

# COMPLETE CLASSIFICATION OF SOLITONS FOR THE SURFACE DIFFUSION FLOW OF ENTIRE GRAPHS

PIOTR RYBKA AND GLEN WHEELER

ABSTRACT. In this article we completely classify solitons (equilibria, self-similar solutions and travelling waves) for the surface diffusion flow of entire graphs of function over  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The surface diffusion flow was introduced in a seminal paper of Mullins [8]. There, he studied the formation of thermal grooves in sheets of material. Motivated by experimentation, Mullins' mathematical formulation assumes that the sheet is determined by a family of profile functions  $u : \mathbb{R} \times [0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  orthogonal to the thermal groove. That is, there is an assumed translation invariance parallel to the groove in Mullins' model. This makes the configuration being modelled two-dimensional, justifying the use of the word 'surface'.

The evolution equation as proposed by Mullins is (see [8, (11)])

$$(1) \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial u / \partial x)^2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{\partial^2 u / \partial x^2}{\sqrt{1 + (\partial u / \partial x)^2}} \right) \right)$$

where we have set the physical constant  $D_s \gamma \Omega^2 \nu / kT = B > 0$ , determined by parameters from the specific setting, to 1 (following Mullins).

Since Mullins' paper, a great number of works have appeared studying surface diffusion. As explained in Cahn-Taylor [4] the surface diffusion operator is an important object to study in its own right. By the time of [4] not only were many further physical settings discovered to be modeled by surface diffusion and its generalisations, but the hallmark geometric properties of surface diffusion discovered. Namely that for an immersed surface, the surface diffusion flow conserves signed enclosed volume and reduces surface area, with equilibria consisting precisely of surfaces with constant mean curvature. Cahn-Taylor argued that a comprehensive mathematical theory for surface diffusion flow needs to be developed.

In terms of existence and uniqueness for solutions to (1), the best result to our knowledge is that of Asai [1, 2], where it is proved that unique solutions exist from bounded initial data of class  $h^{1+\alpha}$  (the closure of bounded uniformly continuous functions of order  $1 + \alpha$  in the space of bounded uniformly smooth functions). If the initial data is Lipschitz with small Lipschitz constant, then [6] may also be used to generate a unique solution. In higher dimensions we mention the result [7] which is in the same regularity class as Asai and remarkably general.

Here, we focus on the study of entire graphical solutions to the flow (1). Specifically, we are interested in the classification of solutions moving according to a symmetry action of the ambient plane, that is, solitons. As rotations will not preserve graphicality, we focus on the cases of (a) self-similar solutions; and (b) travelling waves.

As mentioned in Asai-Giga [3] (although there the half-infinite problem is focused on), linear functions  $u(x, t) = Ax$  where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$  are solutions to (1). Apart from the case of  $A = 0$ , all of these solutions are unbounded. Our main result is that these are the only graphical solitons under very general conditions.

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 53A04 and 35G20.

*Key words and phrases*. surface diffusion flow, curve diffusion flow, solitons, self-similar profile, travelling wave, higher-order nonlinear partial differential equation.




FIGURE 1. (a) A straight line with gradient equal to one. (b) A circle with curvature equal to two. (c) An Eulerian clothoid with curvature equal to arc-length.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a locally smooth function. Assume that either*

- (a)  $\phi$  is a steady state,
- (b)  $\phi$  is a self-similar profile, or
- (c)  $\phi$  is a travelling wave profile

*for the surface diffusion flow. Then  $\phi(y) = Ay$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

**Remark 2.** Our theorem implies that all non-trivial solitons are unbounded. Thus, in order to study the dynamics of the graphical surface diffusion flow, it is imperative that an existence and uniqueness result that allows unbounded initial data be established. To our knowledge this does not yet exist in the literature.

The right hand side of (1) is the surface Laplacian of the curvature, and as such naturally generalises to the case of non-graphical curves. In this setting, more solitons  $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  are known to exist: namely circles, Euler's clothoids, and the lemniscate of Bernoulli [5] (see figures 1 and 2). Only the lemniscate is non-stationary.

Let us briefly explain the key ingredients of the proof of Theorem 1. From a big-picture point of view, Theorem 1 follows from the fact that the curvature of a graphical soliton must be either identically zero, or bounded strictly away from zero on large intervals. This is impossible (see Lemma 6) and prevents the solution from existing. Thus the curvature must vanish, which implies that the solution is of the form  $x \mapsto Ax$ . The main difficulty thus becomes how to show that the curvature does indeed stay away from zero on an interval of large enough size. We discovered that certain associated functions (for self-similar profiles, they are  $Q$ , see (6) and for travelling waves they are  $M$ , see (11)) are convex, in a sense. For travelling waves, we also need to apply some symmetry reductions to keep the number of different cases tractable.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The majority of this work was completed while the second author was visiting the University of Warsaw, he is grateful for their kind hospitality. Both authors enjoyed a partial support from the National Science Centre, Poland, through the grant 2017/26/M/ST1/00700. A visit of GW to the University of Warsaw was supported by a microgrant from the IDUB program.

#### 2. STEADY STATES

In this section we prove the following result.

**Lemma 3.** *Suppose that  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a steady state profile. Then  $\phi(x) = Ax$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

First, let us make precise our notion of steady state profile. For the definition we need the notation  $C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  for functions that are infinitely continuously differentiable at all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . We also use the following convenient shorthand.

**Definition 4.** Define  $\mathcal{L} : C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi] = -\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + (d\phi/dx)^2}} \frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{d^2\phi/dx^2}{\sqrt{1 + (d\phi/dx)^2}^3} \right) \right).$$

**Definition 5.** We call  $\phi \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  a steady state profile if and only if

$$\mathcal{L}[\phi] = 0.$$

It will be helpful to introduce some suggestive shorthand. Set

$$k[\phi] = \frac{d^2\phi/dx^2}{\sqrt{1 + (d\phi/dx)^2}^3},$$

and  $v[\phi] = \sqrt{1 + (d\phi/dx)^2}$ . Note that  $v[\phi](x) \geq 1$  for all  $x$ . The essential idea behind this non-existence result, and all of the rest in this paper, is the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** *Let  $u : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a graph. Then*

$$\sup_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \int_a^b k[u] v[u] dx \right| \leq \pi.$$

*Proof.* We calculate

$$\int_a^b k[u] v[u] dx = \int_a^b \frac{d}{dx} \arctan \left( \frac{du}{dx} \right) dx = \arctan B - \arctan A$$

where  $A = \frac{du}{dx}(a)$  and  $B = \frac{du}{dx}(b)$ . Therefore

$$\sup_{a,b \in \mathbb{R}} \int_a^b k[u] v[u] dx \leq \sup_{A,B \in \mathbb{R}} (\arctan B - \arctan A) = \pi,$$

as required. □

*Proof of Lemma 3.* The steady state profile hypothesis is equivalent to

$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dx} \right) = 0,$$

where  $k[\phi]$  and  $v[\phi]$  were introduced above. Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dx} = a$$

where  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  is a constant. Furthermore

$$k[\phi](x) = a \int_0^x v(y) dy + b$$

where  $b \in \mathbb{R}$  is another constant.

Now we separate out three cases.

**Case 1:**  $a = 0$ . Then

$$k[\phi] v[\phi] = av[\phi] \int_0^x v(y) dy + bv[\phi] = bv[\phi] \begin{cases} \geq b, & \text{for } b > 0 \\ = 0, & \text{for } b = 0 \\ \leq b, & \text{for } b < 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $b \neq 0$ , we thus have

$$\left| \int_0^{2\pi/b} k[\phi] v[\phi] dx \right| \geq b(2\pi/b) > \pi.$$




FIGURE 2. The lemniscate of Bernoulli shrinking under surface diffusion flow. The figure overlays images of the flow at times 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, by which time it has vanished to the origin. Its initial parametrisation is

$$t \mapsto \frac{\sqrt{6}}{1 + \sin^2 t} \left( \cos t, \frac{1}{2} \sin 2t \right).$$

This is a contradiction with Lemma 6. If  $b = 0$ , then we have

$$(2) \quad k[\phi] v[\phi] = \frac{d}{dx} \arctan \left( \frac{du}{dx} \right) = 0$$

so

$$(3) \quad \frac{du}{dx} = A$$

where  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ . These are the only solutions allowed for the result.

**Case 2:**  $a > 0$ . Then

$$k[\phi] v[\phi] = av[\phi] \int_0^x v(y) dy + bv[\phi] \geq av[\phi](x - |b|) \geq a$$

for all  $x > |b| + 1$ . Therefore

$$(4) \quad \left| \int_{|b|+1}^{|b|+1+2\pi/a} k[\phi] v[\phi] dx \right| \geq a(2\pi/a) > \pi,$$

and we have a contradiction with Lemma 6.

**Case 3:**  $a < 0$ . Then, similarly to the above,

$$k[\phi] v[\phi] = av[\phi] \int_0^x v(y) dy + bv[\phi] \leq -|a|v[\phi](x - |b|) \leq -|a|$$

for all  $x > |b| + 1$ . Integrating the above on the interval  $I = (|b| + 1 + 2\pi/a, |b| + 1)$  then gives a contradiction as before.

This finishes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. SELF-SIMILAR SOLUTIONS

Let us be precise about what we mean by self-similar profile. We start with the notion of self-similar solution.

**Definition 7.** Suppose  $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  satisfies (1). Set

$$u^\lambda(x, t) = \lambda^{-1}u(\lambda x, \lambda^4 t).$$

We call  $u$  a self-similar solution if and only if  $u^\lambda(x, t) = u(x, t)$  for all  $x$  and  $t$ .

**Remark 8.** The rescaling  $u \mapsto u^\lambda$  preserves the solution property of  $u$ .

Now we define the self-similar profile.

**Definition 9.** Suppose  $u \in C_{loc}^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  is a self-similar solution. Set  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by requiring for all  $t > 0$ ,

$$\phi(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}x) = t^{-\frac{1}{4}}u^{t^{-\frac{1}{4}}}(x, t) = u(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}x, 1).$$

The function  $\phi$  is called the self-similar profile of  $u$ .

We typically use  $y = t^{-\frac{1}{4}}x$  for the independent variable of  $\phi$ .

**Remark 10.** Clearly a self-similar profile is determined by a self-similar solution. Similarly, a self-similar solution is determined by a self-similar profile. Given a  $\phi$ , we set

$$u(x, t) = t^{\frac{1}{4}}\phi(t^{-\frac{1}{4}}x).$$

In this way, the map  $u \leftrightarrow \phi$  is a bijection.

Our main result in this section is:

**Proposition 11.** *Let  $\phi$  be the self-similar profile of a self-similar solution  $u$ . Then  $\phi(x) = Ax$  for some  $A \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 11.* The self-similar profile satisfies a particular differential equation. We briefly calculate this as follows. First, using the chain rule

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t^{\frac{1}{4}}\phi(y) \right) = \frac{1}{4}t^{-\frac{3}{4}} \left( \phi - y \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right).$$

Second, using the self-similarity property  $u = u^\lambda$  and the definition of  $\phi$ ,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left( t^{\frac{1}{4}}\phi(y) \right) = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left( \frac{1}{v[u^\lambda]} \frac{\partial k[u^\lambda]}{\partial x} \right) = -\lambda^3 \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right).$$

Equating both sides (recall  $\lambda = t^{-\frac{1}{4}}$ ) yields

$$(5) \quad \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) = -\frac{1}{4} \left( \phi(y) - y \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right).$$

Let  $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{R}$  be parameters to be chosen. Define the function  $Q : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$(6) \quad Q(y) = k^2[\phi](y) + c_1 + c_2 \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx + \frac{1}{4}(y^2 + \phi^2(y)) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx \right)^2.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy} = 2 \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} + c_2 + \frac{1}{2v[\phi]} \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx$$

and

$$(7) \quad \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy} \right) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) + \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{2v[\phi]} \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2}.$$

In order to simplify this further, we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{2v[\phi]} \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) \right) - \frac{1}{2} &= \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{2v[\phi]} \right) \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) + \frac{1}{2v^2[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \\
&= -\frac{1}{2v^4[\phi]} \frac{d\phi}{dy} \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} \left( y + \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) + \frac{1}{2v^2[\phi]} \left( 1 + \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + \phi \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} \right) - \frac{1}{2} \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \left( -y \frac{d\phi}{dy} - \phi \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} k[\phi] v[\phi] \phi \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \left( -y \frac{d\phi}{dy} - \phi \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \phi \left( 1 + \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \left( -y \frac{d\phi}{dy} + \phi \right).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (7) yields

$$\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy} \right) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \left( -y \frac{d\phi}{dy} + \phi \right).$$

Using now (5) eliminates the final pair of terms, and we have

$$(8) \quad \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy} \right) = 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 \geq 0.$$

In this sense,  $Q$  is convex. Integration of (8) gives

$$(9) \quad Q(y) \geq a \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx + b,$$

where

$$a = \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy} \Big|_{y=y_1}, \quad b = Q(0).$$

We calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
2y\phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} &\leq y^2 \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + \phi^2 \\
\phi^2 \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + 2y\phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} + y^2 &\leq \phi^2 \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + y^2 \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + \phi^2 + y^2 \\
\left( \phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} + y \right)^2 &\leq \left( \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + 1 \right) (\phi^2 + y^2) \\
\frac{(\phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} + y)^2}{(\phi^2 + y^2)} &\leq \left( \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + 1 \right) \\
\frac{|\phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} + y|}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + y^2}} &\leq \sqrt{\left( \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + 1 \right)} = v[\phi].
\end{aligned}$$

The fundamental theorem of calculus gives

$$\sqrt{\phi^2(z) + z^2} = |\phi(0)| + \int_0^z \frac{|\phi \frac{d\phi}{dy} + y|}{\sqrt{\phi^2 + y^2}} dy$$

whereupon application of the above estimate yields

$$(\sqrt{\phi^2(z) + z^2})^2 \leq \left( |\phi(0)| + \int_0^z v[\phi] dy \right)^2.$$

This implies

$$\left( \int_0^z v[\phi] dy \right)^2 \geq \phi^2(z) + z^2 - 2|\phi(0)| \int_0^z v[\phi] dy - |\phi(0)|^2.$$

Set  $c_1 = -\frac{1}{4}|\phi(0)|^2$  and  $c_2 = -\frac{1}{2}|\phi(0)|$  in (6). Then we may estimate  $Q(y)$  as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} Q(y) &\leq k^2[\phi](y) - \frac{1}{4}|\phi(0)|^2 - 2|\phi(0)| \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx + \frac{1}{4}(\phi^2(y) + y^2) - \frac{1}{4} \left( \int_0^y v[\phi] dx \right)^2 \\ &\leq k^2[\phi](y). \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (9) we find

$$k^2[\phi](y) \geq Q(y) \geq a \int_0^y v[\phi](x) dx + b.$$

Now, if  $a > 0$  then  $v^2[\phi]k^2[\phi](y) \geq ay - |b|$  and we find a contradiction by invoking Lemma 6 as in (4). Similarly, if  $a < 0$ , then we take  $y$  negative and find a contradiction, using again Lemma 6.

Let us recall where  $a$  comes from: integration of (8). For this, observe that  $a$  could be any of  $a(y) = \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dQ}{dy}$ . If any such  $a(y)$  does not vanish, the above argument applies to find a contradiction. So, the only possibility remaining is that  $a(y) = 0$  for all  $y$ .

In this case,  $Q(y) = b$  is a constant. Then, from (8), we see that

$$\left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 = 0.$$

this implies that

$$k[\phi] = c$$

where  $c \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then, again, we may apply Lemma 6 to find a contradiction (as in (4)) unless  $c = 0$ .

If indeed  $c = 0$  then we integrate  $k[\phi]v[\phi]$  as in (2) and (3) to conclude the result.  $\square$

#### 4. TRAVELLING WAVES

**Definition 12.** A solution  $u : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to (1) is called a travelling wave with direction  $e = (a, b)$  if and only if  $u(x, t) = \phi(x - at) + bt$ . The function  $\phi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is called the travelling wave profile.

**Proposition 13.** *Let  $\phi$  be the travelling wave profile of a travelling wave solution  $u$  with  $e = (a, b)$  and  $|e| = \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \neq 0$ . Then  $\phi(x) = bx/a$ .*

*Proof of Proposition 13.* First, we derive a differential equation for the profile. Observe that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi(x - at) + bt) = -a \frac{d\phi}{dy} + b = -\frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)$$

or

$$(10) \quad \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) = a \frac{d\phi}{dy} - b.$$

We now rule out  $a = 0$ . First, if  $a = 0$  then  $b \neq 0$ , as we assumed  $|e| \neq 0$ . If  $a = 0$  then  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} = (-by + c_1)v[\phi]$ , where  $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$  is such that  $c_1 = v^{-1}[\phi](y_1) \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy}(y_1)$  for some  $y_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . This implies that for any  $\alpha > 0$  we can bound  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy}$  from below by  $\alpha$  on an infinite interval. Indeed,

$$\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} > \alpha \quad \text{for} \quad \begin{array}{l} y < (c_1 - \alpha)/b \quad \text{if } b > 0, \\ y > (c_1 - \alpha)/b \quad \text{if } b < 0. \end{array}$$

If  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \geq \alpha$  on an infinite interval then  $k \geq 1$  on another infinite interval and we have a contradiction via Lemma 6, as before.

So, assume  $a \neq 0$ . Now we set

$$(11) \quad M(y) = k^2[\phi](y) + 2(ay + b\phi(y)).$$

We calculate

$$\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy} = 2k[\phi] \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} + \frac{2}{v[\phi]} \left( a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy} \right) &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) + 2 \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \left( a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) \right) \\
&= 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \left( a \frac{d\phi}{dy} - b - a \frac{d\phi}{dy} - b \left( \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right)^2 + bv^2[\phi] \right) \\
(12) \qquad \qquad \qquad &= 2 \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (12) as in the case of formula (9) yields

$$(13) \qquad \qquad \qquad M(y) \geq c \int_{y_2}^y v[\phi] dx + d$$

where  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $y > y_2$ . This estimate is valid for any  $c, d$  satisfying

$$c = c(y_1) = \frac{1}{v[\phi](y_1)} \frac{dM}{dy}(y_1), \quad d = d(y_2) = M(y_2)$$

with  $y > \max\{y_1, y_2\}$ .

Note that (12) implies that

$$(14) \qquad \qquad \qquad 2 \int_{y_3}^{y_4} \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \left( \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 dy = \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy}(y_4) - \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy}(y_3).$$

Moreover, if there exist  $y_3, y_4$  with  $y_3 < y_4$  such that  $c(y_3) = c(y_4)$  then, we infer from (14) that  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy}$  vanishes on  $(y_3, y_4)$  which implies by uniqueness of solutions to such ODE that  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy}$  vanishes on all of  $\mathbb{R}$ . Then  $k[\phi] = c_1$  and arguing as before this implies that  $\phi(y) = by/a$ , as required.

In other words, beyond  $M(y)$  being convex, we may assume that its gradient is strictly increasing, because  $k$  may not be constant on any interval. Now we shall argue that we may assume  $\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy}$  is bounded as well. Suppose that

$$F(y) = \int_0^y \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \left( \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 d\hat{y}$$

increases without bound, i.e.  $F(y) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Then, from (14),  $\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy}(y) \rightarrow \infty$  as well.

Simplifying (13), due to the definition of  $d$ , we find

$$(15) \qquad \qquad \qquad k^2(y) \geq k^2(y_2) + 2(a(y_2 - y) + b(\phi(y_2) - \phi(y))) + c(y_1) \int_{y_2}^y v[\phi] dx.$$

Estimating like so

$$|a(y_2 - y) + b(\phi(y_2) - \phi(y))| = \left| \int_{y_2}^y \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} v[\phi] dy \right| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \int_{y_2}^y v[\phi] dx$$

we find

$$k^2(y) \geq k^2(y_2) + (c(y_1) - 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}) \int_{y_2}^y v[\phi] dx \geq k^2(y_2) + (c(y_1) - 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2})(y - y_2).$$

Clearly then, as  $c(y_1)$  is unbounded, the above yields  $k^2(y)$  unbounded, which is a contradiction via Lemma 6. More is true, however, and we shall need it. Fix  $y_2$  such that  $k^2(y_2) > 0$ . If this is not possible, then arguing as before we find  $\phi(y) = by/a$  and are done. Then suppose there exists a  $y_1$  such that

$$c(y_1) = 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - \delta,$$

where  $\delta > 0$  is to be chosen. Suppose  $k(y_2) > 0$ . Then

$$k(y) \geq \sqrt{k^2(y_2) - \delta(y - y_2)}$$



and, for  $L > 0$  a parameter to be chosen,

$$\int_{y_1+y_2}^{y_1+y_2+L} k[\phi]v[\phi] dx \geq \frac{2}{3\delta} \left( \left( k^2(y_2) - \delta(y_1) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} - \left( k^2(y_2) - \delta(y_1 + L) \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right) = G(\delta, y_1, y_2, L)$$

where we require  $\delta(y - y_2) \leq k^2(y_2)$ . This is satisfied for all  $\delta \in (0, \delta_0(y_1, y_2, L)]$  where  $\delta_0(y_1, y_2, L) = \frac{k^2(y_2)}{y_1+L}$ .

Now observe that  $G(\delta(y_1, y_2, L), y_1, y_2) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $L \rightarrow \infty$ , where we keep  $y_1$  and  $y_2$  fixed. In particular, there is a critical value  $L_0 = L_0(y_1, y_2)$  such that for all  $\delta < \delta_0(y_1, y_2, L_0)$  we have  $G(\delta, y_1, y_2, L_0) \geq \pi$  and a contradiction with Lemma 6. Set  $\delta_0^+(y_1, y_2) = \delta_0(y_1, y_2, L_0(y_1, y_2))$ .

Similarly, if  $k(y_2) < 0$ , then we reverse the inequality signs above and aim for taking  $\delta$  and  $L_0$  such that  $G(\delta, y_1, y_2, L_0) \leq -\pi$ ; otherwise it is the same, and so we omit the details. Set  $\delta_0^-(y_1, y_2) = \delta_0(y_1, y_2, L_0(y_1, y_2))$ .

In either case, we have the following strengthening of the earlier statement, that  $c(y_1)$  unbounded implies  $\phi(y) = by/a$ . Let  $\hat{\delta}(y_1, y_2)$  be the smaller out of  $\delta_0^+$  and  $\delta_0^-$ . Then

$$c(y_1) = 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - \hat{\delta}(y_1, y_2) \quad \text{implies } \phi(y) = by/a.$$

In particular, note that if  $y_1 < 0$  then we can take  $L = \hat{L} - y_1$  and  $\hat{L}$  no longer depends on  $y_1$ . This results in

$$(16) \quad c(y_1) = 2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2} - \hat{\delta}(y_2) \quad \text{and } y_1 < 0 \text{ implies } \phi(y) = by/a.$$

In simple terms, if we can show that the weighted derivative of  $M$  becomes close enough to  $2\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$ , we will be done.

Thus, let us assume that  $c(y_1)$  is bounded so that (16) does not hold. From (14), as we already remarked  $F$  is unbounded if and only if  $\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dM}{dy}(y) = c(y)$  is unbounded, which we have assumed does not happen. So, we find that  $F(y)$  is bounded independent of  $y$  (for  $y > 0$ ). Now, from (10) we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) \right| = \left| \frac{a \frac{d\phi}{dy} - b}{v[\phi]} \right| \leq \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

Since  $\left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)(y)$  is integrable and its derivative is bounded we deduce that  $\left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)(y) \rightarrow 0$  as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . Integrating (10) gives

$$\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} = v[\phi](a\phi - by + c_0),$$

where  $c_0 = \frac{dk}{dy}(0)$ . This implies

$$(a\phi - by) \rightarrow -c_0$$

as  $y \rightarrow \infty$ . We may assume that the derivative of the curvature  $\frac{dk[\phi]}{dy}$  has a zero at some point, say at  $y_3$ . Otherwise, the curvature function  $k[\phi]$  is monotone. This implies that the function  $\arctan\left(\frac{d\phi}{dy}\right)$  (which has derivative equal to  $k[\phi]v[\phi]$ , see the proof of Lemma 6) converges to a limit at plus and minus infinity, due to the graphicality assumption bounding the angle between  $-\pi/2$  and  $\pi/2$  and the monotone convergence theorem. So,  $\frac{d\phi}{dy} \rightarrow d_+, d_-$  as  $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$  where  $d_+, d_- \in \mathbb{R}$ . Suppose one of  $d_+$  or  $d_-$  are not equal to  $b/a$ . Then, (10) implies

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) \rightarrow ad_{\pm} - b \neq 0.$$

Thus there exists an interval  $I$  with  $|I| = \infty$  and we find a contradiction using Lemma 6 as

$$\left| \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right| \geq 1 \quad \text{for all } y \in I.$$

Therefore both  $d_+$  and  $d_-$  must be equal to the same value:  $b/a$ . Recalling that the function  $\arctan\left(\frac{d\phi}{dy}\right)$  is monotone on  $\mathbb{R}$ , this means that it must in fact be everywhere constant on  $\mathbb{R}$  and equal to  $\arctan(b/a)$ . In other words  $\phi(y) = b/a$ .

So we obtain the existence of a point  $y_3$  such that  $\frac{dk}{dy}(y_3) = 0$ . Now we use the translation invariance at our disposal. We may shift horizontally and vertically so that  $y_3 = 0$  and  $\phi(0) = 0$ . Doing so is a convenience that allows us to conclude

$$(a\phi - by) \rightarrow 0.$$

Furthermore, consider again the curvature  $k[\phi]$ . In light of the convergence of its weighted derivative to zero and the fact that its weighted integral over any constant sign interval must be smaller than  $\pi$  (Lemma 6), we have that  $k[\phi](y) \rightarrow 0$ .

Then calculate

$$-\int_0^y k[\phi] \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) v[\phi] dx = \int_0^y \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \left( \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 dx - \left[ \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right]_0^y$$

and due to (10) we rewrite the left-hand-side again

$$\begin{aligned} & -\int_0^y k[\phi] \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right) v[\phi] dx \\ & = \int_0^y k[\phi] \left( -a \frac{d\phi}{dy} + b \right) dy =: R. \end{aligned}$$

Note the calculation

$$\frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right) = \frac{b}{v[\phi]} \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} - \frac{1}{v^3[\phi]} \left( \left( a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy} \right) \frac{d\phi}{dy} \frac{d^2\phi}{dy^2} \right) = k[\phi] \left( -a \frac{d\phi}{dy} + b \right).$$

Using this we find

$$R = \int_0^y \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right) dx = \left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right)(y) - \left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right)(0).$$

Thus,

$$\left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right)(y) = \left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right)(0) + \int_0^y \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \left( \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)^2 dx + \left[ \frac{k[\phi]}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right]_0^y.$$

The right hand side is convergent, thus also is the left hand side convergent. Furthermore, this implies also that (by (10)) the expression

$$\frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{d}{dy} \left( \frac{1}{v[\phi]} \frac{dk[\phi]}{dy} \right)$$

is convergent, for  $y \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . For the same reason as earlier, it can only converge to the value zero, and so we find finally that

$$\left( \frac{a + b \frac{d\phi}{dy}}{v[\phi]} \right)(y) \rightarrow \sqrt{a^2 + b^2} \quad \text{as } s \rightarrow \pm\infty.$$

Thus  $c_1(y) \rightarrow 2|e|$  in particular as  $y \rightarrow -\infty$  and so by (16) we are done.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] T Asai, *On smoothing effect for higher order curvature flow equations*, Adv. Math. Sci. Appl. **20** (2010), no. 2, 483.
- [2] ———, *Quasilinear parabolic equation and its applications to fourth order equations with rough initial data*, J. Math. Sci. Univ. Tokyo **19** (2012), no. 4, 507–532.
- [3] T Asai and Y Giga, *On self-similar solutions to the surface diffusion flow equations with contact angle boundary conditions*, Interfaces Free Bound. **16** (2014), no. 4, 539–573.
- [4] J Cahn and J Taylor, *Overview no. 113: Surface motion by surface diffusion*, Acta metallurgica et materialia **42** (1994), no. 4, 1045–1063.
- [5] M Edwards, A Gerhardt-Bourke, J McCoy, G Wheeler, and V Wheeler, *The shrinking figure eight and other solitons for the curve diffusion flow*, J. Elasticity (2016), 191–211.
- [6] H Koch and T Lamm, *Geometric flows with rough initial data*, Asian J. Math. **16** (2012), no. 2, 209–235.

- [7] J LeCrone, Y Shao, and G Simonett, *The surface diffusion and the Willmore flow for uniformly regular hypersurfaces*, Discrete Cont. Dyn. S **13** (2020), no. 12.
- [8] W Mullins, *Theory of thermal grooving*, J. Appl. Phys. **28** (1957), no. 3, 333–339.

(P. Rybka) INSTITUTE OF APPLIED MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, UNIVERSITY OF WARSAW, UL. BANACHA 2, 02-097 WARSAW, POLAND, ORCID ID [HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0002-0694-8201](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-0694-8201)  
*Email address:* [rybka@mimuw.edu.pl](mailto:rybka@mimuw.edu.pl)

(G. Wheeler) INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS, UNIVERSITY OF WOLLONGONG, NORTHFIELDS AVENUE, WOLLONGONG, NSW, 2522, AUSTRALIA, ORCID ID [HTTPS://ORCID.ORG/0000-0003-3314-5647](https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3314-5647)  
*Email address:* [glenw@uow.edu.au](mailto:glenw@uow.edu.au)