Survival probabilities in the Sisyphus random walk model with absorbing traps

Shahar Hod

The Ruppin Academic Center, Emeq Hefer 40250, Israel and

The Hadassah Institute, Jerusalem 91010, Israel

(Dated: July 19, 2024)

Abstract

We analyze the dynamics of the Sisyphus random walk model, a discrete Markov chain in which the walkers may randomly return to their initial position x_0 . In particular, we present a remarkably compact derivation of the time-dependent survival probability function $S(t; x_0)$ which characterizes the random walkers in the presence of an absorbing trap at the origin. The survival probabilities are expressed in a compact mathematical form in terms of the x_0 -generalized Fibonacci-like numbers $G_t^{(x_0)}$. Interestingly, it is proved that, as opposed to the standard random walk model in which the survival probabilities depend linearly on the initial distance x_0 of the walkers from the trap and decay asymptotically as an inverse power of the time, in the Sisyphus random walk model the asymptotic survival probabilities decay exponentially in time and are characterized by a non-trivial (non-linear) dependence on the initial gap x_0 from the absorbing trap. We use the analytically derived results in order to analyze the underlying dynamics of the 'survival-game', a highly risky investment strategy in which non-absorbed agents receive a reward $P(t)$ which gradually increases in time.

I. INTRODUCTION

Random walk is certainly one of the most intriguing concepts in statistical physics [\[1](#page-9-0)– [6\]](#page-9-1). In particular, the dynamics of random walkers in the presence of absorbing traps have attracted the attention of physicists and mathematicians in the last three decades. These phenomenology rich models find applications in diverse areas of physics, such as granular segregation [\[7](#page-9-2)], complex adaptive systems [\[8\]](#page-9-3), polymer adsorption [\[9](#page-9-4)], the dynamics of epidemic spreading [\[10\]](#page-9-5), and the theory of absorbing-state phase transitions [\[11](#page-9-6)]. Interestingly, these physical models are characterized by an asymptotic inverse power-law decay in time of the survival probabilities of the random walkers [\[8](#page-9-3), [12\]](#page-9-7):

$$
S(t; x_0) = x_0 \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi}} t^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad (1)
$$

where x_0 is the initial gap between the walkers and the absorbing trap.

In this paper we shall study an interesting variant of the standard random walk model, known as the Sisyphus random walk [\[13\]](#page-9-8). In this physical model, which belongs to the family of random walks with restart mechanisms [\[14](#page-9-9)[–18\]](#page-9-10), the random walker may move at each time tick one step towards the absorbing trap (which, we assume, is located at the origin $x_{trap} = 0$) or, alternatively, may randomly increase her distance from the absorbing boundary by returning all the way to her initial position $x_0 > 0$.

The main goal of the present paper is to analyze the influence of this restart mechanism on the time-dependent survival probabilities of the Sisyphus random walkers in the presence of an absorbing trap. In particular, we here raise the following physically interesting question: Which type of a random walker has the larger survival probability? The standard random walker who, at each time tick, may take a random step (with probability $1/2$) in the opposite direction of the absorbing trap and who has no upper bound on her distance from the trap] or the Sisyphus random walker (who, at each time tick, may randomly return all the way to her initial position x_0 , which also acts as an upper bound on the distance of the walker from the absorbing trap)?

As we shall explicitly show below, this is a non-trivial question since the restart mechanism, which characterizes the Sisyphus random walk model, introduces into the physical system two competing factors which have *opposite* effects on the survival probabilities of the walkers:

(1) On the one hand, the presence of an upper bound $x(t) \leq x_0$ on the locations of the Sisyphus walkers prevents them from reaching the asymptotically safe region $x \to \infty$, thus decreasing the expected survival probability of these walkers.

(2) On the other hand, the restart mechanism allows random walkers in the dangerous zone $x \sim x_{\text{trap}} = 0$ to abruptly jump (within a single time step) into the safer zone $x = x_0$, thus increasing the survival probability of these lucky walkers.

In this paper we shall use analytical techniques in order to prove that the restart mechanism in the Sisyphus random walk model with an absorbing trap decreases the survival probabilities of the walkers as compared to the corresponding survival probabilities of standard random walkers. In particular, we shall explicitly show below that, instead of the inverse power-law decay [\(1\)](#page-1-0) which characterizes the survival probabilities of standard random walkers, the Sisyphus random walkers are characterized by exponentially decaying asymptotic survival probabilities.

II. DESCRIPTION OF THE SYSTEM

We consider a discrete time $(t = 0, 1, 2, ...)$ random walk on the non-negative integers with an absorbing trap at the origin. The initial location of the walker is denoted by $x_0 > 0$. At each discrete time step the walker randomly (with probability $1/2$) goes one step to the left (towards the absorbing trap at $x_{trap} = 0$) or returns to her initial position x_0 .

We denote by $N_x(t)$ the number of random walkers which are located at a given position x [with $x \in \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$] at the discrete time step t. It is convenient to use the normalization

$$
N_{x_0}(t=0) = 1 \t ; \t N_x(t=0) = 0 \t for \t x \neq x_0 . \t (2)
$$

In addition, the boundary conditions of the system are given by

$$
N_{\text{tot}}(t) = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad t = 0, 1, ..., x_0 - 1 \tag{3}
$$

where

$$
N_{\text{tot}}(t) \equiv \sum_{k=1}^{k=x_0} N_k(t) \tag{4}
$$

is the total number of untrapped walkers at time t.

III. SURVIVAL PROBABILITIES IN THE SISYPHUS RANDOM WALK MODEL

In the present section we shall present a remarkably compact derivation of the timedependent survival probability function $S(t; x_0)$ which characterizes the Sisyphus random walk model with a trap, that is the probability that a random walker who is initially located at x_0 has not been absorbed at the origin until the discrete time t [Taking cognizance of the normalization [\(2\)](#page-2-0), one realizes that the discrete function $N_{\text{tot}}(t)$ is the survival probability of the random walkers at time t .

We first note that, by definition, the number of random walkers $d(t)$ which are absorbed at the origin at the discrete time step t is given by

$$
d(t) = N_{\text{tot}}(t-1) - N_{\text{tot}}(t) \tag{5}
$$

Substituting into [\(5\)](#page-3-0) the relations

$$
d(t) = \frac{1}{2}N_{x=1}(t-1) , \qquad (6)
$$

$$
N_{x=1}(t-1) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x_0-1} N_{x_0}(t-x_0) , \qquad (7)
$$

and

$$
N_{x_0}(t - x_0) = \frac{1}{2} N_{\text{tot}}(t - x_0 - 1) \tag{8}
$$

[Here we have used the fact that, at each discrete time step, each random walker has a probability 1/2 to go one step to the left (towards the absorbing trap) or to go back to her initial location x_0] one finds the recurrence relation

$$
N_{\text{tot}}(t) = N_{\text{tot}}(t-1) - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x_0+1} N_{\text{tot}}(t-x_0-1) \tag{9}
$$

for the number of untrapped random walkers.

It proves useful to define

$$
N_{\text{tot}}(t) = \frac{f(t; x_0)}{2^t} \,, \tag{10}
$$

in which case one obtains from [\(9\)](#page-3-1) the relation

$$
\frac{f(t;x_0)}{2^t} = \frac{f(t-1;x_0)}{2^{t-1}} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{x_0+1} \frac{f(t-x_0-1;x_0)}{2^{t-x_0-1}}.
$$
\n(11)

From Eq. [\(11\)](#page-3-2) one finds that the function $f(t; x_0)$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$
f(t; x_0) = 2f(t - 1; x_0) - f(t - x_0 - 1; x_0).
$$
 (12)

Substituting Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-3) into itself, one finds the relation

$$
f(t; x_0) = f(t - 1; x_0) + 2f(t - 2; x_0) - f(t - x_0 - 2; x_0) - f(t - x_0 - 1; x_0).
$$
 (13)

Repeating this substitution procedure, one finds

$$
f(t; x_0) = f(t-1; x_0) + f(t-2; x_0) + 2f(t-3; x_0) - f(t-x_0-3; x_0) - f(t-x_0-2; x_0) - f(t-x_0-1; x_0)
$$
\n
$$
(14)
$$

In general, repeating this substitution procedure x_0 times, one finally obtains the compact x_0 -th order recurrence relation

$$
f(t; x_0) = \sum_{k=1}^{k=x_0} f(t - k; x_0).
$$
 (15)

Interestingly, we therefore find from Eq. [\(15\)](#page-4-0) that the discrete function $f(t; x_0)$ in the survival probability function [\(10\)](#page-3-4) is the x_0 -generalized Fibonacci-like sequence, which is usually denoted in the literature by $G_t^{(x_0)}$ [\[19\]](#page-9-11). Taking cognizance of Eqs. [\(3\)](#page-2-1) and [\(10\)](#page-3-4), one finds the simple boundary conditions

$$
f(t; x_0) = 2^t \quad \text{for} \quad 0 \le t \le x_0 - 1 \tag{16}
$$

and

$$
f(t = x_0; x_0) = 2^{x_0} - 1.
$$
 (17)

The time-dependent function $f(t; x_0)$ in the survival probability function [\(10\)](#page-3-4) can be expressed in the form [\[19\]](#page-9-11)

$$
f(t; x_0) = 2\sum_{i=1}^{x_0} A(i; x_0) \epsilon_i^{t-2} + \sum_{m=0}^{x_0-3} \left(2^{m+1} \sum_{j=0}^{m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{x_0} A(i; x_0) \epsilon_i^{t-2-j} \right) + (2^{x_0} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^{x_0} A(i; x_0) \epsilon_i^{t-1},
$$
\n(18)

where

$$
A(i; x_0) = (\epsilon_i - 1)[2 + (x_0 + 1)(\epsilon_i - 2)]^{-1}
$$
\n(19)

and $\{\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, ..., \epsilon_{x_0}\}$ are the characteristic roots of the polynomial equation

$$
\epsilon^{x_0} - \epsilon^{x_0 - 1} - \dots - 1 = 0 \tag{20}
$$

The asymptotic large-t behavior of the function $f(t; x_0)$ can be obtained by substituting the relation

$$
f(t; x_0) = \alpha \cdot \beta^t \tag{21}
$$

into Eq. [\(12\)](#page-3-3), in which case one finds that the parameter β is determined by the largest positive root of the polynomial equation

$$
\beta^{x_0+1} - 2\beta^{x_0} + 1 = 0 \tag{22}
$$

For $x_0 = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5, ...\}$ one finds the corresponding monotonically increasing values $\beta(x_0) = \{1, 1.618, 1.839, 1.928, 1.966, ...\}$. In particular, assuming $x_0 \gg 1$ for the initial gap between the Sisyphus random walkers and the absorbing trap and substituting

$$
\beta(x_0) = 2[1 - c(x_0)] \quad \text{with} \quad c \ll 1 \; , \tag{23}
$$

one obtains from [\(22\)](#page-5-0) the relation

$$
\beta(x_0) = 2[1 - 2^{-(x_0+1)}] \cdot \{1 + O[2^{-2(x_0+1)}x_0]\}\tag{24}
$$

for the largest positive root of Eq. [\(22\)](#page-5-0). The coefficient α in [\(21\)](#page-4-1) can be determined by the boundary condition $f(t = x_0; x_0) = 2^{x_0} - 1$ [see Eq. [\(17\)](#page-4-2)], which yields

$$
\alpha(x_0) = \frac{1 - 2^{-x_0}}{[1 - 2^{-(x_0 + 1)}]^{x_0}}.
$$
\n(25)

Taking cognizance of Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-4), [\(21\)](#page-4-1), and [\(24\)](#page-5-1), one finds that the time-dependent survival probabilities of the Sisyphus random walkers in the presence of an absorbing trap are characterized by the simple asymptotic ratio

$$
\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}} \equiv \frac{S(t+1; x_0)}{S(t; x_0)} = 1 - 2^{-(x_0+1)} \quad \text{for} \quad x_0, t \gg 1. \tag{26}
$$

IV. NUMERICAL CONFIRMATION

It is of physical interest to confirm the validity of the analytically derived relation [\(26\)](#page-5-2) which characterizes the late-time survival probabilities of the Sisyphus random walkers in the presence of an absorbing trap. [I](#page-6-0)n Table I we present the dimensionless ratio $\mathcal{R}^{\text{exact}}(t) \equiv S(t+\mathcal{R}^{\text{exact}})$ $1; x_0 = 6)/S(t; x_0 = 6)$ of the survival probabilities as obtained numerically from the exact solution [\(10\)](#page-3-4) with the recurrence relation [\(12\)](#page-3-3). From the data presented in Table [I](#page-6-0) one learns that the analytically derived relation [\(26\)](#page-5-2), which characterizes the asymptotic $(x_0, t \gg 1)$ survival probabilities of the random walkers, agrees remarkably well with the corresponding ratio $\mathcal{R}^{\text{exact}}(t)$ as computed numerically from the exact time-dependent survival probabilities $(10).$ $(10).$

Time step t			ΤÛ		19	ິດຕ
$\mathcal{R}^{\text{exact}}(t) \equiv S(t+1)/S(t)$	0.992000	0.991803	0.991795	0.991791	0.991791	0.991791

TABLE I: Survival probabilities in the Sisyphus random walk model with a trap at the origin. We display the time-dependent dimensionless ratio $\mathcal{R}^{\text{exact}}(t) \equiv S(t+1; x_0)/S(t; x_0)$ of the survival probabilities as obtained from the exact solution [\(10\)](#page-3-4) with the recurrence relation [\(12\)](#page-3-3). The data presented is for the case $x_0 = 6$. One finds that the exact (numerically computed) survival probabilities of the Sisyphus random walkers are characterized with a high degree of accuracy by the analytically derived asymptotic relation $\mathcal{R}^{\text{asym}} = 1 - 2^{-7} \simeq 0.992188$ [see Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-2)].

V. THE SURVIVAL GAME

In the present section we shall use the analytically derived results of the previous sections in order to analyze the underlying dynamics of the 'survival-game'. In this popular game, which may mimic highly risky investment strategies, the agent (the random walker) declares, at the beginning of the game, the number t (with $t \geq x_0$) of time steps (bets) that she intends to take during the game. The rules of the survival game are very simple: if the agent has not been absorbed during the t-step game (that is, the agent has not made x_0 failed bets in a row during the game), then she receives a reward, where the prize function $P(t)$ is a monotonically increasing function of the survival time t. On the other hand, absorbed agents (agents who have made x_0 failed bets in a row) are doomed to lose all their resources (money).

It is interesting to ask: Given a monotonically increasing time-dependent reward function $P(t)$ for the lucky (non-absorbed) agents, what time $t_{\rm opt}$ is statistically the best (optimal) time to quit this highly risky game? The answer to this practical question is given, for a given value of the initial distance x_0 of the agent from the absorbing trap [note that, in the survival game, the parameter $x_0 - 1$ denotes the maximal number of failed bets in a row which are allowed for the agent (the random walker) before she is forced to quit this highly risky game (that is, before she loses all her resources) by the extremum point of the combined reward function

$$
R(t; x_0) \equiv S(t; x_0) \times P(t) . \qquad (27)
$$

In particular, taking cognizance of Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-4) and [\(21\)](#page-4-1), the equation

$$
\frac{d[S(t; x_0)P(t)]}{dt} = 0\tag{28}
$$

yields the characteristic functional expression

$$
\ln[\beta(x_0)/2] = -\frac{(dP/dt)_{t=t_{\rm opt}(x_0)}}{P[t=t_{\rm opt}(x_0)]} \quad ; \quad t_{\rm opt} \gg 1 \tag{29}
$$

for the statistically optimal asymptotic quitting time.

If the time-dependent reward function $P(t)$ grows slower than an exponent, then our analysis reveals the fact that there is an optimal asymptotic quitting time [see Eq. [\(29\)](#page-7-0)] in the survival game. For example, if the monotonically increasing reward function is described by a simple power-law of the form

$$
P(t) = At^{\gamma} \quad ; \quad \gamma > 0 \tag{30}
$$

then the optimal asymptotic quitting time in the survival game is given by the simple expression

$$
t_{\rm opt}(x_0) = -\frac{\gamma}{\ln[\beta(x_0)/2]} \ . \tag{31}
$$

In the $x_0 \gg 1$ regime one can use the relation

$$
\ln(1 - z) = -z + O(z^2) \quad \text{for} \quad z \ll 1 \tag{32}
$$

in order to express the optimal asymptotic quitting time [\(31\)](#page-7-1) in the compact form [see Eq. [\(24\)](#page-5-1)]

$$
t_{\rm opt}(x_0) = \gamma \cdot 2^{x_0 + 1} \tag{33}
$$

The corresponding optimal asymptotic reward $R(t_{\text{opt}}; x_0)$ in the survival game is given by [see Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-4), [\(21\)](#page-4-1), [\(27\)](#page-6-1), [\(30\)](#page-7-2), and [\(33\)](#page-7-3)]

$$
R(t_{\rm opt}; x_0) = A[t_{\rm opt}(x_0)]^\gamma \alpha(x_0) [\beta(x_0)/2]^{t_{\rm opt}(x_0)} . \tag{34}
$$

Taking cognizance of Eqs. [\(24\)](#page-5-1), [\(25\)](#page-5-3), and [\(33\)](#page-7-3), one can express [\(34\)](#page-7-4) in the explicit x_0 dependent form

$$
R(t_{\rm opt}; x_0) = A \frac{1 - 2^{-x_0}}{[1 - 2^{-(x_0 + 1)}]^{x_0}} \left\{ \gamma 2^{x_0 + 1} [1 - 2^{-(x_0 + 1)}]^{2^{x_0 + 1}} \right\}^{\gamma}.
$$
 (35)

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

We have analyzed the dynamics of the Sisyphus random walk model, an interesting variant of the standard random walk model in which the walkers may randomly return to their initial position $x_0 > 0$. In particular, a remarkably compact derivation of the characteristic survival probability function $S(t; x_0)$ of the random walkers in the presence of an absorbing trap at the origin has been presented. Intriguingly, we have shown that the time-dependent survival probabilities can be expressed in a compact mathematical form in terms of the x_0 -generalized Fibonacci-like numbers [see Eqs. [\(10\)](#page-3-4) and [\(15\)](#page-4-0)]

$$
S(t; x_0) = \frac{G_t^{(x_0)}}{2^t} \,. \tag{36}
$$

Interestingly, we have explicitly proved that the survival probabilities in the Sisyphus random walk model with an absorbing trap are drastically different from the corresponding survival probabilities of the standard random walk model. In particular, we have shown that:

(1) As opposed to the standard random walk model in which the survival probabilities decay asymptotically as an inverse power of time [see Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)], in the Sisyphus random walk model the asymptotic survival probabilities decay exponentially in time [see Eq. [\(26\)](#page-5-2)].

(2) As opposed to the standard random walk model in which the asymptotic survival probabilities depend linearly on the initial position x_0 of the walkers [see Eq. [\(1\)](#page-1-0)], in the Sisyphus random walk model the survival probabilities are characterized by a non-trivial (non-linear) dependence on the initial gap x_0 between the walkers and the absorbing trap [see Eqs. [\(25\)](#page-5-3) and [\(26\)](#page-5-2)].

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research is supported by the Carmel Science Foundation. I would like to thank Yael Oren, Arbel M. Ongo, Ayelet B. Lata, and Alona B. Tea for helpful discussions.

- [1] M. N. Barber and B. W. Ninham, Random and Restricted Walks (Gordon and Breach, New York, 1970).
- [2] N. G. van Kampen, Stochastic Processes in Physics and Chemistry (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1992).
- [3] R. Fernandez, J. Frohlich, and A. D. Sokal, Random Walks, Critical Phenomena, and Triviality in Quantum Field Theory (Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
- [4] G. H. Weiss, Aspects and Applications of the Random Walk (North Holland, Amsterdam, 1994).
- [5] D. ben-Avraham and S. Havlin, Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000).
- [6] R. Dickman and D. ben-Avraham, Phys. Rev. E. 64, 020102(R) (2001).
- [7] Z. Farkasa and T. Fulop, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34, 3191 (2001).
- [8] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. 90, 128701 (2003) [\[arXiv:cond-mat/0212055\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/cond-mat/0212055); S. Hod, Phys. Rev. Lett. 105, 208701 (2010) [\[arXiv:1009.0941\]](http://arxiv.org/abs/1009.0941).
- [9] K. De'Bell and T. Lookman, Rev. Mod. Phys. 65, 87 (1993).
- [10] P. Grassberger, H. Chate, and G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. E 55, 2488 (1997).
- [11] J. Marro and R. Dickman, Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions in Lattice Models, (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999); H. Hinrichsen, Adv. Phys. 49, 815 (2000).
- [12] The time-dependent survival probability function $S(t; x_0)$ is the probability that a random walker, who is initially located at x_0 , has not been absorbed at the origin until the discrete time t.
- [13] M. Montero and J. Villarroel, Phys. Rev. E 94, 032132 (2016).
- [14] M. R. Evans and S. N. Majumdar, Phys. Rev. Lett. 106, 160601 (2011).
- [15] D. Boyer and C. Solis-Salas, Phys. Rev. Lett. 112, 240601 (2014).
- [16] L. Kusmierz, S. N. Majumdar, S. Sabhapandit, and G. Schehr, Phys. Rev. Lett. 113, 220602 (2014).
- [17] X. Durang, M. Henkel, and H. Park, J. Phys. A 47, 045002 (2014).
- [18] D. Boyer and I. Pineda, Phys. Rev. E 93, 022103 (2016).
- [19] See J. B. Bacani and J. F. T. Rabago, Applied Mathematical Sciences, Vol. 9, no. 52, 2595

(2015) $\left[\mathrm{arXiv:}1503.05305\right]$ and references therein.