. Let $X_{\bullet}$. be 2-Segal. Denote by $P^{\triangleleft}(X)$ • the initial and by $P^{\triangleright}(X)$ • the final path space DK19, Section 6.2]. These come equipped with morphisms of simplicial sets $p_{\triangleleft}: P^{\triangleleft}(X) \bullet \rightarrow X \bullet$ and $p_{\triangleright}: P^{\triangleright}(X) \bullet \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$. It follows from [DK19, Thm.6.3.2] that $P^{\triangleleft}(X) \bullet$ is 1-Segal. The morphisms $p_{\triangleleft}$ and $p_{\triangleright}$ are examples of relative 2-Segal objects. These describe the regular left and right modules. Under the equivalence of Corollary 2.19 these get identified with the left and right relative 2-Segal objects from Example 2.11.

Example 2.22. Let $X_{\bullet}$ be a 2-Segal object in $\mathcal{C}$. Recall that the edgewise subdivision functor $e: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ is defined on objects as $[n] \mapsto[n] *[n]^{o p}$. Precomposition with $e$ induces a functor

$$
\operatorname{Tw}(-):=e^{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

For a simplicial object $X_{\bullet}$, we call $\mathrm{Tw}(X)$ • the twisted arrow simplicial object. It follows from $\mathrm{BOO}^{+} 20$, Thm.2.9], that for $X$ • 2-Segal the simplicial object $\operatorname{Tw}(X)$. is 1-Segal. Moreover, it is easy to check that the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Tw}(X) \bullet X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{o p}
$$

defines a relative 2-Segal object. This encodes the canonical bimodule action of $X_{\bullet}$ on itself in terms of left modules.

### 2.3 Birelative Decomposition Spaces

An alternative but equivalent way to formalize the 2-Segal conditions are the decomposition space conditions of GCKT18. For the definition of these recall that the simplex category $\Delta$ admits a factorization system $\left(\Delta^{a c t}, \Delta^{i n t}\right)$ generated by the active (depicted $\rightarrow$ ) and inert morphisms (depicted $\rightarrow$ ) Lur17. This factorization system has the special property that $\Delta$ admits active-inert-pushouts, i.e every cospan

with $f$ inert and $g$ active admits an extension to a pushout diagram:

in $\Delta$. A simplicial space $X_{\bullet}: \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is then called a decomposition space if it maps active-inert pullbacks in $\Delta^{o p}$ to pullback diagrams of spaces. The goal of this section is to extend the definition of a decomposition space to the category $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Therefore we first recall the definition of the active-inert factorization system on $\Delta_{/[1]}$
Notation 2.23. Given an object $f:[n] \rightarrow[1] \in \Delta_{/[1]}$, we will frequently denote it by $f_{n}$ to indicate its dependence on the source. Given $i<j$ in $[n]$ we denote by $[i, j]:=\{i, \ldots, j\} \subset[n]$ the subinterval from $i$ to $j$ and by $f_{[i, j]}$ the restriction of $f_{n}$ to this subinterval.

Definition 2.24. A morphism $f: g_{n}^{0} \rightarrow g_{m}^{1}$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ is called
(1) active if the underlying morphism of linearly ordered sets $f:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ is endpoint preserving. We depict active morphisms by $\rightarrow$.
(2) inert if the underlying morphism of linearly ordered sets $f:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ is a subinterval inclusion. We depict inert morphisms by $\hookrightarrow$

We denote the wide subcategory of $\Delta_{/[1]}$ spanned by the active (resp. inert) morphisms by $\Delta_{[[1]}^{a c t}$ (resp. $\left.\Delta_{/[1]}^{i n t}\right)$. Similarly, we call a morphism $f$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$ active (resp. inert) if it is active (reps. inert) as a morphism in $\Delta /[1]$.
Proposition 2.25. Every morphism $f: g_{n}^{0} \rightarrow g_{m}^{1}$ in $\Delta_{[[1]}$ admits an unique factorization $f=f^{\prime \prime} \circ f^{\prime}$ with $f^{\prime \prime}$ inert and $f^{\prime}$ active. In particular the subcategories $\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{a c t}, \Delta_{/[1]}^{i n t}\right)$ form a factorization system on $\Delta_{/[1]}$ Lur06, Def.5.2.8.8].

Proof. This is a consequence of the dual of Lur17, Prop.2.1.2.5] applied to the Cartesian fibration $\Delta_{/[1]} \rightarrow \Delta$. Alternatively one can see this more directly as follows. The underlying morphism of linearly ordered sets $f:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ admits an active-inert factorization given by the active map $f^{\prime}:[n] \rightarrow$ $[f(0), f(n)]$ and the inert map $f^{\prime \prime}:[f(0), f(n)] \hookrightarrow[m]$. These morphisms admit unique extensions to morphisms in $\Delta_{/[1]}$.
To characterize the active-inert pullback diagrams in $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$, we need the following definition:
Definition 2.26. Let $g:[n] \rightarrow[1]$ and $f:[m] \rightarrow[1]$ be two objects in $\Delta /[1]$. We will call $g$ and $f$ composable, if $g(n)=f(0)$. The concatenation of $g$ with $f$ denoted $g \star f:[n+m] \rightarrow[1]$ is defined as the map:

$$
(g * f)(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
g(k) \quad k \leqslant n \\
f(k-n) \quad k \geqslant n
\end{array}\right.
$$

Similarly, we denote for two linearly ordered sets $S, T$ by $S * T$ the partially ordered set $S \coprod T /\left(s_{\max } \sim\right.$ $t_{\min }$ ), where $s_{\max }$ denotes the maximal element of $S$ and $t_{\text {min }}$ denotes the minimal element of $T$.
Given an active morphism $f^{2}: h_{k}^{0} \rightarrow h_{l}^{1}$ and an inert morphism $e^{1}: g_{n}^{0} \mapsto h_{k}^{0}$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ we can consider an active-inert factorization of its composite $f^{2} \circ e^{1}$. This factorization can be organized into a commutative square


It follows from the definition of active and inert morphisms, that this square is equivalent to the square


In analogy with [GCKT18, Sect.2.6], we call the squares of the form:

identity extension squares. These are precisely the active-inert pullbacks in $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$.
Proposition 2.27. Let $\sigma: \Delta^{1} \times \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]}$ be an identity extension square:


Then $\sigma$ is a pushout square.
In analogy with GCKT18, we introduce the following definition:
Definition 2.28. Let $X_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a birelative simplicial object. $X_{\bullet}$ is called a birelative decomposition space if it sends every active-inert pullback in $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$ to a pullback square in $\mathcal{C}$, i.e


As in the case of 2-Segal spaces GCKT18, we show that the birelative decomposition space condition is equivalent to the birelative 2-Segal condition:

Proposition 2.29. Let $X_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a birelative simplicial object. The following are equivalent:
(1) $X_{\bullet}$ is birelative 2-Segal
(2) $X_{\bullet}$ is a birelative decomposition space

Proof. Assume first that $X_{\bullet}$ is a birelative decomposition space. Let $f:[n] \rightarrow[1]$ with $n \geqslant 3$ be an object of $\Delta_{/[1]}$ and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$. Consider the square

in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Note that $X_{\bullet}$ satisfies the birelative 2-Segal conditions if and only if it maps each such square to a pullback square. But this square is an identity extension square in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ and hence an active-inert pullback.
Conversely assume that $X_{\bullet}$ is birelative 2-Segal. We need to show that the image under $X_{\bullet}$ of every identity extension square

is a pullback diagram. We can extend every such to a rectangle:


Since $X_{\bullet}$ is birelative 2-Segal it maps the left square and the outer rectangle to pullback diagrams. The claim follows from the pasting law for pullbacks.

Remark 2.30. The active-inert factorization system on $\Delta_{/[1]}$ restricts to an active inert factorization system on $\Delta_{\leqslant}$and $\Delta_{\geqslant}$. One can analogously to Definition 2.28 define a notion of left and right relative decomposition space and show that it is equivalent to the left and right relative 2-Segal conditions.

## 3 Examples

The fundamental example of a 2-Segal space is the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction ${ }_{2}^{2}$ of an exact $\infty$-category, whose space of $n$-simplices $S_{n}(\mathcal{C})$ can be described as the space of length $n$-flags in $\mathcal{C}$. The 2 -Segal condition then admits an interpretation as the third isomorphism theorem. It is therefore natural to

[^2]expect examples of active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms to arise from morphisms between exact $\infty$-categories as well. Indeed every exact functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ induces a morphism of simplicial spaces $S_{\bullet}(F): S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D})$. We show that under the assumptions of Proposition 3.4 this morphism in fact gives rise to active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms. Moreover, for every exact functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between exact $\infty$-categories one can construct a 2 -Segal space $S_{\bullet}^{r e l}(F)$ called the relative $S_{\bullet}$-construction Wal06. This 2-Segal space fits into a sequence of simplicial spaces
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \bullet \xrightarrow{\iota_{\bullet}} S_{\bullet}^{r e l}(F) \xrightarrow{\pi \bullet} S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

that is important in algebraic K-theory since it induces the long exact sequence of relative K-theory Wal06]. After recalling the definition of the Waldhausen and relative Waldhausen construction in the setting of exact $\infty$-categories Bar15, we show that the morphisms $\iota_{\bullet}$ and $\pi_{\bullet}$ are examples of a relative Segal and an active equifibered morphism respectively.
These considerations induce on the one hand new examples of active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms. On the other hand, these constructions admit applications to the theory of Hall algebras. Indeed, every such morphism induces a (co)algebra morphism between any kind of Hall algebras. In particular, the morphism induced by the relative $S_{\bullet}$-construction is applicable for the construction of derived Hecke actions [KV22, Sect.5]. This is especially advantageous in the realm of $\infty$-categories, where constructing homotopy coherent algebra morphisms can be challenging.
This discussion admits an analog for birelative simplicial spaces in the setting of hermitian K-theory. For exact 1-categories with exact duality $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ it has been observed in [You18, Thm.3.6] that the hermitian $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$-construction of $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{C}}\right)$ describes a relative 2-Segal space over $S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$. The author applies this observation to construct representations of Hall algebras and to apply it in orientifold Donaldson-Thomas-theory You20.
We extend in Section 3.2 their result to the setting of exact $\infty$-categories with duality. Our proof is inspired by the construction of the real Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction as presented in [HSV19]. For an exact $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ with duality functor $\mathrm{D}_{\mathcal{C}}$ the relative 2 -Segal space from Example 2.22

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tw}\left(S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})\right) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \times S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})^{\text {rev }} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

admits an extension to a morphism of simplicial spaces with $C_{2}$-action. For $n=1$ we can explicitly describe the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action by the commutative diagram:


The hermitian $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}$-construction then arises as the simplicial space of homotopy fixed points. Further the induced morphism exhibits $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$ as a relative 2 -Segal space. Using this method we finally construct examples of active equifibered and relative Segal maps between relative 2-Segal objects from exact duality preserving functors $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$.
These results can be applied to the construction of $\infty$-categorical Hall algebra representations and their morphisms. In particular, these constructions are essential for constructions of representations of categorified Hall algebra PS23, DPS22.

## $3.1 \quad$ 2-Segal Spans from Exact $\infty$-Functors

In this subsection we construct examples of active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms between Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction. For the reader's convenience, we first recall the construction of the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$-categories. An exact $\infty$-category consists of a triple ( $\left.\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}\right)$
of an additive $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ and two wide Waldhausen subcategories $\mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{in}}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}$ satisfying a list of compatibility conditions Bar15, Def.3.1].We call the morphism in $\mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}$ ingressive denoted $\mapsto$ and the morphism in $\mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}$ egressive denoted $\rightarrow$. For an exact $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$, a bicartesian square

in $\mathcal{C}$ is called an extension square. A functor $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ between exact $\infty$-categories is called an exact $\infty$-functor Bar15, Def.4.1] if it preserves ingressive and egressive morphisms, zero objects and extension squares. We denote by Exact ${ }_{\infty}$ the subcategory of Fun $\left(\Lambda_{2}^{2}, \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}\right)$ spanned by exact $\infty$-categories and exact functors.
Consider for every $n \geqslant 0$ the poset $[n]$ as an $\infty$-category and let $\operatorname{Ar}([n]):=\operatorname{Fun}([1],[n])$ be the associated $\infty$-category of arrows. These assemble into a cosimplicial $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Ar}([-]): \Delta \rightarrow \operatorname{Cat}_{\infty}$.
Definition 3.1. Let $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right)$ be an exact $\infty$-category. We denote by $S_{n}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right) \subset \operatorname{Map}(\operatorname{Ar}([\mathrm{n}]), \mathcal{C})$ the full subspace spanned by those functors $F: \operatorname{Ar}([n]) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ that satisfy the following conditions:
(1) for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ we have $F(i, i)=0$.
(2) for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k \leqslant j \leqslant n$ the morphism $F(i, j) \mapsto F(k, j)$ is ingressive.
(3) for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant l \leqslant n$ the morphism $F(i, j) \rightarrow F(i, l)$ is egressive
(4) for every $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k \leqslant j \leqslant l \leqslant n$ the square

is bicartesian.
When $n$ varies, the spaces $S_{n}\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}, \mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}\right)$ assemble into a simplicial space called the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$ construction. When the classes of ingressive and egressive morphisms are clear from the context we abuse notation and denote the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction by $S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$. For completeness, we include a proof of the following statement. The original proof in the more general context of proto-exact $\infty$ categories is given DK19, Thm.7.3.3].

Proposition 3.2. DK19, Thm.7.3.3] Let $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathfrak{C}_{\mathrm{in}}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right)$ be an exact $\infty$-category. The simplicial space S•(C) is 2-Segal.
Proof. We apply the path space criterion DK19, Thm.6.3.2]. It therefore suffices to show that the two path spaces $\left(P^{\triangleright} S\right)$. and $\left(P^{\triangleleft} S\right)$. are 1-Segal. It follows from [Lur17, Lem.1.2.2.4.] that for every $n \geqslant 0$ the projection maps

$$
\operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right) \stackrel{p^{v}}{\longleftrightarrow} S_{n}\left(\mathrm{C}^{p_{h}} \xrightarrow{p_{h}} \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathrm{C}_{\text {in }}\right)\right.
$$

that are induced by restricting to the subposet

$$
\{(0, n)<(1, n)<\ldots<(n-1, n)\} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ar}([n]) \hookleftarrow\{(0,1)<(0,2)<\ldots<(0, n)\}
$$

are equivalences. Through these equivalences the 1-Segal conditions for the two path spaces translate into the conditions that for every $n \geqslant 2$ the morphisms

$$
\operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{1} \mathrm{U}_{\Delta^{0}} \cdots \mathrm{U}_{\Delta^{0}} \Delta^{1}, \mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{1} \amalg_{\Delta^{0}} \cdots \amalg_{\Delta^{0}} \Delta^{1}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right)
$$

are equivalences. But this condition is satisfied, since $\mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}$ are $\infty$-categories.
Let $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be exact $\infty$-categories and $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be an exact $\infty$-functor. It follows from the construction of the Waldhausen construction that $F$ induces a functor $S_{\bullet}(F): S \bullet(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D})$ between the corresponding Waldhausen constructions. We analyze the conditions under which this morphism is active equifibered (resp. relative Segal). To do so, we need to introduce some definitions:

Definition 3.3. Let $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be an exact $\infty$-category and let $\mathcal{C}_{0} \subset \mathcal{C}$ be a full exact subcategory. We call $\mathcal{C}_{0}$
(1) extension closed if every exact-sequence $\sigma: \Delta^{1} \times \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$

in $\mathcal{C}$ with $C_{1}, C_{3} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}, \sigma$ factors through $\mathcal{C}_{0}$.
(2) closed under quotients (resp. subobjects) if for every egressive morphism $C_{2} \rightarrow C_{3}$ (resp. ingressive morphism $C_{1} \mapsto C_{2}$ ) in $\mathcal{C}$ with $C_{2} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ also $C_{3} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ (resp. $C_{1} \in \mathcal{C}_{0}$ )

We can then proof the following:
Proposition 3.4. Let $\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{in}}\right)$ and $\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be exact $\infty$-categories and $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow$ $\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be a fully faithful exact $\infty$-functor. The induced morphism $S_{\bullet}(F)$ of simplicial spaces is
(1) active equifibered if and only if the essential image of $F$ is closed under quotients and subobjects.
(2) relative Segal if and only if the essential image of $F$ is extension closed.

Proof. To show (1), we need to show that for every $n \geqslant 1$ the morphism

$$
\eta_{1}: \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{D}\right) \times_{\mathcal{D} \simeq} \simeq \mathcal{C}^{\simeq}
$$

is an equivalence of spaces. It follows from the 2-out-of-3 property for fully faithful functors that $\eta_{1}$ is fully faithful. To prove the claim, it suffices to show that $\eta_{1}$ is essentially surjective. But this follows since $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{D}$ is closed under subobjects.
The proof of (2) is similar. We need to show that for every $n \geqslant 1$ the functor

$$
\eta_{2}: \operatorname{Map}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Map}_{i n}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{D}\right) \times_{\left.\mathcal{D} \simeq \times \cdots \times \mathcal{D} \simeq\left(\mathcal{C}^{\simeq} \times \cdots \times \mathcal{C}^{\simeq}\right)\right) ~}^{\text {. }}
$$

is an equivalence. It follows again from the 2-out-of-3 property for fully faithful functors that this functor is fully faithful. Moreover, since the essential image is closed under extensions, it is easy to see that the functor is also essentially surjective.

For the construction of further active equifibered and relative Segal maps, we turn to the study of the Sequence 4 . Let $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be an exact functor between exact $\infty$-categories.

Following [DKSS21], we define the relative $S_{\bullet}$-construction of $F$ as the simplicial space denoted $S_{\bullet}^{\text {rel }}(F)$ whose $\infty$-category of $n$-simplices is defined as the pullback


Alternatively, we can also describe $S_{n}^{r e l}(F)$ as the full subspace of the space of sections of the Grothendieck construction of the functor

$$
\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{n-1}, \mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev}_{n-1}} \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{D}
$$

with objects the ingressive sections. Since the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ generated by 2-Segal objects is closed under limits, it follows that $S_{\bullet}^{\text {rel }}(F)$ is itself 2-Segal. The simplicial space $S_{\bullet}^{r e l}(F)$ sits in a sequence of simplicial spaces

$$
\mathcal{D} \cdot \stackrel{\iota_{\bullet}}{\rightarrow} S_{\bullet}^{\text {rel }}(F) \xrightarrow{\pi_{\bullet}} S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})
$$

where the maps $\iota_{n}: \mathcal{D} \rightarrow S_{n}(F)$ and $\pi_{n}: S_{n}(F) \rightarrow S_{n}(\mathcal{C})$ are given by

$$
d \mapsto(0 \mapsto \ldots \longmapsto 0 \mapsto b)
$$

and

$$
\left(a_{0} \mapsto \ldots \mapsto \ldots \longmapsto a_{n-1} \longmapsto b\right) \mapsto\left(a_{0} \longmapsto \ldots \longmapsto a_{n-1}\right)
$$

respectively. These maps have the following properties:
Proposition 3.5. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be an exact functor between exact $\infty$-categories. Then:
(1) the morphism $\pi_{\bullet}$ is active equifibered.
(2) the morphism $\iota_{\bullet}$ is relative Segal.

Proof. Note that $S_{n}(F)$ can be written as the pullback

of the outer rectangle. To show the claim for $\pi_{\bullet}$, we need to show that the upper diagram is a pullback as well. But this follows from the pasting law for pullbacks. For (2) note that the pullback of spaces

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\simeq} \times_{S_{1}^{r e l}(F) \times_{S_{0}^{r e l}(F)} \cdots \times_{S_{0}^{r e l}(F)} S_{1}^{\text {rel }}(F)} S_{n}^{r e l}(F)
$$

can be identified with the full subspace of $S_{n}^{r e l}(F) \simeq S_{n}(\mathcal{C}) \times_{S_{n}(\mathcal{D})} S_{n+1}(\mathcal{D})$ on those objects $\left(F_{\mathcal{C}} \cdot G_{\mathcal{D}}, \alpha\right)$, s.t.
(1) the restriction of $G_{\mathcal{D}}$ to the subposet $\{(0, n)<\ldots<(n-1, n)\}$ is constant
(2) the restriction of $F_{\mathcal{C}}$ to the subposet $\{(0,1)<(1,2)<\ldots<(n-1, n)\}$ is constant at 0

It then follows from an iterated Kan extension argument similar to [Lur17, Lem.1.2.2.4] that this subspace is equivalent to $\mathcal{D}^{\simeq}$.

As an application, we use the above results for the construction of the so-called derived Hecke actions from KV22.
Proposition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits and $p: Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$ be a relative 2-Segal object. Let $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z \bullet$ be an active equifibered and $g: W_{\bullet} \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$ be relative Segal morphism. Then
(1) the composite $f \circ p: Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow Z_{\bullet}$ is a relative 2-Segal object
(2) the pullback $\pi: Y_{\bullet} \times x_{\bullet} W_{\bullet} \rightarrow W_{\bullet}$ is a relative 2 -Segal object

Proof. Since $Y_{\bullet}$ is 1-Segal by definition, for the first case we only need to show that the composite $f \circ p$ is active equifibered. But this follows since active equifibered morphisms are closed under composition. For the second case note that it follows from the proof of Lemma 2.5 that the pullback of an active equifibered morphism along a relative Segal morphism is active equifibered. We therefore only need to show that $Y_{\bullet} \times x_{\bullet} W_{\bullet}$ is 1-Segal. Consider the morphisms:

$$
Y_{\bullet} \times_{X_{\bullet}} W_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet} \rightarrow *_{\bullet}
$$

Since both of them are relative Segal their composite is so. In particular it follows that $Y_{\bullet} \times x_{\bullet} W_{\bullet}$ is 1-Segal.

As a consequence we obtain:
Corollary 3.7. Let $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{C}_{\mathrm{in}}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be an exact fully faithful functor between exact $\infty$-categories whose essential image is extension closed. Then the simplicial space $S_{\bullet}(F)$ is 1-Segal
Proof. This follows from an application of Proposition 3.6 to the pullback diagram that defines $S_{\bullet}(F)$.

Example 3.8. Let $F:\left(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}^{\mathrm{eg}}, \mathfrak{C}_{\text {in }}\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^{\text {eg }}, \mathcal{D}_{\text {in }}\right)$ be a fully faithful exact functor between exact $\infty$-categories whose essential image is closed under extensions. Then the morphism

$$
S_{\bullet}(F) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})
$$

defines a relative 2-Segal object by Corollary 3.7. Unraveling definitions this equips $\mathcal{D}$ with the structure of an $S \bullet$ (C)-module with action given by


This module structure is responsible for the so-called derived Heck actions as defined in [KV22, Sect.5.2]. Indeed repeating the analysis of [DK18, Sect.8] for the theory with transfer given by Borel-Moore homology and the above module structure recovers their derived Hecke actions. Further, it can be used to extend their derived Hecke action to the categorified Hall algebra of PS23, DPS22.

### 3.2 Relative 2-Segal Spans from Duality preserving $\infty$-Functors

In this subsection, we present a general construction of relative 2-Segal objects from 2-Segal spaces with duality. For this construction, we first have to recall some facts about $\infty$-categories with duality. Since we only work with simplicial objects in $\mathcal{S}$, we drop it from the notation.
Recall that the category $\Delta$ admits a canonical $C_{2}$-action. This action maps an object $[n]$ to $[n]$ and a morphism $f ;[n] \rightarrow[m]$ to the composite morphism

$$
[n] \simeq[n]^{o p} \xrightarrow{f^{o p}}[m]^{o p} \simeq[m]
$$

where the equivalence $[n] \simeq[n]^{o p}$ is given by $i \mapsto n-i$. By functoriality of taking presheaves the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\Delta$ induces one on $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ that maps a simplicial space $X_{\bullet}$ to $X_{\bullet}^{r e v}$. The restriction of this action to the full subcategory $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ maps an $\infty$-category to its opposite.

Definition 3.9. A simplicial space with duality is a homotopy fixed point with respect to the above $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$. Similarly, an $\infty$-category with duality is a homotopy fixed point with respect to the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on Cat ${ }_{\infty}$ given by taking opposites.

More precisely an $\infty$-category with duality is a section of the cocartesian fibration $\widetilde{\mathrm{Cat}}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathrm{BC}_{2}$ encoding the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$. We define the $\infty$-category $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ of $\infty$-categories with duality and the $\infty$-category of simplicial spaces with duality $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{C_{2}}$ as the respective $\infty$-categories of homotopy fixed points.

Remark 3.10. The $\infty$-categories $\Delta, \mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ and Cat $_{\infty}$ also admit a trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. We denote the respective category of homotopy fixed points with respect to this trivial action by $\Delta\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right], \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ and $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$. In the following, we will call a homotopy fixed point with respect to this trivial action an object with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action.

Note that the non-trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ restricts to an $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on 2- $\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}$.
Definition 3.11. A 2-Segal space with duality is a homotopy fixed point with respect to the non-trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}$.

We denote by $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ the $\infty$-category of homotopy fixed points. By construction, this $\infty$-category comes equipped with a forgetful functor to $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{S})$. We call a morphism $f: X_{\bullet} \rightarrow Y_{\bullet}$ in $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ active equifibered (resp. relative Segal) if its image in $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}$ is active equifibered (resp. relative Segal). Similarly, we call a span

in $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ a 2 -Segal span with duality if $s_{\bullet}$ is active equifibered and $t_{\bullet}$ is relative Segal. Since the $\infty$-category $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ admits small limits, it makes sense to define:

Definition 3.12. We define the $\infty$-category $2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow, C_{2}}$ of 2 -Segal spaces with duality as the subcategory of $\operatorname{Span}\left(2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}\right)$ with morphisms 2-Segal spans with duality.

Similarly, note that the trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)$ induces the trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\operatorname{Rel}^{2} \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}$.
Definition 3.13. A relative 2 -Segal space with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action is a homotopy fixed point with respect to the trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on Rel2Seg. We denote by $\operatorname{Rel} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ the $\infty$-category with homotopy fixed points.

As above the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ comes equipped with a forgetful functor to the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Re} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}$. We define active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms as for $2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta} \mathrm{C}_{2}$. The associated version of 2 -Segal span is called a relative 2 -Segal span with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action.

Definition 3.14. We define the $\infty$-category ${\operatorname{Rel} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta} \leftrightarrow}^{\Delta}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ of relative 2-Segal spaces with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action as the subcategory of $\operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Rel}_{2} \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right)$ with morphisms relative 2-Segal spans with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action.

Our first goal in this section is the construction of a functor

$$
\mathrm{Tw} \leftrightarrow(-)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}: 2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rel} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}
$$

that associates to every 2-Segal space with duality a relative 2-Segal space. Therefore, recall the definition of the edgewise subdivision functor $e: \Delta \rightarrow \Delta$ from Example 2.22 This functor becomes $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-equivariant if we equip the source with the trivial and the target with the non-trivial $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. By functoriality, this lifts to a $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-equivariant functor

$$
\mathrm{Tw}:=e^{*}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)
$$

that induces a functor $\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{w}}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ on homotopy fixed points. The canonical inclusions $[n] \hookrightarrow[n] *[n]^{o p}$ and $[n]^{o p} \hookrightarrow[n] *[n]^{o p}$ induce a natural transformation

$$
\mathrm{Tw} \Rightarrow i d \times(-)^{o p}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)
$$

It follows from HSV19, Lem.2.23] that the natural transformation is $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-equivariant and hence induces a natural transformation

$$
\mathrm{Tw} \Rightarrow i d \times(-)^{o p}: \mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]
$$

on homotopy fixed points. In total, we can interpret this construction as a functor

$$
\mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tw} \rightarrow(-)} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\right)
$$

that associates to a simplicial space with duality $X_{\bullet}$ the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Tw}(X)_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow\left(X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{r e v}\right)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

Proposition 3.15. Let $X_{\bullet} \in \mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{C_{2}}$ be a simplicial space with duality and let $X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{\text {rev }}$ be the induced simplicial space with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. There exists an equivalence of simplicial spaces

$$
\left(X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{\text {rev }}\right)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \simeq X_{\bullet}
$$

Proof. For any simplicial space $X_{\bullet}$, we can construct a $C_{2}$-action on $X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}$ via right Kan extension


By adjunction the projection onto the first factor $X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{\text {rev }} \rightarrow X_{\bullet}$ induces a morphism of simplicial spaces with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action

$$
\kappa: X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{r e v} \rightarrow X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}
$$

It follows from the construction that the functor underlying $\kappa$ is given by $i d \times \mathrm{D}: X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{\text {rev }} \rightarrow X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}$, where D denotes the duality on $X_{\bullet}$. Hence it is an equivalence. We therefore obtain an equivalence on homotopy fixed points. The claim follows from the transitivity of right Kan extensions

Proposition 3.16. The functor $\mathrm{Tw}^{\rightarrow}(-)$ constructed above restricts to a functor

$$
\mathrm{Tw}^{\rightarrow}(-): 2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]
$$

Further, it preserves active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms.
Proof. It follows from Example 2.22 that the map $\operatorname{Tw}(X) \bullet X_{\bullet} \times X_{\bullet}^{\text {rev }}$ is a relative 2-Segal object with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. The second claim can be checked directly by looking at the corresponding pullback diagrams.

Proposition 3.17. The functor $(-)^{C_{2}}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\left[C_{2}\right]\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta^{1}, \mathcal{P}(\Delta)\right)$ restricts to a functor

$$
(-)^{C_{2}}:{\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right] \rightarrow{\operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}}
$$

Further, it preserves active equifibered and relative Segal morphisms.
Proof. The claim follows from the fact that the functor $\lim _{\mathrm{BC}_{2}}(-)$ preserves small limits.
Combining Proposition 3.17 and 3.16 we can obtain our first goal of this section

Proposition 3.18. The composite $\infty$-functor

$$
2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Tw} \rightarrow(-)} \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right] \xrightarrow{(-)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}} \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}
$$

induces an $\infty$-functor on the level of spans

$$
\mathrm{Tw}^{\leftrightarrow}(-)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}: 2-\mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{Rel} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right] \rightarrow{\operatorname{Rel} 2 \mathrm{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}}_{\leftrightarrow}^{\leftrightarrow}
$$

We use this Proposition for the construction of examples of relative 2-Segal spaces and spans. In the previous section, we have shown that algebraic $K$-theory is a rich source of examples of active equifibered and relative Segal $\Delta^{o p}$-morphisms. The analog for relative 2 -Segal spans is hermitian $K$-theory. The ideas behind hermitian $K$-theory originate from the fundamental work of Hesselholt and Madsen [HM15] on real algebraic $K$-theory. An $\infty$-categorical formulation of these ideas is given in HSV19. The hermitian Waldhausen construction associates to every exact $\infty$-category with duality a simplicial space with duality. For the construction of the hermitian Waldhausen construction, we follow the presentation from [HSV19, Sect.8.2]. To do so, we first recall some facts about exact $\infty$-categories with duality and $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-categories.
Recall from Subsection 3.1 that the $\infty$-category Exact ${ }_{\infty}$ of exact $\infty$-categories is defined as the subcategory of Exact ${ }_{\infty} \subset \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Lambda_{2}^{2}\right.$, Cat $\left._{\infty}\right)$ with objects exact $\infty$-categories and morphisms exact functors. The $\infty$-category $\Lambda_{2}^{2}$ carries a natural $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action. This action combines with the $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}$ to an action on $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Lambda_{2}^{2}\right.$, Cat $\left._{\infty}\right)$ that is defined on objects as

$$
\left(\mathcal{C}_{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\mathfrak{C}_{1}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{2}^{o p} \leftarrow \mathcal{C}_{0}^{o p}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that this action restricts to an $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on the subcategory Exact $_{\infty}$.
Definition 3.19. An exact $\infty$-category with duality is a homotopy fixed point with respect to the above $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action on Exact ${ }_{\infty}$. We call the $\infty$-category of homotopy fixed points Exact ${ }_{\infty}{ }^{C_{2}}$ the $\infty$-category of exact $\infty$-categories with duality. A morphism in this $\infty$-category is called an exact duality preserving functor.

Informally, an exact $\infty$-category with duality $\mathcal{C}$ is a Waldhausen $\infty$-category s.t. the underlying $\infty$ category admits the structure of an $\infty$-category with duality and the class of ingressive morphisms $\mathcal{C}_{\text {in }}$ together with the opposites of the ingressive morphisms form the structure of an exact $\infty$-category on C. These serve as an input for the hermitian Waldhausen construction.

In [HSV19] the authors work with real exact $\infty$-categories and equip the real Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction with the structures of a real simplicial space. Since the $\infty$-category of exact $\infty$-categories with duality forms a full subcategory of the $\infty$-category of real exact $\infty$-categories [HSV19, Rem.2.33], we can apply the construction of [HSV19, Sect 8.2] to our situation.
The key ingredient in the construction [HSV19, Sect.8.2] is enriched $\infty$-category theory. In our situation, we are interested in $\infty$-categories enriched over the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S}\left[C_{2}\right]$ of spaces with $C_{2}$-action. The amount of enriched $\infty$-category theory necessary for this construction is described in [HSV19, App. A] $]^{3}$

Notation 3.20. In the following, we adopt the convention to denote $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-categories by $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ to distinguish them from their underlying $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$.

For an $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-category $\underline{\mathcal{C}}$ the underlying $\infty$-category is obtained by taking homotopy fixed points on Hom-spaces HSV19, Sect.3.1]. It follows from HSV19, Cor.2.8] that the $\infty$-categories $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ and $\mathcal{P}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ are Cartesian closed and are therefore enriched over $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$. This enrichment restricts along the inclusion $\Delta^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \subset \mathrm{Cat}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ to an enrichment of $\Delta$. We denote the $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-category $\Delta$ by $\Delta$. Further, the $\infty$-category $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$ is naturally enriched over itself.
It follows from [HSV19, Prop.2.12] that there exists an equivalence $\underline{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \simeq \underline{\mathrm{Fun}}_{\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]}\left(\underline{\Delta}^{o p}, \mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right)$ of $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-categories between the $\infty$-category of simplicial spaces with duality and the $\infty$-category of $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functors. We will use this equivalence for the construction of the duality structures

[^3]on the Waldhausen $S_{\text {.-construction. }}$
The $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched $\infty$-category Exact $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ HSV19, Sect.7.1] is cotensored over $\mathrm{Cat}_{\infty} \mathrm{C}_{2}$ HSV19, Sect.8.2]. This cotensoring induces an $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functor:
$$
(-)^{-}: \text {Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \times \underline{\text { Cat }}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}, \text { op }} \rightarrow \text { Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

For an $\infty$-category with duality $\mathcal{J}$ and an exact $\infty$-category with duality $\mathcal{C}$ the underlying exact $\infty$ category of the cotensor $\mathfrak{C}^{I}$ is given by the functor category $\operatorname{Fun}(I, \mathrm{C})$. The exact structure is defined objectwise and the induced duality structure maps a functor $F: I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ to the composite functor

$$
I \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}_{1}^{o p}} I^{o p} \xrightarrow{F^{o p}} \mathrm{C}^{o p} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{D}_{e}} \mathrm{C}
$$

As the first step of our construction of the $S_{\bullet}$-construction, we define an $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched version of the $\infty$-category of arrows. Analogously to the non-enriched case, we define it as the $S\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functor

$$
\underline{\operatorname{Ar}}(-): \underline{\Delta} \subset \underline{\operatorname{Cat}}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Hom}([1],-)} \underline{\mathrm{Cat}}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Hom}(-,-)$ denotes the $S\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched internal Hom-functor of Cat ${ }_{\infty} \mathrm{C}_{2}$. For every $[n]$ this induces a duality structure on the $\infty$-category of $\operatorname{Ar}([n])$. Note that for every $[n] \in \underline{\Delta}$ the induced duality structure on $\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{Ar}([n])}$ restricts to a duality structure on $S_{n}(\mathrm{C})$. It follows that the $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functor

$$
(-)^{-}: \text {Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \times \Delta^{o p} \rightarrow \text { Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

restricts to an $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functor

$$
\underline{S} .(-): \text { Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \times \underline{\Delta}^{o p} \rightarrow \text { Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

Such a functor is transpose to an $\mathcal{S}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]$-enriched functor

$$
\underline{S}_{\boldsymbol{0}}^{\widetilde{ }}(-): \underline{\operatorname{Exact}}_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}_{\underline{\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]}}\left(\underline{\Delta}^{o p}, \text { Exact }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}\right) \xrightarrow{(-)^{\simeq}} \operatorname{Fun}_{s\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]}\left(\underline{\Delta}^{o p}, \underline{\mathcal{S}}\left[\mathrm{C}_{2}\right]\right) \simeq \underline{\mathcal{P}}(\Delta)^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}
$$

Definition 3.21. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an exact $\infty$-category with duality. The 2 -Segal space with duality $\underline{S}_{\underline{\sim}}(\mathcal{C})$ is called the Waldhausen $S_{0}$-construction with duality.

The underlying simplicial space of $\underline{S}$. coincides with the Waldhausen $S_{\bullet}$-construction of an exact $\infty$ category as introduced in Definition 3.1. In particular, the Waldhausen $S_{0}$-construction with duality is an example of a 2 -Segal space with duality.
We can now apply Proposition 3.18 to the Waldhausen $S_{0}$-construction with duality.
Definition 3.22. HS04, Sect.1.8] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an exact $\infty$-category with duality. We call the simplicial object $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\underline{S}_{\bullet}^{\sim}(\mathbb{C})\right)^{C_{2}}$ the hermitian $S_{\bullet}$-construction and denote it by $\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})$.

Remark 3.23. It is known by the fundamental work of Waldhausen Wal06 that for every exact $\infty$ category $\operatorname{Tw}\left(S_{\bullet}(\mathrm{C})\right)$ is equivalent to Quillens $Q$-construction $Q(\mathrm{C})$. In $\mathrm{CDH}^{+} 20$ the authors introduced a hermitian version of the $Q$-construction. We expect that for every exact $\infty$-category with duality $\mathcal{C}$ the equivalence between the $\operatorname{Tw}\left(S_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C})\right)$ and the $Q$-construction $Q(\mathcal{C})$ extends to an equivalence of simplicial spaces with $\mathrm{C}_{2}$-action.

The following result extends You18, Thm.3.6] into the realm of $\infty$-categories:
Corollary 3.24. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an exact $\infty$-category with duality. The morphism

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}(\mathrm{C}) \rightarrow S_{\bullet}(\mathrm{C})
$$

is a relative 2-Segal object.

Proof. Apply Proposition 3.18 to $\underline{S} \underset{\bullet}{\simeq}(\mathcal{C})$.
We can further use Proposition 3.18 for the construction of relative 2-Segal spans. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \in$ Exact ${ }_{\infty}^{\mathrm{C}_{2}}$ be a duality preserving exact functor. It follows from the construction of $\underline{S_{0}}(-)$ that it induces a morphism

$$
\underline{S}_{\bullet}(F): \underline{S}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \underline{S}_{\bullet}(\mathcal{D})
$$

of simplicial spaces with duality.
Corollary 3.25. Let $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \in \operatorname{Exact}_{\infty}^{\mathcal{C}_{2}}$ be a fully faithful duality preserving exact functor and consider the induced diagram


Then the morphism $\left(\mathcal{R}_{\bullet}(F), S_{\bullet}(F)\right)$ is
(1) active equifibered if $F$ is closed under extensions and subobjects.
(2) relative Segal if the essential image of $F$ is closed under extensions.

Remark 3.26. The author is not aware of a hermitian analog of the relative $S_{\bullet}$-construction constructed in Subsection 3.1. An analog of the construction given in Subsection 3.1 for exact $\infty$-categories can not be performed for exact $\infty$-categories with duality. The problem is that the defining pullback diagram does not lift to a diagram of simplicial spaces with duality.

## 4 Modules in Span Categories

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. The goal of this section is to provide a characterization of bimodule objects in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$in terms of birelative 2-Segal objects in $\mathcal{C}$ (see Definition 2.7). The proof we present here is a multicolored version of the proof provided in [Ste21, Sect.2], with many ideas drawn from there. For this, we use an explicit combinatorial description of the monoidal $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$constructed in DK19 that we recall in Subsection 4.1. After this preliminary subsection, we prove the claimed equivalence in Subsection 4.2 .
Before we start, we sketch the strategy of the proof here. Let the functor $F: \Delta_{/[1]} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$ represent a bimodule object. Unraveling definitions, we identify $F$ in Corollary 4.11 with a functor $F: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ satisfying a list of conditions. In particular, $F$ inverts a class of morphisms that we will denote by $E$. To deal with these conditions, we construct a localization functor $\mathcal{L}$ : $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{*}$ along the morphisms $E$. The category $\Delta_{1}^{*}$ contains $\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$ as a full subcategory and the remaining conditions translate under the restriction $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{*}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ to the birelative 2-Segal conditions (see Definition 2.7).
More explicitly we can describe this identification as follows. The category $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg$ has objects $(f,\{i, j\})$ represented by diagrams of the form:

in $\Delta$. Similarly a 1-morphism $\left(e^{0}, e^{1}\right):\left(f_{0},[i, j]\right) \rightarrow\left(f_{1},[l, m]\right)$ in $\operatorname{Tw}(\Delta /[1]) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg$ can be represented by a commutative diagram in $\Delta$ of the form:

s.t. $e_{0}(i) \leqslant l \leqslant m \leqslant e_{1}(j)$. We denote by $X_{\bullet}: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ the birelative simplicial object associated to $F$ under the equivalence of Theorem 4.10. In terms of $X$. the value of $F$ on $(f,[i, j])$ admits an interpretation as:

$$
X_{g_{[(f(i), f(i+1)]}^{1}} \times \cdots \times X_{g_{[(f(j-1), f(j)]}^{1}}
$$

Similarly, in terms of $X \bullet$ the value of $F$ on the morphism $\left(e^{0}, e^{1}\right)$ translates to the morphism:

$$
X_{g_{\left[\left(f^{0}(i), f^{0}(i+1)\right]\right.}^{1}} \times \cdots \times X_{g_{\left[\left(f^{0}(j-1), f^{0}(j)\right]\right.}^{1}} \rightarrow X_{h_{\left[\left(f^{1}(l), f^{1}(l+1)\right]\right.}^{1}} \times \cdots \times X_{h_{\left[\left(f^{1}(m-1), f^{1}(m)\right]\right.}^{1}}
$$

whose composition with the projection onto $X_{h_{\left[\left(f^{1}(l), f^{1}(l+1)\right]\right.}^{1}}$ is given by:

$$
X_{g_{\left[\left(f^{0}(i), f^{0}(i+1)\right]\right.}^{1}} \times \cdots \times X_{g_{\left[\left(f^{0}(j-1), f^{0}(j)\right]\right.}^{1}} \rightarrow X_{g_{\left[\left(f^{0}(i), f^{0}(i+1)\right]\right.}^{1}} \xrightarrow{X_{e_{\left[f^{1}(l), f^{1}(l+1)\right]}}} X_{h_{\left[\left(f^{1}(l), f^{1}(l+1)\right]\right.}^{1}}
$$

We unravel this in a specific example.
Example 4.1. Consider the objects $g:[3] \xrightarrow{0011}[1]$ and $\mathrm{id}_{[1]}:[1] \rightarrow[1]$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Denote the associated birelative simplicial object by $X_{\bullet}$. We denote by $f_{i, j}:[1] \rightarrow[3]$ the unique morphism with image $\{i, j\}$ for $i \leqslant j$. The morphism $f_{0,3}$ extends to an object $\left(f_{0,3}: \operatorname{id}_{[1]} \rightarrow g,\{0,1\}\right)$ in $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg$. Under the identification of the theorem the value of $F$ on $\left(f_{0,3},\{0,1\}\right)$ identifies with

$$
X_{g_{[f(0), f(1)]}}=X_{g}=: X_{(0,0,1,1)}
$$

Next, consider the morphisms

and

in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Under the identification with $X$. we can interpret the two morphisms $F\left(f_{0,3},\{0,1\}\right) \rightarrow$ $F\left(\operatorname{id}_{[3]},\{0,3\}\right)$ and $F\left(f_{0,3},\{0,1\}\right) \rightarrow F\left(\operatorname{id}_{[1]},\{0,1\}\right)$ in $\mathcal{C}$ as the span


This span describes the simultaneous action of the algebra objects $X_{(0,0)}$ and $X_{(1,1)}$ on the bimodule $X_{(0,1)}$.

### 4.1 Preliminaries

In this subsection, we introduce some notations and definitions essential for the combinatorics of the next section. Particularly, we recall an explicit construction of a monoidal structure on the $\infty$-category of spans in the model of quasi-categories. We use this model in the following sections. First, we introduce some notation:

Notation 4.2. Let $f:[n] \rightarrow[1]$ be an object in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. We will say that $f$ is supported at $S \subset\{0,1\}$, if $\operatorname{Im}(f)=S$. We can uniquely represent such an object $f$ by a sequence $(0,0, \ldots, 0,1, \ldots, 1)$.

Definition 4.3. The interval category $\nabla$ is the subcategory of $\Delta$ with objects given by those $[n]$ with $n \geqslant 1$, and morphisms are maps that preserve maximal and minimal elements, also called active maps. The augmented interval category $\nabla^{+}$is the wide subcategory of $\Delta$ with morphisms being the active maps.

Definition 4.4. Let $S$ be a linearly ordered set. An inner interstice of $S$ is a pair $(n, n+1) \in S \times S$, where $n+1$ denotes the successor of $n$ in $S$. We denote the set of inner interstices of $S$ by $\mathbb{I}(S)$. This set comes equipped with a canonical linear order induced from $S$. This construction can be enhanced to a functor

$$
\mathbb{I}: \nabla_{+}^{o p} \rightarrow \Delta_{+}
$$

that associates to a morphism $f: S \rightarrow T \in \nabla_{+}$the morphism $\mathbb{I}(f): \mathbb{I}(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}(S)$

$$
\mathbb{I}(f)(j, j+1)=(k, k+1) \quad ; f(k) \leqslant j<j+1 \leqslant f(k+1)
$$

Definition 4.5. We define $\Delta \amalg$ to be the category with objects ( $[n], i \leqslant j$ ) consisting of a linearly ordered set $[n]$ and a pair of objects $i \leqslant j \in[n]$. A morphism $f:([n], i \leqslant j) \rightarrow([m], k \leqslant l)$ is given by morphism $f$ in $\Delta$, s.t. $f(i) \leqslant k \leqslant l \leqslant f(j)$. An object ( $[n], i \leqslant j$ ) represents a subinterval $\{i, \ldots, j\} \subset[n]$. We will frequently denote an object $([n], i \leqslant j)$ in $\Delta \amalg$ by ( $[n],[i, j])$ It is easy to check, that the forgetful functor $\pi_{\amalg}: \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \Delta$ is a Cocoartesian fibration. For every morphism $f:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ a $\pi_{\amalg}$-cocartesian lift is given by the morphism $f:([n], i \leqslant j) \rightarrow([m], f(i) \leqslant f(j))$.

Construction 4.6. Given a morphism $f:[m] \rightarrow[n]$ over [1] we can uniquely decompose it as follows. We can decompose the source into a composite of $[m]:=\{0,1\} *\{1,2\} * \ldots *\{m-1, m\}$. Restricting $f$ to each individual interval yields a morphism $f_{i}:=\left.f\right|_{\{i-1, i\}}:\{i-1, i\}:=\left[1_{i}\right] \rightarrow\{f(i-1) \leqslant f(i)\}:=\left[n_{i}\right]$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ that preserves endpoints. We further denote $\{0 \leqslant f(0)\}:=\left[n_{l}\right]$ and $\{f(m) \leqslant n\}:=\left[n_{r}\right]$. Using this decomposition, we can uniquely reconstruct $f$ as a morphism in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ :

$$
f=f_{1} * \ldots * f_{m}:\left[1_{1}\right] * \ldots *\left[1_{m}\right] \rightarrow\left[n_{1}\right] * \ldots *\left[n_{m}\right] \hookrightarrow\left[n_{l}\right] *\left[n_{1}\right] * \ldots *\left[n_{m}\right] *\left[n_{r}\right]
$$

We call this process decomposition.
We now proceed to recall a construction of the Cartesian monoidal structure and the $\infty$-category of spans within the context of quasi-categories from [DK19, Chapt.10]. First we recall an explicit model for the Cartesian monoidal structure. In the following, we implicitly identify every 1-category with its nerve.

Construction 4.7. Ste21, Constr.1.29] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite products. We define a simplicial set over $\Delta$ via the adjunction formula

$$
\operatorname{Hom}_{\Delta}\left(K, \bar{\complement}^{\times}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{\operatorname{Set}_{\Delta}}\left(K \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

This defines a Cartesian fibration $p: \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\times} \rightarrow \Delta$. We define $\mathcal{C}^{\times} \subset \overline{\mathcal{C}}^{\times}$to be the full subcategory on those objects $F: \Delta^{0} \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$, that display $F(\{i \leqslant j\})$ as a product of $F(\{k \leqslant k+1\})$ for $i \leqslant k<j$. The restricted functor $p: \mathcal{C}^{\times} \rightarrow \Delta$ is also a Cartesian fibration and exhibits the Cartesian monoidal structure on $\mathcal{C}$. A morphism $\tilde{\Phi}: \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\times}$represented by a map $\Phi:\{[n] \rightarrow[m]\} \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is Cartesian if and only if $\Phi$ carries all $\pi_{\amalg}$-cocartesian edges of $\{[n] \xrightarrow{f}[m]\} \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \Delta$ to equivalences Lur06, Cor.3.2.2.12]. This happens if and only if $\Phi$ maps all maps of the form $f:([i, j] \subset[n]) \rightarrow$ ( $[f(i), f(j)] \subset[m])$ induced by a weakly monotone map $f:[n] \rightarrow[m]$ to equivalences.
Construction 4.8. Ste21, Constr.1.33] Given $X_{\bullet} \in$ Set $_{\Delta}$. There exists an adjunction:

$$
\operatorname{Tw}_{X}:\left(\operatorname{Set}_{\Delta}\right) / X \longleftrightarrow\left(\operatorname{Set}_{\Delta}\right)_{/ X}: \overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{X}
$$

by setting $\operatorname{Tw}_{X}(f: S \rightarrow X)=\operatorname{Tw}(S) \rightarrow \operatorname{Tw}(X) \rightarrow X$ and $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{X}(S \rightarrow X)$ to be the left vertical arrow of the pullback:


Let $p: S \rightarrow X$ a map of simplicial sets. An $n$-simplex in $\overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{X}(S)$ represented by a map $\phi: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow S$ is called a Segal simplex if, for every $\Delta^{k} \subset \Delta^{n}$ the composite diagram:

$$
\{0, k\} * \operatorname{Tw}\left(\operatorname{Sp}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)\right) \subset \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi} S
$$

is a $p$-limit diagram. Here, $\operatorname{Sp}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)$ denotes the spine of $\Delta^{k}$ and we call the join $\{0, k\} * \operatorname{Tw}\left(\operatorname{Sp}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)\right)$ the Segal cone. We denote by $\operatorname{Span}_{X}(S) \subset \overline{\operatorname{Span}}_{X}(S)$ the simplicial subset consisting of Segal simplices. In case $X \simeq *$ we adopt the notation $\operatorname{Span}_{*}(\mathcal{C}):=\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$.

It follows from [DK19, Thm.10.2.6] that for every $\infty$-category $\mathcal{C}$ the simplicial set $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ is itself $\infty$-category.
Proposition 4.9. [DK19, Prop.10.2.31] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. Then the functor:

$$
\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right) \rightarrow \Delta
$$

is a Cartesian fibration providing a monoidal structure on $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$.

Note, that the construction of the $\infty$-category $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ is functorial. Indeed, every finite limit preserving functor $F: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ between $\infty$-categories $\mathcal{C}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ induces a functor $\operatorname{Span}(F): \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{D})$. In particular, after passing to homotopy coherent nerves the construction $\operatorname{Span}(-)$ induces an $\infty$-functor

$$
\operatorname{Span}(-): \text { Cat }_{\infty}^{\text {lex }} \rightarrow \text { Cat }_{\infty}
$$

where Cat ${ }_{\infty}^{\text {lex }}$ denotes the $\infty$-category of $\infty$-categories and finite product preserving functors.

### 4.2 Characterization of Bimodules

Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits and denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\times}$the associated Cartesian monoidal structure as constructed in Construction 4.7. Our main goal of this section is to prove the following:
Theorem 4.10. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence of spaces:

$$
\operatorname{BiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)^{\simeq} \simeq \operatorname{BiSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq}
$$

For better readability we have included some technical lemmas in the Appendix A. As a start, we unravel the datum of a cobimodule in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$. Such an object is given by a commutative triangle:

s.t $F$ preserves inert morphisms and the adjoint morphism $\tilde{F}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\times}$maps every $n$-simplex $\Delta^{n} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]}$ to a Segal simplex. A precise discussion of these conditions is included in Appendix A.1. Here we just state the main result:

Proposition 4.11. A functor $F: \Theta_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines a bicomodule object, if and only if
(1) $F$ sends degenerat $\rrbracket^{4}$ intervals to terminal objects.
(2) $F$ sends ever object $\left(\phi: g_{\left[n_{0}\right]}^{0} \rightarrow g_{\left[n_{1}\right]}^{1},[i, j]\right)$ together with its projection to subintervals to a product diagram ${ }^{5}$.
(3) F sends morphisms every morphism

$$
\sigma \simeq\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
{[i, j] \subset g_{n_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow{g} g_{n_{1}}^{1}} \\
\mid f & \tilde{f} \mid \\
\downarrow & \tilde{i}, \tilde{j}] \subset g_{m_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow{\tilde{g}} g_{m_{1}}^{1}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

s.t $f$ restricts to an isomorphism $\{i, \ldots, j\} \rightarrow\{\tilde{i}, \ldots, \tilde{j}\}$ and $\tilde{f}$ to an isomorphism $\{g(i), \ldots, g(j)\} \rightarrow$ $\{\tilde{g}(\tilde{i}), \ldots, \tilde{g}(\tilde{j})\}$, to an equivalence. We denote by $E$ the set of morphisms of the above form.
(4) F maps all Segal cone diagrams from Definition A. 1 to limit diagrams.

Definition 4.12. We define $\operatorname{BiMod}_{S p}(\mathcal{C})$ as the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Theta_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ on those functors that satisfy the conditions of Corollary 4.11 and denote by the full subcategory on Fun* $\left(\Theta_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ those functors that map degenerate intervals to terminal objects.
Similarly, we denote by $\operatorname{BiMod}_{\pi}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Theta_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ on those functors that satisfy condition (1) and (2) of Corollary 4.11. Furthermore, we denote by $\Omega_{1}$ the full subcategory of $\Theta_{1}$ with objects those morphisms $\left([i, j] \subset g_{n_{0}}^{0} \rightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}\right)$, s.t. the interval $[i, j]$ is non-degenerate.

[^4]We first analyse condition (1):
Proposition 4.13. The restriction functor induces an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{Fun}^{*}\left(\Theta_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

Proof. The proof is the same as [Ste21, Cor.2.9].
To handle condition (3) of, we explicitly construct a localisation of $\Omega_{1}$ along the class of morphisms $E$.
Definition 4.14. The category $\Delta_{1}^{\star}$ has objects consisting of pairs of a finite ordered set $[k]$ and a $[k]$-indexed sequence of composabl $\epsilon^{6}$ objects $\left(f_{n_{0}}, \ldots, f_{n_{k}}\right)$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. By definition, these compose to a morphism

$$
f:=f_{n_{0}} * \ldots * f_{n_{k}}:\left[n_{0}\right] *\left[n_{1}\right] * \ldots *\left[n_{k}\right] \rightarrow[1]
$$

A morphism $(g, \theta):\left(\left(f_{n_{0}}^{1}, \ldots f_{n_{k}}^{1}\right),[k]\right) \rightarrow\left(\left(f_{m_{0}}^{2}, \ldots, f_{m_{l}}^{2}\right),[l]\right)$ consists of a
(1) a morphism $\theta:[l] \rightarrow[k]$
(2) a commutative diagram

s.t. for any $i \in[k]$ with $\theta^{-1}(i)=\left\{j_{1}, \ldots, j_{p}\right\}$, the restriction

$$
g_{i}:\left[m_{j_{1}}\right] * \ldots *\left[m_{j_{p}}\right] \rightarrow\left[n_{0}\right] * \ldots *\left[n_{k}\right]
$$

has image contained in $\left[n_{i}\right]$.
Construction 4.15. We define a functor $\mathcal{L}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{*}$ as follows:

- It maps an object $[i, j] \subset g_{n_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow{f} g_{n_{1}}^{1}$ to the object $\left(g_{[f(i), f(i+1)]}^{1}, \ldots, g_{[f(j-1), f(j)]}^{1}\right)$. The image is indexed by the inner interstices ${ }^{7} \mathbb{I}([i, j])$ of the linearly ordered set $\{i, i+1, \ldots, j\}$
- A morphism in $\Omega_{1}$ is given by

$$
\sigma \simeq\left\{\right\}
$$

The functor $\mathcal{L}$ maps $\sigma$ to the map $\mathcal{L}(\sigma)=\left(h_{\sigma}, \mathbb{I}(f)\right)$, whose second component is given by $\mathbb{I}(f): \mathbb{I}([\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}]) \rightarrow \mathbb{I}([i, j])$. We define $h_{\sigma}$ componentwise. Consider an inner interstices $\{p, p+$ $1\} \in \mathbb{I}([i, j])$. The restriction of $h_{\sigma}$ to the component indexed by $\mathbb{I}(f)^{-1}(\{p, p+1\})$ is given by:

It follows from the commutativity of $\sigma$ that this definition is well-defined.

[^5]The technical proof of the following proposition is included in Appendix A.2
Proposition 4.16. The functor $\mathcal{L}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{\star}$ is an $\infty$-categorical localisation at the morphisms $E$ defined in Corollary 4.11 (3).
Definition 4.17. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. We define the category $\operatorname{Fun}^{b i m}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right) \subset$ Fun $\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ as the full subcategory with objects those functors, that
(I) send diagrams of the form

to equivalences
(II) send diagrams of the form

to equivalences
Proposition 4.18. Restriction along the functor $\mathcal{L}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{*}$ induces an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{BiMod}_{S p}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}^{b i m}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

Proof. It follows from Proposition 4.16 that restriction along $\mathcal{L}$ induces an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Fun}_{E^{-1}}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

where we denote by $\operatorname{Fun}_{E^{-1}}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ the full subcategory of $\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Omega_{1}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ on those functors, that map all morphisms in $E$ to equivalences. To conclude, we only have to match the remaining conditions of Corollary 4.11. By construction, condition (2) on the left matches with condition (I) on the right. For condition (4) let $\sigma$ be a 3 -simplex

$$
g_{n_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} g_{n_{1}}^{1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}} g_{n_{2}}^{2} \xrightarrow{\phi_{3}} g_{n_{3}}^{3}
$$

in $\Omega_{1}$ and $F$ an object of $\operatorname{BiMod}_{S p}(\mathcal{C})$. Further let $[i, j] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ be a subinterval. The corresponding limit diagram for condition (4) reads as


It follows from the dual of Lur06, Prop.4.4.2.2] that this diagram is a limit diagram, if and only if the diagram

is a pullback diagram. Combining this with the previous diagram, we get


It follows from the pasting property for pullbacks, that the diagram corresponding to any sub 2 -simplex is a limit diagram. Iterating this we see, that condition (4) is satisfied if and only if it is satisfied on 2 -simplices. Since condition (II) is precisely the image of condition (4) on 2 -simplices under $\mathcal{L}$, we can conclude.

Using the above proposition, the problem has shifted to analyzing the conditions of Definition 4.17, Therefore, consider the full subcategory $i: \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p} \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{\star}$ generated by objects $f:[n] \rightarrow[1] \in \Delta_{/[1]}$ with $n \geqslant 0$. Restriction and right Kan extension induce an adjunction

$$
i^{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightleftarrows \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right): i_{*}
$$

We denote by $\operatorname{Fun}^{\times}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right) \subset \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ the full subcategory on those functors that satisfy condition (I) of Definition 4.17.

Proposition 4.19. The functor $i_{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ factors through the full subcategory Fun ${ }^{\times}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$. Moreover, the induced functor

$$
i_{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

is an equivalence of $\infty$-categories.
Proof. Let $F \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ be a functor $\left(f_{m_{1}}, f_{m_{2}}, \ldots, f_{m_{k}}\right)$ an object of $\Delta_{1}^{\star}$. The value of $i_{*} F$ on $\left(f_{m_{1}}, f_{m_{2}}, \ldots, f_{m_{k}}\right)$ can be computed as the limit of $F$ over the diagram indexed by the category $\mathcal{D}:=$ $\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}\right) /\left(f_{m_{1}}, f_{m_{2}}, \ldots, f_{m_{k}}\right)$. An object of the category $\mathcal{D}$ consists of a choice $i \in\{1, \ldots, k\}$ together with a
morphism $h_{l} \rightarrow f_{m_{i}}$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Note that a morphism from $\left(h_{l} \rightarrow f_{m_{i}}\right)$ to $\left(e_{p} \rightarrow f_{m_{j}}\right)$ in $\mathcal{D}$ only exists if $i=j$. In this case, it is given by a commutative diagram

in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. It follows, that for the value of $i_{*} F\left(f_{m_{1}}, \ldots, f_{m_{k}}\right)$ is given by the limit


This proves the first claim. The second claim follows from [ur06, Prop.4.3.2.15]
We need the following auxiliary lemma
Lemma 4.20. Let $F \in \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ be a functor. Then $F$ satisfies condition (II), if and only if it satisfies condition (II) where all but one of the $g_{2}^{i}$ have source equal to [1]. We will call this condition ( $I I^{\prime}$ ).

Proof. It follows from the assumptions that $(I I)$ implies $\left(I I^{\prime}\right)$. Let therefore $F$ satisfy condition $\left(I I^{\prime}\right)$. We consider the diagram in $\Delta_{1}^{\star}$ displayed in Figure 1 . Since $F$ satisfies $\left(I I^{\prime}\right)$, it follows that $F$ maps


Figure 1: Proof of Lemma 4.20
the bottom right square and the exterior right rectangle of the diagram to pullback diagrams. By the pasting law, it follows that the functor $F$ also sends the bottom left square to a pullback square. Hence, it follows from $\left(I I^{\prime}\right)$ that also the upper left square is a pullback square. Using the pasting law once again implies that the vertical left square is a pullback. Iterating this argument yields the claim.

After all these intermediate steps, we can finally prove:
Proposition 4.21. The restriction functor $i^{*}: \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{1}^{*}, \mathcal{C}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ descends to an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{bim}}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right) \simeq \operatorname{BiSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})
$$

where $\operatorname{BiSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ denotes the full subcategory generated by birelative 2 -Segal objects.
Proof. Let $F \in \operatorname{Fun}^{\times}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ be a functor and let

be a diagram of type $\left(I I^{\prime}\right)$. We can expand this diagram


Since $F$ satisfies condition $(I)$ of Definition 4.17 it follows, that $F$ maps the lower square to a pullback diagram. By the pasting lemma, the upper square is a pullback if and only if the outer rectangle is a pullback. But the latter can be identified with the opposite of the diagram

which is precisely one of the birelative 2-Segal conditions.
As a corollary, we obtain analogous results for left and right module objects in Span ${ }_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)$
Corollary 4.22. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exist equivalences of spaces

$$
\operatorname{LMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right)^{\simeq} \simeq \operatorname{LSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})^{\simeq}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{RMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right)^{\simeq} \simeq \operatorname{RSeg}_{\Delta}(\mathrm{C}) \simeq
$$

## 5 Module Morphisms in Span Categories

In the last section, we have constructed for every $\infty$-category with finite limits $\mathcal{C}$ an equivalence between the space of bimodule objects in $\operatorname{Span}(\mathcal{C})$ and of birelative 2-Segal objects. The goal of this section is to extend this to an equivalence of $\infty$-categories:
Theorem 5.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence of $\infty$-categories

$$
\operatorname{BiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq{\operatorname{Bi} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}}^{(\mathcal{C})}
$$

between the $\infty$-category of bimodule objects in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$and the $\infty$-category of birelative 2-Segal objects and birelative 2-Segal spans.
As a corollary of this we obtain a similar characterization for the $\infty$-category of left (resp. right) module objects and algebra objects in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$.

Corollary 5.2. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{LMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{L2\operatorname {Seg}_{\Delta }^{\leftrightarrow }}(\mathcal{C})
$$

between the $\infty$-category of left modules in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$and the $\infty$-category of left relative 2-Segal objects and left relative 2-Segal spans.

Corollary 5.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{Alg}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq 2-\operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})
$$

between the $\infty$-category of algebras in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$and the $\infty$-category of 2-Segal objects and 2-Segal spans.

Combining the above Corollary with Corollary 2.19, we obtain
Corollary 5.4. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. There exists an equivalence

$$
\operatorname{LMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{Rel} 2 \operatorname{Seg}_{\Delta}^{\leftrightarrow}(\mathcal{C})
$$

between the $\infty$-category of left modules in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$and the $\infty$-category of relative 2-Segal objects.
Before we prove these statements, let us explicitly describe the relation between algebra morphisms and Segal spans on the level of lowest dimensional simplices. Consider two algebra objects ( $X_{1}, \mu^{X}$ ) and $\left(Y_{1}, \mu^{Y}\right)$ in $\left.\operatorname{Span}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)$with associated 2-Segal objects $X_{\bullet}$ (resp. $Y_{\bullet}$ ). To construct an algebra morphism $F:\left(X_{1}, \mu^{X}\right) \rightarrow\left(Y_{1}, \mu^{Y}\right)$ between those we first have to provide a morphism on underlying objects, which is a span with tip denoted $F_{1}$ :


For this span to be part of an algebra morphism, we have to provide higher coherence data. At the lowest level this is given by an invertible 2-morphism $\alpha: F_{1} \circ \mu^{X} \simeq \mu^{Y} \circ\left(F_{1} \times F_{1}\right)$, i.e there has to exist an invertible morphism of spans $\alpha$ :


Since $\alpha$ is invertible, we can decompose $\alpha$ into two equivalences

$$
\left(\partial_{1}^{F}, f_{2}\right): F_{2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} X_{2} \times_{X_{1}} F_{1}
$$

and

$$
\left(g_{2}, \partial_{2}^{F}, \partial_{0}^{F}\right): F_{2} \xrightarrow{\simeq} Y_{2} \times_{Y_{1} \times Y_{1}} F_{1}
$$

These conditions form the lowest dimensional instances of the active equifibered and relative Segal conditions respectively (see Definition 2.2). Similar calculations for higher coherence data on the algebra morphism construct the higher dimensional simplices of the simplicial object $F_{\bullet}$.
After these initial considerations, we now turn to the proof of Theorem 5.1. For this we will need the following lemma:

Lemma 5.5. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits and consider a morphism:

$$
F: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

s.t. the associated morphism $\tilde{F}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg\right), \mathcal{C}\right)$ factors through $\operatorname{Bim}_{S p}(\mathcal{C})^{8}$. Then $F$ defines a morphism:

$$
F: \Delta /[1] \times \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}(\mathcal{C})
$$

if and only if for every 2-simplex $\Delta^{2} \rightarrow \Delta^{n} \times \Delta_{/[1]}$ of the form
$(1)\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}}\left(f_{1}:\left[n_{1}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}}\left(f_{2}:\left[n_{2}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right)$
(2) $\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{i<j}\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right) \xrightarrow{j<k}\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], k\right)$
(3) $\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}}\left(f_{1}:\left[n_{1}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{i<j}\left(f_{2}:\left[n_{2}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right)$
(4) $\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{i<j}\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}}\left(f_{1}:\left[n_{1}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right)$
the restriction $\left.F\right|_{\Delta^{2}}$ is a Segal simplex.
Proof. The only if condition follows from the assumption. For the other direction, we first observe that for every morphism $\phi: f_{n_{0}} \rightarrow f_{n_{1}}$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$, every $i<j<k$ and every fixed subinterval $[l, m] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ the diagram

is a limit diagram. Indeed, since $\tilde{F}$ factors through $\operatorname{Bim}_{\pi}(\mathcal{C})$ the diagram is equivalent to a product of diagrams of the form


These are limit diagrams due to condition (II). Let $\sigma: \Delta^{2} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}$ be a 2 -simplex represented by a composable pair of morphisms:

$$
\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}}\left(f_{1}:\left[n_{1}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}}\left(f_{2}:\left[n_{2}\right] \rightarrow[1], k\right)
$$

[^6]

Figure 2: Image under $F$ of the decomposition of the 2-simplex $\sigma$ into a 4 -simplex. The original 2simplex is colored blue.

By Proposition A. 2 need to check that for every interval $[l, m] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ the following square is a limit diagram:


To check this, we include this diagram into a fourfold composite of spans shown in Figure 2 The square is visualized by the blue part in the diagram. We denote the composite rectangle of two squares by the sum of their labels. It follows from (4), that the rectangles $(I V),(I V)+(I I)$, from (3) that the rectangles $(I I I)+(V I),(V I)$ and from (1) that the square $(V)$ are pullback squares. Moreover, as shown above, the square $(I)$ is a pullback diagram. It follows from an iterated application of the pasting lemma that also the blue square is a pullback square.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove the equivalence $\operatorname{CoBiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq 2-\operatorname{BiSeg}(\mathcal{C})^{o p}$. The claimed result then follows from the equivalence $\operatorname{CoBiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right) \simeq \operatorname{BiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)^{o p}$.
Unraveling definitions and using Proposition A. 2 an $n$-simplex $\eta \in \operatorname{CoBiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)_{n}$ is represented by a morphism

$$
\eta: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

such that
(1) for every $0 \leqslant k \leqslant n$ the restriction $\eta_{\{k\}}:\left\{i d_{k}\right\} \times\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ preserves inert morphisms, i.e. defines a cobimodule in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$.
(2) for every 2-simplex $\Delta^{2} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}$ of the form of Lemma A. 3 depicted

$$
\left(f_{0}:\left[n_{0}\right] \rightarrow[1], i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}}\left(f_{1}:\left[n_{1}\right] \rightarrow[1], j\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{2}}\left(f_{2}:\left[n_{2}\right] \rightarrow[1], k\right)
$$

with $0 \leqslant i \leqslant j \leqslant k \leqslant n$ and every subinterval $[p, q] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ the associated Segal cone diagram ${ }^{99}$ is a limit diagram:


The datum of an $n$-simplex in $\operatorname{CoBiMod}\left(\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)$corresponds via adjunction to a functor

$$
\eta: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg}, \mathcal{C}\right)
$$

We claim that $\eta$ factors through the full subcategory

$$
\left.\operatorname{BiMod}_{S p}(\mathcal{C}) \subset \operatorname{Fun}\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)
$$

as defined in Definition 4.12. For the evaluation of $\eta$ on objects of the form $\mathrm{id}_{k}$, this follows from condition (1) and (2). Hence, let $i<j$ be an object of $\mathrm{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$. We need to check the conditions of Definition 4.12. All conditions except condition (3) of Definition 4.12 are satisfied by assumption. For this condition to hold it suffices to check that for every inert morphism $\phi: f_{n_{0}}^{0} \rightarrow f_{n_{1}}^{1} \in \Delta_{/[1]}$ and every subinterval $[k, l] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ the morphisms
(i) $\eta(\phi,[k, l], i<j) \rightarrow \eta\left(f_{n_{1}}^{1},[\phi(k), \phi(l)], i<j\right)$
(ii) $\eta(\phi,[k, l], i<j) \rightarrow \eta\left(f_{n_{0}}^{0},[k, l], i<j\right)$
are equivalences. Therefore, consider the following 2-simplices:
(i) $\left(f_{n_{0}}^{0}, i\right) \xrightarrow{\phi}\left(f_{n_{1}}^{1}, i\right) \xrightarrow{i<j}\left(f_{n_{1}}^{1}, j\right)$
(ii) $\left(f_{n_{0}}^{0}, i\right) \xrightarrow{i<j}\left(f_{n_{0}}^{0}, j\right) \xrightarrow{\phi}\left(f_{n_{1}}^{1}, j\right)$
in $\Delta_{/[1]} \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{n}$. Since $\eta(-,-, i) \in \operatorname{Bim}_{S p}(\mathcal{C})$ for all $i \in[n]$, the pullback Diagrams 6 associated by $\eta$ to these 2 -simplices exhibit the morphisms in $(i)$ and $(i i)$ as pullbacks of equivalences. Hence they are itself equivalences.
Consequently, we can apply Lemma 5.5. It therefore, suffices to analyze condition $(I)-(I V)$ of Lemma 5.5. Condition ( $I$ ) and ( $I I$ ) imply that $\eta$ descends to a morphism

$$
\eta: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Bim}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathrm{C})\right)
$$

Under the equivalence $\operatorname{BiMod}_{\mathrm{Sp}}(\mathcal{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Fun}{ }^{\text {bim }}\left(\Delta_{1}^{\star}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ of Proposition 4.18 condition (III) reads as


[^7]where the maps are the image under $\eta$ of the diagram

in the category $\Delta_{1}^{*} \times \operatorname{Tw}(\Delta)$. Similarly condition $(I V)$ is given by


Observe, that the above diagrams are products of individual square shaped diagrams. It therefore suffices to show that each individual square is a pullback diagram. For condition $(I I I)$, we therefore need to check that for every $p \leqslant r \leqslant q-1$ the diagram

is a pullback square. Similarly for condition $(I V)$, we need to check that the diagram:

is a pullback diagram. Under the equivalence of Proposition 4.21, the map $\eta$ identifies with a functor $\eta: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$. We denote the value of $\eta$ on an object $i \leqslant j \in \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ by $M_{\bullet}^{i, j}$, if $i<j$ and by $X_{\bullet}^{i}$, if $i=j$.

Under this equivalence condition $(I I I)$ translates into the condition that for every $f_{l} \in \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$ and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the diagram

is a pullback diagram. Similarly, condition $(I V)$ translates into the condition that for every $f_{l} \in \Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}$ and $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ the diagram:

is pullback diagrams. By Lemma 5.6 and Lemma 5.7 the conditions suffice to hold for $j=i+1$. But these are precisely the active equifibered and relative Segal conditions. This finishes the proof.

Lemma 5.6. Let $\tilde{\eta}: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ be a functor with adjoint $\eta: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ and denote by $M_{\bullet}^{i, j}$ the value of $\eta$ on the object $i \rightarrow j \in \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ if $i<j$ and by $X_{\bullet}^{i}$, if $i=j$. Further let $f_{l}:[l] \rightarrow[1]$ be an object of $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Then the following are equivalent:
(1) the diagram

is a pullback diagram for all $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$.
(2) the diagram

is a pullback diagram for all $0 \leqslant i<n$.
Proof. (1) implies (2) by assumption. To prove the converse we do induction on the difference $p=j-i$. The claim is true for $p=1$ by assumption. Assume that the result holds for $p-1$. Let $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ be objects of $\Delta^{n}$ with $j-i=p$. We denote $j-1$ by $k$. Consider the diagram:


The upper left square is a pullback diagram by the induction assumption and the lower square is a pullback diagram by the definition of $\eta$. To show that the big horizontal rectangle is a pullback diagram, it therefore suffices to show that the big vertical rectangle is a pullback diagram. To show this, we factor the vertical rectangle as:


The lower square is a pullback square by the induction hypothesis and the upper square by the definition of $\eta$. It follows that the outer square is a pullback square. This finishes the proof.

Lemma 5.7. Let $\tilde{\eta}: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}\left(\operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)\right)$ be a functor with adjoint $\eta: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}^{o p}, \mathcal{C}\right)$ and denote by $M_{\bullet}^{i, j}$ the value of $\eta$ on the object $i \rightarrow j \in \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right)$ if $i<j$ and by $X_{\bullet}^{i}$, if $i=j$. Further let $f_{l}:[l] \rightarrow[1]$ be an object of $\Delta_{/[1]}$. Then the following are equivalent:

- the diagram

is a pullback diagram for all $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$.
- the diagram

is a pullback diagram for all $0 \leqslant i<n$.
Proof. The proof is analogous to the one of Lemma 5.6. By assumption, (1) implies (2). For the converse, we do induction on the difference $p=j-i$. The case $p=1$ follows by assumption. Assume therefore the result holds for $p-1$. Let $0 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n$ be objects of $\Delta^{n}$ and denote $j-1$ by $k$. Consider the diagram:


It follows from the inductive hypothesis that the bottom square is a pullback diagram. Further, the upper left square is a pullback by definition of $\eta$. Therefore, to show that the big vertical rectangle is a pullback diagram, it suffices to show that the big horizontal rectangle is a pullback. The horizontal rectangle factors as


The left square is a pullback square by the induction hypothesis and the right square is a pullback square by definition of $\eta$. Consequently, also the big horizontal square is a pullback diagram.

## A Auxiliary Statements

The goal of this appendix is to present the technical proofs of Corollary 4.11 and 4.16. These proofs are included here to maintain the flow of the main argument presented in Section 4.

## A. 1 Proof of Proposition 4.11

The goal of this subsection is to prove Proposition 4.11. As a start, we unravel the datum of a cobimodule in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$. Such an object is given by a commutative triangle:

s.t $F$ preserves inert morphisms and the adjoint morphism $\tilde{F}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\times}$maps every $n$-simplex $\Delta^{n} \rightarrow \Delta_{[1]}$ to a Segal simplex ${ }^{10}$.
We analyze the second condition first. By the universal property of the Cartesian monoidal structure $\tilde{F}$ is equivalent to a functor:

$$
\bar{F}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

Similarly an $n$-morphism $\eta: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}_{\Delta}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}, \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)$is equivalent to a functor:

$$
\bar{\eta}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg} \simeq \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta^{\amalg}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

We will denote $\Theta_{n+1}:=\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg \simeq \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n}\right) \times \Theta_{1}$. The objects of this category are given by:

$$
[i, j] \subset\left(g_{p}:[p] \rightarrow[1], k\right) \xrightarrow{f}\left(g_{q}:[q] \rightarrow[1], l\right)
$$

where $0 \leqslant k \leqslant l \leqslant n, f: g_{p} \rightarrow g_{q}$ is a morphism in $\Delta_{/[n]}$ and $[i, j]$ is a subinterval of $[p]$.
Definition A.1. Let $\bar{\eta}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n} \times \Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a morphism of simplicial sets, $\sigma: \Delta^{k} \rightarrow$ $\Delta^{n} \times \Delta_{/[1]}$ a $k$-simplex:

$$
\left(g_{0}, i_{0}\right) \xrightarrow{\phi_{1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\phi_{k}}\left(g_{k}, i_{k}\right)
$$

and $[i, j] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ a subinterval. The Segal cone of $\bar{\eta}$ associated to $\sigma$ and $[i, j]$ is the diagram:

where we denote $\psi_{i}:=\phi_{i} \circ \phi_{i-1} \circ \ldots \circ \phi_{1}$.
Proposition A.2. Let $\bar{\eta}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{n} \times \Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be a morphism of simplicial sets. Its adjoint $\eta$ defines an $n$-morphism in $\operatorname{Fun}_{\Delta}\left(\Delta_{[[1]}, \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)$if and only if for every $k$-simplex:

$\frac{\text { in } \Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n} \text { and every interval }\{i, j\} \subset\left[n_{0}\right] \text { the associated Segal cone of } \bar{\eta} \text { is a limit diagram in } \mathcal{C} \text {. }}{{ }^{10} \text { See Construction } 4.8}$

Proof. By definition, we need to show that for every $k$-simplex $\Delta^{k} \rightarrow \Delta^{n} \times \Delta_{/[1]}$ given by

$$
\left(g_{0}, i_{0}\right) \rightarrow\left(g_{1}, i_{1}\right) \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow\left(g_{k}, i_{k}\right)
$$

the restriction of $\bar{\eta}$ to $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{k}\right) \subset \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}\right)$ is a Segal simplex in $\mathcal{C}^{\times}$. According to DK19, Lem.10.2.13] there exists a functor:

$$
\tilde{H}_{t}:\left(\Delta^{1} \times \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)\right) \times \Delta \Delta \amalg \rightarrow \mathcal{C}
$$

adjoint to a homotopy:

$$
H_{t}:\left(\Delta^{1} \times \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{k}\right)\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\times}
$$

such that $H_{1}=\bar{\eta}$, the components of this homotopy are Cartesian morphisms and $H_{0}$ has image contained in $\mathcal{C}_{g_{0}}^{\times}$. Consequently, the restriction of $\bar{\eta}$ to the Segal cone ${ }^{11}$ is a relative limit in $\mathcal{C}^{\times}$if and only if the restriction of $H_{0}$ to the Segal cone is a limit in $\mathcal{C}_{g_{0}}^{\times}$Lur06, Prop. 4.3.1.9].
We can check the latter for each interval $\{i, j\} \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ individually. The restriction of $\tilde{H}_{0}$ to the Segal cone is represented in $\mathcal{C}$ by the diagram


Since the components of the homotopy are Cartesian, it restricts to an equivalence between this diagram and the Segal cone diagram of $\bar{\eta}$ associated to $\sigma$ and $[i, j]$. Therefore the simplex is Segal, if for all simplices $\sigma$ and all subintervals $[i, j]$, the Segal cone diagram of $\bar{\eta}$ associated to $\sigma$ and $[i, j]$ are limit diagrams.

In fact, it turns out to be sufficient to check this condition for 2-simplices:
Lemma A.3. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be an $\infty$-category with finite limits. For an $n$-simplex

$$
F: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}_{\Delta}\left(\Delta /[1], \overline{\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)
$$

the following are equivalent:
(1) $F$ restricts to a functor $F: \Delta^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Fun}_{\Delta}\left(\Delta /[1], \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)\right)$
(2) for every non-degenerate 2-simplex $\Delta^{2} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]} \times \Delta^{n}$ the restriction $\left.F\right|_{\Delta^{2}}$ is a Segal simplex

Proof. This follows from the iterated application of [Lur06, Prop.4.2.3.8]
To study the first condition, recall that a morphism $\phi: \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \Delta /[1]$ is called inert if its image in $\Delta$ is inert. If we depict a morphism in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ by a commutative diagram

the morphism is inert when $f$ is the inclusion of a subinterval. Let $\phi: \Delta^{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{/[1]}$ be an inert morphism and $F$ a bicomodule object in $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$. By definition $F: \Delta /[1] \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$has to map inert morphisms to Cartesian morphisms. Unraveling the definition of the Cartesian fibration $\operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathcal{C}^{\times}\right)$, it follows that the image of $\phi$ is Cartesian, if and only if the adjoint map $\widetilde{F \circ \phi}: \operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}^{\times}$maps all morphisms in $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta^{1}\right)$ to Cartesian morphisms. In other words for any morphism $\phi: g_{n_{0}}^{0} \rightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}$ and any interval $[i, j] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$

[^8](1) the morphism $F(\phi,\{i, j\}) \rightarrow F\left(g_{n_{0}}^{0},\{i, j\}\right)$ induced by the source map $\phi \rightarrow g_{n_{0}}^{0}$ in $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right)$ is an equivalence
(2) the morphism $F(\phi,\{i, j\}) \rightarrow F\left(g_{n_{1}}^{1},\{\phi(i), \phi(j)\}\right)$ induced by the target map $\phi \rightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}$ in $\mathrm{Tw}_{\mathrm{w}}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right)$ is an equivalence

We derive some consequences of this
Lemma A.4. Suppose $F: \Delta_{/[1]} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)$represents a cobimodule. Let $f: g_{n_{0}}^{0} \rightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}$ be a morphism in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ viewed as an object in $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right)$
(1) Denote for $[i, j] \subset\left[n_{0}\right]$ by $\left.f\right|_{[i, j]}$ the restriction of $f$ to $g_{[i, j]}^{0} \subset g_{n_{0}}^{0}$. Then the induced morphism

$$
F\left(\left.f\right|_{[i, j]},[i, j]\right) \rightarrow F(f,[i, j])
$$

is an equivalence.
(2) Let $\tilde{f}: g_{n_{0}}^{0} \rightarrow g_{[l, k]}^{1}$ be a morphism with $[l, \ldots, k] \subset\left[n_{1}\right]$, s.t. the composite with the inert morphism $\phi: g_{[l, k]}^{1} \hookrightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}$ is $f$. Then the induced morphism

$$
F(f,[l, k]) \rightarrow F(\tilde{f},[l, k])
$$

is an equivalence.
Proof. The proof is analogous to Ste21, Prop.2.2]
Lemma A.5. Suppose $F: \Delta_{/[1]} \rightarrow \operatorname{Span}_{\Delta}\left(\mathrm{C}^{\times}\right)$represents a cobimodule. Let $\sigma$ be a morphisms in $\operatorname{Tw}\left(\Delta_{/[1]}\right) \times_{\Delta} \Delta \amalg$ of the form:

$$
\sigma \simeq\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
{[i, j] \subset g_{n_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow{g} \longrightarrow g_{n_{1}}^{1}} \\
f \downarrow & & \uparrow_{\tilde{f}} \\
{[\tilde{i}, \tilde{j}] \subset g_{m_{0}}^{0} \xrightarrow[\tilde{g}]{ }>g_{m_{1}}^{1}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

s.t. $f$ restricts to an isomorphism $[i, \ldots, j] \rightarrow[\tilde{i}, \ldots, \tilde{j}]$ and $\tilde{f}$ restricts to an isomorphism $[g(i), \ldots, g(j)] \rightarrow$ $[\tilde{g}(\tilde{i}), \ldots, \tilde{g}(\tilde{j})]$. Then $F$ sends $\sigma$ to an equivalence.

Proof. We decompose $\sigma$ into the diagram


Note that the upper square gets mapped by $F$ to an equivalence and that the composite of the left vertical maps is inert. So we can restrict by 2 -out-of- 3 to those $\sigma$, s.t the morphism $f$ is inert. Such a
diagram $\sigma$ can be further decomposed as:


Using the same argument as above applied to the lower square, we can reduce to the case that $f=\mathrm{id}$. So let $\sigma$ be a diagram of the form:

s.t $\bar{f}$ induces an isomorphism $\left[\bar{g}(0), \bar{g}\left(n_{0}\right)\right] \rightarrow\left[g(0), g\left(n_{0}\right)\right]$. In particular, there exists a decomposition

with $\psi$ inert. It follows by assumption that $f \circ \psi$ is itself inert. Hence the claim follows from 2-out-of-3 again.

Putting these results together we have proven:
Proposition A.6. A functor $F: \Theta_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ defines a bicomodule object if and only if
(1) $F$ sends degenerate intervals to terminal objects.
(2) $F$ sends ever $\operatorname{object}\left(\phi: g_{\left[n_{0}\right]}^{0} \rightarrow g_{\left[n_{1}\right]}^{1},[i, j]\right)$ together with its projection to subintervals to a product diagram ${ }^{12}$.
(3) F sends morphisms of the form

$$
\sigma \simeq\left\{\right\}
$$

s.t. the morphism $f$ restricts to an isomorphism $\{i, \ldots, j\} \rightarrow\{\tilde{i}, \ldots, \tilde{j}\}$ and the morphism $\tilde{f}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\{g(i), \ldots, g(j)\} \rightarrow\{\tilde{g}(\tilde{i}), \ldots, \tilde{g}(\tilde{j})\}$ to equivalences. We denote by $E$ the wide subcategory of $\Theta_{1}$ with morphisms of the above form.

[^9](4) F maps all Segal cone diagrams from Definition A. 1 to limit diagrams.

## A. 2 Proof of Proposition 4.16

The goal of this subsection is to prove the following:
Proposition A.7. The functor $\mathcal{L}: \Omega_{1} \rightarrow \Delta_{1}^{\star}$ from Construction 4.15 is an $\infty$-categorical localisation at the morphisms $E$ defined in Corollary 4.11 (3).

To prove this we show that this functor satisfies the assumptions of Wal21, Lem.3.1.1]. Therefore, we need to consider for any object $N=\left(e_{m_{0}}^{0}, \ldots, e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}\right) \in \Delta_{1}^{\star}$ the strict fiber of the functor $\mathcal{L}$ at $N$. We denote this fiber by $\Omega_{1, N}$ and by $\Omega_{1, N}^{E}$ the subcategory of $\Omega_{1, N}$ with morphisms in $E$. In the first step, we construct an explicit initial object in $\Omega_{1, N}^{E} \dot{i}$
Construction A.8. For any $N=\left(e_{m_{0}}^{0}, \ldots, e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}\right) \in \Delta_{1}^{\star}$ as above we define the object $I_{N} \in \Omega_{1, N}$ as

$$
[0, k] \subset[k] \xrightarrow[e_{k}]{f_{N}}\left[m_{0}\right] * \ldots *\left[m_{k-1}\right]
$$

where the morphism $f_{N}$ is defined by

$$
f_{N}(i)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
0 \in\left[m_{i}\right] \quad i \leqslant k \\
m_{k-1} \in\left[m_{k-1}\right] \quad i=k
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $e_{k}$ is the unique morphism that makes the triangle commute. In case that $e_{m_{0}}^{0} * \ldots * e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ is supported at $\{0\}$ we denote by $I_{N} * \mathrm{id}_{[1]}$ the object


Similarly we denote by $\mathrm{id}_{[1]} * I_{N}$ the analogously defined object for the case that $e_{m_{0}}^{0} * \ldots * e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ is supported at $\{1\}$.
Lemma A.9. For every $N$ the category $\Omega_{1, N}^{E}$ has an initial object given by
(1) $I_{N} * \operatorname{id}_{[1]}$ if $e_{m_{0}}^{0} * \ldots * e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ is supported at 0 .
(2) $I_{N}$ if $e_{m_{0}}^{0} * \ldots * e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ is surjective.
(3) $\mathrm{id}_{[1]} * I_{N}$ if $e_{m_{0}}^{0} * \ldots * e_{m_{k-1}}^{k-1}$ is supported at 0 .

Proof. We will prove that the objects are initial. Let

$$
[i, j] \subset[n] \xrightarrow{\alpha}[l] \xrightarrow{h}[1]
$$

be an object in $\Omega_{1, N}^{E}$. We will construct a unique morphism $\Phi$ in $\Omega_{1, N}^{E}$ from the claimed initial object. In any of the above cases, we define the morphism $\phi:[k] \rightarrow[n]$ as the inert map that includes $[k]$ as the interval $\{i, \ldots, j\}$. This is uniquely defined since every morphism in $E$ has to induce an isomorphism between the chosen subintervals. We can decompose ${ }^{13}$ the object $[l] \xrightarrow{h}$ [1] into

$$
\left[l_{\text {left }}\right] *\{\alpha(i), \ldots, \alpha(j)\} *\left[l_{\text {right }}\right] \xrightarrow{h_{\text {left }} * h * h_{\text {right }}}[1]
$$

[^10]Since any morphism in $E$ has to map $\{\alpha(i), \ldots, \alpha(j)\}$ isomorphically onto [ $\left.f_{N}(0), f_{N}(k)\right]=[n]$ the restriction of $\Phi$ to $\{\alpha(i), \ldots, \alpha(j)\}$ is uniquely determined. We show that our assumptions allow us to uniquely extend this arrow to the outer part. In case (2), we can uniquely extend. We only discuss case (1), since (3) is analogous. In case (1), we can uniquely extend $\Phi$ to $\left[l_{\text {left }}\right]$ by setting it constantly 0 . An extension to $\left[l_{\text {right }}\right]$ is equivalent to a morphism to $\mathrm{id}_{[1]}$ in $\Delta_{/[1]}$. This morphism exists uniquely, since id $_{[1]}$ is a final object of $\Delta_{/[1]}$.

We further need to show that the inclusion $\Omega_{1, N}^{E} \subset \Omega_{/ N}^{1}$ is cofinal. Therefore we make the following preliminary considerations. By Quillen's theorem A Lur06, Thm.4.1.3.1] this amounts to showing, that for every object

$$
\{i, j\} \subset[n] \xrightarrow{\alpha}[l] \xrightarrow{h}[1]
$$

whose image under $\mathcal{L}$ is given by $\left(h_{l_{i+1}}, \ldots, h_{l_{j}}\right)$, and every morphism

$$
g:\left(h_{l_{i+1}}, \ldots, h_{l_{j}}\right) \rightarrow\left(e_{m_{0}}, \ldots, e_{m_{k-1}}\right)
$$

in $\Delta_{1}^{\star}$ the category $\Omega_{N, 1}^{E} \times{ }_{\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{/ N}}\left(\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{/ N}\right)_{g /}$ is contractible. We do so by constructing an explicit initial object. By definition, the morphism $g$ amounts to a pair consisting of a morphism $\gamma:[k-1] \rightarrow$ $\{i+1, \ldots, j\}$ in $\Delta$ and a morphism $\bar{g}:\left[m_{0}\right] * \ldots *\left[m_{k-1}\right] \rightarrow\left[l_{i+1}\right] * \ldots *\left[l_{j}\right]$ in $\Delta /[1]$. We denote by $\left[n_{c}\right]:=\{p, \ldots, q\} \subset\{i, j\} \subset[n]$ the unique linearly ordered set s.t $\gamma$ factors as

$$
\gamma:[k-1] \rightarrow\left[n_{c}\right] \hookrightarrow\left[n_{l}\right] *\left[n_{c}\right] *\left[n_{r}\right]
$$

The morphism $\bar{g}$ has by construction image contained in $\left[l_{c}\right]:=\left[l_{p+1}\right] * \ldots *\left[l_{q}\right]$. We introduce the following decompositions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[l] } & =\left[l_{l}\right] *\left[l_{c}\right] *\left[l_{r}\right] \\
{[m] } & =\left[m_{l}\right] *\left[m_{c}\right] *\left[m_{r}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma A.10. There exists a morphism in $\Omega_{1}$

that extends to a morphism

$$
\mu_{Z, N}:=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
Z=[i, j] \subset d_{n_{l}} * d_{n_{c}} * d_{n_{r}} \longrightarrow h_{l_{l}} * h_{l_{c}} * h_{l_{r}} \\
\downarrow \\
\downarrow \\
Z_{N}=[0, k] \subset d_{n_{l}} * h_{\left\{0, l^{1}\right\}} * e_{k} * h_{\left\{0, l^{2}\right\}} * d_{n_{r}} \longrightarrow h_{l_{l}} * h_{l^{1}} * e_{m} * h_{l^{2}} * h_{l_{r}}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

covering $g$, s.t. $\mu_{Z, N}$ is an initial object in $\Omega_{N, 1}^{E} \times{ }_{\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{/ N}}\left(\left(\Omega_{1}\right)_{/ N}\right)_{g /}$
Proof. We define a morphism $\nu:\{p+1, \ldots, q-1\} \rightarrow[k]$ as $\mathbb{I}(\gamma)$ and extend it to a morphism $\nu$ : $\{p, \ldots, q\} \rightarrow[1] *[k] *[1]$ by mapping endpoints to endpoints. We decompose $\left[l_{c}\right]=\left[l^{1}\right] *\left[l_{c}^{m}\right] *\left[l^{2}\right]$, where we denote by $\left[l_{c}^{m}\right]$ the subinterval of $[l]$, that contains the image of $\bar{g}$. By construction, the map $\bar{g}: e_{m} \rightarrow h_{\left[l_{c}^{m}\right]}$ hits both endpoints. Therefore, we can extend it to a morphism

$$
\bar{g}^{\prime}:=\operatorname{id}_{h_{l^{1}}} * g * i d_{h_{l^{2}}}: h_{l^{1}} * e_{m} * h_{l^{2}} \rightarrow h_{l^{c}}
$$

By construction $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ hits both endpoints and extends uniquely to a morphism over [1]. Similarly, we define

$$
\bar{f}_{N}: h_{\left\{0, l^{1}\right\}} * e_{k} * h_{\left\{0, l^{2}\right\}} \rightarrow h_{l^{1}} * e_{m} * h_{l^{2}}
$$

to be $f_{N}$ on $e_{k}$ and to send endpoints to endpoints. This morphism also extends uniquely to a morphism over [1]. By decomposing the morphisms $\nu, h$ and $\bar{f}_{N} \circ \nu$, we obtain a not necessarily commuting diagram


This diagram commutes in $\Delta_{/[1]}$ if and only if it commutes restricted to each individual [1 $1_{r}$ ] with $r \in\{p+1 \leqslant q\}$. Since all maps preserve endpoints, this is clear for $r=p+1$ and $r=q$. In the other cases, it suffices to show, that $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ sends the endpoints of $\left[n_{r}\right.$ ] to the endpoints of [ $m_{r}$ ]. This follows since $\bar{g}^{\prime}$ is induced by a morphism $g$ in $\Delta_{1}^{\star}$.
Since all morphisms preserve endpoints, we can extend the diagram by taking star products with the morphisms $\mathrm{id}_{d_{n_{l}}}, \operatorname{id}_{d_{n_{r}}}$, id $_{h_{l_{l}}}$, id $_{h_{l_{r}}},\left.\alpha\right|_{d_{n_{l}}}: d_{n_{l}} \rightarrow d_{l_{l}}$ and $\left.\alpha\right|_{d_{n_{r}}}: d_{n_{r}} \rightarrow d_{l_{r}}$


By construction, this diagram defines a morphism in $\Omega_{1}$ covering $g$. We call this morphism $\mu_{Z, N}$. Let us show that $\mu_{Z, N}$ is initial. Suppose, we are given another morphism in $\Omega_{1}$

covering $g$. We can decompose it as follows


It follows from Ste21, Lem. A.3] that $\rho$ is uniquely determined except at the endpoints by $\gamma$. Therefore, the restriction of the diagram Diagram 7 to $d_{n_{c}}$ looks as follows:


Every morphism $Z_{N} \rightarrow X$ in $E$ commuting with the above morphism $Z \rightarrow X$ and $\mu_{Z, M}$, must in
particular restrict to a commutative diagram


Moreover, since the bottom square is in $E$ it must restrict to a commutative diagram


It follows that the component $h_{\left\{0, l^{1}\right\}} * e_{k} * h_{\left\{0, l^{2}\right\}} \rightarrow x_{a_{c}^{1}} * e_{k} * x_{a_{c}^{2}}$ is uniquely determined by the left hand triangle in Diagram 8. We can further decompose $w$, since it must restrict to $\bar{g}$ on $e_{m}$, into

$$
w=w^{1} * g * w^{2}: y_{b_{c}^{1}} * e_{m} * y_{b_{c}^{2}} \rightarrow h_{l^{1}} * h_{l_{c}^{m}} * h_{l^{2}}
$$

Hence the component of the bottom morphism in the right triangle is uniquely determined and must be given by

$$
w^{1} * \operatorname{id}_{[m]} * w^{2}: y_{b_{c}^{1}} * e_{m} * y_{b_{c}^{2}} \rightarrow h_{l^{1}} * e_{m} * h_{l^{2}}
$$

We can now extend back to the full diagram


Note that the vertical arrows in the back square are given by identities when restricted to $h_{l_{l}}, h_{l_{r}}, e_{m_{l}}$ and $e_{m_{r}}$. Hence the bottom square is uniquely determined by the morphisms $h_{l_{l}} \rightarrow x_{a_{l}}, h_{l_{r}} \rightarrow x_{a_{r}}$, $y_{b_{l}} \rightarrow e_{m_{l}}$ and $y_{b_{r}} \rightarrow e_{m_{r}}$. So there is a unique morphism $Z_{N} \rightarrow X$ with the desired properties.

Proof of Proposition 4.16. The result follows from combining Lemma A.10 and Lemma A.9 with Wal21, Lem.3.1.1.].
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