# MINIMAL HYPERSURFACES IN S<sup>5</sup> WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE AND ZERO GAUSS CURVATURE ARE TOTALLY GEODESIC

#### QING CUI

ABSTRACT. We show that a closed minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with constant scalar curvature and zero Gauss curvature is totally geodesic.

# 1. INTRODUCTION

Let M be a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  with second fundamental form A. In 1968, Simons [29] derived the famous Simons' identity:

(1) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |A|^2 = |\nabla A|^2 + \left(n - |A|^2\right)|A|^2,$$

where  $\nabla A$  is the covariant derivation of A. Therefore, if M is closed and assume  $0 \leq |A|^2 \leq n$ , then the divergence theorem gives  $|A|^2 \equiv 0$  or  $|A|^2 \equiv n$ . This pinching phenomenon has attracted many mathematicians' attention. Chern-do Carmo-Kobayashi [9] and Lawson [19] independently characterized the case of  $|A|^2 \equiv n$ : the Clifford hypersurfaces are the only minimal hypersurfces in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  with  $|A|^2 \equiv n$ . Assume  $R_M$  is the scalar curvature of M. By the Gauss equation, one has  $|A|^2 = n(n-1) + R_M$ . Therefore, Mhas constant scalar curvature iff  $|A|^2$  is constant. The authors [9] proposed the following conjecture:

**Conjecture 1.** Let  $M^n$  be a closed immersed minimal hypersurface of the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  with constant scalar curvature  $R_M$ . Then for each n, the set of all possible values for  $R_M$  is discrete.

Now this conjecture is known as *Chern conjecture*. In 1983, Peng and Terng [26, 27] made the first breakthrough towards Chern Conjecture, they showed: If  $|A|^2 > n$ , then  $|A|^2 > n + \frac{1}{12n}$ . Moreover, for n = 3,  $|A|^2 \ge 6$  if  $|A|^2 > 3$ . In 1993, Chang [7] completed the proof of Chern Conjecture for n = 3. After that, Yang-Cheng [36] and Suh-Yang [30] improved the constant from  $\frac{1}{12n}$  to  $\frac{3n}{7}$ . Based on known examples, mathematicians believe this constant should be n, that is, if  $|A|^2 \equiv const. > n$ , then  $|A|^2 \ge 2n$  (this is usually called *the second pinching conjecture*). Up to now, even the second pinching conjecture is still open for higher dimension.

Note that, in Simons' pinching result,  $|A|^2$  is not required to be constant. Thus, many mathematicians believe the second pinching conjecture still holds without the assumption that  $|A|^2$  is constant. In this case, Peng-Terng

#### QING CUI

[26, 27] showed that there does exist a pinching phenomenon if  $|A|^2 > n$  and  $n \leq 5$ . Later, Cheng-Ishikawa [8], Wei-Xu [34] and Zhang [37] promoted it to  $n \leq 8$ . Finally, Ding-Xin [16] proved that if  $|A|^2 > n$  then  $|A|^2 > n + \frac{n}{23}$  for all dimension n. After that, Xu-Xu [35] and Lei-Xu-Xu [20] improved the pinching constant  $\frac{n}{23}$  to  $\frac{n}{18}$ .

Since all the known minimal hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  with constant scalar curvature are isoparametric (equivalently to have constant principal curvatures), mathematicians proposed the following *strong version of Chern conjecture*:

**Conjecture 2.** A closed immersed minimal hypersurface  $M^n$  of  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  with constant scalar curvature must be isoparametric.

Actually, if M is a minimal isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ , according to Münzner's result [25],  $|A|^2$  can only take values in the set  $\{0, n, 2n, 3n, 5n\}$ . It is worth pointing out that, isoparametric hypersurfaces in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$  are completely classified until recently (see [6, 10, 11, 12, 17, 18, 23, 24]). When n=3, Chang [7] gave an affirmative answer to Conjecture 2. When n=4, Deng-Gu-Wei [15] proved Conjecture 2 with an additional assumption that  $M^4$  is Willmore. Note that in this case, M is Willmore iff  $f_3 \equiv 0$  (see [22]), where  $f_r$  denotes the r-th power sum of the principal curvatures for each positive integer r. With assumption that  $R_M \ge 0$ ,  $f_3$  and the number of distinct principal curvatures g are constant, Tang and Yang [31] proved Conjecture 2. Recently, Li [21] showed Conjecture 2 is true if  $f_3$  is constant satisfies  $f_3^2 \leq 72$  and the Gauss curvature  $\mathcal{K}$  satisfies  $\mathcal{K} \leq 1$ . For higher dimensional case, with assumptions that the Gauss curvature  $\mathcal{K}$  is constant and M has three pairwise distinct principal curvatures everywhere, de Almeida-Brito-Scherfner-Weiss [14] showed that Conjecture 2 is true. Recently, Tang-Wei-Yan [32] and Tang-Yan [33] showed Conjecture 2 is true if  $f_r$  is constant for each  $k = 1, \dots, n-1$  and  $R_M \ge 0$ . Their results strongly support Conjecture 2 and generalized de Almeida-Brito's results in [13].

In this paper, we focus on the case of dimension n = 4 and M has vanishing Gauss curvature. In this case, a minimal isoparametric hypersurface must be totally geodesic (see Lemma 3 in Section 3). Therefore, based on the Conjecture 2, a natural question is: Is a closed minimal hypersurface with constant scalar curvature and zero Gauss curvature totally geodesic? We give an affirmative answer to this question as follows:

**Theorem 1.** Let M be a closed minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with constant scalar curvature and zero Gauss curvature, then M is totally geodesic.

We emphasize that without the assumption of constant scalar curvature, there are many non-totally geodesic minimal hypersurfaces in a unit sphere with zero Gauss curvature, cf. [28].

This paper is arranged as follows. In Section 2, we set notations and review some known formulas and results. In Section 3, we give the proof of Theorem 1.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

# 2. Preliminary

In this section, we will fix some notations and list several known formulas.

Let M be a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with second fundamental form A. We choose a local orthonormal frame  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^5$  in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  such that  $e_1, \dots, e_4$  are tangent to M. Let  $\{\omega^i\}_{i=1}^5$  be the dual frame of  $\{e_i\}_{i=1}^5$ . Then A can be written as  $A = \sum_{i,j=1}^4 h_{ij} \omega^i \otimes \omega^j$  with  $h_{ij} = h_{ji}$ . Unless otherwise specified, throughout this paper, the summation is always from 1 to 4. The minimality of M implies  $0 = H = \frac{1}{4} \sum_i h_{ii}$ . Set

$$F_{,i} = \nabla_i F, \quad F_{,ij} = \nabla_j \nabla_i F, \quad h_{ijk} = \nabla_k h_{ij} \text{ and } h_{ijkl} = \nabla_l \nabla_k h_{ij},$$

where  $\nabla_j$  is the covariant differentiation operator with respect to  $e_j$ . The Gauss equation, the Codazzi equation and the Ricci formulas are given by (cf. [9])

(2) 
$$R_{ijkl} = \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} + h_{ik}h_{jl} - h_{il}h_{jk}.$$

$$h_{ijk} = h_{ikj}.$$

(4) 
$$h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = \sum_{m} h_{im} R_{mjkl} + \sum_{m} h_{mj} R_{mikl}$$

From the Gauss equation (2), it follows that  $R_M = \sum_{i,j} R_{ijij} = 12 - |A|^2$ . Therefore,  $|A|^2$  is constant iff the scalar curvature is constant. If M has constant scalar curvature, Simons' identity (1) implies

(5) 
$$|\nabla A|^2 = |A|^2 (|A|^2 - 4)$$

Assume A has principal curvature  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_4$  at some point. Combining (2) with (4) gives

(6) 
$$h_{ijkl} - h_{ijlk} = (\lambda_i - \lambda_j) \left(1 + \lambda_i \lambda_j\right) \left(\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk}\right).$$

For each positive integer k, denote by

(7) 
$$f_k = \operatorname{tr} A^k, \quad \sigma_k = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \lambda_{i_1} \cdots \lambda_{i_k}.$$

Although  $f_k$  and  $\sigma_k$  are defined by using the principal curvatures, they are actually globally well defined smooth functions. A direct computation gives,

(8) 
$$\begin{cases} f_1 = \sigma_1 = 4H = 0, \\ f_2 = \sigma_1^2 - 2\sigma_2 = |A|^2, \\ f_3 = \sigma_1^3 - 3\sigma_1\sigma_2 + 3\sigma_3 = 3\sigma_3, \\ f_4 = \sigma_1^4 - 4\sigma_1^2\sigma_2 + 4\sigma_1\sigma_3 + 2\sigma_2^2 - 4\sigma_4 = \frac{1}{2}|A|^4 - 4\mathcal{K}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\mathcal{K} = \sigma_4 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4$  is the Gauss curvature (also called Gauss-Kronecker curvature). Note that

(9) 
$$\mathcal{K} \equiv 0 \quad \text{iff} \quad f_4 \equiv \frac{1}{2} |A|^4 \,.$$

The following identities are due to Peng and Terng [26],

(10) 
$$\Delta f_3 = 3\left(4 - |A|^2\right)f_3 + 6\mathscr{C},$$

(11) 
$$\Delta f_4 = 4\left(4 - |A|^2\right)f_4 + 4\left(2\mathscr{A} + \mathscr{B}\right),$$

where

(12) 
$$\mathscr{A} = \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2, \quad \mathscr{B} = \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2, \quad \mathscr{C} = \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_i h_{ijk}^2.$$

# 3. Proof of the main theorem

The following result is due to Cartan [5].

**Lemma 2** (cf. [3, page 84]). Let M be an isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^{n+1}$ . Denote by g the number of distinct principal curvatures, and by  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_g$  the distinct principal curvatures with corresponding multiplicities  $m_1, \dots, m_g$ . Then for each fixed  $i = 1, \dots, g$ ,

(13) 
$$\sum_{j=1, j\neq i}^{g} m_j \frac{1+\mu_i \mu_j}{\mu_i - \mu_j} = 0.$$

Although isopermetric hypersurfaces are completely classified, we can give a direct proof of the following result by Cartan's fundamental formula.

**Lemma 3.** Assume M is a minimal isoparametric hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with zero Gauss curvature, then M is totally geodesic.

*Proof.* Assume  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3 \leq \lambda_4$  are the principal curvatures of M. By our assumption,

$$0 = 4H = \sum_{i=1}^{4} \lambda_i, \quad 0 = \mathcal{K} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4.$$

Suppose M is not totally geodesic, we can assume, without loss of generality,  $\lambda_2 = 0$ . Then the principal curvatures are

$$\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 = 0 \le \lambda_3 \le \lambda_4 > 0.$$

There are three possibilities:

•  $\lambda_3 = 0$ . In this case, we have

$$g = 3, \mu_1 = \lambda_1, \mu_2 = 0, \mu_3 = \lambda_4 = -\lambda_1, m_1 = 1, m_2 = 2, m_3 = 1.$$

Therefore, for i = 1 in (13), we have

$$\frac{2}{\lambda_1} + \frac{1 - \lambda_1^2}{2\lambda_1} = 0,$$

which implies  $\lambda_1 = -\sqrt{5}$ . Consequently,  $|A|^2 = \lambda_1^2 + \lambda_4^2 = 10$ . However, from Münzner's result [25],  $|A|^2 = (g-1)n = 2 \times 4 = 8$ , we get a contradiction.

•  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 > 0$ . In this case, we have

$$g = 3, \mu_1 = \lambda_1, \mu_2 = 0, \mu_3 = \lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = -\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1, m_1 = 1, m_2 = 1, m_3 = 2.$$

Therefore, for i = 2 in (13), we obtain,

$$0 = \frac{1}{0 - \lambda_1} + 2\frac{1}{0 - \left(-\frac{1}{2}\lambda_1\right)} = \frac{3}{\lambda_1},$$

which is impossible.

•  $0 < \lambda_3 < \lambda_4$ . In this case, we have

$$g = 4, \mu_i = \lambda_i, m_i = 1, i = 1, \cdots, 4.$$

Therefore, for i = 2 in (13), we obtain,

$$0 = \frac{1}{0 - \lambda_1} + \frac{1}{0 - \lambda_3} + \frac{1}{0 - \lambda_4} = -\frac{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4}{\lambda_1 \lambda_3 \lambda_4},$$

which implies  $\lambda_1 \lambda_3 + \lambda_1 \lambda_4 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 + \lambda_4 = 0$ , we have

$$\lambda_3^2 + \lambda_3 \lambda_4 + \lambda_4^2 = 0,$$

which is impossible for  $\lambda_4 > \lambda_3 > 0$ .

Therefore, M must be totally geodesic.

We also need an elementary algebraic lemma.

**Lemma 4.** Assume  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $a \leq b \leq c$  and a + b + c = 0, then

$$-\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(a^2 + b^2 + c^2\right)^{3/2} \le a^3 + b^3 + c^3 \le \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(a^2 + b^2 + c^2\right)^{3/2},$$

and

$$a^{3} + b^{3} + c^{3} = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2}\right)^{3/2} \quad iff \quad b = c = -\frac{a}{2};$$
  
$$a^{3} + b^{3} + c^{3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \left(a^{2} + b^{2} + c^{2}\right)^{3/2} \quad iff \quad a = b = -\frac{c}{2};$$
  
$$a^{3} + b^{3} + c^{3} = 0 \quad iff \quad b = 0 \quad and \quad a = -c.$$

**Lemma 5.** Let M be a closed minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with constant scalar curvature and zero Gauss-Kronecker curvature. If the smallest (or largest) principal curvature  $\lambda_1$  has multiplicity two at some point p, then M is totally geodesic.

*Proof.* Assume M is not totally geodesic, but the multiplicity of the smallest principal curvature  $\lambda_1$  is two at p. Since  $\mathcal{K} = 0$ , then at p we can assume the principal curvatures are

(14) 
$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\lambda < 0 = \lambda_3 < \lambda_4 = 2\lambda > 0.$$

QING CUI

At the point p, by Lemma 4,  $f_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} |A|^3$  attains  $f_3$ 's maximum. Therefore at p, we have for each k

(15) 
$$\begin{cases} H_{,k} = 0; \\ \left(|A|^2\right)_{,k} = 0; \\ (f_3)_{,k} = 0; \\ (f_4)_{,k} = 0, \end{cases} \text{ which yields } \begin{cases} \sum_i h_{iik} = 0, \\ \sum_i \lambda_i h_{iik} = 0, \\ \sum_i \lambda_i^2 h_{iik} = 0, \\ \sum_i \lambda_i^3 h_{iik} = 0, \end{cases}$$

Consider that the value of principal curvatures (14), we have

$$h_{11k} + h_{22k} = 0, \quad h_{44k} = h_{33k} = 0.$$

We have

$$\begin{split} |\nabla A|^2 &= 4 \left( h_{111}^2 + h_{112}^2 \right) + 6 \left( h_{113}^2 + h_{114}^2 + h_{123}^2 + h_{124}^2 + h_{134}^2 + h_{234}^2 \right) .\\ \mathscr{A} &= \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_i^2 h_{ijk}^2 \\ &= \lambda^2 \sum_{j,k} \left( h_{1jk}^2 + h_{2jk}^2 + 4h_{4jk}^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{6} |A|^2 \left[ 4 \left( h_{111}^2 + h_{112}^2 + h_{113}^2 + h_{123}^2 \right) + 10 \left( h_{234}^2 + h_{134}^2 \right) + 12 \left( h_{114}^2 + h_{124}^2 \right) \right] .\\ \mathscr{B} &= \sum_{i,j,k} \lambda_i \lambda_j h_{ijk}^2 \\ &= \lambda^2 \sum_k \left( h_{11k}^2 + h_{22k}^2 + 2h_{12k}^2 - 4h_{14k}^2 - 4h_{24k}^2 \right) \\ &= \lambda^2 \left[ 2 \left( h_{113}^2 + h_{123}^2 \right) + 4 \left( h_{111}^2 + h_{112}^2 - h_{134}^2 - h_{234}^2 \right) - 6 \left( h_{114}^2 + h_{124}^2 \right) \right] . \end{split}$$

Therefore, combined the above formula with (11), we have,

$$\begin{split} &3\left[4\left(h_{111}^2+h_{112}^2\right)+6\left(h_{113}^2+h_{114}^2+h_{123}^2+h_{124}^2+h_{134}^2+h_{234}^2\right)\right]\\ &=3\left|\nabla A\right|^2\\ &=3\left|A\right|^2\left(|A|^2-4\right)\\ &=\frac{1}{\lambda^2}\left(2\mathscr{A}+\mathscr{B}\right)\\ &=12\left(h_{111}^2+h_{112}^2\right)+10\left(h_{113}^2+h_{123}^2\right)+16\left(h_{234}^2+h_{134}^2\right)+18\left(h_{114}^2+h_{124}^2\right),\\ &\text{which implies} \end{split}$$

$$0 = 8 \left( h_{113}^2 + h_{123}^2 \right) + 2 \left( h_{134}^2 + h_{234}^2 \right).$$

Consequently,

$$h_{113} = h_{123} = h_{134} = h_{234} = 0.$$

6

Moreover, since  $H, |A|^2$  and  $f_4$  are all constants, we have, for all  $1 \le k, l \le 4$ ,

$$\begin{cases} H_{,kl} = 0, \\ \left( |A|^2 \right)_{,kl} = 0 \\ (f_4)_{,kl} = 0. \end{cases}$$

A direct computation yields,

(16) 
$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i} h_{iikl} = 0, \\ \sum_{i,j} (h_{ijk}h_{ijl} + h_{ij}h_{ijkl}) = 0, \\ \sum_{i} (\lambda_{i}^{3}h_{iikl}) + \sum_{i,j} (2\lambda_{i}^{2}h_{ijk}h_{ijl} + \lambda_{i}\lambda_{j}h_{ijk}h_{ijl}) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that, at the point p, we have (14) and

 $h_{11k} + h_{22k} = 0, h_{33k} = h_{44k} = 0, h_{113} = h_{123} = h_{134} = h_{234} = 0.$ Therefore, for k = l = 3, (16) becomes,

$$\begin{cases} h_{1133} + h_{2233} + h_{3333} + h_{4433} = 0, \\ -\lambda h_{1133} - \lambda h_{2233} + 2\lambda h_{4433} = 0, \\ -\lambda^3 h_{1133} - \lambda^3 h_{2233} + 8\lambda^3 h_{4433} = 0 \end{cases}$$

which implies  $h_{4433} = 0$ . While for k = l = 4, (16) becomes,

$$\begin{pmatrix} h_{1144} + h_{2244} + h_{3344} + h_{4444} = 0, \\ -\lambda h_{1144} - \lambda h_{2244} + 2\lambda h_{4444} = -2 \left( h_{114}^2 + h_{124}^2 \right), \\ -\lambda^3 h_{1144} - \lambda^3 h_{2244} + 8\lambda^3 h_{4444} = -6\lambda^2 \left( h_{114}^2 + h_{124}^2 \right),$$

which implies  $h_{3344} = 0$ . Consequently,

$$h_{3344} - h_{4433} = 0.$$

However, (6) and (14) yield

$$h_{3344} - h_{4433} = -2\lambda < 0.$$

We get a contradiction.

In dimension four, the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula is quite special. In our case, we have the following lemma.

**Lemma 6.** Assume M is a closed minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  with second fundamental form A, Euler number  $\chi(M)$ , and  $f_4$  is defined by (7). Then the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula becomes

(17) 
$$\int_{M} \left( \frac{3}{2} |A|^{4} - 3f_{4} - 2 |A|^{2} + 12 \right) = 16\pi^{2} \chi(M) \,.$$

*Proof.* For a closed 4-dimensional manifold, the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula (see [2] or [4]) reads

(18) 
$$\int_{M} \left( \frac{R_{M}^{2}}{3} - |\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} + \frac{|W|^{2}}{2} \right) = 16\pi^{2}\chi(M),$$

where  $R_M$ , Ric, W are the scalar curvature, Ricci tensor, Weyl curvature tensor of M respectively, which can be calculated as follows (see [1, p.117]),

$$R_{M} = \sum_{i,j} R_{ijij},$$

$$|\operatorname{Ric}|^{2} = \sum_{i,j} R_{ij}^{2} =: \sum_{i,j} \left( \sum_{k} R_{ikjk} \right)^{2},$$

$$|W|^{2} = \sum_{i,j,k,l} W_{ijkl}^{2}$$

$$= \sum_{i,j,k,l} \left[ R_{ijkl} - \frac{1}{2} \left( R_{ik}\delta_{jl} - R_{il}\delta_{jk} + R_{jl}\delta_{ik} - R_{jk}\delta_{il} \right) + \frac{R_{M}}{6} \left( \delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk} \right) \right]^{2}$$

Then combined the above formulas and Gauss equation (2), a direct computation yields

$$R_M = 12 - |A|^2,$$
  
$$|Ric|^2 = 36 - 6 |A|^2 + f_4,$$
  
$$|W|^2 = \frac{7}{3} |A|^4 - 4f_4.$$

Substituting the above formulas into Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula (17), we obtain (18).

**Remark.** Since for  $n \ge 4$ , locally conformally flat is equivalent to  $W \equiv 0$ . Therefore, by the proof of the above lemma, a minimal hypersurface in  $\mathbb{S}^5$  is locally conformally flat iff  $|A|^4 = \frac{12}{7}f_4$ . By a direct computation, the trace-free Ricci tensor  $\mathring{Ric} = Ric - \frac{R_M}{4}Id$  satisfies  $\left|\mathring{Ric}\right|^2 = f_4 - \frac{1}{4}|A|^4$ .

Hence, M is Einstein iff  $\mathring{Ric} \equiv 0$ , i.e.,  $4f_4 = |A|^4$ .

We also need a result due to Cheng-Yang.

**Lemma 7** ([36, Theorem 2]). Let  $M^4$  be a closed minimal hypersurface of  $S^5$  with constant scalar curvature and constant  $f_4$ . If  $|A|^2 > 4$ , then  $|A|^2 \ge \frac{20}{3}$ .

**Remark.** Cheng-Yang actually proved the above lemma for general dimension n and with the assumption that  $f_3$  is constant instead of  $f_4$  is constant. The key ingredients of their proof are equalities (10) and (11). When  $f_4$  is constant, we can change a little in the proof of [36, Lemma 3.1], such that

$$0 = 3\left(4 - |A|^2\right)f_3 + 6\mathscr{C}, \text{ at a point } x_0 \in M,$$
  
$$0 = 4\left(4 - |A|^2\right)f_4 + 4\left(2\mathscr{A} + \mathscr{B}\right), \text{ at all points in } M.$$

Consider at  $x_0$ , we can get the same result as [36, Lemma 3.1], and consequently we can obtain Lemma 7. Cheng-Yang also mentioned this lemma in [36, Remark 1].

Now we can give the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose M is not totally geodesic, then from Lemma 5, we know the smallest principal curvature  $\lambda_1$  can not has multiplicity two at any point. Since  $\mathcal{K} = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \lambda_4 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_1$  can not has multiplicity 3 at any point either. Therefore,  $\lambda_1$  always has multiplicity one. Consequently, the unit eigenvectors of  $\lambda_1$  form a nowhere vanishing vector field on M, which implies  $\chi(M) = 0$  by the Poincaré-Hopf theorem. Combined this fact with  $\mathcal{K} \equiv 0$  and relation (9), the Gauss-Bonnet-Chern formula (17) is reduced to

$$\int_M \left( |A|^2 - 6 \right) = 0.$$

which implies  $|A|^2 = 6$ .

On the other hand, since M has constant scalar curvature Simons' identity implies  $|A|^2 \ge 4$ . However,  $|A|^2 = 4$  iff M is a Clifford hypersurface ([9, 19]), which is impossible since the Clifford hypersurfaces have non-zero Gauss curvature. Thus, we have  $|A|^2 > 4$ . Notice that,  $\mathcal{K} = 0$  implies  $f_4 = \frac{1}{2} |A|^4$ is constant. Consequently, we have  $|A|^2 \ge \frac{20}{3}$  from Lemma 7. We get a contradiction.

### References

- Thierry Aubin, Some nonlinear problems in Riemannian geometry, Springer Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1998. MR 1636569
- [2] André Avez, Applications de la formule de Gauss-Bonnet-Chern aux variétés à quatre dimensions, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 256 (1963), 5488–5490. MR 157320
- [3] Jürgen Berndt, Sergio Console, and Carlos Olmos, Submanifolds and holonomy, Chapman & Hall/CRC Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 434, Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL, 2003. MR 1990032
- [4] Arthur L. Besse, *Einstein manifolds*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)], vol. 10, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. MR 867684
- [5] Élie Cartan, Familles de surfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces à courbure constante, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 17 (1938), no. 1, 177–191. MR 1553310
- [6] Thomas E. Cecil, Quo-Shin Chi, and Gary R. Jensen, Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures, Ann. of Math. (2) 166 (2007), no. 1, 1–76. MR 2342690
- Shaoping Chang, On minimal hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvatures in S<sup>4</sup>, J. Differential Geom. 37 (1993), no. 3, 523–534. MR 1217159
- [8] Qing-Ming Cheng and Susumu Ishikawa, A characterization of the Clifford torus, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1999), no. 3, 819–828. MR 1636934
- [9] Shiing Shen Chern, Manfredo P. do Carmo, and Shoshichi Kobayashi, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, Functional Analysis and Related Fields (Proc. Conf. for M. Stone, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, Ill., 1968), Springer, New York, 1970, pp. 59–75. MR 0273546

#### QING CUI

- [10] Quo-Shin Chi, Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures, II, Nagoya Math. J. 204 (2011), 1–18. MR 2863363
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures, III, J. Differential Geom. 94 (2013), no. 3, 469–504. MR 3080489
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Isoparametric hypersurfaces with four principal curvatures, IV, J. Differential Geom. 115 (2020), no. 2, 225–301. MR 4100704
- [13] Sebastião C. de Almeida and Fabiano G. B. Brito, Closed 3-dimensional hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, Duke Math. J. 61 (1990), no. 1, 195–206. MR 1068385
- [14] Sebastião C. de Almeida, Fabiano G. B. Brito, Mike Scherfner, and Simon Weiss, On CMC hypersurfaces in S<sup>n+1</sup> with constant Gauβ-Kronecker curvature, Adv. Geom. 18 (2018), no. 2, 187–192. MR 3785420
- [15] Qintao Deng, Huiling Gu, and Qiaoyu Wei, Closed Willmore minimal hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature in S<sup>5</sup>(1) are isoparametric, Adv. Math. **314** (2017), 278–305. MR 3658718
- [16] Qi Ding and Yuanlong Xin, On Chern's problem for rigidity of minimal hypersurfaces in the spheres, Adv. Math. 227 (2011), no. 1, 131–145. MR 2782189
- [17] Josef Dorfmeister and Erhard Neher, *Isoparametric hypersurfaces, case* g = 6, m = 1, Comm. Algebra **13** (1985), no. 11, 2299–2368. MR 807479
- [18] Stefan Immervoll, On the classification of isoparametric hypersurfaces with four distinct principal curvatures in spheres, Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), no. 3, 1011–1024. MR 2456889
- [19] H. Blaine Lawson, Jr., Local rigidity theorems for minimal hypersurfaces, Ann. of Math. (2) 89 (1969), 187–197. MR 238229
- [20] Li Lei, Hongwei Xu, and Zhiyuan Xu, On Chern's conjecture for minimal hypersurfaces in spheres, arXiv e-prints (2017), arXiv:1712.01175.
- [21] Fagui Li, A note on the Chern conjecture in dimension four, Differential Geom. Appl. 84 (2022), Paper No. 101928, 10. MR 4457371
- [22] Haizhong Li, Willmore hypersurfaces in a sphere, Asian J. Math. 5 (2001), no. 2, 365–377. MR 1868938
- [23] Reiko Miyaoka, Isoparametric hypersurfaces with (g,m) = (6,2), Ann. of Math. (2) 177 (2013), no. 1, 53–110. MR 2999038
- [24] \_\_\_\_\_, Errata of "Isoparametric hypersurfaces with (g,m) = (6,2)" [MR2999038], Ann. of Math. (2) **183** (2016), no. 3, 1057–1071. MR 3488743
- [25] Hans Friedrich Münzner, Isoparametrische Hyperflächen in Sphären, Math. Ann. 251 (1980), no. 1, 57–71. MR 583825
- [26] Chia-Kuei Peng and Chuu-Lian Terng, Minimal hypersurfaces of spheres with constant scalar curvature, Seminar on minimal submanifolds, Ann. of Math. Stud., vol. 103, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 1983, pp. 177–198. MR 795235
- [27] \_\_\_\_\_, The scalar curvature of minimal hypersurfaces in spheres, Math. Ann. 266 (1983), no. 1, 105–113. MR 722930
- [28] Jayakumar Ramanathan, Minimal hypersurfaces in S<sup>4</sup> with vanishing Gauss-Kronecker curvature, Math. Z. 205 (1990), no. 4, 645–658. MR 1082881
- [29] James Simons, Minimal varieties in riemannian manifolds, Ann. of Math. (2) 88 (1968), 62–105. MR 0233295
- [30] Young Jin Suh and Hae Young Yang, The scalar curvature of minimal hypersurfaces in a unit sphere, Commun. Contemp. Math. 9 (2007), no. 2, 183–200. MR 2313512
- [31] Bing Tang and Ling Yang, An intrinsic rigidity theorem for closed minimal hypersurfaces in S<sup>5</sup> with constant nonnegative scalar curvature, Chinese Ann. Math. Ser. B 39 (2018), no. 5, 879–888. MR 3863672
- [32] Zizhou Tang, Dongyi Wei, and Wenjiao Yan, A sufficient condition for a hypersurface to be isoparametric, Tohoku Math. J. (2) 72 (2020), no. 4, 493–505. MR 4194182

10

- [33] Zizhou Tang and Wenjiao Yan, On the Chern conjecture for isoparametric hypersurfaces, Sci. China Math. 66 (2023), no. 1, 143–162. MR 4529031
- [34] Si-Ming Wei and Hong-Wei Xu, Scalar curvature of minimal hypersurfaces in a sphere, Math. Res. Lett. 14 (2007), no. 3, 423–432. MR 2318646
- [35] Hongwei Xu and Zhiyuan Xu, On Chern's conjecture for minimal hypersurfaces and rigidity of self-shrinkers, J. Funct. Anal. 273 (2017), no. 11, 3406–3425.
- [36] Hongcang Yang and Qing-Ming Cheng, Chern's conjecture on minimal hypersurfaces, Math. Z. 227 (1998), no. 3, 377–390. MR 1612653
- [37] Qin Zhang, The pinching constant of minimal hypersurfaces in the unit spheres, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), no. 5, 1833–1841. MR 2587468

School of Mathematics, Southwest Jiaotong University, 611756 Chengdu, Sichuan, China

 $Email \ address: \ \texttt{cuiqing@swjtu.edu.cn}$