

Self-consistent theory for sound propagation in a simple model of a disordered, harmonic solid

Grzegorz Szamel

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523

We present a self-consistent theory for sound propagation in a simple model of a disordered solid. The solid is modeled as a collection of randomly distributed particles connected by harmonic springs with strengths that depend on the interparticle distances, *i.e.* the Euclidean random matrix model of Mézard *et al.* [Nucl. Phys. **559B**, 689 (1999)]. The derivation of the theory combines two exact projection operator steps and a factorization approximation. Within our approach the square of the speed of sound is non-negative. The unjamming transition manifests itself through vanishing of the speed of sound.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the last two decades the physics of sound propagation in amorphous solids has attracted a lot of experimental [1–4], simulational [5–8] and theoretical [9–12] interest. The inherent disorder of these solids leads to microscopic-scale non-affine response to external stresses [13–16]. These non-affine effects cause a renormalization of elastic constants [16, 17] and speeds of sound of amorphous solids from their values predicted by the classic Born-Huang expressions [18]. The non-affine effects also result in sound attenuation, even in the absence of anharmonicity and thermal effects [19–21]. The low-temperature sound attenuation is believed to scale with the wavevector k or the frequency ω of the sound wave as k^{d+1} or ω^{d+1} in d spatial dimensions, which is referred to as Rayleigh scattering scaling [7, 8]. The interest in sound attenuation and its scaling with the wavevector comes from the fact that these properties influence other characteristic features of low-temperature amorphous solids; *inter alia*, they can be connected to the density of states and the boson peak via the so-called generalized Debye model [6].

There are two mesoscopic approaches to describe sound propagation in amorphous solids. The first one, local oscillator or soft-potential model [9, 10, 22], posits the existence of localized low-energy excitations that interact with plane waves and lead to sound attenuation. The local oscillator model has provided a valuable way to rationalize various experimental results, but it lacks a fundamental microscopic derivation. In particular, the microscopic interpretation of the local excitations and their identification in simulated amorphous solids is a subject of ongoing research [23–28].

The second mesoscopic approach is known as the fluctuating elasticity theory [11, 29, 30]. It assumes that an amorphous solid can be modeled as a continuous medium with spatially varying elastic constants. The spatial variation of the elastic constants leads to sound scattering and attenuation. In the limit of the sound wavelength much larger than the characteristic spatial scale of the elastic inhomogeneities one gets Rayleigh scattering of sound waves and k^{d+1} scaling of the sound attenuation coefficient. The physical picture of the fluctuating elas-

ticity theory is clear and appealing and for this reason it has been used to help with the interpretation of experimental data [31]. Importantly, it is possible to relate the fluctuating elasticity theory to the microscopic, particle-based models of amorphous solids [32]. However, practical applications require quantifying local elastic heterogeneity, which is not unique [33]. Quantitative comparisons between fluctuating elasticity predictions and sound attenuation simulations led to widely different opinions about the accuracy of the theory [32, 34, 35].

Recently, we derived a microscopic theory of sound propagation in low-temperature, harmonic amorphous elastic solids [21]. We argued that in the long wavelength limit our theory is exact. We verified this statement by reproducing very accurately both the speeds of sound and sound attenuation coefficients, which were measured independently via direct sound attenuation simulations [7], for a number of amorphous solids with widely varying stabilities.

To evaluate speeds of sound and sound attenuation coefficients our theory needs eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the microscopic Hessian matrix. Therefore, our expressions for the speeds of sound and sound attenuation coefficients are similar to the well-known Green-Kubo expressions [36] for transport coefficients; they are exact but they need microscopic information to produce explicit numerical results. It would be interesting to develop a theory that could lead to speeds of sound and sound attenuation coefficients using only limited information about the solid’s structure, *e.g.* the pair distribution function, even if this theory were only approximate.

Here we make the first step towards this goal. We present an approximate self-consistent theory for the propagation of plane waves in a very simple model of a harmonic, amorphous solid known as the Euclidean random matrix (ERM) model [37]. In this model, the particles are distributed randomly and independently. They are connected by harmonic springs whose strengths depend on the interparticle distances. The model assumes “scalar displacements” of the particles from their positions. The goal of the theory is to predict the propagation of plane waves of these scalar displacements.

There have been several studies of the ERM model. Grigera *et al.* [38] and Martin-Mayor *et al.* [39] devel-

oped an approximate self-consistent theory for the resolvent of this model. As shown by Ganter and Schirmacher [40], this early theory leads to incorrect predictions for sound attenuation. The problem was subsequently re-analyzed in Grigera *et al.* [41] and it was found that the early theory of Refs. [38, 39] overlooked a non-trivial cancellation of terms in the diagrammatic expansion for the ERM model resolvent and that the ERM model predicts Rayleigh scattering scaling of the sound attenuation.

Ganter and Schirmacher [40] also presented two approximate self-consistent theories for the resolvent. These theories predict Rayleigh scattering scaling of sound attenuation. However, the theories were postulated rather than derived and it is not clear how one could improve upon them. The simpler of these theories was later reviewed and analyzed by Schirmacher *et al.* [42].

Recently Vogel and Fuchs [43] proposed and analyzed a different self-consistent theory for the resolvent of the ERM model. This theory was based on a resummation of a diagrammatic expansion for the resolvent.

Here we present yet another self-consistent theory for the propagation of scalar plane waves in the ERM model. The general formulation of our theory is similar to that of Vogel and Fuchs. In both cases the self-consistency is enforced at the level of the vertex function. The advantage of our theory compared to that of Ref. [43] is that it is formulated in such a way that the square of the speed of sound is always non-negative. Our theory follows the scheme proposed in Ref. [44], in which we reformulated the standard expression for elastic constants of amorphous materials and showed that these constants are always non-negative. Our theory relies upon a factorization approximation similar to that used in the mode-coupling theory of glassy dynamics and the glass transition [45]. It can be improved upon by moving the factorization approximation to a higher level, in the spirit of the generalized mode-coupling theory [46, 47].

The derivation of our theory uses the method of projection operators [48] but it is quite explicit. Importantly, static correlations do not enter due to the completely random arrangement of the particles. The goal of future research is to reformulate the derivation in such a way that it can account for highly non-trivial and non-equilibrium local static correlations present in realistic models of amorphous solids.

Recently, a new, general theory for vibrational properties of low-temperature amorphous solids was presented by Vogel *et al.* [49]. This theory starts from the microscopic dynamics and develops an approximate description of the transverse current correlations in the limit of zero temperature. Similarly to our approach, the theory of Ref. [49] relies on a combination of several projection operator steps and a self-consistent approximation similar to that used in the mode-coupling theory. We believe that our theory is the ERM relative of the theory presented in Ref. [49]. In particular, both approaches are formulated in such a way that they naturally result in non-negative square speeds of sound.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II we present the ERM model and express the velocity auto-correlation function in terms of the resolvent of the Hessian matrix. In Sec. III we derive a formal expression for the self-energy of the model. In Sec. IV we re-write the self-energy in terms of a vertex function and we derive a formal equation for this function. In Sec. V we discuss the factorization approximation for the higher order self-energy that enters into the equation for the vertex function. In the Discussion section we present the outlook for future work.

II. STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

In the ERM model of an amorphous solid the positions \mathbf{R}_i , $i = 1, \dots, N$, of the particles are randomly and independently distributed. In other words, the probability distribution of a given configuration reads

$$P(\mathbf{R}_1, \dots, \mathbf{R}_N) = \frac{1}{V^N}. \quad (1)$$

The particles are connected by springs, with spring constants k_{ij} that depend on interparticle distances,

$$k_{ij} = f(R_{ij}), \quad (2)$$

where $R_{ij} = |\mathbf{R}_{ij}|$ and $\mathbf{R}_{ij} = \mathbf{R}_i - \mathbf{R}_j$.

We consider the scalar version of the ERM model, in which one investigates the time dependence of “scalar displacements” $\phi_i(t)$ of the particles from their equilibrium positions (we will often omit the time argument). Assuming, as usual, that the particles have the same mass, the equations of motion for the displacements read

$$\partial_t^2 \phi_i = - \sum_j \mathcal{H}_{ij} \phi_j. \quad (3)$$

In Eq. (3) \mathcal{H} is the Hessian matrix

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{il} &= \delta_{il} \sum_{j \neq i} f(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) - (1 - \delta_{il}) f(\mathbf{R}_{il}) \\ &= \delta_{il} \sum_j f(\mathbf{R}_{ij}) - f(\mathbf{R}_{il}). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We note that the form of \mathcal{H} in the second line of Eq. (4) can only be used if f is regular at the origin.

It follows from the definition of the Hessian that

$$\sum_l \mathcal{H}_{il} = \sum_i \mathcal{H}_{il} = 0, \quad (5)$$

which expresses the fact that no net force is induced by a uniform displacement of all the particles. It is equivalent to stating that a uniform translation is an eigenvector of the Hessian matrix corresponding to the zero eigenvalue.

If function $f(R)$ has finite support, it is possible that the Hessian has additional zero eigenvectors corresponding to subsets of particles moving independently. This

would correspond to an unjammed solid. In this paper we will implicitly assume the standard Gaussian form of the spring constant for which we do not expect an unjamming transition. The latter transition was discussed by Vogel *et al.* [49] within an approach that started from the microscopic dynamics, which is very much related to our theory.

To monitor (scalar) sound propagation we adopt the procedure introduced by Gelin *et al.* [5]. We assume that at $t = 0$ the displacements vanish but their initial velocities correspond to a plane wave,

$$\phi_i(t=0) = 0, \quad \dot{\phi}_i(t=0) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} \quad i = 1, \dots, N. \quad (6)$$

We note that for our disordered harmonic solid the amplitude of the plane wave does not play any role and in Eq. (6) it was set to 1. Following Gelin *et al.* we focus on the single-particle velocity autocorrelation function, averaged over all possible configurations,

$$C(t) = \overline{\dot{\phi}_1^*(t=0)\dot{\phi}_1(t)} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \overline{\dot{\phi}_i^*(t=0)\dot{\phi}_i(t)}. \quad (7)$$

Here the overline $\overline{\quad}$ denotes averaging over probability distribution (1) of the positions of the particles.

The second equality in (7) follows from the fact that after sample averaging the system becomes homogeneous and thus $C(t)$ can be averaged over the whole system (which in practice improves the statistics). However, in the theoretical development we will use the original form of $C(t)$, *i.e.* $C(t) = \overline{\dot{\phi}_1^*(t=0)\dot{\phi}_1(t)}$.

To get the renormalized sound velocities and the sound damping one has to investigate the limit of small wavevectors \mathbf{k} . We anticipate that we would get damped oscillations $C(t) \propto \cos(vkt) \exp(-\Gamma(k)t/2)$, and we identify v as the speed of sound and $\Gamma(k)$ as the damping coefficient.

We start by introducing the Fourier transform,

$$f(\omega) = \int_0^\infty f(t) \exp(i(\omega + i\epsilon)t). \quad (8)$$

The Fourier transform of autocorrelation function (7) can be derived by transforming equations of motion (3),

$$-(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 \phi_i(\omega) = - \sum_j \mathcal{H}_{ij} \cdot \phi_j(\omega) + e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}. \quad (9)$$

We formally solve Eq. (9) to get

$$\phi_1(\omega) = - \sum_j \left[(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H} \right]_{1j}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}, \quad (10)$$

where \mathcal{I} is the unit tensor, $\mathcal{I}_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$, and then use this solution to write the formal expression for the Fourier transform of the velocity autocorrelation function,

$$\dot{\phi}_1(\omega) = i(\omega + i\epsilon) \sum_j \left[(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H} \right]_{1j}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}, \quad (11)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} C(\omega) &= i(\omega + i\epsilon) \overline{\sum_j e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_1} \cdot \left[(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H} \right]_{1j}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}} \\ &= \frac{i(\omega + i\epsilon)}{N} \overline{\sum_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} \cdot \left[(\omega + i\epsilon)^2 \mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H} \right]_{ij}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

We note that the Fourier transform (12) is related to the resolvent of the Hessian matrix, which is defined as

$$G(\mathbf{k}; z) = \frac{1}{N} \overline{\sum_{i,j} e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}]_{ij}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}}. \quad (13)$$

Specifically, $C(\omega)$ can be expressed in terms of the resolvent at $z = (\omega + i\epsilon)^2$,

$$C(\omega) = i(\omega + i\epsilon) G(\mathbf{k}; (\omega + i\epsilon)^2). \quad (14)$$

Thus, in order to analyze sound propagation we need to develop a theory for the resolvent. In the next two sections we will first express the resolvent in terms of a new self-energy function, then we will express this self-energy in terms of a vertex function and finally we will analyze the latter function.

III. SELF-ENERGY

We note that expression (13) for the resolvent resembles formal expressions encountered in theories for stochastic dynamics of interacting objects, *e.g.* dynamics of colloidal particles [50, 51] or Glauber dynamics of interacting spins [52]. Readers familiar with these theories will undoubtedly notice the similarity between the transformations used in this and the next sections and derivations of theories for colloidal dynamics. However, no knowledge of the latter subject is necessary for the understanding the present paper.

We start with the formula for the resolvent without explicit averaging over different sites,

$$G(\mathbf{k}; z) = \overline{\sum_j e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_1} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}]_{1j}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_j}}. \quad (15)$$

To make the notation more compact we define the Fourier transform of the density associated with site i ,

$$n_i(\mathbf{k}) = e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i}. \quad (16)$$

Next, we define projection operator \mathcal{P}_i on the density associated with site i ,

$$\mathcal{P}_i f = n_i(\mathbf{k}) \overline{n_i(-\mathbf{k})f} \equiv \frac{n_i(\mathbf{k})}{N} \sum_j \overline{n_j(-\mathbf{k})f}, \quad (17)$$

where we used the fact that the averaged expression, $\overline{e^{i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_i} f}$, is site-independent. We also define orthogonal projection, \mathcal{Q}_i ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_i f = f - n_i(\mathbf{k}) \overline{n_i(-\mathbf{k}) f}. \quad (18)$$

To make some future equations more compact it is convenient to introduce an alternative symbol for averaging over the probability distribution of the sites,

$$\mathcal{P} f = \overline{f}. \quad (19)$$

We start the analysis of the resolvent by writing equation for $zG - 1$,

$$zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1 = \overline{\sum_{j,k} n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{jk}^{-1}] n_k(\mathbf{k})}. \quad (20)$$

Next, we insert identity written as $\mathcal{P}_j + \mathcal{Q}_j$ between \mathcal{H}_{ij} and $[z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{jk}^{-1}]$ and we get

$$zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1 = \overline{\sum_j n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} n_j(\mathbf{k}) G(\mathbf{k}; z)} + \overline{\sum_{j,k} n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} \mathcal{Q}_j [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{jk}^{-1}] n_k(\mathbf{k})}. \quad (21)$$

The first term at the right-hand-side of Eq. (21) is a product of a matrix element of the Hessian and the resolvent. The matrix element of the Hessian plays the role of the frequency matrix for the resolvent. It reads

$$\overline{\sum_j n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} n_j(\mathbf{k})} = \rho(f(0) - f(k)), \quad (22)$$

where $\rho = N/V$ is the number density.

To proceed, it is convenient to introduce an auxilliary function $g_i(\mathbf{k}; z)$,

$$g_i(\mathbf{k}; z) = \mathcal{Q}_i \sum_k [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{ik}^{-1}] n_j(\mathbf{k}). \quad (23)$$

We substitute Eq. (22) into Eq. (21) and then, following Ref. [44], we rewrite the resulting expression as follows,

$$G(\mathbf{k}; z) = [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} [zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1] - [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \overline{\sum_j n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} \mathcal{Q}_j g_j(\mathbf{k}; z)}. \quad (24)$$

Then, we consider $z g_i$,

$$\begin{aligned} z g_i(\mathbf{k}; z) &= z \mathcal{Q}_i \sum_k [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{ik}^{-1}] n_k(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} (\mathcal{P}_j + \mathcal{Q}_j) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{jk}^{-1}] n_k(\mathbf{k}) \\ &= \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} n_j(\mathbf{k}) G(\mathbf{k}; z) + \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_j g_j(\mathbf{k}; z) \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

Next, we substitute the RHS of Eq. (24) for the resolvent in Eq. (25) and we get

$$\begin{aligned} z g_i(\mathbf{k}; z) &= \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} n_j(\mathbf{k}) [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} [zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1] \\ &\quad - \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} n_j(\mathbf{k}) [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \mathcal{P} \sum_m n_j(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{jm} \mathcal{Q}_m g_m(\mathbf{k}; z) \\ &\quad + \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_j g_j(\mathbf{k}; z), \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where we used \mathcal{P} defined in Eq. (19).

Finally, we solve Eq. (26) for $g_i(\mathbf{k}; z)$, we substitute the result into (21) and we get,

$$\begin{aligned} zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1 &= \rho(f(0) - f(k)) G(\mathbf{k}; z) \\ &\quad + \overline{\sum_j n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} \mathcal{Q}_j \sum_l \left\{ z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{H} \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{Q} \mathcal{H} n [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \mathcal{P} n \mathcal{H} \mathcal{Q} \right\}_{jl}^{-1} \times \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_m(\mathbf{k})} \\ &\quad [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} [zG(\mathbf{k}; z) - 1] \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

To make the subsequent equations more compact we define the projected Hessian, which plays the role of the evolution operator in Eq. (27),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma &= \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_j \\ &\quad - \sum_k \mathcal{Q}_i \mathcal{H}_{ik} n_k(\mathbf{k}) [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \mathcal{P} n_k(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{kj} \mathcal{Q}_j. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

The superscript Σ anticipates the fact that the projected Hessian determines time evolution of the self-energy Σ .

We define the self-energy as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma(\mathbf{k}; z) &= - \overline{\sum_{j,l,m} n_1(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{1j} \mathcal{Q}_j [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\Sigma]_{jl}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_m(\mathbf{k})} \\ &\equiv - \frac{1}{N} \overline{\sum_{i,j,l,m} n_i(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_j [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\Sigma]_{jl}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_m(\mathbf{k})}\end{aligned}\quad (29)$$

Using the self-energy, we can express the resolvent in the following way,

$$G(\mathbf{k}; z) = \frac{1}{z - \frac{\rho(f(0)-f(k))}{1+\Sigma(\mathbf{k};z)[\rho(f(0)-f(k))]^{-1}}}. \quad (30)$$

We finish this section by noting that our definition of the self-energy, Eq. (29), and our expression of the resolvent in terms of the self-energy, Eq. (30), differ from those used by previous researchers. The self-energy we defined is the analogue of the *irreducible* memory function that was introduced in the context of colloidal dynamics by Cichocki and Hess [50] and then discussed in a more general setting by Kawasaki [52]. For this reason, we could have named the quantity defined in Eq. (29) the irreducible self-energy. However, since the name self-energy already implies irreducibility, we decided not to add the adjective ‘‘irreducible’’.

Following the analysis of Ganter and Schirmacher [40] and of Vogel and Fuchs [43], we anticipate that in order to predict Rayleigh scattering scaling of the sound attenuation we cannot use a factorization approximation in expression (29). Instead, in the next section we will rewrite the self-energy in terms of a vertex function and we will express this function in terms of its own order self-energy. Finally, in Sec. V we will apply a factorization approximation to the self-energy associated with the vertex function.

IV. VERTEX FUNCTION

First, the expression at the right-hand-side of the definition of the self-energy, Eq. (29), can be re-written as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_m \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_m(\mathbf{k}) &\equiv \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2)) \mathcal{Q}_l \sum_m e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_l}\end{aligned}\quad (31)$$

We note that the expression in the second line above involves the part of the product of the density of site l , $e^{-i\mathbf{q}_2\cdot\mathbf{R}_l} = n_l(\mathbf{q}_2)$, and the collective density of all sites, $\sum_m e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} = n(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)$, that is orthogonal to the density of site l . Using definition (18) of orthogonal projection \mathcal{Q}_l one can show that this quantity is equal to the product of the density of site l and the fluctuation of

the collective density of all sites *different* from l . We will denote this product by n_{l2} ,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{Q}_l \sum_m e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} e^{-i\mathbf{k}\cdot\mathbf{R}_l} \\ &= \mathcal{Q}_l e^{-i\mathbf{q}_2\cdot\mathbf{R}_l} \sum_m e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} \\ &= e^{-i\mathbf{q}_2\cdot\mathbf{R}_l} \left[\sum_{m \neq l} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m} - \overline{\sum_{m \neq l} e^{-i(\mathbf{k}-\mathbf{q}_2)\cdot\mathbf{R}_m}} \right] \\ &\equiv n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2).\end{aligned}\quad (32)$$

Similarly, one can show that the expression at the left-hand-side of Eq. (29) can be re-written as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_i n_i(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{ij} \mathcal{Q}_j \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(q_1)) n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{k}).\end{aligned}\quad (33)$$

Equations (31) and (33) allow us to express the self-energy in term of the vertex function,

$$\Sigma(\mathbf{k}; z) = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(q_1)) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) \quad (34)$$

where vertex function $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z)$ reads

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) &= - \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \\ &\times \overline{\sum_l n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{k}) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\Sigma]_{jl}^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} \\ &\times (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2)).\end{aligned}\quad (35)$$

The analysis of the vertex function parallels the analysis of the resolvent. Since it is a bit more tedious, we will outline the main steps in the next paragraph and we will present some more technical details in the appendices.

We will first define a new projection operator that projects on n_{j2} , *i.e.* on the product of the single site density of site j and the fluctuation of the collective density of all other sites. Then, we will write down an equation for $z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) - \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z)$ and insert the identity written as the sum of the projection and the orthogonal projection into this equation. Next, we will analyze the resulting equation and define a self-energy for the vertex function. Finally, we will express the vertex function in terms of its self-energy in an equation analogous to Eq. (30).

The second projection operator is the projection on n_{j2} , which is the product of the single site density of site j and the fluctuation of the collective density of all other sites,

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\mathbf{q}_1} f &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \\ &\times \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)}^{-1} \\ &\times \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_2, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_2)} f.\end{aligned}\quad (36)$$

In Appendix A we show that the absence of any static correlations allows us to re-write Eq. (36) as follows,

$$\mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\mathbf{q}_1} f = \frac{1}{N} n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) f}. \quad (37)$$

We also define the projection on the space orthogonal to

the space spanned by functions n_{j2} ,

$$\mathcal{Q}_{j2} f = f - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) f}. \quad (38)$$

To simplify the notation, we define auxilliary quantity \mathcal{V}_0 ,

$$\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z). \quad (39)$$

In Appendix B we show that

$$\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) = -\rho(f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(q_1)). \quad (40)$$

Next, we write equation for $z\mathcal{V} - \mathcal{V}_0$ (note the analogy with Eq. (20)),

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) &= -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{nl}^{\Sigma}]^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(-\mathbf{q}_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Finally, we insert identity written as $\sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} \mathcal{P}_{n2}^{\mathbf{q}_3} + \mathcal{Q}_{n2}$ between $\mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma}$ and $[z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{nl}^{\Sigma}]^{-1}$ and we get (note the analogy with Eq. (21))

$$\begin{aligned} z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} \sum_n \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z)} \\ &- \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \sum_{n,l} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{n2} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{nl}^{\Sigma}]^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(-\mathbf{q}_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

The first term at the right-hand-side of Eq. (42) is a convolution of the vertex function with a matrix element of the projected Hessian. The latter quantity is essentially the frequency matrix for the vertex function. In Appendix C we evaluate the frequency matrix for the vertex function and show that it has the following form,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{N} \sum_n \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3)} &= \rho(f(0) - f(q_3)) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3} \\ + \frac{1}{V} (f(\mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3)) &- \frac{1}{V} \frac{(f(-\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{k}) - f(q_1)) (f(\mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{k}) - f(q_3))}{(f(0) - f(k))} \equiv \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3) \end{aligned} \quad (43)$$

To proceed with the analysis of Eq. (42), it is convenient to introduce an auxilliary function $v_i(\mathbf{k}; z)$ (note the analogy with Eq. (23)),

$$v_i(\mathbf{k}; z) = -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \sum_l \mathcal{Q}_{i2} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{il}^{\Sigma}]^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(-\mathbf{q}_2)). \quad (44)$$

We substitute \mathcal{F} defined in Eq. (43) into Eq. (42) and then, following the derivation of the self-energy in Sec. III, we rewrite the resulting expression to obtain (note the analogy with Eq. (24)),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} [z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k})] \\ &- \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} \sum_n \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{n2} v_n(\mathbf{k}; z)}. \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

Then we consider zv_i (note the analogy with Eq. (25)),

$$\begin{aligned}
zv_i(\mathbf{k}; z) &= -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \sum_{j,l} \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\Sigma]_{jl}^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(-\mathbf{q}_2)) \\
&= -\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \sum_{j,l} \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma \left(\sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} \mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\mathbf{q}_1} + \mathcal{Q}_{j2} \right) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\Sigma]_{jl}^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(-\mathbf{q}_2)) \\
&= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) + \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{j2} v_j(\mathbf{k}; z).
\end{aligned} \tag{46}$$

Next, we substitute the RHS of Eq. (45) for the vertex function in Eq. (46) and we get (note the analogy with Eq. (26))

$$\begin{aligned}
zv_i(\mathbf{k}; z) &= \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} [z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k})] \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1} \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} \overline{\sum_n n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{n2} v_n(\mathbf{k}; z)} \\
&\quad + \sum_j \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{j2} v_j(\mathbf{k}; z).
\end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

To write down the solution of the above equation for v_i it is convenient to define the second projected Hessian (note the analogy with Eq. (28)),

$$\mathcal{H}_{ij}^\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ij}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{j2} - \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3} \sum_k \mathcal{Q}_{i2} \mathcal{H}_{ik}^\Sigma n_{k2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} \mathcal{P} n_{k2}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{H}_{kj}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{j2}. \tag{48}$$

Then, with the help of Eq. (48) we write down the solution of Eq. (47) for $v_i(\mathbf{k}; z)$, we substitute it into (42) and we get (note the analogy with Eq. (27)),

$$\begin{aligned}
z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) &= \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \sum_{n,l} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{n2} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{V}]_{nl}^{-1} \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{H}_{lm}^\Sigma n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} \\
&\quad \times \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} [z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k})].
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

We define the self-energy for the vertex function as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k}; z) &= -\frac{1}{N} \sum_{n,l,m} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{n2} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{V}]_{nl}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{H}_{lm}^\Sigma n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} \\
&\equiv -\frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{j,n,l,m} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^\Sigma \mathcal{Q}_{n2} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^\mathcal{V}]_{nl}^{-1} \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{H}_{lm}^\Sigma n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)},
\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

and then we write down the final equation for the vertex function in terms of its own self-energy,

$$z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) - \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \Sigma^\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k}; z) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3)]^{-1} [z\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k}; z) - \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k})]. \tag{52}$$

Eq. (52) corresponds to Eq. (30) at the previous level of the projection operator method. It looks considerably more complicated since it describes a quan-

tity that depends on two wavevectors, vertex function $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z)$. Even for the very simple ERM model with completely random arrangement of particles, this

fact leads to the appearance of kernel $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_2)$ and self-energy $\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k}; z)$ that both depend on three wavevectors.

We note that Eq. (52) is similar to the equation derived at the last step of the projection operator procedure of Vogel *et al.* [49]. The main difference is the fact that the authors of Ref. [49] used an approximation that made the analog of kernel $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)$ diagonal, *i.e.* proportional to $\delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2}$.

As established by Ganter and Schirmacher [40] and by Vogel and Fuchs [43], a factorization approximation at the previous level leads to a qualitatively incorrect result for the dependence of the sound attenuation on the wavevector. For this reason, in the next section we will formulate a factorization approximation for self-energy $\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k}; z)$. This factorization approximation will result in a system of self-consistent equations similar to that obtained diagrammatically by Vogel and Fuchs [43]. The important difference is that the authors of Ref. [43] used different definitions of self energy for both the solvent and the vertex function.

V. FACTORIZATION APPROXIMATION

To formulate a factorization approximation we first rewrite the formal expression for the self-energy for the vertex function in terms of many-particle densities.

It is convenient to start with the expression at the right-hand-side of the definition of the definition self-energy for the vertex function, Eq. (51),

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{H}_{lm}^{\Sigma} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \\
= & \sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \\
& - \sum_{k,m} \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lk} n_k(\mathbf{k}) [\rho(f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \\
& \times \mathcal{P} n_k(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{km} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2). \tag{53}
\end{aligned}$$

First, we note that due to the fact that \mathcal{Q}_{l2} projects on the space orthogonal to that spanned by n_{l2} , the second term at the RHS of Eq. (53) vanishes. Thus, the non-vanishing contribution will arise from

$$\sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2). \tag{54}$$

In Appendix D we show that the above expression can be expressed in terms of a product of a single-particle density and two fluctuations of collective densities of other particles,

$$\begin{aligned}
n_{l3}(\mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) &= e^{-i\mathbf{q}_4 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \\
& \times \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n}} \right] \\
& \times \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]. \tag{55}
\end{aligned}$$

In terms of n_{l3} expression (54) reads

$$\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_4} (f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) - f(q_4)) n_{l3}(\mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2). \tag{56}$$

Similarly, we can show that the expression at the left-hand-side of definition (51) can be rewritten as follows,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_j n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{Q}_j \mathcal{H}_{jn}^{\Sigma} \mathcal{Q}_{n2} \tag{57} \\
= & \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) \\
& \times n_{n3}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting expressions (56) and (57) into Eq. (51) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2; z) &= -\frac{1}{N^2 V^2} \sum_n \sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_4} (f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) - f(q_4)) \\
& \times n_{n3}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{V}}]_{nl}^{-1} n_{l3}(\mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2). \tag{58}
\end{aligned}$$

At this point we apply a mode-coupling-like factorization approximation. Specifically, we factorize *and* we replace projected Hessian $\mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{V}}$ by the Hessian. This approximation allows us to express the self-energy for the vertex function

in terms of the resolvent,

$$\begin{aligned}
\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2; z) &= -\frac{1}{N^2 V^2} \sum_n \sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_4} (f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) - f(q_4)) \\
&\quad \times n_{n3}(-\mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}^{\mathcal{V}}]_{nl}^{-1} n_{l3}(\mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \\
&\approx -\frac{1}{N^2 V^2} \sum_n \sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) \sum_{\mathbf{q}_4} (f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) - f(q_4)) \\
&\quad \times n_2(-\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_3, -\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) n_2(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) e^{i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}]_{nl}^{-1} e^{-i\mathbf{q}_4 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \\
&= -\frac{N}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) (f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3)) [\delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} + \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3 - \mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{0}}] G(\mathbf{q}_3; z) \\
&= -\frac{N}{V^2} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} (f(\mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(q_3))^2 G(\mathbf{q}_3; z) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} \\
&\quad - \frac{N}{V^2} (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{k})) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{k})) G(\mathbf{q}_1 + \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{k}; z). \quad (59)
\end{aligned}$$

In the fourth line of Eq. (59) we used the following notation

$$\begin{aligned}
n_2(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) &= \sum_n \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n}} \right] \\
&\times \sum_{k \neq n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

We note that the factorization approximation formulated in Eq. (59) is essentially the same as the final factorization approximation of Vogel *et al.* [49]. The resulting self-consistent theory, consisting of Eqs. (30), (34), (52), (59), bears strong resemblance to Leutheusser's theory of random Lorentz gas [54]. Notably, it includes the so-called off-diagonal contributions to self-energy Σ .

VI. DISCUSSION

Factorization approximation (59) leaves us with a closed system of equations, Eqs. (30), (34), (52), (59). A self-consistent solution of these equations will likely be somewhat demanding, due to associated wave-vector integrations. But, following Vogel and Fuchs [43] and Vogel *et al.* [49], some qualitative results can be obtained without the full numerical solution.

For example, one can derive an integral equation for the dispersion relation, *i.e.* for the wavevector-dependent speed of sound squared. This equation would have a form similar to the form of the corresponding equation derived by Vogel *et al.* [49]. Once again, the main difference between our equation and theirs originates from the fact that the authors of Ref. [49] used an approximation that made the analog of our kernel $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3)$ diagonal in $\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3$. However, both equations lead to the conclusion that the square of the speed of sound is a non-negative quantity and that an un-jamming transition manifests itself through vanishing of the square of the speed of sound. In

addition, one can use arguments presented in Vogel and Fuchs [43] and show that the present theory reproduces Rayleigh scattering scaling of the sound attenuation coefficient on the wavevector. One can then follow Vogel *et al.* [49] and derive an approximate expression for the (60) magnitude of the sound attenuation coefficient.

Recent results of Baumgärtel *et al.* [53] suggest that for the ERM model, the contribution of the self-energy to the dispersion relation is rather small. This suggests that future work should concentrate on extending the present theory to more realistic models of amorphous solids. There are two possible problems that may impede such an extension. First, one would need to assume a more realistic distribution of particle configurations, which incorporates correlations between positions of the particles that are present in actual amorphous solids. Such correlations would make projection operators more complicated. In addition, the presence of higher-order correlations would likely force one to approximate them through products of pair correlations. Second, it is not clear whether it is necessary/important to take into account the non-equilibrium character of the probability distribution of particle configurations and if yes, how to deal with the absence of many equilibrium relations that are usually used in projection operator manipulations. We hope to address both problems in the near future.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I thank Florian Vogel and Matthias Fuchs for inspiring discussions on approximate theories of sound propagation in amorphous materials. I also thank them and Elijah Flenner for comments on the manuscript. This work was started in 2023, when I was visiting École Normale Supérieure de Paris. I thank my colleagues there for their hospitality. The visit to ENS was partially supported

by the Simons Foundation Grant 454955 (to Francesco Zamponi). I gratefully acknowledge the support of NSF Grant No. CHE 2154241.

Appendix A: Second projection operator

The second projection operator projects on the product of the single site density of site j and the fluctuation of the collective density of all other sites,

$$\mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\mathbf{q}_1} f = \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)}^{-1} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_2, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_2)} f. \quad (\text{A1})$$

The absence of interparticle correlations allows us to explicitly evaluate the normalization factor in definition (A1), which is given by the following three-particle average,

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} \\ = & e^{i\mathbf{q}_1 \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} \left[\sum_{l \neq j} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} - \overline{\sum_{l \neq j} e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l}} \right] e^{-i\mathbf{q}_2 \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} \left[\sum_{m \neq j} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_m} - \overline{\sum_{m \neq j} e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_m}} \right] \\ = & \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} \sum_{l \neq j} \left[e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} - \overline{e^{i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l}} \right] \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \cdot \mathbf{R}_l}} \right] = (N - 1) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} (1 - \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}}) \approx N \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A2})$$

Equation (A2) allows us to re-write definition (A1) of the second projection operator as follows,

$$\mathcal{P}_{j2}^{\mathbf{q}_1} f = \frac{1}{N} n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1)} f. \quad (\text{A3})$$

Appendix B: Auxiliary quantity $\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z)$

Auxiliary quantity $\mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) = \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} z \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}; z)$ allows us to write Eq. (41) in a relatively compact way. This quantity can be evaluated as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{V}_0(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{k}) &= - \lim_{z \rightarrow \infty} \sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \frac{1}{V} \overline{z n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{k}) [z\mathcal{I} - \mathcal{H}_{jl}^\Sigma]^{-1} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2))} \\ &= - \sum_l \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \frac{1}{V} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{k}) \delta_{jl} n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2))}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B1})$$

Using Eq. (A2) we re-write the last expression as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} & - \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \frac{1}{V} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_1 - \mathbf{k}) n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2))} = - \sum_{\mathbf{q}_2} \frac{1}{V} N \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2} (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) - f(q_2)) \\ &= -\rho (f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_1) - f(q_1)). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B2})$$

Appendix C: Frequency matrix for the vertex function

Frequency matrix for the vertex function is defined as follows,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_3) &= \frac{1}{N} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn}^\Sigma n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} = \frac{1}{N} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jn} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)} \\ & \quad - \frac{1}{N} \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) \mathcal{H}_{jk} n_k(\mathbf{k}) [\rho (f(0) - f(k))]^{-1} \overline{n_k(-\mathbf{k}) \mathcal{H}_{kn} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)}}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C1})$$

To evaluate the frequency matrix we start with analyzing $\sum_n \mathcal{H}_{jn} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)$. A series of somewhat tedious but straightforward steps allows us to re-write this quantity in terms of a three-particle density and densities n_j and n_{j2} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \mathcal{H}_{jn} n_{n2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} \sum_{n \neq j} \sum_{k \neq j, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) [n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3) + n_j(\mathbf{q}_3)(N-1)\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_3} - n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3)\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2} - n_j(\mathbf{q}_3)(N-1)\delta_{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3}\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2}] \\ &- \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(-\mathbf{q}_3) [n_{j2}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) + n_j(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3)(N-1)\delta_{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3} \\ &- n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3)\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2} - n_j(\mathbf{q}_3)(N-1)\delta_{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3}\delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C2})$$

Frequency matrix (C1) consists of two terms, a matrix element of the Hessian, $N^{-1} \overline{\sum_n n_{2j} \mathcal{H}_{jn} n_{2n}}$, and a subtraction term. Using Eq. (C2) and the fact that quantities n_j and n_{j2} are orthogonal, $\overline{n_j n_{j2}} = 0$, we can conclude that the dominant terms contributing to the matrix element of the Hessian are

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{NV} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_j} \sum_{n \neq j} \sum_{k \neq j, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]} \\ &= n [f(0) - f(\mathbf{q}_2)] \delta_{\mathbf{q}_1, \mathbf{q}_2}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C3})$$

$$\frac{1}{NV} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) n_{j2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3)} = \frac{1}{V} f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_1), \quad (\text{C4})$$

$$-\frac{1}{NV} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(-\mathbf{q}_3) \overline{n_{j2}(-\mathbf{q}_1, -\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{q}_1) n_{j2}(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3)} = -\frac{1}{V} f(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_2). \quad (\text{C5})$$

To calculate the subtraction term we need off-diagonal matrix element of the Hessian, $\overline{\sum_n n_k \mathcal{H}_{kn} n_{2n}}$. Using Eq. (C2) and the fact that quantities n_j and n_{j2} are orthogonal we can conclude that the dominant terms contributing to this matrix element are

$$\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \overline{n_k(-\mathbf{k}) n_k(\mathbf{q}_3) (N-1) \delta_{\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{q}_3}} = \frac{N}{V} f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{k}), \quad (\text{C6})$$

$$-\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} f(-\mathbf{q}_3) \overline{n_k(-\mathbf{k}) n_j(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2 + \mathbf{q}_3) (N-1) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3}} = -\frac{N}{V} f(\mathbf{q}_2). \quad (\text{C7})$$

Combining Eqs. (C3-C7) we obtain Eq. (43) of the main text.

Appendix D: Three-particle density that determines Σ^ν

Using Eq. (C2) in Eq. (54) of the main text we see that the only non-vanishing contribution to $\sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)$ originates from

$$\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]. \quad (\text{D1})$$

Due to the subtraction term in the square bracket at the right-hand-side of expression (D1) orthogonal projection \mathcal{Q}_l does not contribute, and thus we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] \mathcal{Q}_{l2} e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right] \\ &- \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] \sum_{\mathbf{q}_4} \mathcal{P}_{l2}^{\mathbf{q}_4} e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{D2})$$

Using definition (37) of projection operator $\mathcal{P}_{l2}^{\mathbf{q}_4}$ we get the following result for the second term at the right-hand-side of Eq. (D2)

$$-\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] n_{l2}(\mathbf{q}_3, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_3) (N-1) \delta_{\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{q}_3}. \quad (\text{D3})$$

Combining expression (D2) with the first term at the right-hand-side of Eq. (D2) we get the following formula for $\sum_m \mathcal{Q}_{l2} \mathcal{Q}_l \mathcal{H}_{lm} n_{m2}(\mathbf{q}_2, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2)$

$$\frac{1}{V} \sum_{\mathbf{q}_3} [f(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) - f(-\mathbf{q}_3)] e^{-i\mathbf{q}_3 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_3) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n}} \right] \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]. \quad (\text{D4})$$

We conclude that self-energy for the vertex function, $\Sigma^{\mathcal{V}}$ can be expressed in terms of the following three-particle density,

$$n_{l3}(\mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4, \mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) = e^{-i\mathbf{q}_4 \cdot \mathbf{R}_l} \sum_{n \neq l} \sum_{k \neq l, k \neq n} \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{q}_2 - \mathbf{q}_4) \cdot \mathbf{R}_n}} \right] \left[e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k} - \overline{e^{-i(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{q}_2) \cdot \mathbf{R}_k}} \right]. \quad (\text{D5})$$

Density n_{l3} is a product of density of site l and two fluctuations of collective densities of all other particles.

-
- [1] C. Masciovecchio, G. Baldi, S. Caponi, L. Comez, S. Di Fonzo, D. Fioretto, A. Fontana, A. Gessini, S.C. Santucci, F. Sette, G. Viliani, P. Vilmercati and G. Ruocco, “Evidence for a Crossover in the Frequency Dependence of the Acoustic Attenuation in Vitreous Silica”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **97**, 035501 (2006).
- [2] B. Rufflé, D.A. Parshin, E. Courtens, and R. Vache, “Boson Peak and its Relation to Acoustic Attenuation in Glasses”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100**, 015501 (2008).
- [3] G. Baldi, V. M. Giordano, G. Monaco, and B. Ruta, “Sound attenuation at terahertz frequencies and the boson peak of vitreous silica”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **104**, 195501 (2010).
- [4] G. Baldi, V.M. Giordano, B. Ruta, R. Dal Maschio, A. Fontana, and G. Monaco, “Anharmonic Damping of Terahertz Acoustic Waves in a Network Glass and Its Effect on the Density of Vibrational States”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112**, 125502 (2014).
- [5] S. Gelin, H. Tanaka and A. Lemaître, “Anomalous phonon scattering and elastic correlations in amorphous solids”, *Nature Materials* **15**, 1177 (2016).
- [6] H. Mizuno and A. Ikeda, “Phonon transport and vibrational excitations in amorphous solids”, *Phys. Rev. E* **98**, 062612 (2018).
- [7] L. Wang, L. Berthier, E. Flenner, P. Guan and G. Szamel, “Sound attenuation in stable glasses”, *Soft Matter* **15**, 7018 (2019).
- [8] A. Moriel, G. Kapteijns, C. Rainone, J. Zylberg, E. Lerner and E. Bouchbinder, “Wave attenuation in glasses: Rayleigh and generalized-Rayleigh scattering”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 104503 (2019).
- [9] U. Buchenau, Yu. M. Galperin, V.L. Gurevich, D.A. Parshin, M.A. Ramos and H.R. Schober, “Interaction of soft modes and sound waves in glasses”, *Phys. Rev. B*, **46**, 2798 (1992).
- [10] H.R. Schober, “Quasi-localized vibrations and phonon damping in glasses”, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **357**, 501 (2011).
- [11] W. Schirmacher, “Some comments on fluctuating-elasticity and local oscillator models for anomalous vibrational excitations in glasses”, *J. Non-Cryst. Solids* **357**, 518 (2011).
- [12] E. DeGiuli, A. Laversanne-Finot, G. Düring, E. Lerner, and M. Wyart, “Effects of coordination and pressure on sound attenuation, boson peak and elasticity in amorphous solids”, *Soft Matter* **10**, 5628 (2014).
- [13] J. P. Wittmer, A. Tanguy, J.-L. Barrat and L. Lewis, “Vibrations of amorphous, nanometric structures: When does continuum theory apply?”, *Europhys. Lett.* **57**, 423 (2002).
- [14] A. Tanguy, J. P. Wittmer, F. Leonforte, and J.-L. Barrat, “Continuum limit of amorphous elastic bodies: A finite-size study of low-frequency harmonic vibrations”, *Phys. Rev. B* **66**, 174205 (2002).
- [15] C. Maloney and A. Lemaître “Universal Breakdown of Elasticity at the Onset of Material Failure”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **93**, 195501 (2004).
- [16] A. Lemaître and C. Maloney, “Sum Rules for the Quasi-Static and Visco-Elastic Response of Disordered Solids at Zero Temperature”, *J. Stat. Phys.* **123**, 415 (2006).
- [17] F. Leonforte, R. Boissière, A. Tanguy, J.P. Wittmer, and J.-L. Barrat, “Continuum limit of amorphous elastic bodies. III. Three-dimensional systems”, *Phys. Rev. B* **72**, 224206 (2005).
- [18] M. Born and K. Huang, *Dynamical Theory of Crystal Lattices*, (Clarendon, Oxford, 1966).

- [19] C. Caroli and A. Lemaître, “Key role of retardation and non-locality in sound propagation in amorphous solids as evidenced by a projection formalism”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **153**, 144502 (2020).
- [20] M. Baggioli and A. Zaccone, “Theory of sound attenuation in amorphous solids from nonaffine motions”, *J. Phys.: Condens. Matter* **34**, 215401 (2022).
- [21] G. Szamel and E. Flenner, “Microscopic analysis of sound attenuation in low-temperature amorphous solids reveals quantitative importance of non-affine effects”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **156**, 144502 (2022).
- [22] U. Buchenau, “The Soft-Potential Model and Its Extensions, in M. A. Ramos (ed.), *Low-Temperature Thermal and Vibrational Properties of Disordered Solids*, (World Scientific Publishing Europe Ltd., London, 2023).
- [23] L. Gartner and E. Lerner (2016), “Nonlinear modes disentangle glassy and Goldstone modes in structural glasses”, *Sci. Post Phys.* **1**, 061 (2016).
- [24] S. Wijtmans and L.M. Manning, “Disentangling defects and sound modes in disordered solids”, *Soft Matter* **13**, 5649 (2017).
- [25] L. Wang, A. Ninarello, P. Guan, L. Berthier, G. Szamel, and E. Flenner, “Low-frequency vibrational modes of stable glasses”, *Nature Commun.* **10**, 26 (2019).
- [26] C. Scalliet, L. Berthier, and F. Zamponi, “Nature of excitations and defects in structural glasses”, *Nature Commun.* **10**, 5102 (2019).
- [27] G. Kapteijns, D. Richard, and E. Lerner, “Nonlinear quasilocalized excitations in glasses I. True representations of soft spots”, *Phys. Rev. E* **101**, 032130 (2020).
- [28] A. Moriel, Y. Lubomirsky, E. Lerner, and E. Bouchbinder, “Extracting the properties of quasilocalized modes in computer glasses: Long-range continuum fields, contour integrals and boundary effects”, *Phys. Rev. E* **102**, 03308 (2020).
- [29] W. Schirmacher, “Thermal conductivity of glassy materials and the ‘boson peak’ ” *Europhys. Lett.*, 2006, **73**, 892.
- [30] W. Schirmacher, G. Ruocco, and T. Scopigno, “Acoustic Attenuation in Glasses and its Relation with the Boson Peak”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **98**, 025501 (2007).
- [31] E.A.A. Pogna, A.I. Chumakov, C. Ferrante, M.A. Ramos and T. Scopigno, “Tracking the Connection between Disorder and Energy Landscape in Glasses Using Geologically Hyperaged Amber”, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, **10** 427 (2019).
- [32] C. Caroli and A. Lemaître, “Fluctuating Elasticity Fails to Capture Anomalous Sound Scattering in Amorphous Solids”, *Phys Rev. Lett.* **123**, 055501 (2019).
- [33] H. Mizuno, S. Mossa and J.-L. Barrat, “Measuring spatial distribution of the local elastic modulus in glasses”, *Phys. Rev. B*, **87**, 042306 (2013).
- [34] G. Kapteijns, D. Richard, E. Bouchbinder, and E. Lerner, “Elastic moduli fluctuations predict wave attenuation rates in glasses”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **154**, 081101 (2021).
- [35] S. Mahajan and M. Pica Ciamarra, “Unifying description of the vibrational anomalies of amorphous materials”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **127**, 215504 (2021).
- [36] J.-P. Hansen and I.R. McDonald, *Theory of Simple Liquids*, 4th edition, (Academic, London, 2013).
- [37] M. Mézard, G. Parisi, and A. Zee, “Spectra of Euclidean random matrices”, *Nucl. Phys.* **559B**, 689 (1999).
- [38] T. S. Grigera, V. Martin-Mayor, G. Parisi, and P. Verrocchio, “Vibrational Spectrum of Topologically Disordered Systems”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87**, 085502 (2001).
- [39] V. Martin-Mayor, M. Mézard, G. Parisi, and P. Verrocchio, “The dynamical structure factor in topologically disordered systems”, *J. Chem. Phys.* **114**, 8068 (2001).
- [40] C. Ganter and W. Schirmacher, “Rayleigh scattering, long-time tails, and the harmonic spectrum of topologically disordered systems”, *Phys. Rev. B* **82**, 094205 (2010).
- [41] T. S. Grigera, V. Martin-Mayor, G. Parisi, P. Urbani, and P. Verrocchio, “On the high-density expansion for Euclidean random matrices”, *J. Stat. Mech.* (2011) P02015.
- [42] W. Schirmacher, V. Folli, C. Ganter, and G. Ruocco, “Self-consistent Euclidean-random-matrix theory”, *J. Phys. A* **52**, 464002 (2019).
- [43] F. Vogel and M. Fuchs, “Vibrational Phenomena in Glasses at Low Temperatures Captured by Field Theory of Disordered Harmonic Oscillators”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130**, 236101 (2023).
- [44] G. Szamel, “Elastic constants of zero-temperature amorphous solids”, *Phys. Rev. E* **107**, 064608 (2023).
- [45] W. Götze, *Complex dynamics of glass-forming liquids: A mode-coupling theory* (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2008).
- [46] G. Szamel, “Colloidal Glass Transition: Beyond Mode-Coupling Theory”, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 228301 (2003).
- [47] L.M.C. Janssen, “Mode-Coupling Theory of the Glass Transition: A Primer”, *Frontiers in Physics* **6**, 97 (2018).
- [48] R. Zwanzig, *Nonequilibrium Statistical Mechanics*, (Oxford, New York, 2002).
- [49] F. Vogel, Philipp Baumgärtel, and M. Fuchs, “A self-consistent current response theory of un-jamming and vibrational modes in low-temperature amorphous solids”, arXiv:2405.06537.
- [50] B. Cichocki and W. Hess, “On the memory function for the dynamic structure factor of interacting Brownian particles”, *Physica A* **141**, 475 (1987).
- [51] G. Szamel and H. Löwen, “Mode-coupling theory of the glass transition in colloidal systems”, *Phys. Rev. A* **44**, 8215 (1991).
- [52] K. Kawasaki, “Irreducible memory function for dissipative stochastic systems with detailed balance”, *Physica A* **215**, 61 (1995).
- [53] P. Baumgärtel, F. Vogel, and M. Fuchs, “Properties of stable ensembles of euclidean random matrices”, *Phys. Rev. E* **109**, 014120 (2024).
- [54] E. Leutheusser, “Self-consistent kinetic theory for the Lorentz gas”, *Phys. Rev. A* **28**, 1762 (1983).